Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Kezhong Zhang, Wayne State University, United States

REVIEWED BY Mallika Somayajulu,

Wayne State University, United States Xiubin Liang, University of Michigan, United States

*CORRESPONDENCE Jiangyi Yu yjy202105@njucm.edu.cn Xiqiao Zhou zhouxiqiao@njucm.edu.cn

SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Systems Endocrinology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Endocrinology

RECEIVED 15 January 2023 ACCEPTED 14 February 2023 PUBLISHED 01 March 2023

CITATION

Zhou P, Tan Y, Hao Z, Xu W, Zhou X and Yu J (2023) Effects of SGLT2 inhibitors on hepatic fibrosis and steatosis: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Front. Endocrinol.* 14:1144838. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2023.1144838

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Zhou, Tan, Hao, Xu, Zhou and Yu. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Effects of SGLT2 inhibitors on hepatic fibrosis and steatosis: A systematic review and meta-analysis

Peipei Zhou^{1,2}, Ying Tan^{1,2}, Zhenning Hao^{1,2}, Weilong Xu^{1,2}, Xiqiao Zhou^{1*} and Jiangyi Yu^{1,2*}

¹Department of Endocrinology, Jiangsu Province Hospital of Chinese Medicine, Affiliated Hospital of Nanjing University of Chinese Medicine, Nanjing, China, ²The First Clinical Medical College, Nanjing University of Chinese Medicine, Nanjing, China

Objective: Clinical trials have shown that sodium-glucose cotransporter 2 inhibitors (SGLT2i) are closely associated with hepatic fibrosis and steatosis by FibroScan. This paper aimed at evaluating the effects of SGLT2i on hepatic fibrosis and steatosis, which are presented as liver stiffness measurement (LSM) and controlled attenuation parameter (CAP).

Methods: PubMed, Embase, Cochrane Library, Web of Science, China National Knowledge Infrastructure Database, China Science and Technology Journal Database, and Wanfang Database were searched for randomized clinical trials from database establishment to 30 November 2022 with no language restrictions. The risk of bias was evaluated by Collaboration Handbook. Software Stata 17 and Review Manager (version 5.3) were used for meta-analysis.

Results: A total of eight articles including 686 patients were included. Compared with the control group, our results showed that SGLT2i could lower levels of LSM [MD = -0.82, 95%CI (-1.38, -0.25), p = 0.005] and CAP [MD = -12.80, 95%CI (-20.57, -5.03), p = 0.001]. Further subgroup analyses indicated that SGLT2i presented more advantages on longer treatment duration and more serious steatosis in decreasing LSM. For CAP, SGLT2i exhibited a clear advantage in subgroup analyses of longer treatment duration, younger people, dapagliflozin, worse fibrosis, and steatosis.

Conclusion: SGLT2i could reduce LSM and CAP in contrast to other antihyperglycemic drugs. However, the included studies are not definitive, and well-designed, more multi-centered, blinded randomized clinical trials are warranted to definitively establish reliable evidence.

KEYWORDS

sodium-glucose cotransporter 2 inhibitors, liver fibrosis, hepatic steatosis, systematic review, meta-analysis

Introduction

Liver stiffness measurement (LSM) and controlled attenuation parameter (CAP), measured by FibroScan equipment, have been seen as a low-failure (3.2%), minimal-risk, high-reliability (>95%), and reproducible tool for quantifying hepatic fibrosis and steatosis with non-invasiveness in patients with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) (1-3), respectively. Studies show that steatosis exacerbates LSM and fibrosis aggravates CAP, indicating that they enter a vicious circle (4-6). In addition, accumulating evidence supports that NAFLD and type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) have become public health problems across the world; the overall prevalence of NAFLD in patients with T2DM is 55.5% and more than twofold higher than that in the general populations (7, 8). NAFLD and T2DM are universally acknowledged to frequently coexist and influence synergistically; as a result, they increase the risk of not only non-alcoholic steatohepatitis, advanced fibrosis, cirrhosis, and progression of T2DM but also cardiovascular diseases and other chronic complications of T2DM (9-11).

So far, except for nutritional modification and exercise alone, no pharmacotherapy is currently approved for hepatic fibrosis and steatosis irrespective of the presence of T2DM. Some antihyperglycemic drugs, such as pioglitazone, metformin, glucagon-like peptide 1 analog, and sodium-glucose cotransporter 2 inhibitors (SGLT2i), have shown some promising hepatoprotective effects (12-15). Furthermore, it has been demonstrated that SGLT2i exert favorable effects apart from reducing glucotoxicity, such as reducing inflammation, oxidative stress, body weight, visceral adiposity, and arterial stiffness (16, 17). Earlier, several systematic reviews and meta-analyses had discussed the SGLT2i in patients with NAFLD paying attention to hepatic enzymes, liver fat content, and body composition, but there is no substantial evidence of hepatic fibrosis and steatosis (18-20). Thus, we conducted this meta-analysis to explore the effects of SGLT2i on hepatic fibrosis and steatosis, wherein LSM and CAP were the major outcome measures.

Materials and methods

This study was designed in accordance with the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines (21). In addition, this research was successfully registered at PROSPERO, the international prospective register of systematic reviews (registration number: CRD42022380160).

Database and search strategies

Two researchers conducted a comprehensive literature search in the following seven electronic databases: PubMed, Embase,

Cochrane Library, Web of Science, China National Knowledge Infrastructure Database (CNKI), China Science and Technology Journal Database (VIP), and Wanfang Database. The last search was conducted on 30 November 2022, with no language restrictions. The search terms were "sodium-glucose cotransporter 2 inhibitors", "transient elastography", "controlled attenuation parameter", "liver stiffness", "random", and so on. Additionally, the reference lists of relevant reviews and included studies were also retrieved manually to obtain additional eligible literature. A detailed description of the search strategy in English databases is available in Supplementary Material Table 1.

Inclusion criteria

Studies were selected if they were eligible for the following conditions: 1) participants: patients aged 18 years or older, regardless of sex and primary diseases, diagnosed with T2DM or NAFLD; 2) intervention: SGLT2i combined with the treatment of the control group; 3) comparator: the control group received placebo or no treatment or standard treatment according to the reality including adjusting diets and lifestyle, strengthening exercises, lowering hyperglycemia, controlling hypertension, and declining hyperlipidemia; 4) outcome: primary outcomes comprised of CAP or LSM that had to be recorded pre- and postintervention or the difference between them; and 5) study design: randomized controlled trials (RCTs) of people irrespective of blinding, protocol or bias.

Exclusion criteria

Studies were excluded if they were not eligible for the following conditions: 1) no SGLT2i in the intervention group; 2) SGLT2i combined with other treatments, which were not included in the control group; 3) observational studies, reviews, and experimentation on animals or cells; 4) non-RCTs; 5) duplication published later; and 6) incomplete papers.

Data extraction

Two investigators independently retrieved the databases and filtered the studies according to the pre-designed inclusion and exclusion criteria. Then, EndNote 20 was utilized to eliminate duplication. In case of divergence, a third senior researcher was consulted or resolved by discussion. In the absence of mean and standard deviation (SD), we would transform them according to the corresponding formulae (22, 23). The extracted data of each included study contained the following: author, publication year, country of origin, age, intervention measures, treatment duration, and primary outcomes.

Quality evaluation

The assessment of methodological quality in all included studies referred to the "risk of bias" evaluation tool produced by the Cochrane Collaboration Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Intervention (24). This was evaluated systematically and comprehensively in the following seven domains: the generation of random sequence, the concealment of allocation, blinding of participants and personnel, blinding of outcome assessors, completeness of outcome data, selective outcome reporting, and bias of other sources. All the studies were evaluated from the above domains from three levels of bias: "high risk", "low risk", and "unclear risk". Discussion and consensus were reached *via* the third investigator while meeting discrepancies in quality assessment.

Statistical analysis

Software Stata 17 and Review Manager (version 5.3) were used to log data and perform data analysis and quality assessment. The different effect measures were assigned to diverse variables, risk ratio (RR) for dichotomous variables and pooled mean difference (MD) for continuous variables. Furthermore, a 95% confidence interval (CI) was employed for both variables. In order to measure the heterogeneity of study results, I² statistic was used. If heterogeneity (I² > 50%, p < 0.05) was statistically significant, the random-effects model was applied for the analysis; otherwise, the fixed-effects model was selected. Simultaneously, subgroup analysis was conducted to explore the heterogeneity, and sensitivity analysis was performed to verify the robustness of our results. Finally, funnel plots and Egger's test were performed for assessing publication bias when not less than 10 studies were brought into the analysis.

Results

Study selection and study characteristics

The detailed study selection process and search results are presented in Figure 1. Through comprehensive database searching, a total of 131 articles were included. After duplicated articles were removed, 67 articles were assessed based on title and abstracts at first. Of these articles, 19 articles were excluded because of no RCTs (n = 2), review and meta-analysis (n = 12), animal and cell experiments (n = 5), and protocol (n = 1). Then, 39 articles were excluded for the reason of no primary outcomes (n = 21), irrelevant experimental or control treatment (n = 4), duplicated data (n = 5), and research in registration or progress (n = 9). Ultimately, 8 articles were included in qualitative synthesis and 11 studies in the quantitative synthesis. In addition, no medication treatment throughout the intervention periods changed in all included articles.

Eight (25–32) articles with 11 studies included were published from 2019 to 2022, and most of the patients were T2DM with NAFLD except for two studies (28, 31). A total of 686 randomized patients with a sample size ranging from 44 to 90 were included in this meta-analysis. Dapagliflozin and empagliflozin were the main intervention measures except for one trial (26) using ipragliflozin. Meanwhile, the treatment duration of SGLT2i varied from 12 to 24 weeks. The detailed characteristics of this meta-analysis are presented in Table 1.

Risk of bias and publication bias

Among the eight articles, seven described the clear random sequence generation, and only one (27) merely mentioned



TABLE 1 Basic characteristics of the included studies.

Study	Disease	Country	Sample size (E/C)	Male, %	Age, year	Treatment	Duration, weeks	Primary outcomes
Shimizu et al. (25)	T2DM +NAFLD	Japan	33/24	60	E: 56.2 ± 11.5 C: 57.1 ± 13.8	E: dapagliflozin 5 mg qd C: standard treatment	24	LSM, CAP
Taheri et al. (28)	NAFLD	Iran	43/47	56	E: 43.8 ± 9.7 C: 44.1 ± 9.3	E: empagliflozin 10 mg qd C: placebo	24	LSM, CAP
Lee et al. (31)	T2DM	China	30/30	60	E: 56.9 ± 10.7 C: 60.6 ± 7.03	E: dapagliflozin 10 mg qd + insulin C: sitagliptin 100 mg qd + insulin	24	LSM, CAP
Chehrehgosha a 2021 (29)	T2DM +NAFLD	Iran	17/34	49	E: 50.5 ± 8.4 C: 52.5 ± 7.9	E: empagliflozin 10 mg qd C: pioglitazone 30 mg qd	24	LSM, CAP
Chehrehgosha b 2021 (29)	T2DM +NAFLD	Iran	18/37	43	E: 50.5 ± 8.4 C: 51.8 ± 7.8	E: empagliflozin 10 mg qd C: placebo	24	LSM, CAP
Han et al. (26)	T2DM +NAFLD	Korea	29/15	61	E: 52.5 ± 10.3 C: 56.7 ± 11.8	E: ipragliflozin 50 mg qd + metformin + pioglitazone C: metformin + pioglitazone	24	САР
Chu a 2022 (<mark>30</mark>)	T2DM +NAFLD	China	45/22	41	E: 46.73 ± 5.48 C: 46.29 ± 5.42	E: dapagliflozin 10 mg qd C: liraglutide (1 week: 0.6 mg; 2 weeks: 12 mg; 3– 20 weeks: 1.8 mg qd)	20	LSM
Chu b 2022 (30)	T2DM +NAFLD	China	45/23	42	E: 46.79 ± 5.45 C: 46.29 ± 5.42	E: dapagliflozin 10 mg qd + liraglutide (1 week: 0.6 mg; 2 weeks: 12 mg; 3–20 weeks: 1.8 mg qd) C: Liraglutide (1 week: 0.6 mg; 2 weeks: 12 mg; 3–20 weeks: 1.8 mg qd)	20	LSM
Hu et al. (27)	T2DM +NAFLD	China	30/30	78	E: 48.9 ± 10.6 C: 52.1 ± 10.2	E: dapagliflozin 50 mg qd C: metformin 0.5 g tid	12	LSM, CAP
Tang (32)	T2DM +NAFLD	China	43/23	44	E: 49.44 ± 14.62 C: 47.36 ± 13.36	E: dapagliflozin 10 mg qd C: polyethylene glycol loxenatide 0.2 mg qw	12	LSM, CAP
Tang (32)	T2DM +NAFLD	China	45/23	47	E: 45.42 ± 9.58 C: 47.36 ± 13.36	E: dapagliflozin 10 mg qd + polyethylene glycol loxenatide 0.2 mg qw C: polyethylene glycol loxenatide 0.2 mg qw	12	LSM, CAP

E, experimental group; C, control group; w, week; qd, once daily; tid, three times a day; qw, once a week; T2DM, type 2 diabetes mellitus; NAFLD, non-alcoholic fatty liver disease; LSM, liver stiffness measurement; CAP, controlled attenuation parameter.

"random". None of the studies depicted the allocation concealment, so they ascribed to "unclear risk". Two studies (28, 29) explicitly indicated blinding of participants and personnel, and the remaining was high risk. Only one (25) study pointed to the blinding of outcome assessment as straightforward. Attrition bias, reporting bias, and other biases were recognized as high risk. The risk-of-bias graph and risk-ofbias summary are shown in Figure 2. Because 10 studies recording LSM were included in this meta-analysis, asymmetry and sparsely distributed data were shown in funnel plots from visual inspection (Figure 3), and Egger's test verified this (p = 0.015), indicating that publication bias existed.

Primary outcome: LSM and CAP

Ten studies with a total of 642 patients reported the effects of SGLT2i on LSM, and nine studies with 551 patients reported the effects of SGLT2i on CAP. Due to the high heterogeneity noted $(I^2 = 82.8\%)$, a random-effects model was utilized to analyze the



data. Overall effects in the z-test result were statistically significant [MD = -0.82, 95%CI (-1.38, -0.25), p = 0.005], indicating that SGLT2i significantly decreased LSM compared with the control group. For the outcome of CAP, a random-effects model was chosen because the collection in our meta-

analysis presented obvious heterogeneity ($I^2 = 73.3\%$). SGLT2i could further decline the levels of CAP in comparison with the control group [MD = -12.80, 95%CI (-20.57, -5.03), p = 0.001]. Forest plots for the overall effects on the treatment of LSM and CAP are respectively shown in Figures 4, 5.





Sensitivity analysis

Sensitivity analyses were conducted to evaluate the robustness of our conclusions. As shown in Supplementary Figures S1, S2, the association between treatment with SGLT2i on LSM and CAP did not present considerable change after shifting out the study one by one, indicating that the pooled results were believable.

Subgroup analysis

Due to high heterogeneity and sufficient reported studies noted in LSM and CAP, further subgroup analyses were conducted to investigate the sources of heterogeneity according to body mass index (BMI) (<30 and >30 kg/m²), treatment duration (\geq 24 and <24 weeks), age (>55 and <55 years), type of SGLT2i baseline of LSM (>7.3 and <7.3 kPa), and baseline of CAP (<292 and >292 dB/m). As



shown in Table 2, the heterogeneity of patients with BMI >30 kg/m², treatment duration \geq 24 weeks, average age >55 years, treatment with empagliflozin, and baseline of LSM <7.3 kPa obviously decreased. However, treatment with SGLT2i did not lead to a significant decrease in the group of treatment duration of less than 24 weeks [MD = -0.85, 95%CI (-1.73, 0.03), p = 0.059] and baseline of CAP <292 dB/m [MD = -0.46, 95%CI (-1.26, 0.34), p = 0.257].

As detailed results of subgroup analyses of CAP are shown in Table 3, obviously reduced CAP levels were found in groups of treatment duration \geq 24 weeks and treatment with ipragliflozin for

the reason of declined heterogeneity and presence of significance in the same criteria for grouping. However, there was only one study included regarding ipragliflozin. Interestingly, although the heterogeneity of subgroup analyses significantly reduced, the patients in subgroup analyses of average age >55 years [MD = -16.60, 95%CI (-37.56, 4.36), p = 0.121], treatment with empagliflozin [MD = -6.38, 95%CI (-15.30, 2.54), p = 0.161], baseline of LSM <7.3 kPa [MD = -6.62, 95%CI (-14.74, 1.50), p = 0.110], and baseline of CAP <292 dB/m [MD = -5.56, 95%CI (-13.39, 2.26), p = 0.163] presented no evidence of benefit for CAP compared with the control group.

TABLES	C 1 1	() () () ()	DAG						
I ABLE Z	Subgroup analyses	s of LSM based	on BMI,	treatment	duration, a	age, '	type of SGL12I,	and baseline of	I LSM and CAP.

Criteria for grouping	Subgroups n		MD (95%CI)	l ² (%)	Z	р
BMI	>30 kg/m ²	3	-0.52 (-0.94, -0.10)	0	-2.437	0.015
	<30 kg/m ²	7	-0.93 (-1.60, -0.26)	79.2	-2.723	0.006
Treatment duration	≥24 weeks	5	-0.65 (-1.00, -0.31)	0	-3.731	< 0.001
	<24 weeks	5	-0.85 (-1.73, 0.03)	82.2	-1.891	0.059
Age	>55 years	2	-0.92 (-1.51, -0.32)	0	-3.017	0.003
	<55 years	8	-0.75 (-1.42, -0.09)	85.4	-2.220	0.026
Type of SGLT2i	Dapagliflozin	7	-0.93 (-1.60, -0.26)	79.2	-2.723	0.006
	Empagliflozin	3	-0.52 (-0.94, -0.10)	0	-2.437	0.015
Baseline of LSM	>7.3 kPa	6	-0.93 (-1.75, -0.12)	77.8	-2.239	0.025
	<7.3 kPa	4	-0.63 (-0.98, -0.28)	0	-3.567	< 0.001
Baseline of CAP	>292 dB/m	5	-1.03 (-1.88, -0.18)	86.4	-2.376	0.018
	<292 dB/m	3	-0.46 (-1.26, 0.34)	51.7	-1.133	0.257

BMI, body mass index; n, number of studies; SGLT2i, sodium-glucose cotransporter 2 inhibitors; LSM, liver stiffness measurement; CAP, controlled attenuation parameter.

TABLE 3 Subgroup analyses of CAP based on BMI, treatment duration, age, type of SGLT2i, and baseline of LSM and CAP.

Criteria for grouping	Subgroups	n	WMD (95%CI)	l ² (%)	Z	р
BMI	>30 kg/m ²	4	-11.71 (-20.97, -2.45)	51.7	-2.478	0.013
	<30 kg/m ²	5	-14.75 (-27.81, -1.69)	82.4	-2.213	0.027
Treatment duration	≥24 weeks	6	-12.81 (-20.40, -5.22)	36.2	-3.309	0.001
	<24 weeks	3	-13.87 (-30.76, 3.03)	90.4	-1.608	0.108
Age	>55 years	2	-16.60 (-37.56, 4.36)	38.4	-1.552	0.121
	<55 years	7	-12.18 (-20.83, -3.53)	78.7	-2.759	0.006
Type of SGLT2i	Dapagliflozin	5	-14.75 (-27.81, -1.69)	82.4	-2.213	0.027
	Empagliflozin	3	-6.38 (-15.30, 2.54)	0	-1.402	0.161
	Ipragliflozin	1	-19.70 (-26.56, -12.84)	0	-5.628	< 0.001
Baseline of LSM	>7.3 kPa	4	-16.34 (-31.65, -1.03)	86.8	-2.092	0.036
	<7.3 kPa	4	-6.62 (-14.74, 1.50)	0	-1.598	0.110
Baseline of CAP	>292 dB/m	6	-16.96 (-26.47, -7.46)	65.4	-3.499	< 0.001
	<292 dB/m	3	-5.56 (-13.39, 2.26)	41.7	-1.394	0.163

BMI, body mass index; n, number of studies; SGLT2i, sodium-glucose cotransporter 2 inhibitors; LSM, liver stiffness measurement; CAP, controlled attenuation parameter.

Discussion

Our meta-analysis found that SGLT2i was significantly associated with reduced LSM and CAP, although their heterogeneity was high. Therefore, subgroup analyses were conducted to reveal that treatment duration, age, type of SGLT2i, and baseline of LSM might be the sources of heterogeneity. In addition, BMI and baseline of CAP could be extra sources of heterogeneity in LSM and CAP respectively.

Fibrosis is the natural response to the injury. It plays a healing effect at an early stage, and if there is ongoing liver injury, fibrosis would be counterproductive with thick fibrous septae and distorted liver architecture (33). Different sizes of probes are used to generate low-frequency shear waves, the speed of which is quantitatively interpreted as LSM, presented as kPa (34). Meanwhile, assessed with liver biopsy, LSM is strongly associated with the stage of fibrosis (35). Results showed that treatment duration \geq 24 weeks and baseline of CAP >292 dB/m might be more effective in lowering LSM than <24 weeks and <292 dB/m, respectively. On the one hand, existing high heterogeneity could not be ignored; on the other hand, insufficient treatment duration and no obvious change of CAP might not present statistical significance.

Hepatic steatosis is essential for diagnosing NAFLD, and in a steatosis liver, ultrasound energy is dissipated more rapidly. CAP measured by FibroScan is better than conventional abdominal ultrasound, the commonly used diagnostic method for liver steatosis, to identify a quantitative steatosis grading in favor of surveillance and treatment (36). p-Value on CAP in subgroup analyses of treatment duration <24 weeks, baseline of CAP <292 dB/m, age >55 years, treatment with empagliflozin, and baseline of LSM <7.3 kPa showed negative results, although the heterogeneity in the latter four groups significantly declined. Lack of sufficient treatment duration, older patients, and small changes in LSM and CAP might not obtain considerable effects, better absorption of medicinal effects, and statistical significance, respectively. In addition, a study (37) indicated that the diagnostic performance of FibroScan decreased when the fibrosis was in a mild state, which could also explain the lack of significant effect on CAP in the subgroup analysis of baseline of LSM <7.3 kPa. Empagliflozin and dapagliflozin both alleviated liver inflammation, suppressed hepatic lipogenesis, and attenuated hepatocellular injury (38-41); however, the obvious difference between them was unclear, and it was hard to explain why the latter was prior to the former on CAP. The possibility of the existence of high heterogeneity is still not ruled out.

In total, these negative results have been less studied, so it is a great possibility that the sample size is too small to be reliable. If the sample size increases, the results may be reversed. Nevertheless, we still have to keep in mind that the results should be interpreted cautiously.

The most intuitive discoveries of this meta-analysis were that SGLT2i was significantly correlated with reduced CAP and LSM. NAFLD is a major cause of cryptogenic cirrhosis (42), which can progress to cirrhosis, and the prevalence of advanced liver fibrosis in T2DM accompanied with NAFLD is 17% (78 million) (8). A

growing consensus proposed that FibroScan could assess clinically significant fibrosis, and early intervention to prevent cirrhosis could be performed from a practical perspective (43–45). SGLT2i is a type of highly expressed membrane protein in the proximal tubules, the inhibitors of which can inhibit renal glucose reabsorption in the process of the glomerular filtration process and induce glycosuria (46). SGLT2i could decrease hepatic fat content and liver enzymes and improve body composition in contrast to other antihyperglycemic drugs, independent of insulin resistance, plasma glucose, and weight loss (19, 47). However, other investigations found that improvement in NAFLD is closely associated with body weight and plasma glucose (48, 49). Hence, it is yet known whether the positive effects of SGLT2i on hepatic fibrosis and steatosis are attributed to a direct effect of SGLT2i or adjustments of metabolic disturbance. Of note, there is no direct evidence demonstrating the role of SGLT2i on cirrhosis using LSM and CAP as outcomes.

To the best of our knowledge, this meta-analysis is the first to specifically focus on CAP and LSM to evaluate the efficacy of SGLT2i by using transient elastography. The meta-analysis by Wei et al. drew conclusions that SGLT2i could remarkably decline hepatic enzymes, liver proton density fat fraction, and visceral and subcutaneous fat area, but our research utilized transient elastography to intuitively explore liver conditions (19). The previous systematic review by Zhang et al. evaluated the effects of SGLT2i on hepatic fibrosis and steatosis, but they did not perform a quantitative analysis (13). Another meta-analysis merely included three articles accessing empagliflozin in comparison with placebo on NAFLD, and empagliflozin did not improve in CAP, LSM liver enzymes, and blood lipids (50). Relatively small samples and high heterogeneity may explain the contrasting conclusions. Furthermore, LSM and CAP as outcomes were included in evaluating the effects of SGLT2i, the results of which were negative, different from our results; however, the studies were few, no more than three, and had language restriction of English (18). Meanwhile, they used magnetic resonance imagingproton density fat fraction or magnetic resonance spectroscopy to assess liver fat content, but they were limited due to the expensive equipment, which makes techniques hard to be popularized.

This meta-analysis still has some limitations that were almost inherent to the RCTs included. Firstly, no high-quality RCTs were included, where the evaluation of most detection bias and selection bias of all was unclear, and even some performance bias was high, which affected the credibility. Secondly, our meta-analysis had a language restriction, causing some selection bias. Thirdly, the sample size of included studies was less than 100 patients, so the results should be interpreted with caution. Fourthly, since there was a publication bias in the evaluation of LSM, serious attention should be paid to the results. Lastly, peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor agonists and glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonists also had positive effects in patients with NAFLD. We could not identify which antihyperglycemic drug was superior, so head-tohead RCTs are needed (15). Similarly, based on these, it is desirable that the findings should be interpreted with caution, and massive studies with allocation concealment, with blindness, are multicentered, and with large sample sizes are warranted to be further established in the future. Despite the aforementioned limitations, this meta-analysis and systematic review still provides valuable information to assist us to understand the effects of SGLT2i on hepatic fibrosis and steatosis.

Conclusions

This meta-analysis provided evidence for the efficacy of SGLT2i in reducing CAP and LSM, although high heterogeneity still existed. Therefore, we can conclude that SGLT2i could delay the progression of hepatic fibrosis and steatosis and be expected to be a specific drug in treating NAFLD. However, it is still necessary to endorse more randomized, double-blinded, multi-centered clinical trials with longer duration to evaluate SGLT2i on hepatic fibrosis and steatosis so that optimal decisions could be made by patients and clinicians together for proper clinical practice.

Author contributions

PZ proposed the subject and designed the protocol for this systematic review. PZ, ZH and YT conducted literature screening and data extraction.YT and WX performed statistical analysis. PZ and YT drafted the manuscript. XZ and JY inspected all aspects of this systematic review. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

References

1. Sasso M, Beaugrand M, de Ledinghen V, Douvin C, Marcellin P, Poupon R, et al. Controlled attenuation parameter (CAP): A novel VCTETM guided ultrasonic attenuation measurement for the evaluation of hepatic steatosis: Preliminary study and validation in a cohort of patients with chronic liver disease from various causes. Ultrasound Med Biol (2010) 36(11):1825–35. doi: 10.1016/j.ultrasmedbio.2010.07.005

2. Vuppalanchi R, Siddiqui MS, Van Natta ML, Hallinan E, Brandman D, Kowdley K, et al. Performance characteristics of vibration-controlled transient elastography for evaluation of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Hepatology* (2018) 67(1):134–44. doi: 10.1002/hep.29489

3. Piazzolla VA, Mangia A. Noninvasive diagnosis of NAFLD and NASH. *Cells* (2020) 9(4):1005. doi: 10.3390/cells9041005

4. Petta S, Maida M, Macaluso FS, Di Marco V, Cammà C, Cabibi D, et al. The severity of steatosis influences liver stiffness measurement in patients with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Hepatology* (2015) 62(4):1101–10. doi: 10.1002/hep.27844

5. Fujimori N, Tanaka N, Shibata S, Sano K, Yamazaki T, Sekiguchi T, et al. Controlled attenuation parameter is correlated with actual hepatic fat content in patients with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease with none-to-mild obesity and liver fibrosis. *Hepatol Res* (2016) 46(10):1019–27. doi: 10.1111/hepr.12649

6. Petta S, Wong VW, Cammà C, Hiriart JB, Wong GL, Marra F, et al. Improved noninvasive prediction of liver fibrosis by liver stiffness measurement in patients with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease accounting for controlled attenuation parameter values. *Hepatology* (2017) 65(4):1145–55. doi: 10.1002/hep.28843

7. Younossi ZM, Koenig AB, Abdelatif D, Fazel Y, Henry L, Wymer M. Global epidemiology of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease-meta-analytic assessment of prevalence, incidence, and outcomes. *Hepatology* (2016) 64(1):73–84. doi: 10.1002/hep.28431

8. Younossi ZM, Golabi P, de Avila L, Paik JM, Srishord M, Fukui N, et al. The global epidemiology of NAFLD and NASH in patients with type 2 diabetes: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Hepatol* (2019) 71(4):793-801. doi: 10.1016/j.jhep.2019.06.021

Funding

This study was supported by the Jiangsu Province Hospital of Chinese Medicine (y2021rc12), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (82174293, 82004286).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fendo.2023.1144838/full#supplementary-material

9. Targher G, Lonardo A, Byrne CD. Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease and chronic vascular complications of diabetes mellitus. *Nat Rev Endocrinol* (2018) 14(2):99–114. doi: 10.1038/nrendo.2017.173

10. Mantovani A, Scorletti E, Mosca A, Alisi A, Byrne CD, Targher G. Complications, morbidity and mortality of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Metabolism* (2020) 111s:154170. doi: 10.1016/j.metabol.2020.154170

11. Targher G, Corey KE, Byrne CD, Roden M. The complex link between NAFLD and type 2 diabetes mellitus - mechanisms and treatments. *Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol* (2021) 18(9):599–612. doi: 10.1038/s41575-021-00448-y

12. Handzlik G, Holecki M, Kozaczka J, Kukla M, Wyskida K, Kedzierski L, et al. Evaluation of metformin therapy using controlled attenuation parameter and transient elastography in patients with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *Pharmacol Rep* (2019) 71 (2):183–8. doi: 10.1016/j.pharep.2018.10.013

13. Dwinata M, Putera DD, Hasan I, Raharjo M. SGLT2 inhibitors for improving hepatic fibrosis and steatosis in non-alcoholic fatty liver disease complicated with type 2 diabetes mellitus: A systematic review. *Clin Exp Hepatol* (2020) 6(4):339–46. doi: 10.5114/ceh.2020.102173

14. Kamolvisit S, Chirnaksorn S, Nimitphong H, Sungkanuparph S. Pioglitazone for the treatment of metabolic-associated fatty liver disease in people living with HIV and prediabetes. *Cureus* (2021) 13(10):e19046. doi: 10.7759/cureus.19046

15. Mantovani A, Byrne CD, Targher G. Efficacy of peroxisome proliferatoractivated receptor agonists, glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonists, or sodiumglucose cotransporter-2 inhibitors for treatment of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: A systematic review. *Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol* (2022) 7(4):367–78. doi: 10.1016/ S2468-1253(21)00261-2

16. Wu JH, Foote C, Blomster J, Toyama T, Perkovic V, Sundström J, et al. Effects of sodium-glucose cotransporter-2 inhibitors on cardiovascular events, death, and major safety outcomes in adults with type 2 diabetes: A systematic review and metaanalysis. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol* (2016) 4(5):411–9. doi: 10.1016/s2213-8587(16) 00052-8 17. Bellanti F, Lo Buglio A, Dobrakowski M, Kasperczyk A, Kasperczyk S, Aich P, et al. Impact of sodium glucose cotransporter-2 inhibitors on liver steatosis/fibrosis/ inflammation and redox balance in non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *World J Gastroenterol* (2022) 28(26):3243–57. doi: 10.3748/wjg.v28.i26.3243

18. Mantovani A, Petracca G, Csermely A, Beatrice G, Targher G. Sodium-glucose cotransporter-2 inhibitors for treatment of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: A metaanalysis of randomized controlled trials. *Metabolites* (2020) 11(1):22. doi: 10.3390/ metabol1010022

19. Wei Q, Xu X, Guo L, Li J, Li L. Effect of SGLT2 inhibitors on type 2 diabetes mellitus with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: A meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Front Endocrinol (Lausanne)* (2021) 12:635556. doi: 10.3389/ fendo.2021.635556

20. Yan H, Huang C, Shen X, Li J, Zhou S, Li W. GLP-1 RAs and SGLT-2 inhibitors for insulin resistance in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: Systematic review and network meta-analysis. *Front Endocrinol (Lausanne)* (2022) 13:923606. doi: 10.3389/ fendo.2022.923606

21. Page MJ, McKenzie JE, Bossuyt PM, Boutron I, Hoffmann TC, Mulrow CD, et al. The PRISMA 2020 statement: An updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. *BMJ* (2021) 372:n71. doi: 10.1136/bmj.n71

22. Wan X, Wang W, Liu J, Tong T. Estimating the sample mean and standard deviation from the sample size, median, range and/or interquartile range. *BMC Med Res Methodol* (2014) 14:135. doi: 10.1186/1471-2288-14-135

23. Luo D, Wan X, Liu J, Tong T. Optimally estimating the sample mean from the sample size, median, mid-range, and/or mid-quartile range. *Stat Methods Med Res* (2018) 27(6):1785–805. doi: 10.1177/0962280216669183

24. Deyno S, Eneyew K, Seyfe S, Tuyiringire N, Peter EL, Muluye RA, et al. Efficacy and safety of cinnamon in type 2 diabetes mellitus and pre-diabetes patients: A meta-analysis and meta-regression. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract* (2019) 156:107815. doi: 10.1016/j.diabres.2019.107815

25. Shimizu M, Suzuki K, Kato K, Jojima T, Iijima T, Murohisa T, et al. Evaluation of the effects of dapagliflozin, a sodium-glucose co-transporter-2 inhibitor, on hepatic steatosis and fibrosis using transient elastography in patients with type 2 diabetes and non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *Diabetes Obes Metab* (2019) 21(2):285–92. doi: 10.1111/dom.13520

26. Han E, Lee YH, Lee BW, Kang ES, Cha B-S. Ipragliflozin additively ameliorates non-alcoholic fatty liver disease in patients with type 2 diabetes controlled with metformin and pioglitazone: A 24-week randomized controlled trial. *J Clin Med* (2020) 9(1):Article 259. doi: 10.3390/jcm9010259

27. Hu C, Wang Y, Xi Y, Yao X. Dapagliflozin therapy curative effect observation on nonalcoholic fatty liver disease in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Indian J Pharm Sci* (2020) 82:122–9. doi: 10.36468/pharmaceutical-sciences.spl.155

28. Taheri H, Malek M, Ismail-Beigi F, Zamani F, Sohrabi M, Reza Babaei M, et al. Effect of empagliflozin on liver steatosis and fibrosis in patients with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease without diabetes: A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Adv Ther* (2020) 37(11):4697–708. doi: 10.1007/s12325-020-01498-5

29. Chehrehgosha H, Sohrabi MR, Ismail-Beigi F, Malek M, Babaei MR, Zamani F, et al. Empagliflozin improves liver steatosis and fibrosis in patients with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease and type 2 diabetes: A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled clinical trial. *Diabetes Ther* (2021) 12(3):843–61. doi: 10.1007/s13300-021-01011-3

30. Chu Y, Wang D, Lv Z. Effects of dapagliflozin combine with liraglutide on inflammatory factors, glucolipid metabolism and liver function in patients with type 2 diabetes combined with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *Eval Anal Drug-Use Hospitals China* (2022) 22(09):1064–1067+1071. doi: 10.14009/j.issn.1672-2124.2022.09.009

31. Lee CH, Wu MZ, Lui DT, Chan DS-H, Fong CH-Y, Shiu SW-M, et al. Comparison of serum ketone levels and cardiometabolic efficacy of dapagliflozin versus sitagliptin among insulin-treated Chinese patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Diabetes Metab J* (2022) 46(6):843–54. doi: 10.4093/dmj.2021.0319

32. Tang W. Clinical observation of polyethylene glycol loxenatide and dapagliflozin in the treatment of type 2 diabetes mellitus complicated with NAFLD. Jilin University (2022). Master. doi: 10.27162/d.cnki.gjlin.2022.005580

33. Li G, Zhang X, Lin H, Liang LY, Wong GL, Wong VW. Non-invasive tests of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *Chin Med J (Engl)* (2022) 135(5):532–46. doi: 10.1097/ cm9.00000000002027

34. Ferraioli G, Berzigotti A, Barr RG, Choi BI, Cui XW, Dong Y, et al. Quantification of liver fat content with ultrasound: A WFUMB position paper. *Ultrasound Med Biol* (2021) 47(10):2803–20. doi: 10.1016/j.ultrasmedbio.2021.06.002

35. Sandrin L, Fourquet B, Hasquenoph JM, Yon S, Fournier C, Mal F, et al. Transient elastography: A new noninvasive method for assessment of hepatic fibrosis. *Ultrasound Med Biol* (2003) 29(12):1705–13. doi: 10.1016/j.ultrasmedbio.2003.07.001

36. Salmi A, di Filippo L, Ferrari C, Frara S, Giustina A. Ultrasound and FibroScan ([®]) controlled attenuation parameter in patients with MAFLD: head to head comparison in assessing liver steatosis. *Endocrine* (2022) 78(2):262–9. doi: 10.1007/s12020-022-03157-x

37. Cao Y, Xiang L, Qi F, Zhang Y, Chen Y, Zhou X. Accuracy of controlled attenuation parameter (CAP) and liver stiffness measurement (LSM) for assessing steatosis and fibrosis in non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *EClinicalMedicine* (2022) 51:101547. doi: 10.1016/j.eclinm.2022.101547

38. Jojima T, Tomotsune T, Iijima T, Akimoto K, Suzuki K, Aso Y. Empagliflozin (an SGLT2 inhibitor), alone or in combination with linagliptin (a DPP-4 inhibitor), prevents steatohepatitis in a novel mouse model of non-alcoholic steatohepatitis and diabetes. *Diabetol Metab Syndr* (2016) 8:45. doi: 10.1186/s13098-016-0169-x

39. Eriksson JW, Lundkvist P, Jansson PA, Johansson L, Kvarnström M, Moris L, et al. Effects of dapagliflozin and n-3 carboxylic acids on non-alcoholic fatty liver disease in people with type 2 diabetes: A double-blind randomised placebo-controlled study. *Diabetologia* (2018) 61(9):1923–34. doi: 10.1007/s00125-018-4675-2

40. Latva-Rasku A, Honka MJ, Kullberg J, Mononen N, Lehtimäki T, Saltevo J, et al. The SGLT2 inhibitor dapagliflozin reduces liver fat but does not affect tissue insulin sensitivity: A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study with 8-week treatment in type 2 diabetes patients. *Diabetes Care* (2019) 42(5):931-7. doi: 10.2337/dc18-1569

41. ElMahdy MK, Helal MG, Ebrahim TM. Potential anti-inflammatory effect of dapagliflozin in HCHF diet- induced fatty liver degeneration through inhibition of TNF- α , IL-1 β , and IL-18 in rat liver. *Int Immunopharmacol* (2020) 86:106730. doi: 10.1016/j.intimp.2020.106730

42. Poonawala A, Nair SP, Thuluvath PJ. Prevalence of obesity and diabetes in patients with cryptogenic cirrhosis: A case-control study. *Hepatology* (2000) 32(4 Pt 1):689–92. doi: 10.1053/jhep.2000.17894

43. Kanwal F, Kramer JR, Duan Z, Yu X, White D, El-Serag HB. Trends in the burden of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease in a united states cohort of veterans. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* (2016) 14(2):301–308 e301-302. doi: 10.1016/j.cgh.2015.08.010

44. Kanwal F, Shubrook JH, Adams LA, Pfotenhauer K, Wai-Sun Wong V, Wright E, et al. Clinical care pathway for the risk stratification and management of patients with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Gastroenterology* (2021) 161(5):1657–69. doi: 10.1053/j.gastro.2021.07.049

45. Cusi K, Isaacs S, Barb D, Basu R, Caprio S, Garvey WT, et al. American Association of clinical endocrinology clinical practice guideline for the diagnosis and management of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease in primary care and endocrinology clinical settings: Co-sponsored by the American association for the study of liver diseases (AASLD). *Endocr Pract* (2022) 28(5):528–62. doi: 10.1016/j.eprac.2022.03.010

46. Chao EC. SGLT-2 inhibitors: A new mechanism for glycemic control. *Clin Diabetes* (2014) 32(1):4–11. doi: 10.2337/diaclin.32.1.4

47. Zhang Y, Liu X, Zhang H, Wang X. Efficacy and safety of empagliflozin on nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Front Endocrinol (Lausanne)* (2022) 13:836455. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2022.836455

48. He K, Li J, Xi W, Ge J, Sun J, Jing Z. Dapagliflozin for nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract* (2022) 185:109791. doi: 10.1016/j.diabres.2022.109791

49. Mo M, Huang Z, Liang Y, Liao Y, Xia N. The safety and efficacy evaluation of sodium-glucose co-transporter 2 inhibitors for patients with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: An updated meta-analysis. *Dig Liver Dis* (2022) 54(4):461–8. doi: 10.1016/j.dld.2021.08.017

50. Tang X, Zhang H, Wang X, Yang D. Empagliflozin for the treatment of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: A meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Afr Health Sci* (2022) 22(3):391–8. doi: 10.4314/ahs.v22i3.42