

# **HHS Public Access**

Author manuscript *Nat Neurosci.* Author manuscript; available in PMC 2023 September 01.

Published in final edited form as:

Nat Neurosci. 2023 March ; 26(3): 458-469. doi:10.1038/s41593-022-01250-y.

# A sleep-active basalocortical pathway crucial for generation and maintenance of chronic pain

Hang Zhou<sup>1</sup>, Miao Li<sup>1</sup>, Ruohe Zhao<sup>2</sup>, Linlin Sun<sup>1</sup>, Guang Yang<sup>1,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Anesthesiology, Columbia University Medical Center, New York, New York, 10032, USA

<sup>2</sup>Department of Neuroscience and Physiology, Skirball Institute, New York University School of Medicine, New York, New York, 10016, USA

# Abstract

Poor sleep is associated with the risk of developing chronic pain, but how sleep contributes to pain chronicity remains unclear. Here we show that following peripheral nerve injury, cholinergic neurons in the anterior nucleus basalis (aNB) of the basal forebrain are increasingly active during non-rapid eye movement (NREM) sleep in a mouse model of neuropathic pain. These neurons directly activate vasoactive intestinal polypeptide-expressing interneurons in the primary somatosensory cortex (S1), causing disinhibition of pyramidal neurons and allodynia. The hyperactivity of aNB neurons is caused by the increased inputs from the parabrachial nucleus (PB) driven by the injured peripheral afferents. Inhibition of this pathway during NREM sleep, but not wakefulness, corrects neuronal hyperactivation and alleviates pain. Our results reveal that the PB–aNB–S1 pathway during sleep is critical for the generation and maintenance of chronic pain. Inhibiting this pathway during the sleep phase could be important for treating neuropathic pain.

Neuropathic pain is a debilitating chronic pain condition highly comorbid with sleep disorders <sup>1–5</sup>. On the one hand, patients with chronic pain often experience sleep disturbances such as insufficient sleep duration, poor sleep quality, and insomnia <sup>4, 5</sup>. On the other hand, sleep disturbances frequently increase the risk of individuals developing spontaneous pain symptoms <sup>4–7</sup>. Despite the well-established relationship between poor sleep and chronic pain, the precise functions of sleep in chronic pain development are not known. Previous studies in humans and animals have linked the development of neuropathic pain with nerve damage-induced maladaptive plasticity in the pain pathway <sup>8–16</sup>. This includes increased activity, excitability, and synapse remodeling of excitatory neurons and disinhibition of local inhibitory circuits in the primary somatosensory cortex (S1) <sup>17–20</sup>.

Author Contributions Statement

Code Availability

<sup>\*</sup>Correspondence: gy2268@cumc.columbia.edu.

H.Z. and G.Y. conceived the project and designed the experiments. H.Z. and R.Z. performed  $Ca^{2+}$  imaging and EEG/EMG recordings. H.Z. and M.L. performed optogenetic manipulation and spine imaging. H.Z. and L.S. performed DRG imaging. H.Z. performed all the other experiments. H.Z., M.L., and R.Z. analyzed the data. All authors contributed to data interpretation. H.Z. and G.Y. wrote the manuscript with input from all authors.

Competing Interests Statements

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

The codes used in this study are open-source and accessible online.

In mice, continuous but not acute inactivation of S1 after nerve injury prevents sustained pain symptoms <sup>19</sup>, suggesting the protracted involvement of somatosensory cortical circuits in chronic pain development. Given the important role of sleep in cortical plasticity and function <sup>21–29</sup>, we investigated whether sleep contributes significantly to circuit changes perpetuating neuropathic pain.

# Activation of S1 during NREM sleep

To study the role of sleep in pain-related changes of somatosensory circuits, we examined the activity of S1 neurons across sleep-wake states in a spared nerve injury (SNI) model of peripheral neuropathic pain (Fig. 1a). Pyramidal neurons (PNs) expressing a genetically encoded calcium (Ca<sup>2+</sup>) indicator GCaMP6s in the hindlimb region of S1 were longitudinally imaged in anesthesia-free mice before and days after SNI with transcranial two-photon microscopy. To directly relate neuronal activity to the animals' brain states, we simultaneously recorded electroencephalogram (EEG) and electromyography (EMG) signals (Fig. 1b). As compared to pre-surgery, we found that  $Ca^{2+}$  activity in the somas of layer 2/3 (L2/3) PNs progressively increased 3-21 days after SNI during both wakefulness and non-rapid eye movement (NREM) sleep, but not during rapid eye movement (REM) sleep (Fig. 1c). Notably, this hyperactivation of S1 PNs was more pronounced during NREM sleep than during quiet wakefulness. Three days after SNI (the acute phase of neuropathic pain), 15% and 6% of PNs showed increased spontaneous activity under NREM and wake states, respectively, whereas 14 days after SNI (the chronic phase of neuropathic pain), 66% and 27% of PNs showed increased spontaneous activity under NREM and wake states, respectively (Fig. 1d). Together, these results show that S1 PNs are robustly activated during NREM sleep during the progression of neuropathic pain.

Previous studies have shown that local inhibitory interneurons (INs) in  $L^{2/3}$  of S1 shift their activity levels during neuropathic pain development: somatostatin-expressing (SST) and parvalbumin-expressing (PV) INs decrease activity, and vasoactive intestinal peptideexpressing (VIP) INs increase activity one month after SNI<sup>19</sup>. To better understand the time course of activity alterations in these three classes of cortical INs, we expressed GCaMP6s via a Cre-dependent adeno-associated virus (AAV) in Sst<sup>IRES-Cre</sup>, Pvalb<sup>T2A-Cre</sup>, and Vip<sup>IRES-Cre</sup> mice and imaged Ca<sup>2+</sup> activity of INs over time (Extended Data Fig. 1). During wakefulness, different from the gradual increase of  $Ca^{2+}$  activity in PNs over weeks after SNI, SST and PV INs displayed the lowest levels of activity ~7 days after SNI and remained lower than the pre-SNI baseline afterward. Notably, VIP INs reached the maximum changes in activity within 3 days after SNI, ahead of SST and PV INs, suggesting a crucial role of VIP INs in initiating S1 disinhibition. Further analysis of VIP IN activity during sleep revealed a higher level of Ca<sup>2+</sup> activity during NREM sleep than during wakefulness 1-7 days after SNI (Fig. 1e). Individually, 67% and 48% of VIP INs increased spontaneous activity 3 days after SNI under NREM and wake states, respectively (Fig. 1f). These results reveal a rapid and marked shift of VIP neuronal activity during NREM sleep in the acute phase of neuropathic pain.

# Silencing VIP INs during NREM prevents chronic pain

VIP INs have been shown to provide inhibition to SST and PV INs that directly inhibit PNs <sup>30, 31</sup>. To test whether activation of VIP INs during NREM sleep contributes to PN hyperactivation in the S1 and the transition from acute to chronic pain in mice, we decreased VIP activity in vivo using chemo- and optogenetic approaches. For chemogenetic inhibition, we specifically infected VIP INs in L2/3 of S1 with a Cre-dependent AAV encoding PSAM<sup>4</sup>-GlyR in Vip<sup>IRES-Cre</sup> mice crossed with Thy1-GCaMP6s mice (Fig. 2a). Binding of the ligand uPSEM817 to PSAM<sup>4</sup>-GlyR strongly suppresses neuronal firing in target cells <sup>32</sup>. Indeed, uPSEM817 delivered through an intraperitoneal injection induced a substantial decrease in VIP  $Ca^{2+}$  activity *in vivo*, which lasted for 5–6 h (Supplementary Fig. 1). Following SNI in mice expressing PSAM<sup>4</sup>-GlyR in VIP INs, we injected uPSEM817 once per day, either at Zeitgeber time 2 (ZT2) (corresponding to the rest phase of the mouse) or at ZT14 (the active phase), for a total of 5 consecutive days (the acute phase of neuropathic pain) and examined the activity of PNs 1 to 2 weeks later (Fig. 2b). We found that uPSEM817 treatments at ZT2 were sufficient to prevent SNI-induced PN hyperactivity. By contrast, uPSEM817 injections at ZT14 had only mild effects on decreasing PN activity in SNI mice (Fig. 2c). Moreover, chemogenetic inhibition of VIP INs during the rest phase robustly enhanced the activity of SST and PV INs, consistent with the connectivity scheme of VIP→SST/PV→PNs within the local circuits (Extended Data Fig. 1). When we measured the animals' pain behaviors over time, we found that continuous inhibition of VIP INs during the rest phase prevented the development of mechanical and thermal allodynia, whereas VIP inhibition during the active phase had no effects on the animals' pain sensations (Fig. 2d). Using a two-compartment conditioned place preference (CPP) test, we further assessed the ongoing pain in these animals (Extended Data Fig. 2). Four weeks after SNI, while non-treated SNI mice preferred to stay in the compartment paired with lidocaine analgesia, SNI mice treated with uPSEM817 during the rest phase showed no such preference in the CPP test (Extended Data Fig. 2), indicating the absence of ongoing pain.

As mice spent most, but not all, of their time sleeping during the rest phase (Extended Data Fig. 3), we next examined the effects of silencing VIP INs only during sleep using a lightactivated chloride channel <sup>33</sup>. We unilaterally injected an AAV expressing Cre-dependent halorhodopsins fused with a yellow fluorescent protein (eNpHR3.0-EYFP) into the S1 of *Vip*IRES-Cre mice and then implanted a cannula guiding a fiber-optics of 200 µm diameter right above the injected site to allow for light delivery (Fig. 2e). Post hoc histological analysis revealed that the majority of eNpHR3.0-EYFP<sup>+</sup> cells expressed Vip mRNA and that approximately 90% of the total population of VIP INs contained eNpHR3.0-EYFP (Supplementary Fig. 2), indicating that the laser light would mainly inhibit VIP INs. Two weeks after viral injection, following SNI on the contralateral hindlimb, we performed optogenetic inhibition daily in the rest phase, from ZT2-ZT10, for 5 consecutive days. During each optogenetic session, low-frequency laser pulses were applied intermittently (*i.e.*, 5 s ON and 35 s OFF) and temporally paired with wake, NREM, and REM states, respectively, based on EEG and EMG signals (Fig. 2e, Extended Data Fig. 3). On average, the total time of laser ON across 4–5 h NREM sleep was  $32.30 \pm 0.77$  (mean  $\pm$  SEM) min per day (n = 5 mice) (Supplementary Fig. 3). As a control, a similar time of laser ON (31.74

 $\pm$  0.76 min per day; n = 5 mice) was applied during wakefulness. The total time of laser ON for inhibition during REM sleep was 7.48  $\pm$  0.72 min per day (n = 4 mice). Compared with SNI mice that did not receive laser light, we found that light paired with NREM, rather than REM or wake, significantly increased the animals' nociceptive thresholds (Fig. 2f) and alleviated ongoing pain (Extended Data Fig. 2). In control mice expressing EYFP without eNpHR, light delivery during NREM had no effects on pain sensations. These findings demonstrate that activation of VIP INs during NREM sleep is critical for transitioning from acute to chronic pain after SNI. Suppressing VIP INs across the same amount of NREM sleep (4–5 h) but at a different time of the day (*i.e.*, ZT10–ZT2) had similar effects on pain relief (Fig. 2f, Extended Data Fig. 2), suggesting no involvement of circadian timing in this process.

# aNB→S1 cholinergic inputs are elevated during NREM

We next investigated the mechanisms underlying the increased VIP neuronal activity during NREM sleep. Cortical VIP INs express cholinergic receptors and can be strongly excited by acetylcholine (ACh) via activation of nicotinic acetylcholine receptors (nAChR) containing  $\beta$ 2 or a7 subunits <sup>34–38</sup>. Given intense cholinergic projections from the anterior nucleus basalis (aNB), a subnucleus of the basal forebrain, to the S1<sup>39</sup>, we tested whether S1 VIP INs are directly innervated by aNB cholinergic cells <sup>40</sup>. We first quantified the percentage of VIP INs that received monosynaptic projections from the aNB. VipIRES-Cre mice were crossed with Ai162 mice to visualize GCaMP-labelled VIP INs (Fig. 3a). The resulting mice were injected into the aNB with an AAV serotype 1 virus (AAV1) encoding flippase (FLP) recombinase to allow transsynaptic delivery of FLP into postsynaptic cells <sup>41</sup>. Simultaneously, AAV encoding FLP-dependent mCherry was injected into the S1 to visualize the postsynaptic cells (Fig. 3b). In the S1, mCherry<sup>+</sup> cells represented cells receiving monosynaptic projections from the aNB, among which mCherry<sup>+</sup>GCaMP<sup>+</sup> cells were VIP INs that received monosynaptic projections from the aNB (Fig. 3b). We found that ~16% of VIP INs were mCherry<sup>+</sup>; they accounted for ~24% of the total neuron population in L2/3 of S1 that received aNB $\rightarrow$ S1 long-range projections (Fig. 3b). In a separate experiment, we quantified the percentage of cholinergic neurons among the aNB $\rightarrow$ S1 projection cells. We injected red fluorescent Retrobeads into L2/3 of S1 to retrogradely label the presynaptic cells in the aNB and then used ChAT antibodies to label cholinergic neurons in brain slices (Fig. 3c). We found that ~95% of aNB $\rightarrow$ S1 projection cells were ChAT<sup>+</sup> cells (Fig. 3d). Together, these results indicate that ~16% of VIP INs in the S1 receive monosynaptic cholinergic inputs from the aNB.

The above findings suggest that aNB cholinergic inputs may have an important role in activating VIP INs during NREM sleep. To test this, we first examined the activity of aNB $\rightarrow$ S1 cholinergic terminals during NREM sleep. We expressed a Cre-dependent axon-targeted GCaMP6s <sup>42</sup> in the aNB of *ChAT*<sup>IRES-Cre</sup> mice and imaged axonal Ca<sup>2+</sup> in L2/3 of S1 (Fig. 4a). Compared to pre-SNI, aNB $\rightarrow$ S1 ChAT<sup>+</sup> axons exhibited increasing levels of Ca<sup>2+</sup> activity during NREM sleep 1–7 days after SNI (Fig. 4a), suggesting an elevated ACh release in the S1. Indeed, when we expressed iAChSnFR <sup>43</sup>, a genetically encoded ACh indicator, on the surface of VIP INs, we observed a marked elevation of ACh transients during NREM sleep within the first week after SNI as compared to the pre-SNI

baseline (Fig. 4b). Importantly, daily intracortical administration of dihydro- $\beta$ -erythroidine hydrobromide (DH $\beta$ E), an antagonist of  $\beta$ 2\*nAChRs, in the rest phase, abolished persistent allodynia in SNI mice, whereas antagonizing  $\beta$ 2\*nAChRs in the active phase had no long-lasting behavioral effects (Fig. 4c, d, Extended Data Fig. 4a). Furthermore, daily intracortical administration of methyllycaconitine, an  $\alpha$ 7\*nAChR antagonist, in the rest phase had only minor effects on SNI-induced mechanical allodynia (Extended Data Fig. 4b), and blockade of muscarinic acetylcholine receptors (mAChRs) in the rest or active phase had no effects on the animals' pain behaviors (Extended Data Fig. 4c, d).

Given the broad expression of nAChRs in cortical neurons <sup>44</sup>, we employed the CRISPR/ Cas9 gene editing system to specifically delete the *Chrnb2* or *Chrna7* gene <sup>45</sup> in S1 VIP INs under the guidance of a single guide RNA (sgRNA) sequence transduced via a constitutive AAV (Extended Data Fig. 5a). We found that genetic deletion of *Chrnb2* in VIP INs markedly reduced SNI-induced PN hyperactivation in the S1 (Extended Data Fig. 5b) and persistent allodynia in mice (Fig. 4e, f). Consistent with pharmacological inhibition results, the improvement in pain behaviors was very limited in SNI mice with *Chrna7* deletion in VIP INs (Extended Data Fig. 5c, d). Furthermore, conditional knockout of *Chrnb2* and/or *Chrna7* in SST INs, PV INs, or PNs had no effects on the animal's pain behaviors (Extended Data Fig. 5e–g), further confirming the prominent role of VIP  $\beta$ 2\*nAChRs in mediating neuropathic pain.

Some cholinergic cells co-release  $\gamma$ -aminobutyric acid (GABA) with ACh <sup>46</sup>. To test the potential involvement of GABA released from cholinergic terminals, we used CRISPR/Cas9 to selectively delete *Slc32a1*, a gene encoding vesicular GABA transporter, in aNB $\rightarrow$ S1 ChAT<sup>+</sup> projection neurons <sup>47</sup>. Following SNI, mice deleted of *Slc32a1* showed no apparent difference in nociceptive thresholds compared to scramble controls (Extended Data Fig. 6), suggesting little contribution of GABA co-release in the development of neuropathic pain. Together, these data indicate that the development of neuropathic pain involves elevated cholinergic inputs from aNB, which communicate with S1 VIP INs mainly through ACh and  $\beta$ 2\*nAChRs.

# Role of NREM-active aNB→S1 projections

To better understand the role of aNB $\rightarrow$ VIP INs in neuropathic pain development, we examined the effect of silencing aNB $\rightarrow$ S1 projections during sleep. Specifically, we injected into the S1 of *Vip*<sup>IRES-Cre</sup>; *Thy1*-GCaMP6s mice with Cre-dependent mRuby2-P2A-GCaMP6s and AAV2-retro (a variant of AAV2 permitting robust retrograde access to projection neurons <sup>48</sup>) encoding FLP, and simultaneously injected into the aNB with FLP-dependent Cre and Cre-dependent PSAM<sup>4</sup>-GlyR or EGFP (control) (Fig. 5a). This injection scheme enabled aNB $\rightarrow$ S1 projection-specific chemogenetic inhibition and Ca<sup>2+</sup> imaging of VIP INs and PNs in the S1. Following SNI, mice were injected with uPSEM817 once per day, at either ZT2 or ZT14, for 5 consecutive days (Fig. 5b). As compared to SNI mice expressing EGFP, we found that uPSEM817 treatment of SNI mice expressing PSAM<sup>4</sup>-GlyR during the rest phase reduced Ca<sup>2+</sup> activity in S1 VIP INs and PNs, indicating that silencing aNB $\rightarrow$ S1 projections during the rest phase prevents SNI-induced S1 hyperactivation. Notably, silencing aNB $\rightarrow$ S1 projections during the active phase had

no effects on SNI-induced persistent  $Ca^{2+}$  elevation in either VIP INs or PNs (Fig. 5b). Consistent with the reduction of activity in S1 neurons, mice with aNB $\rightarrow$ S1 projection inhibited during the rest phase, but not the active phase, did not develop chronic pain after SNI (Fig. 5c).

To silence aNB $\rightarrow$ S1 ChAT<sup>+</sup> projections specifically during NREM sleep, we expressed Cre-inducible eNpHR3.0-EYFP unilaterally in the aNB of ChAT<sup>IRES-Cre</sup> mice and then implanted 200-µm fiber-optics immediately above L2/3 of S1 to deliver the laser light (Fig. 5d) and EEG/EMG electrodes to monitor the brain states. Post hoc histological analysis revealed that the majority of eNpHR3.0-EYFP<sup>+</sup> cells expressed ChAT and that approximately 90% of the total population of ChAT<sup>+</sup> cells were eNpHR3.0-EYFP<sup>+</sup> cells (Supplementary Fig. 4). From days 1 to 5 after SNI, we performed EEG/EMG recordings in mice and coupled laser light with either NREM or wake states during ZT2-ZT10. The accumulative time of laser ON per day was  $36.10 \pm 0.91$  min during NREM or  $34.19 \pm$ 0.66 min during wake (n = 6 mice per group) (Supplementary Fig. 3). When we measured the animals' pain behaviors over time, we found that optical silencing of aNB $\rightarrow$ S1 ChAT<sup>+</sup> projections during NREM sleep alleviated allodynia (Fig. 5e) and persistent ongoing pain (Extended Data Fig. 2) in SNI mice, while inhibition of aNB $\rightarrow$ S1 ChAT<sup>+</sup> projections during wake had no long-lasting effects on the animal's pain behaviors. Three weeks after the last session of optical modulation, the nociceptive thresholds of mice treated during NREM were not different from those of sham mice, indicating that silencing aNB $\rightarrow$ S1 ChAT<sup>+</sup> projections during NREM sleep after SNI prevents the formation of chronic pain.

The development of neuropathic pain is accompanied by the structural remodeling of postsynaptic dendritic spines in S1 PNs  $^{18, 49}$ . We examined whether silencing aNB $\rightarrow$ S1 projections after SNI might prevent dendritic spine remodeling in the S1. Using a similar viral infection scheme as described above, we selectively expressed PSAM<sup>4</sup>-GlyR in  $aNB \rightarrow S1$  projection cells for chemogenetic inhibition and examined the dynamics of dendritic spines in the S1 of Thy1-YFP mice that express YFP in L5 PNs (Fig. 5f). Consistent with previous reports <sup>18, 49</sup>, we observed a marked increase in dendritic spine formation and elimination over 1 week after SNI in mice that express EGFP (control) in aNB→S1 projections (Fig. 5g). In contrast, in mice expressing PSAM<sup>4</sup>-GlyR and treated with uPSEM817 at ZT2, we found that SNI had no effects on the rates of spine elimination and formation in the S1. Mice treated with uPSEM817 at ZT14 continued to display elevated rates of spine remodeling after SNI (Fig. 5g). There was a strong correlation between the rates of dendritic spine remodeling in the S1 and the mechanical pain thresholds in mice (Fig. 5h). Collectively, these results indicate that inhibition of aNB $\rightarrow$ S1 projections during NREM sleep after SNI prevents the hyperactivity and synaptic remodeling of PNs in the S1, as well as the development of chronic pain in mice.

# PB inputs drive aNB activation during NREM

How does peripheral nerve injury cause aNB activation during NREM sleep? The basal forebrain is innervated by inputs from the parabrachial nucleus (PB) <sup>50, 51</sup>, a major region of the brain that relays peripheral nociceptive signals <sup>52, 53</sup>. PB–basal forebrain connections have been recently implicated in sleep modulation <sup>54</sup>. We, therefore, tested whether PB

contributes to aNB activation during NREM sleep after SNI. To validate the connections from PB to aNB, we injected red Retrobeads into the aNB and expressed EGFP via a Cre-inducible AAV in the PB of *ChAT*<sup>IRES-Cre</sup> or *Slc17a6*<sup>IRES-Cre</sup> mice (Extended Data Fig. 7a, b). Confocal imaging of PB revealed the colocalization of Retrobeads with ChAT<sup>+</sup> and vGLUT2<sup>+</sup> neurons (Extended Data Fig. 7c–n), indicating direct PB→aNB projections derived from diverse cell types. To determine whether the postsynaptic neurons in the aNB further project to the S1, we selectively expressed EGFP in the aNB neurons that receive PB projections by injecting AAV1-Cre and Cre-dependent EGFP into the PB and aNB of wildtype mice, respectively (Extended Data Fig. 8a–d). Confocal imaging of aNB showed the colocalization of EGFP with ChAT<sup>+</sup> and ChAT<sup>-</sup> neurons (Extended Data Fig. 8e, f). These EGFP-expressing aNB cells sent intense axon collaterals to L1 and L2/3 of S1 (Extended Data Fig. 8g). Together, these data validate the direct connections of PB→aNB→S1.

We then examined the activity of PB $\rightarrow$ aNB projection neurons during NREM sleep before and after SNI. We injected AAV2-retro-Cre and Cre-dependent GCaMP6s into the aNB and PB of wildtype mice, respectively, and then implanted a gradient-index (GRIN) lens above the PB to enable Ca<sup>2+</sup> imaging *in vivo* (Fig. 6a). Comparing to pre-SNI, we observed robust activation of PB neurons projecting to aNB during NREM sleep 1–7 days after SNI (Fig. 6b), suggesting that PB may play a role in activating aNB $\rightarrow$ S1 circuits during NREM sleep in the acute phase of neuropathic pain.

To test this, we first performed *in vivo*  $Ca^{2+}$  imaging in aNB $\rightarrow$ S1 projection terminals while optogenetically silencing PB-aNB projections. Specifically, we injected AAV2-retro-Cre and Cre-dependent axon-targeted GCaMP6s into the aNB and simultaneously injected Cre-dependent eNpHR3.0-EYFP into the PB of wildtype mice (Fig. 6c). This injection scheme allows the axonal expression of GCaMP6s in aNB neurons and selective expression of eNpHR3.0 in the PB cells projecting to aNB. We found that acute photoinhibition of PB $\rightarrow$ aNB projections dose-dependently decreased axonal Ca<sup>2+</sup> activity in the aNB cells projecting to the S1 (Extended Data Fig. 9a-c). Next, we coupled laser light with NREM or wake states during the rest phase, 8 h per day (ZT2-ZT10), for a total of 5 days following SNI (Supplementary Fig. 3). Fourteen days after SNI (*i.e.*, 9 days after the last optogenetic session), in vivo imaging was performed in L2/3 of S1 where Ca<sup>2+</sup> signals in axonal terminals of aNB neurons were visualized. We found that in SNI mice expressing eNpHR in the PB, light paired with NREM sleep significantly reduced  $Ca^{2+}$  activity in aNB $\rightarrow$ S1 projection terminals as compared to SNI mice expressing EYFP in the PB, whereas light paired with wake had no effects on SNI-induced elevation of  $Ca^{2+}$  activity in aNB $\rightarrow$ S1 projection terminals (Fig. 6d). These results indicate that silencing PB→aNB projection neurons during NREM sleep prevents the activation of aNB $\rightarrow$ S1 projections after SNI.

Behaviorally, photoinhibition of PB $\rightarrow$ aNB projection neurons during NREM sleep, rather than wake, alleviated allodynia (Fig. 6e) and ongoing pain (Extended Data Fig. 2) in mice after SNI. Consistently, using the design resembling the optogenetic experiments above, chemogenetic inhibition of PB $\rightarrow$ aNB projection neurons during the rest phase, but not the active phase, also prevented aNB axonal hyperactivity in the S1 and restored nociceptive thresholds in SNI mice (Extended Data Fig. 9d–g). Together, these results indicate that

increased PB $\rightarrow$ aNB inputs during NREM sleep contribute to the hyperexcitability of aNB $\rightarrow$ S1 projections in neuropathic pain.

Finally, we assessed whether the activation of PB during NREM sleep is due to increased nociceptive inputs from injured peripheral nerves. Using a newly developed imaging technique <sup>55</sup>, we performed Ca<sup>2+</sup> imaging of primary sensory neurons in the dorsal root ganglia (DRG) of *Thy1*-GCaMP6s mice before and after SNI during NREM sleep. We found that the spontaneous Ca<sup>2+</sup> activity of DRG neurons during NREM sleep was significantly higher within one day after SNI compared to pre-SNI and continued to increase over the next one week (Fig. 6f). Importantly, blockade of DRG sensory neuron activity by local application of lidocaine to the nerve injury site significantly decreased Ca<sup>2+</sup> activity in PB neurons during NREM sleep (Supplementary Fig. 5). These results indicate that activation of PB during NREM sleep is caused by the increased spontaneous firing of primary sensory neurons after nerve injury.

# Targeting sleep phase to reverse established chronic pain

The results above demonstrate the beneficial effects of silencing PB–aNB–S1 circuits during sleep in preventing the formation of chronic pain. However, whether this sleep-specific circuit modulation could effectively reverse established chronic pain symptoms remains unknown. To test this possibility, we chemogenetically inhibited S1 VIP INs during the sleep phase in mice with chronic neuropathic pain and measured the animals' pain behaviors over time (Fig. 7a). For these experiments, mice expressing PSAM<sup>4</sup>-GlyR in S1 VIP INs were injected uPSEM817 at ZT2 or ZT14 starting at 14 days after SNI. We found that daily uPSEM817 injection at ZT2 for 5 consecutive days was sufficient to alleviate allodynia (Fig. 7b) and ongoing pain (Extended Data Fig. 2) in SNI mice as compared to saline-treated controls. In contrast, uPSEM817 injections at ZT14 had no effects on the established pain behaviors.

Interestingly, when mice in the ZT14 group were subsequently administered uPSEM817 at ZT2 for another 5 days (Fig. 7a), allodynia (Fig. 7b) and ongoing pain (Extended Data Fig. 2) were reversed, indicating the importance of sleep-targeted inhibition of VIP neurons in treating chronic pain. Ten to 17 days after the last uPSEM817 injection at ZT2 (35 days post-SNI), the nociceptive thresholds of SNI mice remained comparable with those of sham mice. When we measured  $Ca^{2+}$  activity in S1 PNs, we found that inhibition of VIP INs during the sleep phase abolished SNI-induced PN hyperactivation (Fig. 7c). These results demonstrate that inactivating S1 in sleep reverses established chronic pain features at the cellular and behavioral level.

### Discussion

Despite the prevalence of neuropathic pain, there is limited understanding of how the pain pathway is persistently modified after peripheral nerve injury, leading to persistent pain sensations <sup>8, 9, 17–20</sup>. Moreover, while chronic pain is highly comorbid with sleep disorders <sup>4, 5</sup>, how sleep contributes to pain chronicity remains unclear. Here we show that due to the increased spontaneous firing of primary sensory neurons after nerve injury, the

hyperactivation of PB–aNB–S1 circuits during NREM sleep, but not wakefulness, plays a pivotal role in the development and maintenance of chronic pain (Fig. 7d).

Previous studies have shown that basal forebrain cholinergic neurons are primarily activated during arousal and movement in awake rodents but not during NREM sleep <sup>37, 44, 56, 57</sup>. Following nerve injury, our findings indicate that cholinergic neurons in the aNB are abnormally activated during NREM sleep, increasing ACh release in the S1. This enhanced cholinergic input drives the activity of VIP INs, mainly through  $\beta 2*nAChRs$ , resulting in PN hyperactivation in the S1. As sleep is essential for promoting neuronal plasticity associated with learning and memory consolidation <sup>24–27</sup>, the increased activation of S1 neurons during NREM sleep likely facilitates the persistent remodeling of the somatosensory cortex, which is vital for the maintenance of chronic pain. Together, our findings underscore the critical function of basal forebrain activation during sleep in promoting synaptic and neuronal circuit plasticity in the mouse model of peripheral neuropathic pain. In the future, it would be interesting to investigate whether the basal forebrain is also abnormally active during sleep in patients with chronic pain.

Our studies show that the activation of cholinergic neurons in the aNB during NREM sleep is driven by the inputs from PB in mice with neuropathic pain. The activation of PB neurons during NREM sleep is caused by the increased spontaneous firing of DRG sensory neurons after axotomy. Notably, both PB and basal forebrain are involved in sleep regulation <sup>58, 59</sup>. Previous studies have suggested that the projections from PB to aNB contribute to the maintenance and transition of brain states under various conditions, including arousal <sup>60, 61</sup>, whereas perturbations of basal forebrain cholinergic neuronal activity cause disruptions in the sleep-wake cycle <sup>58, 62</sup>. Thus, besides its role in initiating S1 plasticity and persistent pain, increased activation of the PB-aNB circuit after peripheral nerve injury may also contribute to sleep disruption. Indeed, while sleep macrostructure appears unaltered, mice with neuropathic pain show increased EEG power in the  $\alpha$  (8–12 Hz) frequency spectrum (Extended Data Fig. 3) and more frequent arousals during NREM sleep (Extended Data Fig. 10), which is in line with prior reports in patients with chronic pain <sup>63</sup> and animal models <sup>64</sup>. Furthermore, inhibiting PB-aNB-S1 in SNI mice reduces sleep fragmentation and restores EEG power distribution (Extended Data Fig. 3 and 10), suggesting the involvement of this circuit in neuropathic pain-related sleep disturbances. Future studies are needed to investigate the impact of the abnormal activity in the PB-aNB pathway on sleep to better understand the comorbidity of chronic pain and sleep disruption.

Our data highlight the sleep phase as the preferred timing for neuropathic pain intervention. Targeting the PB–aNB–S1 circuit, we have selectively inhibited different neuronal populations at specific timing (rest *vs.* active phase; sleep *vs.* wake) using chemo- and optogenetic approaches. In all cases, we find that neuronal inhibition during the rest phase or NREM sleep is maximally effective in achieving sustained pain relief, even in mice with established chronic neuropathic pain. It is important to note that the aNB also receives noxious inputs from the central amygdala <sup>51, 65</sup>, and the PB also sends outputs to other projection targets outside the aNB <sup>52</sup>. Thus, the formation and maintenance of chronic pain could involve sleep-dependent neural plasticity in other pain-processing regions as well. At present, we do not know how sleep-associated neuronal activation encodes chronic

pain memory in the neural network. We also do not know whether sleep alterations *per se* contribute to sustained cholinergic inputs leading to VIP neuronal activation in sleep. Nevertheless, our findings converge with prior work in other systems (*e.g.*, visual, motor), where sleep-dependent reactivation of learning-activated neuronal ensembles is critical for memory consolidation, and inhibition of neuronal ensembles during post-learning sleep disrupts the consolidation of the new information into stable memory (reviewed in <sup>24, 66</sup>).

In summary, our study uncovers a sleep-active PB–aNB–S1 pathway that is important for the development and maintenance of chronic neuropathic pain and suggests the prospect of developing time-controlled pain treatment approaches.

# Methods

#### Animals

Transgenic mice expressing GCaMP6 slow in L2/3 pyramidal neurons (PNs), Thy1-GCaMP6s founder line 3, were described previously <sup>67</sup>. Thy1-YFP-H mice (Jackson Laboratory; Stock No: 003782) were used for dendritic spine imaging experiments. AAV experiments were conducted with mice purchased from the Jackson Laboratory: C57BL/6J (Stock No: 000664), Vip<sup>IRES-Cre</sup> (Stock No: 010908) <sup>68</sup>, Vip<sup>IRES-FLPo</sup> (Stock No: 028578) 69, Sst<sup>RES-Cre</sup> (Stock No: 013044) 68, Pvalb<sup>T2A-Cre</sup> (Stock No: 012358) 70, Pvalb<sup>T2A-FLPo</sup> (Stock No: 022730) <sup>70</sup>, ChAT<sup>IRES-Cre</sup> (Stock No: 006410) <sup>71</sup>, Slc17ad<sup>IRES-Cre</sup> mice (i.e., Vglut2IRES-Cre; Stock No: 016963) 72, and Rosa26-LSL-Cas9-EGFP mice (Stock No: 026175) 73. All transgenic lines were backcrossed to the C57BL/6J background. Mice were group-housed in temperature- and humidity-controlled rooms on a 12-h light-dark cycle (light: ZT0–12; dark: ZT12–24) and were randomly assigned to different treatment groups. Two- to 3-month-old animals of both sexes were used, and the mouse sex information is listed in Supplementary Table 2. As no significant differences were observed between male and female mice, data from both sexes were grouped for analysis. All experiments were carried out according to protocols approved by the Columbia University Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee in line with the National Institutes of Health (NIH) Guidelines for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals. The experimenters were blinded to treatment groups.

#### Viruses

Recombinant AAV viral vectors were obtained from Addgene unless otherwise noted. Aliquoted AAVs were stored at -80 °C, thawed on ice, and centrifuged before use. AAVs were stereotaxically injected into the targeted brain region using a picospritzer (5 p.s.i., 12 ms pulse width, 0.3–0.4 Hz) at a speed of ~10–15 min per 0.1 µl, allowing another 10–15 min to spread at the injection site before withdrawing the glass micropipette. The coordinates for viral injections were as follows unless otherwise stated: L2/3 of S1 (anterior-posterior (AP) –0.5 mm, midline (ML) 1.7 mm, subpial (SP) 150 µm), aNB (AP –0.95 mm, ML 2.1 mm, SP 4.7 mm), PB (AP –5.2 mm, ML 1.25 mm, SP 2.6 mm). Viruses were injected into the S1, aNB, or PB within the same hemisphere of brain at least two weeks before experiments.

The titer (genome copies per ml,  $gc ml^{-1}$ ) and catalog number for each vector were as follows. AAV5-SYN-FLEx-Axon-GCaMP6s (1.5×1013 gc ml-1; Stock No. 112010), AAV1-CAG-FLEx-mRuby2-GSG-P2A-GCaMP6s (1.8×1013; 68717), AAV1-SYN-FLEx-NESjRGECO1a (2.4×10<sup>13</sup>; 100853), AAV9-SYN-FLEx-GCaMP6s (2.1×10<sup>13</sup>; 100845), AAV8-EF1a-fDIO-GCaMP6s (2.2×10<sup>13</sup>; 105714) AAV1-CaMKII0.4-Cre (1.6×10<sup>13</sup>; 105558), AAV9-CaMKII0.4-Cre (2.1×10<sup>13</sup>; 105558), AAV1-SYN-Cre (2.6×10<sup>13</sup>; 105553), AAV9-EF1a-fDIO-Cre (2.5×10<sup>13</sup>; 121675), AAV2-retro-PGK-Cre (9.3×10<sup>12</sup>; 24593), AAV2-retro-EF1a-fDIO-Cre (9.14×10<sup>12</sup>; 121675), AAV1-EF1a-FLPo (7.84×10<sup>12</sup>; 55637), AAV2-retro-EF1a-FLPo (1.1×1013; 55637), AAV1-EF1a-fDIO-mCherry (1.6×1013; 114471), AAV9-EF1a-DIO-eNpHR3.0-EYFP (3.9×10<sup>13</sup>; 26966), AAV9-SYN-DIO-hM<sub>4</sub>D(G<sub>i</sub>)-mCherry (2.7×10<sup>13</sup>; 44362), AAV9-SYN-DIO-hM3D(Gq)-mCherry (2.1×10<sup>13</sup>; 44361), AAV5-SYN-FLEx-PSAM<sup>4</sup>-GlyR-IRES-EGFP (2.0×10<sup>13</sup>; 119741), AAV9-FLEx-tdTomato (3.8×10<sup>13</sup>; 28306), AAV1-CAG-FLEx-EGFP (2.1×10<sup>13</sup>; 51502), and AAV9-EF1a-DIO-EYFP (2×10<sup>13</sup>; 27056). AAV5-SYN-fDIO-hM4D(Gi)-mCherry (5.2×1012; 1011; Canadian Neurophotonics Platform Viral Vector Core Facility; RRID: SCR\_016477). AAV1-FLEx-SaCas9-U6sgSlc32a1 (2.0×10<sup>13</sup>; 159905) and AAV1-FLEX-SaCas9-U6-sgRNA-scramble (2.0×10<sup>13</sup>; 124844) 47 were produced by Virovek (Hayward, CA, USA). AAV2/1-CAG-FLExiAChSnFR (3.4×10<sup>13</sup>; inventor code X513 v6) <sup>43</sup> was a gift from Dr. Loren Looger's laboratory at Janelia Research Campus. AAV5.2-U6-β2-sgRNA-SYN-mCherry (2.28×10<sup>13</sup>; 128343), AAV5.2-U6-a7-sgRNA-SYN-mCherry (2.04×1013; 128342) and AAV5.2-U6control-sgRNA-SYN-mCherry (2.26×10<sup>13</sup>; 128347)<sup>45</sup> were gifts from Dr. Ryan Drenan's laboratory at Wake Forest University.

#### Spared nerve injury

Spared nerve injury (SNI) or sham operation was performed under sterile conditions <sup>74</sup>. Mice were anesthetized with an intraperitoneal (i.p.) injection of 100 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> ketamine and 15 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> xylazine (Covetrus). A skin incision (~2–3 mm) was made in the thigh, where the tibial and common peroneal branches of the sciatic nerve were ligated and cut, leaving the sural nerve intact. Muscle and skin were closed and sutured in two layers. The sciatic nerve was exposed for sham operation but not ligated or cut.

#### Surgical preparation for in vivo imaging

To prepare a thinned-skull window for chronic imaging in the S1  $^{75}$ , mice were deeply anesthetized and a head frame was attached to the animal's skull surface with glue (Loctite 495) and dental cement (Metabond; Parkell). A small skull region above the S1 (AP –0.5 mm and ML 1.6–1.8 mm) was thinned to ~30 µm in thickness. A round coverslip (No. 0 glass) was tightly glued onto the thinned skull for protection during chronic imaging. Before imaging, mice were habituated three times (10 min each time) in the imaging platform to minimize the potential stress associated with head restraining and imaging.

To image neurons in the PB, a gradient-index (GRIN) lens of 0.5 mm in diameter and 6.049 mm in length (CLHS050GFT039; Go!Foton) was implanted above the PB following the attachment of the head frame. A custom-made needle (maximum diameter 0.5 mm) with a blunt tip was slowly inserted (~10 min mm<sup>-1</sup>) using a stereotaxic apparatus into brain tissues at AP -5.25 mm, ML 1.25 mm, SP 2.5 mm, and maintained for 20 min. Following the

needle withdrawal, a GRIN lens was slowly inserted through the tunnel to the same depth. The exposed end was glued to the skull and protected with a plastic cap. Buprenorphine  $(0.1 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}, \text{ i.p.})$  was administered for 3 days to provide post-surgical analgesia. Animals recovered for at least 14 days before experiments began.

To image primary sensory neurons in the dorsal root ganglia (DRG) of awake mice, a vertebral window was implanted as previously described <sup>55</sup>. Imaging took place 1 week after vertebral window implantation.

#### **EEG/EMG recordings and analysis**

An electrocorticographic (EEG) and electromyographic (EMG) recording headstage (~0.12 g in weight) was implanted together with the cranial or vertebral window to determine the animals' brain states during imaging. The headstage was custom-made by soldering a miniature multichannel connector to four electrodes, two for EEG and two for EMG recordings. Two small areas of the skull (~0.5 mm in diameter; ~0.5 mm in between) close to lambda and midline were thinned using a high-speed drill, through which EEG electrodes were inserted, touching the dura mater, and fixed in place using glue and dental cement. EMG electrodes were placed in the nuchal muscles.

Before recording sessions, mice were acclimated to the recording system (BL-420, v2.1) for 3 days (2 h per day) to ensure normal sleep expression (Extended Data Fig. 3a). During *in vivo* two-photon imaging, EEG and EMG signals were simultaneously recorded and analyzed *post hoc* to determine the brain states corresponding to each imaging session. For recording in freely moving mice, mice were habituated to the recording device for another 2 h before the signal collection. EEG and EMG signals were amplified 500 times at a sampling frequency of 5 kHz. EEG data were analyzed using fast Fourier transform (FFT) (matplotlib.mlab.specgram in Python), the spectrum of EEG waveform was generated with a 0.1-s sampling bin width and a 16384 (*i.e.*  $2^{14}$ ) FFT size (N<sub>FFT</sub>) using the Hanning window. The frequency resolution of the spectrum was 0.3052 Hz. In the EEG spectrogram (Fig. 1b), spectrum power values were generated between 0 and 30 Hz and normalized to the peak in each sampling bin. EEG power density at different frequencies was normalized to the total power in the respective state (wake, NREM, or REM) (Extended Data Fig. 3c–e).

# Ca<sup>2+</sup> and ACh imaging and data analysis

The genetically-encoded Ca<sup>2+</sup> indicators GCaMP6s and jRGECO1a were used for *in vivo* Ca<sup>2+</sup> imaging. A genetically-encoded acetylcholine sensor iAChSnFR <sup>43</sup> was used for *in vivo* acetylcholine (ACh) imaging. *Thy1*-GCaMP6s mice were used for Ca<sup>2+</sup> imaging of pyramidal neurons (PNs) in the S1 and primary sensory neurons in the DRG. For Ca<sup>2+</sup> imaging of interneurons (INs), 0.2 µl AAV9-SYN-FLEx-GCaMP6s was injected into L2/3 of S1 of *Vip*<sup>IRES-Cre</sup>, *Sst*<sup>IRES-Cre</sup>, and *Pvalb*<sup>T2A-Cre</sup> mice. In some experiments, AAV1-CAG-FLEx-mRuby2-GSG-P2A-GCaMP6s or AAV1-SYN-FLEx-NES-jRGECO1a were used for VIP Ca<sup>2+</sup> imaging. To image Ca<sup>2+</sup> in aNB→S1 cholinergic axons, 0.1 µl AAV5-SYN-FLEx-Axon-GCaMP6s was injected into the aNB of *ChAT*<sup>IRES-Cre</sup> mice. To image Ca<sup>2+</sup> in PB→aNB projection neurons, 0.1 µl AAV2-retro-PGK-Cre was injected into the aNB, and 0.1 µl AAV9-SYN-FLEx-GCaMP6s was injected into the PB of C57BL/6J mice. Seven to

10 days after that, a GRIN lens was implanted ~100  $\mu$ m above the PB for *in vivo* imaging. To image ACh in the S1, 0.15  $\mu$ l AAV2/1-CAG-FLEx-iAChSnFR was injected into L2/3 of S1 of *Vip*IRES-Cre mice.

*In vivo* imaging was performed using a Scientifica two-photon system equipped with a Ti: Sapphire laser (Vision S, Coherent) tuned to 920 nm. For Ca<sup>2+</sup> imaging in the S1, images were collected at a depth of 200–350 µm below the pial surface for detecting somas of L2/3 PNs, 150–300 µm for detecting somas of L2/3 INs, and ~150 µm for detecting long-range projecting axons, respectively. For Ca<sup>2+</sup> imaging in the PB through GRIN lenses, images were collected at 200–500 µm below the lens surface of the tissue-contacting end. For Ca<sup>2+</sup> imaging of primary sensory neurons, images were collected at 100–300 µm below the surface of DRG. Time-lapse imaging was performed at one focal plane for longitudinal comparison in each animal. All the experiments were performed using a 25× objective (1.05 N.A.) immersed in artificial cerebrospinal fluid (ACSF), with a digital zoom of 1× for DRG neurons, 1.2 or 1.5× for cortical INs, 2 or 2.5× for cortical PNs, and 4× for axons. All images were acquired at a frame rate of 1.69 Hz (2-µs pixel dwell time) at a resolution of 512 × 512 pixels. Image acquisition was performed using ScanImage software (v5.4; Vidrio Technologies). Imaging with excessive movement were removed from analyses.

Imaging data were analyzed using CaImAn <sup>76</sup> (Python version 1.9.12; released on Github.com). This automated analysis pipeline was used to correct the motion of raw image series (using NoRMCorre algorithm <sup>77</sup>), identify the active somas/axonal boutons on a statistical basis, calculate  $F/F_0$  [*i.e.*  $(F - F_0)/F_0 \times 100\%$ , where  $F_0$  is the baseline fluorescence], deconvolute  $F/F_0$  signals, and generate the analysis reports. For Ca<sup>2+</sup> imaging, active somas/boutons were defined as the morphologically constrained regions of interest (ROIs) with at least one Ca<sup>2+</sup> event during the 142-s imaging session. A segment of the temporal sequence of an ROI was accepted as a Ca<sup>2+</sup> event only if its average  $F/F_0$  was more than 1.5× signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) above baseline  $F/F_0$  and lasted longer than 0.9 s. 1.5× SNR was chosen empirically based on manual proofreading. The mean and SNR of baseline  $F/F_0$  were estimated by a 60-frame (~36 s) iterative window. The activity events were extracted by temporal deconvolution of  $F/F_0$  signals based on the improved fast non-negative deconvolution method <sup>78, 79</sup>. The figures show original views of fluorescent somas/boutons and active ones automatically extracted by CaImAn for comparison.

#### Dendritic spine imaging and data analysis

*Thy1*-YFP-H mice were used for imaging dendritic spines of L5 PNs in the S1 through a thinned-skull window. Dendritic spine dynamics were quantified using NIH ImageJ software (Fiji 2.3.0/1.53s) as previously described <sup>80, 81</sup>. For each dendritic segment analyzed, filopodia were identified as long, thin protrusions with a ratio of head to neck diameter < 1.2:1 and a ratio of length to neck diameter > 3:1. The remaining protrusions were classified as spines. A total of more than 150 spines were analyzed per animal. Spine formation or elimination rates were measured as the number of spines formed or eliminated between two views divided by the number of spines existing in the first view.

For VIP inhibition, 0.2  $\mu$ l AAV5-SYN-FLEx-PSAM<sup>4</sup>-GlyR-EGFP <sup>32</sup> or AAV1-CAG-FLEx-EGFP (control) was injected into L2/3 of S1 of *Vip*<sup>IRES-Cre</sup> mice.

To validate the acute effects of activating PSAM<sup>4</sup>-GlyR on VIP neuron activity (Supplementary Fig. 1), a 0.2 μl mixture (volume ratio 1:1) of AAV1-SYN-FLEx-NESjRGECO1a and AAV5-SYN-FLEx-PSAM<sup>4</sup>-GlyR-EGFP was injected into L2/3 of S1 of *Vip*<sup>IRES-Cre</sup> mice. To determine the effect of VIP inhibition on SST activity (Extended Data Fig. 1c, d), a 0.2 μl mixture (1:1:1) of AAV5-SYN-fDIO-hM4D(Gi)-mCherry, AAV8-EF1afDIO-GCaMP6s and AAV9-SYN-FLEx-GCaMP6s was injected into the S1 of *Sst*<sup>IRES-Cre</sup>; *Vip*<sup>IRES-FLPo</sup> mice. This design allows simultaneous Ca<sup>2+</sup> imaging of VIP and SST INs during clozapine *N*-oxide (CNO)-mediated DREADD <sup>82</sup> inhibition of VIP INs. To examine SST activity after daily inhibition of VIP INs (Extended Data Fig. 1e), a 0.2 μl mixture (1:1) of AAV5-SYN-fDIO-hM4D(Gi)-mCherry and AAV9-SYN-FLEx-GCaMP6s was injected into the S1 of *Sst*<sup>IRES-Cre</sup>; *Vip*<sup>IRES-FLPo</sup> mice. To determine the effects of VIP inhibition on PV activity (Extended Data Fig. 1f–h), a 0.2 μl mixture (1:1) of AAV5-SYN-FLEx-PSAM<sup>4</sup>-GlyR-EGFP and AAV8-EF1a-fDIO-GCaMP6s was injected into the S1 of *Pvalb*<sup>T2A-FLPo</sup>; *Vip*<sup>IRES-Cre</sup> mice.

To inhibit aNB $\rightarrow$ S1 projection neurons, 0.2 µl AAV2-retro-PGK-Cre was injected into L2/3 of S1, and 0.2 µl AAV5-SYN-FLEx-PSAM<sup>4</sup>-GlyR-EGFP or AAV1-CAG-FLEx-EGFP was injected into the aNB of C57BL/6J mice. To inhibit aNB $\rightarrow$ S1 projection neurons and image Ca<sup>2+</sup> in S1 PNs and VIP INs, a 0.2 µl mixture (volume ratio 1:5) of retrograde AAVs encoding FLP (AAV2-retro-EF1α-FLPo) and AAV1-CAG-FLExmRuby2-GSG-P2A-GCaMP6s was injected into L2/3 of S1; 0.2 µl mixture (1:5) of AAV9-EF1α-fDIO-Cre and AAV5-SYN-FLEx-PSAM<sup>4</sup>-GlyR-EGFP was injected into the aNB of *Vip*<sup>IRES-Cre</sup> ; *Thy1*-GCaMP6s mice. To inhibit aNB $\rightarrow$ S1 projection neurons and examine dendritic spine dynamics in the S1, 0.2 µl AAV2-retro-PGK-Cre was injected into the S1, and 0.2 µl AAV5-SYN-FLEx-PSAM<sup>4</sup>-GlyR-EGFP or AAV1-CAG-FLEx-EGFP (control) were injected into the aNB of *Thy1*-YFP-H mice.

To delete *Chrnb2* or *Chrna7* in S1 VIP INs and activate aNB $\rightarrow$ S1 projection neurons (Extended Data Fig. 5a, b), 0.15 µl mixture (volume ratio 2:1) of AAV9-SYN-DIO-hM3D(Gq)-mCherry and AAV9-EF1a-fDIO-Cre were injected into the aNB, and 0.2 µl mixture (3:1) of AAVs encoding sgRNA (or scrambled sequences) and AAV2-retro-EF1a-FLPo were injected into the S1 of *Vip*<sup>IRES-Cre</sup>; Ai162; LSL-Cas9-EGFP mice. This scheme of AAV injections allows examining Ca<sup>2+</sup> activity in S1 VIP INs in response to DREADD activation of aNB $\rightarrow$ S1 projection neurons. Notably, both EGFP and GCaMP6s were expressed in VIP INs, resulting in a higher *F*<sub>0</sub> and a lower *F*/*F*<sub>0</sub>, but the relative changes in *F*/*F*<sub>0</sub> still inform the changes in VIP activity.

To inhibit PB $\rightarrow$ aNB projection neurons, 0.1 µl AAV2-retro-PGK-Cre was injected into the aNB, and 0.1 µl AAV5-SYN-FLEx-PSAM<sup>4</sup>-GlyR-EGFP or AAV1-CAG-FLEx-EGFP (control) was injected into the PB of C57BL/6J mice. To inhibit PB $\rightarrow$ aNB projection neurons and image Ca<sup>2+</sup> in aNB $\rightarrow$ S1 axons, 0.1 µl mixture (volume ratio 1:5) of AAV2retro-EF1α-fDIO-Cre and AAV5-SYN-FLEx-Axon-GCaMP6s was injected into the aNB,

and 0.1 µl mixture (1:5) of AAV5-SYN-FLEx-PSAM<sup>4</sup>-GlyR-EGFP and AAV1-EF1 $\alpha$ -FLPo was injected into the PB of C57BL/6J mice. AAV2-retro not only infected the cells in the injection site but also retrogradely infected the projection cells in other regions <sup>48</sup>. This scheme of AAV injections allows imaging of the aNB $\rightarrow$ S1 projection terminals derived from the aNB neurons receiving inputs from PB (Extended Data Fig. 9).

For chronic inhibition experiments, mice expressing PSAM<sup>4</sup>-GlyR were administered 0.3 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> ligand uPSEM817 (6866; Tocris) i.p. daily, at either ZT2 or ZT14, from days 1 to 5 after SNI or sham surgery. For validating the acute effect of activating PSAM<sup>4</sup>-GlyRs on VIP INs in naïve mice (Supplementary Fig. 1), 0.3 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> uPSEM817 or saline was injected i.p. at ZT4. For other acute inhibition experiments, 0.3 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> uPSEM817 or saline was injected i.p. at ZT4 on day 3 after SNI. For acute manipulation, mice expressing DREADD-hM3D(Gq) or -hM4D(Gi) receptors were injected i.p. with 5 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> CNO (C0832; Sigma-Aldrich); for chronic inhibition, mice expressing hM4D(Gi) were injected 2 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> CNO at ZT2 from days 1 to 5 after SNI.

#### **Optogenetic manipulation**

To inhibit S1 VIP INs, 0.2  $\mu$ l AAV9-EF1 $\alpha$ -DIO-eNpHR3.0-EYFP was injected into the S1 of *Vip*<sup>IRES-Cre</sup> mice. To inhibit aNB $\rightarrow$ S1 cholinergic axons, 0.15  $\mu$ l AAV9-EF1 $\alpha$ -DIO-eNpHR3.0-EYFP was injected into the aNB of *ChAT*<sup>IRES-Cre</sup> mice. To inhibit PB $\rightarrow$ aNB axons, a 0.15  $\mu$ l mixture (volume ratio 5:1) of AAV9-EF1 $\alpha$ -DIO-eNpHR3.0-EYFP or DIO-EYPF (control) and AAV1-SYN-Cre was injected into the PB. Seven to 10 days after virus injections, a custom-prepared cannula was slowly inserted through the skull to the designated depth (for silencing VIP INs and aNB $\rightarrow$ S1 axons in the S1, SP 80–100  $\mu$ m; for PB $\rightarrow$ aNB axons in aNB, SP 4.4–4.5 mm) led by a stereotaxic apparatus. The animals recovered for at least 1 week before the experiment began.

During optogenetic experiments, a 595 nm laser (~5 mW mm<sup>-2</sup> in power) was generated by a fiber-coupled LED (M595F2; ThorLabs) triggered by a TTL pulse generator (OTPG-4; Doric Lenses) programmed in Doric Neuroscience Studio software (Doric Lenses). The laser light was manually turned on/off by experienced researchers based on the real-time EEG/EMG signals to pair optical modulation with different brain states. Following the transition of brain states, the laser light was turned on/off based on  $\sim$ 5–10 s epochs. The laser pulse with 5 s ON and 35 s OFF (0.025 Hz) was delivered during NREM sleep or wake, and 5 s ON and 5 s OFF (0.1 Hz) during REM sleep. For optical modulation during NREM sleep in the rest phase, the session started at ZT2 and ended at ZT10. The accumulative time of laser ON from ZT2 to ZT10 was ~34 min per daily session (Supplementary Fig. 3). In the wake modulation group, a similar number of laser pulses was applied at the same frequency during wakefulness. As mice spent less time awake in the rest phase, the optical modulation ended around ZT11 or 12, allowing the same number of laser pulses to be delivered. Optical modulation during REM sleep was performed during ZT2 to ZT10. As the total time of REM sleep was ~20 min during this period, we applied a higher frequency of laser pulses. The accumulative time of laser ON during REM sleep was ~7 min per day (Supplementary Fig. 3). For sham and SNI mice expressing EYFP (control) without eNpHR3.0, the same frequency and total time of laser ON (~34 min) were applied during

NREM sleep. In a separate experiment, the same amount of laser ON (~34 min per daily session) was applied during NREM sleep from ZT10 to ZT2 to examine the involvement of circadian timing in the development of chronic pain.

To image aNB $\rightarrow$ S1 axons during acute optogenetic inhibition of PB $\rightarrow$ aNB projections, a low-profile cannula was implanted at 66.7° angle horizontally (to avoid obstruction to the 25X objective during imaging) to reach the site (AP –0.95 mm, ML –2.1 mm, SP 4.4 mm) above the aNB. In Extended Data Fig. 9b, c, a single light pulse with 5 s-, 10 s- or 20 s-duration was delivered to characterize the responses of axonal Ca<sup>2+</sup> in the S1. For all the optogenetic experiments, the output of the LED laser was tuned to ensure the working power of ~160  $\mu$ W (~5 mW mm<sup>-2</sup>) at the tip of fiber-optics, which was adjusted individually for each custom-prepared cannula before implantation.

### Pharmacological inhibition of AChRs

To locally inhibit  $\beta 2$  subunit-containing ( $\beta 2^*$ ) or  $\alpha 7$  subunit-containing ( $\alpha 7^*$ ) nAChRs, 0.15  $\mu$ l DH $\beta$ E (1  $\mu$ M), methyllycaconitine (MLA, 10 nM) or vehicle (ACSF) was injected into the S1 (AP –0.5 mm, ML 1.7 mm, SP 100  $\mu$ m), once per day, at either ZT2 or ZT14, for 5 consecutive days following SNI or sham surgery. To locally inhibit muscarinic AChRs (mAChRs), 0.15  $\mu$ l pan-mAChR antagonist scopolamine (20  $\mu$ M) was injected. To locally inhibit M2- and M4-containing mAChRs, 0.15  $\mu$ l mixture of AF-DX116 (150 nM) and PD102807 (200 nM) was injected. To assess the spread of drug at the injection site, 0.15  $\mu$ l of Alexa Fluor 633 hydrazide (A30634; Invitrogen) in ACSF was injected, and the brain slices were examined using confocal microscopy.

#### CRISPR/Cas9 gene editing

To selectively delete the Chrnb2 or Chrna7 gene in S1 VIP INs, 0.2 μl AAV5.2-U6-β2sgRNA-SYN-mCherry that encodes a sgRNA sequence (ATCAGCTTGTTATAGCGGGA) for Chrnb2 or AAV5.2-U6-a7-sgRNA-SYN-mCherry that encodes a sgRNA sequence (GGTGAGCGGCTGCGAGTCGT) for Chrna7<sup>45</sup> was injected into the S1 of Vip<sup>IRES-Cre</sup>; Rosa26-LSL-Cas9 mice (for behavior tests) or VipIRES-Cre; LSL-Cas9-EGFP; Thy1-GCaMP6s mice (for imaging Ca<sup>2+</sup> in S1 PNs). To delete *Chrnb2* or *Chrna7* in SST or PV INs in L2/3 of S1, 0.2 μl mixture (volume ratio 1:1) of AAV5.2-U6-β2-sgRNA-SYNmCherry and AAV5.2-U6-a7-sgRNA-SYN-mCherry were injected at the coordinates (AP -0.5 mm, ML 1.7 mm, SP 200 µm) in Sst<sup>IRES-Cre</sup>; Rosa26-LSL-Cas9 mice or at the coordinates (AP -0.5 mm, ML 1.7 mm, SP 300 µm) in PvalbT2A-Cre; Rosa26-LSL-Cas9 mice. The same amount of AAV5.2-U6-control-gRNA-SYN-mCherry encoding a scrambled RNA sequence (GCGAGGTATTCGGCTCCGCG)<sup>45</sup> was injected into the S1 of control mice. To delete both Chrnb2 and Chrna7 genes in S1 PNs, 0.3 µl mixture (1:1:1) of AAV5.2-U6-\beta2-sgRNA-SYN-mCherry, AAV5.2-U6-a7-sgRNA-SYN-mCherry, and AAV9-CaMKII0.4-Cre were injected into L2/3 of S1 (AP -0.5 mm, ML 1.7 mm, SP 200 µm) in Rosa26-LSL-Cas9 mice. As a control, the same amount of AAV5.2-U6-control-gRNA-SYN-mCherry and AAV9-CaMKII0.4-Cre (2:1) was injected into L2/3 of S1 in a separate group of Rosa26-LSL-Cas9 mice. To delete Slc32a1 in aNB cholinergic neurons, 0.2 µl AAV1-FLEx-SaCas9-U6-sgSlc32a1 (sgRNA sequence, AGGAGCGCCGCCGCGCTGATA) or AAV-FLEX-SaCas9-U6-sgRNA-scramble (control)47 was injected into the aNB of

*ChAT*<sup>IRES-Cre</sup> mice. To delete *Slc32a1* in aNB $\rightarrow$ S1 projection cells, 0.15 µl AAV2-retro-PGK-Cre was injected into the S1, and 0.2 µl AAV1-FLEx-SaCas9-U6-sgSlc32a1 or AAV1-FLEX-SaCas9-U6-sgRNA-scramble (control) was injected into the aNB of C56BL/6J mice. SNI or sham surgery was performed on the contralateral hindlimb 3 weeks after viral injections.

#### Cell-type specific circuit dissection

To retrogradely label cells projecting to the S1, 0.1  $\mu$ l red fluorescent Retrobeads (LumaFluor) were unilaterally injected into the S1 of C57BL/6J mice. Brain coronal sections confirmed that the injected Retrobeads were contained in L1–3 of S1. To label the S1 cells receiving monosynaptic projections from the aNB, 0.1 µl AAV1-EF1α-FLPo was injected into the aNB and 0.2 µl AAV1-EF1a-fDIO-mCherry was injected into the ipsilateral S1 of Vip<sup>IRES-Cre</sup> mice crossed with Ai162 reporter line. VIP INs were visualized by immunostaining using an anti-GFP antibody. To label the aNB-derived cholinergic axons in the S1 (Supplementary Fig. 4), 0.1 µl AAV1-CAG-FLEx-EGFP was injected into the ipsilateral aNB of ChAT<sup>IRES-Cre</sup> mice. To label the aNB cells receiving monosynaptic projections from the PB, 0.1 µl AAV1-SYN-Cre was injected into the PB, and 0.1 µl AAV1-CAG-FLEx-EGFP was injected into the ipsilateral aNB of C57BL/6J mice. This experimental design was also used to visualize in the S1 the axons derived from the aNB cells that receive monosynaptic inputs from the PB. To retrogradely label the PB cells projecting to the aNB, 0.1 µl red Retrobeads were unilaterally injected into the aNB, and 0.1 µl AAV1-CAG-FLEx-EGFP was injected into the ipsilateral PB of Slc17ad<sup>RES-Cre</sup> or ChAT<sup>IRES-Cre</sup> mice.

For Retrobeads tracing experiments, mice were transcardially perfused 7–10 days after injections. For viral tracing experiments, mice were perfused 2–3 weeks after injections. For experiments combining the Retrobeads tracing and viral labeling, mice were transcardially perfused 2 weeks after injections. The fixed brain tissue was coronally sectioned for histological examinations to determine cell types.

Abbreviations of brain regions marked in the figures are as follows. AQ, cerebral aqueduct; CEA, central amygdalar nucleus; CP, caudoputamen; CUN, cuneiform nucleus; DR, dorsal nucleus raphe; fi, fimbria; GPe, external globus pallidus; GPi, internal globus pallidus; ic, internal capsule; LC, locus coeruleus; LHA, lateral hypothalamic area; MEA, medial amygdalar nucleus; MEV, midbrain trigeminal nucleus; MRN, midbrain reticular nucleus; NB, nucleus basalis; NI, nucleus incertus; PAG, periaqueductal gray; PB, parabrachial nucleus; PCG, pontine central gray; PPN, pedunculopontine nucleus; RT, reticular nucleus of the thalamus; S1, primary somatosensory cortex; scp, superior cerebellar peduncles; SI, substantia innominata; st, stria terminalis; uf, uncinate fascicle; V4, fourth ventricle; VTN, ventral tegmental nucleus.

#### Immunohistochemistry and in situ hybridization

Mice were deeply anesthetized and transcardially perfused with a phosphate-buffered solution (PBS) and 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA). The brain was removed and post-fixed in 4% PFA for 3 days and rinsed three times in PBS. Embedded in 1.5% agarose and

immersed in PBS, the brain was sectioned with a Leica vibratome (VT 1000 S) at 50 µm and 20 µm thickness for immunohistochemistry and fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH), respectively. Sections were post-fixed in 4% PFA for 1 h and washed three times in PBS. Floating sections were permeabilized and blocked at room temperature in 0.1% Triton X-100 with 5% donkey serum in PBS for 1.5 h and then incubated overnight at 4°C with primary antibodies: mouse anti-NeuN (1:500; MAB377; Millipore), rabbit anti-GFP (1:1,500; ab6556; Abcam), mouse anti-mCherry (1:500; SAB2702295; SigmaAldrich), mouse anti-CGRP (1:400; C7113; SigmaAldrich), goat anti-ChAT (1:400; AB144P; Millipore), mouse anti-ChAT (1:200; PIMA531382; Invitrogen), mouse anti-VAChT (1:300; 139103; Synaptic Systems), rabbit anti-VGAT (1:300; 131011; Synaptic Systems). The sections were subsequently washed three times in PBS and incubated for 2 h at room temperature with secondary antibodies: donkey anti-rabbit Alexa Fluor 488 (1:500; A21206; Invitrogen), donkey anti-rabbit CF488A (1:500; 20015; Biotium), donkey anti-rabbit CF543 (1:500; 20308; Biotium), donkey anti-rabbit Alexa Fluor 647 (1:500; A31573; Invitrogen), donkey anti-mouse Alexa Fluor 488 (1:500; A21202; Invitrogen), donkey anti-mouse CF543 (1:500; 20305; Biotium), donkey anti-mouse CF647 (1:500; 20046; Biotium), donkey anti-goat Alexa Fluor 488 (1:500; A11055; Invitrogen), donkey anti-goat CF543 (1:500; 20314; Biotium), donkey anti-goat CF647 (1:500; 20048; Biotium). The sections were washed and mounted in medium with (010020; SouthernBiotech) or without (010001; SouthernBiotech) DAPI for confocal imaging.

For FISH (Supplementary Fig. 2), brain slices were mounted on a slide. Pre-treatment procedures (post-fixation and dehydration) and fluorescent multiplex assay (Multiplex Fluorescent v2 Assay; ACD) were performed following the manufacturer's manual (323100-USM; ACD). An RNA probe for *Vip* (RNAscope Probe-Mm-Vip; 415961; ACD) was hybridized to *Vip* mRNA. A fluorophore (TSA plus Cy5; NEL745001KT; Akoyabio) was used to visualize the hybridized probes.

Confocal imaging was performed with a  $10 \times$  or  $20 \times$  objective on a Nikon Ti laser scanning confocal system (Nikon). Images were taken at  $1024 \times 1024$  pixels with the resolution of 0.622 µm pixel<sup>-1</sup> for visualizing somas and 0.104 µm pixel<sup>-1</sup> for visualizing axons and boutons. The fluorophores of Alexa Fluor 488/CF488A, CF543/Red Retrobeads/Cy5, and Alexa Fluor 647/CF647 were excited by 488, 561, and 640 nm lasers, respectively. A Z-stack of images was obtained at 2-µm and 0.5-µm step size for soma and axon/bouton visualization, respectively, and was projected at maximum intensity to generate the final multi-channel images, which were then analyzed using ImageJ software (Fiji 2.3.0/1.53s).

#### Pain behavior tests

**von Frey test.**—Mice were individually placed in clear acrylic boxes (10 cm  $\times$  7 cm  $\times$  7 cm) on a mesh table and habituated for at least 30 min before testing procedures. Dixon's up and down method measured the animals' paw withdrawal threshold <sup>83</sup>. In brief, a series of von Frey fibers were presented in consecutive ascending order. 50% response threshold was calculated as 50% g threshold =  $10^{(Xf + \kappa \delta - 4)}$  where X<sub>f</sub> is the value (in log units) of the final von Frey fiber used;  $\kappa$  is the tabular value for the pattern of positive/negative responses <sup>84</sup>; and  $\delta$  = mean difference (in log units) between stimuli (here, 0.2699).

**Dynamic tactile allodynia.**—Dynamic tactile allodynia was measured as previously reported <sup>85</sup>. In brief, the external lateral edge of the hind paw was lightly stroked by a paintbrush (5/0; Princeton Art & Brush Co.) from heel to toe. The tip of the paintbrush was trimmed blunt to avoid extra stimulation to the skin. The mouse's response was manually scored based on the criteria used previously <sup>85</sup>. Three successful tests were performed for each mouse at intervals of > 5 min to obtain a mean score.

**Cold test.**—Cold allodynia was tested using a custom-built cold plate. Before testing, mice were individually placed in a clear acrylic container  $(10 \text{ cm} \times 7 \text{ cm} \times 20 \text{ cm})$  on the plate at room temperature and habituated for 30 min. During testing, the temperature of the cold plate was set at 0°C. A stopwatch was used to record the latency of the withdrawal response of the hind paw with SNI or sham surgery. Hind paw lifting coupled with flinching was used to determine withdrawal latencies.

**Thermal test.**—Mice were individually placed in clear acrylic boxes ( $10 \text{ cm} \times 7 \text{ cm} \times 7 \text{ cm}$ ) on the transparent glass pane of an infrared plantar testing system (Model 37370, Ugo Basile, Italy) and habituated for at least 30 min before testing procedures. During testing, the lateral plantar aspect (the sural nerve skin territory) of the hind paw was stimulated through the glass pane by the thermal emitter, which delivers radiant heat at thermal energy level 15 as defined by the manufacturer's power scale. The latency of paw withdrawal was scored automatically. Each mouse was tested 3–4 times at intervals of more than 1 h to obtain a mean score. A cutoff time of 20 s was used to prevent tissue damage.

**Conditioned place preference.**—The conditioned place preference (CPP) test was performed in a two-compartment chamber (Ugo Basile; Italy). Before testing, mice were habituated to the chamber for 2 consecutive days with three 20-min sessions per day. In the pre-conditioning phase, animals were placed in the CPP chamber with the door removed and recorded for 20 min. The animals showing strong unconditioned preference (> 25% above chance level, *i.e.*, > 750 s in one chamber) were excluded. Mice were conditioned and tested in two separate sessions with saline or lidocaine injected into the surgical site. For the saline conditioning, mice were first subjected to vehicle injection (puncturing but without injection) and immediately confined to the randomly assigned compartment for 30 min; about 6 h later, mice were injected with 50 µl saline and immediately placed in the other compartment for 30 min. The procedures were repeated the next day. The mice were tested on the third day with free access to both compartments for 20 min. The subsequent lidocaine conditioning was similar to the saline conditioning, but lidocaine (0.2% in 50 µl saline) was injected instead of saline. The time spent in saline- and lidocaine-paired compartments were compared to assess the animal's ongoing pain.

#### **Statistics**

Summary data are presented as mean  $\pm$  SEM unless otherwise noted. No statistical methods were used to pre-determine samples sizes, but our sample sizes for in vivo imaging and behavioral studies are similar to those reported in previous publications <sup>19, 49, 86, 87</sup>. We tested the data for normality using the Shapiro-Wilk test and performed nonparametric (or parametric) statistical tests if normality was (or was not) rejected. We used Wilcoxon

rank sum and Mann-Whitney *U* tests (or *t*-test) to compare two groups and Friedman (or one- or two-way ANOVA) to compare more than two groups. Friedman's tests (or one- or two-way ANOVA) were followed by Dunn's test (or Bonferroni's test) when more than one comparison was made. We used the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test to compare the cumulative distribution of neuronal activity. All tests were conducted as two-sided tests. Significant levels were set at P < 0.05. All statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism software (v8.4). Statistical details can be found in figure legends and Supplementary Table 1.

### **Extended Data**



# Extended Data Fig. 1. Alterations in S1 inhibitory neuronal Ca<sup>2+</sup> activity are reduced by silencing VIP INs during sleep after SNI

**a**, Schematic of S1 local circuitry. **b**, SNI-induced changes in neuronal Ca<sup>2+</sup> activity (mean  $\pm$  SEM) in S1 of resting awake mice (*n* = 192, 93, 100, and 86 cells from three mice per group). PNs, *P* = 0.23 (day 1), 0.0026 (day 3), < 0.0001 (day 5–30); VIP, *P* = 0.017 (day 1), < 0.0001 (day 2–7), 0.0046, 0.0036; SST, *P* = 0.46, 0.68, 0.53, 0.034, 0.0043, 0.018, 0.014; PV, *P* = 0.083, 0.0006, < 0.0001 (day 5, 7), 0.0044, 0.023, 0.007. **c**, Top, experimental

design for chemogenetic inhibition of S1 VIP INs via hM4D(Gi) and Ca<sup>2+</sup> imaging of VIP and SST INs. Bottom, representative two-photon images of GCaMP/mCherry-expressing VIP INs (arrows) and GCaMP-expressing SST INs (bottom; 4 mice). **d**, Average Ca<sup>2+</sup> traces (mean with 95% CI) of VIP and SST INs before and 1 h after CNO injection (n = 168 and 226 cells from four mice). Acute inhibition of VIP INs (\*\*\*\*P< 0.0001) increases SST neuron activity (\*\*\*\*P < 0.0001) in SNI mice. e, Daily inhibition of VIP INs at ZT2 reduces SNI-induced SST hypoactivity (n = 217, 177, 190 cells from four mice per group; \*\*\*\*P < 0.0001). **f**, Top, experimental design for chemogenetic inhibition of S1 VIP INs via PSAM<sup>4</sup>-GlyR and Ca<sup>2+</sup> imaging of PV INs. Bottom, two-photon images of EGFP-expressing VIP INs and GCaMP-expressing PV INs (13 mice). g, Similar to (d), but for PV INs before and after uPSEM817 injection (n = 203 cells from four mice; \*\*\*\*P < 0.0001). h, Similar to (e), but for PV INs after VIP inhibition (n = 142, 116, 148 cells from three mice per group; \*\*\*\*P < 0.0001). Box bounds and center, quartiles and median; whiskers, min and max (d, e, g, h). \*P < 0.05, \*\*P < 0.01, \*\*\*P < 0.001, \*\*\*\*P < 0.001by two-sided Wilcoxon (b, d, g) or Kolmogorov-Smirnov test (e, h). Detailed statistics in Supplementary Table 1.

Zhou et al.





**a**, Schematic of experimental timeline and the conditioned place preference (CPP) test. Two weeks after viral infection, mice were subjected to SNI and daily inhibition of the target cells in the sleep or wake phase for 5 days. CPP tests were performed 2–3 weeks after the last inhibition session. **b**, Representative heat maps showing time spent in CPP chambers. **c**, Time spent in the saline- and lidocaine-paired chambers for individual mice (n = 5, 5, 5, 6 mice; saline *vs.* lidocaine, P = 0.87, 0.0004, 0.0001, 0.90; related to Fig. 2d). **d**, Time spent

in the saline- and lidocaine-paired chambers for individual mice (n = 6, 6, 6, 5, 5, 4 mice; saline *vs.* lidocaine, P = 0.81, 0.0035, 0.0063, 0.18, 0.74, 0.058; related to Fig. 2f). **e**, Time spent in the saline- and lidocaine-paired chambers for individual mice (n = 5 mice per group, saline *vs.* lidocaine, P = 0.91, 0.0022, 0.019, 0.66; related to Fig. 5e). **f**, Time spent in the saline- and lidocaine-paired chambers for individual mice (n = 4, 5, 4, 5 mice; saline *vs.* lidocaine, P = 0.36, 0.012, 0.011, 0.12; related to Fig. 6e). **g**, Time spent in the saline- and lidocaine-paired chambers for individual mice (n = 6 mice per group; saline *vs.* lidocaine, P= 0.11, 0.0010, 0.97, 0.49; related to Fig. 7b). Inset, experimental timeline. Mean ± SEM. \*P< 0.05, \*\*P< 0.01, \*\*\*P< 0.001, \*\*\*\*P< 0.0001; *NS*, not significant; by two-sided paired *t*-test. Detailed statistics in Supplementary Table 1.

Zhou et al.



# Extended Data Fig. 3. The sleep-wake structure and EEG power analysis in mice with or without neuropathic pain

**a**, Mean NREM sleep distribution (n = 5 mice per group). No difference in sleep pattern following 3- or 7-day acclimation ( $F_{(7, 64)} = 0.98$ , P = 0.45). The VIP-Cre transgene has no effect on sleep pattern ( $F_{(7, 64)} = 0.26$ , P = 0.97 vs. C57 3-day acclimation). **b**, Percentages of time in wake, NREM and REM sleep during the rest and active phase of the mouse (n = 5 mice). **c**, EEG analysis for naïve  $Vip^{IRES-Cre}$  mice expressing eNpHR (no surgery) (n = 4 mice per group). Experimental timeline for optogenetic inhibition (left), mean EEG

power density (right) and percentages of time (inset) in wake, NREM and REM sleep. VIP inhibition has no effect on EEG power intensity in wake (P= 0.68), NREM (P= 0.55) and REM (P= 0.89) sleep. **d**, EEG analysis for  $Vip^{IRES-Cre}$  mice expressing EYFP (n= 5 mice; related to Fig. 2f). Mean EEG power density in NREM (left) and quantification (right) before, 3 and 14 days after SNI. Inset, percentages of wake, NREM, and REM. SNI decreases the power of  $\delta$  wave (P= 0.0020, 0.24 vs. Pre) and increases the power of a (P= 0.014, 0.0050) and  $\sigma$  waves (P= 0.016, 0.017) in NREM sleep. **e**, Similar to (**d**), but for  $Vip^{IRES-Cre}$  mice expressing eNpHR (n= 10 mice; related to Fig. 2f). Daily inhibition of VIP INs reverses alterations in NREM power density after SNI ( $\delta$ , P= 0.033, 0.61;  $\theta$ , 0.27, 0.20; a, 0.0001, 0.86;  $\sigma$ , 0.0017, 0.60 vs. Pre). Mean ± SEM. Shading in **a**, **c**, **d**, **e**, 95% CI. \*P< 0.05, \*\*P< 0.01, \*\*\*P< 0.001; NS, not significant; by two-sided two-way ANOVA (**a**), Mann-Whitney (**c**) or paired *t*-test (**d**, **e**). Detailed statistics in Supplementary Table 1.

Zhou et al.





**a**, Left, schematic of experimental design to evaluate intracortical drug spread with Alexa633 hydrazide (0.15  $\mu$ l, 1  $\mu$ M). Confocal images of serial brain slices (middle) and quantification (right) showing Alexa633 fluorescence mainly restricted in L2/3 of S1 (n = 3 mice). L, lateral; ML, midline; D, dorsal; V, ventral. a.u., arbitrary units. **b–d**, Left, schematic of experimental design. Mice received daily intracortical injections of AChR antagonist (0.15  $\mu$ l), at either ZT2 or ZT14, from days 1 to 5 after SNI. Control

mice received vehicle (ACSF) injections. Right, nociceptive thresholds in mice. Vehicle controls (dashed line) were shared between (b-d) and Fig. 4d. b, Administration of methyllycaconitine (MLA, 10 nM), an antagonist of a7-containing nAChRs, at ZT2 has minor effects on alleviating SNI-induced mechanical allodynia in mice (n = 6 mice in ZT2 group tested for punctate pain; n = 5 mice per group for other tests; SNI-ZT2 vs. SNI, punctate,  $F_{(9,90)} = 2.50$ , P = 0.013; dynamic,  $F_{(3,27)} = 8.83$ , P = 0.0002; cold,  $F_{(3,27)}$ = 0.65, P = 0.59; hot,  $F_{(3, 27)} = 0.49$ , P = 0.69). **c**, Administration of scopolamine (20 µM), a pan-mAChR antagonist, has no effects on alleviating SNI-induced allodynia in mice (n = 6 mice per group; SNI-ZT2 vs. SNI, punctate,  $F_{(9,90)} = 1.49$ , P = 0.16; dynamic,  $F_{(3, 30)} = 0.50, P = 0.69; \text{ cold}, F_{(3, 30)} = 0.07, P = 0.97; \text{ hot}, F_{(3, 30)} = 0.78, P = 0.51).$  **d**, Administration of AF-DX 116 (150 nM) and PD102807 (200 nM), M2- and M4-containing mAChR antagonists, has no effects on alleviating SNI-induced allodynia in mice (n = 5 mice per group; SNI-ZT2 vs. SNI, punctate,  $F_{(9, 81)} = 1.99$ , P = 0.051; dynamic,  $F_{(3, 27)} = 1.07$ , P = 0.38; cold,  $F_{(3, 27)} = 0.95$ , P = 0.43; hot,  $F_{(3, 27)} = 1.63$ , P = 0.21). Mean  $\pm$  SEM. \*P < 0.05, \*\* P< 0.01, \*\*\* P< 0.001; NS, not significant; by two-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni's test (two-sided). Detailed statistics in Supplementary Table 1.



Extended Data Fig. 5. Neuronal and behavioral effects of CRISPR/Cas9-mediated cell-type specific *Chrnb2* and/or *Chrna7* deletion in S1

**a**, Experimental design for selective knockout (KO) of *Chrnb2* ( $\beta 2$ ) or *Chrna7*( $\alpha 7$ ) in S1 VIP INs and expression of hM3D(Gq) in aNB $\rightarrow$ S1 projections (left), two-photon images of VIP INs expressing GCaMP6s and Cas9-mCherry (middle), and Ca<sup>2+</sup> activity before and 20 min post-CNO (right). VIP INs increase activity upon activation of aNB $\rightarrow$ S1 projections, which is abolished with  $\beta 2$  KO. (non-KO/KO cells/mice; n = 53/117/three, 73/96/four, 61/106/four; KO *vs.* non-KO, P = 0.87, 0.0054, 0.23). **b**, Experimental design for  $\beta 2$  or  $\alpha 7$ 

KO in VIP (left), images of Cas9-EGFP<sup>+</sup> VIP and GCaMP6s<sup>+</sup> PNs (middle), and PN Ca<sup>2+</sup> activity before and after SNI (n = 208, 253, 253, 189 cells from three mice per group). SNI-induced PN hyperactivation is abolished after VIP  $\beta$ 2 KO (D14, wake,  $F_{(2, 1458)} = 6.91$ , P = 0.0005; NREM,  $F_{(2, 1458)} = 6.91$ , P < 0.0001). **c**, VIP  $\beta$ 2 or  $\alpha$ 7 KO has no effects on baseline nociceptive thresholds (n = 11, 5, 5 mice; punctate, P = 0.17, 0.40, 0.78). **d**, VIP  $\alpha$ 7 KO partially reduces SNI-induced allodynia (n = 6, 5, 5 mice; punctate, P = 0.24, 0.44, 0.017; dynamic, 0.035, 0.031, 0.0087; cold, 0.015, 0.10, 0.067; hot, 0.042, 0.27, 0.20). **e,f,g**, Experimental design for  $\beta$ 2 and/or  $\alpha$ 7 KO in SST, PV, or PNs in S1 (**e**), which has no effects on baseline nociceptive thresholds (**f**; n = 9, 9, 5, 5, 4, 4, 5 mice; punctate, P = 0.99, 0.13, 0.37, 0.75, 0.43, 0.83, 0.25), and SNI-induced allodynia (**g**; n = 9, 9, 5, 5, 4, 4, 5; SST- $\alpha$ 7 KO, punctate,  $F_{(8, 96)} = 0.09, P = 0.99$ ; dynamic,  $F_{(3, 36)} = 0.79, P = 0.51$ ; cold,  $F_{(3, 36)} = 0.02, P = 0.99$ ; hot,  $F_{(3, 36)} = 0.31, P = 0.81$ ). Mean  $\pm$  SEM. \*P < 0.05, \*\*P < 0.01, \*\*\*\*P < 0.001; *NS*, not significant; by two-sided Wilcoxon (**a**, **c**, **f**), Kolmogorov-Smirnov (**a**), two-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni's test (*vs*. SNI; **b**, **d**, **g**). Detailed statistics in Supplementary Table 1.



#### Extended Data Fig. 6. Characterization of a NB $\rightarrow$ S1 projections co-releasing ACh/GABA and their role in neuropathic pain

a, Schematic of experimental design. Retrobeads were injected into L1–L2/3 of S1 to trace S1-projecting cells in the aNB region (3 mice). b,c, Immunofluorescence images in the aNB region showing ChAT<sup>+</sup> cells (b) and colocalization of ChAT, GAD2, and Retrobeads (c).
d, Quantification of data shown in (c) (3 mice). Percentages of ChAT<sup>+</sup> cells expressing GAD2, S1-projecting (Retrobeads<sup>+</sup>) cells expressing ChAT, or both ChAT and GAD2. e, Left, experimental design and timeline for CRISPR/Cas9-mediated deletion of *Slc32a1* gene

(encoding VGAT) in aNB cholinergic neurons and labeling of their axonal boutons with synaptophysin (Syp)-mRuby. Middle, immunofluorescence images showing colocalization of VGAT and VAChT in Syp-mRuby<sup>+</sup> cholinergic boutons in S1. Right, percentages of VAChT<sup>+</sup> boutons expressing VGAT in control and *Slc32a1* knockout (KO) mice (n = 4 mice per group). Arrows, Syp-mRuby<sup>+</sup> boutons. Scramble, a control vector without *Slc32a1* sgRNA sequence. **f**, Left, experimental design for selective deletion of *Slc32a1* gene in aNB–S1 projection neurons. Right, nociceptive thresholds under various conditions (n = 6, 5, 6 mice). VGAT KO in aNB–S1 projection neurons has no marked effects on SNI-induced mechanical and thermal allodynia in mice (punctate, P = 0.067, 0.081, 0.057; dynamic, 0.068, 0.19, 0.0093; cold, 0.089, 0.99, 0.82; hot, 0.058, 0.048, 0.16). Mean ± SEM. \*P < 0.05, \*\*P < 0.01; *NS*, not significant; by two-sided Mann-Whitney *U* test (**e**) or two-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni's test (**f**). See detailed statistics in Supplementary Table 1.



Extended Data Fig. 7. Retrograde labeling of aNB-projecting neurons in the midbrain

**a**, Schematic of experimental design. Retrobeads were injected into the aNB to retrogradely label the aNB-projecting neurons in PB of *ChAT*<sup>IRES-Cre</sup> or *Slc17a6*<sup>IRES-Cre</sup> mice. ChAT<sup>+</sup> or vGLUT2<sup>+</sup> PB neurons were labeled by AAVs encoding Cre-inducible EGFP. **b**, Images showing red Retrobeads injected into the aNB region (AP -0.8 - 1.1 mm) (repeated in 3 mice). **c**-**f**, Coronal brain sections showing the distribution of Retrobeads in the midbrain 10 days after injection (3 mice). **g**, **h**, Percentages of aNB-projecting neurons in midbrain nuclei at AP -4.6 - 4.9 mm (**g**) and -5.1 - 5.4 mm (**h**) (n = 3 mice).

Inset: fraction of Retrobeads<sup>+</sup> cells in the lateral PB (IPB) and the medial PB (mPB) ipsilateral or contralateral to the injection site. **i**, Colocalization of Retrobeads<sup>+</sup> somas and NeuN<sup>+</sup> nuclei in PB. Arrows indicate Retrobeads<sup>+</sup>NeuN<sup>+</sup> cells. **j**, Percentages of NeuN<sup>+</sup> cells containing Retrobeads (3 mice). **k**, Colocalization of Retrobeads<sup>+</sup>, EGFP-labeled vGLUT2<sup>+</sup>, and ChAT immunoreactive somas in PB of *Slc17ad*<sup>IRES-Cre</sup> mice. Yellow and magenta arrows indicate vGLUT2<sup>+</sup>Retrobeads<sup>+</sup> and ChAT<sup>+</sup>Retrobeads<sup>+</sup> cells, respectively (3 mice). **l**, Percentages of Retrobeads<sup>+</sup> cells expressing vGLUT2 and/or ChAT in IPB and mPB (n = 3 mice). **m**, Confocal images of coronal sections from *ChAT*<sup>IRES-Cre</sup> mice. Upper, colocalization of Retrobeads<sup>+</sup> and calcitonin gene-related peptide immunoreactive (CGRP<sup>+</sup>) somas in the external IPB (eIPB) and the external mPB (emPB) (3 mice). Red and white arrows indicate Retrobeads<sup>+</sup> and CGRP<sup>+</sup> cells, respectively. Lower, colocalization of Retrobeads<sup>+</sup>, EGFP-labeled ChAT<sup>+</sup>, and CGRP<sup>+</sup> somas in mPB (2 mice). Yellow, white, and magenta arrows indicate Retrobeads<sup>+</sup>ChAT<sup>+</sup>CGRP<sup>-</sup>, Retrobeads<sup>+</sup>ChAT<sup>-</sup>CGRP<sup>-</sup>, and Retrobeads<sup>-</sup>ChAT<sup>-</sup>CGRP<sup>+</sup> cells, respectively. **n**, Percentages of Retrobeads<sup>+</sup> cells expressing CGRP in mPB and IPB (2 mice). Mean ± SEM.





**a**, Schematic of experimental design to label PB $\rightarrow$ aNB $\rightarrow$ S1 projections. AAV1 encoding neuron-specific Cre recombinase was injected into PB. AAVs encoding Cre-inducible EGFP were injected into aNB to visualize neurons receiving monosynaptic inputs from PB. The distribution of EGFP<sup>+</sup> axons across layers of S1 was examined. **b**, EGFP fluorescence superimposed on the light field images of the aNB region at various AP coordinates (3 mice). **c**, High-magnitude images of the aNB region at various AP coordinates, stained

for DAPI. Arrows indicate EGFP<sup>+</sup> cells receiving monosynaptic inputs from PB. **d**, Distribution of basal forebrain cells receiving monosynaptic inputs from PB (n = 3 mice). **e**, Immunofluorescence coronal sections of the basal forebrain showing the colocalization of EGFP<sup>+</sup> and ChAT immunoreactive (ChAT<sup>+</sup>) cells (3 mice). Blue dashed lines profile the empirical region of NB with the hallmark of magnocellular neurons. **f**, Left, representative images of aNB at different AP coordinates showing the heterogenous distribution of ChAT<sup>+</sup> cells receiving monosynaptic inputs from PB (3 mice). Right, percentages of aNB ChAT<sup>+</sup> cells receiving monosynaptic inputs from PB, in the rostral (AP –0.45 – -0.80 mm) and caudal (AP –0.80 – -1.15 mm) regions. **g**, Intensity distribution of EGFP<sup>+</sup> axons (derived from aNB neurons receiving PB projections) across layers of S1 (n = 3 mice) compared with the distribution of projections from aNB ChAT<sup>+</sup> cells (black line, from Supplemental Fig. 4; n = 4 mice). Mean ± SEM. L, lateral; ML, midline; D, dorsal; V, ventral; ic, internal capsule; GPe, external globus pallidus; GPi, internal globus pallidus; SI, substantia innominate.



# Extended Data Fig. 9. Silencing PB→aNB projections during sleep reduces aNB→S1 projection hyperactivity and allodynia after SNI

**a-c**, Schematic of experimental design for optogenetic inhibition of PB $\rightarrow$ aNB terminals (**a**), its acute effects on aNB axonal Ca<sup>2+</sup> in S1 (**b**; solid lines, mean changes in Ca<sup>2+</sup> signals; shading, 95% CI), and quantification of peak Ca<sup>2+</sup> activity immediately following light stimulation (**c**; *n* = 137 boutons from three mice; wake, *P* = 0.84, 0.036, 0.0004; NREM, *P* = 0.033, 0.0003, < 0.0001). **d**, Schematic of viral injections for chemogenetic inhibition of PB $\rightarrow$ aNB projection cells and Ca<sup>2+</sup> imaging in aNB $\rightarrow$ S1 axons of the aNB cells receiving PB projections. **e**, Within 1–1.5 h after uPSEM817 administration (0.3 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>; i.p. at ZT4) to inhibit PB $\rightarrow$ aNB projection cells, axonal Ca<sup>2+</sup> in aNB $\rightarrow$ S1 projections was decreased more in NREM than in wake (*n* = 250 boutons from five mice; *P*<0.0001). **f**, Daily

inhibition of PB→aNB projection cells at ZT2 for 5 days following SNI effectively reduces aNB→S1 axonal Ca<sup>2+</sup> activity on day 14 (n = 147, 161, 143, 159 boutons from three mice; SNI-ZT2 vs. SNI, P = 0.0005 wake, < 0.0001 NREM). g, Daily inhibition of PB→aNB projections at ZT2 for 5 days following SNI, reduces punctate (P = 0.0018, 0.0002, 0.0007), dynamic (P = 0.0005, < 0.0001, < 0.0001), cold (P = 0.013, 0.0002, 0.0014), and hot (P = 0.0050, 0.0007, 0.0009) allodynia 14, 21 and 28 days after SNI mice (n = 5 mice per group). IR: infrared radiant. Mean ± SEM. \*P < 0.05, \*\*P < 0.01, \*\*\*P < 0.001, \*\*\*\*P < 0.0001; NS, not significant; by two-sided paired t (c), Wilcoxon (e), Kolmogorov-Smirnov (f) or two-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni's test (g). Detailed statistics in Supplementary Table 1.



# Extended Data Fig. 10. Inhibition of PB→aNB→S1 circuits during sleep reduces sleep fragmentation in SNI mice

**a-c**, Number of wake (**a**), NREM (**b**), REM (**c**) episodes per hour. EEG/EMG recordings were performed during ZT2-ZT10. SNI increases the number of wake/NREM/REM episodes in the rest phase of the mouse (indicative of sleep fragmentation), which is mitigated after opto- or chemogenetic inhibition of PB–aNB–S1 circuits (n = 12, 11, 1) 13, 5, 5, 5, 5, 10, 10 mice). Treatment I-IV vs. D14, P = 0.0019 (wake), < 0.0001 (NREM), 0.0002 (REM); Treatment V vs. D34, P = 0.0007 (wake), 0.0007 (NREM), 0.0001 (REM). **d–h**, Number of transitions between brain states per hour. EEG/EMG recordings were performed during ZT2–ZT10. SNI increases the number of transitions between brain states in the rest phase of the mouse, which is mitigated after opto- or chemogenetic inhibition of PB–aNB–S1 circuits (n = 12, 11, 13, 5, 5, 5, 5, 10, 10mice). Treatment I–IV vs. D14, P = 0.0025 (wake $\rightarrow$ NREM), 0.0057 (NREM $\rightarrow$ wake), 0.0002 (NREM $\rightarrow$ REM), 0.0003 (REM $\rightarrow$ NREM), 0.0012 (REM $\rightarrow$ wake); treatment V vs. D34, P = 0.0007 (wake  $\rightarrow$  NREM), 0.043 (NREM  $\rightarrow$  wake), 0.0001 (NREM  $\rightarrow$  REM), 0.81  $(\text{REM} \rightarrow \text{NREM}), < 0.0001$  (REM $\rightarrow$  wake). Mice in treatment groups I–V are the same mice shown in Figs. 2f, 5e, 6e, and 7b. Pie graphs indicate the fraction of mice with (>  $1 \times SD$ ) or without (<  $1 \times$  SD) changes in sleep compared to pre-SNI, where  $1 \times$  SD is an arbitrary threshold. Mean  $\pm$  SEM. \*P < 0.05, \*\*P < 0.01, \*\*\*P < 0.001, \*\*\*\*P < 0.0001; NS, not significant; by two-sided Mann-Whitney Utest. Grey symbols, vs. pre-SNI. Black symbols, vs. D14 or D34 post-SNI. Detailed statistics in Supplementary Table 1.

### Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

# Acknowledgments

We thank Dr. Wenbiao Gan for providing *Thy1*-GCaMP6s mice, Dr. Loren Looger for providing the iAChSnFR sensor, Dr. Ryan Drenan for providing AAVs encoding *Chrnb2* and *Chrna7* sgRNA sequences, and Yang Lab members for helpful discussion. This work was supported by National Institutes of Health grants R01AA027108 (to G.Y.), R35GM131765 (to G.Y.), and the Columbia University Medical Center Target of Opportunity award (to G.Y.).

# Data Availability

All data are available in the main text or the supplementary materials.

### References

- 1. Colloca L, et al. Neuropathic pain. Nat Rev Dis Primers 3, 17002 (2017). [PubMed: 28205574]
- Campbell JN & Meyer RA Mechanisms of neuropathic pain. Neuron 52, 77–92 (2006). [PubMed: 17015228]
- Woolf CJ & Mannion RJ Neuropathic pain: aetiology, symptoms, mechanisms, and management. Lancet 353, 1959–1964 (1999). [PubMed: 10371588]
- 4. Finan PH, Goodin BR & Smith MT The association of sleep and pain: an update and a path forward. J Pain 14, 1539–1552 (2013). [PubMed: 24290442]
- Haack M, Simpson N, Sethna N, Kaur S & Mullington J Sleep deficiency and chronic pain: potential underlying mechanisms and clinical implications. Neuropsychopharmacology 45, 205–216 (2020). [PubMed: 31207606]

- Alexandre C, et al. Decreased alertness due to sleep loss increases pain sensitivity in mice. Nat Med 23, 768–774 (2017). [PubMed: 28481358]
- 7. Choy EH The role of sleep in pain and fibromyalgia. Nat Rev Rheumatol 11, 513–520 (2015). [PubMed: 25907704]
- Santello M & Nevian T Dysfunction of cortical dendritic integration in neuropathic pain reversed by serotoninergic neuromodulation. Neuron 86, 233–246 (2015). [PubMed: 25819610]
- Woolf CJ & Salter MW Neuronal plasticity: increasing the gain in pain. Science 288, 1765–1769 (2000). [PubMed: 10846153]
- Kuner R & Flor H Structural plasticity and reorganisation in chronic pain. Nat Rev Neurosci 18, 20–30 (2016). [PubMed: 27974843]
- Bliss TV, Collingridge GL, Kaang BK & Zhuo M Synaptic plasticity in the anterior cingulate cortex in acute and chronic pain. Nat Rev Neurosci 17, 485–496 (2016). [PubMed: 27307118]
- Huang J, et al. A neuronal circuit for activating descending modulation of neuropathic pain. Nat Neurosci 22, 1659–1668 (2019). [PubMed: 31501573]
- Liu Y, et al. Touch and tactile neuropathic pain sensitivity are set by corticospinal projections. Nature 561, 547–550 (2018). [PubMed: 30209395]
- 14. Segerdahl AR, Mezue M, Okell TW, Farrar JT & Tracey I The dorsal posterior insula subserves a fundamental role in human pain. Nat Neurosci 18, 499–500 (2015). [PubMed: 25751532]
- Basbaum AI, Bautista DM, Scherrer G & Julius D Cellular and molecular mechanisms of pain. Cell 139, 267–284 (2009). [PubMed: 19837031]
- Hua T, et al. General anesthetics activate a potent central pain-suppression circuit in the amygdala. Nat Neurosci 23, 854–868 (2020). [PubMed: 32424286]
- Eto K, et al. Inter-regional contribution of enhanced activity of the primary somatosensory cortex to the anterior cingulate cortex accelerates chronic pain behavior. J Neurosci 31, 7631–7636 (2011). [PubMed: 21613476]
- Kim SK & Nabekura J Rapid synaptic remodeling in the adult somatosensory cortex following peripheral nerve injury and its association with neuropathic pain. J Neurosci 31, 5477–5482 (2011). [PubMed: 21471384]
- Cichon J, Blanck TJJ, Gan WB & Yang G Activation of cortical somatostatin interneurons prevents the development of neuropathic pain. Nat Neurosci 20, 1122–1132 (2017). [PubMed: 28671692]
- 20. Okada T, et al. Pain induces stable, active microcircuits in the somatosensory cortex that provide a therapeutic target. Sci Adv 7 (2021).
- 21. Rolls A, et al. Sleep to forget: interference of fear memories during sleep. Mol Psychiatry 18, 1166–1170 (2013). [PubMed: 24081009]
- 22. Aton SJ, et al. Visual experience and subsequent sleep induce sequential plastic changes in putative inhibitory and excitatory cortical neurons. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 110, 3101–3106 (2013). [PubMed: 23300282]
- Aton SJ, et al. Mechanisms of sleep-dependent consolidation of cortical plasticity. Neuron 61, 454–466 (2009). [PubMed: 19217381]
- 24. Klinzing JG, Niethard N & Born J Mechanisms of systems memory consolidation during sleep. Nat Neurosci 22, 1598–1610 (2019). [PubMed: 31451802]
- 25. Euston DR, Tatsuno M & McNaughton BL Fast-forward playback of recent memory sequences in prefrontal cortex during sleep. Science 318, 1147–1150 (2007). [PubMed: 18006749]
- 26. Li W, Ma L, Yang G & Gan WB REM sleep selectively prunes and maintains new synapses in development and learning. Nat Neurosci 20, 427–437 (2017). [PubMed: 28092659]
- Yang G, et al. Sleep promotes branch-specific formation of dendritic spines after learning. Science 344, 1173–1178 (2014). [PubMed: 24904169]
- Frank MG, Issa NP & Stryker MP Sleep enhances plasticity in the developing visual cortex. Neuron 30, 275–287 (2001). [PubMed: 11343661]
- 29. Chauvette S, Seigneur J & Timofeev I Sleep oscillations in the thalamocortical system induce long-term neuronal plasticity. Neuron 75, 1105–1113 (2012). [PubMed: 22998877]

- Pfeffer CK, Xue M, He M, Huang ZJ & Scanziani M Inhibition of inhibition in visual cortex: the logic of connections between molecularly distinct interneurons. Nat Neurosci 16, 1068–1076 (2013). [PubMed: 23817549]
- Pi HJ, et al. Cortical interneurons that specialize in disinhibitory control. Nature 503, 521–524 (2013). [PubMed: 24097352]
- 32. Magnus CJ, et al. Ultrapotent chemogenetics for research and potential clinical applications. Science 364 (2019).
- Gradinaru V, et al. Molecular and cellular approaches for diversifying and extending optogenetics. Cell 141, 154–165 (2010). [PubMed: 20303157]
- Alitto HJ & Dan Y Cell-type-specific modulation of neocortical activity by basal forebrain input. Front Syst Neurosci 6, 79 (2012). [PubMed: 23316142]
- Letzkus JJ, et al. A disinhibitory microcircuit for associative fear learning in the auditory cortex. Nature 480, 331–335 (2011). [PubMed: 22158104]
- Askew CE, Lopez AJ, Wood MA & Metherate R Nicotine excites VIP interneurons to disinhibit pyramidal neurons in auditory cortex. Synapse 73, e22116 (2019). [PubMed: 31081950]
- Zaborszky L, et al. Specific Basal Forebrain-Cortical Cholinergic Circuits Coordinate Cognitive Operations. J Neurosci 38, 9446–9458 (2018). [PubMed: 30381436]
- 38. Fu Y, et al. A cortical circuit for gain control by behavioral state. Cell 156, 1139–1152 (2014). [PubMed: 24630718]
- Kim JH, et al. Selectivity of Neuromodulatory Projections from the Basal Forebrain and Locus Ceruleus to Primary Sensory Cortices. J Neurosci 36, 5314–5327 (2016). [PubMed: 27170128]
- Wall NR, et al. Brain-Wide Maps of Synaptic Input to Cortical Interneurons. J Neurosci 36, 4000– 4009 (2016). [PubMed: 27053207]
- Zingg B, et al. AAV-Mediated Anterograde Transsynaptic Tagging: Mapping Corticocollicular Input-Defined Neural Pathways for Defense Behaviors. Neuron 93, 33–47 (2017). [PubMed: 27989459]
- Broussard GJ, et al. In vivo measurement of afferent activity with axon-specific calcium imaging. Nat Neurosci 21, 1272–1280 (2018). [PubMed: 30127424]
- 43. Borden PM, et al. A fast genetically encoded fluorescent sensor for faithful in vivo acetylcholine detection in mice, fish, worms and flies. BioRxiv, 10.1101/2020.02.07.939504 (2020).
- Ballinger EC, Ananth M, Talmage DA & Role LW Basal Forebrain Cholinergic Circuits and Signaling in Cognition and Cognitive Decline. Neuron 91, 1199–1218 (2016). [PubMed: 27657448]
- 45. Peng C, et al. Gene editing vectors for studying nicotinic acetylcholine receptors in cholinergic transmission. Eur J Neurosci 50, 2224–2238 (2019). [PubMed: 29779223]
- 46. Saunders A, et al. A direct GABAergic output from the basal ganglia to frontal cortex. Nature 521, 85–89 (2015). [PubMed: 25739505]
- Hunker AC, et al. Conditional Single Vector CRISPR/SaCas9 Viruses for Efficient Mutagenesis in the Adult Mouse Nervous System. Cell Rep 30, 4303–4316 e4306 (2020). [PubMed: 32209486]
- Tervo DG, et al. A Designer AAV Variant Permits Efficient Retrograde Access to Projection Neurons. Neuron 92, 372–382 (2016). [PubMed: 27720486]
- Huang L, et al. BDNF produced by cerebral microglia promotes cortical plasticity and pain hypersensitivity after peripheral nerve injury. PLoS Biol 19, e3001337 (2021). [PubMed: 34292944]
- Saper CB & Loewy AD Efferent connections of the parabrachial nucleus in the rat. Brain Res 197, 291–317 (1980). [PubMed: 7407557]
- Hu R, Jin S, He X, Xu F & Hu J Whole-Brain Monosynaptic Afferent Inputs to Basal Forebrain Cholinergic System. Front Neuroanat 10, 98 (2016). [PubMed: 27777554]
- Chiang MC, et al. Parabrachial Complex: A Hub for Pain and Aversion. J Neurosci 39, 8225–8230 (2019). [PubMed: 31619491]
- 53. Huang T, et al. Identifying the pathways required for coping behaviours associated with sustained pain. Nature 565, 86–90 (2019). [PubMed: 30532001]

- Kaur S & Saper CB Neural Circuitry Underlying Waking Up to Hypercapnia. Front Neurosci 13, 401 (2019). [PubMed: 31080401]
- Chen C, et al. Long-term imaging of dorsal root ganglia in awake behaving mice. Nat Commun 10, 3087 (2019). [PubMed: 31300648]
- 56. Jones BE Activity, modulation and role of basal forebrain cholinergic neurons innervating the cerebral cortex. Prog Brain Res 145, 157–169 (2004). [PubMed: 14650914]
- 57. Lee MG, Hassani OK, Alonso A & Jones BE Cholinergic basal forebrain neurons burst with theta during waking and paradoxical sleep. J Neurosci 25, 4365–4369 (2005). [PubMed: 15858062]
- 58. Xu M, et al. Basal forebrain circuit for sleep-wake control. Nat Neurosci 18, 1641–1647 (2015). [PubMed: 26457552]
- 59. Fuller PM, Sherman D, Pedersen NP, Saper CB & Lu J Reassessment of the structural basis of the ascending arousal system. J Comp Neurol 519, 933–956 (2011). [PubMed: 21280045]
- 60. Qiu MH, Chen MC, Fuller PM & Lu J Stimulation of the Pontine Parabrachial Nucleus Promotes Wakefulness via Extra-thalamic Forebrain Circuit Nodes. Curr Biol 26, 2301–2312 (2016). [PubMed: 27546576]
- 61. Kaur S, et al. Glutamatergic signaling from the parabrachial nucleus plays a critical role in hypercapnic arousal. J Neurosci 33, 7627–7640 (2013). [PubMed: 23637157]
- 62. Irmak SO & de Lecea L Basal forebrain cholinergic modulation of sleep transitions. Sleep 37, 1941–1951 (2014). [PubMed: 25325504]
- Bjurstrom MF & Irwin MR Polysomnographic characteristics in nonmalignant chronic pain populations: A review of controlled studies. Sleep Med Rev 26, 74–86 (2016). [PubMed: 26140866]
- 64. Ito H, et al. Chronic pain recruits hypothalamic dynorphin/kappa opioid receptor signalling to promote wakefulness and vigilance. Brain 00, 1–14 (2022).
- Krettek JE & Price JL Amygdaloid projections to subcortical structures within the basal forebrain and brainstem in the rat and cat. Journal of Comparative Neurology 178, 225–253 (1978). [PubMed: 627625]
- 66. Stickgold R Sleep-dependent memory consolidation. Nature 437, 1272–1278 (2005). [PubMed: 16251952]

### Methods-only References

- Cichon J, et al. Imaging neuronal activity in the central and peripheral nervous systems using new Thy1.2-GCaMP6 transgenic mouse lines. J Neurosci Methods 334, 108535 (2020). [PubMed: 31972184]
- Taniguchi H, et al. A resource of Cre driver lines for genetic targeting of GABAergic neurons in cerebral cortex. Neuron 71, 995–1013 (2011). [PubMed: 21943598]
- 69. He M, et al. Strategies and Tools for Combinatorial Targeting of GABAergic Neurons in Mouse Cerebral Cortex. Neuron 91, 1228–1243 (2016). [PubMed: 27618674]
- 70. Madisen L, et al. A robust and high-throughput Cre reporting and characterization system for the whole mouse brain. Nat Neurosci 13, 133–140 (2010). [PubMed: 20023653]
- 71. Rossi J, et al. Melanocortin-4 receptors expressed by cholinergic neurons regulate energy balance and glucose homeostasis. Cell Metab 13, 195–204 (2011). [PubMed: 21284986]
- 72. Vong L, et al. Leptin action on GABAergic neurons prevents obesity and reduces inhibitory tone to POMC neurons. Neuron 71, 142–154 (2011). [PubMed: 21745644]
- Platt RJ, et al. CRISPR-Cas9 knockin mice for genome editing and cancer modeling. Cell 159, 440–455 (2014). [PubMed: 25263330]
- 74. Cichon J, Sun L & Yang G Spared nerve injury model of neuropathic pain in Mice. Bio Protoc. 8, e2777 (2018).
- 75. Yang G, Pan F, Chang PC, Gooden F & Gan WB Transcranial two-photon imaging of synaptic structures in the cortex of awake head-restrained mice. Methods Mol Biol 1010, 35–43 (2013). [PubMed: 23754217]

- 76. Giovannucci A, et al. CaImAn an open source tool for scalable calcium imaging data analysis. Elife 8 (2019).
- 77. Pnevmatikakis EA & Giovannucci A NoRMCorre: An online algorithm for piecewise rigid motion correction of calcium imaging data. J Neurosci Methods 291, 83–94 (2017). [PubMed: 28782629]
- Pnevmatikakis EA, et al. Simultaneous Denoising, Deconvolution, and Demixing of Calcium Imaging Data. Neuron 89, 285–299 (2016). [PubMed: 26774160]
- 79. Vogelstein JT, et al. Fast nonnegative deconvolution for spike train inference from population calcium imaging. J Neurophysiol 104, 3691–3704 (2010). [PubMed: 20554834]
- Yang G, Pan F & Gan WB Stably maintained dendritic spines are associated with lifelong memories. Nature 462, 920–924 (2009). [PubMed: 19946265]
- Yang G, Pan F, Parkhurst CN, Grutzendler J & Gan WB Thinned-skull cranial window technique for long-term imaging of the cortex in live mice. Nature protocols 5, 201–208 (2010). [PubMed: 20134419]
- Urban DJ & Roth BL DREADDs (designer receptors exclusively activated by designer drugs): chemogenetic tools with therapeutic utility. Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol 55, 399–417 (2015). [PubMed: 25292433]
- Dixon WJ Efficient analysis of experimental observations. Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol 20, 441– 462 (1980). [PubMed: 7387124]
- Chaplan SR, Bach FW, Pogrel JW, Chung JM & Yaksh TL Quantitative assessment of tactile allodynia in the rat paw. J Neurosci Methods 53, 55–63 (1994). [PubMed: 7990513]
- Cheng L, et al. Identification of spinal circuits involved in touch-evoked dynamic mechanical pain. Nat Neurosci 20, 804–814 (2017). [PubMed: 28436981]
- 86. Li M, Zhou H, Teng S & Yang G Activation of VIP interneurons in the prefrontal cortex ameliorates neuropathic pain aversiveness. Cell Rep 40, 111333 (2022). [PubMed: 36103825]
- 87. Sun L, et al. Targeted ubiquitination of sensory neuron calcium channels reduces the development of neuropathic pain. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 119, e2118129119 (2022). [PubMed: 35561213]

Zhou et al.



# Fig. 1 |. Pyramidal neurons and VIP interneurons are hyperactive during NREM sleep in S1 of mice with neuropathic pain.

**a**, Schematic of SNI and *in vivo* two-photon  $Ca^{2+}$  imaging in S1 combined with EEG/EMG recordings. **b**, Representative EEG power spectrum (top) and EEG/EMG signals (bottom) under various brain states. Boxed regions are shown magnified on the right. PSD, power spectrum density. **c**, Left, representative images of L2/3 PNs expressing GCaMP6s (original view) and active somas extracted by the automated analysis pipeline. Insets, zoom-in view of the boxed region. Middle, corresponding  $Ca^{2+}$  traces of active somas (arrows) before and 7 days after SNI. Right, changes in somatic  $Ca^{2+}$  activity under wake (\*\*\*\**P*< 0.0001 *vs*. Pre), NREM (\*\*\*\**P*< 0.0001), and REM (*P*= 0.89, 0.69, 0.99, 0.0002) states after SNI (*n* = 248 cells from four mice). **d**, Longitudinal comparison of  $Ca^{2+}$  activity in individual PNs in NREM and wake before and after SNI. Dashed lines, 1 standard deviation (SD) above the population average pre-SNI. Numbers on the pie graphs indicate percentages of cells in the quadrants. **e**, **f**, Similar to (**c**, **d**), but for VIP INs under wake (\*\*\*\**P*< 0.0001 *vs*. Pre), NREM (\*\*\*\**P*< 0.0001), and REM (*P*= 0.98, 0.025, 0.99, 0.23, 0.0004) states (*n* =

196 cells from four mice). Mean  $\pm$  SEM. *NS*, not significant; by two-sided Friedman's test followed by Dunn's test (**c**, **e**). Detailed statistics in Supplementary Table 1.

Zhou et al.



Fig. 2 |. Silencing VIP INs during NREM sleep prevents S1 hyperactivation and nociceptive allodynia.

**a**, Representative two-photon images of VIP INs expressing PSAM<sup>4</sup>-GlyR-EGFP and PNs expressing GCaMP6s (7 mice). **b**, Timeline for chemogenetic inhibition of VIP INs after SNI, at ZT2 (rest phase) or ZT14 (active phase), *in vivo* Ca<sup>2+</sup> imaging, and behavioral testing. **c**, PN Ca<sup>2+</sup> activity in SNI mice with VIP INs inhibited at ZT2 (n = 152 cells from three mice) or ZT14 (n = 182 cells from four mice). Wake,  $F_{(1, 996)} = 5.31$ , P = 0.021; NREM,  $F_{(1, 996)} = 25.12$ , P < 0.0001. **d**, Nociceptive thresholds under various conditions (n = 7, 5, 5, 4 mice for von Frey, n = 5, 5, 5, 4 mice for other tests). Daily VIP inhibition at ZT2, but not ZT14, reduces punctate (ZT2 *vs*. SNI, P = 0.0006, 0.0003, < 0.0001 on day

14, 21, and 28, respectively), dynamic (P = 0.0025, 0.0004, < 0.0001), cold (P = 0.0008, 0.0003, 0.0069) and hot (P = 0.0027, 0.0044, 0.011) allodynia after SNI. IR, infrared radiant. **e**, Experimental design for brain state-dependent inhibition of VIP INs expressing eNpHR. **f**, Nociceptive thresholds under various conditions (n = 6, 6, 5, 5, 5, 4 mice). Daily VIP inhibition in NREM, but not wake or REM, reduces punctate (NREM<sub>ZT2-10</sub> vs. SNI, P =0.018, 0.015, 0.038; NREM<sub>ZT10-2</sub> vs. SNI, P < 0.0001), dynamic (NREM<sub>ZT2-10</sub> vs. SNI, P < 0.0001, < 0.0001, = 0.0002; NREM<sub>ZT10-2</sub> vs. SNI, P < 0.0001), cold (NREM<sub>ZT2-10</sub> vs. SNI, P = 0.0012, 0.0003, < 0.0001; NREM<sub>ZT10-2</sub> vs. SNI, P = 0.0023, 0.034, 0.010), and hot (NREM<sub>ZT2-10</sub> vs. SNI, P = 0.0006, < 0.0001, 0.0004; NREM<sub>ZT10-2</sub> vs. SNI, P =0.0026, 0.0019, 0.0052) allodynia after SNI. Mean ± SEM. \*P < 0.05, \*\*P < 0.01, \*\*\*\*P << 0.001; NS, not significant; by two-sided two-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni's test (**c**, **d**, **f**). Detailed statistics in Supplementary Table 1.

Zhou et al.



#### Fig. 3 |. Monosynaptic connectivity from basal forebrain to VIP INs in S1.

**a**, Representative image and distribution of VIP INs in S1 of  $Vip^{IRES-Cre}$  mice crossed with Ai162 reporter line (n = 4 mice). **b**, Schematic of experimental design and representative images of S1 showing VIP INs (GCaMP<sup>+</sup>) and neurons receiving monosynaptic inputs from aNB (mCherry<sup>+</sup>). Magnification of the boxed regions and quantification are shown in the bottom panel (n = 12 sections from three mice). Arrows indicate the colocalized cells. **c**, Retrograde labeling of aNB neurons by injecting Retrobeads into L1–L2/3 of S1. Left, representative images showing colocalization of Retrobeads<sup>+</sup> and anti-ChAT immunoreactive somas in aNB ipsilateral to the injection site. Arrows indicate Retrobeads<sup>+</sup>ChAT<sup>+</sup> magnocellular neurons in aNB (a dispersed magnocellular region in the basal forebrain). Right, distribution of Retrobeads<sup>+</sup> cells along the anterior-posterior (AP) axis in aNB (n = 3 mice). a.u., arbitrary units. **d**, Magnification of the boxed region in (**c**) and quantification of Retrobeads<sup>+</sup>ChAT<sup>+</sup> cells (n = 12 sections from three mice). Mean  $\pm$  SEM. L, lateral; ML, midline; D, dorsal; V, ventral; CEA, central amygdalar

nucleus; CP, caudoputamen; GPe, external globus pallidus; GPi, internal globus pallidus; ic, internal capsule; NB, nucleus basalis; S1, primary somatosensory cortex; SI, substantia innominata; LHA, lateral hypothalamic area; MEA, medial amygdalar nucleus; MEV, midbrain trigeminal nucleus; RT, reticular nucleus of the thalamus.

Zhou et al.

Page 50





**f**, Nociceptive thresholds under various conditions (n = 6, 5, 5 mice). VIP  $\beta$ 2 KO reduces punctate (P = 0.0002, 0.0024, 0.0002 vs. scramble), dynamic (P = 0.0041, 0.0028, 0.0006), cold (P < 0.0001, = 0.0033, 0.0007), and hot (P = 0.014, 0.0049, 0.0002) allodynia 14, 21, and 28 days after SNI. Mean  $\pm$  SEM. \*P < 0.05, \*\*P < 0.01, \*\*\*P < 0.001; \*\*\*P < 0.0001; NS, not significant; by two-sided Kolmogorov-Smirnov test (**a**), Friedman's test followed by Dunn's test (**b**), Wilcoxon's test (insets in **a**, **b**), or two-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni's test (**d**, **f**). Detailed statistics in Supplementary Table 1.



# Fig. 5 |. Silencing aNB $\rightarrow$ S1 projections during NREM sleep prevents S1 plasticity and pain chronicity.

**a**, Experimental design for chemogenetic inhibition of aNB $\rightarrow$ S1 projections (left) and representative two-photon images of VIP INs expressing both mRuby2 and GCaMP6s and PNs expressing GCaMP6s alone. **b**, Experimental timeline (top) and neuronal Ca<sup>2+</sup> activity under various conditions (bottom; VIP, *n* = 114/four, 92/three, 87/three, 80/four cells/mice; PNs, *n* = 231/four, 242/three, 228/three, 223/four cells/mice). Daily inhibition of aNB $\rightarrow$ S1 projections at ZT2 largely prevents SNI-induced VIP (ZT2 *vs.* sham, wake, *F*<sub>(1, 576)</sub> = 0.13,

P = 0.72; NREM,  $F_{(1, 576)} = 2.14$ ; P = 0.14) and PN (wake,  $F_{(1, 1356)} = 26.16$ , P < 0.0001; NREM,  $F_{(1, 1356)} = 2.14$ , P = 0.57) hyperactivation in S1. c, Nociceptive thresholds under various conditions (n = 5 mice per group). Daily inhibition of aNB $\rightarrow$ S1 projections at ZT2 reduces punctate (P=0.0012, 0.0073, 0.0044), dynamic (P=0.0002, < 0.0001, 0.0002), cold (P = 0.012, 0.0007, 0.0010), and hot (P = 0.0009, 0.0038, 0.0003) allodynia 17, 21, and 28 days after SNI. d, Experimental design and timeline. e, Nociceptive thresholds under various conditions (n = 8, 5, 6, 6 mice). Daily inhibition of aNB $\rightarrow$ S1 cholinergic projections in NREM sleep reduces punctate (P = 0.0039, 0.010, 0.0007), dynamic (P =0.0003, 0.0013, 0.0004, cold (P < 0.0001, = 0.0004, < 0.0001), and hot (P < 0.0001, < 0.0001) 0.0001, < 0.0001) allodynia 14, 21, and 28 days after SNI. f, Experimental design and timeline for chemogenetic inhibition of aNB $\rightarrow$ S1 projections and dendritic spine imaging in S1. g, Daily inhibition of aNB $\rightarrow$ S1 projections at ZT2, but not ZT14, reduces SNI-induced increase in dendritic spine elimination (P = 0.0041, 0.0092, > 0.99) and formation (P =(0.0017, 0.0007, 0.34) (n = 6, 5, 5, 5 mice). h, Mechanical thresholds correlate with dendritic spine remodeling in S1 (shading, 95% CI). Mean ± SEM. \**P*<0.05, \*\**P*<0.01, \*\*\**P*< 0.001, \*\*\*\*P < 0.0001; NS, not significant; by two-sided two-way (**b**, **c**, **e**) or one-way (**g**) ANOVA followed by Bonferroni's test. Detailed statistics in Supplementary Table 1.

Zhou et al.

Page 54



# Fig. 6 |. Activation of PB $\rightarrow$ aNB $\rightarrow$ S1 circuits during NREM sleep drives the development of chronic pain.

**a**, Experimental design for *in vivo* Ca<sup>2+</sup> imaging of PB neurons projecting to aNB. **b**, Representative images of PB→aNB projection neurons expressing GCaMP6s (left; the boxed region shown in the bottom panel), Ca<sup>2+</sup> traces (middle), and Ca<sup>2+</sup> activity in NREM before and after SNI (right; n = 234 cells from five mice; \*\*\*\*P < 0.0001). **c**, Experimental design for optogenetic inhibition of PB→aNB projections and Ca<sup>2+</sup> imaging of aNB axons in S1. Opto-inhibition was performed during ZT2–10, in wake or NREM, for 5 days following SNI. **d**, Ca<sup>2+</sup> activity of aNB→S1 axonal boutons during wake and

NREM 14 days after SNI (n = 123, 105, 103, 110 boutons from three mice per group; wake, P < 0.0001, = 0.0004, 0.0005, 0.0073; NREM, \*\*\*\*P < 0.0001, = 0.014). **e**, Nociceptive thresholds (mean ± SEM) under various conditions (n = 5 mice per group). Daily inhibition of PB→aNB projections in NREM, but not wake, reduces punctate (NREM vs. SNI, P < 0.0001, = 0.0001, P < 0.0001), dynamic (P = 0.0001, < 0.0001, < 0.0001), cold (P = 0.0003, < 0.0001, = 0.0022), and hot (P = 0.0004, 0.0002, 0.0012) allodynia 14, 21, and 28 days after SNI. **f**, Representative two-photon images of DRG neurons expressing GCaMP6s (left), Ca<sup>2+</sup> traces in NREM (middle), and Ca<sup>2+</sup> activity before and after SNI (n = 140 cells from four mice; \*\*\*\*P < 0.0001). In **b**, **d**, **f**, box plot bounds and center, quartiles and median; whiskers, min and max. \*P < 0.05, \*\*P < 0.01, \*\*\*P < 0.001, \*\*\*\*P < 0.0001; *NS*, not significant; by two-sided Friedman's test followed by Dunn's test (**b**, **f**), Kolmogorov-Smirnov test (**d**), two-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni's test (**e**). Detailed statistics in Supplementary Table 1.



# Fig. 7 |. The sleep phase-targeted VIP inhibition reverses the established chronic neuropathic pain.

a, Experimental design and timeline for chemogenetic inhibition of S1 VIP INs expressing PSAM<sup>4</sup>-GlyR, behavioral testing, and *in vivo* Ca<sup>2+</sup> imaging. Mice in Group-1 were injected uPSEM817 daily at ZT2 from days 14 to 18 after SNI. Mice in Group-2 were first injected at ZT14 from days 14 to 18, then at ZT2 from days 21 to 25. b, Nociceptive thresholds (mean  $\pm$  SEM) under various conditions (n = 6 mice per group). Daily inhibition of VIP INs at ZT2, but not ZT14, in the chronic phase of neuropathic pain reduces allodynia in mice. Group-1 vs. SNI for day 21, 28, 35: punctate, P=0.017, 0.0057, 0.042; dynamic, P = 0.0004, 0.0007, 0.029; cold, P = 0.028, 0.0020, 0.015; hot, P = 0.0011, 0.0002, 0.0096.Group-2 vs. SNI for day 21, 28, 35, punctate, P = 0.99, < 0.0001, < 0.0001; dynamic, P = 0.88, 0.0004, 0.011; cold, P = 0.99, 0.0013, 0.031; hot, P = 0.99, 0.0007, 0.0002. c, PN  $Ca^{2+}$  activity 35 days after SNI (*n* = 237, 255, 272, 254 cells from four mice per group; box bounds, quartiles and median; whiskers, min and max; \*\*\*\*P < 0.0001 vs. SNI). **d**, Schematic summary. Due to increased firing of DRG sensory neurons after nerve injury, ChAT<sup>+</sup> neurons in aNB receive elevated inputs from PB during NREM sleep and, in turn, excite VIP INs in S1 to cause disinhibition of PNs and sustained pain sensations. Activation of PB-aNB-S1 circuits during NREM sleep is crucial for the development and maintenance

of chronic pain. \*P< 0.05, \*\*P< 0.01, \*\*\*P< 0.001, \*\*\*\*P< 0.0001; *NS*, not significant; by two-sided two-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni's test (**b**) or Kolmogorov-Smirnov test (**c**). Detailed statistics in Supplementary Table 1.