REVIEW PAPER



Carbon dioxide separation and capture by adsorption: a review

Mohsen Karimi^{1,2,3} • Mohammad Shirzad^{1,2,3} • José A. C. Silva³ • Alírio E. Rodrigues^{1,2}

Received: 9 November 2022 / Accepted: 28 February 2023 © The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2023

Abstract

Rising adverse impact of climate change caused by anthropogenic activities is calling for advanced methods to reduce carbon dioxide emissions. Here, we review adsorption technologies for carbon dioxide capture with focus on materials, techniques, and processes, additive manufacturing, direct air capture, machine learning, life cycle assessment, commercialization and scale-up.

Keywords Carbon dioxide capture · Climate change mitigation · Biogas upgrading · Sustainability · Adsorption technology

Abbreviations

AC	Activated carbon
AD	Anaerobic digestion
AGGI	Annual greenhouse gas index
ANFIS	Adaptive neuro fuzzy inference system
ANNs	Artificial neural networks
ASTM	American Society of Testing Materials
BU	Biogas upgrading
CCS	Carbon capture and storage
CCUS	Carbon capture utilization and storage
CDR	Carbon dioxide removal
COFs	Covalent organic frameworks
CMS	Carbon molecular sieves
CSS	Cyclic steady state
DAC	Direct air capture
DIW	Direct ink writing
ESA	Electric swing adsorption
EW	Enhanced weathering
FDM	Fused deposition modeling
GA	Genetic algorithm

Mohsen Karimi mohsen.karimi@fe.up.pt

- ¹ Laboratory of Separation and Reaction Engineering (LSRE), Associate Laboratory LSRE/LCM, Faculty of Engineering, University of Porto, Rua Dr. Roberto Frias, 4200-465 Porto, Portugal
- ² ALiCE Associate Laboratory in Chemical Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, University of Porto, Rua Dr. Roberto Frias, 4200-465 Porto, Portugal
- ³ Centro de Investigação de Montanha (CIMO), Instituto Politécnico de Bragança, Campus de Santa Apolónia, 5300-253 Bragança, Portugal

Published online:	16 March 2023

GHGs	Greenhouse gases
IAMs	Integrated assessment models
IEA	International energy agency
LCA	Life cycle assessment
ML	Machine learning
MOFs	Metal-organic frameworks
MSWs	Municipal solid wastes
NRC	National research council
NETs	Negative emission technologies
OF	Ocean fertilization
PSA	Pressure swing adsorption
VPSA	Vacuum pressure swing adsorption
VSA	Vacuum swing adsorption
SVR	Support vector regression
TSA	Temperature swing adsorption
UN	United Nations
UN List of syr	United Nations nbols
UN List of syr bcm	United Nations nbols Billion cubic meters
UN List of syr bcm CO ₂	United Nations nbols Billion cubic meters Carbon dioxide
UN List of syr bcm CO_2 CH_4	United Nations nbols Billion cubic meters Carbon dioxide Methane
UN List of syr bcm CO_2 CH_4 CFCs	United Nations nbols Billion cubic meters Carbon dioxide Methane Chlorofluorocarbons
UN List of syr bcm CO ₂ CH ₄ CFCs H ₂	United Nations nbols Billion cubic meters Carbon dioxide Methane Chlorofluorocarbons Hydrogen
UN List of syr bcm CO ₂ CH ₄ CFCs H ₂ H ₂ S	United Nations nbols Billion cubic meters Carbon dioxide Methane Chlorofluorocarbons Hydrogen Hydrogen sulfide
UN List of syr bcm CO ₂ CH ₄ CFCs H ₂ H ₂ S H ₂ SO ₄	United Nations nbols Billion cubic meters Carbon dioxide Methane Chlorofluorocarbons Hydrogen Hydrogen sulfide Sulfuric acid
UN List of syr bcm CO ₂ CH ₄ CFCs H ₂ H ₂ S H ₂ SO ₄ H ₃ PO ₄	United Nations nbols Billion cubic meters Carbon dioxide Methane Chlorofluorocarbons Hydrogen Hydrogen sulfide Sulfuric acid Phosphoric acid
UN List of syn bcm CO ₂ CH ₄ CFCs H ₂ H ₂ S H ₂ SO ₄ H ₃ PO ₄ HCl	United Nations nbols Billion cubic meters Carbon dioxide Methane Chlorofluorocarbons Hydrogen Hydrogen sulfide Sulfuric acid Phosphoric acid Hydrochloric acid
UN List of syn bcm CO ₂ CH ₄ CFCs H ₂ H ₂ S H ₂ SO ₄ H ₃ PO ₄ HCl HCFCs	United Nations nbols Billion cubic meters Carbon dioxide Methane Chlorofluorocarbons Hydrogen Hydrogen sulfide Sulfuric acid Phosphoric acid Hydrochloric acid Hydrochlorofluorocarbons
UN List of syr bcm CO ₂ CH ₄ CFCs H ₂ H ₂ S H ₂ SO ₄ H ₃ PO ₄ HCl HCFCs HFCs	United Nations nbols Billion cubic meters Carbon dioxide Methane Chlorofluorocarbons Hydrogen Hydrogen sulfide Sulfuric acid Phosphoric acid Hydrochloric acid Hydrochlorofluorocarbons Hydrofluorocarbons
UN List of syr bcm CO ₂ CH ₄ CFCs H ₂ H ₂ S H ₂ SO ₄ H ₃ PO ₄ HCl HCFCs HFCs N ₂	United Nations nbols Billion cubic meters Carbon dioxide Methane Chlorofluorocarbons Hydrogen Hydrogen sulfide Sulfuric acid Phosphoric acid Hydrochloric acid Hydrochlorofluorocarbons Hydrofluorocarbons Nitrogen
UN List of syr bcm CO ₂ CH ₄ CFCs H ₂ H ₂ S H ₂ SO ₄ H ₃ PO ₄ HCl HCFCs HFCs N ₂ NaOH	United Nations nbols Billion cubic meters Carbon dioxide Methane Chlorofluorocarbons Hydrogen Hydrogen sulfide Sulfuric acid Phosphoric acid Hydrochloric acid Hydrochlorofluorocarbons Hydrofluorocarbons Nitrogen Sodium hydroxide

Introduction

Recently, the world faces with a dual global challenge including global warming derived from greenhouse gases emission as a consequence of industrialization and fossil fuel consumption, and also escalating level of energy consumption as a result of the exponential population growth (TakhtRavanchi et al. 2011; Christopher 2011). Accordingly, lately, significant efforts have been made for carbon capture and storage from the stationary sources as well as developing renewable sources of energy, such as biogas to reduce the dependency on the fossil fuels (Yu et al. 2017; Wang et al. 2018; Scarlat et al. 2018).

To this end, several different technologies have been evaluated including absorption with aqueous amine solutions, adsorption using solid sorbents, cryogenic and membranes. However, adsorption technology regarding the efficiency, eco-friendly impacts, simplicity and productivity is among the most favorable ones, while still there is a long way to pass for fully developed in the industrial scale (Xie and Suh 2013; TakhtRavanchi and Sahebdelfar 2014, 2021). Accordingly in this work, the last topics related to the carbon capture for post-combustion and biogas upgrading processes have been highlighted.

It is worth noting, carbon dioxide capture from flue gas and biogas upgrading possess an identical process with some differences in carbon dioxide percentage in the gas mixture and the operating conditions whereas the biogas as a clean source of energy, newly, received a notable interest (Baena-Moreno et al. 2019; Osman et al. 2020; Farghali et al. 2022). On the grounds, in this review paper, the most recent advances on the adsorption technology for such purposes have been demonstrated in a holistic and comprehensive way to the scientific community.

Global warming and climate change

The United Nations defines the climate change as the longterm shifts in earth's temperature and weather pattern, caused by natural or human-made phenomena (United Nations 2022). The United Nations reported that since 1800s, the human activities have had a major role in climate change in a way that the earth is 1.1 °C warmer than 1800 (United Nations 2022). According to data published by National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA), the global surface temperature of earth has increased drastically in the last two decades (NASAClimate 2022a). Figure 1 represents the Global Land–Ocean Temperature Index during the last two centuries. As can be found, a significant increase in earth temperature has begun since 1980 (NASA-Climate 2022a). Hazardous human activities for meeting the worldwide energy demand are the consequence of global population growth, development of industries and lifestyle transformation. Obviously, the increasing rate of energy demand has eventuated to more consumption of different energy resources, especially fossil fuels (Shirzad et al. 2019b). As indicated in Fig. 2, the global energy consumption has rapidly increased during the last decades, which majorly attributed to the oil, gas, and coal consumption (OurWorldinData 2022). Fossil-fueled energy units exhibit tons of greenhouse gas emissions to the atmosphere (Ahmed et al. 2021).

Accordingly, carbon dioxide, methane, nitrous oxides, chlorofluorocarbons, hydrochlorofluorocarbons, and hydro-fluorocarbons are considered as the major greenhouse gases, which directly attribute to the global warming (IEA 2022). It is worth noting that global energy demand decreased about 4% in 2020 due to COVID-19 pandemic compared to 2019; but at the end of 2021, the global energy demand increased 0.5% compared to the before the pandemic level (IEA 2022).

Based on the Annual Greenhouse Gas Index (AGGI) combined warming effect of all greenhouse gases compared to the condition in 1990, after the industrial revolution, the greenhouse gases in the earth's atmosphere have risen from zero to 1, while in the next 30 years, till 2020, AGGI grew faster and hit 1.47 (AGGI 2022). In other words, nowadays, the earth's atmosphere absorbs more energy than the pre-industrial days, around 3.18 W/m², which leads to a faster change in the global climate (AGGI 2022). The increasing rate of greenhouse gas emissions has led to a drastic global warming, increasing sea levels, intense natural disasters, forests firing and, melting snows (Karimi et al. 2018b, 2020b, 2022b).

Global land-ocean



Fig. 1 The global land–ocean temperature index for evaluating the average enhancement of annual global temperature of earth from 1880. Data provided by NASA (NASAClimate 2022a)





Fig. 2 Trends of global energy consumption of oil, gas, coal, solar, biofuels, biomass, hydropower, nuclear, wind and other renewable sources during the last two century (OurWorldinData 2022)



Fig. 3 The share of different greenhouse gases in the global warming including carbon dioxide, methane, nitrous oxide as well as chloro-fluorocarbon, hydrochlorofluorocarbon and hydrofluorocarbon (Dong et al. 2019). CO₂, carbon dioxide; CH₄, methane; CFC, chlorofluorocarbon; HCFC, hydrochlorofluorocarbon; HFC, hydrofluorocarbon

It is noteworthy that around 66% and 16% of that warming effect is related to carbon dioxide and methane, respectively (Karimi et al. 2018b, 2020b, 2022b). The recent data of different greenhouse gases contributions in climate warming are illustrated in Fig. 3.

Because of all aforementioned challenges, currently, carbon dioxide with the most significant share in greenhouse gas emissions and global warming is in the center of attentions through scientific efforts. For instance, only coal firing and natural gas combustion were responsible for about 14.8 and 7.35 Gt global carbon dioxide emissions in 2021, respectively (IEA 2022). Regrettably, the carbon dioxide emission follows an increasing rate, which is now more than 40 Gt, annually (Karimi et al. 2020a), and as anticipated, the carbon dioxide emission reaches to around 46 Gt in 2030 (Dong et al. 2019). It should be considered that the average concentration of carbon dioxide from pre-industrial days up to now has enhanced from 280 to 400 ppm (Karimi et al. 2018b; Regufe et al. 2021), which fossil fuel combustion is in charge of 75% of the emission (Karimi et al. 2020a). On the other hand, in different regions, carbon dioxide emissions have different growth trends through the years, in which, North America and Europe had the highest cumulative net anthropogenic carbon dioxide emission from 1850 till 2019 followed by Eastern Asia with 560, 380, and 300 Gt, respectively (IPCC 2022). All evidence prove that the carbon dioxide emission is significantly raising, and serious efforts are required to mitigate the greenhouse gas emissions for saving the planet and being in the way of net zero emission (Osman et al. 2022).

Biogas as a renewable source of energy

In the last decades, a massive attention has been devoted on developing renewable energy resources and specifically biogas production, to mitigate and overcome the global warming issue resulted from fossil fuel consumption (Shirzad et al. 2019b). Biogas is produced from degradation of the organic parts of wastes through the anaerobic digestion (Baena-Moreno et al. 2019). In such a way, heat, electricity, and fuel can be produced as well as the generated wastes are managed in a sustainable way (Shirzad et al. 2019b; Karimi et al. 2022b). Although, the benefits of biogas are already demonstrated and significant efforts have been made to supply the energy demands from bioenergy; nevertheless, a remarkable difference in global energy supply has not been observed since 2000 (WBA 2022).

Figure 4a represents a comparison between the global energy supply and the renewable sector increasing rate through the last two decades (WBA 2022). As can be observed, bioenergy production has increased at a slower rate than total energy production. In 2018, 31% of total energy supply was related to the oil sector. Also, nuclear, coal, gas, and renewable resources contributed to global energy supply with shares of 5%, 27%, 23%, and 14%, respectively (WBA 2022; Farghali et al. 2022). It is concluded that the global energy supply strictly depends on fossil fuels. It is worth mentioning that in 2018, only 11.3% of total energy supply was attributed to the bioenergy (WBA 2022).

Figure 4b illustrates a comparison between the total renewable energy supply and the shares of bioenergy, solar, wind, hydro, and geothermal sectors from 2000 to 2018 (WBA 2022). As shown, the major share of renewable energy is ascribed to the bioenergy, which is originated from difference sources such as the agricultural wastes, municipal solid wastes and, wastewater treatment sludge. As mentioned earlier, bioenergy is a reassuring global source of energy,



Energy production (EJ)



Fig. 4 Comparison between **a** the total global energy supply and the total renewable sector increasing rate and **b** different produced renewable energies globally during the last two decades including hydropower, solar, wind, geothermal and bioenergy (WBA 2022)

particularly for European countries, which face with energy crisis.

In Europe, 75% of the total greenhouse gas emission is related to the energy sector. To this context, greenhouse gas emissions is planned to reach 55% and zero up to 2030 and 2050, respectively, by increasing the share of renewable energy sector in European Union's overall energy consumption pattern (European Commission 2022a, b). It is also noteworthy that due to the recent war in Ukraine as well as Europe's dependence on Russian fossil fuels, the European Commission established a new target for renewable energy generation by increasing the former target agreed by European Union leaders in 2014 from 27 to 45%, according to European Commission's plan (REPowerEU) in 2022 for renewable energy share in 2030.

In other words, Europe's renewable energy generation must reach to 1067 GW before 2030 (European Commission



Fig. 5 Estimation of Guidehouse Netherlands B.V. for the European Union plus UK countries biomethane production potential by anaerobic digestion in 2030 to meet the European Commission target (Sacha Alberici et al. 2022). bcm, billion cubic meters

2022a, b). In this context, European countries require to develop new plants to produce more energy from renewable sources. On the grounds, biogas production through the sustainable deployment can play a key role and contribute to a considerable reduction in the greenhouse gas emissions. To date, European countries require a comprehensive action plan to achieve the demand by increasing the capacity using diverse sustainable processes. It is estimated that 35 billion cubic meters of biomethane are demanded to meet the defined target before 2030 (Sacha Alberici et al. 2022). In 2022, Guidehouse Netherlands B.V. estimated the potential of European Union countries biomethane production by anaerobic digestion in 2030 to meet the European Commission target, which is depicted in Fig. 5 (Sacha Alberici et al. 2022).

The state-of-the-art biomethane production in Europe is demonstrated in Fig. 6a, which clearly approves that the biomethane production capacity is dramatically lower than the European commission target for 2030 (European Commission 2022b; Sacha Alberici et al. 2022). Figure 6b indicates the European countries biomethane production separately in 2018 (EBA 2021), which we can conclude that many countries require to improve their roadmaps to meet the defined targets. To this end, scientific and financial supports as well as, economic motivations are required. It is notable that according to International Energy Agency (IEA) report in 2020, the average of biomethane production price is 19 \$/MBtu (EBA 2021; European Commission 2022b). However, after the Ukraine crisis, the gas price in Europe reached up to 50 \$/MBtu, which is significantly higher than biomethane (EBA 2021; European Commission 2022b).

As indicated in Table 1, the biogas compositions strongly rely on the feed type and the process of biogas production.



Fig. 6 a Biomethane production trend in European countries from 2011 to 2021 (European Commission 2022b; Sacha Alberici et al. 2022). b The capacity of biomethane production of each European country countries in 2018 (EBA 2021). bcm, billion cubic meters

For instance, the produced biogas by the anaerobic digestion process is contained approximately 40% of carbon dioxide (Karimi et al. 2022b). Accordingly, the biogas to meet the pipelines standards as a domestic fuel and/or ship for other industrial applications requires to be upgraded by separating the carbon dioxide and other contaminants from methane (Muñoz et al. 2015).

Carbon dioxide capture techniques

Generally, carbon dioxide mitigation methodologies are categorized in three main ones including post-combustion, precombustion, and oxy-fuel combustion (Karimi et al. 2021c), which are described in following.

Post-combustion carbon dioxide capture

Post-combustion carbon dioxide capture is ascribed to the process of carbon dioxide sequestration from the flue gases after combustion process and before emission to atmosphere. In these processes, the flue gas passes through a carbon dioxide removal unit and hence, a carbon dioxide-free flue gas is emitted to the atmosphere. One of the most important obstacles through the post-combustion processes is the low partial pressure of carbon dioxide, normally from 3 up to 15% v/v in the flue gas (Riboldi 2016; Karimi et al. 2021c). Other barriers in the post-combustion processes can be mentioned as the huge volume

of flue gas, which is introduced to carbon dioxide removal unit and the presence of impurities, which can adversely affect the performance of carbon dioxide capture process (Riboldi 2016; Karimi et al. 2021c).

Pre-combustion carbon dioxide capture

Routinely, this process is related to the carbon dioxide separation from biogas, natural gas, and syngas to be considered as a clean fuel to meet the standards of pipelines or considering for combustion process. In this way, the biogas is upgraded, and natural gas is sweetened, also through the syngas process, the produced carbon monoxide and hydrogen undergo a further reaction, shift reaction, by means of steam to generate more hydrogen (Riboldi 2016; Osman et al. 2020).

Further, carbon dioxide removal step is required to produce an enriched hydrogen stream as the combustion fuel feed. In pre-combustion processes, the carbon dioxide volumetric composition is typically between 15 and 60% with the total pressure of 2–7 MPa. To this context, carbon dioxide removal in the pre-combustion is companied with less energy consumption demand (Riboldi 2016; Osman et al. 2020).

Oxy-fuel combustion carbon dioxide capture

Oxy-fuel combustion carbon dioxide capture is assigned to the process of carbon dioxide separation from the feed oxidization with pure oxygen (Karimi et al. 2021c). The

Table 1	Comp	arisoı	n of bio	gas	char	acte	erist	ics originate	d from an	aer-
obic di	gestion	and	landfill,	as	well	as	the	biomethane	standards	for
pipeline	e (Spito	ni et a	al. 2019	; Na	aquas	h e	t al.	2022)		

Parameter	Biogas from anaero- bic digestion	Biogas from landfill		
Minimum methane number	135	130		
Lower heating value (MJ/kg)	23	16		
Wobbe index (MJ/ Nm ³)	27	18		
Density (kg/Nm ³)	1.2	1.3		
Composition (%mol)				
CH_4	60–70	35–65		
CO_2	30–40	15–40		
H ₂ O	1–5	1–5		
N ₂	0.2	15		
H_2	0	0–3		
Heavy carbon	0	0		
Composition (ppm)				
Ammonia	Less than 100	5		
H_2S	0-10,000	0-100		
Standards for biometh	ane in gas and liquid for	rm		
Components	Composition (upper lin	mit)		
	Gas form	Liquid form		
H ₂ O	32 (mg/m ³)	1 ppmv		
H_2S	5 (mg/m ³)	4 ppmv		
CO ₂	3%	25 ppmv		

 CO_2 , carbon dioxide; CH_4 , methane; H_2O , water; N_2 , nitrogen; H_2 , hydrogen; H_2S , hydrogen sulfide

high-purity carbon dioxide is produced in this process owing to the pure oxygen utilization, instead of air. The technology of carbon dioxide separation in this process is equivalent to the post-combustion carbon capture process. Due to the high purity of carbon dioxide, the separation process is accomplished with much higher efficiency (Osman et al. 2020).

It is worth mentioning that in this process, the combustion temperature reaches up to 3500 °C (Riboldi 2016), which is detrimental to the combustion equipment. In-line with that the combustion temperature shall be decreased to around 1400 °C and 1900 °C for the typical gas turbine and oxy-fuel coal-fired turbines, respectively. To this end, a recycle flue gas is inserted to the combustion chamber and moderate the combustion temperature (Riboldi 2016; Osman et al. 2020). A comparison concerning the benefits and drawbacks of each category is illustrated in Table 2.

Carbon dioxide separation technologies

According to the process nature, carbon dioxide separation/capture technologies can be classified in five major classes including membrane, absorption, adsorption, cryogenic distillation, and chemical looping (Thomas and Benson 2005). Carbon dioxide capture by membrane technology includes a barrier between two different phases, which leads the carbon dioxide separation from the next components (Shirzad et al. 2019a). Generally, three types of membranes have been applied in carbon dioxide separation applications such as 1-polymeric membranes, 2-inorganic membranes, and 3-mixed matrix membranes (Yang et al. 2008).

Carbon dioxide absorption process as the most prevalent technology is defined as dissolving carbon dioxide in a media, through the physical or chemical phenomena (Yu et al. 2012). Also, carbon dioxide capture through the adsorption process is implemented using an adsorbent, physically or chemically (Roque-Malherbe 2018). Further, cryogenic carbon dioxide separation is a technology implemented in high pressure and very low temperature, in which carbon dioxide is separated according to different boiling points (Xu et al. 2012).

Different carbon dioxide separation/capture processes are schematically represented in Fig. 7, accompanied by some key instances for each technology. On the other hand, energy consumption and cost including operating, and maintenance are two major factors toward the appropriate separation technology for carbon capture and storage and biogas upgrading, which these different technologies have been compared concerning these factors in Fig. 8 (Spitoni et al. 2019).

Further, specific capital cost, advantages and limitations of these techniques have also been specified in detail in Tables 3 and 4 (Leung et al. 2014; Canevesi et al. 2018; Naquash et al. 2022). As can be found, the high cost, environmental issues, and high energy consumption have faced the applications of membrane, cryogenic, and absorption technologies with serious challenges. On the other hand, adsorption technology not only is an eco-friendly technology, but also represents a low-energy consumption process, which is able to selectively separate carbon dioxide in an efficient way. Accordingly, recently it has received a significant attention regarding its applications for carbon capture and storage as well as biogas upgrading (Ho et al. 2008; Khajeh and Ghaemi 2020; Jin et al. 2021; Karimi et al. 2022b).

Lately, many efforts have been conducted for optimizing the carbon dioxide adsorption process, reducing the energy consumption, consequently decreasing the operating cost, as well as developing the sustainable sorbents for carbon capture, which detailed description of these investigations have been extensively discussed in the following sections

Category	Benefits	Drawbacks
Post-combustion	Maturity comparing with available carbon capture pro- cesses	High energy consumption
	Facility of retrofitting the technology to the new and exist- ing plants	Low concentration of CO_2 in flue gas which reduces the efficiency of process
		The presence of various impurities
		Requires adsorbent with high CO_2 uptake capacity and high selectivity
Pre-combustion	High CO_2 concentration in syngas and biogas enhances the efficiency of the process	High capital cost
	Fully developing for wide-scale adoption and commercialization	Decay challenges regarding the hydrogen-rich fuel con- sumption
	Low cost of adaptation	The presence of impurities such as H ₂ S and CO
		Heat transfer problems
Oxy-fuel combustion	Relatively simple technology	High capital and operating cost
	Suitable for retrofit	High energy consumption
	NOx is not significant	Requires large quantities of oxygen

Table 2 Benefits and drawbacks of carbon dioxide capture processes (Younas et al. 2020; Osman et al. 2020; Karimi et al. 2021c)

CO2, carbon dioxide; CO, carbon monoxide; H2S, hydrogen sulfide; and NOx, nitrogen oxides

(Ho et al. 2008; Khajeh and Ghaemi 2020; Jin et al. 2021; Karimi et al. 2022b).

Recent adsorbents for carbon dioxide capture

Through the evolution of adsorbents, various types of samples including zeolites, activated carbons, metal–organic frameworks, covalent organic frameworks, and silicates have been developed for carbon capture and sequestration (Lai et al. 2021). Generally, carbon dioxide adsorbents are categorized in two different classes such as physical adsorbents, and chemical adsorbents (Lai et al. 2021). In physical adsorbents, the textural properties including size, surface, and design of pores play as the key elements on the adsorption performance. As a basic rule, larger volume/surface area with the pore diameter less than 0.7 nm is more favorable for adsorption processes (Presser et al. 2011; Kumar et al. 2020).

Furthermore, an optimum value for enthalpy of sorption, which satisfies the adsorption and desorption quality is inline with the carbon dioxide capture purposes (Presser et al. 2011; Kumar et al. 2020). On the other hand, the chemical adsorbents are commonly metallic elements, which have been employed in either salt or oxide forms including magnesia and calcium oxide, alkali-metal compounds as lithium silicate, hydrotalcites, lithium zirconate, and double salts (Songolzadeh et al. 2012). In this way, during the adsorption process the acidic gas, such as carbon dioxide reacts with

Fig. 7 Technologies for carbon dioxide separation and capture including absorption, adsorption, membrane, cryogenic and chemical looping (Canevesi et al. 2018; Madejski et al. 2022). CO₂, carbon dioxide; MOF, metal–organic framework; COF, covalent organic framework





Fig. 8 Comparison between energy consumption, maintenance cost and operating cost of different carbon dioxide separation and capture technologies such as water scrubber, organic solvent scrubber, chemical solvent scrubber, pressure swing adsorption, membrane and cryogenic (Spitoni et al. 2019). MWh, megawatt-hour

 Table 3
 Capital cost of carbon dioxide separation technologies (Naquash et al. 2022)

Separation technology	Specific capital cost (€/ (Nm ³ /h))
Water scrubber	5500
Organic solvent scrubber	4500
Chemical solvent scrubber	3200
Pressure swing adsorber	2700
Membrane	2500
Cryogenic system	5600

Nm³/h, normal cubic meters per hour

adsorbent to create metal carbonates in the strong chemical bonds. Accordingly, the desorption of chemical adsorbents as highly energy waster is the main drawback of this class of sorbents (Ben-Mansour et al. 2016).

However, carbon dioxide capture at the high temperature, over than 300 °C, is also considered as the main benefit of these sorbents. It is notable that in some cases to improve the reversibility of chemical adsorbents, chemical treatments using alumina with alkali-metal carbonates and/or alkaline metal oxides are employed (Yong et al. 2002).

The carbon dioxide adsorbents in another classification are also categorized based on the adsorption operation temperature in three different classes including low-temperature carbon dioxide adsorbents for operation temperature less than 200 °C, intermediate-temperature carbon dioxide adsorbents for operation temperature between 200 and 400 °C, and also high-temperature carbon dioxide adsorbents for operation temperature higher than 400 $^{\circ}$ C (Wang et al. 2010).

An adsorbent to be considered as a proper candidate for carbon capture and storage as well as biogas upgrading applications shall represent some key specifications, which the basic ones are high surface area, high porosity, minimum thermal degradation, chemical stability, high carbon dioxide selectivity, low cost of synthesis and proper loading capacity (Akinola et al. 2022). In the following, different classes of carbon dioxide adsorbents have been discussed in detail for such purposes concerning these phenomena.

Zeolites

Zeolite was firstly introduced in 1756, by the Axel Fredrik Cronstedt (Ruthven 1984), which because of their significant positive specifications, study on zeolites rapidly grew and today, they are a major element of adsorption technology (Choi et al. 2009; Kumar et al. 2020). Totally, zeolites are silicate-based materials composed with alumina to result a crystalline structure, high surface area, and tunable pore design (Kumar et al. 2020). On the ground, various parameters may affect the zeolite adsorption capability such as alumina content in zeolite structure and the adsorbent pore diameter (Barthomeuf 2002; Henrique et al. 2019). In fact, the alumina content determines the total exchangeable cations concentration in the adsorbent structure (Barthomeuf 2002).

On the other hand, the studies on some types of zeolites approved the one with larger pore diameter, zeolite 13X showed higher adsorption capability than other types of zeolites including 4A, 5A, APG-II, and WE-G 592 (Siriwardane et al. 2005). Further, employing the alkali and alkaline earth metals demonstrated positive impacts on the zeolite physical properties and consequently, their adsorption capacity (Shen et al. 2002). Structurally, zeolites differ in Si/Al ratio, the charge balancing cation type, and operationally, the pretreatment process procedure (Byung Yoon 2002).

It is worth mentioning that the effect of earth metal modifier addition to the zeolites on their long-term stability is still unknown (Choi et al. 2009). For instance, Table 5 indicates some key physical and chemical properties of prevalent zeolites 13X, 5A, 4A, WE-G 592, and APG-II (Siriwardane et al. 2005; Nikolaidis et al. 2018; Zhao et al. 2018).

Besides the promising features of zeolites for carbon dioxide adsorption, however some drawbacks still constrain the zeolite applications. In general, at the higher temperatures, carbon dioxide adsorption on zeolites significantly reduces and tends to zero at 200 °C (Inui et al. 2002). The low selectivity of zeolites is another drawback of available zeolites for carbon capture and sequestration as well as biogas upgrading purposes (Kumar et al. 2020).

Technology	Advantages	Limitations	Purity and Recovery
Adsorption	Reusable adsorbents	In some cases, requires pretreatment for separating other impurities including H ₂ S and water vapor	PSA, Purity: 50–99% & Recovery: 30–90%
	High efficiency	Requires adsorbents with very specific characters	TSA, Purity: 95% & Recovery: 80%
	Mature technology	High energy consumption in terms of flue gas and TSA process	ESA, Purity: 20% & Recovery: 93%
	Environmentally friendly		
	Quick and simple installation		
Chemical absorption (with a reagent)	High efficiency	Highly energy consumption	
	The most matured technology	Corrosion effects	
		Environment problems	Purity: 99% & Recovery: 98%
		Absorption highly depends on CO ₂ concentration	
Physical absorp- tion (with water)	High purity	Purified gas requires a further drying step	
	H ₂ S removal also occurs	Energy requirement for water cooling	Purity: 99% & Recovery: 98%
	Matured technology	Environment problems	
Cryogenic	Large-scale applications are already available	Highly energy consumption	
	Matured technology	Only viable for high CO ₂ concentration	Purity: 99.9% & Recovery: 90%
		Large equipment requirement	
Membrane	High purity	Low capacity	
	Low energy requirement	Fouling	
	Simple installation	Low selectivity	Purity: higher than 95% & Recovery: 90%
	Compact structure	High cost	
	Well knowledge on technology	H ₂ S removal requirement before CO ₂ adsorption	

 Table 4
 Advantages and limitations of carbon dioxide separation/capture technologies (Clausse et al. 2011; Leung et al. 2014; Canevesi et al. 2018)

PSA, pressure swing adsorption; TSA, temperature swing adsorption; ESA, electric swing adsorption; H₂S, hydrogen sulfide; and CO₂, carbon dioxide

Additionally, zeolites represent high hydrophilic character, which significantly decreases their performance at the humid operating conditions, especially their regeneration (Choi et al. 2009).

Carbon-based adsorbents

Activated carbon

Activated carbon is a substance addressing the carbon-rich materials, which includes well-structured porosity and carbon networks. A wide range of chemical functional groups on the surface of these samples, highly organized macro-, meso-, and micro-pores, accompanied with high surface area nominate this sample in broad range of applications (Karimi et al. 2021a). Accordingly, recently it has been considered as a versatile adsorbent to be employed for wastewater

treatment, pharmaceutical science, air pollution control from inhabited places, automobile pollution control devices, solvent recovery, food processing industry, and gas mask filter manufacturing technology (Karimi et al. 2021a).

Alongside of all mentioned applications, one of the main domains of activated carbon application is being an adsorbent for gas adsorption (Karimi et al. 2021a, 2022b). On the grounds, recently numerous studies have been devoted to the engineering aspect and/or material nature of activated carbon for carbon dioxide capture (Karimi et al. 2022b). Routinely, carbon-based adsorbents regarding their hydrophobic character, fast kinetic, large surface area, tunable structure, high chemical and thermal stability, low heat of adsorption, large diversity of sources, and reasonable efficiency are among the oldest and favorable adsorbents for carbon capture studies (Karimi et al. 2018b, 2020a, b).

Despite of several benefits of activated carbons, the low selectivity is one of the major drawbacks of this adsorbent

Table 5 Zeolite's physical and chemical properties (Siriwardane et al. 2005; Nikolaidis et al. 2018; Zhao et al. 2018). CO₂, carbon dioxide and N₂, nitrogen

Property	Unit	Type of zeolites ^a						
		4A	5A	APG-II	WE-G 592	13X		
Earth metal modifier	_	NA ^b	Са	NA	NA	NA		
Pore diameter	Å	4	5	10	10	10		
Zeolite surface area	$m^2.g^{-1}$	NA	NA	710	625	710		
Aluminum content	wt%	13.6	14.8	10.7	15.6	14.2		
Silicon content	wt%	16.1	16.7	14.3	16.5	18.2		
Breakthrough timec	min	9	13	15	24	20		
Adsorbent mass	g	7.21	6.53	6.40	12.46	19.3		
CO ₂ loading ^c	mmol g^{-1}	0.5	0.38	0.38	0.6	0.7		
Gas flow rate	cm3 min-1	5	5	5	5	5		
selectivity $(CO_2/N_2)^c$	_	NA	NA	NA	15.5	23.11		
Potential application	_	NA	NA	NA	Post-combustion	Post-combustion		
Heat of adsorption ^c	kJ mol ⁻¹	- 56	- 63	- 66	- 55	- 63		

^aAll zeolites are represented by their commercial names

^bNot available

^cData reported at 120 °C and 1 atm

for gas adsorption concerning the separation of carbon dioxide and methane and/or carbon dioxide and nitrogen, especially at low percentage of carbon dioxide. Accordingly, in the recent years, a major attention has been devoted to the modification of activated carbons, which activating reagents, temperature, and reagent/carbon mass ratio are considered as the most effective parameters on the modifications/activations of commercial activated carbon as carbon dioxide adsorbent (Sreńscek-Nazzal and Kiełbasa 2019).

Through the years, researchers tried to improve the textural properties of commercial activated carbons by introducing new media to the activated carbons structures (Sreńscek-Nazzal and Kiełbasa 2019), impregnation via chemical reagents (Liu et al. 2013b), or through the dielectric barrier discharge plasma (Glonek et al. 2017). In this way, recently Karimi et al. (Karimi et al. 2018b) employed different chemical treatments using hydrogen peroxide, sulfuric acid, nitric acid, and urea as well as thermally modifications till 800 °C to improve the characters of commercial activated carbons.

Further, Srenscek-Nazzal et al. (2016) modified the commercial activated carbon by potassium hydroxide, potassium carbonate, and zinc chloride and reported an enhancement on carbon dioxide adsorption capacity due to the optimized structural properties. Some of helpful information concerning the surface modification and activation of carbon materials can be found in (Abd et al. 2021).

Biomass/biochar-originated carbon dioxide adsorbent

Lately, novel activated carbons derived from renewable and plentiful resources, which are abundant and economic, such as agricultural wastes, food wastes, animal manure, and industrial by-products, have attracted massive interests for carbon dioxide adsorption applications (Karimi et al. 2022b). Annually, thousands of tons of these wastes are dumped or burnt throughout the world, without any beneficial usage that leads to drastic environmental concerns (Patra et al. 2021). For instance, Table 6 indicates the potential of some biomass and animal wastes throughout the world, which have already been evaluated as a source of adsorbent (Karimi et al. 2022b).

The biomass usually undergoes a conversion process, incomplete combustion such as pyrolysis, to produce biooil as well as carbon dioxide and digestate. In this way, the digestate, through an aerobic process is transformed to biochar (Karimi et al. 2021a), which as a low-cost and enriched carbon precursor for carbon dioxide adsorption is promising not only in the view of abundant resources, but also as a prominent strategy for solid wastes management and carbon dioxide capture in a sustainable way to be substituted with commercial adsorbents (Karimi et al. 2022b).

In-line with that numerous studies have investigated the feasibility of various carbon precursors including olive, pine sawdust, oat, wood pellet, coconut, almond, oil tea, white-wood, rice, peanut, palm, coconut shell, pinecones, wood ash, bagasse, corncob, argan fruit shells, eggshell, animal wastes, and bio-sludge of waste water treatment plant as a source of adsorbent for carbon capture (Karimi et al. 2022b). Further, researchers proved that the huge volume of municipal solid wastes, which adversely affect the planet and the human life, can be sustainably managed by turning these materials to energy and also the activated carbon, through the anaerobic digestion and afterward, an activation process (Karimi et al. 2021c; Madejski et al. 2022).

Commonly, to produce activated carbon from carbonaceous resources, after carbonization, a pretreatment process is required (Sharma et al. 2021). In this context, the carbonized samples are washed and then dried at about 100 °C (Karimi et al. 2020a, 2022b). Afterward, the fully dried samples are grinded to be prepared for the activation step (Karimi et al. 2020a, 2022b). Two routine methods for sample activation are physical and chemical treatments. The physical activation consists of a two-step procedure, in which the sample is firstly carbonized at temperature between 400 and 850 °C, afterward, activated by steam, carbon dioxide and air (Karimi et al. 2018b, 2020a, 2022b).

On the other hand, chemical activation includes a onestep activation method, implemented by means of some reagents such as hydrochloric acid, phosphoric acid, sulfuric acid, and sodium hydroxide. It is worth mentioning that in some cases, two activating methods are utilized simultaneously, either physical at the first or chemical (Karimi et al. 2020b). It should be considered that various parameters affect the biochar-to-adsorbent process such as conversion temperature, heating rate through the conversion, feed's nature, chemical reagent, and conversion process duration. Accordingly, pyrolysis, gasification, torrefaction, and hydrothermal carbonization are the main conversion processes of biomass to biochar mentioned in the literature (Shirzad et al. 2019b).

A comparison between some prevalent precursors activated through physical or chemical process and other carbonaceous-based adsorbents for carbon dioxide capture is reported in Table 7. It should be considered that moderate heat of adsorption of carbon dioxide on derived activated carbons from biomass/biochar leads to a convenient regeneration process. In this way, manifold low-cost biomass/

biochar-originated activated carbons have been investigated under cyclic adsorption processes (Karimi et al. 2022b). Nowadays, activated carbons from spent materials, which showed reasonable carbon dioxide uptake and high regenerability, can play a significant role in carbon dioxide sequestration and global warming mitigation through a fully sustainable path (Karimi et al. 2020b).

Carbon molecular sieve and graphene

Carbon molecular sieves belong to a special branch of carbon-based materials. These microporous structures possess pore diameter about 0.3 nm, which is tunable for different species separation (Lai et al. 2021). It is worth mentioning that the selectivity of carbon molecular sieves can be adjusted for specified adsorbate that is considered as a significant advantage in adsorption purposes. On the other hand, this type of adsorbent suffers from the high toxicity, high cost, and low carbon dioxide capacity (Lai et al. 2021).

Graphene, which has also been evaluated in some studies for carbon capture and sequestration (Huang et al. 2018; An et al. 2019; Zhou et al. 2021), is a two-dimensional carbonaceous material constructed in hexagonal-shape structures (Lai et al. 2021). The tunable layer characteristics and wide range of functionalization reagents through a matured technology specified graphene as a carbon dioxide adsorbent with high potential. However, sensitivity to the structural defects has hindered to extend its applications in carbon dioxide removal applications (Lai et al. 2021).

ass production in es	Biomass/waste	Production (M tons/y)	Country	Year	References
	Soybean	41.65	Argentina	2018	FAO (2022)
	Rapeseed	21.48	Canada	2020	OurWorldinData (2022)
	Bagasse	758	Brazil	2020	Silalertruksa and Gheewala (2020)
	Wood pellet	52.7	Worldwide	2020	Saosee et al. (2020)
	Wheat	281.15	Europe	2020	OurWorldinData (2022)
	Corncob	20	China	2015	Li et al. (2015b)
	Pine sawdust	0.28	Mexico	2019	Parascanu et al. (2019)
	Cassava	66.14	Nigeria	2020	OurWorldinData (2022)
	Sweet potato	7.62	Malawi	2020	OurWorldinData (2022)
	Soybean	134.26	Brazil	2020	OurWorldinData (2022)
	Pea	3.02	Russia	2020	OurWorldinData (2022)
	Sugar crops	408.41	India	2020	OurWorldinData (2022)
	Potato	24.8	Ukraine	2018	FAO (2022)
	Rice (paddy)	91.54	Indonesia	2018	FAO (2022)

Table 6	Biomass	production	iı
various	countries		

Table 7	Comparison of	biomass precursors	s activated thro	ugh physical c	or chemical	process and	d other	carbonaceous-bas	ed adsorbents t	for carbon
dioxide	capture									

Samples	Activation method	Operational condition		CO ₂	Selectivity	Potential application	References
		Tempera- ture (°C)	Pressure (bar)	capacity (mmol/g)			
Rice husk ash	Chemical	50	1	2.95	_	_	Hemalatha et al. (2012)
Date seed	Chemical	20	1	1.79	-	-	Ogungbenro et al. (2020)
Sunflower seed shell	Chemical	25	1	4.55	CO ₂ /N ₂ : 6.69	Post-combustion	Deng et al. (2015)
Beer waste	Physical	0	1	2.6	CO ₂ /N ₂ : 13	Post-combustion	Hao et al. (2013)
Oil tea shell	Chemical	0	1	6.15	CO ₂ /CH ₄ : 2.37	Biogas upgrading	Zhang et al. (2018)
Lignin waste	Chemical	0	1	7.4	-	-	Sangchoom and Mokaya (2015)
Peanut shell	Chemical	0	1	7.45	CO ₂ /N ₂ : 8.28	Post-combustion	Li et al. (2015a)
Rambutan peel	Physical	30	1	1.70	CO ₂ /N ₂ : 30 & CO ₂ /CH ₄ : 37.5	Post-combustion & biogas upgrading	Zubbri et al. (2020)
Peanut shell	Chemical	0	1	4.0	CO ₂ /N ₂ : 6.45	Post-combustion	Deng et al. (2015)
Coffee ground	Physical/chemical	0	1	4.9	_	-	Plaza et al. (2012)
Olive stone	Physical	0	0.95	4.65	CO ₂ /N ₂ : 6.74	Post-combustion	González et al. (2013)
Olive and cherry stone	Physical	25	3	2.53	CO ₂ /CH ₄ : 3.73	Biogas upgrading	Álvarez-Gutiérrez et al. (2014)
Poplar anthers	Chemical	25	1	4.15	CO ₂ /N ₂ : 10.38	Post-combustion	Song et al. (2014)
Horse manure	Physical	0	1	3.8	CO ₂ /N ₂ : 7.6	Post-combustion	Hao et al. (2013)
Algae	Chemical	25	1	4.5	CO ₂ /N ₂ : 9	Post-combustion	Sevilla et al. (2012)
Coconut shell	Physical	30	1	2.45	CO ₂ /N ₂ : 6.13	Post-combustion	Wawrzyńczak et al. (2019)
Palm kernel	Physical	30	4	7.32	_	-	Nasri et al. (2014)
Tobacco wastes	Chemical	25	1	2.6	CO ₂ /N ₂ : 10.4	Post-combustion	Sha et al. (2015)
Agaricus	Chemical	0	1	5.5	CO ₂ /N ₂ : 27.3	Post-combustion	Wang et al. (2012)
Rice husk ash	Physical	75	1	3.93	-	-	Zeng and Bai (2014)
Beech wood	Physical	23	1	2.54	-	-	Gebald et al. (2014)
Municipal solid waste	Chemical/physical	40	2.5	2.6	_	-	Karimi et al. (2020b)
Sawdust, cellulose, and starch	Chemical	25	1	4.8	CO ₂ /N ₂ : 5.4	Post-combustion	Sevilla and Fuertes (2011)
Commercial acti- vated carbon	Chemical	30	1	2.2	CO ₂ /N ₂ : 11	Post-combustion	Chen et al. (2021)
Commercial acti- vated carbon	Physical	25	1	1.88	_	-	Rashidi and Yusup (2021)
Molecularsieve	Physical	30	0.4	0.9	_	_	al Mesfer et al. (2020)
Molecular sieve	_	0	1	4.92	CO ₂ /N ₂ : 11	Post-combustion	Yang et al. (2020)

CO₂, carbon dioxide; N₂, nitrogen; and CH₄, methane

Metal-organic frameworks

Li et al. (1999) firstly introduced metal–organic frameworks as an appealing candidate for gas separation and storage, catalysis, and molecular recognition, which showed stable porosity without guest ions or solvent. They reported that these materials illustrate surface tuning and pore functionalization more than other conventional adsorbents (Li et al. 1999). Metal–organic frameworks are basically constituted of metal ions and clusters, and organic ligands with surface area and pore size designed up to $10,000 \text{ m}^2/\text{g}$ and 150 nm, respectively (Huang et al. 2003; Furukawa et al. 2013).

Totally, metal-organic frameworks are synthesized through various methods including solvothermal as most-repeated in the literature (Chen et al. 2017; Hsieh et al. 2022), electrochemical (Stassen et al. 2015), hydrothermal

(Chen et al. 2019), microwave-assisted (Vakili et al. 2018), mechanochemical (Chen et al. 2018b), sonochemical (Vaitsis et al. 2022), template (He et al. 2019b), ionothermal (Zunita et al. 2022), atomic layer deposition (Lemaire et al. 2017), sol–gel (Shamsudin et al. 2019), ultrasound-assisted (Sargazi et al. 2017), spray-drying (Mitsuka et al. 2021), and flow chemistry (He et al. 2019a), which is the most up-todate one (Rubio-Martinez et al. 2017).

However, almost the synthesis procedures of all metal–organic frameworks pursue a similar protocol, but the final structural form possesses a major effect on adsorption capacity (Hiyoshi et al. 2005; Serna-Guerrero et al. 2008). The limitations of some of the most-employed metal–organic frameworks synthesis techniques are described in Table 8 (Stassen et al. 2015; Sargazi et al. 2017; Rubio-Martinez et al. 2017; Vakili et al. 2018; Yulia et al. 2019).

The adsorption kinetics of numerous metal–organic frameworks follow the Langmuir-like form, in which, at the low carbon dioxide partial pressure, a small change in the pressure leads to a large differentiation in carbon dioxide adsorption capacity. On the other hand, there is an induction gap in the adsorption isotherm of several metal–organic frameworks. To this context, in moderate pressure, a slight change in pressure contributes to a significant variation in adsorption capacity; on the other hand, in lower pressure, the capacity variation would be negligible (Choi et al. 2009).

As mentioned earlier, various metal–organic frameworks exhibit low carbon dioxide capacity in lower pressure range of around 0.1–0.2 bar. It is noteworthy that the intermolecular forces between adsorbed carbon dioxide and adsorbent are typically insignificant. Hence, adsorbent regeneration in the cyclic adsorption processes occurs in low temperatures, around 30 °C (Wang et al. 2010). A comparison between carbon dioxide uptake capacities of some of the most popular metal–organic frameworks is demonstrated in Table 9.

Beside the promising characters of metal–organic frameworks, which are highly interesting for carbon dioxide capture studies, one of the major concerns related to the large-scale applications of these adsorbents is the cost (Younas et al. 2020). Accordingly, in the synthesis step, the solvent cost dominates the total cost of production (Younas et al. 2020). Quantitatively, aqueous synthesis of metal–organic frameworks associates with lower net price of 13–36 \$/kg of adsorbent, which is much lower than the conventional method of solvothermal with an average price of 35–75 \$/kg (Younas et al. 2020). Nevertheless, the other steps of the carbon dioxide adsorption process via metal–organic frameworks including adsorption and regeneration are comparable to the conventional adsorbents (Younas et al. 2020).

Further, the instability under humid operating conditions is the other drawback of these sorbents, which has diminished the application range of this adsorbent, practically. On the grounds, numerous researchers have attempted to develop the metal–organic frameworks with characteristics as water-resistant adsorbents (Ding et al. 2016; Vakili et al. 2018; Palakkal and Pillai 2022). In this way, high oxidation state metals utilization and metal sites and/or organic linkers replacement with hydrophobic substances are among the possible solutions (Vakili et al. 2018). In recently attempts, composite forms of metal–organic frameworks with novel substances have presented appealing adsorption properties for carbon dioxide capture (Ding et al. 2016; Palakkal and Pillai 2022), which refer to a new window toward the metal–organic frameworks characteristics optimization.

Additionally, polyethylenimine (Tao et al. 2013), polynaphtylene (Ding et al. 2016),

MOF synthesis method	Limitations	Reference
Solvothermal	High energy consumption	Sargazi et al. (2017)
	Slow reaction	
Electrochemical	Attributed to Zr-based MOFs	Stassen et al. (2015)
	Acid consumption	
Hydrothermal	Slow reaction	Chen et al. (2019)
Sonochemical	Rarely applied	Ghanbari et al. (2020)
	Not accommodation with organic solvent	
Microwave-assisted	Nonthermal effects	Vakili et al. (2018)
Ultrasound-assisted	Cost issues	Sargazi et al. (2017)
Mechanochemical	Slow reaction	Sargazi et al. 2017; Yulia et al. (2019)
	High temperature	
	High pressure	
	Large production limitations	
Flow chemistry	Unmatured	Rubio-Martinez et al. (2017)
Spray-drying	Process difficulties	Rubio-Martinez et al. (2017)

Table 8Limitations of themost-employed metal–organicframeworks synthesis methodsZr, zirconium; MOFs, metal–organic frameworks

MOFs ^a	BET sur- face area (m ² /g)	Pressure (atm)	Tem- perature (°C)	CO ₂ uptake (mmol g ⁻¹)	Selectivity	Potential application	Reference
Cd-4TP-1	728.6	1	0	2.7	-	Post-combustion	Pachfule and Baner- jee (2011)
MIL-101(Cr/EDTA- Ac)	1259	1	25	2.46	CO ₂ /N ₂ : 9.46	Post-combustion	Chen et al. (2018a)
Ni-MOF-74	-	0.7	25	5.2	-	-	Bae et al. (2014)
MIL-101(Cr)	495.23	2	25	5.7	CO ₂ /N ₂ : 57	Post-combustion	Lin et al. (2014)
MIL-101(Cr,Mg)	3274	1	25	3.28	CO ₂ /N ₂ : 41	Post-combustion	Hu et al. (2014)
BUT-161	308	1	25	2.14	O ₂ /N ₂ : 57 & CO ₂ / CH ₄ : 10	Post-combustion and biogas upgrading	Zhang et al. (2019)
MAF-X27(ox)	1167	1	25	6.7	CO ₂ /N ₂ : 262	Post-combustion	Liao et al. (2015)
Mg-MOF-74	1174	1	25	8.6	CO ₂ /CH ₄ : 10.1	Post-combustion and biogas upgrading	Bao et al. (2011)
Co-MOF-74	957	1	25	7.5	-	_	Yazaydin et al. (2009)
Al(HCOO)3(ALF)	-	1.18	50	4.3	CO ₂ /N ₂ : 350	Post-combustion	Evans et al. (2022)
MOF-177-EDTA-20%	855	1	25	2.83	-	-	Gaikwad et al. (2021)
MOF-177-TEPA-20%	585	1	25	3.82	-	_	Gaikwad et al. (2021)
MW-180-30	-	1	25	2.02	CO ₂ /N ₂ : 29.7	Post-combustion	Chen et al. (2019)
MOF-1 [Ni- (4PyC)2·DMF]	-	1	30	4.1	CO ₂ /N ₂ : 82	Post-combustion	Nandi et al. (2017)

 Table 9
 Comparison of recently developed metal–organic frameworks for carbon dioxide capture and sequestration

MOFs, metal–organic frameworks; BET, Brunauer; Emmett and Teller technique. CO₂, carbon dioxide; N₂, nitrogen; and CH₄, methane ^aAll reported adsorbents are commercial and/or patented names

1-ethyl-3-methylimidazolium thiocyanate (Manuel Vicent-Luna et al. 2018), benzoic acid-functionalized graphene (Kumar et al. 2016), mesoporous silica (Chakraborty and Maji 2014), graphene oxide (Liu et al. 2013a), and carbon nanotube (Xiang et al. 2011) have been utilized as modifier in metal–organic frameworks structure. Permyakova et al. (Permyakova et al. 2017) lately developed Al dicarboxylate metal–organic frameworks denoted MIL-160(Al) as a water-stable sample using high valence metal cations, which has already demonstrated interesting results for gas separation and purification studies.

Further, incorporating this type of adsorbent with mixed matrix membranes is the other technique, which has been considered to develop high-efficient adsorbents with supreme selectivity and productivity characters (Pettinari and Tombesi 2020). A summary of pros and cons of metal–organic frameworks for gas separation and purifications applications is described in Table 10.

Covalent organic frameworks

Basically, covalent organic frameworks are crystalline highly porous polymers and organic materials linked by strong covalent bonds via π -structure forms (Côté et al. 2005; Feng et al. 2012). Covalent organic frameworks as versatile materials with tunable pore size and specific thermal and chemical stability represented significant characteristics in carbon dioxide adsorption purposes (Gole et al. 2018). In general, they follow a repetitive pattern of organic materials and exhibit high surface area, satisfying density, numerous functional groups adaption whereas can be occasionally tuned (Gole et al. 2018).

One of the first synthesis of covalent organic frameworks was attributed to Côté et al. (2005) in 2005. The two-dimensional COF-1 and COF-5 were synthesized via solvothermal method using phenyl diboronic acid and hexahydroxytriphenylene as the building blocks (Côté et al. 2005). After the prosperous study of Cote et al. (2005), other researchers also developed the covalent organic frameworks with novel ingredients to develop more efficient adsorbents for gas separation studies (Wang et al. 2021a; Lyu et al. 2022; Sani et al. 2022). Accordingly, some studies evaluated the covalent organic frameworks enriched by nitrogen sites (Lyu et al. 2022). These types of sorbents demonstrated significant affinity toward carbon dioxide molecules.

Lyu et al. (2022) also developed a novel covalent organic framework incorporated with reactive aliphatic amine materials including 3-aminopropyl amine, which led to synthesize

Table 10	Advantages	and	disadvantages	of	metal-organ	ic frame-
works (W	ang et al. 20	<mark>10</mark> ; L	ee and Park 201	5; 1	Younas et al.	2020; Pal-
akkal and	l Pillai 2022)					

Advantages	Tunable surface characteristics
	Incorporation with other modifiers
	As additive to modify the capacity of other tech- nologies
	Catalytic characteristics for CO ₂ conversion
	Reasonable regenerability
	High porosity
Disadvantages	Instability in humid condition
	Cost issues
	Storage capacity
	Encapsulation of molecules with larger size
	Lower mechanical stability in higher porosity
	Negligible capacity in low pressure
	Complicated synthesis process

(Feng et al. 2012; Wei et al. 2015). It is noteworthy that solvothermal is the most popular and the most reliable one among the reported covalent organic frameworks synthesis methods (Wei et al. 2015). Table 12 also demonstrates a comparison between some of recent developed covalent organic frameworks for carbon dioxide adsorption.

However, while this type of sorbent received a specific interest for gas adsorption applications, but still the synthesis methods suffer from maturity and reliability that requires more efforts for developing novel synthesis methods and discovering new linkage reactions to expand the ability of these materials for carbon capture in more efficient way (Feng et al. 2012; Wei et al. 2015). Finally, Table 13 compares the key characters of activated carbons, zeolites, metal–organic frameworks and covalent organic frameworks for carbon dioxide sequestration purposes.

Methods to validate adsorbent materials

a more efficient adsorbent with 29% higher capacity than pristine framework and more stable toward water presence. High affinity toward carbon dioxide contributed to the introduction of this novel adsorbents as an attractive adsorbent candidate in low carbon dioxide concentration conditions, specifically direct air capture (Lyu et al. 2022). However, cost issue is still one of the main concerns for large-scale applications of this class of sorbents (Sani et al. 2022).

Typically, covalent organic frameworks are synthesized through various methods, which the main ones accompanied with advantages and disadvantages illustrated in Table 11 As already discussed, there has been phenomenal growth in the development of adsorption techniques and adsorptive technologies regarding the increasing demand for energy and the environmental challenges (Sircar 2006). Accordingly, researches and studies on adsorption technology span a variety of end-use applications such as carbon dioxide capture from post-combustion processes (Liu et al. 2021a), gas sweetening (Tagliabue et al. 2012), biogas upgrading (Aghel et al. 2022), air separation (Pan et al. 2017) and hydrogen storage (Yang et al. 2012), while

Method	Advantages	Disadvantages
Solvothermal	Maturity	Synthesis problems with fully soluble and insoluble building blocks
Microwave	Rapid reaction	Used for COF-5 ^a and COF-102 ^a only
	Simple large-scale production	
	No requirement to sealed vessel	
	Better porosity	
Ionothermal	High temperature requirement	-
	Building block selection limitation	
Synthesis on metal surfaces	Large-scale production	Requirement to precise reaction condition
		High purity of building blocks
		Utilization of a single-crystal metal surface
Synthesis on HOPG surfaces	The presence of CuSO ₄ .5H ₂ O as a water reservoir	-
Synthesis on graphene surfaces	-	Unmatured

Table 11 Advantages and disadvantages of synthesis methods for covalent organic frameworks (Feng et al. 2012; Wei et al. 2015)

HOPG, Highly ordered pyrolytic graphite; CuSO₄.5H₂O, copper sulfate pentahydrate; COF, covalent organic frameworks ^aRepresents the commercial name of covalent organic frameworks

COFs ^a	Surface area (m ² /g)	Tempera- ture (°C)	Pres- sure (bar)	CO ₂ uptake (mmol/g ⁻¹)	Selectivity	Potential application	References
COF-10	1760	0	1	1.2	CO ₂ /CH ₄ : 2	Biogas upgrading	Furukawa and Yaghi (2009)
COF-10	1760	25	5	3.41	CO ₂ /CH ₄ : 7.5	Biogas upgrading	Furukawa and Yaghi (2009)
SQ-COP-1	8700	25	3	3.29	CO ₂ /CH ₄ : 4.14 & CO ₂ /N ₂ : 4.83	Biogas upgrading and post-combustion	Huang and Cao (2016)
SQ-COP-3	8500	25	3	1.93	CO ₂ /CH ₄ : 8.5 & CO ₂ / N ₂ : 7.08	Biogas upgrading and post-combustion	Huang and Cao (2016)
TDCOF-5	2050	0	1	2.09	CO ₂ /CH ₄ : 2.91	Biogas upgrading	Kahveci et al. (2013)
ILCOF-1	2723	0	1	1.38	CO ₂ /CH ₄ : 2	Biogas upgrading	Rabbani et al. (2013)
ILCOF-1	2723	25	5	3.8	CO ₂ /CH ₄ : 2	Biogas upgrading	Rabbani et al. (2013)
PCTF-4	1404	0	1	4.66	CO ₂ /CH ₄ : 20 & CO ₂ / N ₂ : 56	Biogas upgrading and post-combustion	Gu et al. (2015)
FCTF-1-600	1535	0	1	5.53	CO ₂ /N ₂ : 19	Post-combustion	Zhao et al. (2013)
CTF-TPC	1668	0	1	4.25	-	_	Zhang et al. (2011)

Table 12 Comparison of recently developed covalent organic frameworks for carbon dioxide adsorption

COF, covalent organic frameworks; CO2, carbon dioxide; N2, nitrogen; and CH4, methane

^aAll reported adsorbents are commercial and/or patented names

the process conditions of each application differ (Shade et al. 2022).

Routinely, gas adsorption studies include exposing the sorbates on an adsorbent system, thereafter determining the adsorption facts including loading capacity, heat of adsorption, selectivity, kinetic, thermodynamic and finally developing cyclic adsorption processes (Karimi et al. 2022b). In this way, different methods to validate adsorbent materials can be classified in four major classes including:

- I. Breakthrough
- II. Gravimetric
- III. Volumetric
- IV. Volumetric-Gravimetric

Breakthrough technique

The history of modern breakthrough technique is back to 1952 (Bartle and Myers 2002), where Martin and James presented the separation of volatile fatty acids using

chromatography considering the nitrogen gas as the mobile phase and a stationary phase of silicone oil/stearic acid (James and Martin 1952; Bartle and Myers 2002). Over the past decades, this technique has remarkably flourished to become one of the commonly used adsorption techniques.

Routinely, the breakthrough measurement begins by introducing a gas flow at a known composition to an adsorbent bed, which has already been activated using a specific procedure. In this way, different gas compositions are controlled using various mass flow controllers also another one is employed for measuring the inert gas. The experiments are run until each sorbate "breaks through" the fixed bed, which the adsorbed amount is determined by integrating the difference between the inlet and outlet molar flow rates of each sorbate over the time.

Accordingly, highly accurate detectors are required to monitor the composition of the effluent gas that gas chromatograph and mass spectrometer are among the most popular ones (Shade et al. 2022), while other sensors like infrared (Vivo-Vilches et al. 2018) or hydrogen analyzers (Danaei

Table 13Carbon dioxide adsorbent characteristics (Choi et al. 2009; Wang et al. 2010; Olajire 2017; Younas et al. 2020; Usman et al. 2021;Karimi et al. 2022b)

Adsorbent	Regenerability	CO ₂ capture capability	H ₂ O negative effect	Selectivity	Cost
Zeolite	Intermediate	High	High	Intermediate	Low
Activated carbons	High	Intermediate	Low	Low	Intermediate
Metal-organic frameworks	High	High	High	High	High
Covalent organic frameworks	Intermediate	High	High	High	High

CO2, carbon dioxide and H2O, water

Kenarsari et al. 2013) have practical usages. It is noteworthy, the total pressure during the adsorption process is controlled using a back-pressure regulator (Bastos-Neto et al. 2011). A simple schematic of this technique is demonstrated in Fig. 9.

Generally, the breakthrough technique is the most popular one for gas separation and purification studies, whereas it has its own pros and cons. On the grounds, the flexibility of this technique is very high, and relatively simple as well as it has the benefit of ease of use even in the scale of less than gram of sorbent, which nominate the breakthrough method as the most favorable one for measuring the gas adsorption mixtures (Keller and Staudt 2005; Pullumbi et al. 2019; Shade et al. 2022).

However, it has some drawbacks and limitations. Firstly, the breakthrough techniques are commonly provided commercially, which these apparatuses require relatively a high budget (Keller and Staudt 2005). Also, the challenge of variations in the effluent stream raises some concerns about the capacity of this technique, while employing an inert carrier gas has been a helpful strategy (Keller and Staudt 2005).

In addition, the accurate breakthrough measurement strongly relies on the precise knowledge on the dead volume of fixed-bed column that can nearly be determined by adsorption tests using sand or glass beads so-called "blankexperiments". Furthermore, regarding the multi-components gas experiments the users require to be informed about the roll-up concepts (Kapoor and Yang 1987; Li et al. 2011). But the biggest critique of breakthrough technique is related to doing one breakthrough experiment for one equilibrium data whereas for the next one the sample shall be regenerated, causing this method relatively time consuming and inappropriate for collecting large data sets (Shade et al. 2022).

Gravimetric technique

Gravimetric technique is the most direct adsorption method for the assessment of loading capacity of sorbates, which relies on a little required calculation (Lachawiec et al. 2008). The principal concept behind this technique is dating back to the ancient eras, when the mass assessment through weighing using Earth's gravity was firstly performed, while employing this phenomenon in the gas adsorption onto the solid sorbents is back to the second half of nineteenth century (Keller and Staudt 2005).

Generally, to accomplish a gravimetric experiment, a cell that is equipped with a sensitive microbalance is loaded with a small amount of adsorbent, afterward, the adsorbing gas is introduced to the system (Minnick et al. 2018). Accordingly, the total mass adsorbed is determined by calculating the sample weight variations. The principle of the gravimetric measurements is illustrated in Fig. 10. As can be found, this technique is proper for pure gas adsorption, whereas for gas mixture the system shall be coupled with



Fig. 9 Breakthrough measurement apparatus for gas adsorption studies. This technique is the most favorable method for measuring the gas adsorption mixtures, while it also requires the highly accurate detectors

one of other methods. It is worth noting that different variants can be specified regarding the considered balance as a single or double beam type (Keller and Staudt 2005; Shade et al. 2022).

Recently some developments on gravimetric methods have been introduced such as gravimetric—densimetric method and the gravimetric—Van Ness (Shade et al. 2022). Also, the employed integral mass balance method is one of the most exciting advancements on this technique (Broom et al. 2020). Although it can also be considered as an addition of gravimetry to the breakthrough one for measuring the "stepwise" breakthrough profiles without the requirement of sample regeneration for getting the adsorption equilibrium data sets of each isotherm (Broom et al. 2020).

However, the gravimetric adsorption measurement owns several benefits as well as some significant drawbacks, which requires to be distinguished before conducting the experiments (Paswan et al. 2004; Yang et al. 2016; Pan et al. 2017). Accordingly, the buoyant effects are essential to be corrected for gas adsorption, while it is relatively easy for pure gas assessment, but some complexities emerge in the case of multi-components adsorption concerning the density of gas (de Weireld et al. 2005; Nguyen et al. 2017). As getting the gravimetric equilibrium data may last hours or even days, accordingly a gas circulator has been proposed in some cases to reduce the adsorption time, which may

Fig. 10 Gravimetric unit for gas adsorption studies using a magnetic suspension balance. This technique is the most direct adsorption method for the assessment of loading capacity of sorbates, which relies on a little required calculation cause some difficulties for fine grained adsorbents (Keller and Staudt 2005).

In addition, the cost and sophistication of such a system are other drawbacks of this technique (Keller et al. 2002; Paswan et al. 2004). Furthermore, the temperature control is more complicated concerning the sorbent being on a balance (Paswan et al. 2004; Fakher and Imqam 2020). Besides the mentioned barriers and limitations of gravimetric technique, it has already proved some singular benefits. Generally, this method is the most popular one after the breakthrough technique for gas adsorption studies. Also, as already mentioned, this method is the most direct one for the acquisition of adsorption equilibrium data, while it represents the most reliable values regarding the high-pressure experiments (Shade et al. 2022).

On the other hand, the gravimetric measurement gives the opportunity of sample evaluation in the milligram scale, which is beneficial in the cases of novel adsorbents such as metal–organic frameworks and covalent organic frameworks concerning their cost, synthesis time and loading capacity (Karimi et al. 2021d). Novel gravimetric apparatuses represent very high accuracy accompanied with the availability of kinetic determination, thanks to remarkable advances on weighing techniques and modern precise microbalances (Fakher and Imqam 2020).



Volumetric technique

The gas adsorption measurement using volumetric technique was firstly developed by Sieverts (1907), where designed a glass volumetric unit for assessment of gas absorption and diffusion. During the last decades, this primary apparatus has passed its evolution to become one of the extremely popular techniques for different gas adsorption processes (Dreisbach et al. 1999; Lachawiec et al. 2008). Typically, a volumetric system consists of an adsorption cell, a reference cell, thermometers, pressure transducer and a source of energy provider, based on considered application (Paswan et al. 2004).

The experimental procedure starts by pressurizing the reference cell with gas sorbate, thereafter, expansion to the adsorption cell, which has already been loaded with a known mass of activated adsorbent. During the experiment, the pressure variations are recorded until getting the equilibrium conditions that allows the determination of adsorbed amount using an equation of state (Keller et al. 2002; Paswan et al. 2004; Fakher and Imqam 2020). Accordingly, based on to the nature of this technique, there is some arguments that the name of "manometric" is more suitable than "volumetric" for such a system, because the key variable is the pressure and not the volume (Keller and Staudt 2005).

It is noteworthy, performing the experiments may last milliseconds, minutes, hours or even days, whereas it depends on type of adsorbent and the dynamic of instrument (Keller and Staudt 2005; Karimi et al. 2021d). On the other hand, acquiring precise equilibrium data strongly relies on well calibrating/knowing different volumes of apparatus (Shade et al. 2022). A principle of volumetric measurement is illustrated in Fig. 11a. Also, a simple schematic of this method is depicted in Fig. 11b. Commonly, this technique is more popular for pure gas adsorption assessment; however, some convolutions emerge for multi-component gas adsorption, which requires employing other analytical systems such as the gas chromatography for analyzing the gas compositions. In this way, some interesting practical applications of volumetric measurements of gas mixture have been studied in slurries (Zhang et al. 2015) and fluidized beds processes (Costa et al. 1981).

One of the main advantages of volumetric units is its simplicity, which can be provided commercially or in-house built. Typically, the commercial instruments have some restrictions including the size and the ratio of adsorption cell to the reference cell, type of studied sorbents such as pellets, beads, powder, and carbons monoliths, as well as the ranges of pressure and temperature, for example through cryogenic studies, using large amount of sample than breakthrough and gravimetric, usually several grams. Also in some cases, requires a high budget for supplying (Mason et al. 2015). Accordingly, recently, Karimi et al. (2021d) designed and developed a fast kinetic volumetric apparatus for gas adsorption in the milligram of adsorbent that demonstrated the potential of data acquisition from vacuum till high pressure. In addition, it has the flexibility of isotherm assessment in routine temperatures of post- and pre-combustion processes or to be upgraded for cryogenic studies, accompanied with potential of different ratios of adsorption and reference cells. In general, in-house built volumetric units are among the less expensive adsorption apparatuses, where the experiments can be simply run without any sophistication or requirement for permanent supervision (Keller and Staudt 2005).

Volumetric-gravimetric technique

To remove the barriers of multi-component gas adsorption the volumetric-gravimetric measurement was developed to have the benefits of both these techniques and allow the feasibility of binary co-adsorption without the necessity of employing routine gas analyzers such as a mass spectrometer or gas chromatograph. Accordingly, it can be classified in either the volumetric group or the gravimetric one (Keller and Staudt 2005).

Firstly, Bering and Serpinskij introduced this method to specify the adsorption equilibria values of gas–solid mixture systems without external intervention for sampling (Bering and Serpinskij 1953), while the technique was not widely used at the beginning because of the requirement of sufficiently different molecular weights (Bülow 2022). Later, some improvements were employed on this technique by Bülow et al. (Bülow 2022) regarding the adsorption study of binary hydrocarbon mixtures at the high temperatures. Finally, the technique was commercialized by Keller et al. (1992).

Since then, some other modifications have been introduced to this method for adsorption assessment of more than two components in the gas mixture (Hamon et al. 2014; AbdulKareem et al. 2018). Currently, it has been admissible one in different areas including hydrogen storage (Suyetin 2017), greenhouse gases separation (Yang et al. 2015), and adsorption of light olefin/paraffin mixtures (Hovestadt et al. 2018).

Routinely, volumetric-gravimetric measurement avoids the direct measuring of gas phase compositions. On the grounds, the normal volumetric method is considered to specify the total molar quantity adsorbed onto the sample at the studied temperature and pressure, while the mass of the adsorbed phase is obtained using gravimetric assessment after considering the required corrections on the buoyant force (Keller et al. 2002). Eventually, the partial loading of each sorbate can be simply calculated by knowing the molar





value adsorbed and the adsorbent mass (Keller and Staudt 2005; Shade et al. 2022).

An illustration of the volumetric-gravimetric adsorption measurement technique is demonstrated in Fig. 12. Accordingly, since both pressure variations and weight changes are continuously recorded, this technique is extremely accurate for gas adsorption studies (Fakher and Imqam 2020). On the other hand, employing pressure transducer and microbalance simultaneously causes some complexity for new setups. In addition, to obtain precise volumetric measurement a large volume of adsorbent is required, which is the other drawback of this technique. However, volumetric-gravimetric technique is one of the favorable methods for industrial applications (Keller and Staudt 2005). A comparison between the pros and cons of different adsorption techniques is summarized in Table 14.

Cyclic adsorption processes

After obtaining the adsorption equilibrium information using different adsorption techniques, it is required to design a cyclic adsorption process for evaluating the separation performance of sorbents concerning the large-scale applications. Accordingly, regarding the determinative variables of adsorption equilibrium process, one can develop a "swing" adsorption process using temperature and pressure (Karimi et al. 2022b). To this end, the saturated fixed-bed column is regenerated by varying one of the process variables, and these cycles pursue through the specific sequences until getting a cyclic steady-state process (Karimi et al. 2022b).

The concept of cyclic adsorption process was firstly introduced by Charles Skarstrom in 1932, by developing pressure swing adsorption, while it was patented thirty years later in 1960 (Skarstrom 1960), after patenting the temperature swing adsorption process (Kahle 1950), because of that, it was initially known as "heatless" process (Grande 2012). The "Skarstrom cycle" contains four main steps including feed, blowdown or evacuation, purge and pressurization, which its simple schematic is illustrated in Fig. 13. The fundamental character of PSA process specifies by getting the required driving force for gas separation by swinging the pressure at the high and low domains. In this way, after sorbent saturation in the column, the pressure in the column is reduced, which results in a partial desorption of sorbates loaded in the bed (Grande 2012). Through the years, this technology has been employed in different areas including methane upgrading (Ferreira et al. 2015), carbon dioxide removal (Karimi et al. 2020b), air separation (Arvind et al. 2002), noble gases purification (Jahromi et al. 2018), hydrogen purification (Luberti and Ahn 2022), and normal-iso paraffin separation (Dobladez et al. 2020). Hence, currently, the major industrial application of pressure swing adsorption process is related to the hydrogen purification and air separation, specifically for medical application of oxygen production (Grande 2012).

Temperature swing adsorption as other well-known cyclic adsorption process was almost patented at the same time of pressure swing adsorption process by Kahle (1950). In this technology, the adsorption–desorption steps are developed by swinging the temperature at the high and low values (Karimi et al. 2022b). Generally, developing the temperature swing adsorption cycles last for several hours, while the pressure swing adsorption cycles are very shorter.

Further, electric swing adsorption is a special case of temperature swing adsorption process in which, the desorption is accomplished by raising the temperature of sorbents with passing the electricity through a conductor using the Joule effect (Petkovska et al. 2006; Grande et al. 2009). In fact, the regeneration step is achieved by decreasing the equilibrium capacity of samples (Grande et al. 2009; Ribeiro et al. 2014), which this process has some benefits comparing conventional temperature swing adsorption such as: higher heating efficiency because of direct delivery of energy to the sorbents, faster heating rate, mass and heat fluxes being in the

Fig. 12 The volumetricgravimetric technique for gas adsorption measurement. It has the benefits of both volumetric and gravimetric techniques, which allows the feasibility of binary co-adsorption without the necessity of employing routine gas analyzers such as a mass spectrometer or gas chromatograph



Table 14 Comparison of adsorption techniques

Techniques	Advantages	Disadvantages
Breakthrough	Highly flexible	Inappropriate for collecting large data sets
	User friendly	Relatively expensive
	Easy to use even in the scale of less than gram	Requires highly accurate detectors
	Employed technique for developing cyclic adsorption processes	Requires precise knowledge on the dead volume of fixed- bed column
Gravimetric	The most direct adsorption technique	Only proper for pure gas adsorption
	The opportunity of adsorption screening in the milligram scale	Influenced by buoyant effects
	The potential of highly reliable values for high-pressure experiments	Getting the gravimetric equilibrium data may last hours or even days
		The cost and sophistication of system
Volumetric	Simplicity	Acquiring precise equilibrium data strongly relies on well calibrating/knowing different volumes of apparatus
	It can be provided commercially or in-house built	Generally, getting the equilibrium values may last hours or even days
	In-house built units can be developed with low budgets	More popular for pure gas adsorption assessment
	The most common technique for collecting large data sets of equilibrium values	
Volumetric-Gravimetric	Extremely high accuracy	Some complexity for doing the experiments
	Proper for multi-component gas adsorption	Commonly, a large volume of adsorbent is required
	Favorable method for industrial applications	Relatively an expensive technique



Fig. 13 The first two-column pressure swing adsorption unit of Charles Skarstrom, which includes four main steps such as feed, blowdown or evacuation, purge and pressurization

same direction, which are advantages for the performance of desorption step (Grande et al. 2009; Ribeiro et al. 2014).

The years after inventing Skarstrom cycle, several other patents and modifications were introduced to improve the performance of this process. In this way, vacuum pressure swing adsorption was presented by Guerin de Montgareuil and Domine, to perform the regeneration under the vacuum (de Montgareuil and Daniel 1958). To this end, the desorption step is commonly accomplished at 0.01–0.1 bar, while the sorbate is inset to the column at the atmospheric pressure or slightly higher than 1 bar (Ho et al. 2008; Liu et al. 2011). However, vacuum employing probably increases the energy consumption of system, but if the uptake capacity varies significantly at the lower pressure of 1 bar, the efficiency of process may dramatically be improved (Grande 2012).

In other modifications on Skarstrom cycle, some considered the pressurization step using part of the enriched gas to boost the purity of the produced gas (Ahn et al. 1999). Further, ESSO research group proposed a pressure equalization step to employ a part of gas, which is normally lost in the blowdown step, to pressurize the other column for enhancing purified gas production (Stark 1963). This improvement in the conventional 2-column pressure swing adsorption unit contributes to a remarkable impact on the "continuity" of the process. Accordingly, during the pressure equalization of two columns, at least one more column is necessary for feed processing (Stark 1963), while employing several columns provide the possibility of different pressure equalization steps, consequently, the total recovery more raised (Xu and Weist 2000; Jianguo et al. 2001).

Basmadjian and Pogorski (1963) defined a new step as "rinse" considering a recycle of the heavy component by displacing the light component to the product end. Nevertheless, this advancement was firstly introduced as an approach to the concentration of low percent light compounds, but it has also been accepted for other purposes (Fuderer 1983; Na et al. 2002). In this way, Knaebel (Kent 2009) patented moving bed type of temperature swing adsorption for improving the efficiency of traditional type of temperature swing adsorption.

Additionally, in one of the most recent inventions on cyclic adsorption processes, Svante's carbon capture technology (SvanteInc 2021) structured adsorbent laminate, a rotary mechanical contactor and a proprietary process cycle design to capture carbon dioxide from the flue gas and regenerate the adsorbent in a single unit, all in 60 s.

Beside the advances of different types and configurations in cyclic adsorption processes, other progress can be classified in two major classes including: material science concerning the discovery of highly efficient sorbents for employing in such processes, and engineering science regarding the determining optimal approaches to improve the performance of process, which these concepts are extensively addressed in the next sections. However, the question that was planned in this area by Professor Ruthven in 1992 has not completely been answered by adsorption community till now, which raised: "Is it possible to develop an algorithm for automatic generation of pressure swing adsorption cycles and tuning of the various steps?" (Ruthven 1993).

It is worth noting that as a general principle, pressure swing adsorption process has some preference than other cyclic adsorption processes regarding its simplicity, flexibility, and low energy consumption, especially when the concentration of removed component is quite significant, which contributes to a balance between the time of adsorption and desorption steps (Ho et al. 2008; Grande 2012). On the other hand, when the removed component has a low concentration, other options such as temperature swing adsorption and electric swing adsorption can be evaluated, because the adsorption step may last for a while (Humphrey and Keller 1997).

Direct air capture

Despite all attempts to reduce carbon dioxide, regrettably gigatons of carbon are annually released to the atmosphere (Fuss et al. 2018; Minx et al. 2018). Routinely, the conventional methodologies such as post-combustion, precombustion, and oxy-fuel combustion processes (Karimi et al. 2021c) are trapping carbon dioxide from the stationary sources in the petroleum refineries and petrochemical complexes, coal power plants as well as cement, iron, and steel industries (Karimi et al. 2014, 2018a).

However, technologies related to the noncarbon energy have quickly grown in the recent years, but unfortunately, the corresponding progress does not show a promising perspective considering the global energy demand (Terlouw et al. 2021b). On the grounds, the recent summit of climate changes mitigation in Paris declared a rapid and urgent development of "negative carbon technologies" are required (UNFCCC 2022), a subject which was re-stated by the National Research Council on Climate Intervention (Council 2015). Generally, carbon dioxide removal technologies, or negative emission technologies are ascribed to the technologies, which are able to remove and eliminate available carbon dioxide from the atmosphere (Terlouw et al. 2021b).

According to the defined projections, carbon dioxide removal technologies are planned to remove 20 gigatons of carbon annually by 2050 (Fuss et al. 2018; Minx et al. 2018). Nevertheless, there are some uncertainties and doubts related to this value (Fuss et al. 2018; Minx et al. 2018). However, proposed different energy scenarios developed by integrated assessment models specified that without extensive development of carbon dioxide removal technologies, it is infeasible achieving the Paris agreement target for 1.5 °C reduction in global temperature (Smith et al. 2015).

In this way, recently several different technologies concerning carbon dioxide removal have been evaluated including ocean fertilization, biochar, enhanced weathering, bioenergy with carbon capture and storage, and direct air carbon capture (Fuss et al. 2018; Minx et al. 2018; Terlouw et al. 2021b). Nevertheless, regarding some skepticisms about environmental side effects of carbon dioxide removal technologies (Terlouw et al. 2021b), direct air capture process represents an appealing potential for directly capture carbon dioxide from atmosphere, which has already been emitted (Smith et al. 2015; Fuss et al. 2018; Minx et al. 2018; Terlouw et al. 2021b). On the grounds, direct air capture technology accompanied with renewable sources of energy can have remarkable roles toward a decarbonized world.

The concept of direct air capture for employing in climate change mitigation was firstly proposed by Lackner in 1999 (Lackner et al. 1999). Later in 2007, Global Thermostat patented the direct carbon dioxide capture from air with amine-modified samples (Eisenberger and Chichilnisky 2007). Next, in 2012, Global Thermostat introduced an air contactor, which is able to operate with many different sorbents (Eisenberger 2012). During the last decade, numerous other investigations and analyses have been accomplished to evaluate the feasibility and viability of this strategy for carbon capture.

Routinely, direct air capture, alike other conventional carbon capture processes, contains two main steps including adsorption or absorption step and desorption step, which in this case, because of the highly dilute concentration of carbon dioxide in the atmosphere, is a challenging subject. It is noteworthy, today, carbon dioxide concentration is around 419 ppm in the atmosphere (NASAClimate 2022b), which is around 350 times lower than available one in the flue gas of coal power plants (Christopher 2011). Hence, the traditional sorbents for gas adsorption applications, such as zeolites, and activated carbons, which represent physisorbent and chemisorbent characterizations, demonstrate unfavorable performance at the low partial pressures with a small loading capacity and very low selectivity for carbon dioxide capture (Takeda et al. 2012).

In this way, the aqueous amine solutions as oldest technique for carbon dioxide capture and sequestration from gas streams came back to the game for such a purpose (Didas et al. 2015). In addition, the potential of solid-supported amine sorbents for direct air capture have been extensively investigated in many studies (Caplow 1968; Danckwerts 1979; Takeda et al. 2012; Didas et al. 2015). These adsorbents because of strong bonds among the carbon and the amines as a result of a chemical reaction, demonstrate an acceptable loading capacity, heat of adsorption and selectivity even at the low concentrations of carbon dioxide (Caplow 1968; Danckwerts 1979).

Thus, the supported organic–inorganic hybrid samples with amines can be a proper candidate for direct air capture. Accordingly, recently, metal–organic frameworks as a novel class of adsorbents received a significant attention, because of versatility, highly ordered, functionality, and tenability characters (Shekhah et al. 2014). It is noteworthy that among the numerous synthesized metal–organic frameworks till now, MOF-74 is one of the most studied sorbents for such a purpose (Wang et al. 2014a; Shekhah et al. 2014).

As any other emerging technology, the direct air capture possesses its own decisive benefits and probably some drawbacks and uncertainties that requires more investigations. To briefly discuss, this technology has the advantage of capturing carbon dioxide from distributed sources, which are responsible for around 50% of annual carbon emissions (Schmidt et al. 2019). Further, it would not be limited to a specific location because of flexibility on installing the equipment. Additionally, the performance of process is not influenced with other contaminants that are some of available difficulties in other processes (Terlouw et al. 2021b).

Besides the many advantages, there are still some major concerns regarding the DAC, which require to be addressed for further proceeding of this technology. As stated earlier, having a promising sorbent with a rational cost concerning the uptake capacity, heat of adsorption and selectivity of carbon dioxide at very low partial pressure has remained as an obstacle. Additionally, highly energy consumption during the regeneration steps, up to 900 °C for aqueous solutions and about 100 °C for solid sorbents, is one of the other main drawbacks of this technology (Fasihi et al. 2019).

The direct air capture is usually compared with post-combustion process, while it seems an analogy deprived from relevance, because these technologies are two different processes with two different scopes, which one of them traps carbon dioxide from emission to the atmosphere, while the next one remove carbon dioxide from the atmosphere. This issue has been the place of argument in the literature during the recent years (Zeman 2007; House et al. 2011; Lackner 2013; Ruthven 2014).

In this way, the thermodynamic efficiency has been considered as a factor to evaluate the feasibility of direct air capture. On the grounds, the second thermodynamic law has been employed to evaluate the actual required energy to carry out a direct air capture system than a conventional flue gas carbon dioxide capturing process. The results showed the separation of carbon dioxide from a 400-ppm stream requires around 20 kJ/mol as minimum of the theoretical work (Zeman 2007; House et al. 2011), while it is 8.4 kJ/mol for carbon dioxide capturing from a typical flue gas using aqueous monoethanolamine (Zeman 2007).

In another attempt, Lackner investigated the variations in the minimum free energy related to sorption reaction for evaluating the irreversibility of process (Lackner 2013). It was revealed that the theoretically accessible yield for direct air capture can be higher than carbon dioxide capture from flue gas. In 2014, Ruthven claimed that the thermodynamic minimum work of separation does not show the actual required work for separation in highly dilute gases (Ruthven 2014). Accordingly, he suggested the "separative work" derived from the ideal cascade theory as a more meaningful factor and compared it with thermodynamic minimum work for assessing the separation performance in such processes (Ruthven 2014).

Ruthven findings demonstrated the energy consumption of direct air capture process can be 100 times higher than carbon dioxide capture by conventional process from a coal power plant (Ruthven 2014). Nevertheless, this estimation was relied on two key assumptions including physisorbent and a multistage process, while as already discussed, chemisorbents are mainly considered for direct air capture, also the cascade approach does not accurately predict the efficiency of separation process in a single stage. A detailed comparison between direct air capture and carbon dioxide capture from flue gas is illustrated in Table 15.

Currently, there are only three companies throughout the world that supply direct air capture plants. Global Thermostat, in the USA, deploys this technology in the pilot scale using amine sorbents supported on a monolithic contactor (Fasihi et al. 2019). Carbon Engineering from Canada also supplies high-temperature direct air capture units on the market of North America (Keith et al. 2018; Fasihi et al. 2019). Further, Climeworks from Switzerland, till now has developed several low-temperature direct air capture systems in some European countries including Italy, Iceland, Germany, Netherland and Switzerland (Fasihi et al. 2019; IEA 2022). Detailed descriptions of the plants, which are in operation worldwide also the projects in development are specified in Table 16 (IEA 2022).

Additive manufacturing

As already discussed, solid adsorbents possess numerous benefits, which nominate them as an appealing candidate for gas separation and purification applications. One of the most attractive characters of solid adsorbents is the utilizing less energy compared to conventional amine solutions employed in absorption processes (Pietschak et al. 2018). These solid-state adsorbents normally are in shape of powders and require to be in a practical form through industrial utilizations. The most common forms of these solid adsorbents are granules, pellets, and extrudates (Pu et al. 2018; Pietschak et al. 2018).

However, pellets and granules characterize charming benefits in formation process point of view, whereas operational defections like high pressure drop and resistance on mass transfer, limited their practical industrial deployments (Lawson et al. 2021). In this way, extruded structures, like monoliths, have been developed to optimize the mass transfer and adsorption column pressure drop (Rezaei and Webley 2009). Extrudates, owing to open channels across their structures, generally represent higher adsorption capacity.

On the other hand, recently metal–organic frameworks and covalent organic frameworks developed by this method are constrained only to the laboratory scale production due to a firing step, which contributes to the material burning; hence, researchers applied secondary growth of organic extrudates, which itself suffers from long duration and consequently, limited applications for large scales (Lawson et al. 2021). As mentioned earlier, the conventional processes suffer from the poor material versatility and low geometric flexibility; hence, additive manufacturing, with the aid of computer-design method, has emerged to develop scaffold geometries with high efficiency for adsorption processes (Pereira et al. 2022).

This novel technology has been introduced as a bright technique to develop the porous solids with extraordinary characteristics for adsorption processes. As we know, fabricating an engineered shape of adsorbent with formulated structure, significantly affects the adsorption efficiency, which is precisely implemented in additive manufacturing (Lawson et al. 2021). Accordingly, by using this strategy, structured scaffolds can be produced with excellent chemical and physical characteristics.

To apply this technology, a printable paste, ink, shall be manufactured, afterward, injected to the stacked layers (Lawson et al. 2021). Generally, two methods of fused deposition modeling and direct ink writing are the mostapplied techniques in the additive manufacturing technology (Pereira et al. 2022). It is observed that in some operational condition, materials produced by the fused deposition modeling show instability, which limits the applications in some specific chemical processes (Pohanka 2016). On the other hand, direct ink writing can be implemented through a wide range of temperature, against the fused deposition modeling, which requires high temperature (Pohanka 2016).

It is worth noting that the direct ink writing faces some operational problems in drying step, in which the integrity of materials weakens (Zhu et al. 2015). The other methods include stereolithography, digital light processing, two-photon polymerization, selective laser melting, electron beam melting, continuous liquid interface production, selective laser sintering, binder jet printing, ultrasonic consolidation,

Parameters	Direct air capture	Post-combustion
Operating temperature	10–30 °C	40–75 °C
Operating pressure	around 1 bar	Around 1–2 bar
CO ₂ concentration	around 419 ppm	5-15%
Other contaminants	Very low	SO_x , NO_x , and Hg
Energy used	Any source	Fossil fuel from power plant
Capital cost	High	Moderate
Operational cost	Very high	Moderate
Reliability	High	High
Maturity	Low	High
Environment Friendliness	Very environment friendly	Very environment friendly
Objective	Negative carbon	CO ₂ capture from emissions

SO_x, sulfur oxides; NO_x, nitrogen oxides; Hg, mercury; CO₂, carbon dioxide

 Table 15
 Comparison between

 direct air capture and carbon
 dioxide capture from flue gas

Table 16 Direct air capture plants in operation worldwide, accompanied with projects in development (IEA 2022)

Direct air capture plants in operation	worldwide			
Company	Country	Sector	CO_2 capture capacity (ton of CO_2 /year)	Start-up year
Climeworks	Iceland	CO ₂ removal	4000	2021
		CO ₂ removal	50	2017
	Germany	Power-to-X	3	2020
		Power-to-X	3	2020
		Power-to-X	50	2020
		Power-to-X	50	2019
		Power-to-X	3	2019
		Power-to-X	3	2019
		Customer R&D	1	2015
	Switzerland	Power-to-X	3	2018
		Beverage carbonation	600	2018
		Greenhouse fertilization	900	2017
		Power-to-X	50	2016
	Italy	Power-to-X	150	2018
	Netherlands	Power-to-X	3	2019
Carbon Engineering	Canada	Power-to-X	Up to 365	2015
Global Thermostat	US	R&D	1000	2013
		R&D	500	2010
Direct air capture projects in develop	ment			
Project	Country	Target operation date	Capture capacity (ton of CO_2 /year)	CO ₂ use or storage
DAC pilot plant	Australia	2022	365	Storage: injection
Haru Oni eFuels pilot plant	Chile	2022	-	Use: synthetic fuels
DAC 1 project	US	2025	1 million	Storage: injection
Air-to-fuels plant	Canada	2026	-	Use: synthetic fuels
AtmosFUEL Project	UK	2029	-	Use: synthetic fuels
Dreamcatcher project		2026	Up to 1 million	Storage: injection
Sizewell C nuclearpowered DAC		_	100	Storage: injection
Kollsnes project	Norway	-	Up to 1 million	Storage: injection
Norsk e-fuel project		2023	-	Use: synthetic fuels

CO2, carbon dioxide; R&D, research and development

laser engineered net shaping, electron beam additive manufacturing, laminated object manufacturing, and ink jet printing (Pereira et al. 2022).

The American Society of Testing Materials (ASTM) have categorized the additive manufacturing technology in seven different techniques including vat polymerization, powder bed fusion, binder jetting, sheet lamination, direct energy deposition, material extrusion, and material jetting (Astm). It is notable that until now, only vat polymerization and material extrusion methods are applied in adsorbent production processes (Pereira et al. 2022). A summary of the main advantages and disadvantages of additive manufacturing techniques is listed in Table 17. Regarding the novelty of this emerging technology, especially in the adsorption area, only a few studies have been devoted to carbon capture and sequestration. Table 18 specifies some studies concerning carbon dioxide adsorption by additive manufacturing adsorbents. In this way, recently, Liu et al. (2021b) studied on a procedure to develop binder-free sorbents using additive manufacturing to increase the loading capacity as well as improve the preparation cost. In another study, Zhang et al. (2020) investigated the shape of zeolite monoliths to fabricate an ultrathin framework, thickness of 250 μ m, by using this technique, which showed a significant carbon dioxide adsorption uptake in comparison with conventional zeolite.

Further, an interesting study was implemented by Steldinger et al. (2019), in which a carbonaceous adsorbent was printed and evaluated by electric swing adsorption cycles. Steldinger observed excellent thermal conductivity and higher carbon dioxide loading capacity in comparison with pellet carbon. The experiments proved shorter regeneration period with efficiency of 100%, which led to a significant decline in energy consumption (Steldinger et al. 2019). Steldinger concluded that carbon samples developed by additive manufacturing can be supreme potential carbon dioxide adsorbents through the electric swing adsorption processes (Steldinger et al. 2019).

Machine learning

Machine learning has been a fundamental element of many developing areas that represents a great capacity to obviate some of obstacles in traditional computing approaches for paradigm design, fault detection, cluster data, pattern recognition and optimization (Karimi et al. 2018c, 2021a, 2022a). The applications of machine learning owing to the robust computing power have increased in recent years, which is also true for carbon capture utilization and storage applications (Yan et al. 2021). In a simple word, machine learning detects a pattern/link between the key independent variables and the dependent ones of process (Karimi et al. 2021b, e), which finding these in the nonlinear systems contain partial differential equations using traditional approaches is a very tedious and time-consuming task.

Recently, different topologies related to the machine learning have emerged including artificial neural networks, adaptive neuro fuzzy inference system, support vector regression and genetic algorithm, which specific details regarding these models can be found in Karimi et al. (2018c, 2021a, b, e, 2022a). A comparison between the benefits and drawbacks of most common employed machine learning approaches in adsorption technology is described in Table 19 (Xu et al. 2022). Further, to better understand this concept, a simple architecture of multilayer feed-forward artificial neural network is displayed in Fig. 14.

Besides the previous mentioned benefits, machine learning also comprises saving time and cost, minimizing human intervention, as well as highly efficient for pattern recognition in multidimensional and multi-variety data (Jordan and Mitchell 2015; Karimi et al. 2018c, 2021a). On the other hand, the performance dependency on the size and the quality of dataset can limit its efficiency in the carbon capture area, because of lack of data availability to develop a robust intelligent approach. Further, the application of machine learning is sometimes influenced by limited physical constraints, interpretability, and the quality of data (Jordan and Mitchell 2015; Guidotti et al. 2018). Additionally in some cases, the model interpretation for an accurate paradigm through complex and nonlinear systems is arduous (Guidotti et al. 2018; Karimi et al. 2021e).

Advantages	Disadvantages
Low-cost raw materials	Poor surface quality in FDM techniques
Low-cost printers	Low accuracy in FDM techniques
Fast method	Post-process treatment requirement in FDM techniques
Large dimension material production	High temperature requirement in FDM techniques
Minimum material waste	Operational problem in DIW techniques

FDM, fused deposition modeling; and DIW, direct ink writing

Table 18	Studies on additive
manufact	uring devoted to
carbon di	oxide adsorption

 Table 17
 Advantages and disadvantages of additive manufacturing technology in adsorbent development (Pereira

et al. 2022)

Technique	Feed	Year	References
Direct ink writing	CO_2 , CH_4 , and N_2	2017	Couck et al. (2017)
Direct ink writing	CO ₂ and N ₂	2018	Couck et al. (2018)
Direct ink writing	CO ₂	2019	Regufe et al. (2019)
Selective laser sintering	CO_2	2019	Lahtinen et al. (2019)
Digital light processing	CO_2	2020	Zhang et al. (2020)
Direct ink writing	CO ₂	2020	Lawson et al. (2020)
Direct ink writing	CO_2 , CH_4 , H_2O , and N_2	2020	Grande et al. (2020)
Direct ink writing	CO_2	2021	Liu et al. (2021b)
Direct ink writing	CO ₂ and N ₂	2021	Mendes et al. (2021)
Direct ink writing	CO_2 and H_2	2021	Lawson and Rezaei (2021)

CO2, carbon dioxide; CH4, methane; N2, nitrogen; H2O, water; and H2, hydrogen

The application of this branch of artificial intelligence in the carbon capture and sequestration area is a novel concept and there is still a lot to investigate. However, the application of machine learning in adsorption technology can be classified in two main categories such as material science for developing and characterizing novel samples and engineering perspectives for process design and optimal assessment. Regarding the complexity of a proper adsorbent for efficient carbon dioxide capturing accompanied with almost unlimited pathways to develop the structures, correctly identifying a proper sorbent is a highly intricate task (Farmahini et al. 2018; Khurana and Farooq 2019; Subramanian Balashankar and Rajendran 2019; Burns et al. 2020). On the grounds, the discovery and development of novel sorbents using conventional experimental methods require notable cost and time (Moghadam et al. 2019). Thus, recently, machine learning topologies received a significant attention for designing, charactering, and tuning the porous materials using supervised and unsupervised paradigms (Yazaydın et al. 2009; Wilmer et al. 2011; Rosen et al. 2020; Moosavi et al. 2020). Beside the synthesizing routes, other characters of adsorbents related to the textural properties such as selectivity, stability, synthesizability, working capacity, hydrophobicity and total life cycle costs have also been addressed by machine learning (Yazaydın et al. 2009; Wilmer et al. 2011; Collins et al. 2016; Boyd et al. 2019; Moosavi et al. 2020).

Table 19 Benefits and drawbacks of commonly employed machine learning approaches for the adsorption technology (Bansal et al. 2022; Xu et al. 2022)

Models	Benefits	Drawbacks
Artificial Neural Networks	Minimum required input values to develop a model	Optimum iterations are required to be established for get- ting an accurate outcome
	Highly robust approach to model any complex system with any degree of nonlinearity without any limita- tions concerning about the relation among input–out- put vector	Commonly a huge dataset is necessary to develop a precise model
	Getting an acceptable accuracy if developed systematically	Overfitting challenges accompanied with low ability for extrapolation issue
	Auto improvement for a provided set of data	Accuracy dependence on the number of layers, number of neurons, considered model as well as training algorithm
	Highly tolerance regarding the noisy data	
	Availability of wide ranges of the training algorithm	
Adaptive Neuro Fuzzy Inference System	The ability for employing the benefits of ANNs and fuzzy logic, simultaneously	Enhancing the complexity of system coding regarding the number of bogus laws
	Relatively, fast training algorithm	Requires too much computations attempts for developing an accurate approach
	Suitable for systems without basic knowledge about the system	Commonly getting an accurate mode needs a huge dataset
		Some beheld weaknesses concerning the extrapolation capacity
Support Vector Regression	Ability to be trained quickly	Model development requires a huge dataset
	Simple theoretical background	Some weaknesses concerning the extrapolation capacity
	A broad range of choices owing to the availability of various kernel functions	Relatively highly time consuming for computation
	Low overfitting challenge with small decision elements in paradigm	Influenced by noisy databank
	High dimensionality with robust universal functionality	
Genetic Algorithm	Double upgrading on the distinctive and incessant func- tions	Highly time consuming
	More tolerance concerning the noise in comparison with ANN, ANFIS and SVR	Developing approach significantly relies on correctly implementation of the model
	Developing more initiative solutions for huge-sized space state	Commonly getting the optimum output or convergence is very arduous
	Proper for multi-objective systems	

ANNs, artificial neural networks; ANFIS, adaptive neuro fuzzy inference system; and SVR, support vector regression

Fig. 14 An architecture of multilayer feed-forward artificial neural networks to develop a model for considered system with four independent variables and one output



In this way, Collins et al. (2016) employed the machine learning potential for optimizing the favorable physical properties and functional characters of one of the metal–organic frameworks, MIL-47, to improve the loading capacity for post-combustion application that contributed to 400% improvement. Boyd et al. (2019) evaluated a huge database of adsorbent structures, around 300,000, to determine a synthesis protocol for hydrophobic adsorbaphore specifications. Further, Dureckova et al. (2019) considered gradient boosted trees regression topology for estimation the selectivity of carbon dioxide toward hydrogen accompanied with the working capacity of carbon dioxide in a broad range of samples. It was illustrated both geometric and chemical descriptors can be applied for these purposes (Dureckova et al. 2019).

In a similar attempt, the selectivity of carbon dioxide toward nitrogen and working capacity of the metal–organic frameworks were estimated using artificial neural networks by Burner et al. (2020). Additionally, Moghadam et al. (2019) investigated the potential of machine learning to estimate the mechanical properties of metal–organic frameworks. The accomplished studies in this area proved there is a huge amount of novel information, which can open the possibility of new windows for adsorption technology.

Designing and optimizing the adsorption processes is the second realm of machine learning. As already extensively discussed, cyclic adsorption processes are characterized regarding the desorption step, which normally these types of processes are described with a set of nonlinear partial differential equations derived from the mass, momentum and energy balances through the time and space until getting a cyclic steady state (Karimi et al. 2022b). On the other hand, the performance of process is relied on transient profiles of key variables including temperature, pressure, and composition, which concerning the high dimensionality of process, employing optimization approach is intricate and arduous.

To obviate such challenges, machine learning has been nominated as a superior candidate. The studies for designing and optimizing cyclic adsorption processes using this phenomenon can be classified in three classes (Yan et al. 2021). The first studies are related to determining the available pattern among the input and output variables of process in an optimized paradigm, which minimizes computational burdens using supervised topologies. The second class of investigations has been devoted to employing supervised machine learning regressions to specify the axial or time profiles in the developed process. Finally, in other attempts, supervised approaches have been considered to decrease the dimensionality of optimized cyclic adsorption process (Leperi et al. 2019; Hüllen et al. 2020; Pai et al. 2020; Vo et al. 2020; Yan et al. 2021).

For instance, Leperi et al. (Leperi et al. 2019) designed the main steps of a conventional pressure swing adsorption process for carbon dioxide capture from flue gas using neural networks. In this way, Pai et al. (2020) evaluated the potential of different artificial intelligent models for estimation the performance indicators and the bed profiles of a 4-step vacuum swing adsorption unit for carbon capture from flue gas. They reported that Gaussian process regression represented the most accurate results among different tested algorithms to predict the performance indicators, while artificial neural networks predicted the bed profiles properly (Pai et al. 2020).

Subraveti et al. (2019) utilized the artificial neural networks paradigm to optimize the recovery and purity of carbon dioxide in 8-step pressure swing adsorption unit for pre-combustion process. They also specified the relative importance of each decision variable on the objective functions (Subraveti et al. 2019).

Further, Vo et al. (2020) successfully employed feed-forward artificial neural networks approaches to minimize the production cost of an integrated steam methane reformingbased hydrogen plants containing membrane, cryogenic and pressure swing adsorption units to increase the hydrogen recovery and carbon dioxide capture from the tail gas. Additionally, Hüllen et al. (2020) evaluated three different artificial intelligent topologies to maximize the productivity of a temperature swing adsorption process for direct air capture system concerning the productivity, recovery, and energy consumption. It is notable that the developed machine learning algorithms are only trustworthy and valid in the training domain, and one must be cautious for the values outside the range.

Life cycle assessment

Life cycle assessment is a systematic and standardized topology for evaluating the environmental aspects of a product or process through their entire life cycle from the beginning to the end of life (Muralikrishna and Manickam 2017; Algren et al. 2021). Also, it can have a significant impact on developing tactical planning, designing the systems, improving the process outcomes as well as elevating the economic performance and public policy (Harwatt et al. 2017; Algren et al. 2021). Further, one of the major benefits of this topic is the proposing of various pathways for developing a process with lowest environmental burden (Muralikrishna and Manickam 2017; Harwatt et al. 2017).

On the grounds, life cycle assessment can supply a sustainable development with environmental, economic, and social evaluation, simultaneously (Algren et al. 2021). It should be considered that the different approaches of this methodology have already been specified by the International Organization for Standardization (ISO 2006a, b). Totally, life cycle assessment models are classified in three different classes including: process approach, input–output model, and hybrid topology (Algren et al. 2021).

Hence, process one is related to the general methods for modeling the production of a system as the sum of "unit processes", which specifies the life cycle assessmentpractitioner-defined subsystems (Junnila 2006). Accordingly, the practitioner of the life cycle assessment is necessary to determine and quantify the required inputs and any produced outputs. The input–output model as next approach is considered as a holistic estimation for economic evaluation and model validation in the life cycle assessment, also supplying data for the process approach (Junnila 2006; Muralikrishna and Manickam 2017; Algren et al. 2021). In addition, the hybrid topology is commonly a process approach that inputs–outputs data concerning the environmental impacts have been provided by input–output model (Muralikrishna and Manickam 2017).

As can be expected both process approach and input–output model of life cycle assessments possess some benefits and drawbacks. Totally, the flexibility of process approach is its main strength to evaluate product systems, but this approach can be expensive and time consuming in some cases (Shirzad et al. 2019b; Algren et al. 2021). On the other hand, the sectors specified in the related input–output table limit the resolution of input–output model that affects transactions between industries. However, the hybrid topology is considered to employ the benefits of process approach and input–output model of life cycle assessments (Muralikrishna and Manickam 2017; Algren et al. 2021).

Recently, life cycle assessment as a robust, adaptable and flexible tool has attracted a significant attention among existing and emerging technologies to specify the environmental hotspots of a product, service or process, which one of these areas is adsorption technology. In this way, attempts associated with this concept for adsorption technology can be categorized in three main classes such as devoted studies for evaluating the developed/synthesized sorbents for carbon capture (Wang et al. 2014b, 2021b; Wu et al. 2020; Nowrouzi et al. 2021; Heidari et al. 2022; Xia et al. 2022); the second studies have investigated the various aspects of adsorption process (Tang and You 2017; Kohlheb et al. 2021; Gonzalez-Olmos et al. 2022); the next ones are related to the evaluation of emerging technologies related to the carbon dioxide removal (Deutz and Bardow 2021; Terlouw et al. 2021a, b; Daniel et al. 2022; Leonzio et al. 2022).

In this way, Wang et al. (2014b) developed a life cycle assessment model for the evaluation of calcium oxide-based samples derived from the waste oyster shells blended with polymethyl methacrylate nanosphere scaffolds as a source of sorbent for carbon dioxide capture. They suggested that a remarkable carbon emission reduction accompanied with lesser human health and ecosystems impacts are accessible using waste in this way (Wang et al. 2014b). In other study, experimental results and a life cycle approach were combined to assess the carbon dioxide dual roles in food scraps-derived biochar activation to improve lead adsorption capacity (Wang et al. 2021b).

The environmental impacts and determining the hotspots of amines adsorbents for carbon dioxide capture were also investigated using life cycle assessment by Wu et al. (2020). In addition, the sustainability of activated carbon, aminemodified activated carbon, and graphene concerning the economic, environmental, and technical perspectives using this technique were analyzed by Heidari et al. (2022) for carbon capture and sequestration. A similar study was conducted by Nowrouzi et al. (2021) for two highly efficient synthesized carbon-based adsorbents including activated carbon and modified activated carbon for carbon dioxide adsorption.

The different synthesis techniques for developing ZIF-8, as one of the most promising metal-organic frameworks for carbon capture, was also assessed by this methodology to indicate the most sustainable method (Xia et al. 2022). Hu et al. (2021) employed this strategy for evaluating the energy load and resource depletion of 50 metal-organic frameworks concerning the synthesis procedure for carbon capture and storage. The eco-friendly fabrication of amidebased polymer adsorbents regarding the regeneration energy and selectivity for carbon dioxide capture was performed using the life cycle assessment by Fayemiwo et al. (Fayemiwo et al. 2019). Additionally, Tang and You (2017) assessed the environmental and economic aspects of municipal solid wastes incineration power plant with this topology for postcombustion carbon capture with zeolite 13X via two-stage pressure/vacuum swing adsorption processes.

In another attempt, the environment/economic aspects of biogas upgrading technology by pressure swing adsorption using life cycle assessment was performed by Kohlheb et al. (2021). Also, Gonzalez-Olmos compared the zeolite 13X and carbon molecular sieve for carbon capture from flue gas using vacuum pressure swing adsorption with this topology concerning the environmental impacts and the sustainability of process (Gonzalez-Olmos et al. 2022).

In this way, other researchers (Deutz and Bardow 2021) employed life cycle assessment for industrial direct air capture via temperature–vacuum swing adsorption process. Daniel et al. (2022) proposed and designed a novel approach for direct air capture integrated with a solid oxide electrolysis unit and assessed by this methodology. Further, Leonzio et al. (2022) investigated the environmental performance of three physisorbents, metal–organic frameworks, and two chemisorbents, amine functionalized sorbents, for direct air capture by developing a comprehensive life cycle assessment approach. Some of the interesting details concerning this topic related to the carbon dioxide removal technologies and adsorption processes can be found in Terlouw et al. (2021a, b).

Technology, commercialization and scale-up

This section details the history, current status and future challenges of scale-up and commercialization of adsorption technology for carbon capture. Indeed, carbon dioxide capture was firstly introduced to the industry in 1930s, for natural gas sweetening by separating carbon dioxide from methane using aqueous amines solutions (Kearns 2021). From that date, several other technologies have been evaluated including physical solvents, membranes, and physical adsorption for gas separation. The adsorption process using solid sorbents was firstly employed using pressure swing adsorption units for hydrogen purification in 1950s (Siqueira et al. 2017).

However, despite extensive efforts on adsorption technology, owing to the available challenges, all developed plants have been mainly limited in the bench and/or pilot scales (Akinola et al. 2022). Routinely, the progress of all technologies pass through the laboratory studies, bench scale, pilot scale and eventually, commercialization. To this end, the technology readiness level is considered as a general framework, which defines the maturity of one technology for deployment in the commercial scale (Kearns 2021).

In this way, the maturity of a technology is evaluated in a systematic and qualitative scaling way using technology readiness level in nine different levels (see Table 20) (Buchner et al. 2019; Kearns 2021; Zimmermann et al. 2022). Accordingly, technology readiness level of 1 represents the research step regarding the basic principles, observations, and initial concepts, while 9 corresponds with normal commercial service (Kearns 2021). On the grounds, carbon capture by liquid solvents in different processes is a technology, which has already completely reached to the commercial scale, while direct air capture as an emerging technology demonstrates level 6 (IEA 2022).

To make adsorption technology competitive and cost effective one in comparison with other available techniques for carbon capture in large-scale applications, there are some barriers, which are required to be obviated for further proceeding. As already discussed in Sect. "Recent adsorbents for carbon dioxide capture", a standard sorbent shall represent some key specifications such as stability concerning impurities, both chemicals and moisture, selectivity, fast kinetic, recyclability, high working capacity and rational synthesized cost (Wilcox et al. 2014).

Further, the thermal stability is one of other factors that requires to be taken into account according to the working temperature of sorbent that is classified in three different ranges including: higher than 400 °C, between 200 and 400 °C and lower than 200 °C, whereas for post-combustion carbon dioxide capture application, between 50 and 150 °C, this parameter may not be necessary (Wilcox et al. 2014).

However, deployment such sorbent in the industrial scale has been a big challenge of adsorption technology until lately, but recently, Svante Inc. in collaborating with Shimizu' research group announced the successful synthesis of metal organic framework CALF-20 that addresses these challenges (Lin et al. 2021). CALF-20 showed a low

Category	TRL	Definition	Description
Demonstration	9	Production	Process under normal operating condition over the full range of commercial service
	8	Commissioning	Full-scale deployment in the final form for commercial applications
	7	Demonstration and full-scale engineering	Fully functional prototype demonstration in a relevant environment
Development	6	Pilot trials	Pilot-scale experiments in a relevant environment
	5	Detailed process development	Similar process validation in a relevant environment
	4	Preliminary process development	Process validation in the laboratory scale
Research	3	Proof of concept	Proof of concept using analytical and experimental tests
	2	Concept	Establishment of the application
	1	Idea	Initial idea and basic principles observed

Table 20 Definition of technology readiness level (TRL) for carbon capture and storage technologies (Wilcox et al. 2014; Kearns 2021; Zimmermann et al. 2022)

TRL, technology readiness level

manufacturing cost, selective over water and resistance to oxidation, as well as, it has the potential of carbon dioxide capturing up to 95% from industrial flue gas sources. Further, Svante Inc. in collaboration with BASF Co., have successfully scaled-up the CALF-20 in a green chemistry route to the industrial size (SvanteInc 2022). Up to now, large-scale production of such a sorbent for the gas separation industry has been one of the main challenges of commercialization of adsorption technology for carbon capture in the industrial scale.

Regarding the process development, carbon dioxide partial pressure and the scale of capturing are two other key elements that affect the cost and deployment of adsorption technology (Kearns 2021). Indeed, the partial pressure influences the required energy of plant for capturing and the size of process equipment. Hence, at the higher partial pressure the loading capacity is increased, the energy required for capturing reduces and molecules transfer more quickly from the gas source to the sorbent, which translates into smaller required capture equipment. Accordingly, all reduce the operating and capital costs (Kearns 2021).

Figure 15 illustrates the carbon capture cost as a function of carbon dioxide concentrations (IEA 2022). As can be found, direct air capture, because of the lowest carbon dioxide concentration, demonstrates the most expensive capturing processes. The other key factor that specifies the carbon capture cost is the scale of capturing (Akinola et al. 2022). Routinely, the capital cost of different industrial plants increases in a nonlinear relation with scale, including carbon dioxide capture plants. On the grounds, the capital cost is correlated by scale with the power of n, where n is in the range of 0.6–0.8. Thus, the cost of two different plants is related together by (Tribe and Alpine 1986):



Fig. 15 Carbon capture cost of different processes as a function of carbon dioxide concentration, data from IEA for 2020 (IEA 2022). tCO_2 , tons of carbon dioxide; USD, United States Dollar; DAC, direct air capture; H_2 , hydrogen; CO_2 , carbon dioxide

Cost of plant
$$A = \text{Cost of plant } B\left(\frac{\text{Capacity of plant } A}{\text{Capacity of plant } B}\right)^n$$

 $0.6 \le n \le 0.8$ (1)

As can be found, there is a significant difference between the cost of carbon capture pilot plant and an industrial scale for capturing tons of carbon dioxide per year. It should be considered, while this is a fact for all processes in various Table 21Adsorption carboncapture processes: technologyreadiness level assessment andkey technology vendors in 2020(Kearns 2021)

Adsorption process	Key Vendors	Technology Readiness Level	Projects
Pressure swing adsorption/vacuum swing adsorption	Air Liquide, Air Products, UOP	9	Air products port Arthur
Temperature swing adsorption	Svante	5–7	Large pilot tests to feed studies for commercial plant
Enzyme catalyzed adsorption	CO ₂ solutions	6	Pilot demonstrations
Sorbent-enhanced water gas shift	ECN	5	Pilot tests, e.g., stepwise
Electrochemically mediated adsorption	R&D only	1	Lab test

industries, and obviously carbon capture is not an exception, but regarding the technology readiness level of adsorption process for carbon dioxide capture and other mentioned challenges, this difference is more notable in this area (Kearns 2021). Table 21 represents an overview on different adsorption carbon capture processes regarding the technology readiness level assessment and key technology vendors in 2020 (Kearns 2021). Additionally, the world pioneer's companies related to the CCUS technologies are illustrated in Table 22.

Regarding the necessity of decarbonization as well as the highly cost of carbon capture from dilute concentrations, owing to the thermodynamic laws, the US Department of Energy (DOE) has determined new aims to reduce the cost of carbon capture and to improve the state-of-the-art available carbon capture technologies including absorption, adsorption, membranes and cryogenic (Miller et al. 2016). In this way, second-generation technologies, which are expected to be deployed by 2025, are defined to decrease the capturing costs by 20 percent in comparison with current state-of-the-art technologies. Additionally, transformational technologies, which are available by 2030, are aimed to diminish the costs by 30 percent from the first kind of a technology. More details can be found in. (Kearns 2021).

Conclusion

The carbon dioxide emission and global warming resulted from anthropogenic activities accompanied with universal rising rate of energy consumption, specifically fossil fuels, have emerged as main challenges of mankind in twenty-first century. Accordingly, developing novel and more efficient technologies for carbon capture also finding renewable sources of energy have been considered as the key strategies to avoid an environmental calamity. Among various technologies to this end, adsorption technology with solid materials is one of the most attractive ones, which have already shown qualified potential for carbon capture and sequestration in double applications of postcombustion such as flue gas, and pre-combustion including biogas upgrading and natural gas sweeting processes.

On the grounds, this study aimed to highlight the current state of knowledge regarding the adsorption technology for carbon dioxide capture regarding clean energy and environmental protection. In-line with that, after a short discussion about carbon capture, climate change and the potential/prospect of biogas as a renewable source of energy, the key aspects of adsorption technology for such purposes alongside its recent advances were reviewed in a comprehensive way.

Accordingly, firstly, different carbon dioxide capture processes including post-combustion, pre-combustion and oxy-fuel combustion were introduced, then the pros and cons of different separation technologies were discussed by addressing the specific capital cost of each one. Further, different classes of sorbents were investigated in detail by referring to the most recent ones for carbon capture. The main adsorption techniques were also illustrated to determine how the loading capacity of various adsorbents are specified. Next, the most recent issues regarding the cyclic adsorption processes as the last step in developing adsorption technology were brought up.

To fully understand the novel topics in this area, direct air capture, machine learning, life cycle assessment, challenges of commercialization and scale-up in adsorption technology were also critically reviewed to pave the path for future studies in this area.

 Table 22
 World pioneers companies related to carbon capture utilization and storage technologies

Company	Description	Founded/	Headquarter	References
Energy & Environmental Research Center	The EERC conducts research, development, demonstration, and commercialization activities related to zero-emissions coal conversion; carbon capture and sequestration; advanced air emission control technologies	1951	North Dakota, US	EERC (2022)
Wolf Carbon Solutions	Wolf Carbon Solutions conducts in constructing, owning, and operat- ing carbon capture facilities and pipeline transportation systems related to decarbonizing industries	_	Denver, US	WolfCarbon
Chart Industries	Chart Industries, Inc. is a global manufacturer of engineered equip- ment primarily used for low-tem- perature and cryogenic gas storage applications regarding the CCS purposes	1992	Georgia, US	ChartInd (2022)
Svante	Svante offers commercially viable technology to capture industrial- scale carbon emissions from exist- ing infrastructures	2007	Vancouver, Canada	SvanteInc (2022)
CarbonQuest	CarbonQuest provides technology and solutions to buildings owners seeking to decarbonize their build- ings	2019	New York, US	CarbonQuest (2022)
OGCI Climate Investments LLP	OGCI Climate Investments LLP is an investment company, which invests in technologies and oil and gas sectors that represent the potential to decrease greenhouse gases emissions	2014	London, UK	OGCI (2022)
AirCapture	Direct air capture—negative emis- sion technologies	2018	Berkeley, US	AirCapture (2022)
Carbon Engineering	Direct air capture—negative emis- sion technologies	2009	British Columbia, Canada	CarbonEngineering (2022)
Climeworks	Direct air capture—negative emis- sion technologies	2009	Zürich, Switzerland	Climeworks (2022)
Global Thermostat	Direct air capture—negative emis- sion technologies	2010	Colorado, US	GlobalThermostat (2022)

EERC, energy & environmental research center; CCS, carbon capture andstorage

Acknowledgements This study was funded by LA/P/0045/2020 (ALiCE), UIDB/50020/2020, and UIDP/50020/2020 (LSRE-LCM), funded by national funds through FCT/MCTES (PIDDAC). Also, this work received financial support through national funds through FCT/ MCTES (PIDDAC), CIMO (UIDB/00690/2020 and UIDP/00690/2020) and SusTEC (LA/P/0007/2020). Mohsen Karimi also acknowledges PhD research grant awarded by Foundation of Science and Technology of Portugal (FCT) under SFRH/BD/140550/2018 project.

Author contributions MK and MS: performing the literature search and data analysis, writing and editing the manuscript; organizing the figures and Tables; JACS: conceptualization, reviewing and editing, supervision; AER: conceptualization, reviewing and editing, supervision.

Declarations

Conflict of interest Authors declare no competing financial interest.

References

- Abd AA, Othman MR, Kim J (2021) A review on application of activated carbons for carbon dioxide capture: present performance, preparation, and surface modification for further improvement. Environ Sci Pollut Res 28(32):43329–43364. https://doi.org/ 10.1007/S11356-021-15121-9
- AbdulKareem FA, Shariff AM, Ullah S et al (2018) Adsorption performance of 5A molecular sieve zeolite in water vapor–binary

gas environment: experimental and modeling evaluation. J Ind Eng Chem 64:173–187. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JIEC.2018. 03.014

- AGGI (2022) Climate change: annual greenhouse gas index, https:// www.climate.gov/news-features/understanding-climate/clima te-change-annual-greenhouse-gas-index
- Aghel B, Behaein S, Wongwises S, Shadloo MS (2022) A review of recent progress in biogas upgrading: with emphasis on carbon capture. Biomass Bioenergy 160:106422. https://doi.org/10. 1016/J.BIOMBIOE.2022.106422
- Ahmed SF, Mofijur M, Tarannum K et al (2021) Biogas upgrading, economy and utilization: a review. Environ Chem Lett 19(6):4137–4164. https://doi.org/10.1007/S10311-021-01292-X
- Ahn H, Lee CHA, Seo B et al (1999) Backfill cycle of a layered bed H2 PSA process. Adsorption 5(4):419–433. https://doi.org/10. 1023/A:1008973118852

AirCapture (2022) https://www.aircapture.com/

- Akinola TE, Bonilla Prado PL, Wang M (2022) Experimental studies, molecular simulation and process modelling\simulation of adsorption-based post-combustion carbon capture for power plants: a state-of-the-art review. Appl Energy 317:119156. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.APENERGY.2022.119156
- Al Mesfer MK, Danish M, Ali IH, Khan MI (2020) Adsorption behavior of molecular sieve 3 Å and silica gel for CO₂ separation: equilibrium, breakthrough and mass transfer zone. Heat Mass Transf waerme Stoffuebertrag 56:3243–3259. https://doi.org/10. 1007/S00231-020-02923-9/FIGURES/16
- Alberici S, Grimme W, Toop G (2022) Biomethane production potentials in the EU, Feasibility of REPowerEU 2030 targets, production potentials in the Member Biomethane production potentials in the EU
- Algren M, Fisher W, Landis AE (2021) Machine learning in life cycle assessment. In: Data science applied to sustainability analysis. Elsevier, pp 167–190
- Álvarez-Gutiérrez N, García S, Gil MV et al (2014) Towards bioupgrading of biogas: biomass waste-based adsorbents. Energy Procedia 63:6527–6533. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.EGYPRO. 2014.11.688
- An L, Liu S, Wang L et al (2019) Novel nitrogen-doped porous carbons derived from graphene for effective CO₂ capture. Ind Eng Chem Res 58:3349–3358. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.iecr.8b06122
- Arvind R, Farooq S, Ruthven DM (2002) Analysis of a piston PSA process for air separation. Chem Eng Sci 57:419–433. https:// doi.org/10.1016/S0009-2509(01)00374-8

ASTM I (2015) ASTM Int

- Bae YS, Liu J, Wilmer CE et al (2014) The effect of pyridine modification of Ni–DOBDC on CO₂ capture under humid conditions. Chem Commun 50:3296–3298. https://doi.org/10.1039/C3CC4 4954H
- Baena-Moreno FM, Rodríguez-Galán M, Vega F et al (2019) Biogas upgrading by cryogenic techniques. Environ Chem Lett 17:1251– 1261. https://doi.org/10.1007/S10311-019-00872-2/FIGURES/ 10
- Bansal M, Goyal A, Choudhary A (2022) A comparative analysis of K-nearest neighbor, genetic, support vector machine, decision tree, and long short term memory algorithms in machine learning. Decis Anal J 3:100071. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.DAJOUR. 2022.100071
- Bao Z, Yu L, Ren Q et al (2011) Adsorption of CO₂ and CH₄ on a magnesium-based metal organic framework. J Colloid Interface Sci 353:549–556. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JCIS.2010.09.065
- Barthomeuf D (2002) Conjugate acid-base pairs in zeolites. J Phys Chem 88:42-45. https://doi.org/10.1021/j150645a010
- Bartle KD, Myers P (2002) History of gas chromatography. TrAC Trends Anal Chem 21:547–557. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0165-9936(02)00806-3

- Basmadjian D, Pogorski A (1963) Process for the separation of gases by adsorption. US Patent 3,279,153
- Bastos-Neto M, Möller A, Staudt R et al (2011) Breakthrough curves of methane at high pressures for H₂ purification processes. Chem Ing Tech 83:183–190. https://doi.org/10.1002/CITE.201000155
- Ben-Mansour R, Habib MA, Bamidele OE et al (2016) Carbon capture by physical adsorption: materials, experimental investigations and numerical modeling and simulations—a review. Appl Energy 161:225–255. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.APENERGY. 2015.10.011
- Bering BP, Serpinskij VV (1953) Volumetric-Gravimetric method for determination of adsorption of gas mixtures (Russ.). Dokl Akad Nauk SSSR 5:811–814
- Boyd PG, Chidambaram A, García-Díez E et al (2019) Data-driven design of metal-organic frameworks for wet flue gas CO₂ capture. Nature 576(7786):253–256. https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41586-019-1798-7
- Broom D, Talu O, Benham M (2020) Integral mass balance (IMB) method for measuring multicomponent gas adsorption equilibria in nanoporous materials. Ind Eng Chem Res 59:20478– 20491. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.iecr.0c04162
- Buchner GA, Stepputat KJ, Zimmermann AW, Schomäcker R (2019) Specifying technology readiness levels for the chemical industry. Ind Eng Chem Res 58:6957–6969. https://doi.org/10.1021/ acs.iecr.8b05693
- Bülow M (2022) Adsorption of gas mixtures: comment on "Opening the Toolbox: 18 experimental techniques for measurement of mixed gas adsorption." Ind Eng Chem Res 61:5009–5010. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.iecr.2c00618
- Burner J, Schwiedrzik L, Krykunov M et al (2020) High-performing deep learning regression models for predicting low-pressure CO₂ adsorption properties of metal–organic frameworks. J Phys Chem C 124:27996–28005. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs. jpcc.0c06334
- Burns DT, Nagesh Pai K, Gokul Subraveti S et al (2020) Prediction of MOF performance in vacuum swing adsorption systems for postcombustion CO₂ capture based on integrated molecular simulations, process optimizations, and machine learning models. Environ Sci Technol 54:4536–4544. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs. est.9b07407
- Byung Yoon K (2002) Electron- and charge-transfer reactions within zeolites. Chem Rev 93:321–339. https://doi.org/10.1021/cr000 17a015
- Canevesi RL, Andreassen K, Silva E et al (2018) Pressure swing adsorption for biogas upgrading with carbon molecular sieve. Ind Eng Chem Res 57:8057–8067. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs. iecr.8b00996
- Caplow M (1968) Kinetics of carbamate formation and breakdown. J Am Chem Soc 90:6795–6803. https://doi.org/10.1021/ja010 26a041

CarbonEngineering (2022) http://carbonengineering.com/

CarbonQuest (2022) https://carbonquest.com

- Chakraborty A, Maji TK (2014) Mg-MOF-74@SBA-15 hybrids: synthesis, characterization, and adsorption properties. APL Mater 2:124107. https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4902816
- ChartInd (2022) http://www.chartindustries.com/
- Chen Y, Lv D, Wu J et al (2017) A new MOF-505@GO composite with high selectivity for CO₂/CH₄ and CO₂/N₂ separation. Chem Eng J 308:1065–1072. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CEJ.2016.09.138
- Chen C, Feng N, Guo Q et al (2018a) Surface engineering of a chromium metal–organic framework with bifunctional ionic liquids for selective CO₂ adsorption: synergistic effect between multiple active sites. J Colloid Interface Sci 521:91–101. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/J.JCIS.2018.03.029
- Chen Y, Wu H, Liu Z et al (2018b) Liquid-assisted mechanochemical synthesis of copper based MOF-505 for the separation of CO₂

over CH₄ or N₂. Ind Eng Chem Res 57:703–709. https://doi.org/ 10.1021/acs.iecr.7b03712

- Chen C, Jiang Q, Xu H, Lin Z (2019) Highly efficient synthesis of a moisture-stable nitrogen-abundant metal–organic framework (MOF) for large-scale CO₂ capture. Ind Eng Chem Res 58:1773– 1777. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.iecr.8b05239
- Chen G, Wang F, Wang S et al (2021) Facile fabrication of copper oxide modified activated carbon composite for efficient CO₂ adsorption. Korean J Chem Eng 38(1):46–54. https://doi.org/ 10.1007/S11814-020-0684-1
- Choi S, Drese JH, Jones CW (2009) Adsorbent materials for carbon dioxide capture from large anthropogenic point sources. Chem-SusChem 2:796–854. https://doi.org/10.1002/CSSC.200900036
- Christopher J (2011) CO₂ capture from dilute gases as a component of modern global carbon management. Annu Rev Chem Biomol Eng 2:31–52. https://doi.org/10.1146/ANNUREV-CHEMB IOENG-061010-114252
- Clausse M, Merel J, Meunier F (2011) Numerical parametric study on CO₂ capture by indirect thermal swing adsorption. Int J Greenhouse Gas Control 5:1206–1213. https://doi.org/10.1016/J. IJGGC.2011.05.036

Climeworks (2022) https://climeworks.com

- Collins SP, Daff TD, Piotrkowski SS, Woo TK (2016) Materials design by evolutionary optimization of functional groups in metal– organic frameworks. Sci Adv. https://doi.org/10.1126/SCIADV. 1600954/SUPPL_FILE/1600954_SM.PDF
- Costa E, Sotelo JL, Calleja G, Marrón C (1981) Adsorption of binary and ternary hydrocarbon gas mixtures on activated carbon: experimental determination and theoretical prediction of the ternary equilibrium data. AIChE J 27:5–12. https://doi.org/10. 1002/AIC.690270103
- Côté AP, Benin AI, Ockwig NW et al (2005) Chemistry: porous, crystalline, covalent organic frameworks. Science 310:1166–1170. https://doi.org/10.1126/SCIENCE.1120411/SUPPL_FILE/ COTE.SOM.PDF
- Couck S, Lefevere J, Mullens S et al (2017) CO₂, CH₄ and N₂ separation with a 3DFD-printed ZSM-5 monolith. Chem Eng J 308:719–726. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CEJ.2016.09.046
- Couck S, Cousin-Saint-Remi J, van der Perre S et al (2018) 3D-printed SAPO-34 monoliths for gas separation. Microporous Mesoporous Mater 255:185–191. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.MICROMESO. 2017.07.014
- Council NR (2015) Climate intervention: carbon dioxide removal and reliable sequestration. In: Climate intervention: carbon dioxide removal and reliable sequestration, pp 1–154. https://doi.org/10. 17226/18805
- Danaei Kenarsari S, Fan M, Jiang G et al (2013) Use of a robust and inexpensive nanoporous TiO₂ for pre-combustion CO₂ separation. Energy Fuels 27:6938–6947. https://doi.org/10.1021/ef401 9004
- Danckwerts PV (1979) The reaction of CO₂ with ethanolamines. Chem Eng Sci 34:443–446. https://doi.org/10.1016/0009-2509(79) 85087-3
- Daniel T, Masini A, Milne C et al (2022) Techno-economic analysis of direct air carbon capture with CO₂ utilisation. Carbon Capture Sci Technol 2:100025. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CCST.2021. 100025
- de Montgareuil PG, Daniel D (1958) Process for separating a binary gaseous mixture by adsorption. US Patent 3155468A. 155
- de Weireld G, Belmabkhout Y, Frère M (2005) Buoyancy effect correction on high pressure pure gas adsorption gravimetric measurements. Ann Chim Sci Mater 30:411–423. https://doi.org/10. 3166/acsm.30.411-423
- Deng S, Hu B, Chen T et al (2015) Activated carbons prepared from peanut shell and sunflower seed shell for high CO₂ adsorption.

Adsorption 21:125–133. https://doi.org/10.1007/S10450-015-9655-Y/FIGURES/8

- Deutz S, Bardow A (2021) Life-cycle assessment of an industrial direct air capture process based on temperature–vacuum swing adsorption. Nat Energy 6(2):203–213. https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41560-020-00771-9
- Didas S, Choi S, Chaikittisilp W, Jones CW (2015) Amine-oxide hybrid materials for CO₂ capture from ambient air. Acc Chem Res 48:2680–2687. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.accounts.5b002 84
- Ding N, Li H, Feng X et al (2016) Partitioning MOF-5 into confined and hydrophobic compartments for carbon capture under humid conditions. J Am Chem Soc 138:10100–10103. https://doi.org/ 10.1021/jacs.6b06051
- Dobladez JAD, Maté VIÁ, Torrellas SÁ, Larriba M (2020) Separation of the propane propylene mixture with high recovery by a dual PSA process. Comput Chem Eng 136:106717. https://doi.org/10. 1016/J.COMPCHEMENG.2019.106717
- Dong K, Jiang H, Sun R, Dong X (2019) Driving forces and mitigation potential of global CO₂ emissions from 1980 through 2030: evidence from countries with different income levels. Sci Total Environ 649:335–343. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SCITOTENV. 2018.08.326
- Dreisbach F, Staudt R, Keller JU (1999) High pressure adsorption data of methane, nitrogen, carbon dioxide and their binary and ternary mixtures on activated carbon. Adsorption 5(3):215–227. https:// doi.org/10.1023/A:1008914703884
- Dureckova H, Krykunov M, Zein Aghaji M, Woo TK (2019) Robust machine learning models for predicting high CO₂ working capacity and CO₂/H₂ selectivity of gas adsorption in metal organic frameworks for precombustion carbon capture. J Phys Chem C 123:4133–4139. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcc.8b10644
- EBA (2021) EBA Activity Report 2021 | European Biogas Association, https://www.europeanbiogas.eu/eba-activity-report-2021/
- EERC (2022) Energy & Environmental Research Center, www.undee rc.org.
- Eisenberger P (2012) Carbon dioxide capture/regeneration structures, and techniques. US Patent 8163066B2
- Eisenberger P, Chichilnisky G (2007) System and method for removing carbon dioxide from an atmosphere and global thermostat using the same. US Patent 20080289319A1
- European Commission (2022a) European Commission Recommendation on speeding up permit-granting procedures for renewable energy projects and facilitating Power Purchase Agreements. European Commission, Brussels
- European Commission (2022b) Renewable energy targets. https:// energy.ec.europa.eu
- Evans HA, Mullangi D, Deng Z et al (2022) Aluminum formate, Al(HCOO)₃: an earth-abundant, scalable, and highly selective material for CO₂ capture. Sci Adv 8:eade1473. https://doi.org/ 10.1126/SCIADV.ADE1473/SUPPL_FILE/SCIADV.ADE1473_ SM.PDF
- Fakher S, Imqam A (2020) A review of carbon dioxide adsorption to unconventional shale rocks methodology, measurement, and calculation. SN Appl Sci 2:1–14. https://doi.org/10.1007/S42452-019-1810-8/TABLES/6
- FAO (2022) Statistical yearbook. World food and agriculture, www. fao.org
- Farghali M, Osman AI, Umetsu K, Rooney DW (2022) Integration of biogas systems into a carbon zero and hydrogen economy: a review. Environ Chem Lett 20(5):2853–2927. https://doi.org/10. 1007/S10311-022-01468-Z
- Farmahini A, Krishnamurthy S, Friedrich D et al (2018) From crystal to adsorption column: challenges in multiscale computational screening of materials for adsorption separation processes. Ind

Eng Chem Res 57:15491–15511. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs. iecr.8b03065

- Fasihi M, Efimova O, Breyer C (2019) Techno-economic assessment of CO₂ direct air capture plants. J Clean Prod 224:957–980. https:// doi.org/10.1016/J.JCLEPRO.2019.03.086
- Fayemiwo KA, Chiarasumran N, Nabavi SA et al (2019) Eco-friendly fabrication of a highly selective amide-based polymer for CO₂ capture. Ind Eng Chem Res 58:18160–18167. https://doi.org/10. 1021/acs.iecr.9b02347
- Feng X, Ding X, Jiang D (2012) Covalent organic frameworks. Chem Soc Rev 41:6010–6022. https://doi.org/10.1039/C2CS35157A
- Ferreira AFP, Ribeiro AM, Kulaç S, Rodrigues AE (2015) Methane purification by adsorptive processes on MIL-53(Al). Chem Eng Sci 124:79–95. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CES.2014.06.014
- Fuderer A (1983) Pressure swing adsorption with intermediate product recovery. US Patent 4512780A
- Furukawa H, Yaghi OM (2009) Storage of hydrogen, methane, and carbon dioxide in highly porous covalent organic frameworks for clean energy applications. J Am Chem Soc 131:8875–8883. https://doi.org/10.1021/ja9015765
- Furukawa H, Cordova KE, O'Keeffe M, Yaghi OM (2013) The chemistry and applications of metal–organic frameworks. Science. https://doi.org/10.1126/SCIENCE.1230444/SUPPL_FILE/ FURUKAWA.SM.CORRECTED.PDF
- Fuss S, Lamb WF, Callaghan MW et al (2018) Negative emissions part 2: costs, potentials and side effects. Environ Res Lett 13:063002. https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/AABF9F
- Gaikwad S, Kim Y, Gaikwad R, Han S (2021) Enhanced CO₂ capture capacity of amine-functionalized MOF-177 metal organic framework. J Environ Chem Eng 9:105523. https://doi.org/10.1016/J. JECE.2021.105523
- Gebald C, Wurzbacher JA, Borgschulte A et al (2014) Single-component and binary CO₂ and H₂O adsorption of amine-functionalized cellulose. Environ Sci Technol 48:2497–2504. https://doi. org/10.1021/es404430g
- Ghanbari T, Abnisa F, Wan Daud WMA (2020) A review on production of metal organic frameworks (MOF) for CO₂ adsorption. Sci Total Environ 707:135090. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SCITO TENV.2019.135090

GlobalThermostat (2022) https://Globalthermostat.com

- Glonek K, Wróblewska A, Makuch E et al (2017) Oxidation of limonene using activated carbon modified in dielectric barrier discharge plasma. Appl Surf Sci 420:873–881. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/J.APSUSC.2017.05.136
- Gole B, Stepanenko V, Rager S et al (2018) Microtubular self-assembly of covalent organic frameworks. Angew Chem Int Ed 57:846– 850. https://doi.org/10.1002/ANIE.201708526
- González AS, Plaza MG, Rubiera F, Pevida C (2013) Sustainable biomass-based carbon adsorbents for post-combustion CO₂ capture. Chem Eng J 230:456–465. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CEJ.2013. 06.118
- Gonzalez-Olmos R, Gutierrez-Ortega A, Sempere J, Nomen R (2022) Zeolite versus carbon adsorbents in carbon capture: a comparison from an operational and life cycle perspective. J CO2 Util 55:101791. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JCOU.2021.101791
- Grande CA (2012) Advances in pressure swing adsorption for gas separation. ISRN Chem Eng 2012:1–13. https://doi.org/10.5402/ 2012/982934
- Grande CA, Ribeiro RPL, Oliveira ELG, Rodrigues AE (2009) Electric swing adsorption as emerging CO₂ capture technique. Energy Procedia 1:1219–1225. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.EGYPRO.2009. 01.160
- Grande CA, Blom R, Middelkoop V et al (2020) Multiscale investigation of adsorption properties of novel 3D printed UTSA-16 structures. Chem Eng J 402:126166. https://doi.org/10.1016/J. CEJ.2020.126166

- Gu C, Liu D, Huang W et al (2015) Synthesis of covalent triazinebased frameworks with high CO₂ adsorption and selectivity. Polym Chem 6:7410–7417. https://doi.org/10.1039/C5PY01090J
- Guidotti R, Monreale A, Ruggieri S et al (2018) A survey of methods for explaining black box models. ACM Comput Surv (CSUR). https://doi.org/10.1145/3236009
- Hamon L, Chenoy L, de Weireld G (2014) Determination of absolute gas adsorption isotherms: simple method based on the potential theory for buoyancy effect correction of pure gas and gas mixtures adsorption. Adsorption 20:397–408. https://doi.org/10. 1007/S10450-013-9579-3/TABLES/3
- Hao W, Björkman E, Lilliestråle M, Hedin N (2013) Activated carbons prepared from hydrothermally carbonized waste biomass used as adsorbents for CO₂. Appl Energy 112:526–532. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/J.APENERGY.2013.02.028
- Harwatt H, Sabaté J, Eshel G et al (2017) Substituting beans for beef as a contribution toward US climate change targets. Clim Change 143(1):261–270. https://doi.org/10.1007/S10584-017-1969-1
- He B, Sadiq MM, Batten MP et al (2019a) Continuous flow synthesis of a Zr magnetic framework composite for post-combustion CO₂ capture. Chem A Eur J 25:13184–13188. https://doi.org/10.1002/ CHEM.201902560
- He X, Liu J, Jiang Y et al (2019b) 'Useful' template synthesis of N-doped acicular hollow porous carbon/carbon-nanotubes for enhanced capture and selectivity of CO₂. Chem Eng J 361:278– 285. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CEJ.2018.12.031
- Heidari A, Boleydei H, Rohani A et al (2022) Integrating life cycle assessment and life cycle costing using TOPSIS to select sustainable biomass-based -carbonaceous adsorbents for CO₂ capture. J Clean Prod 357:131968. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JCLEPRO. 2022.131968
- Hemalatha P, Bhagiyalakshmi M, Ganesh M et al (2012) Role of ceria in CO₂ adsorption on NaZSM-5 synthesized using rice husk ash. J Ind Eng Chem 18:260–265. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JIEC. 2011.11.046
- Henrique A, Karimi M, Silva JAC, Rodrigues AE (2019) Analyses of adsorption behavior of CO₂, CH₄, and N₂ on different types of BETA zeolites. Chem Eng Technol 42:327–342. https://doi.org/ 10.1002/CEAT.201800386
- Hiyoshi N, Yogo K, Yashima T (2005) Adsorption characteristics of carbon dioxide on organically functionalized SBA-15. Microporous Mesoporous Mater 84:357–365. https://doi.org/10.1016/J. MICROMESO.2005.06.010
- Ho M, Allinson GW, Wiley DE (2008) Reducing the cost of CO₂ capture from flue gases using pressure swing adsorption. Ind Eng Chem Res 47:4883–4890. https://doi.org/10.1021/ie070831e
- House KZ, Baclig AC, Ranjan M et al (2011) Economic and energetic analysis of capturing CO₂ from ambient air. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 108:20428–20433. https://doi.org/10.1073/PNAS.10122 53108/SUPPL_FILE/APPENDIX.PDF
- Hovestadt M, Friebe S, Helmich L et al (2018) Continuous separation of light olefin/paraffin mixtures on ZIF-4 by pressure swing adsorption and membrane permeation. Molecules 23(889 23):889. https://doi.org/10.3390/MOLECULES23040889
- Hsieh P-F, Xuan Law Z, Lin C-H, Tsai D-H (2022) Understanding solvothermal growth of metal–organic framework colloids for CO₂ capture applications. Langmuir 38:4415–4424. https://doi. org/10.1021/acs.langmuir.2c00165
- Hu Y, Verdegaal WM, Yu SH, Jiang HL (2014) Alkylamine-tethered stable metal–organic framework for CO₂ capture from flue gas. Chemsuschem 7:734–737. https://doi.org/10.1002/CSSC.20130 1163
- Hu J, Gu X, Lin L-C, Bakshi RB (2021) Toward sustainable metalorganic frameworks for post-combustion carbon capture by life cycle assessment and molecular simulation. ACS Sustain Chem

Eng 9:12132–12141. https://doi.org/10.1021/acssuschemeng. 1c03473

- Huang L, Cao G (2016) 2D squaraine-bridged covalent organic polymers with promising CO₂ storage and separation properties. ChemistrySelect 1:533–538. https://doi.org/10.1002/SLCT. 201600093
- Huang L, Wang H, Chen J et al (2003) Synthesis, morphology control, and properties of porous metal–organic coordination polymers. Microporous Mesoporous Mater 58:105–114. https://doi.org/10. 1016/S1387-1811(02)00609-1
- Huang G, Isfahani AP, Muchtar A et al (2018) Pebax/ionic liquid modified graphene oxide mixed matrix membranes for enhanced CO₂ capture. J Memb Sci 565:370–379. https://doi.org/10.1016/J. MEMSCI.2018.08.026
- Hüllen G, Zhai J, Kim SH et al (2020) Managing uncertainty in datadriven simulation-based optimization. Comput Chem Eng 136:106519. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.COMPCHEMENG.2019. 106519
- Humphrey JL, Keller GE (1997) Separation process technology. McGraw-Hill, New York
- IEA (2022) International Energy Agency (IEA). https://www.iea.org/
- Inui T, Okugawa Y, Yasuda M (2002) Relationship between properties of various zeolites and their carbon dioxide adsorption behaviors in pressure swing adsorption operation. Ind Eng Chem Res 27:1103–1109. https://doi.org/10.1021/ie00079a003
- IPCC (2022) Climate Change 2022: impacts, adaptation and vulnerability. Contribution of Working Group II to the sixth assessment report of the intergovernmental panel on climate change. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK and New York, p 3056
- ISO (2006a) Environmental management: life cycle assessment; Principles and framework. ISO 14040
- ISO (2006b) Environmental management: life cycle assessment; Requirements and guidelines. ISO 14040
- Jahromi PE, Fatemi S, Vatani A et al (2018) Purification of helium from a cryogenic natural gas nitrogen rejection unit by pressure swing adsorption. Sep Purif Technol 193:91–102. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/J.SEPPUR.2017.11.002
- James AT, Martin AJ (1952) Gas–liquid partition chromatography: the separation and micro-estimation of volatile fatty acids from formic acid to dodecanoic acid. Biochem J 50:679–690. https:// doi.org/10.1042/BJ0500679
- Jianguo X, Rarig D, Cook T, et al (2001) Pressure swing adsorption process with reduced pressure equalization time. US Patent 20030015091A1
- Jin C, Sun J, Chen Y et al (2021) Sawdust wastes-derived porous carbons for CO₂ adsorption. Part 1. Optimization preparation via orthogonal experiment. Sep Purif Technol 276:119270. https:// doi.org/10.1016/J.SEPPUR.2021.119270
- Jordan M, Mitchell T (2015) Machine learning: trends, perspectives, and prospects. Science 349:255–260. https://doi.org/10.1126/ SCIENCE.AAA8415
- Junnila S (2006) Empirical comparison of process and economic inputoutput life cycle assessment in service industries. Environ Sci Technol 40:7070–7076. https://doi.org/10.1021/es0611902
- Kahle H (1950) US Patent 2661808A
- Kahveci Z, Islamoglu T, Shar GA et al (2013) Targeted synthesis of a mesoporous triptycene-derived covalent organic framework. CrystEngComm 15:1524–1527. https://doi.org/10.1039/C2CE2 6487K
- Kapoor A, Yang RT (1987) Roll-up in fixed-bed, multicomponent adsorption under pore-diffusion limitation. AIChE J 33:1215– 1217. https://doi.org/10.1002/AIC.690330717
- Karimi M, Rahimpour MR, Rafiei R et al (2014) Reducing environmental problems and increasing saving energy by proposing new configuration for moving bed thermally coupled reactors. J Nat

Gas Sci Eng 17:136–150. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JNGSE.2014. 01.007

- Karimi M, Rahimpour MR, Iranshahi D (2018a) Enhanced BTX production in refineries with sulfur dioxide oxidation by thermal integrated model. Chem Eng Technol 41:1746–1758. https://doi. org/10.1002/CEAT.201700289
- Karimi M, Silva JAC, Gonçalves CNDP et al (2018b) CO₂ capture in chemically and thermally modified activated carbons using breakthrough measurements: experimental and modeling study. Ind Eng Chem Res 57:11154–11166. https://doi.org/10.1021/ ACS.IECR.8B00953
- Karimi M, Vaferi B, Hosseini SH, Rasteh M (2018c) Designing an efficient artificial intelligent approach for estimation of hydrodynamic characteristics of tapered fluidized bed from its design and operating parameters. Ind Eng Chem Res 57:259–267. https:// doi.org/10.1021/ACS.IECR.7B02869
- Karimi M, Diaz de Tuesta JL, Carmem CN et al (2020a) Compost from municipal solid wastes as a source of biochar for CO₂ capture. Chem Eng Technol 43:1336–1349. https://doi.org/10.1002/ CEAT.201900108
- Karimi M, Zafanelli LFAS, Almeida JPP et al (2020b) Novel insights into activated carbon derived from municipal solid waste for CO₂ uptake: synthesis, adsorption isotherms and scale-up. J Environ Chem Eng. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JECE.2020.104069
- Karimi M, Aminzadehsarikhanbeglou E, Vaferi B (2021a) Robust intelligent topology for estimation of heat capacity of biochar pyrolysis residues. Measurement. https://doi.org/10.1016/J. MEASUREMENT.2021.109857
- Karimi M, Hosin Alibak A, Mehdi Seyed Alizadeh S et al (2021b) Intelligent modeling for considering the effect of bio-source type and appearance shape on the biomass heat capacity. Measurement. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.MEASUREMENT.2021.110529
- Karimi M, Rodrigues AE, Silva JAC (2021c) Biomass as a source of adsorbents for CO₂ capture. Adv Bioenergy Microfluid Appl. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-821601-9.00010-8
- Karimi M, Rodrigues AE, Silva JAC (2021d) Designing a simple volumetric apparatus for measuring gas adsorption equilibria and kinetics of sorption. Application and validation for CO₂, CH₄ and N₂ adsorption in binder-free beads of 4A zeolite. Chem Eng J 425:130538. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CEJ.2021.130538
- Karimi M, Vaferi B, Hosseini SH et al (2021e) Smart computing approach for design and scale-up of conical spouted beds with open-sided draft tubes. Particuology 55:179–190. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/J.PARTIC.2020.09.003
- Karimi M, Khosravi M, Fathollahi R et al (2022a) Determination of the heat capacity of cellulosic biosamples employing diverse machine learning approaches. Energy Sci Eng. https://doi.org/ 10.1002/ESE3.1155
- Karimi M, Shirzad M, Silva JAC, Rodrigues AE (2022b) Biomass/ biochar carbon materials for CO₂ capture and sequestration by cyclic adsorption processes: a review and prospects for future directions. J CO2 Util 57:101890. https://doi.org/10.1016/J. JCOU.2022.101890
- Kearns D (2021) Technology readiness and costs of CCS. Global CCS Institute, Docklands
- Keith DW, Holmes G, St. Angelo D, Heidel K (2018) A process for capturing CO₂ from the atmosphere. Joule 2:1573–1594. https:// doi.org/10.1016/J.JOULE.2018.05.006
- Keller JU, Staudt R, Tomalla M (1992) Volume-gravimetric measurements of binary gas adsorption equilibria. Ber Bunsenges Phys Chem 96:28–32. https://doi.org/10.1002/BBPC.19920960105
- Keller JU, Robens E, von Hohenesche CDF (2002) Thermogravimetric and sorption measurement techniques/instruments. Stud Surf Sci Catal 144:387–394. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-2991(02) 80159-8

- Keller JU, Staudt R (2005) Gas adsorption equilibria: experimental methods and adsorptive isotherms. In: Gas adsorption equilibria: experimental methods and adsorptive isotherms, pp 1–422. https://doi.org/10.1007/B102056/COVER
- Kent K (2009) Temperature swing adsorption system, US Patent 8353978B2. US Patent-US8353978B2
- Khajeh M, Ghaemi A (2020) Exploiting response surface methodology for experimental modeling and optimization of CO₂ adsorption onto NaOH-modified nanoclay montmorillonite. J Environ Chem Eng 8:103663. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JECE.2020.103663
- Khurana M, Farooq S (2019) Integrated adsorbent process optimization for minimum cost of electricity including carbon capture by a VSA process. AIChE J 65:184–195. https://doi.org/10.1002/ AIC.16362
- Kohlheb N, Wluka M, Bezama A et al (2021) Environmental-economic assessment of the pressure swing adsorption biogas upgrading technology. Bioenergy Res 14:901–909. https://doi.org/10.1007/ S12155-020-10205-9/FIGURES/5
- Kumar R, Raut D, Ramamurty U, Rao CNR (2016) Remarkable improvement in the mechanical properties and CO₂ uptake of MOFs brought about by covalent linking to graphene. Angew Chem Int Ed Engl 55:7857–7861. https://doi.org/10.1002/ANIE. 201603320
- Kumar S, Srivastava R, Koh J (2020) Utilization of zeolites as CO₂ capturing agents: advances and future perspectives. J CO2 Util 41:101251. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JCOU.2020.101251
- Lachawiec AJ, DiRaimondo TR, Yang RT (2008) A robust volumetric apparatus and method for measuring high pressure hydrogen storage properties of nanostructured materials. Rev Sci Instrum 79:063906. https://doi.org/10.1063/1.2937820
- Lackner KS (2013) The thermodynamics of direct air capture of carbon dioxide. Energy 50:38–46. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ENERGY. 2012.09.012
- Lackner KS, Ziock H, Grimes P (1999) Carbon dioxide extraction from air: is it an option? In: Proceedings of the 24th annual technical conference on coal utilization & fuel systems, Clearwater. Accessed 15 Oct 2022. https://www.osti.gov/biblio/770509
- Lahtinen E, Precker RLM, Lahtinen M et al (2019) Selective laser sintering of metal–organic frameworks: production of highly porous filters by 3D printing onto a polymeric matrix. ChemPlusChem 84:222–225. https://doi.org/10.1002/CPLU.201900081
- Lai JY, Ngu LH, Hashim SS (2021) A review of CO₂ adsorbents performance for different carbon capture technology processes conditions. Greenh Gases Sci Technol 11:1076–1117. https://doi.org/ 10.1002/GHG.2112
- Lawson S, Rezaei F (2021) Effects of process parameters on CO₂/H₂ separation performance of 3D-printed MOF-74 monoliths. ACS Sustain Chem Eng 9:10902–10912. https://doi.org/10.1021/acssu schemeng.1c03443
- Lawson S, Alwakwak A-A, Rownaghi AA, Rezaei F (2020) Gel-printgrow: a new way of 3D printing metal-organic frameworks. ACS Appl Mater Interfaces 12:56108–56117. https://doi.org/10.1021/ acsami.0c18720
- Lawson S, Li X, Thakkar H et al (2021) Recent advances in 3D printing of structured materials for adsorption and catalysis applications. Chem Rev 121:6246–6291. https://doi.org/10.1021/ACS. CHEMREV.1C00060/ASSET/IMAGES/LARGE/CR1C00060_ 0041.JPEG
- Lee SY, Park SJ (2015) A review on solid adsorbents for carbon dioxide capture. J Ind Eng Chem 23:1–11. https://doi.org/10.1016/J. JIEC.2014.09.001
- Lemaire P, Lee D, Zhao J, Parsons G (2017) Reversible low-temperature metal node distortion during atomic layer deposition of Al₂O₃ and TiO₂ on UiO-66-NH2 metal–organic framework crystal surfaces. ACS Appl Mater Interfaces 9:22042–22054. https:// doi.org/10.1021/acsami.7b05214

- Leonzio G, Mwabonje O, Fennell PS, Shah N (2022) Environmental performance of different sorbents used for direct air capture. Sustain Prod Consum 32:101–111. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SPC. 2022.04.004
- Leperi KT, Yancy-Caballero D, Snurr QR, You F (2019) 110th anniversary: surrogate models based on artificial neural networks to simulate and optimize pressure swing adsorption cycles for CO₂ capture. Ind Eng Chem Res 58:18241–18252. https://doi.org/10. 1021/acs.iecr.9b02383
- Leung DYC, Caramanna G, Maroto-Valer MM (2014) An overview of current status of carbon dioxide capture and storage technologies. Renew Sustain Energy Rev 39:426–443. https://doi.org/10. 1016/J.RSER.2014.07.093
- Li H, Eddaoudi M, O'Keeffe M, Yaghi OM (1999) Design and synthesis of an exceptionally stable and highly porous metal–organic framework. Nature 402:6759. https://doi.org/10.1038/46248
- Li G, Xiao P, Xu D, Webley PA (2011) Dual mode roll-up effect in multicomponent non-isothermal adsorption processes with multilayered bed packing. Chem Eng Sci 66:1825–1834. https://doi. org/10.1016/J.CES.2011.01.023
- Li D, Tian Y, Li L et al (2015a) Production of highly microporous carbons with large CO₂ uptakes at atmospheric pressure by KOH activation of peanut shell char. J Porous Mater 22:1581–1588. https://doi.org/10.1007/S10934-015-0041-7/TABLES/3
- Li W, Li Q, Zheng L et al (2015b) Potential biodiesel and biogas production from corncob by anaerobic fermentation and black soldier fly. Bioresour Technol 194:276–282. https://doi.org/10. 1016/J.BIORTECH.2015.06.112
- Liao PQ, Chen H, Zhou DD et al (2015) Monodentate hydroxide as a super strong yet reversible active site for CO₂ capture from high-humidity flue gas. Energy Environ Sci 8:1011–1016. https://doi.org/10.1039/C4EE02717E
- Lin Y, Lin H, Wang H et al (2014) Enhanced selective CO₂ adsorption on polyamine/MIL-101(Cr) composites. J Mater Chem A Mater 2:14658–14665. https://doi.org/10.1039/C4TA01174K
- Lin JB, Nguyen TTT, Vaidhyanathan R et al (2021) A scalable metalorganic framework as a durable physisorbent for carbon dioxide capture. Science 374:1464–1469. https://doi.org/10.1126/SCIEN CE.ABI7281/SUPPL_FILE/SCIENCE.ABI7281_SM.PDF
- Liu Z, Grande CA, Li P et al (2011) Multi-bed vacuum pressure swing adsorption for carbon dioxide capture from flue gas. Sep Purif Technol 81:307–317. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SEPPUR.2011. 07.037
- Liu S, Sun L, Xu F et al (2013a) Nanosized Cu-MOFs induced by graphene oxide and enhanced gas storage capacity. Energy Environ Sci 6:818–823. https://doi.org/10.1039/C3EE23421E
- Liu W-J, Jiang H, Tian K et al (2013b) Mesoporous carbon stabilized MgO nanoparticles synthesized by pyrolysis of MgCl₂ preloaded waste biomass for highly efficient CO₂ capture. Environ Sci Technol 47:9397–9403. https://doi.org/10.1021/es401286p
- Liu RS, Shi XD, Wang CT et al (2021a) Advances in post-combustion CO₂ capture by physical adsorption: from materials innovation to separation practice. ChemSusChem 14:1428–1471. https://doi. org/10.1002/CSSC.202002677
- Liu X, Lim GJH, Wang Y et al (2021b) Binder-free 3D printing of covalent organic framework (COF) monoliths for CO₂ adsorption. Chem Eng J 403:126333. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CEJ. 2020.126333
- Luberti M, Ahn H (2022) Review of Polybed pressure swing adsorption for hydrogen purification. Int J Hydrogen Energy 47:10911– 10933. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.IJHYDENE.2022.01.147
- Lyu H, Li H, Hanikel N et al (2022) Covalent organic frameworks for carbon dioxide capture from air. J Am Chem Soc 144:12989– 12995. https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.2c05382
- Madejski P, Chmiel K, Subramanian N, Kuś T (2022) Methods and techniques for CO₂ capture: review of potential solutions and

applications in modern energy technologies. Energies 15(887 15):887. https://doi.org/10.3390/EN15030887

- Manuel Vicent-Luna J, Jose Gutiérrez-Sevillano J, Hamad S et al (2018) Role of ionic liquid [EMIM]+[SCN]– in the adsorption and diffusion of gases in metal–organic frameworks. ACS Appl Mater Interfaces 10:29694–29704. https://doi.org/10.1021/ acsami.8b11842
- Mason J, McDonald MT, Bae T-H et al (2015) Application of a highthroughput analyzer in evaluating solid adsorbents for post-combustion carbon capture via multicomponent adsorption of CO₂, N₂, and H₂O. J Am Chem Soc 137:4787–4803. https://doi.org/ 10.1021/jacs.5b00838
- Mendes DNDL, Gaspar A, Ferreira I et al (2021) 3D-printed hybrid zeolitic/carbonaceous electrically conductive adsorbent structures. Chem Eng Res Des 174:442–453. https://doi.org/10. 1016/J.CHERD.2021.08.020
- Miller DC, Litynski JT, Brickett LA, Morreale BD (2016) Toward transformational carbon capture systems. AIChE J 62:2–10. https://doi.org/10.1002/AIC.15066
- Minnick DL, Turnaoglu T, Rocha MA, Shiflett MB (2018) Review Article: Gas and vapor sorption measurements using electronic beam balances. J Vac Sci Technol A Vac Surf Films 36:050801. https://doi.org/10.1116/1.5044552
- Minx JC, Lamb WF, Callaghan MW et al (2018) Negative emissions—Part 1: research landscape and synthesis. Environ Res Lett 13:063001. https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/AABF9B
- Mitsuka Y, Ogiwara N, Mukoyoshi M et al (2021) Fabrication of integrated copper-based nanoparticles/amorphous metal–organic framework by a facile spray-drying method: highly enhanced CO₂ hydrogenation activity for methanol synthesis. Angew Chem 133:22457–22462. https://doi.org/10.1002/ANGE.202110585
- Moghadam PZ, Rogge SMJ, Li A et al (2019) Structure-mechanical stability relations of metal–organic frameworks via machine learning. Matter 1:219–234. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.MATT. 2019.03.002
- Moosavi M, Maik Jablonka K, Smit B (2020) The role of machine learning in the understanding and design of materials. J Am Chem Soc 142:20273–20287. https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.0c091 05
- Muñoz R, Meier L, Diaz I, Jeison D (2015) A review on the stateof-the-art of physical/chemical and biological technologies for biogas upgrading. Rev Environ Sci Bio/technol 14(4):727–759. https://doi.org/10.1007/S11157-015-9379-1
- Muralikrishna IV, Manickam V (2017) Life cycle assessment. In: Muralikrishna IV, Manickam V (eds) Environmental management science and engineering for industry, 1st edn. Elsevier, pp 57–75
- Na B-K, Lee H, Koo K-K, Keun Song H (2002) Effect of rinse and recycle methods on the pressure swing adsorption process to recover CO_2 from power plant flue gas using activated carbon. Ind Eng Chem Res 41:5498–5503. https://doi.org/10.1021/ie010 9509
- Nandi S, Collins S, Chakraborty D et al (2017) Ultralow parasitic energy for postcombustion CO_2 capture realized in a nickel isonicotinate metal–organic framework with excellent moisture stability. J Am Chem Soc 139:1734–1737. https://doi.org/10. 1021/JACS.6B10455/SUPPL_FILE/JA6B10455_SI_002.CIF
- Naquash A, Qyyum MA, Haider J et al (2022) State-of-the-art assessment of cryogenic technologies for biogas upgrading: energy, economic, and environmental perspectives. Renew Sustain Energy Rev 154:111826. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.RSER.2021. 111826
- NASAClimate (2022a) https://climate.nasa.gov/vital-signs/globaltemperature/, 2022a
- NASAClimate (2022b) https://climate.nasa.gov/vital-signs/carbondioxide/. Accessed 1 Nov 2022b

- Nasri NS, Hamza UD, Ismail SN et al (2014) Assessment of porous carbons derived from sustainable palm solid waste for carbon dioxide capture. J Clean Prod 71:148–157. https://doi.org/10. 1016/J.JCLEPRO.2013.11.053
- Nguyen HGT, Horn JC, Thommes M et al (2017) Experimental aspects of buoyancy correction in measuring reliable high-pressure excess adsorption isotherms using the gravimetric method. Meas Sci Technol 28:125802. https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6501/AA8F83
- Nikolaidis GN, Kikkinides ES, Georgiadis MC (2018) A model-based approach for the evaluation of new zeolite 13X-based adsorbents for the efficient post-combustion CO₂ capture using P/VSA processes. Chem Eng Res Des 131:362–374. https://doi.org/10. 1016/J.CHERD.2017.06.016
- Nowrouzi M, Abyar H, Younesi H, Khaki E (2021) Life cycle environmental and economic assessment of highly efficient carbon-based CO₂ adsorbents: a comparative study. J CO2 Util 47:101491. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JCOU.2021.101491
- OGCI (2022) https://www.ogci.com/climate-investments/
- Ogungbenro AE, Quang DV, Al-Ali KA et al (2020) Synthesis and characterization of activated carbon from biomass date seeds for carbon dioxide adsorption. J Environ Chem Eng 8:104257. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JECE.2020.104257
- Olajire AA (2017) Recent advances in the synthesis of covalent organic frameworks for CO₂ capture. J CO2 Util 17:137–161. https://doi. org/10.1016/J.JCOU.2016.12.003
- Osman AI, Hefny M, Abdel Maksoud MIA et al (2020) Recent advances in carbon capture storage and utilisation technologies: a review. Environ Chem Lett 19(2):797–849. https://doi.org/10. 1007/S10311-020-01133-3
- Osman AI, Chen L, Yang M et al (2022) Cost, environmental impact, and resilience of renewable energy under a changing climate: a review. Environ Chem Lett 1:1–24. https://doi.org/10.1007/ S10311-022-01532-8/TABLES/1

OurWorldinData (2022) https://ourworldindata.org/Our World in Data

- Pachfule P, Banerjee R (2011) Porous nitrogen rich cadmium-tetrazolate based metal organic framework (MOF) for H_2 and CO_2 uptake. Cryst Growth Des 11:5176–5181. https://doi.org/10. 1021/cg201054f
- Pai KN, Prasad V, Rajendran A (2020) Experimentally validated machine learning frameworks for accelerated prediction of cyclic steady state and optimization of pressure swing adsorption processes. Sep Purif Technol 241:116651. https://doi.org/10.1016/J. SEPPUR.2020.116651
- Palakkal AS, Pillai RS (2022) Evaluating the performance of Cr-Soc-MOF super-adsorbents for CO₂ capture from flue gas under humid condition through molecular simulation. Sep Purif Technol 295:121298. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SEPPUR.2022.121298
- Pan M, Omar HM, Rohani S (2017) Application of nanosize zeolite molecular sieves for medical oxygen concentration. Nanomaterials 7(195 7):195. https://doi.org/10.3390/NANO7080195
- Parascanu MM, Puig-Gamero M, Soreanu G et al (2019) Comparison of three Mexican biomasses valorization through combustion and gasification: environmental and economic analysis. Energy 189:116095. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ENERGY.2019.116095
- Paswan SK, Pradhan LK, Kumar P et al (2004) High-pressure adsorption measurements. A comparative study of the volumetric and gravimetric methods. Meas Sci Technol 15:848. https://doi.org/ 10.1088/0957-0233/15/5/010
- Patra BR, Mukherjee A, Nanda S, Dalai AK (2021) Biochar production, activation and adsorptive applications: a review. Environ Chem Lett 19(3):2237–2259. https://doi.org/10.1007/ S10311-020-01165-9
- Pereira A, Ferreira AFP, Rodrigues AE et al (2022) Additive manufacturing for adsorption-related applications—a review. J Adv Manuf Process 4:e10108. https://doi.org/10.1002/AMP2.10108

- Permyakova A, Skrylnyk O, Courbon E et al (2017) Synthesis optimization, shaping, and heat reallocation evaluation of the hydrophilic metal–organic framework MIL-160(Al). ChemSusChem 10:1419–1426. https://doi.org/10.1002/CSSC.201700164
- Petkovska M, Tondeur D, Grevillot G et al (2006) Temperature-swing gas separation with electrothermal desorption step. Sep Sci Technol 26:425–444. https://doi.org/10.1080/01496399108050482
- Pettinari C, Tombesi A (2020) Metal–organic frameworks for carbon dioxide capture. MRS Energy Sustain. https://doi.org/10.1557/ mre.2020.30
- Pietschak A, Kaiser M, Freund H (2018) Tailored catalyst pellet specification for improved fixed-bed transport characteristics: a shortcut method for the model-based reactor design. Chem Eng Res Des 137:60–74. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CHERD.2018.06.043
- Plaza MG, González AS, Pevida C et al (2012) Valorisation of spent coffee grounds as CO₂ adsorbents for postcombustion capture applications. Appl Energy 99:272–279. https://doi.org/10.1016/J. APENERGY.2012.05.028
- Pohanka M (2016) Three-dimensional printing in analytical chemistry: principles and applications. Anal Lett 49:2865–2882
- Presser V, McDonough J, Yeon SH, Gogotsi Y (2011) Effect of pore size on carbon dioxide sorption by carbide derived carbon. Energy Environ Sci 4:3059–3066. https://doi.org/10.1039/ C1EE01176F
- Pu S, Wang J, Li L et al (2018) Performance comparison of metal– organic framework extrudates and commercial zeolite for ethylene/ethane separation. Ind Eng Chem Res 57:1645–1654. https:// doi.org/10.1021/acs.iecr.7b04391
- Pullumbi P, Brandani F, Brandani S (2019) Gas separation by adsorption: technological drivers and opportunities for improvement. Curr Opin Chem Eng 24:131–142. https://doi.org/10.1016/J. COCHE.2019.04.008
- Rabbani MG, Sekizkardes AK, Kahveci Z et al (2013) A 2D mesoporous imine-linked covalent organic framework for high pressure gas storage applications. Chem A Eur J 19:3324–3328. https://doi.org/10.1002/CHEM.201203753
- Rashidi NA, Yusup S (2021) Co-valorization of delayed petroleum coke—palm kernel shell for activated carbon production. J Hazard Mater 403:123876. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JHAZMAT. 2020.123876
- Regufe MJ, Ferreira AFP, Loureiro JM et al (2019) Electrical conductive 3D-printed monolith adsorbent for CO₂ capture. Microporous Mesoporous Mater 278:403–413. https://doi.org/10.1016/J. MICROMESO.2019.01.009
- Regufe MJ, Pereira A, Ferreira AFP et al (2021) Current developments of carbon capture storage and/or utilization-looking for net-zero emissions defined in the Paris Agreement. Energies 14:2406– 2406. https://doi.org/10.3390/EN14092406
- Rezaei F, Webley P (2009) Optimum structured adsorbents for gas separation processes. Chem Eng Sci 64:5182–5191. https://doi. org/10.1016/J.CES.2009.08.029
- Ribeiro RPPL, Grande CA, Rodrigues AE (2014) Electric swing adsorption for gas separation and purification: a review. Sep Sci Technol 49:1985–2002. https://doi.org/10.1080/01496395.2014. 915854
- Riboldi L (2016) Assessment of pressure swing adsorption as CO₂ capture technology in coal-fired power plants. NTNU
- Roque-Malherbe RMA (2018) Adsorption and diffusion in nanoporous materials, 2nd edn. CRC Press
- Rosen AS, Mian MR, Islamoglu T et al (2020) Tuning the redox activity of metal–organic frameworks for enhanced, selective O₂ binding: design rules and ambient temperature O₂ chemisorption in a cobalt-triazolate framework. J Am Chem Soc 142:4317–4328. https://doi.org/10.1021/JACS.9B12401/ASSET/IMAGES/ LARGE/JA9B12401_0009.JPEG

- Rubio-Martinez M, Avci-Camur C, Thornton AW et al (2017) New synthetic routes towards MOF production at scale. Chem Soc Rev 46:3453–3480. https://doi.org/10.1039/C7CS00109F
- Ruthven DM (1984) Principles of adsorption and adsorption processes, 1st edn. Wiley, New York
- Ruthven DM (1993) Report of PSA discussion. Stud Surf Sci Catal 80:787–793. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-2991(08)63590-9
- Ruthven DM (2014) CO₂ capture: value functions, separative work and process economics. Chem Eng Sci 114:128–133. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/J.CES.2014.04.020
- Sangchoom W, Mokaya R (2015) Valorization of lignin waste: carbons from hydrothermal carbonization of renewable lignin as superior sorbents for CO₂ and hydrogen storage. ACS Sustain Chem Eng 3:1658–1667. https://doi.org/10.1021/acssuschemeng.5b00351
- Sani R, Dey TK, Sarkar M et al (2022) A study of contemporary progress relating to COF materials for CO₂ capture and fixation reactions. Mater Adv 3:5575–5597. https://doi.org/10.1039/ D2MA00143H
- Saosee P, Sajjakulnukit B, Gheewala SH (2020) Life cycle assessment of wood pellet production in Thailand. Sustainability 12:6996. https://doi.org/10.3390/SU12176996
- Sargazi G, Afzali D, Mostafavi A, Ebrahimipour SY (2017) Ultrasound-assisted facile synthesis of a new tantalum(V) metal– organic framework nanostructure: Design, characterization, systematic study, and CO₂ adsorption performance. J Solid State Chem 250:32–48. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JSSC.2017.03.014
- Scarlat N, Dallemand JF, Fahl F (2018) Biogas: developments and perspectives in Europe. Renew Energy 129:457–472. https://doi. org/10.1016/J.RENENE.2018.03.006
- Schmidt T, Beuse M, Zhang X et al (2019) Additional emissions and cost from storing electricity in stationary battery systems. Environ Sci Technol 53:3379–3390. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est. 8b05313
- Serna-Guerrero R, Da'na E, Sayari A (2008) New Insights into the Interactions of CO_2 with amine-functionalized silica. Ind Eng Chem Res 47:9406–9412. https://doi.org/10.1021/ie801186g
- Sevilla M, Fuertes AB (2011) Sustainable porous carbons with a superior performance for CO₂ capture. Energy Environ Sci 4:1765– 1771. https://doi.org/10.1039/C0EE00784F
- Sevilla M, Falco C, Titirici MM, Fuertes AB (2012) High-performance CO₂ sorbents from algae. RSC Adv 2:12792–12797. https://doi. org/10.1039/C2RA22552B
- Sha Y, Lou J, Bai S et al (2015) Facile preparation of nitrogen-doped porous carbon from waste tobacco by a simple pre-treatment process and their application in electrochemical capacitor and CO₂ capture. Mater Res Bull 64:327–332. https://doi.org/10.1016/J. MATERRESBULL.2015.01.015
- Shade D, Bout WSB, Sholl SD, Walton SK (2022) Opening the toolbox: 18 experimental techniques for measurement of mixed gas adsorption. Ind Eng Chem Res 61:2367–2391. https://doi.org/ 10.1021/acs.iecr.1c03756
- Shamsudin IK, Idris I, Abdullah A et al (2019) Development of microporous Zr-MOF UiO-66 by sol-gel synthesis for CO₂ capture from synthetic gas containing CO₂ and H₂. AIP Conf Proc 2124:020057. https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5117117
- Sharma A, Jindal J, Mittal A et al (2021) Carbon materials as CO₂ adsorbents: a review. Environ Chem Lett 19(2):875–910. https:// doi.org/10.1007/S10311-020-01153-Z
- Shekhah O, Belmabkhout Y, Chen Z et al (2014) Made-to-order metalorganic frameworks for trace carbon dioxide removal and air capture. Nat Commun 5(1):1–7. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomm s5228
- Shen J, Cortright RD, Chen Y, Dumesic JA (2002) Microcalorimetric and Infrared Spectroscopic Studies of.gamma.-Al₂O₃ Modified by Basic Metal Oxides. J Phys Chem 98:8067–8073. https://doi. org/10.1021/j100084a025

- Shirzad M, Karimi M, Abolghasemi H (2019a) Polymer inclusion membranes with dinonylnaphthalene sulfonic acid as ion carrier for Co(II) transport from model solutions. Desalin Water Treat 144:185–200
- Shirzad M, Kazemi Shariat Panahi H, Dashti BB et al (2019b) A comprehensive review on electricity generation and GHG emission reduction potentials through anaerobic digestion of agricultural and livestock/slaughterhouse wastes in Iran. Renew Sustain Energy Rev 111:571–594. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.RSER.2019. 05.011
- Sieverts A (1907) Information on the occlusion and diffusion of gases through metals. Z Phys Chem 60:129–201
- Silalertruksa T, Gheewala SH (2020) Competitive use of sugarcane for food, fuel, and biochemical through the environmental and economic factors. Int J Life Cycle Assess 25:1343–1355. https:// doi.org/10.1007/S11367-019-01664-0/TABLES/3
- Siqueira RM, Freitas GR, Peixoto HR et al (2017) Carbon dioxide capture by pressure swing adsorption. Energy Procedia 114:2182– 2192. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.EGYPRO.2017.03.1355
- Sircar S (2006) Basic research needs for design of adsorptive gas separation processes. Ind Eng Chem Res 45:5435–5448. https://doi. org/10.1021/ie051056a
- Siriwardane R, Shen M-S, Fisher EP, Losch J (2005) Adsorption of CO_2 on zeolites at moderate temperatures. Energy Fuels 19:1153–1159. https://doi.org/10.1021/ef040059h
- Skarstrom CW (1960) Method and apparatus for fractionating gaseous mixtures by adsorption. US Patent 2944627A
- Smith P, Davis SJ, Creutzig F et al (2015) Biophysical and economic limits to negative CO₂ emissions. Nat Clim Change 6(1):42–50. https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate2870
- Song J, Shen W, Wang J, Fan W (2014) Superior carbon-based CO₂ adsorbents prepared from poplar anthers. Carbon N Y 69:255– 263. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CARBON.2013.12.024
- Songolzadeh M, Ravanchi MT, Soleimani M (2012) Carbon dioxide capture and storage: a general review on adsorbents. Int J Chem Mol Eng 6:906–913. https://doi.org/10.5281/ZENODO.1076266
- Spitoni M, Pierantozzi M, Comodi G et al (2019) Theoretical evaluation and optimization of a cryogenic technology for carbon dioxide separation and methane liquefaction from biogas. J Nat Gas Sci Eng 62:132–143. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JNGSE.2018. 12.007
- Sreńscek-Nazzal J, Kiełbasa K (2019) Advances in modification of commercial activated carbon for enhancement of CO₂ capture. Appl Surf Sci 494:137–151. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.APSUSC. 2019.07.108
- Sreńscek-Nazzal J, Urszula Narkiewicz U, Antoni W, Morawski A et al (2016) Modification of commercial activated carbons for CO₂ adsorption. Acta Phys Polonica Ser A 129:394–401
- Stark T (1963) Gas separation by adsorption process. US Patent 3252268A
- Stassen I, Styles M, van Assche T et al (2015) Electrochemical film deposition of the zirconium metal–organic framework UiO-66 and application in a miniaturized sorbent trap. Chem Mater 27:1801–1807. https://doi.org/10.1021/cm504806p
- Steldinger H, Esposito A, Brunnengräber K et al (2019) 3D printing: activated carbon in the third dimension—3D printing of a tuned porous carbon (Adv. Sci. 19/2019). Adv Sci 6:1970114. https:// doi.org/10.1002/ADVS.201970114
- Subramanian Balashankar V, Rajendran A (2019) Process optimization-based screening of zeolites for post-combustion CO₂ capture by vacuum swing adsorption. ACS Sustain Chem Eng 7:17747– 17755. https://doi.org/10.1021/acssuschemeng.9b04124
- Subraveti SG, Li Z, Prasad V, Rajendran A (2019) Machine learningbased multiobjective optimization of pressure swing adsorption. Ind Eng Chem Res 58:20412–20422. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs. iecr.9b04173

- Suyetin M (2017) Rht-MOFs with triptycene-modified linkers for balanced gravimetric/volumetric hydrogen storage: a molecular simulation study. Int J Hydrogen Energy 42:3114–3121. https:// doi.org/10.1016/J.IJHYDENE.2017.01.062
- SvanteInc (2021) https://svanteinc.com/carbon-capture-technology/, 2021
- SvanteInc (2022) https://svanteinc.com/2021/12/16/mof-sorbent-on-aroll-a-scalable-solution-for-gigaton-scale-carbon-capture/
- Tagliabue M, Rizzo C, Onorati NB et al (2012) Regenerability of zeolites as adsorbents for natural gas sweetening: a case-study. Fuel 93:238–244. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.FUEL.2011.08.051
- Takeda Y, Okumura S, Tone S et al (2012) Cyclizative atmospheric CO₂ fixation by unsaturated amines with t-BuOI leading to cyclic carbamates. Org Lett 14:4874–4877. https://doi.org/10.1021/ol302201q
- TakhtRavanchi M, Sahebdelfar S (2014) Carbon dioxide capture and utilization in petrochemical industry: potentials and challenges. Appl Petrochem Res 4(1):63–77. https://doi.org/10.1007/ S13203-014-0050-5
- TakhtRavanchi M, Sahebdelfar S (2021) Catalytic conversions of CO₂ to help mitigate climate change: recent process developments. Process Saf Environ Prot 145:172–194. https://doi.org/10.1016/J. PSEP.2020.08.003
- TakhtRavanchi M, Sahebdelfar S, Zangeneh FT (2011) Carbon dioxide sequestration in petrochemical industries with the aim of reduction in greenhouse gas emissions. Front Chem Eng China 5:173– 178. https://doi.org/10.1007/S11705-010-0562-1/METRICS
- Tang Y, You F (2017) Multicriteria environmental and economic analysis of municipal solid waste incineration power plant with carbon capture and separation from the life-cycle perspective. ACS Sustain Chem Eng 6:937–956. https://doi.org/10.1021/acssuschem eng.7b03283
- Tao Y, Xie X, Lv W et al (2013) Towards ultrahigh volumetric capacitance: graphene derived highly dense but porous carbons for supercapacitors. Sci Rep 3(1):1–8. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep0 2975
- Terlouw T, Bauer C, Rosa L, Mazzotti M (2021a) Life cycle assessment of carbon dioxide removal technologies: a critical review. Energy Environ Sci 14:1701–1721. https://doi.org/10.1039/ D0EE03757E
- Terlouw T, Treyer K, Bauer C, Mazzotti M (2021b) Life cycle assessment of direct air carbon capture and storage with low-carbon energy sources. Environ Sci Technol 55:11397–11411. https:// doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.1c03263
- Thomas D, Benson S (2005) Carbon dioxide capture for storage in deep geologic formations—results from the CO_2 capture project, 1st edn. Elsevier
- Tribe MA, Alpine RLW (1986) Scale economies and the "0.6 rule." Eng Costs Prod Econ 10:271–278. https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-188X(86)90053-4
- UNFCCC (2022) Adoption of the Paris Agreement. Proposal by the President. https://unfccc.int/documents/9064
- United Nations (2022) https://www.un.org/en/climatechange/what-isclimate-change. https://www.un.org/en/climatechange/what-isclimate-change, 2022. Accessed 1 Nov 2022
- Usman M, Helal A, Abdelnaby MM et al (2021) Trends and prospects in UiO-66 metal–organic framework for CO₂ capture, separation, and conversion. Chem Rec 21:1771–1791. https://doi.org/ 10.1002/TCR.202100030
- Vaitsis C, Kanellou E, Pandis PK et al (2022) Sonochemical synthesis of zinc adipate metal–organic framework (MOF) for the electrochemical reduction of CO₂: MOF and circular economy potential. Sustain Chem Pharm 29:100786. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SCP. 2022.100786
- Vakili R, Xu S, Al-Janabi N et al (2018) Microwave-assisted synthesis of zirconium-based metal organic frameworks (MOFs):

optimization and gas adsorption. Microporous Mesoporous Mater 260:45–53. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.MICROMESO. 2017.10.028

- Vivo-Vilches JF, Pérez-Cadenas AF, Maldonado-Hódar FJ et al (2018) Resorcinol–formaldehyde carbon xerogel as selective adsorbent of carbon dioxide present on biogas. Adsorption 24:169–177. https://doi.org/10.1007/S10450-018-9933-6/TABLES/4
- Vo ND, Oh DH, Kang JH et al (2020) Dynamic-model-based artificial neural network for H₂ recovery and CO₂ capture from hydrogen tail gas. Appl Energy 273:115263. https://doi.org/10.1016/J. APENERGY.2020.115263
- Wang Q, Luo J, Zhong Z, Borgna A (2010) CO₂ capture by solid adsorbents and their applications: current status and new trends. Energy Environ Sci 4:42–55. https://doi.org/10.1039/ C0EE00064G
- Wang J, Heerwig A, Lohe MR et al (2012) Fungi-based porous carbons for CO₂ adsorption and separation. J Mater Chem 22:13911–13913. https://doi.org/10.1039/C2JM32139D
- Wang L, Deng H, Furukawa H et al (2014a) Synthesis and Characterization of metal–organic framework-74 containing 2, 4, 6, 8, and 10 different metals. Inorg Chem 53:5881–5883. https:// doi.org/10.1021/ic500434a
- Wang TH, Xiao DC, Huang CH et al (2014b) CO₂ uptake performance and life cycle assessment of CaO-based sorbents prepared from waste oyster shells blended with PMMA nanosphere scaffolds. J Hazard Mater 270:92–101. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/J.JHAZMAT.2014.01.026
- Wang B, Xie LH, Wang X et al (2018) Applications of metal-organic frameworks for green energy and environment: new advances in adsorptive gas separation, storage and removal. Green Energy Environ 3:191–228. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.GEE. 2018.03.001
- Wang D, Streater D, Peng Y, Huang J (2021a) 2D covalent organic frameworks with an incorporated manganese complex for light driven carbon dioxide reduction. ChemPhotoChem 5:1119– 1123. https://doi.org/10.1002/CPTC.202100123
- Wang Q, Yu F, Zhang M et al (2021b) CO₂ dual roles in food scrapsderived biochar activation to enhance lead adsorption capacity. Sci Total Environ 784:147218. https://doi.org/10.1016/J. SCITOTENV.2021.147218
- Wawrzyńczak D, Panowski M, Majchrzak-Kucęba I (2019) Possibilities of CO₂ purification coming from oxy-combustion for enhanced oil recovery and storage purposes by adsorption method on activated carbon. Energy 180:787–796. https://doi. org/10.1016/J.ENERGY.2019.05.068
- WBA (2022) Global bioenergy statistics, World bioenergy association (WBA), https://www.worldbioenergy.org/uploads/ 211214%20WBA%20GBS%202021.pdf
- Wei H, Chai S, Hu N et al (2015) The microwave-assisted solvothermal synthesis of a crystalline two-dimensional covalent organic framework with high CO₂ capacity. Chem Commun 51:12178–12181. https://doi.org/10.1039/C5CC04680G
- Wilcox J, Haghpanah R, Rupp EC et al (2014) Advancing adsorption and membrane separation processes for the gigaton carbon capture challenge. Annu Rev Chem Biomol Eng 5:479–505. https://doi.org/10.1146/ANNUREV-CHEMB IOENG-060713-040100
- Wilmer CE, Leaf M, Lee CY et al (2011) Large-scale screening of hypothetical metal–organic frameworks. Nat Chem 4(2):83–89. https://doi.org/10.1038/nchem.1192

WolfCarbon https://wolfcarbonsolutions.com. In: 2022

Wu F, Zhou Z, Temizel-Sekeryan S et al (2020) Assessing the environmental impact and payback of carbon nanotube supported CO₂ capture technologies using LCA methodology. J Clean Prod 270:122465. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JCLEPRO.2020.122465

- Xia W, Lau SK, Yong WF (2022) Comparative life cycle assessment on zeolitic imidazolate framework-8 (ZIF-8) production for CO₂ capture. J Clean Prod 370:133354. https://doi.org/10.1016/J. JCLEPRO.2022.133354
- Xiang Z, Hu Z, Cao D et al (2011) Metal–organic frameworks with incorporated carbon nanotubes: improving carbon dioxide and methane storage capacities by lithium doping. Angew Chem Int Ed 50:491–494. https://doi.org/10.1002/ANIE.201004537
- Xie LH, Suh MP (2013) High CO₂-capture ability of a porous organic polymer bifunctionalized with carboxy and triazole groups. Chem A Eur J 19:11590–11597. https://doi.org/10.1002/CHEM. 201301822
- Xu G, Li L, Yang Y et al (2012) A novel CO₂ cryogenic liquefaction and separation system. Energy 42:522–529. https://doi.org/10. 1016/J.ENERGY.2012.02.048
- Xu L, Khalifeh A, Khandakar A, Vaferi B (2022) Numerical investigating the effect of Al₂O₃-water nanofluids on the thermal efficiency of flat plate solar collectors. Energy Rep 8:6530–6542. https:// doi.org/10.1016/J.EGYR.2022.05.012
- Xu J, Weist EL (2000) Six bed pressure swing adsorption process with four steps of pressure equalization. US Patent US6454838B1
- Yan Y, Borhani TN, Subraveti SG et al (2021) Harnessing the power of machine learning for carbon capture, utilisation, and storage (CCUS)—a state-of-the-art review. Energy Environ Sci 14:6122– 6157. https://doi.org/10.1039/D1EE02395K
- Yang H, Xu Z, Fan M et al (2008) Progress in carbon dioxide separation and capture: a review. J Environ Sci 20:14–27. https://doi. org/10.1016/S1001-0742(08)60002-9
- Yang SJ, Jung H, Kim T, Park CR (2012) Recent advances in hydrogen storage technologies based on nanoporous carbon materials. Progress Nat Sci Mater Int 22:631–638. https://doi.org/10.1016/J. PNSC.2012.11.006
- Yang Y, Sitprasert C, Rufford TE et al (2015) An experimental and simulation study of binary adsorption in metal–organic frameworks. Sep Purif Technol 146:136–142. https://doi.org/10. 1016/J.SEPPUR.2015.03.041
- Yang S, Wei Y, Chen Z et al (2016) Effects of multicomponent adsorption on enhanced shale reservoir recovery by CO₂ injection coupled with reservoir geomechanics. Soc Pet Eng SPE Low Perm Symp. https://doi.org/10.2118/180208-MS
- Yang Z, Guo W, Mahurin SM et al (2020) Surpassing robeson upper limit for CO₂/N₂ separation with fluorinated carbon molecular sieve membranes. Chem 6:631–645. https://doi.org/10.1016/J. CHEMPR.2019.12.006
- Yazaydin AÖ, Snurr RQ, Park TH et al (2009) Screening of metalorganic frameworks for carbon dioxide capture from flue gas using a combined experimental and modeling approach. J Am Chem Soc 131:18198–18199. https://doi.org/10.1021/JA905 7234/SUPPL_FILE/JA9057234_SI_001.PDF
- Yazaydın AÖ, Snurr RQ, Park T-H et al (2009) Screening of metal– organic frameworks for carbon dioxide capture from flue gas using a combined experimental and modeling approach. J Am Chem Soc 131:18198–18199. https://doi.org/10.1021/ja9057234
- Yong Z, Mata V, Rodrigues AE (2002) Adsorption of carbon dioxide at high temperature—a review. Sep Purif Technol 26:195–205. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1383-5866(01)00165-4
- Younas M, Rezakazemi M, Daud M et al (2020) Recent progress and remaining challenges in post-combustion CO₂ capture using metal–organic frameworks (MOFs). Prog Energy Combust Sci 80:100849. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.PECS.2020.100849
- Yu CH, Huang CH, Tan CS (2012) A review of CO₂ capture by absorption and adsorption. Aerosol Air Qual Res 12:745–769. https:// doi.org/10.4209/AAQR.2012.05.0132
- Yu J, Xie LH, Li JR et al (2017) CO₂ capture and separations using MOFs: computational and experimental studies. Chem Rev

117:9674–9754. https://doi.org/10.1021/ACS.CHEMREV.6B006 26/ASSET/IMAGES/LARGE/CR-2016-006263_0039.JPEG

- Yulia F, Nasruddin ZA, Ruliandini R (2019) Metal–organic framework based chromium terephthalate (MIL-101 Cr) growth for carbon dioxide capture: a review. J Adv Res Fluid Mech Thermal Sci 57:158–174
- Zeman F (2007) Energy and material balance of CO₂ capture from ambient air. Environ Sci Technol 41:7558–7563. https://doi.org/ 10.1021/es070874m
- Zeng W, Bai H (2014) Swelling-agent-free synthesis of rice husk derived silica materials with large mesopores for efficient CO₂ capture. Chem Eng J 251:1–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CEJ. 2014.04.041
- Zhang C, Liu Y, Li B et al (2011) Triptycene-based microporous polymers: synthesis and their gas storage properties. ACS Macro Lett 1:190–193. https://doi.org/10.1021/mz200076c
- Zhang X-X, Xiao P, Zhan C-H et al (2015) Separation of methane/ ethylene gas mixtures using Wet ZIF-8. Ind Eng Chem Res 54:7890–7898. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.iecr.5b00941
- Zhang Y, Zhang P, Yu W et al (2018) Facile and controllable preparation of ultramicroporous biomass-derived carbons and application on selective adsorption of gas-mixtures. Ind Eng Chem Res 57:14191–14201. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.iecr.8b02139
- Zhang YH, Bai J, Chen Y et al (2019) A Zn(II)-based pillar-layered metal–organic framework: synthesis, structure, and CO₂ selective adsorption. Polyhedron 158:283–289. https://doi.org/10.1016/J. POLY.2018.10.067
- Zhang H, Wang P, Zhang H et al (2020) Structured zeolite monoliths with ultrathin framework for fast CO₂ adsorption enabled by 3D printing. Ind Eng Chem Res 59:8223–8229. https://doi.org/10. 1021/acs.iecr.9b07060
- Zhao Y, Yao KX, Teng B et al (2013) A perfluorinated covalent triazine-based framework for highly selective and water-tolerant CO₂ capture. Energy Environ Sci 6:3684–3692. https://doi.org/ 10.1039/C3EE42548G

- Zhao R, Zhao L, Wang S et al (2018) Solar-assisted pressure-temperature swing adsorption for CO₂ capture: effect of adsorbent materials. Sol Energy Mater Sol Cells 185:494–504. https://doi. org/10.1016/J.SOLMAT.2018.06.004
- Zhou Z, Davoudi E, Vaferi B (2021) Monitoring the effect of surface functionalization on the CO₂ capture by graphene oxide/methyl diethanolamine nanofluids. J Environ Chem Eng 9:106202. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JECE.2021.106202
- Zhu C, Han TYJ, Duoss EB et al (2015) Highly compressible 3D periodic graphene aerogel microlattices. Nat Commun 6(1):1–8. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms7962
- Zimmermann AW, Langhorst T, Moni S et al (2022) Life-cycle and techno-economic assessment of early-stage carbon capture and utilization technologies—a discussion of current challenges and best practices. Front Clim 4:44. https://doi.org/10.3389/FCLIM. 2022.841907/BIBTEX
- Zubbri NA, Mohamed AR, Kamiuchi N, Mohammadi M (2020) Enhancement of CO₂ adsorption on biochar sorbent modified by metal incorporation. Environ Sci Pollut Res Int 27:11809–11829. https://doi.org/10.1007/S11356-020-07734-3
- Zunita M, Natola OW, David M, Lugito G (2022) Integrated metal organic framework/ionic liquid-based composite membrane for CO₂ separation. Chem Eng J Adv 11:100320. https://doi.org/10. 1016/J.CEJA.2022.100320

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.