



Published in final edited form as:

Brain Struct Funct. 2022 December ; 227(9): 2893–2895. doi:10.1007/s00429-022-02585-9.

Brain disconnections refine the relationship between brain structure and function

Aaron D. Boes^{1,2,3,4}, Michel Thiebaut de Schotten^{5,6}

¹Department of Pediatrics, University of Iowa Carver College of Medicine, Iowa City, IA 52242, USA

²Department of Neurology, University of Iowa Carver College of Medicine, Iowa City, IA 52242, USA

³Department of Psychiatry, University of Iowa Carver College of Medicine, Iowa City, IA 52242, USA

⁴Iowa Neuroscience Institute, University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA 52242, USA

⁵Brain Connectivity and Behaviour Laboratory, Sorbonne Universities, Paris, France

⁶Groupe d'Imagerie Neurofonctionnelle, Institut Des Maladies Neurodégénératives-UMR 5293, CNRS, CEA University of Bordeaux, Bordeaux, France

Efforts to understand the relationship between brain structure and function within the framework of brain networks are not new, far from it. This work began more than a century ago. Carl Wernicke interpreted receptive aphasia in the context of a distributed language network with specialized processing nodes almost 150 years ago (Wernicke 1874). The functional effects of focal pathology on anatomically remote but connected brain areas were conceptualized more than 100 years ago (Von Monakow and Harris 1914; Carrera and Tononi 2014). And a modern understanding of how a brain lesion impacts a distributed network to produce behavioral effects was written more than 30 years ago (Geschwind 1965a, b; Damasio and Damasio 1989). So why is there a surge of interest in the network effects of brain lesions now? Why is a century-old topic in neuroscience suddenly thrust into the spotlight as a hot new topic today?

There are many possible explanations. Perhaps the field of cognitive neuroscience is re-assessing our methodological approaches after an over-exuberant emphasis on functional MRI over the last 30 years. At its peak, it was debated whether fMRI may obviate the need for lesion studies (Rorden and Karnath 2004). But challenges with replication and the underwhelming clinical translation of fMRI findings have hinted that just the opposite is true (Kullmann 2020; Marek et al. 2022). There are distinct advantages to supplementing correlational findings with lesion studies and other methods that allow more robust causal inferences (Siddiqi et al. 2022; Forkel et al. 2022). In this context, the recent surge in lesion studies is addressing a call to rectify the over-reliance on fMRI in recent decades. Another possible explanation relates to the rapid progress of therapeutic brain stimulation

✉ Aaron D. Boes, aaron-boes@uiowa.edu, ✉ Michel Thiebaut de Schotten, michel.thiebaut@gmail.com.

for otherwise refractory neurological and psychiatric disorders. One could argue that the potential benefits of accurately elucidating the functional neuroanatomy of the human brain have never been higher; each discovery has the potential to inform a novel therapeutic target or improve upon an existing one. While these explanations likely contributed, the most critical factor contributing to the current renaissance of lesion studies and the investigation of the network effects of focal brain lesions relates, in our view, to new resources available to neuroscientists today to map brain connectivity.

The term “connectome” refers to a comprehensive mapping of the structural and functional connectivity of the human brain (for a historical review see Catani et al. 2013). The Human Connectome Project (<http://www.humanconnectome.org/>), The Human Brain Project (<http://www.humanbrainproject.eu/>), and other major international initiatives aspire toward this goal. Worldwide, researchers now have access to rich datasets with high-quality imaging data that can be analyzed to construct maps of the brain’s macroscopic wiring diagram, including white matter tracts derived from diffusion MRI and functional connectivity networks derived from correlated patterns of BOLD activity among brain regions. These large datasets have propelled greater collaboration of neuroscientists with data scientists and mathematicians to elucidate the network organization of the brain. The results of these efforts are beginning to transform human neuroscience.

Progress in connectome research has paved the way for the disconnectome. This relatively recently coined term refers to the pathological disruption of the connectome and the manifestations of that disruption observed in brain structure, function, and behavior (Thiebaut de Schotten et al. 2015, 2020). The tremendous growth in this line of research over the last decade has motivated this special issue. Investigators have shown considerable ingenuity in combining different methods and imaging modalities to accelerate the rate of discovery in disconnectome research. This special issue serves to coalesce several exciting research lines by leading investigators. We have arranged the articles of this special issue into four groupings that are not mutually exclusive.

First are articles that directly measure disconnection in the human brain in individuals with brain lesions. These analyses highlight the diversity of disconnectome research in including lesions of different etiologies (tumors, stroke, neurodegeneration) and measurements of disconnection using different modalities, including diffusion MRI, functional connectivity MRI, and EEG (Russo et al. 2022; Saviola et al. 2022; Gallina et al. 2022; Sedghizadeh et al. 2022; Godefroy et al. 2022; Toba et al. 2022; Zhu et al. 2022; Bassignana et al. 2022; Egorova-Brumley et al. 2022; De Luca et al. 2022). Second, are articles that indirectly measure disconnections in the brain by inferring disruptions based on imaging from large groups of healthy individuals with high-quality imaging or brain atlases (Thiebaut de Schotten et al. 2015; Boes et al. 2015). Articles in this category include: (Souter et al. 2022; Conrad et al. 2022; Sperber et al. 2022; Hajhajate et al. 2022; Tomaiuolo et al. 2022; Dulyan et al. 2022; Yeager et al. 2022). As with any new field, there are many opportunities to refine and improve the methods of disconnectome mapping. The relative strengths and weaknesses of direct versus indirect measurement of disconnection are unclear and require additional investigation. Further, when using indirect methods, there are many open questions, like the relative value of structural versus functional connectivity (Reber et al. 2021; Salvalaggio et

al. 2020; Thiebaut de Schotten et al. 2014), how these different modalities can be combined (Bowren et al. 2022), and how much the specific normative datasets used influences the results. The third grouping of articles directly addresses these important methodological questions (Silvestri et al. 2022; Cotovio et al. 2022; Souter et al. 2022; Sperber et al. 2022).

Finally, there is optimism that this line of research will clarify our understanding of lesion syndromes. Suppose we have unprecedented information about the relationship between brain lesions and network dysfunction. In that case, it is reasonable to be hopeful that new insights will emerge regarding the underlying mechanisms of lesion-associated behavioral deficits. This optimistic view is supported in three articles that use insights from disconnectome research to formulate new theoretical insights on brain structure and function. This includes motor awareness (Pacella and Moro 2022) personal neglect (Bertagnoli et al. 2022) and a broad look at the role of networks in understanding brain structure–function relationships (Siegel et al. 2022).

We are grateful to Susan Sesack, Co-Editor-in-Chief of *Brain Structure and Function* (along with co-editor of this issue, Michel Thiebaut de Schotten), for the opportunity to develop this special issue. We are encouraged by the outstanding contributions of many leaders in the field. It is an exciting time where long-standing questions of the nineteenth and twentieth centuries about how networks contribute to brain function and behavior are becoming increasingly amenable to experimental investigation using imaging tools of the twenty-first century. We are hopeful this special issue will help to increase awareness of the many exciting areas of progress in mapping the disconnectome and uncovering the neural substrates of behavior.

Acknowledgements

ADB is funded by the National Institute of Health (NINDS R01NS114405) and the Roy J. Carver Trust. MTS is funded by the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union’s Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (grant agreement no. 818521 to M.T.d.S.) and the “Investments for the Future” program RRI “IMPACT” which received financial support from the French government.

References

- Bassignana G, Lacidogna G, Bartolomeo P, Colliot O, De Vico Fallani F (2022) The impact of aging on human brain network target controllability. *Brain Struct Funct*
- Bertagnoli S, Pacella V, Rossato E, Jenkinson PM, Fotopoulou A, Scandola M, Moro V (2022) Disconnections in personal neglect. *Brain Struct Funct*. 10.1007/s00429-022-02511-z
- Boes AD, Prasad S, Liu H, Liu Q, Pascual-Leone A, Caviness VS, Fox MD (2015) Network localization of neurological symptoms from focal brain lesions. *Brain* 138(10):3061–3075. 10.1093/brain/awv228 [PubMed: 26264514]
- Bowren M, Bruss J, Manzel K, Edwards D, Liu C, Corbetta M, Tranel D, Boes AD (2022) Post-stroke outcomes predicted from multivariate lesion-behaviour and lesion network mapping. *Brain* 145(4):1338–1353. 10.1093/brain/awac010 [PubMed: 35025994]
- Carrera E, Tononi G (2014) Diaschisis: past, present, future. *Brain* 137(9):2408–2422. 10.1093/brain/awu101 [PubMed: 24871646]
- Catani M, Thiebaut de Schotten M, Slater D, Dell’Acqua F (2013) Connectomic approaches before the connectome. *Neuroimage* 80:2–13. 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2013.05.109 [PubMed: 23735262]
- Conrad J, Boegle R, Ruehl RM, Dieterich M (2022) Evaluating the rare cases of cortical vertigo using disconnectome mapping. *Brain Struct Funct*. 10.1007/s00429-022-02530-w

- Cotovio G, Faro Viana F, Fox MD, Oliveira-Maia AJ (2022) Lesion network mapping of mania using different normative connectomes. *Brain Struct Funct.* 10.1007/s00429-022-02508-8
- Damasio H, Damasio AR (1989) *Lesion analysis in neuropsychology.* Oxford University Press, New York
- De Luca A, Kuijf H, Exalto L et al. (2022) Multimodal tract-based MRI metrics outperform whole brain markers in determining cognitive impact of small vessel disease-related brain injury. *Brain Struct Funct* 227:2553–2567. 10.1007/s00429-022-02546-2 [PubMed: 35994115]
- Dulyan L, Talozzi L, Pacella V, Corbetta M, Forkel SJ, Thiebaut de Schotten M (2022) Longitudinal prediction of motor dysfunction after stroke: a disconnectome study. *Brain Struct Funct.* 10.1007/s00429-022-02589-5
- Egorova-Brumley N, Liang C, Khlif M, Brodtmann A (2022) White matter microstructure and verbal fluency. *Brain Struct Funct*
- Forkel SJ, Labache L, Nachev P, Thiebaut de Schotten M, Hesling I (2022) Stroke disconnectome decodes reading networks. *Brain Struct Funct.* 10.1007/s00429-022-02575-x
- Gallina J, Zanon M, Mikulan E, Pietrelli M, Gambino S, Ibáñez A, Bertini C (2022) Alterations in resting-state functional connectivity after brain posterior lesions reflect the functionality of the visual system in hemianopic patients. *Brain Struct Funct.* 10.1007/s00429-022-02502-0
- Geschwind N (1965a) Disconnexion syndromes in animals and man-Part I. *Brain* 88:237–294 [PubMed: 5318481]
- Geschwind N (1965b) Disconnexion syndromes in animals and man-Part II. *Brain* 88:585–644 [PubMed: 5318824]
- Godefroy V, Batrancourt B, Charron S, Bouzigues A, Bendetowicz D, Carle G, Rametti-Lacroux A, Bombois S, Cognat E, Migliaccio R, Levy R (2022) Functional connectivity correlates of reduced goal-directed behaviors in behavioural variant fronto-temporal dementia. *Brain Struct Funct.* 10.1007/s00429-022-02519-5
- Hajhajate D, Kaufmann BC, Liu J, Siuda-Krzywicka K, Bartolomeo P (2022) The connectional anatomy of visual mental imagery: evidence from a patient with left occipito-temporal damage. *Brain Struct Funct.* 10.1007/s00429-022-02505-x
- Kullmann DM (2020) Editorial *Brain* 143(4):1045–1045. 10.1093/brain/awaa082 [PubMed: 32318734]
- Marek S, Tervo-Clemmens B, Calabro FJ et al. (2022) Reproducible brain-wide association studies require thousands of individuals. *Nature* 603:654–660. 10.1038/s41586-022-04492-9 [PubMed: 35296861]
- Von Monakow C, Harris G (1914) Die Lokalisation im Grosshirn: Und der Abbau der Funktion durch kortikale Herde. In: Pribam KH (ed) *Brain and behavior I: mood states and mind*, 1969th edn. Penguin, Baltimore, pp 27–36
- Pacella V, Moro V (2022) Motor awareness: a model based on neurological syndromes. *Brain Struct Funct.* 10.1007/s00429-022-02558-y
- Reber J, Hwang K, Bowren M, Bruss J, Mukherjee P, Tranel D, Boes AD (2021) Cognitive impairment after focal brain lesions is better predicted by damage to structural than functional network hubs. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA.* 10.1073/pnas.2018784118
- Rorden C, Karnath H-O (2004) Using human brain lesions to infer function: a relic from a past era in the fMRI age? *Nat Rev Neurosci* 5(10):813–819. 10.1038/nrn1521 [PubMed: 15378041]
- Russo AW, Stockel KE, Tobyne SM, Ngamsombat C, Brewer K, Nummenmaa A, Huang SY, Klawiter EC (2022) Associations between corpus callosum damage, clinical disability, and surface-based homologous inter-hemispheric connectivity in multiple sclerosis. *Brain Struct Funct.* 10.1007/s00429-022-02498-7
- Salvalaggio A, De Filippo Grazia De M, Zorzi M, Thiebaut de Schotten M, Corbetta M (2020) Post-stroke deficit prediction from lesion and indirect structural and functional disconnection. *Brain.* 10.1093/brain/awaa156
- Saviola F, Zigiotta L, Novello L, Zacà D, Annicchiario L, Corsini F, Rozzanigo U, Papagno C, Jovicich J, Sarubbo S (2022) The role of the default mode network in longitudinal functional brain reorganization of brain gliomas. *Brain Struct Funct.* 10.1007/s00429-022-02490-1

- Sedghizadeh MJ, Aghajan H, Vahabi Z, Fatemi SN, Afzal A (2022) Network synchronization deficits caused by dementia and Alzheimer's disease serve as topographical biomarkers: a pilot study. *Brain Struct Funct.* 10.1007/s00429-022-02554-2
- Siddiqi SH, Kording KP, Parvizi J, Fox MD (2022) Causal mapping of human brain function. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 23(6):361–375. 10.1038/s41583-022-00583-8 [PubMed: 35444305]
- Siegel JS, Shulman GL, Corbetta M (2022) Mapping correlated neurological deficits after stroke to distributed brain networks. *Brain Struct Funct.* 10.1007/s00429-022-02525-7
- Silvestri E, Villani U, Moretto M, Colpo M, Salvalaggio A, Anglani M, Castellaro M, Facchini S, Monai E, D'Avella D, Della Puppa A, Cecchin D, Corbetta M, Bertoldo A (2022) Assessment of structural disconnections in gliomas: comparison of indirect and direct approaches. *Brain Struct Funct.* 10.1007/s00429-022-02494-x
- Souter NE, Wang X, Thompson H, Krieger-Redwood K, Halai AD, Lambon Ralph MA, Thiebaut de Schotten M, Jefferies E (2022) Mapping lesion, structural disconnection, and functional disconnection to symptoms in semantic aphasia. *Brain Struct Funct.* 10.1007/s00429-022-02526-6
- Sperber C, Griffis J, Kasties V (2022) Indirect structural disconnection-symptom mapping. *Brain Struct Funct.* 10.1007/s00429-022-02559-x
- Thiebaut de Schotten M, Tomaiuolo F, Aiello M, Merola S, Silvetti M, Lecce F, Bartolomeo P, Doricchi F (2014) Damage to white matter pathways in subacute and chronic spatial neglect: a group study and 2 single-case studies with complete virtual “in vivo” tractography dissection. *Cereb Cortex* 24(3):691–706. 10.1093/cercor/bhs351 [PubMed: 23162045]
- Thiebaut de Schotten M, Foulon C, Nachev P (2020) Brain disconnections link structural connectivity with function and behaviour. *Nat Commun* 11(1):5094. 10.1038/s41467-020-18920-9 [PubMed: 33037225]
- Thiebaut de Schotten M, Dell'Acqua F, Ratiu P, Leslie A, Howells H, Cabanis E, Iba-Zizen MT, Plaisant O, Simmons A, Dronkers NF, Corkin S, Catani M (2015) From Phineas Gage and Monsieur Leborgne to H.M.: revisiting Disconnection Syndromes. *Cereb Cortex* 25(12):4812–4827. 10.1093/cercor/bhv173 [PubMed: 26271113]
- Toba MN, Migliaccio R, Potet A, Pradat-Diehl P, Bartolomeo P (2022) Right-side spatial neglect and white matter disconnection after left-hemisphere strokes. *Brain Struct Funct.* 10.1007/s00429-022-02541-7
- Tomaiuolo F, Raffa G, Campana S, Garufi G, Lasaponara S, Voci L, Cardali SM, Germanò A, Doricchi F, Petrides M (2022) Splenial callosal disconnection in right hemianopic patients induces right visual-spatial neglect. *Brain Sci* 12(5):640. 10.3390/brainsci12050640 [PubMed: 35625026]
- Wernicke C (1874) *Der aphasische symptom-complex : eine psychologische studie auf anatomischer basis.* Springer, Berlin
- Yeager BE, Bruss J, Duffau H et al. (2022) Central precuneus lesions are associated with impaired executive function. *Brain Struct Funct.* 10.1007/s00429-022-02556-0
- Zhu H, Zuo L, Zhu W, Jing J, Zhang Z, Ding L, Wang F, Cheng J, Wu Z, Wang Y, Liu T, Li Z (2022) The distinct disrupted plasticity in structural and functional network in mild stroke with basal ganglia region infarcts. *Brain Imaging Behav.* 10.1007/s11682-022-00689-8