



# *Article* **Methylglyoxal-Modified Albumin Effects on Endothelial Arginase Enzyme and Vascular Function**

**Ebaa M. Alzayadneh 1,\* [,](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7318-8858) Alia Shatanawi <sup>2</sup> [,](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1092-6096) R. William Caldwell 3,4 and Ruth B. Caldwell 4,5,6**

- <sup>1</sup> Department of Physiology and Biochemistry, School of Medicine, University of Jordan, Amman 11942, Jordan
- <sup>2</sup> Department of Pharmacology, School of Medicine, University of Jordan, Amman 11942, Jordan<br><sup>3</sup> Department of Pharmacology and Toxicology, Augusta University, Augusta CA 20012, USA
- <sup>3</sup> Department of Pharmacology and Toxicology, Augusta University, Augusta, GA 30912, USA
- <sup>4</sup> Culver Vision Discovery Institute, Augusta University, Augusta, GA 30912, USA<br><sup>5</sup> Department of Collular Biology and Anatomy Medical College of Coargie, August
- <sup>5</sup> Department of Cellular Biology and Anatomy, Medical College of Georgia, Augusta University, Augusta, GA 30912, USA
- <sup>6</sup> Vascular Biology Center, Augusta University, Augusta, GA 30912, USA
- **\*** Correspondence: e.zayadneh@ju.edu.jo

**Abstract:** Advanced glycation end products (AGEs) contribute significantly to vascular dysfunction (VD) in diabetes. Decreased nitric oxide (NO) is a hallmark in VD. In endothelial cells, NO is produced by endothelial NO synthase (eNOS) from L-arginine. Arginase competes with NOS for L-arginine to produce urea and ornithine, limiting NO production. Arginase upregulation was reported in hyperglycemia; however, AGEs' role in arginase regulation is unknown. Here, we investigated the effects of methylglyoxal-modified albumin (MGA) on arginase activity and protein expression in mouse aortic endothelial cells (MAEC) and on vascular function in mice aortas. Exposure of MAEC to MGA increased arginase activity, which was abrogated by MEK/ERK1/2 inhibitor, p38 MAPK inhibitor, and ABH (arginase inhibitor). Immunodetection of arginase revealed MGA-induced protein expression for arginase I. In aortic rings, MGA pretreatment impaired acetylcholine (ACh)-induced vasorelaxation, which was reversed by ABH. Intracellular NO detection by DAF-2DA revealed blunted ACh-induced NO production with MGA treatment that was reversed by ABH. In conclusion, AGEs increase arginase activity probably through the ERK1/2/p38 MAPK pathway due to increased arginase I expression. Furthermore, AGEs impair vascular function that can be reversed by arginase inhibition. Therefore, AGEs may be pivotal in arginase deleterious effects in diabetic VD, providing a novel therapeutic target.

**Keywords:** advanced glycation end products; arginase; endothelial cells; diabetes; vascular dysfunction; nitric oxide

## **1. Introduction**

Vascular dysfunction (VD) contributes to several diabetic complications and its pathophysiology is intricately linked to oxidative stress and inflammation. Advanced glycation end products (AGE) and arginase enzyme have been shown separately to play roles in VD; however, the relationship between these two factors in diabetic VD is not yet clear. Arginase is well demonstrated as an important enzyme in urea cycle, detoxifying ammonia by hydrolyzing L-arginine to ornithine and urea. There are two identified isoforms encoded by different genes, arginase I and II; however, they share similar mechanisms and metabolites [\[1](#page-9-0)[,2\]](#page-9-1). Arginase is constitutively expressed in human endothelial cells in both isoforms, where arginase I is located in the cytosol, and arginase II in mitochondria of human endothelial cells [\[3](#page-9-2)[,4\]](#page-9-3). In addition to its role in the urea cycle, arginase produces ornithine required for polyamines and L-proline synthesis involved in cell proliferation, differentiation, and repair [\[5\]](#page-9-4). There is a growing body of evidence indicating that constitutive levels of arginase activity in endothelium limit NO synthesis and NO-dependent



**Citation:** Alzayadneh, E.M.; Shatanawi, A.; Caldwell, R.W.; Caldwell, R.B. Methylglyoxal-Modified Albumin Effects on Endothelial Arginase Enzyme and Vascular Function. *Cells* **2023**, *12*, 795. [https://doi.org/10.3390/](https://doi.org/10.3390/cells12050795) [cells12050795](https://doi.org/10.3390/cells12050795)

Academic Editor: Lukas A. Huber

Received: 21 December 2022 Revised: 17 February 2023 Accepted: 20 February 2023 Published: 3 March 2023



**Copyright:** © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license [\(https://](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [creativecommons.org/licenses/by/](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)  $4.0/$ ).

vasodilatory function [\[6–](#page-10-0)[8\]](#page-10-1). Arginase was shown to be induced by various stimuli such as oxidative stress, oxidized lipoproteins, tumor necrosis factor (TNF $\alpha$ ), and hypoxia [\[9](#page-10-2)[–14\]](#page-10-3).

Upregulation of arginase was also demonstrated in cells exposed to high glucose and in diabetic animal models. High glucose increased arginase activity and limited NO production in bovine coronary endothelial cells in a Rho-kinase-dependent pathway, in which siRNA knockdown of arginase I prevented high-glucose-induced changes [\[15\]](#page-10-4). Arginase upregulation was shown to be mediated by reactive oxygen species (ROS) and the PKC/Rho A pathway [\[9\]](#page-10-2). Interestingly, both arginase and endothelial nitric oxide synthase (eNOS) contributed to high-glucose-induced superoxide production, due to uncoupling of eNOS associated with diminished availability of L-arginine [\[9,](#page-10-2)[16\]](#page-10-5). The functional impairment associated with increased arginase expression and activity in diabetes was demonstrated in isolated vascular preparations and under in vivo conditions [\[17\]](#page-10-6). Both mRNA expression and activity of arginase were increased in aorta and liver of a streptozotocin-induced diabetic rat model [\[15\]](#page-10-4). Impaired endothelium-dependent vasorelaxation of coronary arteries from rats with type 1 diabetes was normalized by arginase inhibition [\[15\]](#page-10-4). Moreover, aortic and retinal endothelial dysfunction in streptozotocin-induced type 1 diabetes was linked to increased arginase expression [\[18](#page-10-7)[,19\]](#page-10-8). The role of arginase for vascular dysfunction in vivo was investigated in type 2 diabetic rats, in which arginase inhibition improved myocardial microvascular dysfunction by increased NO availability [\[20\]](#page-10-9). Additionally, arginase has been identified as a key player in skeletal muscle arteriolar endothelial dysfunction in a diabetic rat model, where inhibition of arginase restored flow-induced vasodilation [\[21\]](#page-10-10). Arginase upregulation and vasodilation impairment were reported in cavernous tissue of diabetic rats linked to extracellular signal–regulated kinase (ERK1/2) [\[22\]](#page-10-11). Clinical studies on diabetic patients supported earlier findings on animal studies indicating a significant role for arginase in endothelial dysfunction. Plasma arginase activity was elevated in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus in comparison with healthy subjects and correlated positively with fasting plasma glucose levels and glycosylated hemoglobin HbA1c levels [\[23\]](#page-10-12). Furthermore, arginase levels in plasma were associated with markers of oxidative stress and HbA1c [\[23\]](#page-10-12). Functionally, coronary arterioles obtained from patients with diabetes displayed reduced endothelium-dependent relaxation in vitro and increased expression of arginase I in endothelial cells [\[24\]](#page-10-13). The endothelium-dependent vasodilatation of coronary arterioles was enhanced by arginase inhibition [\[24\]](#page-10-13). In addition, an in vivo study demonstrated that arginase inhibition markedly improves endothelium-dependent vasodilatation in the forearm of patients with type 2 diabetes and coronary artery disease, while it does not affect endothelial function in healthy controls [\[25\]](#page-10-14).

On the other hand, AGEs, the products of non-enzymatic glycation and oxidation of proteins and lipids that accumulate in diabetes, together with their signal transduction receptor (RAGE), are linked to both the etiology and pathological consequences of types 1 and 2 diabetes [\[26,](#page-10-15)[27\]](#page-10-16). AGEs form to an accelerated degree in hyperglycemia and accumulate in the blood vessel wall, directly modifying proteins by the formation of cross-links primarily in the basement membrane and the extracellular matrix [\[26,](#page-10-15)[27\]](#page-10-16). Furthermore, circulating AGEs interact with endothelial RAGEs to transduce multiple signaling pathways, which lead to perturbation of cellular functions [\[27\]](#page-10-16). RAGE is a member of the immunoglobulin superfamily that binds to multiple ligands such as AGEs, HMGB-1, S100 proteins, or amyloid beta peptide [\[28](#page-10-17)[–30\]](#page-10-18). Engagement of RAGE to its agonists activates several pathways that result in activating NADPH oxidases, ROS production, ERK, P38 MAP-kinase, JAK/STAT pathway, phospho-inisitol-3 kinases, and NfκB pathway, which culminate in the upregulation of RAGE and other profibrotic and proinflammatory target genes [\[27\]](#page-10-16).

Clinically, the levels of serum AGEs in patients with type 2 diabetes are inversely related to the degree of endothelium-dependent and endothelium-independent vasodilation [\[31\]](#page-10-19). Several mechanisms by which AGEs affect NO bioavailability were suggested in the literature and mostly relate to eNOS. AGEs may reduce the stability of eNOS or impair NO production via RAGE-induced deactivation of the eNOS enzyme [\[32](#page-11-0)[,33\]](#page-11-1). To

our knowledge, it is still not clear if AGEs directly affect arginase activity, arginase expression, or NO bioavailability in endothelial cells. Given that AGEs via RAGE induce ROS formation and ERK1/2 activation, which are also signaling pathways implicated in arginase stimulation in diabetic vasculature, as shown previously, we sought to investigate the effect of AGE (MGA) on arginase activity and expression. We hypothesized that AGEs may upregulate arginase enzymes, leading to a reduction in the availability of arginine and NO, thus causing deleterious effects on vascular function.

#### **2. Materials and Methods**

# *2.1. Cell Culture and Treatments*

In all cell experiments, mouse aortic endothelial cells (MAECs) were utilized. Proliferating MAECs were purchased from Cell Applications, San Diego, CA, USA. Cells were cultured in Endothelial Growth Medium (Cell Applications, San Diego, CA, USA) and maintained in a humidified atmosphere at 37  $\degree$ C and 5% CO<sub>2</sub>. Cells were adapted to grow in M199 supplemented with 50 µM L-arginine (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) for 72 h before the experiment to match the normal plasma L-arginine concentration (40 to 100 µM). In addition, 10% FBS (Catalog # SH30396, hyClone, GE Healthcare Life Sciences South Logan, UT, USA), 1% penicillin/streptomycin, and 1% L-glutamine were added to cell growth medium. Cells used for experiments are from 3 to 9 passage numbers. When cells reached 80% confluency, they were serum-starved overnight in M199 supplemented with 50 µM L-arginine, 1% L-glutamine, 1% penicillin/streptomycin, and 0.2% FBS. Glycated albumin (MGA) was prepared as described and characterized previously [\[34](#page-11-2)[,35\]](#page-11-3). Briefly, 500 µM methylglyoxal (Sigma, Catalog #M0252, St. Louis, MO, USA) was incubated with 100 µM BSA (Sigma) dissolved in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) for 24 h, then washed on 10 kDa filters (Macrosep® Advance Device, Pall Life Sciences, MI, USA) to remove excess methylglyoxal, reconstituted with M199 serum-free media, and passed through a 0.2 µm filter [\[34,](#page-11-2)[35\]](#page-11-3). In subsets of cells, the inhibitors for arginase, namely boronic acids 2(S)-amino-6-boronohexanoic acid (ABH) (1 mM, ChemCruz, Catalog #221197, Dallas, TX, USA), p38 MAPK, SB-202190 (10 µM) (EMD biosciences, Catalog #S7076, San Diego, CA, USA), and mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase MEK/ERK1/2, PD98059 (EMD biosciences, Catalog #P215, San Diego, CA, USA) (10 µM), were used and added 2 h before the addition of MGA (100  $\mu$ M) (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) for 24 h; inhibitor concentrations and durations were as previously described [\[36\]](#page-11-4). Independent experiments (3–5) were carried out from different passages.

#### *2.2. Arginase Activity*

Arginase activity was measured using a colorimetric determination of urea production from L-arginine as described previously [\[37\]](#page-11-5). Cells were lysed in Tris buffer (50 mM Tris-HCI, 0.1 mM EDTA and EGTA, pH 7.5) containing protease inhibitors (Catalog # P8340, Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA). These mixtures were subjected to three freeze–thaw cycles and then centrifuged for 10 min at  $20,000 \times g$ . The supernatants were used for arginase activity assay. In brief, 25 µL of supernatant was heated with MnCl2 (10 mM) for 10 m at 56  $^{\circ}$ C to activate arginase. The mixture was then incubated with 50  $\mu$ L L-arginine (0.5 M, pH 9.7) for one hour at  $37 \textdegree C$  to hydrolyze the L-arginine. The hydrolysis reaction was stopped with acid and the mixture was then heated at 100 °C with 25  $\mu$ L of  $\alpha$ -isonitrosopropiophenone  $(9\% \alpha$ -ISPF in EtOH) for 45 min. The samples were kept in the dark at room temperature for 10 min; then, absorbance was measured at 540 nm.

#### *2.3. Immunodetection of Arginase*

Cells were lysed in RIPA buffer (#ab156034, Abcam, Boston, MA, USA) having protease and phosphatase inhibitors (Catalog #P5726 and P0044, Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA). Cell lysates were centrifuged for 10 min at  $20,000 \times g$ , and supernatants were collected for Western blotting analysis. Protein estimation was conducted in supernatants using a protein assay kit (Bio Rad, Hercules, CA, USA). Equal amounts of protein were loaded,

separated by electrophoresis using 10% SDS-PAGE gels, and transferred into nitrocellulose membranes. The blots were blocked using 5% bovine serum albumin (Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA), incubated with their respective primary and secondary antibodies, anti-arginase 1 (Santa Cruz, Catalog #166920, 1:1000, Dallas, TX, USA), anti-arginase-2 (Santa Cruz, Catalog #393496, 1:1000, Dallas, TX, USA), anti-GAPDH (Catalog #abx005569, 1:10,000, abbexa, Cambridge, UK), followed by the respective secondary antibodies. Signals were detected using chemiluminescence (PierceTM ECL Western, Thermophisher, IL, USA) and the ChemiDoc MP imaging system (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA). To quantify the resultant blots, individual band intensities were measured (arbitrary units) and ratios of protein to GAPDH were calculated per sample using NIH ImageJ softwareversion 1.53.

#### *2.4. Histochemical Detection of Intracellular NO*

For the detection of intracellular NO, endothelial cells (1.2  $\times$  10<sup>5</sup> cells) were plated on a non-coated cover slide (18  $\times$  18 mm) and starved for 24 h prior to treatment; cells were treated with either bovine serum albumin (100  $\mu$ M) or MGA (100  $\mu$ M) for 24 h. For cells with inhibition conditions, inhibitors L-NAME (Abcam, Catalog #120136, 1 mM, UK) or ABH (1 mM) were added 30 min before the addition of incubation media (DAF-2DA, Catalog #ab145283, 5 µM, for 40 min, Abcam, in serum-free media) according to the manufacturer's instructions and as previously described [\[38\]](#page-11-6). To promote NO generation by NOS, subsets of cells were treated with acetylcholine  $(1 \mu M, Sigma)$  and L-arginine  $(1 \mu M, Sigma)$  to intensify the signal during the 40 min incubation. Then, cells were washed with PBS twice and fixed in 2% paraformaldehyde for 3 min at  $0^{\circ}$ C, and mounted on a slide with mounting media as reported previously [\[39\]](#page-11-7). Cells were directly observed under an inverted fluorescence microscope (AxioObserver.Z1; Zeiss, Jena, Germany). The quantification of fluorescence intensity of representative images from 3 independent experiments was carried out using NIH ImageJ software version 1.53.

## *2.5. Animals*

Vascular function experiments were performed on aortas obtained from C57BL/6J wild-type mice aged 10 months. Protocols were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the Medical College of Georgia (Animal Welfare Assurance no. D16-00197).

### *2.6. Vascular Function*

Vascular function was assessed as described previously [\[40\]](#page-11-8). Following deep anesthesia, tissues were harvested, and mouse aortas were rapidly excised and placed immediately in ice-cold Krebs–Henseleit buffer (NaCl, 118 mM; NaHCO3, 25 mM; glucose, 5.6 mM; KCl, 4.7 mM; KH2PO4, 1.2 mM; MgSO4 7H2O, 1.17 mM and CaCl2 2H2O, 2.5 mM), cleaned, and cut into 2–3 mm segments. Thereafter, aortic rings were placed in M199 serum-free media supplied with 50  $\mu$ M L-arginine with or without the addition of MGA and the arginase inhibitor (ABH, 1 mM) for 24 h at 37  $\degree$ C in culture chambers. Aortic rings (3–4 for each condition) were mounted in an oxygenated wire myograph chamber (Danish Myo Technology, Ann Arbor, MI, USA). Tissues were allowed to equilibrate at a resting tension of 5 mN for 1 h with buffer changes. Following phenylephrine  $(1 \mu M)$  precontraction, relaxation curves were performed using progressive doses of acetylcholine (ACh, endothelium-dependent vasodilator) or sodium nitroprusside (SNP, endothelium-independent vasodilator). Changes in tension were measured by a force transducer. A 1 h equilibration was performed between subsequent relaxation curves. Vasorelaxation responses were calculated as the percentage of phenylephrine-induced contraction.

#### *2.7. Statistical Analysis*

Data are given as mean  $\pm$  SEM. For multiple comparisons, statistical analysis was performed by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with the Tukey post test. For single comparisons, statistical differences were determined by the Student T test. Differences

in concentration–response curves were determined using two-way repeated measures concentration–response curves were determined using two-way repeated measures ANOVA. Independent experiments were performed 3–6 times. All statistical analyses ANOVA. Independent experiments were performed 3–6 times. All statistical analyses were performed with GraphPad Prism version 8.01 (San Diego, CA, USA). Results were were performed with GraphPad Prism version 8.01 (San Diego, CA, USA). Results were considered significant when *p* < 0.05. considered significant when *p* < 0.05.

Data are given as mean ± SEM. For multiple comparisons, statistical analysis was

# **3. Results 3. Results**

# *3.1. Arginase Activity 3.1. Arginase Activity*

Treatment of endothelial cells (MAEC) with (100 µM, 24 h) MGA increased arginase activity by 64% compared to the control BSA-treated cells ( $p < 0.001$ ), as shown in Figure [1.](#page-4-0) This increase was abrogated when cells were pretreated with the inhibitor of  $p38$  MAPK, SB-202190 (10  $\mu$ M), or the inhibitor of MEK/ERK1/2, PD98059 (10  $\mu$ M), or the inhibitor of arginase, ABH  $(1 \text{ mM})$ ;  $n = 5$  independent experiments.  $M_{\rm H}^{\rm H}$  and  $M_{\rm H}^{\rm H}$  and  $M_{\rm H}^{\rm H}$  and in  $M_{\rm H}^{\rm H}$  and  $M_{\rm H}^{\rm H}$  and  $M_{\rm H}^{\rm H}$  and  $M_{\rm H}^{\rm H}$ 

<span id="page-4-0"></span>

Figure 1. Elevation of arginase activity by exposure of MAEC to MGA (100 µM, 24 h) that was abrogated by pretreatment of cells with SB (10 µM), PD (10 µM), and ABH (1 mM).  $*$   $p$  < 0.01 control vs. MGA, # *p* ≤ 0.0001 MGA vs. MGA + SB or PD, € *p* < 0.001 MGA vs. MGA + ABH. Values are vs. MGA,  $\sharp p \leq 0.0001$  MGA vs. MGA + SB or PD,  $\epsilon p < 0.001$  MGA vs. MGA + ABH. Values are expressed as means  $\pm$  SE from 5 independent experiments carried out in triplicates.

# *3.2. Arginase Expression 3.2. Arginase Expression*

 $M_{\rm GUT}$  increased arginase I increased protein expressed protein expressed protein expressed protein expressed protein expressions are protein expressed protein expressed protein expressed protein expressed protein expr  $MGA$  treatment (100  $\mu$ M, 24 h) increased arginase I immunodetected protein expression by 41.6% ( $p < 0.05$ , n = 5) compared to control BSA conditions, as shown in Figure [2A](#page-5-0); however, arginase II expression was not altered, as demonstrated in Figure [2B](#page-5-0). These findings indicate that arginase I is the isoform that mainly contributed to the increased arginase activity shown in this study.

#### *3.3. Histochemical Detection of Intracellular NO*

Intracellular NO generation was assessed in MAECs utilizing the DAF-2DA marker. Subsets of cells were treated with BSA as a control (100  $\mu$ M, 24 h) (Figure [3A](#page-5-1)); the addition of ACh  $(1 \mu M)$  to BSA-treated cells induced an increase in the DAF-2DA fluorescence, reflecting NO generation (Figure [3B](#page-5-1)) compared with no ACh in Figure [3A](#page-5-1). Pretreatment with L-NAME (1 mM) reduced ACh-induced NO production (Figure [3C](#page-5-1)), while AChinduced NO production increased with pretreatment with the arginase inhibitor ABH (1 mM) (Figure [3D](#page-5-1)). Another subset of cells were pretreated with MGA (100  $\mu$ M, 24 h), which demonstrated nearly undetectable fluorescence without ACh stimulation (Figure [3E](#page-5-1)); NO production increased slightly after the addition of ACh in MGA-treated cells (Figure [3F](#page-5-1)), whereas the L-NAME inhibitor blunted NO production in ACh-stimulated, MGA-treated cells (Figure [3G](#page-5-1)). Interestingly, pretreatment with the ABH inhibitor rescued NO production to close to the control ACh-stimulated cells (Figure [3H](#page-5-1)). A quantification of DAF fluorescence intensity in the different treatment conditions is depicted in Figure [3I](#page-5-1)). It is noteworthy that ABH restoration of ACh-induced NO production, indicated by increased

fluorescence intensity in BSA treatment, was reversed by L-NAME inhibition to a level less than when ABH was not used, while eNOS was inhibited by L-NAME, confirming that this effect of ABH is rather due to the inhibition of arginase enzyme and not the stimulation of eNOS (Figure [3I](#page-5-1)).

<span id="page-5-0"></span>

**Figure 2.** Effect of MGA on arginase expression. (**A**) Immunoblotting analysis of arginase I showing **Figure 2.** Effect of MGA on arginase expression. (**A**) Immunoblotting analysis of arginase I showing  $i<sub>n</sub>$  has to  $MGA$  treatment (100  $M$ , 24 h) as compared to BSA (100  $M$ , 24 h). increased expression due to MGA treatment (100 µM, 24 h) as compared to BSA (100 µM, 24 h). (B) Immunoblotting analysis of arginase II showing no change in expression after MGA treatment (100  $\mu$ M, 24 h) as compared to BSA (100  $\mu$ M, 24 h). Values are expressed as means  $\pm$  SE from *3.3. Histochemical Detection of Intracellular NO*  5 independent experiments carried out in triplicates. \* *p* < 0.05 vs. MGA.

<span id="page-5-1"></span>

**Figure 3. <b>Figure 3.**  $\frac{1}{2}$  **Fluorescent microscopy in 2**  $\frac{1}{2}$  **formal**  $\frac{1}{2}$  **formal** Figure 3. Fluorescent microscopy images of fixed MAECs in 2% formaldehyde after incubation with

DAF-2DA (5 µM, 40 min). All cells were pretreated with either BSA (100 µM, 24 h) in (**A**–**D**), or MGA (100 µM, 24 h) in (**E**–**H**). Panels of different cells treatments are as follows: (**A**) BSA without ACh induction, (**B**) BSA with ACh induction, (**C**) BSA with ACh induction and pretreated with L-NAME, (**D**) BSA with ACh induction and pretreated with ABH, (**E**) MGA without ACh induction, (**F**) MGA with ACh induction, (**G**) MGA with ACh induction and pretreated with L-NAME, (**H**) MGA with ACh induction and pretreated with ABH. Bar:  $20 \mu m$ . Fluorescence reflects NO production, which was more intense in cells induced with acetylcholine than in cells without acetylcholine. MGA-treated cells had lower fluorescence, indicating lower NO production even with acetylcholine induction (**F**); however, when pretreated with ABH (**H**), fluorescence induced by ACh was intensified and NO was restored to a level higher than ACh-induced, MG-treated cells (**F**). L-NAME inhibitor abolished AChinduced fluorescence, reflecting inhibition of eNOS activity and NO production. A quantification of DAF fluorescence intensity in the different treatment conditions is demonstrated in (**I**). Values are expressed as percentage of BSA (control); analyzed images were obtained from 3 independent experiments.  $* p < 0.05$ . ACh, acetylcholine (1  $\mu$ M); L-NAME, N (G)-nitro-L-arginine methyl ester (1 µM); ABH, boronic acids 2(S)-amino-6-boronohexanoic acid (1 mM).

# **3.4. Vascular Function**

To determine the effect of MGA on endothelial function in vivo, we performed vascular studies using aortas isolated from C57BL/6J healthy mice. We examined vasoredentified vastes doing dortals holded from CoVDL/ by healthy fince. We examined vasore laxation responses to the endothelium-dependent vasodilator ACh and the endothelium- $\alpha$  had to response to the endomenant dependent vasodinator response to  $\alpha$  independent vasodilator SNP (Figure [4\)](#page-6-0). Pretreatment of isolated aortas with MGA (100  $\mu$ M, mate perident vasculated on the part of vasorelaxation of control condition with the response to ACh (maximum relaxation, 324 h) induced an impairment of vasorelaxation response to ACh (maximum relaxation of  $39.7 \pm 5.7\%$  vs.  $90.7 \pm 1.7\%$  in control condition,  $p < 0.05$ ,  $n = 3-5$  independent experi-ments), as shown in Figure [4A](#page-6-0). ABH largely prevented MGA-impaired vasorelaxation with a maximum relaxation of  $80.4 \pm 5.3\%$ ,  $p < 0.05$ ,  $n = 3-5$  independent experiments. Thus, blocking arginase activity reversed MGA-induced impairment. Aortic relaxation responses to SNP were not different between control, MGA-treated rings or ABH- and MGA-treated rings, as demonstrated in Figure [4B](#page-6-0). ABH pretreatment of control rings (BSA) did not affect vasorelaxation responses to either ACh or SNP (data not shown). ation responses to the endothelium-dependent vasodilator ACh and the endothelium-in-

<span id="page-6-0"></span>

Figure 4. Dose-response relaxation curves for (A) endothelium-dependent vasorelaxant acetylcholine line (ACh) in phenylephrine (1 µM)-preconstricted aortas from mice; (**B**) endothelium-independent (ACh) in phenylephrine (1 µM)-preconstricted aortas from mice; (**B**) endothelium-independent vas, in phenylephrine (S parts) precedente con solid and mice, (S) encountered and mappendent vasorelaxant sodium nitroprusside (SNP) in phenylephrine (1 µM)-preconstricted aortas from mice. Dashed black line indicates responses in control conditions (BSA, 100  $\mu$ M, 24 h); solid red line indicates responses in MGA-pretreated aortas (100 µM, 24 h); solid blue line indicates responses in MGA-treated aortas pretreated with ABH (1 mM, 24 h).  $n = 3$  in each group;  $*$   $p < 0.05$  MGA vs. control or MGA + ABH.

## **4. Discussion**

This study demonstrates for the first time that advanced glycated end products represented by methylglyoxal-modified albumin stimulates arginase enzyme activity in an ERK1/2 MEKK and p38 MAPK-dependent pathway, as summarized in Figure [5.](#page-7-0) Increased activity is mainly due to increased arginase I expression, as shown in our study. Our findings support previous reports showing that constitutive levels of arginase activity in endothelial cells limit NO synthesis and NO-dependent vasodilatory function [6-8]. In hyperglycemic conditions, both AGEs and arginase have been individually linked to various diabetic complications, including vascular dysfunction; however, in the literature, there is a lack of studies investigating if there is a direct influence of AGEs on arginase regulation. Previously, AGE-modified albumin was shown to have suppressive effects on NOS-3 activity and expression in HUVECs, an effect that if combined with upregulation of arginase, would aggravate limited NO bioavailability and VD [\[41\]](#page-11-9).

<span id="page-7-0"></span>

Figure 5. A schematic diagram of AGE/RAGE interaction with arginase enzyme and its effects endothelial cells and vascular function. Acetylcholine (ACh) stimulates eNOS to produce NO, on endothelial cells and vascular function. Acetylcholine (ACh) stimulates eNOS to produce NO, which is released from endothelial cells to smooth muscle cells, inducing vasorelaxation. Circulating which is released from endothelial cells to smooth muscle cells, inducing vasorelaxation. Circulating  $\frac{A}{\sqrt{B}}$  binding to  $R$  and  $\frac{A}{\sqrt{B}}$  binding  $\frac{A}{\sqrt{B}}$  binding  $\frac{A}{\sqrt{B}}$  and  $\frac{A}{\sqrt{B}}$ AGE binding to RAGE activates NADPH oxidase, producing ROS, and stimulates ERK1/2 and P38<br>ACLENTED ARGE activates NADPH oxidase, producing ROS, and stimulates ERK1/2 and P38 MAPK, which induce activity/expression of arginase I enzyme. Upregulation of arginase I limits both arginine and NO production by eNOS. Limited arginine leads to uncoupling of eNOS, which further limits NO production and produces superoxide  $(O_2)$  that reacts with NO, generating peroxinitrite limits NO production and produces superoxide (O<sub>2</sub>) that reacts with NO, generating peroxinitrite (ONOO-) and further reducing NO. Arginase activation produces urea and L-ornithine that is used to produce L-proline and polyamines involved in collagen formation and proliferation, respectively. Arginase II is expressed in mitochondria and may be regulated by AGE by a different mechanism not involving its expression. Abbreviations: AGE: advanced glycation end products, RAGE: receptor for  $\frac{1}{100}$  in  $\frac{1}{100}$  in  $\frac{1}{100}$  in  $\frac{1}{1000}$  in  $\frac{1}{1000}$  in  $\frac{1}{1000}$  in  $\frac{1}{1000}$  in  $\frac{1}{1000}$  in  $\frac{1}{1000}$  in  $\frac{1}{1000}$ advanced glycation end products, ROS: reactive oxygen species, ABH: arginase inhibitor, L-NAME:<br>Antique Commons and products, ROS: reactive oxygen species, ABH: arginase inhibitor, L-NAME: eNOS inhibitor. Some components of the figure were drawn by using pictures from Servier Medical Art. Servier Medical Art by Servier is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported License [\(https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/\)](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/) (accessed on 3 November 2022).

**5. Conclusions**  MGA-induced increased activity and expression of arginase was accompanied by a re-<br>MGA-induced increased activity and expression of arginase was accompanied by a reaction, the contractivity and expression, the contractivity in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is study in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is study in the  $\mathbb{R}^n$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is study in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is s duction in NO bioavailability. Furthermore, we show that MGA treatment of aortic rings<br> Intracellular detection of NO in cultured endothelial cells in our study showed that impaired endothelial-dependent vasodilation in response to ACh, which was reversed by the arginase inhibition (ABH) without affecting SNP-induced (endothelial-independent) vasorelaxation, suggesting a role for endothelial arginase enzyme in MGA-induced vascular impairment. In accordance with these findings, aortic rings treated with AGE demonstrated blunted endothelial-dependent vasorelaxation. These findings were consistent with a previous report by Watson's group in which AGE treatment of rat aortic rings impaired endothelial-dependent vasodilation that was blocked by inhibition arginase, NADPH oxidase, and superoxide [\[42\]](#page-11-10). We showed no alteration of endothelial-independent relaxation; however, they showed increased endothelial independent vasodilation by AGE [\[42\]](#page-11-10). Furthermore, they reported increased arginase and NADPH oxidase mRNA expression with MGA treatment, which may not be necessarily predictive for protein expression. On the contrary, our study showed an increase in both activity and protein expression of arginase enzyme upon MGA treatment. Similar to our findings, coronary arteries obtained from diabetic patients had increased protein levels of arginase I and showed a better vasodilation response to ACh in the presence of the arginase inhibitor [\[24\]](#page-10-13). Moreover, we provide evidence of reduced NO production using the intracellular marker DAF-2DA, whereas arginase inhibition with ABH restored ACh-induced NO production in cultured endothelial cells treated with MGA, which explains our vascular function findings.

In concordance with our findings that arginase I expression was preferentially increased by AGEs, arginase knockout mice models suggested that arginase I is crucial in diabetes-induced vascular dysfunction. One study showed that streptozotocin-induced diabetic knockout mice lacking the arginase II with partial deletion of arginase I exhibited better endothelial-dependent vasodilation and less arginase activity compared with diabetic wild-type and knockout mice lacking the AII isoform alone [\[18\]](#page-10-7).

A growing body of evidence indicates that AGE receptor (RAGE) engagement by its ligands including AGE stimulate NADPH oxidase, reactive oxygen species (ROS) production, ERK1/2, P38 MAP-kinase, NFκB activation, and gene transcription, culminating in microvasculature alterations manifested in diabetes [\[43](#page-11-11)[–45\]](#page-11-12). Arginase expression/activity has been extensively shown to be stimulated by a wide range of stimuli involving oxidative stress when administered to cultured endothelial cells, including high glucose [\[15\]](#page-10-4), oxidized low-density lipoprotein (LDL) [\[12\]](#page-10-20),  $H_2O_2$  [\[5](#page-9-4)[,46](#page-11-13)[,47\]](#page-11-14), peroxynitrite [\[9\]](#page-10-2), and endotoxins [\[10\]](#page-10-21). Additionally, in vivo studies revealed that conditions well known to be associated with elevated oxidative stress have elevated endothelial arginase expression, such as ischemia–reperfusion [\[48\]](#page-11-15) and ageing [\[49\]](#page-11-16).

Moreover, AGEs via RAGE receptors as well as arginase-induced eNOS uncoupling may lead to ROS formation, including superoxide (O2-) ion, which further combines with NO to form the potent oxidant peroxynitrite, limiting NO bioavailability and aggravating the oxidative injury to endothelial cells [\[50\]](#page-11-17). Taken together, AGE-induced arginase upregulation might result from AGE-stimulated ROS formation and might contribute to AGE-induced ROS loop at the same time.

Arginase activation was linked to protein kinase C (PKC), Rho-associated protein kinase (ROCK), and the mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) pathways [\[9,](#page-10-2)[51](#page-11-18)[,52\]](#page-11-19). Posttranslational modifications such as S-nitrosylation of arginase I via inducible NOS2 have been identified in age-related endothelial dysfunction [\[53\]](#page-11-20). In addition, the physiologic modulation of the glutathione/glutathione disulfide ratio has been suggested to play a role in the control of arginase I activity in pathological conditions of increased oxidative stress [\[13\]](#page-10-22).

Although we show no changes in protein expression of arginase II, it may contribute to increased arginase activity by other activating mechanisms. Pandey et al. demonstrated a mechanism for rapid arginase II increased activity via translocation from mitochondria to cytoplasm in response to oxidized LDL interaction with LOX1 receptor causing NO dysregulation and vascular dysfunction [\[54\]](#page-11-21). AGEs were reported to bind the LOX1 receptor, presenting a compelling mechanism for arginase II contribution to increased arginase activity that requires further investigation [\[55](#page-11-22)[,56\]](#page-12-0).

In concordance to the previous evidence that hyperglycemia-induced dysregulation of NO and increased generation of ROS as well as endothelial dysfunction are maintained even after the restoration of normoglycemia, known as hyperglycemic memory phenomenon, we observed from previous studies that the degree of endothelial function improvement achieved by arginase inhibition was independent of glucose control, which can be partly explained by the role of the AGEs/RAGE axis involved in this phenomenon [\[57–](#page-12-1)[59\]](#page-12-2).

These intriguing observations highlight the role of AGE in arginase regulation of NO and oxidative stress, which may present a putative therapeutic target to maintain cardiovascular integrity and function in diabetes.

## **5. Conclusions**

Based on our findings, we conclude that AGEs affect VD by upregulating arginase activity and expression, thus limiting NO bioavailability in endothelial cells. This study emphasizes the importance of further investigating the interaction between AGEs and arginase enzymes, particularly in diabetes.

**Supplementary Materials:** The following supporting information can be downloaded at: [https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/cells12050795/s1,](https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/cells12050795/s1) Figure S1: The graph represents concentrations of ABH for arginase enzyme activity inhibition in MAEC cells. \* *p* < 0.01 control vs. ABH. Concentration of 100  $\mu$ M and above significantly inhibited arginase activity in MAEC cells. Values are expressed as means  $\pm$  SE from 5 independent experiments carried out in triplicates.

**Author Contributions:** E.M.A. and A.S. equally contributed to this manuscript in terms of conceptualization, methodology, software, validation, formal analysis, investigation, resources, data curation, writing—original draft preparation, writing—review and editing, visualization, supervision, project administration, and funding acquisition. R.W.C. and R.B.C. contributed to visualization, supervision, writing—review and editing, project administration, and funding acquisition. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This research was funded by the Scientific Research Board of the University of Jordan, 2017-2016/37.

**Institutional Review Board Statement:** The animal study was approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (Animal Welfare Assurance no. D16-00197; initially approved in 2010; latest approval on 15 March 2022).

**Data Availability Statement:** All data presented in this study are available upon request from the corresponding author. Data are contained within the article and Supplementary Materials.

**Acknowledgments:** We thank Tasneem Sartawi, Mohammad Ismail, and Mohammad Abdallah Salah for their technical help; the Center for Stem Cell Therapy for access to the microscopy imaging facility; and the Vascular Biology Center of at the Medical College of Georgia, Augusta, for technical assistance in the vascular function study.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

#### **References**

- <span id="page-9-0"></span>1. Haraguchi, Y.; Takiguchi, M.; Amaya, Y.; Kawamoto, S.; Matsuda, I.; Mori, M. Molecular cloning and nucleotide sequence of cDNA for human liver arginase. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **1987**, *84*, 412–415. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.84.2.412)
- <span id="page-9-1"></span>2. Morris, S.M.; Bhamidipati, D.; Kepka-Lenhart, D. Human type II arginase: Sequence analysis and tissue-specific expression. *Gene* **1997**, *193*, 157–161. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-1119(97)00099-1) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9256072)
- <span id="page-9-2"></span>3. Bachetti, T.; Comini, L.; Francolini, G.; Bastianon, D.; Valetti, B.; Cadei, M.; Grigolato, P.; Suzuki, H.; Finazzi, D.; Albertini, A.; et al. Arginase pathway in human endothelial cells in pathophysiological conditions. *J. Mol. Cell. Cardiol.* **2004**, *37*, 515–523. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.yjmcc.2004.05.004) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15276021)
- <span id="page-9-3"></span>4. Ryoo, S.; Berkowitz, D.E.; Lim, H.K. Endothelial arginase II and atherosclerosis. *Korean J. Anesthesiol.* **2011**, *61*, 3. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.4097/kjae.2011.61.1.3) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21860744)
- <span id="page-9-4"></span>5. Li, H.; Meininger, C.J.; Hawker, J.R.; Haynes, T.E.; Kepka-Lenhart, D.; Mistry, S.K.; Morris, S.M.; Wu, G. Regulatory role of arginase I and II in nitric oxide, polyamine, and proline syntheses in endothelial cells. *Am. J. Physiol. Endocrinol. Metab.* **2001**, *280*, E75–E82. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1152/ajpendo.2001.280.1.E75)
- <span id="page-10-0"></span>6. Berkowitz, D.E.; White, R.; Li, D.; Minhas, K.M.; Cernetich, A.; Kim, S.; Burke, S.; Shoukas, A.A.; Nyhan, D.; Champion, H.C.; et al. Arginase reciprocally regulates nitric oxide synthase activity and contributes to endothelial dysfunction in aging blood vessels. *Circulation* **2003**, *108*, 2000–2006. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1161/01.CIR.0000092948.04444.C7)
- 7. Lim, H.K.; Lim, H.K.; Ryoo, S.; Benjo, A.; Shuleri, K.; Miriel, V.; Baraban, E.; Camara, A.; Soucy, K.; Nyhan, D.; et al. Mitochondrial arginase II constrains endothelial NOS-3 activity. *Am. J. Physiol. Heart Circ. Physiol.* **2007**, *293*, 3317–3324. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1152/ajpheart.00700.2007)
- <span id="page-10-1"></span>8. Zhang, C.; Hein, T.W.; Wang, W.; Chang, C.; Kuo, L. Constitutive expression of arginase in microvascular endothelial cells counteracts nitric oxide-mediated vasodilatory function. *FASEB J.* **2001**, *15*, 1264–1266. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1096/fj.00-0681fje)
- <span id="page-10-2"></span>9. Chandra, S.; Romero, M.J.; Shatanawi, A.; Alkilany, A.M.; Caldwell, R.B.; Caldwell, R.W. Oxidative species increase arginase activity in endothelial cells through the RhoA/Rho kinase pathway. *Br. J. Pharmacol.* **2012**, *165*, 506–519. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1476-5381.2011.01584.x)
- <span id="page-10-21"></span>10. Zhang, W.; Baban, B.; Rojas, M.; Tofigh, S.; Virmani, S.K.; Patel, C.; Behzadian, M.A.; Romero, M.J.; Caldwell, R.W.; Caldwell, R.B. Arginase Activity Mediates Retinal Inflammation in Endotoxin-Induced Uveitis. *Am. J. Pathol.* **2009**, *175*, 891–902. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.2353/ajpath.2009.081115)
- 11. Liang, X.; Arullampalam, P.; Yang, Z.; Ming, X.F. Hypoxia Enhances Endothelial Intercellular Adhesion Molecule 1 Protein Level Through Upregulation of Arginase Type II and Mitochondrial Oxidative Stress. *Front. Physiol.* **2019**, *10*, 1003. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2019.01003)
- <span id="page-10-20"></span>12. Ryoo, S.; Lemmon, C.A.; Soucy, K.G.; Gupta, G.; White, A.R.; Nyhan, D.; Shoukas, A.; Romer, L.H.; Berkowitz, D.E. Oxidized Low-Density Lipoprotein-Dependent Endothelial Arginase II Activation Contributes to Impaired Nitric Oxide Signaling. *Circ. Res.* **2006**, *99*, 951–960. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1161/01.RES.0000247034.24662.b4)
- <span id="page-10-22"></span>13. Iyamu, E.W. The redox state of the glutathione/glutathione disulfide couple mediates intracellular arginase activation in HCT-116 colon cancer cells. *Dig. Dis. Sci.* **2010**, *55*, 2520–2528. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1007/s10620-009-1064-1)
- <span id="page-10-3"></span>14. Gao, X.; Xu, X.; Belmadani, S.; Park, Y.; Tang, Z.; Feldman, A.M.; Chilian, W.M.; Zhang, C. TNF-α Contributes to Endothelial Dysfunction by Upregulating Arginase in Ischemia/Reperfusion Injury. *Arterioscler. Thromb. Vasc. Biol.* **2007**, *27*, 1269–1275. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1161/ATVBAHA.107.142521)
- <span id="page-10-4"></span>15. Romero, M.J.; Platt, D.H.; Tawfik, H.E.; Labazi, M.; El-Remessy, A.B.; Bartoli, M.; Caldwell, R.B.; Caldwell, R.W. Diabetes-induced coronary vascular dysfunction involves increased arginase activity. *Circ. Res.* **2008**, *102*, 95–102. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.107.155028)
- <span id="page-10-5"></span>16. Santhanam, L.; Christianson, D.W.; Nyhan, D.; Berkowitz, D.E. Arginase and vascular aging. *J. Appl. Physiol.* **2008**, *105*, 1632–1642. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1152/japplphysiol.90627.2008)
- <span id="page-10-6"></span>17. Pernow, J.; Jung, C. Arginase as a potential target in the treatment of cardiovascular disease: Reversal of arginine steal? *Cardiovasc. Res.* **2013**, *98*, 334–343. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1093/cvr/cvt036)
- <span id="page-10-7"></span>18. Romero, M.J.; Iddings, J.A.; Platt, D.H.; Ali, M.I.; Cederbaum, S.D.; Stepp, D.W.; Caldwell, R.B.; Caldwell, R.W. Diabetes-induced vascular dysfunction involves arginase, I. *Am. J. Physiol. Heart Circ. Physiol.* **2012**, *302*, H159–H166. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1152/ajpheart.00774.2011)
- <span id="page-10-8"></span>19. Elms, S.C.; Toque, H.A.; Rojas, M.; Xu, Z.; Caldwell, R.W.; Caldwell, R.B. The role of arginase I in diabetes-induced retinal vascular dysfunction in mouse and rat models of diabetes. *Diabetologia* **2013**, *56*, 654–662. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1007/s00125-012-2789-5)
- <span id="page-10-9"></span>20. Grönros, J.; Jung, C.; Lundberg, J.O.; Cerrato, R.; Östenson, C.-G.; Pernow, J. Arginase inhibition restores in vivo coronary microvascular function in type 2 diabetic rats. *Am. J. Physiol. Circ. Physiol.* **2011**, *300*, H1174–H1181. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1152/ajpheart.00560.2010)
- <span id="page-10-10"></span>21. Johnson, F.K.; Johnson, R.A.; Peyton, K.J.; Shebib, A.R.; Durante, W. Arginase promotes skeletal muscle arteriolar endothelial dysfunction in diabetic rats. *Front. Immunol.* **2013**, *4*, 119. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2013.00119) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23730303)
- <span id="page-10-11"></span>22. Nunes, K.P.; Toque, H.A.; Caldwell, R.B.; William Caldwell, R.; Clinton Webb, R. Extracellular Signal-Regulated Kinase (ERK) Inhibition Decreases Arginase Activity and Improves Corpora Cavernosal Relaxation in Streptozotocin (STZ)-Induced Diabetic Mice. *J. Sex. Med.* **2011**, *8*, 3335–3344. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1743-6109.2011.02499.x) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21995824)
- <span id="page-10-12"></span>23. Shatanawi, A.; Momani, M.S.; Al-Aqtash, R.; Hamdan, M.H.; Gharaibeh, M.N. L-Citrulline Supplementation Increases Plasma Nitric Oxide Levels and Reduces Arginase Activity in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes. *Front. Pharmacol.* **2020**, *11*, 584669. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.3389/fphar.2020.584669) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33414716)
- <span id="page-10-13"></span>24. Beleznai, T.; Feher, A.; Spielvogel, D.; Lansman, S.L.; Bagi, Z. Arginase 1 contributes to diminished coronary arteriolar dilation in patients with diabetes. *Am. J. Physiol. Heart Circ. Physiol.* **2011**, *300*, H777–H783. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1152/ajpheart.00831.2010)
- <span id="page-10-14"></span>25. Shemyakin, A.; Kövamees, O.; Rafnsson, A.; Böhm, F.; Svenarud, P.; Settergren, M.; Jung, C.; Pernow, J. Arginase Inhibition Improves Endothelial Function in Patients with Coronary Artery Disease and Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus. *Circulation* **2012**, *126*, 2943–2950. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.112.140335)
- <span id="page-10-15"></span>26. Goldin, A.; Beckman, J.A.; Schmidt, A.M.; Creager, M.A. Advanced glycation end products: Sparking the development of diabetic vascular injury. *Circulation* **2006**, *114*, 597–605. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.106.621854)
- <span id="page-10-16"></span>27. Ramasamy, R.; Yan, S.F.; Schmidt, A.M. Receptor for AGE (RAGE): Signaling mechanisms in the pathogenesis of diabetes and its complications. *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.* **2011**, *1243*, 88–102. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1749-6632.2011.06320.x)
- <span id="page-10-17"></span>28. Park, H.J.; Boyington, J.C. The 1.5 Å crystal structure of human receptor for advanced glycation endproducts (RAGE) ectodomains reveals unique features determining ligand binding. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2010**, *285*, 40762–40770. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M110.169276)
- 29. Koch, M.; Chitayat, S.; Dattilo, B.M.; Schiefner, A.; Diez, J.; Chazin, W.J.; Fritz, G. Structural Basis for Ligand Recognition Activation of, R.A.G.E. *Structure* **2010**, *18*, 1342–1352. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.str.2010.05.017)
- <span id="page-10-18"></span>30. Leclerc, E.; Fritz, G.; Vetter, S.W.; Heizmann, C.W. Binding of S100 proteins to RAGE: An update. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta Mol. Cell. Res.* **2009**, *1793*, 993–1007. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbamcr.2008.11.016)
- <span id="page-10-19"></span>31. Tan, K.C.B.; Chow, W.-S.; Ai, V.H.G.; Metz, C.; Bucala, R.; Lam, K.S.L. Advanced glycation end products and endothelial dysfunction in type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Care* **2002**, *25*, 1055–1059. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.2337/diacare.25.6.1055)
- <span id="page-11-0"></span>32. Rojas, A.; Romay, S.; González, D.; Herrera, B.; Delgado, R.; Otero, K. Regulation of endothelial nitric oxide synthase expression by albumin-derived advanced glycosylation end products. *Circ. Res.* **2000**, *86*, e50–e54. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1161/01.RES.86.3.e50)
- <span id="page-11-1"></span>33. Biao, X.; Chibber, R.; Ruggiero, D.; Kohner, E.; Ritter, J.; Ferro, A. Impairment of vascular endothelial nitric oxide synthase activity by advanced glycation end products. *FASEB J.* **2003**, *17*, 1289–1291. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1096/fj.02-0490fje)
- <span id="page-11-2"></span>34. Alzayadneh, E.M.; Chappell, M.C. Angiotensin-(1–7) abolishes AGE-induced cellular hypertrophy and myofibroblast transformation via inhibition of ERK1/2. *Cell. Signal.* **2014**, *26*, 3027–3035. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.cellsig.2014.09.010)
- <span id="page-11-3"></span>35. Westwood, M.E.; Argirov, O.K.; Abordo, E.A.; Thornalley, P.J. Methylglyoxal-modified arginine residues—A signal for receptormediated endocytosis and degradation of proteins by monocytic THP-1 cells. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta Mol. Cell Res.* **1997**, *1356*, 84–94. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-4889(96)00154-1)
- <span id="page-11-4"></span>36. Mazrouei, S.; Sharifpanah, F.; Caldwell, R.W.; Franz, M.; Shatanawi, A.; Muessig, J.; Fritzenwanger, M.; Schulze, P.C.; Jung, C. Regulation of MAP kinase-mediated endothelial dysfunction in hyperglycemia via arginase I and eNOS dysregulation. *Biochim Biophys. Acta Mol. Cell Res.* **2019**, *1866*, 1398–1411. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbamcr.2019.05.004)
- <span id="page-11-5"></span>37. Corraliza, I.M.; Campo, M.L.; Soler, G.; Modolell, M. Determination of arginase activity in macrophages: A micromethod. *J. Immunol. Methods* **1994**, *174*, 231–235. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1759(94)90027-2)
- <span id="page-11-6"></span>38. Rajapakse, A.G.; Yepuri, G.; Carvas, J.M.; Stein, S.; Matter, C.M.; Scerri, I.; Ruffieux, J.; Montani, J.-P.; Ming, X.-F.; Yang, Z. Hyperactive S6K1 Mediates Oxidative Stress and Endothelial Dysfunction in Aging: Inhibition by Resveratrol. *PLoS ONE* **2011**, *6*, e19237. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0019237)
- <span id="page-11-7"></span>39. Sugimoto, K.; Fujii, S.; Takemasa, T.; Yamashita, K. Detection of intracellular nitric oxide using a combination of aldehyde fixatives with 4,5-diaminofluorescein diacetate. *Histochem. Cell Biol.* **2000**, *113*, 341–347. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1007/s004180000151)
- <span id="page-11-8"></span>40. Shatanawi, A.; Romero, M.J.; Iddings, J.A.; Chandra, S.; Umapathy, N.S.; Verin, A.D.; Caldwell, R.B.; Rodriguez, P.C.; Toque, H.A.; Narayanan, S.P.; et al. Angiotensin II-induced vascular endothelial dysfunction through RhoA/Rho kinase/p38 mitogen-activated protein kinase/arginase pathway. *Am. J. Physiol. Cell Physiol.* **2011**, *300*, 1181–1192. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1152/ajpcell.00328.2010)
- <span id="page-11-9"></span>41. Xu, B.; Ji, Y.; Yao, K.; Cao, Y.X.; Ferro, A. Inhibition of human endothelial cell nitric oxide synthesis by advanced glycation end-products but not glucose: Relevance to diabetes. *Clin. Sci.* **2005**, *109*, 439–446. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1042/CS20050183) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16022682)
- <span id="page-11-10"></span>42. El-Bassossy, H.M.; Neamatallah, T.; Balamash, K.S.; Abushareb, A.T.; Watson, M.L. Arginase overexpression and NADPH oxidase stimulation underlie impaired vasodilation induced by advanced glycation end products. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **2018**, *499*, 992–997. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2018.04.036) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29627571)
- <span id="page-11-11"></span>43. Perrone, A.; Giovino, A.; Benny, J.; Martinelli, F. Advanced Glycation End Products (AGEs): Biochemistry, Signaling, Analytical Methods, and Epigenetic Effects. *Oxid. Med. Cell Longev.* **2020**, *2020*, 3818196. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1155/2020/3818196) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32256950)
- 44. Yan, S.; Du Schmidt, A.M.; Anderson, G.M.; Zhang, J.; Brett, J.; Zou, Y.S.; Pinsky, D.; Stern, D. Enhanced cellular oxidant stress by the interaction of advanced glycation end products with their receptors/binding proteins. *J. Biol. Chem.* **1994**, *269*, 9889–9897. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/S0021-9258(17)36966-1) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8144582)
- <span id="page-11-12"></span>45. Wautier, M.-P.; Chappey, O.; Corda, S.; Stern, D.M.; Schmidt, A.M.; Wautier, J.-L. Activation of NADPH oxidase by AGE links oxidant stress to altered gene expression via RAGE. *Am. J. Physiol. Metab.* **2001**, *280*, E685–E694. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1152/ajpendo.2001.280.5.E685)
- <span id="page-11-13"></span>46. Wautier, M.P.; Guillausseau, P.J.; Wautier, J.L. Activation of the receptor for advanced glycation end products and consequences on health. *Diabetes Metab. Syndr Clin. Res. Rev.* **2017**, *11*, 305–309. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsx.2016.09.009)
- <span id="page-11-14"></span>47. Thengchaisri, N.; Hein, T.W.; Wang, W.; Xu, X.; Li, Z.; Fossum, T.W.; Kuo, L. Upregulation of arginase by  $\rm H_2O_2$  impairs endothelium-dependent nitric oxide-mediated dilation of coronary arterioles. *Arterioscler. Thromb. Vasc. Biol.* **2006**, *26*, 2035–2042. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1161/01.ATV.0000233334.24805.62)
- <span id="page-11-15"></span>48. Hein, T.W.; Zhang, C.; Wang, W.; Chang, C.-I.; Thengchaisri, N.; Kuo, L. Ischemia-reperfusion selectively impairs nitric oxidemediated dilation in coronary arterioles: Counteracting role of arginase. *FASEB J.* **2003**, *17*, 2328–2330. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1096/fj.03-0115fje)
- <span id="page-11-16"></span>49. Shin, W.; Berkowitz, D. Medicine SR-E& Molecular, 2012 Undefined. Increased Arginase II Activity Contributes to Endothelial Dysfunction through Endothelial Nitric Oxide Synthase Uncoupling in Aged Mice. Available online: [https://www.nature.com/](https://www.nature.com/articles/emm201268) [articles/emm201268](https://www.nature.com/articles/emm201268) (accessed on 3 October 2022).
- <span id="page-11-17"></span>50. Caldwell, R.W.; Rodriguez, P.C.; Toque, H.A.; Priya Narayanan, S.; Caldwell, R.B. Arginase: A Multifaceted Enzyme Important in Health and Disease. *Physiol. Rev.* **2018**, *98*, 641. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1152/physrev.00037.2016)
- <span id="page-11-18"></span>51. Toque, H.A.; Romero, M.J.; Tostes, R.C.; Shatanawi, A.; Chandra, S.; Carneiro, Z.N.; Inscho, E.W.; Webb, R.C.; Caldwell, R.B.; Caldwell, R.W. p38 Mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) increases arginase activity and contributes to endothelial dysfunction in corpora cavernosa from angiotensin-II-treated mice. *J. Sex. Med.* **2010**, *7*, 3857–3867. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1743-6109.2010.01996.x)
- <span id="page-11-19"></span>52. Yao, L.; Chandra, S.; Toque, H.; Bhatta, A.; Rojas, M.; Caldwell, R.B. Prevention of diabetes-induced arginase activation and vascular dysfunction by Rho kinase (ROCK) knockout. *Cardiovasc. Res.* **2012**, *97*, 509–519. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1093/cvr/cvs371)
- <span id="page-11-20"></span>53. Santhanam, L.; Lim, H.K.; Miriel, V.; Brown, T.; Patel, M.; Balanson, S.; Ryoo, S.; Anderson, M.; Irani, K.; Khanday, F.; et al. Inducible NO synthase–dependent S-nitrosylation and activation of arginase1 contribute to age-related endothelial dysfunction. *Circ. Res.* **2007**, *101*, 692–702. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.107.157727)
- <span id="page-11-21"></span>54. Pandey, D.; Bhunia, A.; Oh, Y.J.; Chang, F.; Bergman, Y.; Kim, J.H.; Serbo, J.; Boronina, T.N.; Cole, R.N.; Van Eyk, J.; et al. OxLDL Triggers Retrograde Translocation of Arginase2 in Aortic Endothelial Cells via ROCK and Mitochondrial Processing Peptidase. *Circ. Res.* **2014**, *115*, 450–459. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.115.304262)
- <span id="page-11-22"></span>55. Yoshimoto, R.; Fujita, Y.; Kakino, A.; Iwamoto, S.; Takaya, T.; Sawamura, T. The discovery of LOX-1, its ligands and clinical significance. *Cardiovasc. Drugs Ther.* **2011**, *25*, 379–391. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1007/s10557-011-6324-6)
- <span id="page-12-0"></span>56. Shiu, S.W.M.; Tan, K.C.B.; Wong, Y.; Leng, L.; Bucala, R. Glycoxidized LDL increases lectin-like oxidized low density lipoprotein receptor-1 in diabetes mellitus. *Atherosclerosis* **2009**, *203*, 522–527. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.atherosclerosis.2008.07.012)
- <span id="page-12-1"></span>57. Khalid, M.; Petroianu, G.; Adem, A. Advanced Glycation End Products and Diabetes Mellitus: Mechanisms and Perspectives. *Biomolecules* **2022**, *12*, 542. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.3390/biom12040542)
- 58. Mahdi, A.; Kövamees, O.; Checa, A.; Wheelock, C.E.; von Heijne, M.; Alvarsson, M.; Pernow, J. Arginase inhibition improves endothelial function in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus despite intensive glucose-lowering therapy. *J. Intern. Med.* **2018**, *284*, 388–398. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1111/joim.12785)
- <span id="page-12-2"></span>59. Costantino, S.; Paneni, F.; Battista, R.; Castello, L.; Capretti, G.; Chiandotto, S.; Tanese, L.; Russo, G.; Pitocco, D.; Lanza, G.A.; et al. Impact of Glycemic Variability on Chromatin Remodeling, Oxidative Stress, and Endothelial Dysfunction in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes and with Target HbA1c Levels. *Diabetes* **2017**, *66*, 2472–2482. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.2337/db17-0294)

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.