

Article

Development of a Rapid LC-MS/MS Method for Simultaneous Quantification of Donepezil and Tadalafil in Rat Plasma: Its Application in a Pharmacokinetic Interaction Study after Oral Administration in Rats

Jiyoung Yoon 1,2,3,† [,](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6861-6600) Doowon Choi 1,2,3,†, Wang-Seob Shim ³ [,](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0903-1386) Sanghee Choi 1,2,3 [,](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6223-4329) Yeo Jin Choi 1,[2](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0635-4374) , Soo-Heui Paik 4,* and Kyung-Tae Lee 1,2,3,[*](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3141-3727)

- ¹ Department of Pharmaceutical Biochemistry, College of Pharmacy, Kyung Hee University, Seoul 02447, Republic of Korea
- ² Department of Biomedical and Pharmaceutical Science, Graduate School, Kyung Hee University, Seoul 02447, Republic of Korea
- ³ Kung Hee Drug Analysis Center, College of Pharmacy, Kyung Hee University, Seoul 02447, Republic of Korea
- ⁴ College of Pharmacy, Suncheon National University, Suncheon 57922, Republic of Korea
- ***** Correspondence: shwhite@scnu.ac.kr (S.-H.P.); ktlee@khu.ac.kr (K.-T.L.)
- † These authors contributed equally to this work.

Abstract: This study aimed to establish a simple and sensitive analytical method to simultaneously quantify donepezil (DPZ) and tadalafil (TAD) in rat plasma using lansoprazole (LPZ) as an internal standard (IS) by using liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry. The fragmentation pattern of DPZ, TAD, and IS was elucidated using multiple reaction monitoring in electrospray ionization positive ion mode for the quantification of precursor to production at *m*/*z* 380.1 → 91.2 for DPZ, *m*/*z* $390.2 \rightarrow 268.1$ for TAD, and m/z 370.3 \rightarrow 252.0 for LPZ. The extracted DPZ and TAD from plasma using acetonitrile-induced protein precipitation was separated using Kinetex C18 (100 \times 2.1 mm, 2.6 µm) column with a gradient mobile phase system consisting of 2 mM ammonium acetate and 0.1% formic acid in acetonitrile at a flow rate of 0.25 mL/min for 4 min. The selectivity, lower limit of quantification, linearity, precision, accuracy, stability, recovery, and matrix effect of this developed method was validated according to the guidelines of the U.S. Food and Drug Administration and the Ministry of Food and Drug Safety of Korea. The established method achieved acceptance criteria in all validation parameters, ensuring reliability, reproducibility, and accuracy, and was successfully implemented in a pharmacokinetic study on the co-administration of DPZ and TAD orally in rats.

Keywords: donepezil; tadalafil; lansoprazole; LC-MS/MS; validation; protein precipitation; pharmacokinetics; rat

1. Introduction

Alzheimer's disease (AD) is a common geriatric illness characterized by neurodegeneration associated with a reduction in cholinergic system activity in the cerebral cortex and other areas of the brain [\[1\]](#page-12-0). The cholinergic system plays crucial roles in physiological processes, such as attention, memory, sensory information, learning, stress response, wakefulness, and sleep. Acetylcholine (ACh) is a chemical messenger, neurotransmitter, and neuromodulator that participates in cholinergic system signaling and regulation. As cholinergic and adrenergic signaling is dysregulated owing to substantially reduced ACh synthesis in patients with AD, cholinesterase (ChE) inhibitors are prescribed as the first-line agents for AD management to increase ACh concentration by inhibiting hydrolyzing enzymes, such as acetylcholinesterase (AChE) and butyrylcholinesterase. Donepezil (donepezil hydrochloride, E-2020, arycept™, Eisai, DPZ) is the first selective AChE inhibitor approved for AD management [\[2\]](#page-12-1).

Citation: Yoon, J.; Choi, D.; Shim, W.-S.; Choi, S.; Choi, Y.J.; Paik, S.-H.; Lee, K.-T. Development of a Rapid LC-MS/MS Method for Simultaneous Quantification of Donepezil and Tadalafil in Rat Plasma: Its Application in a Pharmacokinetic Interaction Study after Oral Administration in Rats. *Molecules* **2023**, *28*, 2352. [https://](https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules28052352) doi.org/10.3390/molecules28052352

Academic Editor: Jurca Tünde

Received: 5 February 2023 Revised: 24 February 2023 Accepted: 28 February 2023 Published: 3 March 2023

Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license [\(https://](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [creativecommons.org/licenses/by/](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) $4.0/$).

As the blood–brain barrier (BBB) restricts drug delivery and absorption into the brain, the most critical determinant of successful DPZ is the enhancement of drug delivery into the brain [\[3](#page-12-2)[,4\]](#page-12-3). However, recent studies have shown that BBB breakdown and cerebral hypoperfusion are commonly observed in patients with AD, and these pathophysiological changes not only induce neurodegeneration and cognitive impairment but also impair drug delivery into the brain [\[5\]](#page-12-4). Thus, the development of a delivery strategy that considers pathophysiological changes is imperative to optimize DPZ. A recent approach to improve pharmacotherapy in patients with AD is the concomitant administration of a low-dose phosphodiesterase type 5 (PDE5) inhibitor with DPZ [\[6\]](#page-12-5). Low-dose tadalafil (tadalafil, IC351, Cialis™, Lilly ICOS LLC, TAD), a selective PDE5 inhibitor with the longest duration of action, not only induces vasodilation by increasing cGMP and intracellular nitric oxide levels but also improves cognitive functions, thereby reducing memory impairment and anxiety in patients [\[7](#page-12-6)[–19\]](#page-12-7). Moreover, increased cerebral blood flow was reported with TAD administration in the elderly with small vessel disease [\[20\]](#page-12-8), which may imply that concurrent administration of TAD with DPZ may increase DPZ delivery and absorption by improving brain perfusion. Hence, combination therapy with DPZ and low-dose TAD may have synergistic pharmacodynamic effects to improve cognitive function in patients with AD.

The major concern with combination therapy with DPZ and low-dose TAD is the potential drug–drug interaction (DDI), as both drugs are metabolized by cytochrome p450 (CYP) 3A4 [\[21](#page-12-9)[,22\]](#page-12-10). However, studies evaluating DDI between DPZ and TAD are limited. Methods for estimating DNZ or TAD using high-pressure liquid chromatography (HPLC) with UV [\[23](#page-12-11)[–25\]](#page-13-0) or fluorescence detection [\[26\]](#page-13-1) have been previously reported. However, these methods have some limitations, given the low sensitivity and complicated sample processing procedure. In addition, previous studies have described liquid chromatography with tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) detection, which was reportedly simpler and more sensitive for analyzing DNZ or TAD in rat plasma [\[27–](#page-13-2)[29\]](#page-13-3). Hence, the establishment of a novel simultaneous analytical method to quantify DPZ and TAD in plasma samples is crucial for high-throughput and reliable sample analysis to conduct large-scale pharmacokinetic studies and routinely measure plasma DNZ and TAD concentrations in the DDI from pharmacokinetic studies, and only a simultaneous quantification method for DPZ is currently available [\[30–](#page-13-4)[32\]](#page-13-5). To our knowledge, no sensitive and reproducible LC-MS/MS method for simultaneous analysis has been developed for determining DNP and TAD in rat plasma samples. Therefore, this study aimed to establish and validate simultaneous quantification methods for both DPZ and TAD in plasma samples using LC-MS/MS to investigate the potential DDI between DPZ and TAD.

2. Results and Discussion

2.1. Method Development

2.1.1. Mass Spectrometric Analysis

The mass spectrometer parameters were optimized by infusing a solution of DPZ, TAD, and LPZ (IS) dissolved in 100% methanol. The infusion was directly performed in the ionization source using a syringe pump operating at a flow rate of 10 μ L/min. The maximum amount of production was attained in the positive mode using a turbo ion spray electrospray ionization (ESI) interface. As a result of the full scan of Q1, DPZ was performed at a resolution of m/z 380.1 \rightarrow 91.2, TAD at m/z 390.2 \rightarrow 268.1, and LPZ at m/z $370.3 \rightarrow 252.0$ production (Figure [1\)](#page-2-0).

Figure 1. Product ion mass spectra and the pattern of fragmentation of (A) donepezil, (B) tadalafil, and (**C**) lansoprazole. and (**C**) lansoprazole.

2.1.2. Chromatographic Conditions 2.1.2. Chromatographic Conditions

The chromatographic conditions were applied to induce ideal separation and resolution among DPZ, TAD, and LPZ (IS). Various reversed-phase columns, mobile phase compositions of isocratic and gradient shapes, and flow rates were tested to optimize the

separation conditions for the target analytes and IS. In our present study, Phenomenex Luna C18 (50 \times 2.0 mm, 3 μ m), Phenomenex Kinetex C18 (100 \times 2.1 mm, 2.6 μ m), and Halo C18 (50 \times 2.1 mm, 2.7 µm) columns were tested to determine optimal separation conditions. Among the various columns tested for the analysis, Phenomenex Kinetex C18 $(100 \times 2.1 \text{ mm}, 2.6 \mu \text{m})$ provided the most appropriate separation of the matrix, high sensitivity, peak shape, and stable reproducibility, whereas other columns had low sensitivity, poor separation, peak tailing, or fronting. Isocratic elution was first utilized to separate the analytes; however, it was difficult to separate the peaks of the analyte and IS owing to the lack of power of the isocratic mobile phase. Therefore, gradient elution was selected to achieve optimal separation and good resolution. As LPZ has been reported to be unstable at low pH [\[33](#page-13-6)[,34\]](#page-13-7), we designed solvent A with 2 mM ammonium acetate to improve the stability of LPZ and solvent B with 0.1% formic acid in acetonitrile to optimize the peak shape. Acetonitrile was selected as a modifier because it exhibits a higher dissolution capacity from the matrix peak and efficient peak intensity compared to other organic solvents.

2.1.3. Plasma Sample Preparation

DPZ is mostly extracted by using the liquid–liquid extraction (LLE) method using methyl tert-butyl ether, ethyl acetate, and n-hexane as the extraction solvents [\[27,](#page-13-2)[35](#page-13-8)[–37\]](#page-13-9). However, TAD is usually extracted using the solid phase extraction and LLE methods using dimethyl ether and dichloromethane as the extraction solvents [\[17](#page-12-12)[–21\]](#page-12-9). For simultaneous extraction of DPZ, TAD, and IS with different chemical structural properties, protein precipitation was selected as an extraction method because it is a simple sample preparation procedure with excellent reproducibility [\[38](#page-13-10)[–40\]](#page-13-11). Acetonitrile and methanol were tested as the precipitants, and a 6.7:1 ratio of acetonitrile and plasma consistently yielded high recoveries with symmetric peak shapes for DPZ, TAD, and IS without matrix interference when compared to those achieved with methanol. Thus, acetonitrile was selected as the precipitant for this experiment.

2.2. Analytical Method Validation

2.2.1. Specificity and Lower Limit of Quantitation

To verify the selectivity, we utilized six different blank plasmas and pooled the blank plasma at each step. Figure [2](#page-5-0) represents the chromatograms of (A) blank rat plasma; (B) LPZ (IS) (20 ng/mL) spiked blank plasma; (C) DPZ (200 ng/mL) spiked blank plasma; (D) TAD (200 ng/mL) spiked blank plasma; (E,F) blank plasma spiked with DPZ (1 ng/mL), TAD (1 ng/mL) , and IS (20 ng/mL) ; and (G) plasma sample from 1 h after oral administration of DPZ (3 mg/kg) and TAD (0.3 mg/kg) with additional IS. During the retention time, the matrix peaks of DPZ ($t = 1.32$ min), TAD ($t = 2.57$ min), and IS ($t = 2.37$ min) did not interfere with each other. The LLOQ of DPZ (1 ng/mL) and TAD (1 ng/mL) were determined with signal-to-noise (S/N) ratios of >10, suggesting a sufficiently sensitive method to quantitate DPZ and TAD in plasma after the oral administration of DPZ and TAD to rats. The precision and accuracy of the LLOQ samples were ensured for the PK study.

2.2.2. Linearity

Using linear regression, calibration curves for DPZ and TAD were plotted with seven concentrations over the range of $1-200 \text{ ng/mL}$. The equation for the calibration curves $(n = 5)$ with the mean \pm SD of the slope and the intercept was $y = 0.277 \ (\pm 0.027) x + 0.033$ (± 0.007) (r² \geq 0.9904) and γ = 0.0402 (\pm 0.0027) χ + 0.001 (\pm 0.003) (r² \geq 0.9966) for DNZ and TAD, respectively. The average slope and intercept of the regression equations were 0.2770 (co-efficient of variation (CV): 8.6%) for DPZ and 0.0403 (CV: 6.0%) for TAD. The linearity was satisfactory and reproducible over time.

Figure 2. *Cont*.

Figure 2. Representative chromatograms of (**A**) double blank plasma (without donepezil, tadalafil, **Figure 2.** Representative chromatograms of (**A**) double blank plasma (without donepezil, tadalafil, and IS); (B) blank plasma spiked with lansoprazole (IS, 20 ng/mL); (C) blank plasma spiked with donepezil (ULOQ, 200 ng/mL); (D) blank plasma spiked with tadalafil (ULOQ, 200 ng/mL); (E) donepezil chromatogram of blank plasma spiked with donepezil, tadalafil (LLOQ, 1 ng/mL), lansoprazole (IS, 20 ng/mL); (**F**) tadalafil chromatogram of blank plasma spiked with donepezil, and lansoprazole (IS, 20 ng/mL); (**F**) tadalafil chromatogram of blank plasma spiked with donepezil, tadalafil (LLOQ, 1 ng/mL), and lansoprazole (IS, 20 ng/mL); (**G**) donepezil chromatogram of sample tadalafil (LLOQ, 1 ng/mL), and lansoprazole (IS, 20 ng/mL); (**G**) donepezil chromatogram of sample p_{max} h after oral administration of done pezin p_{max} and p_{max} and p_{max} and p_{max} plasma 1 h after oral administration of donepezil (3 mg/kg), measured concentration of donepezil (25.297 ng/mL) and tadalafil (0.3 mg/kg); and (**H**) tadalafil chromatogram of sample plasma 1 h after oral administration of donepezil (3 mg/kg) and tadalafil (0.3 mg/kg, measured tadalafil concentration: 12.943 ng/mL).

2.2.3. Precision and Accuracy

The precision and accuracy of the intra- and inter-day analyses are summarized in The precision and accuracy of the finite and finer day dialyses are summarized in
Table [1.](#page-6-0) For DPZ, the intra-day precision ranged from 1.06% to 2.26%, whereas the accuracy ranged from 86.93% to 102.17%. The inter-day precision and accuracy ranged from 3.14% to 4.75% and 89.08% to 102.53%, respectively. TAD, intra-day precision, and accuracy to the school to the contract of the regression captively. The regression caption inter-day precision caption in 190% to 5.52% and 95.80% to 99.66%, respectively. The inter-day precision and accuracy ranged from 3.42% to 8.19% and 96.40% to 99.48%, respectively. These results confirm the accuracy and precision of the simulated quantification method over a wide 2.2.3. Precision and Accuracy specified in the guidance of the MFDS and the FDA for bioanalytical applications [\[41](#page-13-12)[,42\]](#page-13-13).concentration range of the assay and satisfied the precision and accuracy ranges (%), as

Table 1. Intra- and inter-day precision and accuracy of the LC-MS/MS method for determining donepezil and tadalafil concentrations in rat plasma.

^a CV (%) = (standard deviation of the calculated concentrations/mean concentration) \times 100. ^b Accuracy (%) = (predicted concentration/nominal concentration) \times 100.

2.2.4. Stability

The stability of the stock solutions and rat plasma samples of DPZ and TAD under various conditions is shown in Table [2.](#page-6-1) The peak area response of the stored samples was directly compared with that of fresh stock solutions. The stability of the stock solution at room temperature (3 h) was 103.43% for DPZ and 107.75% for TAD. In addition, the stock solution stability under refrigeration (4 °C) for 14 days was 103.53% for DPZ and 106.30% for TAD. The plasma stability of DPZ and TAD was assessed by analyzing three replicate samples at two QC levels (2 and 160 ng/mL) under the following storage conditions. The stability of DPZ and TAD in rat plasma was maintained without apparent loss under various storage and handling conditions: room temperature (5 h), refrigeration (4 \degree C) for 14 days, four freeze/thaw cycles, extraction in an autosampler (10 \degree C, 20 h), and frozen conditions (−70 ◦C) for 4 weeks, which implies the long-term stability of DPZ and TAD. Therefore, based on the deviations from the nominal concentration within ± 15 %, DPZ and TAD were considered stable in rat plasma under all examined conditions without substantial degradation.

Table 2. Stability of donepezil and tadalafil stock solutions in rat plasma under different conditions.

2.2.5. Recovery and Matrix Effect

Table [3](#page-7-0) highlights the recoveries of DPZ and TAD at three QC concentration levels (2, 16, and 160 ng/mL, *n* = 6), along with IS recovery (20 ng/mL, *n* = 6). The extraction recovery was evaluated by comparing the mean area responses of the two analytes spiked before extraction with those spiked after extraction. The matrix effect was evaluated by comparing the mean area responses of the two analytes spiked after extraction with neat solution samples at two QC levels (2 and 160 ng/mL, *n* = 6) for DPZ and TAD. The absolute extraction recoveries for DPZ and TAD were 90.86–95.99% and 96.17–98.59%, respectively. The extraction recovery of IS was 97.96%. The mean extraction recovery for DNA and TAD in rat plasma was greater than 90% at all three tested quality control (QC) concentrations with CVs of 15% or less (Table [3\)](#page-7-0), suggesting high reproducibility and excellent analyte recovery using our one-step sample preparation method with PP.

Table 3. Extraction recovery and matrix factor for donepezil, tadalafil, and lansoprazole (IS) from rat plasma.

Data are presented as mean \pm SD ($n = 6$). ^a Extraction recovery (%) = [(peak area of analyte spiked before extraction)/(peak area of analyte spiked after extraction)] \times 100. ^b Matrix effect (%) = [(peak area of analyte spiked after extraction)/(peak area of analyte in the pure standard solution)] \times 100.

According to previous studies, the effects of the matrix on extraction recovery, ion enhancement, and ion suppression should be critically assessed to develop a valid bioanalytical method using LC-MS/MS [\[15](#page-12-13)[,16\]](#page-12-14). Therefore, the matrix effects for DPZ and TAD were 97.05–108.78% and 56.16–58.46%, respectively. The matrix effect of IS was 90.90%, and no significant differences in peak areas were detected. Even the mean matrix effects for TAD in rat plasma are less than 60%, with the corresponding CV (%) values of less than 15% at the two QC concentrations, suggesting acceptable and reproducible matrix effects between the analyte and endogenous substances in rat plasma.

2.3. Analytical Method Implementation to DDI PK Study in Rats

The established LC-MS/MS analytical method was successfully implemented to simultaneously quantify the PK parameters of DPZ and TAD in plasma after oral administration of DPZ and TAD, either alone or in combination in rats. The mean plasma concentration– time curves of DPZ and TAD are depicted in Figure [3.](#page-8-0) The PK parameters of DPZ and TAD are summarized in Table [4.](#page-8-1) The *C*max of single DPZ administration was 59.776 ng/mL, achieved at a very short T_{max} of 0.5 h with a high AUC_{last} of 129.583 h·ng/mL. C_{max} of DPZ in combination treatment with TAD was 39.235 ng/mL, and the AUC_{last} was 100.5 h·ng/mL, which was also attained in a very short time ($T_{\text{max}} = 0.5$ h). The C_{max} of a single TAD administration was 17.795 ng/mL, and the *C*max of TAD in combination with DPZ was 13.750 ng/mL. In addition, the AUC_{last} of a single TAD administration was 46.987 h·ng/mL, and the AUC_{last} of the combination was 34.419 h·ng/mL. Both groups exhibited very short T_{max} values (0.5 h). Our test of the longer $T_{1/2}$ and MRT_{last} (mean \pm SD; 2.630 \pm 1.302 h and 2.617 \pm 0.923 for single DAZ, respectively, vs. 3.793 \pm 2.032 h and 3.735 \pm 1.602 h for DPZ in combination with TAD, respectively) were observed. In

addition, higher CL/F was found as 7.355 \pm 4.516 L/h and 11.819 \pm 9.852 L/h for single TAD and for TAD in combination with DPZ, respectively. A comparison of the plasma levels of DPZ and TAD between single and combination administration showed that a single administration of each drug achieved higher values than those of the combination
Table groups. However, the differences in the mean plasma concentration and PK parameters
hetween the single and combination aroung of DPZ and TAD were statistically insignificant. between the single and combination groups of DPZ and TAD were statistically insignificant $(p > 0.05)$. For these reasons, it is difficult to determine the potential DDI between DPZ and TAD at this time. Most PK-related DDIs occur through the induction or inhibition of metabolic enzymes, including CYP 450 and UDP-glucuronosyltransferase or drug transporters [43]. DPZ is primarily metabolized by CYP 2D6 and CYP 3A4, and then undergoes glucuronidation [\[44](#page-13-15)[,45\]](#page-13-16), whereas TAD is metabolized by CYP 2D9 and CYP 3A4. Although both drugs are metabolized by CYP3A4, PK-related DDI between DPZ and TAD has not been reported. However, more mechanistic studies and multiple dose administration for at least one week for pharmacokinetic or toxicokinetic studies should be conducted to clarify potential DDI secondary to the interference of the metabolic pathways of DPZ and TAD. DDI secondary to the interference of the metabolic pathways of DPZ and TAD.

 \overline{C} was found as 7.355 \overline{C} and 11.815 \overline{C} and 11.819 \overline{C} and for single TAD and for TAD in TAD in the \overline{C}

 F_1 F_2 and F_3 done that F_3 is done that F_2 of F_3 of F_4 of F_4 of F_5 F_6 F_7 and F_8 F_8 F_9 and F_9 and F_9 and F_9 and F_9 combined with tadalafil) and (**B**) tadalafil (0.3 mg/kg, \triangle : single dose, **A**: combined with donepezil) in PK tosts (*u* = ²) PK tests (*n* = 3). **Figure 3.** Mean plasma concentration–time curves of (A) donepezil (3 mg/kg, \circ : single dose, \bullet : in PK tests $(n = 3)$.

Table 4. Pharmacokinetic parameters of donepezil and tadalafil in rat plasma after oral administration

*C*max, peak plasma concentration; AUClast, area under the plasma drug concentration–time curve to the last measurable time; AUC_{inf}, area under the plasma drug concentration–time curve to infinity; *T*_{max}, time to reach peak plasma concentration; *CL*(inf)/F, apparent systemic clearance; *T*1/2, terminal elimination half-life; *MRT*last, mean residence time from the first observed to last measurable concentration.

3. Materials and Methods

3.1. Materials

DPZ (purity 99.6%), TAD (purity 99.7%), and lansoprazole (LPZ; internal standard (IS), purity 99%) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). Water was prepared using an Aqua MAX Ultra 370 (YL Instrument, Gyeonggi-do, Republic of Korea) water purification system for all analyses. For HPLC analysis, methanol was purchased from Burdick & Jackson (Charlotte, NC, USA), and acetonitrile was obtained from Thermo Fisher Scientific (Waltham, MA, USA). Ammonium acetate and formic acid were used for the mobile phase buffer preparation. Ammonium acetate and formic acid were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich and from Wako Chemicals (Osaka, Japan), respectively.

3.2. Liquid Chromatographic Conditions

The LC-MS/MS procedure was adapted by utilizing an Exion LC AD system and a TQ 6500 mass spectrometer equipped with an electrospray ionization source (AB SCIEX, Framingham, MA, USA). An aliquot of $2 \mu L$ of all prepared samples was injected into the LC-MS/MS system, and chromatographic separation was conducted using a Kinetex C18 100 Å column (100 \times 2.1 mm, 2.6 μm, Phenomenex, Torrance, CA, USA) at 40 °C. The pump flow rate was 0.25 mL/min, and the autosampler temperature was set at 4 \degree C. The mobile phase was composed of 2 mM ammonium acetate and 0.1% formic acid in acetonitrile with gradient elution. The predetermined gradient elution system was as follows: 0–1 min (35% B), 1–1.1 min (60% B), 1.1–2 min (60% B), 2–2.1 min (35% B), and 2.1–4 min (60% B).

3.3. Mass Spectrometric Conditions

The optimized MS/MS conditions for simultaneous quantification of DPZ, TAD, and LPZ (IS) were as follows: gas 1:40.0 psig, gas 2:30.0 psig, ion spray voltage: 5500.0 V, turbo heater temperature: 550.0 ℃, entrance potential: 10.0 V, collision activation dissociation: 9 psig, curtain gas: 20 psig, cell exit potential: 10 V. The declustering potential and collision energy were applied at 60 and 35 V for DPZ, 100 and 20 V for TAD, and 50 and 20 V for LPZ, respectively. Data on DPZ, TAD, and LPZ were collected and quantified using the Analyst software version 1.6.3. (AB SCIEX, Framingham, MA, USA).

3.4. Preparation of Calibration Standards and Plasma Samples

Standard stock solutions of DPZ, TAD, and LPZ (IS) were prepared in methanol at a concentration of 100 µg/mL and stored at −20 ◦C. Working solutions were prepared by diluting the stock solutions with 50% methanol. The concentration of DPZ and TAD ranged from 1 to 200 ng/mL. Quality control (QC) samples were prepared at four different concentrations (1, 2, 16, and 160 ng/mL). The IS working solution was prepared by diluting the stock solution with 50% methanol to a concentration of 20 $\frac{ng}{m}$. All working stock solutions were prepared and stored at −20 ◦C until use. Calibration standards and QC samples of DPZ and TAD in rat plasma were diluted with the corresponding working solutions and blank rat plasma. Drug-free plasma, that is, control (blank) plasma, stored in a deep freezer, was completely thawed at room temperature before use. Ten percent spiking with working DPZ and TAD stock solutions was performed in blank plasma. The spiked QC samples were stored at −70 °C for stability studies.

3.5. Preparation of Plasma Samples

The rat plasma was stored at −70 °C. The plasma samples were thawed at room temperature and then centrifuged at $1800 \times g$ (4 °C) for 8 min before pipetting. Using a micropipette, 30 µL of the plasma sample was transferred into a polypropylene microtube, and 10 μ L of IS working solution was added to each sample. Further, 0.2 mL of acetonitrile was then added and vortexed for 1 min. The tube was then centrifuged at $22,285\times g$ (4 °C) for 5 min. The supernatant was transferred to an analytical vessel, and 2 μ L of the sample was injected into the LC-MS/MS system.

3.6. Protocol Validation

The established methods were validated by the required bioanalytical method validation guidelines published by the Ministry of Food and Drug Safety in Korea (MFDS) and the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) [\[26](#page-13-1)[,27\]](#page-13-2). The established protocol was validated for selectivity, linearity, accuracy, precision, recovery, matrix effect, and stability in compliance with the bioanalytical method guidelines.

3.6.1. Specificity and Lower Limit of Quantitation (LLOQ)

Selectivity was examined by analyzing six randomly selected blank rat plasma samples to investigate the presence of endogenous materials. These samples were prepared using the pretreatment procedure described in Section [3.5,](#page-9-0) and both the analytes and IS were free of interference with each other. LLOQ implies the lowest concentration of the analyte in rat plasma. The signal-to-noise (S/N) ratio was greater than 10, and the deviation from accuracy and precision was less than 20%.

3.6.2. Linearity

Linearity was determined using the linear regression model $(1/x^2)$ for the calibration curve range of DPZ and TAD. The calibration curves were expressed as $y = ax + b$, where y represents the mean of the peak area ratios of the analytes to their internal standards, *a* represents the slope of the calibration curves, *b* represents the *y*-intercept of the calibration curve, and *x* represents the analyte concentration. Satisfactory linearity was determined using a correlation coefficient of 0.99 or greater.

3.6.3. Precision and Accuracy

The precision and accuracy of the simulated quantification method were determined by concentration analysis of five replicates of each sample from the validation batch. For intra- and inter-day testing, four different concentrations of QC samples were prepared, and five sets of repeated sets were analyzed to determine the accuracy and precision for three consecutive days. The accuracy and precision of within- and between-run analyses should be within 15% of the nominal values, except for the LLOQ, which should be within 20% of the nominal value.

3.6.4. Stability

Stability analyses of the stock solutions were performed at room temperature for 3 h and under refrigerated conditions (4° C) for 14 days. The stability of the plasma analytes was tested for low and high QC under pre-specified conditions: room temperature for 5 h, refrigeration (4 °C) for 14 days, autosampler (10 °C) for 20 h, four cycles of freezing-thawing, and finally frozen conditions (−70 ◦C) for 28 days for long-term stability.

3.6.5. Recovery and Matrix Effect

Recovery and matrix effects were measured by evaluating matrix-induced ion enhancement or suppression. The recovery was examined at three QC concentrations by comparing the mean analytical peak area of six replicates of each QC sample and the corresponding areas of the equivalent concentration of the analytes spiked into blank rat plasma after extraction. The matrix effect was determined by comparing the peak area of standard QC concentrations with the corresponding areas of the equivalent concentrations of the spiked analytes extracted from the blank rat plasma.

3.7. Application to a Pharmacokinetic Study

The pharmacokinetic (PK) tests in rats were approved by the Animal Ethics Committee (PK Protocol number: 21-KE-155; date of approval: March 2, 2021). Male Sprague-Dawley rats (5 weeks old) from KNOTUS Co., Ltd. (Incheon, Republic of Korea) were maintained under consistent laboratory conditions (temperature, 23 ± 3 °C; relative humidity, 55 \pm 15%; light/dark cycle, 12 h). Rats were divided into three groups ($n = 3$): group 1,

DPZ (3 mg/kg) ; group 2, TAD (0.3 mg/kg) ; and group 3, DPZ (3 mg/kg) combined with TAD (0.3 mg/kg). Animals were fasted overnight and allowed free access to water. DPZ powder and TAD powder in aqueous solutions were orally administered to rats at an equivalent DPZ dose of 2.26 mg/kg and TAD dose of 2.25 mg/kg (3 and 0.3 mg daily dosage converted to volume ratio, respectively. Blood samples $(300 \mu L)$ were directly collected from the jugular vein using heparinized syringes at 0.5, 1, 2, 4, 6, 8, and 24 h. The collected blood samples were immediately centrifuged at $19,314 \times g$ for 2 min to prepare plasma samples, which were then stored at −70 ◦C until analysis.

3.8. Statistical Analysis of the Pharmacokinetic Study

The peak plasma concentration, C_{max} , and T_{max} (the time to reach C_{max}) for DPZ and TAD were calculated using individual plasma concentration–time profiles. A noncompartmental method for extravascular input provided in BA Cal 2007 software (MFDS, ver. 1.0.0) was used to calculate PK parameters, including AUC_{last} (area under the plasma drug concentration–time curve between 0 and 24 h) and extrapolated AUC_{inf} (AUC from 0 to infinity). The terminal half-life $(T_{1/2})$ was calculated as $\ln 2/\lambda_z$ (λ z is the elimination rate constant estimated by using the least-squares linear regression method of the terminal log-linear portion of the plasma concentration–time curve). The apparent clearance (CL/F) was calculated as $CL/F = dose/AUC_{0-\infty}$. The Mann–Whitney U test was used to determine the differences in the mean plasma concentration and PK profiles of DPZ and TAD between the single and combined administration groups. Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS Statistics (version 26.0; IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Armonk, NY, USA), and a *p*-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

4. Conclusions

We established the first sensitive and simple LC-MS/MS-based analytical method for simultaneously quantifying DPZ and TAD in rat plasma. This LC-MS/MS analytical method was fully validated according to the guidelines published by the MFDS in Korea and the U.S. FDA and was successfully implemented in a PK study designed for DDI detection between DPZ and TAD in anticipation of their potential concurrent clinical use for AD management. Our analytical method for simultaneous quantification of DPZ and TAD achieved excellent performance and offered the following advantages over other previously reported single analyte quantification methods of either DPZ or TAD: the analysis was performed using a small plasma volume (30 µL) and a simple and rapid protein precipitation protocol, that is, high-throughput [\[27,](#page-13-2)[35–](#page-13-8)[37,](#page-13-9)[46–](#page-13-17)[50\]](#page-14-0). This study provided the first preliminary evidence of non-significant DDI between DPZ and TAD based on PK profiles in rats. The findings of this study may contribute to the current body of literature, especially for therapeutic drug monitoring associated with DDI; however, further detailed studies on the PK parameters of DPZ and TAD are still warranted to determine risks versus benefits, if any, associated with their concurrent administration.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, S.-H.P. and K.-T.L.; methodology, J.Y. and W.-S.S.; validation, J.Y. and W.-S.S.; formal analysis, J.Y. and W.-S.S.; investigation, J.Y., W.-S.S., S.C. and D.C.; data curation, W.-S.S., D.C. and J.Y.; writing—original draft preparation, J.Y. and D.C.; writing—review and editing, J.Y., W.-S.S., K.-T.L., S.-H.P. and Y.J.C.; supervision, K.-T.L.; project administration, K.-T.L. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This study was supported by a grant from Neurorive Inc., Ltd., Republic of Korea (grant number NR-0701).

Institutional Review Board Statement: This study was conducted according to the guidelines of the Animal Experimental Ethics Regulations of Notus Co., Ltd. (KNOTUS IACUC) (protocol code: 21-KE-155; date of approval: 2 March 2021).

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: The data presented in this study are available upon request.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest. The funders had no role in the design of the study; in the collection, analyses, or interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript; or in the decision to publish the results.

References

- 1. Bowen, D.M. *The Molecular Basis of Neuropathology*; Edward Arnold: London, UK, 1981.
- 2. Rogers, S.L.; Yamanishi, Y.; Yamatsu, K. E2020—The pharmacology of a piperidine cholinesterase inhibitor. In *Cholinergic Basis for Alzheimer Therapy*; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 1991; pp. 314–320.
- 3. Banks, W.A. Drug delivery to the brain in Alzheimer's disease: Consideration of the blood–brain barrier. *Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev.* **2012**, *64*, 629–639. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.addr.2011.12.005) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22202501)
- 4. Yasir, M.; Zafar, A.; Noorulla, K.M.; Tura, A.J.; Sara, U.V.S.; Panjwani, D.; Khalid, M.; Haji, M.J.; Gobena, W.G.; Gebissa, T. Nose to brain delivery of donepezil through surface modified NLCs: Formulation development, optimization, and brain targeting study. *J. Drug Deliv. Sci. Technol.* **2022**, *75*, 103631. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jddst.2022.103631)
- 5. Hussain, B.; Fang, C.; Chang, J. Blood–brain barrier breakdown: An emerging biomarker of cognitive impairment in normal aging and dementia. *Front. Neurosci.* **2021**, *15*, 688090. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.3389/fnins.2021.688090) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/34489623)
- 6. Neurorive Inc. *Combination Therapy of Donepezil and Tadalafil for the Treatment of Alzheimer's Disease or Cognitive Impairment*; Neurorive Inc.: Seoul, Republic of Korea, 2021.
- 7. Padma-Nathan, H.; McMurray, J.G.; Pullman, W.E.; Whitaker, J.S.; Saoud, J.B.; Ferguson, K.M.; Rosen, R.C. On-demand IC351 (Cialis™) enhances erectile function in patients with erectile dysfunction. *Int. J. Impot. Res.* **2001**, *13*, 2–9. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1038/sj.ijir.3900631)
- 8. Kloner, R.A.; Mitchell, M.; Emmick, J.T. Cardiovascular effects of tadalafil in patients on common antihypertensive therapies. *Am. J. Cardiol.* **2003**, *92*, 47–57. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/S0002-9149(03)00075-4) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14609623)
- 9. Blount, M.A.; Beasley, A.; Zoraghi, R.; Sekhar, K.R.; Bessay, E.P.; Francis, S.H.; Corbin, J.D. Binding of tritiated sildenafil, tadalafil, or vardenafil to the phosphodiesterase-5 catalytic site displays potency, specificity, heterogeneity, and cGMP stimulation. *Mol. Pharmacol.* **2004**, *66*, 144–152. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1124/mol.66.1.144) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15213306)
- 10. Boccia, M.M.; Blake, M.G.; Krawczyk, M.C.; Baratti, C.M. Sildenafil, a selective phosphodiesterase type 5 inhibitor, enhances memory reconsolidation of an inhibitory avoidance task in mice. *Behav. Brain Res.* **2011**, *220*, 319–324. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbr.2011.02.016)
- 11. Hosseini-Sharifabad, A.; Ghahremani, M.H.; Sabzevari, O.; Naghdi, N.; Abdollahi, M.; Beyer, C.; Bollen, E.; Prickaerts, J.; Roghani, A.; Sharifzadeh, M. Effects of protein kinase A and G inhibitors on hippocampal cholinergic markers expressions in rolipram-and sildenafil-induced spatial memory improvement. *Pharmacol. Biochem. Behav.* **2012**, *101*, 311–319. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.pbb.2012.01.017)
- 12. Menniti, F.S.; Faraci, W.S.; Schmidt, C.J. Phosphodiesterases in the CNS: Targets for drug development. *Nat. Rev. Drug Discov.* **2006**, *5*, 660–670. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1038/nrd2058)
- 13. Schmidt, C.J. Phosphodiesterase inhibitors as potential cognition enhancing agents. *Curr. Top. Med. Chem.* **2010**, *10*, 222–230. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.2174/156802610790411009)
- 14. Zhang, H.T. Phosphodiesterase Targets for Cognitive Dysfunction and Schizophrenia—A New York Academy of Sciences Meeting. *IDrugs Investig. Drugs J.* **2010**, *13*, 166–168.
- 15. Al-Amin, M.M.; Hasan, S.M.N.; Alam, T.; Hasan, A.T.; Hossain, I.; Didar, R.R.; Alam, M.A.; Rahman, M.M. Tadalafil enhances working memory, and reduces hippocampal oxidative stress in both young and aged mice. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* **2014**, *745*, 84–90. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejphar.2014.10.026) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25446565)
- 16. García-Barroso, C.; Ricobaraza, A.; Pascual-Lucas, M.; Unceta, N.; Rico, A.J.; Goicolea, M.A.; Sallés, J.; Lanciego, J.L.; Oyarzabal, J.; Franco, R. Tadalafil crosses the blood–brain barrier and reverses cognitive dysfunction in a mouse model of AD. *Neuropharmacology* **2013**, *64*, 114–123. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropharm.2012.06.052) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22776546)
- 17. Salem, M.A.; Budzyńska, B.; Kowalczyk, J.; El Sayed, N.S.; Mansour, S.M. Tadalafil and bergapten mitigate streptozotocin-induced sporadic Alzheimer's disease in mice via modulating neuroinflammation, PI3K/Akt, Wnt/β-catenin, AMPK/mTOR signaling pathways. *Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol.* **2021**, *429*, 115697. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.taap.2021.115697) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/34428446)
- 18. Liebenberg, N.; Harvey, B.H.; Brand, L.; Wegener, G.; Brink, C.B. Chronic treatment with the phosphodiesterase type 5 inhibitors sildenafil and tadalafil display anxiolytic effects in Flinders Sensitive Line rats. *Metab. Brain Dis.* **2012**, *27*, 337–340. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1007/s11011-012-9284-z)
- 19. Baek, S.-B.; Bahn, G.; Moon, S.-J.; Lee, J.; Kim, K.-H.; Ko, I.-G.; Kim, S.-E.; Sung, Y.-H.; Kim, B.-K.; Kim, T.-S. The phosphodiesterase type-5 inhibitor, tadalafil, improves depressive symptoms, ameliorates memory impairment, as well as suppresses apoptosis and enhances cell proliferation in the hippocampus of maternal-separated rat pups. *Neurosci. Lett.* **2011**, *488*, 26–30. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.neulet.2010.10.074)
- 20. Pauls, M.M.H.; Clarke, N.; Trippier, S.; Betteridge, S.; Howe, F.A.; Khan, U.; Kruuse, C.; Madigan, J.B.; Moynihan, B.; Pereira, A.C.; et al. Perfusion by Arterial Spin labelling following Single dose Tadalafil In Small vessel disease (PASTIS): Study protocol for a randomised controlled trial. *Trials* **2017**, *18*, 229. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1186/s13063-017-1973-9)
- 21. Jackson, S.; Ham, R.J.; Wilkinson, D. The safety and tolerability of donepezil in patients with Alzheimer's disease. *Br. J. Clin. Pharmacol.* **2004**, *58*, 1–8. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2125.2004.01848.x)
- 22. Wrishko, R.E.; Dingemanse, J.; Yu, A.; Darstein, C.; Phillips, D.L.; Mitchell, M.I. Pharmacokinetic interaction between tadalafil and bosentan in healthy male subjects. *J. Clin. Pharmacol.* **2008**, *48*, 610–618. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1177/0091270008315315)
- 23. Steinberg, P.; Fischer, T.; Kiulies, S.; Biefang, K.; Platt, K.-L.; Oesch, F.; Böttger, T.; Bulitta, C.; Kempf, P.; Hengstler, J. Drug metabolizing capacity of cryopreserved human, rat, and mouse liver parenchymal cells in suspension. *Drug Metab. Dispos.* **1999**, *27*, 1415–1422.
- 24. Krishna, K.V.; Saha, R.N.; Singhvi, G.; Dubey, S.K. Pre-clinical pharmacokinetic-pharmacodynamic modelling and biodistribution studies of donepezil hydrochloride by a validated HPLC method. *RSC Adv.* **2018**, *8*, 24740–24749. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1039/C8RA03379J) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/35542150)
- 25. Cheng, C.-L.; Chou, C.-H. Determination of tadalafil in small volumes of plasma by high-performance liquid chromatography with UV detection. *J. Chromatogr. B* **2005**, *822*, 278–284. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jchromb.2005.06.017) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15996535)
- 26. Nakashima, K.; Itoh, K.; Kono, M.; Nakashima, M.N.; Wada, M. Determination of donepezil hydrochloride in human and rat plasma, blood and brain microdialysates by HPLC with a short C30 column. *J. Pharm. Biomed. Anal.* **2006**, *41*, 201–206. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpba.2005.10.017)
- 27. Bhateria, M.; Ramakrishna, R.; Pakala, D.B.; Bhatta, R.S. Development of an LC–MS/MS method for simultaneous determination of memantine and donepezil in rat plasma and its application to pharmacokinetic study. *J. Chromatogr. B* **2015**, *1001*, 131–139. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jchromb.2015.07.042) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26280281)
- 28. Abu El-Enin, M.A.B.; Al-Ghaffar Hammouda, M.E.-S.A.; El-Sherbiny, D.; El-Wasseef, D.; El-Ashry, S. Validated spectrofluorimetric method for determination of two phosphodiesterase inhibitors tadalafil and vardenafil in pharmaceutical preparations and spiked human plasma. *Luminescence* **2016**, *31*, 173–178. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1002/bio.2941)
- 29. Lee, J.H.; Oh, J.-H.; Lee, Y.-J. Effects of experimental hyperlipidemia on the pharmacokinetics of tadalafil in rats. *J. Pharm. Pharm. Sci.* **2012**, *15*, 528–537. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.18433/J35P59)
- 30. Lee, C.B.; Min, J.S.; Chae, S.U.; Kim, H.M.; Jang, J.H.; Jung, I.H.; Zheng, Y.F.; Ryu, J.H.; Bae, S.K. Simultaneous determination of donepezil, 6-O-desmethyl donepezil and spinosin in beagle dog plasma using liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry and its application to a drug-drug interaction study. *J. Pharm. Biomed. Anal.* **2020**, *178*, 112919. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpba.2019.112919)
- 31. Pilli, N.R.; Inamadugu, J.K.; Kondreddy, N.; Karra, V.K.; Damaramadugu, R.; Rao, J.V.L.N.S. A rapid and sensitive LC-MS/MS method for quantification of donepezil and its active metabolite, 6-o-desmethyl donepezil in human plasma and its pharmacokinetic application. *Biomed. Chromatogr.* **2011**, *25*, 943–951. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1002/bmc.1552)
- 32. Matsui, K.; Oda, Y.; Nakata, H.; Yoshimura, T. Simultaneous determination of donepezil (aricept®) enantiomers in human plasma by liquid chromatography–electrospray tandem mass spectrometry. *J. Chromatogr. B Biomed. Sci. Appl.* **1999**, *729*, 147–155. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-4347(99)00145-0)
- 33. Bhattacharya, S.; Sarkar, P.; Khanam, J.; Pal, T.K. Simultaneous determination of paclitaxel and lansoprazole in rat plasma by LC– MS/MS method and its application to a preclinical pharmacokinetic study of investigational PTX-LAN-PLGA nanoformulation. *J. Chromatogr. B* **2019**, *1124*, 331–339. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jchromb.2019.06.031)
- 34. Hishinuma, T.; Suzuki, K.; Yamaguchi, H.; Yamagishi, H.; Koike, T.; Ohara, S.; Shimosegawa, T.; Mano, N.; Goto, J. Simple quantification of lansoprazole and rabeprazole concentrations in human serum by liquid chromatography/tandem mass spectrometry. *J. Chromatogr. B* **2008**, *870*, 38–45. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jchromb.2008.05.034) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18555753)
- 35. Xie, Z.; Liao, Q.; Xu, X.; Yao, M.; Wan, J.; Liu, D. Rapid and sensitive determination of donepezil in human plasma by liquid chromatography/tandem mass spectrometry: Application to a pharmacokinetic study. *Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom.* **2006**, *20*, 3193–3198. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1002/rcm.2718)
- 36. Shah, H.J.; Kundlik, M.L.; Pandya, A.; Prajapati, S.; Subbaiah, G.; Patel, C.N.; Patel, D.M.; Suhagiya, B.N. A rapid and specific approach for direct measurement of donepezil concentration in human plasma by LC-MS/MS employing solid-phase extraction. *Biomed. Chromatogr.* **2009**, *23*, 141–151. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1002/bmc.1095) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18823072)
- 37. Apostolou, C.; Dotsikas, Y.; Kousoulos, C.; Loukas, Y.L. Quantitative determination of donepezil in human plasma by liquid chromatography/tandem mass spectrometry employing an automated liquid–liquid extraction based on 96-well format plates: Application to a bioequivalence study. *J. Chromatogr. B* **2007**, *848*, 239–244. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jchromb.2006.10.037) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17113365)
- 38. Polson, C.; Sarkar, P.; Incledon, B.; Raguvaran, V.; Grant, R. Optimization of protein precipitation based upon effectiveness of protein removal and ionization effect in liquid chromatography–tandem mass spectrometry. *J. Chromatogr. B* **2003**, *785*, 263–275. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/S1570-0232(02)00914-5)
- 39. Chambers, E.; Wagrowski-Diehl, D.M.; Lu, Z.; Mazzeo, J.R. Systematic and comprehensive strategy for reducing matrix effects in LC/MS/MS analyses. *J. Chromatogr. B* **2007**, *852*, 22–34. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jchromb.2006.12.030)
- 40. Xu, R.N.; Fan, L.; Rieser, M.J.; El-Shourbagy, T.A. Recent advances in high-throughput quantitative bioanalysis by LC–MS/MS. *J. Pharm. Biomed. Anal.* **2007**, *44*, 342–355. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpba.2007.02.006)
- 41. Food and Drug Administration. Bioanalytical Method Validationguidance for Industry. US Department of Health and Human Services. 2018. Available online: <https://www.fda.gov/media/70858/download> (accessed on 3 November 2022).
- 42. Ministry of Food and Drug Safety. Guideline on Bioanalytical Method Validation. 2013. Available online: [https://www.mfds.go.](https://www.mfds.go.kr/brd/m210/down.do?brd_id=data0010&seq=13054data_tp=A&file_seq=1) [kr/brd/m210/down.do?brd_id=data0010&seq=13054data_tp=A&file_seq=1](https://www.mfds.go.kr/brd/m210/down.do?brd_id=data0010&seq=13054data_tp=A&file_seq=1) (accessed on 3 November 2022).
- 43. Zhang, L.; Zhang, Y.; Zhao, P.; Huang, S.-M. Predicting drug–drug interactions: An FDA perspective. *AAPS J.* **2009**, *11*, 300–306. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1208/s12248-009-9106-3)
- 44. Noetzli, M.; Eap, C.B. Pharmacodynamic, pharmacokinetic and pharmacogenetic aspects of drugs used in the treatment of Alzheimer's disease. *Clin. Pharmacokinet.* **2013**, *52*, 225–241. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1007/s40262-013-0038-9)
- 45. Defilippi, J.L.; Crismon, M.L. Drug Interactions with Cholinesterase Inhibitors. *Drugs Aging* **2003**, *20*, 437–444. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.2165/00002512-200320060-00003)
- 46. Bhadoriya, A.; Dasandi, B.; Parmar, D.; Shah, P.A.; Shrivastav, P.S. Quantitation of tadalafil in human plasma using a sensitive and rapid LC-MS/MS method for a bioequivalence study. *J. Pharm. Anal.* **2018**, *8*, 271–276. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpha.2018.01.003) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30140492)
- 47. Er, E.Ö.; Akkaya, E.; Özbek, B.; Bakırdere, S. Development of an analytical method based on citric acid coated magnetite nanoparticles assisted dispersive magnetic solid-phase extraction for the enrichment and extraction of sildenafil, tadalafil, vardenafil and avanafil in human plasma and urine prior to determination by LC-MS/MS. *Microchem. J.* **2019**, *147*, 269–276.
- 48. Yokoyama, Y.; Tomatsuri, M.; Hayashi, H.; Hirai, K.; Ono, Y.; Yamada, Y.; Todoroki, K.; Toyo'oka, T.; Yamada, H.; Itoh, K. Simultaneous microdetermination of bosentan, ambrisentan, sildenafil, and tadalafil in plasma using liquid chromatography/tandem mass spectrometry for pediatric patients with pulmonary arterial hypertension. *J. Pharm. Biomed. Anal.* **2014**, *89*, 227–232. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpba.2013.11.007) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24309556)
- 49. Ramakrishna, N.V.S.; Vishwottam, K.N.; Puran, S.; Koteshwara, M.; Manoj, S.; Santosh, M.; Chidambara, J.; Wishu, S.; Sumatha, B. Quantitation of tadalafil in human plasma by liquid chromatography–tandem mass spectrometry with electrospray ionization. *J. Chromatogr. B* **2004**, *809*, 243–249. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/S1570-0232(04)00515-X)
- 50. Rust, K.Y.; Wilkens, H.; Kaiser, R.; Bregel, D.; Wilske, J.; Kraemer, T. Detection and Validated Quantification of the Phosphodiesterase Type 5 Inhibitors Sildenafil, Vardenafil, Tadalafil, and 2 of Their Metabolites in Human Blood Plasma by LC-MS/MS—Application to Forensic and Therapeutic Drug Monitoring Cases. *Ther. Drug Monit.* **2012**, *34*, 729–735. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1097/FTD.0b013e31827318b8) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23128911)

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.