



# HHS Public Access

Author manuscript

*J Racial Ethn Health Disparities*. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2024 August 01.

Published in final edited form as:

*J Racial Ethn Health Disparities*. 2023 August ; 10(4): 2054–2060. doi:10.1007/s40615-022-01385-5.

## Respecting Faith, Hope and Miracles in African American Christian Patients at End-of-Life: Moving from Labeling Goals of Care as “Aggressive” to Providing Equitable Goal-Concordant Care

**Shena Gazaway, RN, PhD. [Assistant Professor],**

Department of Family, Community, and Health Systems, School of Nursing – University of Alabama Birmingham

**Elizabeth Chuang, MD, MPH.,**

Albert Einstein College of Medicine, Bronx, NY

**Moneka Thompson, M.Div., MA,**

School of Medicine, UAB, Birmingham, AL

**Gloria White-Hammond, MD., M. Div.,**

Harvard Divinity School, Boston, MA.

**Ronit Elk, PhD.**

UAB School of Medicine, Birmingham, AL.

### Abstract

In this article, we demonstrate first how the term “aggressive care,” used loosely by clinicians to denote care that can negatively impact quality of life in serious illness, is often used to inappropriately label the preferences of African American patients, and discounts, discredits and dismisses the deeply held beliefs of African American Christians. This form of biased communication results in a higher proportion of African Americans than whites receiving care that is *non-goal-concordant* and contributes to the prevailing lack of trust the African American community has in our healthcare system. Second, we invite clinicians and health care centers to make the perspectives of socially marginalized groups, (in this case, African American Christians) the central axis around which we find solutions to this problem. Based on this, we provide insight and understanding to clinicians caring for seriously ill African American Christian patients by sharing their beliefs, origins, and substantive importance to the African American Christian

---

\*Corresponding Author gazaways@uab.edu, (706) 658-5697, 1720 2nd Avenue South, N485C, Birmingham, AL 35294-1210.

**Author Contributions:** All authors contributed to the conception, design, editing, and framing of this article. The first draft was written collectively, and all reviews, edits, and comments were done by group. All authors read and approved of the final manuscript.

**Statement and Declarations**

**Competing Interests:** The authors have no relevant financial or non-financial interests to disclose.

**Availability of data and material:** not applicable

**Code availability:** not applicable

**Ethics approval:** not applicable

**Consent to participate** not applicable

**Consent for publication:** not applicable

community. Third, we provide recommendations to clinicians and healthcare systems that will result in African Americans, regardless of religious affiliation, receiving equitable levels of goal-concordant care if implemented.

## Keywords

African American Values; Goals of Care; Serious Illness; Goal Concordant Care

This article has three goals. First, to demonstrate how the term “aggressive care,” used loosely by clinicians to denote care that can negatively impact quality of life in serious illness, is more often used to inappropriately label the preferences of African American patients than other groups, and discounts, discredits and dismisses the deeply held beliefs of African American Christians. This form of racism results in a higher proportion of African Americans than whites receiving *non-goal-concordant* care. Second, is to provide insight for clinicians who care for seriously ill African American Christian patients by sharing the beliefs, their origins, and their substantive importance of scripture and biblical teachings to African American Christian communities. Third, we provide recommendations to clinicians and healthcare systems to treat African American patients, regardless of religious background, as individuals who are viewed from a place of unique personhood. The goal is to encourage open, respectful communication between African American patients and clinicians that has the potential to result in all African Americans being provided with equitable levels of respectful goal-concordant care.

We acknowledge the potential moral distress medical professionals face when being asked by patients or their surrogate decision-makers to provide continuing intensive disease-directed care, especially when the patient’s condition has been assessed as non-curative.<sup>1,2</sup> Yet, we ask the reader to take this journey, recognizing that perspectives on end-of-life care, suffering, and dying are strongly influenced by the person’s life experiences, cultural identity, and for some spiritual affiliations,<sup>3,4</sup> and that the end-of-life model prevalent in the US is based on the cultural and religious values of the white, middle class.<sup>5</sup> The predominant model in the US is based on the C. Saunders hospice and palliative care model from the United Kingdom, which emphasizes autonomous decision-making and receiving supportive care that culminates in death at home with key family members providing care.<sup>6</sup> This model lacks an orientation for those who may not have family that can perform tasks, nor does it account for shared decision-making, a hallmark decision method for many racial and ethnic minorities. Using Critical Race Theory,<sup>7</sup> a race-equity methodology grounded in social justice, we ask the reader to center their care on the perspectives of socially marginalized groups, (in this case, African American Christians) rather than those of the dominant race or culture.

## “Aggressive Care” Is Not an Objective Term

Aggressive care is a value-laden term used throughout medical literature. The first written records of the term “aggressive management” were found in the late 1960s - early 1970s. This term described the recommendation to manage, treat, and follow-up with high-risk pediatric populations, over and above the treatment recommendations at the time.<sup>8,9</sup> This

frame of reference denotes a concerted effort to identify those who have high risk for increased morbidity or mortality.<sup>10–13</sup> At the turn of the 21st century, however, the meaning of the term “aggressive” as it related to medical care began to shift.

The term “aggressive care” is not a National Library of Medicine defined Medical Subject Heading (MeSH) term, and is defined in various ways in the literature, often based on certain utilization criteria, such as emergency room visits and hospital and intensive care unit stays in the last 30 days of life and use of chemotherapy in the last 14 days of life.<sup>14</sup> In addition, it is often referred to as the opposite of palliative care in the last weeks or months of life. One definition includes less than 3 days of palliative care in the last month of life as “aggressive care.” It is often also used interchangeably with the term “intensity” of end-of-life care.<sup>15</sup> In PubMed, the term “aggressive care” began appearing in the medical literature in the 1990s and peaked in 2020. Over this time period, there was increased national concern about rampant increases in health care spending and evidence of poor quality care.<sup>16,17</sup> While individual physicians and groups of providers can increase revenue by providing services that may be termed “aggressive,” health care reforms in the early 21st century aimed at containing costs put health systems at financial risk for costly services through mechanisms such as paying for hospital admissions with a capped fee based on Diagnosis-Related Groups (DRGs) and fostering the development of Accountable Care Organizations; groups of health care institutions and providers who are jointly responsible for both costs and quality of care.<sup>18,19</sup>

In the care of patients with advanced cancer, dementia, and those with terminal illness, especially when patients are older, “aggressive care” is generally considered by clinicians to be care that results in multiple adverse consequences, which though not consistently defined generally include decreased quality of life and reduced likelihood of experiencing a ‘good death’.<sup>20,21</sup> To our knowledge, the term “aggressive care” is not widely used by the general public, and thus the assumptions about the association between aggressive care and quality of life and death are based on clinicians’ experiences. In fact, a ‘good death’ varies greatly among individuals,<sup>22</sup> and is not necessarily in opposition to “aggressive care” in the minds of patients and families. Despite the tenuous connection between “aggressive care” and quality of life in patients nearing end-of-life, studies of health disparities often posit African American patients’ preferences for “aggressive care” as an underlying cause of differences in end-of-life outcomes [Marcewicz et al, table 5].<sup>23</sup>

The distinction between ordinary and extraordinary measures to prolong life has been made since at least the sixteenth century and was used to conceptualize ethically permissible circumstances under which mechanical ventilation could be discontinued during the development of this technology in the 1950s.<sup>24</sup> *However, this distinction is not objective, it is culturally bound.* What is considered exceptional depends on the resources, experience, healthcare system technology, and cultural values around preserving life, and comfort. Labeling of “extraordinary” life-prolonging technology as “aggressive” or “burdensome” and linking these terms specifically to health care costs simultaneously imbues decisions with moral judgment and sets the stage for limiting their use in the service of containing costs.

Multiple studies have demonstrated that African Americans are, to some degree, more likely than whites to endorse wanting interventions such as feeding tubes, mechanical ventilation, and CPR, and in patients with cancer, to continue chemotherapy in the last months of life.<sup>25–29</sup> However, these same studies confirm that difference in preferences in African Americans and whites are statistically significant, they are often small. For example, desire for mechanical ventilation at end-of-life was found to be 15–24% for African Americans compared with 5–13% of whites, depending on how it was measured.<sup>27,28</sup> This means that at least ¾ of African American patients would not want this type of care at end-of-life.

The use of the term “aggressive care” can contribute to miscommunication between clinicians and African American patients and families in at least two different ways. First, clinicians may conflate the slightly increased likelihood that African Americans prefer care labeled as “aggressive” to mean that the majority of African Americans hold such preferences. Even though the majority of African Americans prefer comfort-oriented care at end-of-life, the literature that describes these preferences is replete with labeling this care as “aggressive” with all the negative connotations of the term including non-curative, costly, and burdensome.<sup>30</sup> This framing may lead to troublesome breakdowns in communication between clinicians and African Americans. Given that the body of literature firmly associates, “aggressive care” and high healthcare expenditure with African Americans, clinicians may misconstrue statements about hope and miracles as a request for “aggressive” end-of-life care, rather than carefully attending to patients’ wishes and providing goal-concordant care that reflects their values.<sup>30</sup> Second, for the minority of African American patients who DO prefer care labeled as “aggressive,” the negative connotations of this term may lead to clinician perception that discussing end-of-life care is more difficult with African American patients and families.<sup>31,32</sup>

## High Rate of Non-Goal-Concordant Care Delivered to African Americans by Healthcare Providers

High quality care for patients with serious illness is defined as ensuring that patients receive medical care that is consistent with *their* values, goals, and preferences.<sup>33</sup> Goals of care conversations are about prognosis, patient and family values, treatment risks, and benefits, and planning for intensity/type of care,<sup>34</sup> and usually occur when curative treatment is no longer the recommendation for a seriously ill patient. Yet, studies have found that *even when African Americans have their goals of care preferences in writing*, they are less likely than whites to have their preferences followed,<sup>34–36</sup> and that clinicians are less likely to document goals of care discussions with African American (and Hispanic) patients and families.<sup>36</sup> Equally egregious is when African American families advocate for their loved ones to receive continued care and are labeled as “difficult.”<sup>37,38</sup> This disregard for the values, goals, and preferences of African Americans has resulted in a higher proportion of African American families who rate the care their loved one received at end-of-life as “non goal-concordant”.<sup>39–41</sup> While challenges remain in measuring and defining goal-concordant care,<sup>42</sup> failure to achieve goal-concordant care is recommended to be viewed as “*a medical error that can harm patients and families*” by Sanders et. Al.<sup>33</sup> In late 2020, Merriam-Webster dictionary altered its current definition of racism, “racial prejudice or discrimination,” to

now include “systemic oppression”.<sup>43</sup> No healthcare provider wants to think of themselves as potentially “racist,” and no healthcare system wants to consider itself as contributing to what is systemic oppression. And yet, the findings of higher rates of non-goal-concordant care, provided to African Americans with serious illness is a clear indicator that racism, whether by individual clinician or system-wide, exists.

## The Belief in God, Hope and Miracles Among Christian African Americans

Based on national data by Pew Research Center, whose data is collected from 10,000 random United States (U.S.) adults who self-select response categories, 59% of U.S. born Black Americans (using the consistent category of by the Pew Research Center based on U.S. born selection – this group would be African Americans) report that religion is very important.<sup>44</sup> Of all Black adults (not using African American because there is no delineation of U.S. Born in the database for this question), seventy-five percent identify as Christian.<sup>45</sup> In the same vein, belief in miracles is prevalent (85%) among Black Americans (see earlier note),<sup>46</sup> a belief rooted in the ancestral traditions of the earliest African slaves. Survival of the treacherous Middle Passage on ships that transported enslaved Africans to the Americas was considered miraculous. When they could not practice their traditional religions, the enslaved Africans resonated with the God of Christianity as a God of miracles. The Biblical miracle narratives were particularly compelling—from the accounts of God’s miraculous deliverance of the Hebrew slaves to the many stories of Jesus’s miraculous works. African American Christians likewise frame their survival and thriving over the past 400 years as miraculous.

While there are multiple religious affiliations that African American Christians belong to, we are basing our discussions on people who self-identify as Christians, which typically means a belief in the teachings of Jesus Christ. However, the majority of African Americans share a historical medical experience that has left them without consistently available, trustworthy medical care for many generations.<sup>51–53</sup> There is a history of medical experimentation on this population<sup>37</sup> and centuries of healthcare disparities in both access to care, as well as in the provision of equitable care.<sup>49,50</sup> Justifiably, this has resulted in a lack of trust in the healthcare system, with the widespread belief that as African Americans they will not receive the same quality of care, nor will their preferences for end-of-life be met or respected by the medical team.<sup>51,52</sup>

Due to this history African American Christians learned to depend on God’s miraculous healing power. Belief in healing is also informed by Biblical scripture which 51% African Americans believe is the literal word of God.<sup>47</sup> Scriptures such as Psalm 41:3—“The LORD sustains them on their sickbed and restores them from their bed of illness”; and Psalm 103:3—“Praise the Lord, my soul, and forget not all his benefits—who forgives all your sins and heals all your diseases...” are among the texts that are often frequently identified as “healing scriptures” cited during prayers for seriously ill patients.

Testimony services are traditional in African American churches, during which participants “testify” or share stories about their experiences of God’s faithfulness.<sup>52</sup> Participants often share “praise reports” when they or other friends and family members recover or show

improvement amid serious illnesses. A frequent theme in these accounts contrasts their faith in God “who is still in the miracle-working business” versus their long-standing distrust of physicians. The combination of strong trust in God, belief in Miracles, and distrust of clinicians may inform the decision of a seriously ill African American Christian patient to request continued high levels of medical intervention even when recommendations call for supportive care. Given that the majority of African Americans endorse desiring a comfort-oriented plan of care at end-of-life, allowing space and support for African American Christian patients to absorb and process clinical information through their preferred spiritual lens is critical to providing goal-concordant care.

## The Intersection of Religion, Spirituality and Lack of Trust in the Health Care System at End-of-life

Religion, spirituality, culture, and social identity are clearly unique concepts yet there is overlap/points of intersection, especially during goals of care discussions. Religion has long served as an emotionally stabilizing factor that has contributed to the mental and physical well-being of its people in the African American Christian community.<sup>51,52,54–56</sup> Religion has traditionally instilled faith and bred continuous hope for the journey of its members. *This same hope is prevalent in this population when confronted with chronic and terminal illness and ultimately becomes a mechanism for medical decision-making.*<sup>52,55–59</sup> Hope supports the cultural and spiritual belief in vitalism, the idea of a long, fully lived life in this community which *rejects the idea of hastening death.*<sup>51,52,57,60</sup> Hope encourages the continuous desire for life-sustaining treatment and *ultimately believes in the providential nature of God to determine their outcome and not the health care system.*<sup>51,52,55,57–59</sup> For some African American Christian’s a family-centered approach to medical decision-making may incorporate the family’s religious leader for guidance and the fervent use of prayer.<sup>59</sup> Overall, what African American Christians, just like all African Americans, need is protected and respected time to have critical conversations with those in their networks about their end-of-life care goals.

## Recommendations for Clinicians and Healthcare Systems in Providing Goal-Concordant and Non-Racist Care:

Based on what we have presented here, we are asking clinicians and health care centers to make the diverse perspectives of African Americans the central axis around which solutions to this problem are found. Ultimately, we seek to encourage clinicians to approach these patients as individuals who belong to a strong culture but possess various experiences that make them unique. Thus, we first ask that clinicians start by *moving away* from the negative labeling of end-of-life care, as “aggressive,” and *lean towards* selecting language that demonstrates respect and understanding of the culture and beliefs of the African American Christian patient, while balancing the professional medical ethic to Do No Harm. Additionally, we recommend avoiding using “aggressive” care with any patient, as the term itself is negative and places patients and families at odds with the medical team, thereby limiting goals of care discussions. One method of achieving this balance is by engaging in critical reflection of bias and role performance.<sup>61</sup> This can be supported with data from

implicit bias training,<sup>62</sup> which is crucial to personal and professional ownership of how clinicians treat patients who differ from oneself. This process of reflection also allows clinicians to develop a sense of *prognostic humility*, which acknowledges that prognosis is based on clinical indicators and prediction models that can imperfectly predict outcomes based on mostly objective criteria, which rarely incorporates a comprehensive view of the patient within the context of their resilience, resources, or resolve.<sup>63</sup> Sharing prognostic information in a culturally-sensitive manner<sup>64</sup> is welcomed<sup>60</sup> *as long as it is done in a way that respects the patient and family's right to maintain 'hope' and gives room for their belief in 'miracles.'* Accomplishing this task is complex and requires training, observation, and a willingness to improve the communication process. Clinicians who are willing to be fully present, are respectful, and acknowledge how this will impact care choices can bridge a cultural divide in the care and treatment of the patient.<sup>26,52,55,57,66</sup> Clinicians should also respond to medical mistrust and understand how it contributes to medical decision-making.<sup>52,55,57,66</sup> Building trust takes time and includes *proof* (not only words) of true caring and respectful listening. To begin this cycle of trust building, it is key that providers exhibit patience, are respectful of hesitation in decision-making, and above all, do not counter lack of trust with defensiveness or disagreement. When clinicians approach patients with sensitivity, humility, and curiosity, they are better equipped to provide goal-concordant care that honors the patient's beliefs and begins to redress the impact of long-standing racism in health care.

Health care systems have a key role to play in this redress. First and foremost, there should be devoted time for clinicians to have frequent goals of care conversations with patients and families throughout each admission. Goals of care and treatment preferences may change as priorities shift as end-of-life nears; frequent conversations allow for full discussion and understanding between all those invested in the patient's care.<sup>67,68</sup> These conversations should be patient and family driven and centered on the idea that African Americans are not a monolith, and while we have presented an important aspect of life for many African American Christians, not all will make decisions from a biblical frame. In addition, including families to the greatest extent possible is also advisable, even if the patient has decision-making capacity, so clinicians can assist the family through their own conflicting goals or values for care. Vital to the success of this work is incorporating African American stakeholders who live and work in the community as ambassadors to the healthcare system.<sup>69,70</sup> Within these positions, diverse African Americans can serve as community gatekeepers, on bioethics committees and reorganizational boards, or give their perspectives on quality improvement projects. The lived experience of these community members serves as a knowledge base on what the community needs and expects from clinicians within the healthcare system during serious illness and as end-of-life approaches.<sup>69,70</sup> Not only will the healthcare system gain valuable insights on equitable care, but their participation also has the potential to increase community trust in the healthcare system and its providers.

## Conclusion

We have demonstrated how labeling care at end-of-life as “aggressive”, used more often in describing end-of-life care of African Americans than for other groups, discounts the deeply held beliefs in God, hope and miracles for some African American Christians. It is

our hope that by providing insight and understanding of the beliefs, their origins, and the substantive importance of scripture and faith to the African American Christian community, we have increased awareness among clinicians who care for patients who are seriously ill. By implementing our recommendations to clinicians and healthcare systems, the dialogue on this issue can begin with the overriding goal of all African Americans receiving equitable levels of goal-concordant care at end-of-life.

## Funding:

Dr. Gazaway receives funding from an unnumbered Dean Scholar Award supported by the University of Alabama at Birmingham School and Nursing and the Dr. Chuang receives funding from the National Institute of Minority Health and Health Disparities (K23MD015277). The authors declare that funders had no role in the development, review, or revision of this manuscript.

## References

1. Lusignani M, Gianni ML, Re LG, Buffon ML. Moral distress among nurses in medical, surgical and intensive-care units. *J Nurs Manag.* 2017;25(6):477–485. [PubMed: 27726233]
2. Peter E, Mohammed S, Simmonds A. Narratives of aggressive care: Knowledge, time, and responsibility. *Nurs Ethics.* 2014;21(4):461–472. [PubMed: 24106260]
3. Cain CL, Surbone A, Elk R, Kagawa-Singer M. Culture and palliative care: Preferences, communication, meaning, and mutual decision making. *J Pain Symptom Manage.* 2018;55(5):1408–1419. [PubMed: 29366913]
4. Balboni TA, Balboni M, Enzinger AC, et al. Provision of spiritual support to patients with advanced cancer by religious communities and associations with medical care at the end of life. *JAMA Intern Med.* 2013;173(12):1109–1117. [PubMed: 23649656]
5. Elk R, Emanuel L, Hauser J, Bakitas M, Levkoff S. Developing and testing the feasibility of a culturally based tele-palliative care consult based on the cultural values and preferences of southern, Rural African American and White community members: A program by and for the community. *Health Equity.* 2020;4(1):52–83. [PubMed: 32258958]
6. Miccinesi G, Caraceni A, Garetto F, et al. The path of Cicely Saunders: The “peculiar beauty” of palliative care. *J Palliat Care.* 2020;35(1):3–7. doi:10.1177/0825859719833659 [PubMed: 30871411]
7. Ford CL, Airhihenbuwa CO. Critical Race Theory, race equity, and public health: toward antiracism praxis. *Am J Public Health.* 2010;100 Suppl 1(Suppl 1):S30–35. [PubMed: 20147679]
8. Rogers KD, Ernst R, Shulman I, Reisinger KS. Effectiveness of aggressive follow-up on Navajo infant health and medical care use. *Pediatrics.* 1974;53(5):721–725. [PubMed: 4826728]
9. Sperling DR, Verska JJ. Rubella syndrome—cardiovascular manifestations and surgical therapy in infants. *Calif. Med.* 1966;105(5):340. [PubMed: 5957430]
10. Berlowitz DR, Ash AS, Hickey EC, Glickman M, Friedman R, Kader B. Hypertension management in patients with diabetes: the need for more aggressive therapy. *Diabetes Care.* 2003;26(2):355–359. [PubMed: 12547862]
11. Giugliano RP, Camargo CA, Lloyd-Jones DM, et al. Elderly patients receive less aggressive medical and invasive management of unstable angina: potential impact of practice guidelines. *Arch. Intern. Med.* 1998;158(10):1113–1120. [PubMed: 9605783]
12. Whitmore RG, Thawani JP, Grady MS, Levine JM, Sanborn MR, Stein SC. Is aggressive treatment of traumatic brain injury cost-effective? *J. Neurosurg.* 2012;116(5):1106–1113. [PubMed: 22394292]
13. Falchook AD, Dusetzina SB, Tian F, Basak R, Selvam N, Chen RC. Aggressive end-of-life care for metastatic cancer patients younger than age 65 years. *JNCI.* 2017;109(9).
14. Bylicki O, Didier M, Riviere F, Margery J, Grassin F, Chouaid C. Lung cancer and end-of-life care: a systematic review and thematic synthesis of aggressive inpatient care. *BMJ Support Palliat Care.* 2019 Dec;9(4):413–424. doi: 10.1136/bmjspcare-2019-001770.



15. Earle CC, Neville BA, Landrum MB, Souza JM, Weeks JC, Block SD, Grunfeld E, Ayanian JZ. Evaluating claims-based indicators of the intensity of end-of-life cancer care. *Int J Qual Health Care*. 2005 Dec;17(6):505–9. doi: 10.1093/intqhc/mzi061. [PubMed: 15985505]
16. Institute of Medicine (US) Committee on Quality of Health Care in America. *Crossing the Quality Chasm: A New Health System for the 21st Century*. Washington (DC): National Academies Press (US); 2001. PMID: 25057539.
17. Cutler DM, What is the US health spending problem?. *Health Affairs*, 2018 37:3, 493–497. [PubMed: 29443597]
18. Grudzen CR, Richardson LD, Major-Monfried H, Kandarian B, Ortiz JM, Morrison RS. Hospital administrators' views on barriers and opportunities to delivering palliative care in the emergency department. *Ann Emerg Med*. 2013 Jun;61(6):654–60. doi: 10.1016/j.annemergmed.2012.06.008. [PubMed: 22771203]
19. Morrison RS. Better care, better bottom line for hospitals. *Medscape J Med*. 2008;10(12):274. [PubMed: 19242580]
20. Gazaway S, Stewart M, Schumacher A. Integrating palliative care into the chronic illness continuum: a conceptual model for minority populations. *J Racial Ethn Health Disparities*. 2019;6(6):1078–1086. [PubMed: 31250371]
21. Mor V, Wagner TH, Levy C, et al. Association of expanded VA hospice care with aggressive care and cost for veterans with advanced lung cancer. *JAMA oncology*. 2019;5(6):810–816. [PubMed: 30920603]
22. Krikorian A, Maldonado C, Pastrana T. Patient's perspectives on the notion of a good death: A systematic review of the literature. *J Pain Symptom Manage*. 2020 Jan;59(1):152–164. doi: 10.1016/j.jpainsymman.2019.07.033. [PubMed: 31404643]
23. Marcewicz L, Kunihiro SK, Curseen KA, Johnson K, Kavalieratos D. Application of Critical Race Theory in palliative care research: A scoping review. *J Pain Symptom Manage*. Jun 2022;63(6):e667–e684. doi:10.1016/j.jpainsymman.2022.02.018 [PubMed: 35231591]
24. Gillon R. Ordinary and extraordinary means. *BJM (Clinical research ed)*. 1986;292(6515):259.
25. Johnson KS, Kuchibhatla M, Tulsy JA. What explains racial differences in the use of advance directives and attitudes toward hospice care? *J Am Geriatr Soc*. 2008;56(10):1953–1958. [PubMed: 18771455]
26. Barnato AE, Anthony DL, Skinner J, Gallagher PM, Fisher ES. Racial and ethnic differences in preferences for end-of-life treatment. *J Gen Intern Med*. 2009;24(6):695–701 [PubMed: 19387750]
27. Bazargan M, Cobb S, Assari S. End-of-Life wishes among Non-Hispanic Black and White middle-aged and older adults. *J Racial Ethn Health Disparities*. 2021;8(5):1168–1177. [PubMed: 33078334]
28. McKinley ED, Garrett JM, Evans AT, Danis M. Differences in end-of-life decision making among black and white ambulatory cancer patients. *J Gen Intern Med*. 1996;11(11):651–656. [PubMed: 9120650]
29. Blackhall LJ, Frank G, Murphy ST, Michel V, Palmer JM, Azen SP. Ethnicity and attitudes towards life sustaining technology. *Soc Sci Med*. 1999;48(12):1779–1789 [PubMed: 10405016]
30. Dixon J, Karagiannidou M, Knapp M. The effectiveness of advance care planning in improving end-of-life outcomes for people with dementia and their carers: a systematic review and critical discussion. *J Pain Symptom Manage*. 2018;55(1):132–150. e131. [PubMed: 28827062]
31. Rhodes RL, Batchelor K, Lee SC, Halm EA. Barriers to end-of-life care for African Americans from the providers' perspective: opportunity for intervention development. *Am J Hosp Palliat Care*. 2015 Mar;32(2):137–43. doi: 10.1177/1049909113507127. [PubMed: 24097838]
32. Sanders JJ, Johnson KS, Cannady K, Paladino J, Ford DW, Block SD, Sterba KR. From barriers to assets: Rethinking factors impacting advance care planning for African Americans. *Palliat Support Care*. 2019 Jun;17(3):306–313. doi: 10.1017/S147895151800038X. [PubMed: 29869594]
33. Sanders JJ, Curtis JR, Tulsy JA. Achieving goal-concordant care: a conceptual model and approach to measuring serious illness communication and its impact. *J Palliat Med*. 2018;21(S2):S-17–S-27. [PubMed: 29091522]
34. Lin JJ, Smith CB, Feder S, Bickell NA, Schulman-Green D. Patients' and oncologists' views on family involvement in goals of care conversations. *Psycho-Oncol*. 2018;27(3):1035–1041.

35. Noah BA. The role of race in end-of-life care. *J Health Care L & Pol'y*. 2012;15:349.
36. Mack JW, Paulk ME, Viswanath K, Prigerson HG. Racial disparities in the outcomes of communication on medical care received near death. *Arch. Intern. Med.* 2010;170(17):1533–1540. [PubMed: 20876403]
37. Chuang E, Hope AA, Allyn K, Szalkiewicz E, Gary B, Gong MN. Gaps in provision of primary and specialty palliative care in the acute care setting by race and ethnicity. *J Pain Symptom Manage.* 2017;54(5):645–653. e641. [PubMed: 28760524]
38. Gonzalez CM, Lybson ML, Sukhera J. Twelve tips for teaching implicit bias recognition and management. *Med. Teach.* 2021:1–11. [PubMed: 33501860]
39. Mack JW, Weeks JC, Wright AA, Block SD, Prigerson HG. End-of-life discussions, goal attainment, and distress at the end of life: predictors and outcomes of receipt of care consistent with preferences. *J. Clin. Oncol.* 2010;28(7):1203. [PubMed: 20124172]
40. Loggers ET, Maciejewski PK, Paulk E, et al. Racial differences in predictors of intensive end-of-life care in patients with advanced cancer. *J. Clin. Oncol.* 2009;27(33):5559. [PubMed: 19805675]
41. Johnson KS. Racial and ethnic disparities in palliative care. *J. Palliat. Med.* 2013;16(11):1329–1334. [PubMed: 24073685]
42. Ernecoff NC, Wessell KL, Bennett AV, Hanson LC. Measuring goal-concordant care in palliative care research. *J Pain Symptom Manage.* 2021 Sep;62(3):e305–e314. doi: 10.1016/j.jpainsymman.2021.02.030. Epub 2021 Mar 3. PMID: 33675919; PMCID: PMC9082654. [PubMed: 33675919]
43. Racism. In. Merriam Webster Dictionary;2021.
44. Faith Among Black Americans. Washington, D.C. : Pew Research Center;2021. <https://www.pewforum.org/2021/02/16/religious-affiliation-and-congregations/>
45. 2008 U.S. Religious Landscape Survey: Religious Beliefs and Practices. Washington, D.C. : Pew Research Center;2008.
46. Jaiswal J, Halkitis PN. Towards a more inclusive and dynamic understanding of medical mistrust informed by science. *J. Behav. Med.* 2019;45(2):79–85.
47. Wells L, Gowda A. A legacy of mistrust: African Americans and the US healthcare system. *Proceedings of UCLA Health.* 2020;24.
48. Williamson LD, Bigman CA, Quick BL. A qualitative examination of African Americans' Organ Donation-Related Medical Mistrust Beliefs. *Howard J. Commun.* 2019;30(5):430–445.
49. Babatunde OA, Eberth JM, Felder T, et al. Social determinants of racial disparities in breast cancer mortality among black and white women. *J Racial Ethn Health Disparities.* 2021;8(1):147–156. [PubMed: 32385849]
50. Nicholas SB, Kalantar-Zadeh K, Norris KC. Racial disparities in kidney disease outcomes. Paper presented at: Seminars in nephrology 2013.
51. Johnson KS, Elbert-Avila KI, Tulsy JA. The influence of spiritual beliefs and practices on the treatment preferences of African Americans: a review of the literature. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* 2005;53(4):711–719. [PubMed: 15817022]
52. Wicher CP, Meeker MA. What influences African American end-of-life preferences? *J Health Care Poor Underserved.* 2012;23(1):28–58. [PubMed: 22643461]
53. Gates JHL, McGee D, Holman S, Bryson C, Harris S. *The Black Church: This is Our Story, this is Our Song.* In. Washington, DC: PBS; 2021.
54. Boyd-Franklin N. Incorporating spirituality and religion into the treatment of African American clients. *Couns. Psychol.* 2010;38(7):976–1000.
55. Figueroa LR, Davis B, Baker S, Bunch JB. The influence of spirituality on health care-seeking behaviors among African Americans. *Abnf j.* 2006;17(2):82–88. [PubMed: 18402349]
56. Holt CL, Wang MQ, Clark EM, Williams BR, Schulz E. Religious involvement and physical and emotional functioning among African Americans: The mediating role of religious support. *Psychol Health.* 2013;28(3):267–283. [PubMed: 22928653]
57. Reese DJ, Ahern RE, Nair S, O'Faire JD, Warren C. Hospice access and use by African Americans: addressing cultural and institutional barriers through participatory action research. *Social work.* 1999;44(6):549–559. [PubMed: 10568027]

58. Kennard CL. Undying hope. *J. Palliat. Med.* 2016;19(2): 129–130. [PubMed: 26840846]
59. Lewis LM. Spiritual assessment in African-Americans: A review of measures of spirituality used in health research. *J. Relig. Health.* 2008;47(4):458–475. [PubMed: 19093674]
60. Kagawa-Singer M, Dadia AV, Yu MC, Surbone A. Cancer, culture, and health disparities: time to chart a new course? *CA Cancer J Clin.* 2010;60(1):12–39. [PubMed: 20097836]
61. Elk R. The first step is recognizing, acknowledging, and respecting the inequity, disrespect, and disregard our African American patients have experienced. *J. Palliat. Med.* 2016;19(2):124–125. [PubMed: 26840843]
62. Zeidan A, Tiballi A, Woodward M, Di Bartolo IM. Targeting implicit bias in medicine: lessons from art and archaeology. *West J Emerg Med.* 2020;21(1):1. [PubMed: 33052811]
63. Cowling III WR, Smith MC, Watson J. The power of wholeness, consciousness, and caring a dialogue on nursing science, art, and healing. *ANS Adv Nurs Sci.* 2008;31(1):E41–E51. [PubMed: 20531260]
64. Ingersoll LT, Alexander SC, Priest J, et al. Racial/ethnic differences in prognosis communication during initial inpatient palliative care consultations among people with advanced cancer. *Patient Educ Couns.* 2019;102(6):1098–1103. [PubMed: 30642715]
65. Levin J, Chatters LM, Taylor RJ. Religion, health and medicine in African Americans: implications for physicians. *J Natl Med Assoc.* 2005;97(2):237. [PubMed: 15712787]
66. Waters CM. Understanding and supporting African Americans' perspectives of end-of-life care planning and decision making. *Qual. Health Res.* 2001;11(3):385–398. [PubMed: 11339081]
67. Thompkins JD. Thompkins' response to Morrison: Advance directives/care planning: Clear, simple, and wrong (DOI: [10.1089/jpm.2020.0272](https://doi.org/10.1089/jpm.2020.0272)). *J Palliat Med.* Jan 2021;24(1):7. doi:10.1089/jpm.2020.0488 [PubMed: 32790565]
68. Brinkman-Stoppelenburg A, Rietjens JA, van der Heide A. The effects of advance care planning on end-of-life care: a systematic review. *Palliat Med.* Sep 2014;28(8):1000–25. doi:10.1177/0269216314526272 [PubMed: 24651708]
69. Elk R, Gazaway S. Engaging social justice methods to create palliative care programs that reflect the cultural values of African American patients with serious illness and their families: A path towards health equity. *J Law Med Ethics.* 2021;49(2):222–230. doi:10.1017/jme.2021.32 [PubMed: 34924058]
70. Spencer J, Gilmore B, Lodenstein E, Portela A. A mapping and synthesis of tools for stakeholder and community engagement in quality improvement initiatives for reproductive, maternal, newborn, child and adolescent health. *Health Expect.* Jun 2021;24(3):744–756. doi:10.1111/hex.13237 [PubMed: 33794046]

**Key Message:**

Labeling care at end-of-life as “aggressive” discounts the deeply held beliefs of African American Christians. By focusing on the perspectives of this group clinicians will understand the importance of respecting their religious values. The focus on providing equitable goal-concordant care is the goal.

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript