

Corrigendum to Takagi et al. J Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle, 13, 287-295. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jcsm.12906>

In Table 3, the details under the 'Variable' column are incorrect. Under the section 'Sarcopenia', 'with sarcopenia group ($n = 57$)' and 'without sarcopenia group ($n = 57$)' should have been swapped.

The correct details are highlighted in red as shown in the updated Table 3 below:

Table 3 Association of carnitine levels with sarcopenia, nutrition status, surgical outcome

Variable	TC	FC	AC
Sarcopenia	$P = 0.0124$	$P = 0.0243$	$P = 0.102$
Without sarcopenia group ($n = 57$)	63.3 (29–102.5)	52.2 (24.8–93.5)	11 (4.2–24.5)
With sarcopenia group ($n = 57$)	56.6 (25.5–91.2)	46.8 (20.6–77.2)	9.8 (3.9–18.9)
Nutrition status ^a	$P = 0.0003$	$P = 0.0001$	$P = 0.484$
Low PNI group ($n = 66$)	54.3 (25.5–90.8)	44.2 (20.6–69.5)	10.2 (3.9–20.4)
High PNI group ($n = 48$)	64 (38–102.5)	53.4 (29.4–93.5)	10.7 (4.7–19.1)
Surgical outcome	$P = 0.997$	$P = 0.872$	$P = 0.411$
With postoperative complication ($n = 37$)	59.9 (32–90.8)	49.2 (22.8–77.2)	10.9 (5.4–24.5)
Without postoperative complication ($n = 77$)	59.9 (25.5–102.5)	49.6 (20.6–93.5)	10.2 (3.9–20.4)

AC, acylcarnitine FC, free carnitine; PNI, prognostic nutritional index; TC, total carnitine.

^aCut-off value is mean PNI value.