Mol. Cells 2023; 46(3): 153-164 **153**

Received December 28, 2022; revised February 8, 2023; accepted February 9, 2023; published online March 27, 2023

eISSN: 0219-1032

Despite the increase in the success rate of treatment, cancer remains a disease with high recurrence and mortality rates. levels are regulated not only by KEAP, but also by KEAP-inde-

The main cause of failure of cancer treatment is attributed to the heterogeneous cell population within the tumor mass that induces differential sensitivity of individual cancer cells to chemotherapy (Prasetyanti and Medema, 2017; Yung et al., 1982). Along with genetic mutations and epigenetic alterations, intratumoral heterogeneity is ascribed to cancer stem cells (CSCs), a small population of quiescent self-renewing cells within tumors (Lapidot et al., 1994; Prasetyanti and Medema, 2017). CSCs drive metastasis, chemotherapy resistance, and radiation resistance in cancers, which eventually leads to cancer relapse after successful initial treatment (Batlle and Clevers, 2017; Lytle et al., 2018). Currently, extensive efforts are being made to develop novel treatment strategies to target CSCs by identifying key factors and signaling pathways involved in the survival and maintenance of CSCs.

NRF2 (nuclear factor erythroid 2-like 2; NFE2L2) is a master regulator of the expression of genes involved in reactive oxygen species (ROS)/electrophile detoxification, glutathione (GSH) production/regeneration, heme/iron metabolism, NAD(P)H generation, cell metabolism, and drug efflux (Itoh et al., 1997; Tebay et al., 2015; Tonelli et al., 2018). NRF2 activity is primarily regulated by Kelch-like ECH-associated protein 1 (KEAP1). Under normal conditions, two molecules

Steffanus P. Hallis 1 , Jin Myung Kim 1 , and Mi-Kyoung Kwak 1,2,*

¹Department of Pharmacy, Graduate School, The Catholic University of Korea, Bucheon 14662, Korea, ²College of Pharmacy, The Catholic University of Korea, Bucheon 14662, Korea

Emerging Role of NRF2 Signaling in Cancer Stem

https://doi.org/10.14348/molcells.2023.2196

Cancer stem cells (CSCs) are a small population of tumor cells characterized by self-renewal and differentiation capacity. CSCs are currently postulated as the driving force that induces intra-tumor heterogeneity leading to tumor initiation, metastasis, and eventually tumor relapse. Notably, CSCs are inherently resistant to environmental stress, chemotherapy, and radiotherapy due to high levels of antioxidant systems and drug efflux transporters. In this context, a therapeutic strategy targeting the CSC-specific pathway holds a promising cure for cancer. NRF2 (nuclear factor erythroid 2-like 2; NFE2L2) is a master transcription factor that regulates an array of genes involved in the detoxification of reactive oxygen species/ electrophiles. Accumulating evidence suggests that persistent NRF2 activation, observed in multiple types of cancer, supports tumor growth, aggressive malignancy, and therapy resistance. Herein, we describe the core properties of CSCs, focusing on treatment resistance, and review the evidence that demonstrates the roles of NRF2 signaling in conferring unique properties of CSCs and the associated signaling pathways.

Minireview

*Correspondence: mkwak@catholic.ac.kr

Cell Phenotype

www.molcells.org

Keywords: antioxidant system, cancer plasticity, cancer stem cell, NRF2/NFE2L2, therapy resistance

INTRODUCTION

©The Korean Society for Molecular and Cellular Biology.

Molecules and Cells

of the KEAP1 protein interact with an NRF2 protein, which leads to continuous degradation of NRF2 through the Cullin 3-dependent ubiquitin ligase and proteasome system (Baird and Yamamoto, 2020; Itoh et al., 1999). Additionally, NRF2

cc This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 3.0 Unported License. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/.

pendent mechanisms such as, such as the negative regulation by β transducin repeats-containing protein (β-TrCP)/glycogen synthase kinase-3 (GSK3) and positive regulation by competing protein p62 (Tebay et al., 2015). Although NRF2 plays a critical role in cytoprotection against carcinogenesis and chemicals/oxidant-induced tissue injuries, a deleterious role of NRF2 in cancer growth and progression has been observed in numerous types of cancer (Choi et al., 2021; Kitamura and Motohashi, 2018; Lee et al., 2022; Rojo de la Vega et al., 2018). Furthermore, since the expression of antioxidant genes and drug efflux transporters, which are under the control of NRF2, is frequently increased in CSCs, the potential implication of NRF2 signaling in CSCs physiology has been raised (Kahroba et al., 2019; Rojo de la Vega et al., 2018; Ryoo et al., 2016b). Herein, we review current evidence of the role of NRF2 in CSC maintenance, survival, and therapy resistance.

CSC CONCEPT

CSCs were initially described as a cancer cell subpopulation that can increase the tumor CSC pool and differentiate into progenitor cancer cells (Bjerkvig et al., 2005). When asymmetric and symmetric division of CSCs is balanced, tumors are composed of CSCs and most cancer cells; however, when there is a shift to symmetric division, the proportion of CSCs increases and cancer transforms to a more aggressive and undifferentiated high-grade state (Batlle and Clevers, 2017; Lytle et al., 2018). Other terms for CSCs include tumor-initiating cells, tumor-progenitor cells, and cancer stem-like cells (Nguyen et al., 2012). CSCs are currently postulated to be key drivers in initiating tumorigenesis and causing cancer metastasis and recurrence (Lytle et al., 2018). The CSC concept was first tested in acute myeloid leukemia. To identify leukemic-initiating cells, leukemic cells were transplanted into SCID (severe combined immune-deficient) mice, and CD34⁺CD38 fraction of cells was found to be tumor-initiating cells (Lapidot et al., 1994). Subsequently, it was shown that CD34⁺CD38 leukemic cells have the capacity for differentiation, proliferation, and self-renewal (Bonnet and Dick, 1997). Since these studies, surface marker-based cell purification and subsequent transplantation in immunodeficient mice have confirmed functional CSCs in various solid tumors, such as glioma, breast, and colon cancers (Medema, 2013).

The surface markers for CSCs isolated from solid tumors include CD29, CD24, CD44, CD133, leucine-rich repeat-containing G-protein coupled receptor 5 (LGR5), and epithelial cell adhesion molecule (EpCAM) (Yang et al., 2020). CSC marker expression differs for each type of cancer and varies between patient tumors. CD133 was identified as a marker for glioblastoma CSCs (Singh et al., 2004), whereas CD44+ CD24- cell populations were identified as breast CSCs (Ponti et al., 2005). CD44⁺CD49f⁺CD133⁺ cells showed higher tumorigenic capacity than CD44⁺CD24 cells in estrogen receptor-negative breast tumors (Meyer et al., 2010). In addition, the side population (SP) cancer cells, which do not accumulate Hoechst 33343 dye due to high expression of ATP-binding cassette (ABC) transporter ABCG2, possess self-renewal and differentiation capacities (Zhou et al., 2001). Cancer cells with high expression of aldehyde dehydrogenase

1 (ALDH1), a cytosolic enzyme oxidizing aldehyde, correlates with high tumorigenic and metastatic characteristics (Ricardo et al., 2011). The sphere-forming assay has also been used to enrich CSCs; tumor sphere-forming ependymoma cells are multipotent and initiate tumors in mice (Taylor et al., 2005).

Signaling pathways contributing to the survival, maintenance, self-renewal, and differentiation of CSCs are complex; however, some transcription factors and signaling pathways are shared between normal stem cells and CSCs (Table 1). These signaling pathways include the Wnt/β-catenin, neurogenic locus notch homolog protein (NOTCH), and Sonic Hedgehog pathways, which are involved in the self-renewal of CSCs (Yang et al., 2020). Wnt signaling is aberrantly activated in many cancers, such as colorectal cancer and invasive ductal breast cancer, and its activation induces the conversion of dormant CSCs to active CSCs through β-catenin-mediated cell cycle progression and MYC elevation (Giancotti, 2013). Overactivation of NOTCH4 signaling was found in breast CSCs, and NOTCH4 inhibition completely blocked the tumor-initiating ability of CSCs (Harrison et al., 2010). Major transcription factors for pluripotent stem cell conversion, including octamer-binding transcription factor 4 (OCT4). homeobox protein NANOG (NANOG), Krüppel-like factor 4 (KLF4), MYC, and transcription factor SOX-2 (SOX2), are also utilized in CSCs (Yang et al., 2020). The expression of OCT4, a master regulator of cell pluripotency, is high in hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) CSCs and breast CSCs, and high OCT4 levels are associated with self-renewal, tumorigenicity, and chemoresistance of these CSCs (Murakami et al., 2015; Ponti et al., 2005).

CSC AND CANCER PLASTICITY

Earlier studies assumed that the intra-tumor hierarchy was

Table 1. Signaling pathways implicated in CSC property

Signaling pathways	Property	References
NOTCH	Self-renewal, stemness	(Takebe et al., 2015)
Wnt/ β -catenin	Self-renewal. stemness	(Vermeulen et al., 2010)
Hedgehog	Self-renewal. stemness	(Justilien and Fields, 2015)
BMI-1	Self-renewal, stemness	(Kreso et al., 2014)
TWIST	EMT, stemness	(Beck et al., 2015)
ZEB ₁	EMT	(Caramel et al., 2013)
$TGF-B$	EMT, stemness	(Mani et al., 2008)
TAZ	Stemness, EMT	(Cordenonsi et al., 2011)
Nestin	Self-renewal	(Neradil and Veselska, 2015)
p62	Self-renewal	(Li et al., 2021; Umemura et al., 2016)

CSC, cancer stem cell; NOTCH, neurogenic locus notch homolog protein; TGF-β, transforming growth factor β; EMT, epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition.

rigid and unidirectional, with CSCs being viewed as the exclusive source of self-renewal and pluripotency (Chaffer et al., 2011). However, recent studies have suggested that both CSCs and non-CSCs possess plasticity. Isolated stem cell-, basal-, and luminal-like cells from breast cancer cell lines generate two other types of cells and can recompose the original phenotypic equilibrium through stochastic transition (Gupta et al., 2011). Among the three phenotypic cell types, only cells with a stem-like phenotype acquired tumorigenic capacity, which enabled to predict de novo CSC generation from non-CSCs. Selective deletion of LGR5+ CSCs in colorectal organoids could initially restrict tumor growth; however, the organoids regained tumorigenicity due to the re-emergence of LGR5⁺ CSCs (Shimokawa et al., 2017). LGR5⁻ cancer cells have been shown to continuously replenish the LGR5⁺ CSCs pool, which is critical for liver metastasis from colorectal cancers (de Sousa e Melo et al., 2017).

Epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EMT), a phenotypic conversion of epithelial cancer cells to gain mesenchymal properties, such as migration and invasion (Batlle et al., 2000), has garnered substantial attention due to its association with CSCs. The forced EMT phenotype can exacerbate CSC traits, such as tumor-initiating capacity, and CSC-like populations show an increased EMT phenotype in many types of cancer (Batlle and Clevers, 2017). In breast cancer, an increase in the EMT-related transcription factors SNAIL and TWIST not only accelerates the EMT, but also increases the CD44+/CD24 population and mammosphere forming capacity (Mani et al., 2008). In particular, the observations of reversible transition between epithelial and mesenchymal cells and the hybrid EMT phenotype led to the idea that CSC plasticity is attributed to EMT (Gupta et al., 2019; Nieto et al., 2016). The EMT activator zinc finger E-box-binding homeobox 1 (ZEB1) drives the conversion of non-CSCs of basal breast cancers to CSCs, and this phenotypic plasticity is mediated by the change in chromatin configuration of the ZEB1 promoter (Chaffer et al., 2013). In addition to experimental observations, a recent simulation study with mechanism-based mathematical modeling showed that the hybrid epithelial/mesenchymal (hybrid E/M) phenotype appears to have more stemness traits than pure mesenchymal cells (Pasani et al., 2020).

CSC AND THERAPY RESISTANCE

The biggest challenge in cancer treatment is differential sensitivity of intra-tumor cells to anticancer drugs, and the chemotherapy-resistant cells that drive tumor recurrence after the initial treatment are considered CSCs (Fig. 1). This notion is supported by several characteristics of CSCs, including dormancy, upregulation of drug efflux transporters, and enhanced capacity for ROS protection (Nassar and Blanpain, 2016).

Upregulation of ABC transporters

Drug efflux transporters, including ABCB1 (P-glycoprotein; P-gp) and ABCG2 (breast cancer resistance protein; BCRP), are highly expressed in CSCs to maintain a high efflux capacity for anticancer drugs (Dean et al., 2005). Tumor cells with high ABCG2 and ABCA3 levels, isolated from neuroblastoma patients, showed sustained expansion ex vivo and higher survival after cytotoxic drug treatment (Hirschmann-Jax et al., 2004). The lung cancer SP population, which expresses high levels of ABCG2, is highly tumorigenic and resistant to multiple cancer drugs (Ho et al., 2007). Based on these results, ABCB1 and ABCG2 are often used to isolate CSC-enriched cell populations from tumor tissues (Hadnagy et al., 2006; Ho et al., 2007).

Enhanced protection against ROS

Many conventional chemotherapeutic drugs have been reported to increase ROS and electrophile levels lethally, and CSCs cope with these treatments by upregulating the antioxidant system (Nassar and Blanpain, 2016). The relationship between ROS and stem cell quiescence has been demonstrated in normal stem cells. ROS levels were lower in murine embryonic stem cells (ESC) when compared with those in differentiated cells due to high expression of GSH biosynthesis enzymes and thioredoxin (Saretzki et al., 2004). Knockout of Foxo1/Foxo3a/Foxo4 in mice resulted in the depletion of hematopoietic stem cells (HSC) due to ROS elevation (Tothova et al., 2007).

Considerable evidence has shown that ROS is also involved in CSCs physiology. Breast CSCs retain lower levels of ROS than non-tumorigenic cells due to high levels of GSH synthesis enzymes, and the radiation resistance of CSCs was attributed to low ROS levels (Diehn et al., 2009). Treatment with a BCL-2 inhibitor ablated leukemic stem cells by increasing ROS levels through mitochondrial dysfunction (Lagadinou et al., 2013). FOXO3 activation contributes to low ROS levels in leukemic stem cells; therefore, deletion of FOXO3 blocked the leukemia-initiating capacity of leukemic stem cells (Naka et al., 2010). The mammosphere-derived CD44+/CD24 subpopulation maintained lower levels of ROS following radiation, and thus showed higher viability (Phillips et al., 2006). These results indicate that an enhanced ROS coping system could be a critical determinant of CSCs survival upon radiation therapy and chemotherapy.

Therapy-induced CSC expansion

Cancer cells that survive chemotherapy and radiation therapy are the primary cause of tumor relapse, and CSCs are found to be enriched in residual tumors after treatment. The levels of CD133⁺ glioma CSCs are highly increased following radiation in vitro and in human glioma xenografts, and activation of the radiation-induced DNA damage checkpoint pathway was found to be a mechanism of CD133⁺ CSCs enrichment (Bao et al., 2006). Carboplatin treatment induced CSC-like properties in normal HCC, and silencing OCT3/4 and SOX2 blocked this change (Hu et al., 2012). Cancer plasticity and conversion to CSCs following radiation and chemotherapy have been demonstrated. Patient-derived non-breast CSCs can be converted to CSCs following radiation exposure, with concomitant increases in OCT4 and NANOG expression (Lagadec et al., 2012). During EMT in squamous cell carcinoma CSCs, chemoresistance is acquired through conversion to a slow proliferative state (Oshimori et al., 2015). Breast CSCs became more abundant in residual tumor tissue after chemotherapy or endocrine therapy, and an increase in mesen-

Fig. 1. Core properties of cancer stem cells (CSCs). The increase of CSCs in tumor mass changes the low grades tumors into aggressive and undifferentiated tumors. Several surface markers, including CD34*/CD38' in leukemic cancer, CD44*/CD24' in breast cancer, CD133* in glioblastoma, LGR5⁺, and EpCAM⁺ have been used to isolate CSC-enriched population from tumors. Signaling pathways involved in Wnt/β-catenin, NOTCH, and Sonic Hedgehog play roles in cell cycle progression, stemness, and pluripotency of CSCs. CSCs-associated transcription factors include OCT4, NANOG, KLF4, MYC, and SOX2. Therapy resistance is one of core properties of CSCs. The increase of drug efflux transporters, protection against ROS by antioxidant genes upregulation, and DNA checkpoint elevation lead to survival and maintenance of CSCs. EMT, epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition; E/M, epithelial/mesenchymal; EpCAM, epithelial cell adhesion molecule; LGR5, leucine-rich repeat-containing G-protein coupled receptor 5; NOTCH, neurogenic locus notch homolog protein; OCT4, octamer-binding transcription factor 4; NANOG, homeobox protein NANOG; KLF4, Krüppel-like factor 4; ABC, ATP-binding cassette; ROS, reactive oxygen species; GSH, glutathione; TXN, thioredoxin.

chymal gene expression was accompanied (Creighton et al., 2009).

NRF2 SIGNALING AND CANCER

In normal and healthy cells, NRF2 is accepted as a central cytoprotective factor that counteracts ROS/electrophile stress by promoting the removal of deleterious cytotoxic challenges (Baird and Yamamoto, 2020; Cho and Kleeberger, 2020; Taguchi and Kensler, 2020; Tsushima et al., 2020). Extensive efforts have been made to develop therapeutic interventions that increase NRF2 activity to prevent or treat multiple chronic diseases, such as respiratory, cardiovascular, and neurodegenerative diseases, as well as cancer (Cuadrado et al., 2019; Taguchi and Yamamoto, 2020). On the contrary, NRF2 is often overactivated in cancer cells and associated with tumor growth, cancer progression, development of therapy resistance, and poor patient prognosis (Murakami and Motohashi, 2015; Rojo de la Vega et al., 2018). Aberrant NRF2 activation in cancers results from multiple molecular events:

(i) somatic mutations and genomic alterations in NRF2 or KEAP1 (Goldstein et al., 2016; Kitamura and Motohashi, 2018; Wang et al., 2008), (ii) oncogene (K-RAS, B-RAS, MY-C)-directed upregulation of NRF2 (DeNicola et al., 2011), (iii) phosphoinositide 3-kinases (PI3K)/AKT activation-induced NRF2 stabilization (Best et al., 2018), and (iv) elevation of p62/SQSTM1 and competition with NRF2 for KEAP1 binding (Ichimura et al., 2013).

The notion that cancer cells hijack NRF2 signaling to enhance their survival and growth under a stress-rich tumor microenvironment has been consistently supported by numerous reports that show NRF2 inhibition via pharmacological or genetic methods could suppress tumor growth and progression and improve therapy resistance (Zhu et al., 2016). The beneficial effects of persistent activation of NRF2 on cancer cells can be explained in several ways. NRF2-driven cancer survival is attributed to the increased ROS counteracting system (Singh et al., 2010), increased tumor growth as a result of a metabolic shift to facilitate cell proliferation (Mitsuishi et al., 2012; Romero et al., 2017), and inhibition of

Fig. 2. Role of NRF2 in cancer. Aberrant NRF2 activation in cancer is often resulted from NRF2/KEAP1 somatic mutation and binding competition by p62 that results in liberation of NRF2 from KEAP1-mediated degradation system. As KEAP1-independent regulation, NRF2 stabilization is achieved by oncogenes-directed upregulation and persistent activation of PI3K/AKT signaling pathway. NRF2 overactivation increases its target genes expression to counteract ROS imbalance, enhance anticancer drug efflux, and re-direct metabolism to increase survival and proliferation, thus supports tumor growth, progression, and therapy resistance. ROS, reactive oxygen species; ABC, ATPbinding cassette; KEAP1, Kelch-like ECH-associated protein 1; DLG, DLG motif; ETGE, ETGE motif; sMAFs, small MAF proteins; ARE, antioxidant response element; GSH, glutathione; TCA, tricarboxylic acid; PI3K, phosphoinositide 3-kinases; GSK-3, glycogen synthase kinase-3.

mRNA translational regulating factors (Chio et al., 2016). In addition, resistance in NRF2-activated cancers is mediated by the elevated levels of multiple detoxifying enzymes and ABC transporters (Maher et al., 2007) (Fig. 2).

NRF2 SIGNALING IN CSC

NRF2 signaling in normal stem cells

Evidence indicates an association between NRF2 and stemlike traits in normal stem cells. NRF2 was shown to maintain the balance of quiescence and self-renewal of HSCs, and Nrf2 knockout showed an expanded HSCs pool and progenitor cells in mouse bone marrow (Tsai et al., 2013). NRF2 expression was higher in human ESCs than in non-stem cells, and NRF2 levels decreased in the differentiated state (Jang et al., 2014). Knockdown of NRF2 in hESCs has shown that constitutive NRF2 activity is necessary for the self-renewal and pluripotency of ESCs.

In line with these findings, a link between NRF2 and stem cell-related NOTCH signaling has been demonstrated.

NOTCH1 expression was reduced in Nrf2-null cells, and the functional antioxidant response element, an enhancer recognized by the NRF2/sMAF complex, was identified in the murine Notch1 gene. This correlation was further strengthened by an animal study showing delayed liver regeneration in partially hepatectomized Nrf2-knockout mice and the rescue phenotype by NOTCH expression (Wakabayashi et al., 2010). Administration of the NRF2 activator led to NOTCH signaling activation and HPSC reconstitution in irradiated NOTCH reporter mice (Kim et al., 2014). Squamous epithelial cells from the tongue tissues of Keap1-knockout mice showed increased NOTCH and hyperproliferative signaling, confirming a positive correlation between NRF2 and NOTCH (Fan et al., 2017). Interestingly, reciprocal relationship between NRF2 and NOTCH was also observed. Overexpression of the NOTCH intracellular domain (NICD) in mice increases NRF2 expression in enlarged livers, which can be reversed by Nrf2 disruption. NOTCH-mediated NRF2 elevation was found to be a direct effect of NICD on the Nrf2 promoter (Wakabayashi et al., 2014). ROS flux promotes NRF2-mediated self-re-

newal and proliferation of airway basal stem cells (ABSCs), and NOTCH signaling was a downstream mediator of NRF2 in ABSCs self-renewal regulation (Paul et al., 2014).

NRF2 activation in CSC

Recent accumulating evidence has shown that NRF2 is activated in CSCs, and this increase is crucial for maintaining CSC phenotype. In glioma CSCs, NRF2 expression was negatively correlated with the differentiation state, and the knockdown of NRF2 suppressed tumor growth by differentiating glioma CSCs (Zhu et al., 2014). NRF2 was upregulated in de-differentiated breast epithelial cells, which were established by TWIST overexpression, and the protein kinase R-like endoplasmic reticulum kinase (PERK)-mediated NRF2 activation was responsible for chemotherapy resistance of these cells (Del Vecchio et al., 2014). NRF2 levels were highly upregulated in breast cancer spheres, and treatment with brusatol, a chemical inhibitor of NRF2, sensitized breast cancer spheres to taxol treatment (Wu et al., 2015). Similarly, NRF2/ABCG2 expression was increased in mammospheres and colonospheres, and silencing NRF2 gene suppressed sphere growth and enhanced doxorubicin cytotoxicity (Ryoo et al., 2015; 2016a). Activated NRF2 in ROS^{low} head and neck CSCs promotes a metabolic shift to glycolysis and maintains CSC stemness by maintaining low ROS levels (Chang et al., 2018).

Flow cytometry-based CSC isolation also suggests a correlation between CSC and NRF2 activation. Proteome analysis showed that HCC CSCs with CD44 variant 9 (CD44v9) express high levels of NRF2 compared to CD44v9 HCC (Kakehashi et al., 2016). The levels of NRF2 and its target genes are high in the CD44⁺/CD24 breast CSCs population, and NRF2 activation is necessary for CSCs survival and therapy resistance (Ryoo et al., 2018). Colorectal CSCs with CD133⁺ showed high levels of NRF2-driven ABCB1 and ABCG2 expression resulting in drug resistance (Goto et al., 2020; Park et al., 2022). Ovarian CSCs populations with high ALDH expression showed NRF2 signaling activation, and NRF2 silencing blocked CSC traits, such as anchorage-independent growth, migration, sphere formation, therapy resistance, and tumor growth (Kim et al., 2018a). The ALDH⁺ breast CSCs are resistance to radiotherapy and NRF2-mediated ALDH elevation has been reported to contribute to this resistance (Kamble et al., 2021). The EpCAM^{high} cell population is enriched in cisplatin-resistant head and neck squamous cell carcinoma, and high levels of NRF2, mediated by IL-6 elevation and p62 accumulation, are responsible for treatment resistance (Noman et al., 2020). These results indicate that the NRF2 pathway is upregulated in multiple CSC models and plays a crucial role in survival, maintenance, and therapy-resistant CSCs.

Association of NRF2 with CSC signaling

The molecular events that define the role of NRF2 in CSCs signaling have been identified (Fig. 3). In particular, the expression levels of key stemness molecule NOTCH have been linked to NRF2 in multiple types of cancer. Loss of KEAP1, which results in persistent NRF2 activation, increases the self-renewal capacity of head and neck CSCs through NRF2 elevation and subsequently NOTCH signaling activation (Islam et al., 2022). Radiation-induced lung cancer migration depends on NOTCH1 signaling, and NRF2 inhibition suppresses metastasis with concomitant NOTCH1 level reduction (Zhao et al., 2017). In breast cancer cells, carbon monoxide (CO), derived from NRF2/heme oxygenase-1 elevation, stimulates mammosphere formation through upregulation of NOTCH1 expression (Kim et al., 2018b). Constitutive NRF2 activation in KEAP1-mutated lung cancer cells induced unique remodeling of the enhancer of NOTCH3 gene, and the cooperation between CCAAT/enhancer-binding protein β (CEBPB) and NRF2 promoted NOTCH3 elevation, which resulted in enhanced tumor-initiating capacity (Okazaki et al., 2020a). In a subsequent study, NRF2 was found to increase CEBPB expression directly, and NRF2-CEBPB cooperation regulated additional gene sets involved in chemoresistance in NRF2-active lung cancers (Okazaki et al., 2022).

In addition to NOTCH, NRF2 has been reported to contribute to the regulation of other stemness-related molecules in cancers. Activated NRF2 signaling in breast CSCs upregulates forkhead box protein O3 (FOXO3) and downstream BMI-1 expression via reductive stress, resulting in an enhanced self-renewal capacity (Kim et al., 2020). NRF2/FOXM1-mediated upregulation of sulfiredoxin-peroxiredoxin contributes to the stemness and survival of colon CSCs (Escoll et al., 2020; Song et al., 2021). β-Catenin expression was directly enhanced by NRF2; therefore, persistent activation of NRF2/ β-catenin promotes hepatic stem cell proliferation and subsequently initiates tumorigenesis (Fragoulis et al., 2022). NRF2, which is activated in liver tumor-initiating cells, can directly upregulate sonic hedgehog homolog to activate the sonic hedgehog pathway for tumorigenesis (Leung et al., 2020). Nestin, a type IV intermediate filament protein highly expressed in stem cells and cancer cells, competitively interacts with the Kelch domain of the KEAP1 protein, thus, stabilizing the NRF2 protein and leading to oxidative stress resistance and malignancy initiation in non-small cell lung cancer (Wang et al., 2019). High NRF2 levels in glioblastoma CSCs induce tumorigenesis by directly elevating the expression of TAZ, a Hippo pathway effector participating in cancer migration, invasion, and stemness.

p62 (encoded by SQSTM1 gene), an autophagy adaptor protein involved in selective autophagy, has been suggested to act as an oncoprotein (Ichimura et al., 2013; Li et al., 2013). Recent evidence indicates the role of p62 in CSCs: selective p62 inhibition attenuated the cancer-initiating capacity of acute myeloid leukemia cells (Li et al., 2021). Furthermore, there are considerable reports indicating that the increase of NRF2 in CSCs is associated with p62. Accumulation of p62 was found to be necessary for HCC-initiated cell survival and expansion by increasing NRF2 activity (Umemura et al., 2016). High levels of p62 are directly associated with NRF2 signaling activation in multiple CSCs models, including the CD44⁺/CD24 breast CSCs, ALDH⁺ ovarian CSCs, and EpCAM⁺ HNSC CSCs (Kim et al., 2018a; Noman et al., 2020; Ryoo et al., 2018). The interplay between CSCs and the niche microenvironment is important for tumor progression and therapy resistance. Tumor-initiating cells from NRF2 high squamous cell carcinoma stimulated the release of IL-33, which promotes the differentiation of macrophages to secrete transforming growth factor β (TGF-β). CSCs-mediated

Fig. 3. Association of NRF2 with cancer stem cells (CSCs) properties. NRF2 signaling is activated in CSCs and contributes to CSC properties, such as tumor initiation, metastatic malignancy, and therapy resistance. NRF2 is activated by CSC markers such as CD44, EpCAM, and ALDH, and p62 accumulation is associated with NRF2 activation. CD133 leads to NRF2 stabilization through PI3K/AKT pathway activation. TWIST-mediated PERK activation directly induce NRF2 accumulation. Competitive binding of Nestin with KEAP1 induces NRF2 liberation and translocation into the nucleus. NRF2 upregulates multiple antioxidant defense genes and FOXO3 to maintain low ROS levels. ABC transporters, including ABCB1, ABCG2, and ABCA3, are upregulated by NRF2 and contribute to chemotherapy resistance. High level of NRF2 is also associated with the upregulation of transcription factors, including NOTCH1/3, Sonic Hedgehog, β-catenin, and TAZ to maintain stemness of cancer cells. NRF2 activation stabilizes cells in hybrid epithelial/mesenchymal (hybrid E/ M) state to support phenotypic conversion to CSCs. ROS, reactive oxygen species; EpCAM, epithelial cell adhesion molecule; PI3K, phosphoinositide 3-kinases; sMAFs, samll MAF proteins; ARE, antioxidant response element; KEAP1, Kelch-like ECH-associated protein 1; DLG, DLG motif; ETGE, ETGE motif; ALDH, aldehyde dehydrogenase; NOTCH, neurogenic locus notch homolog protein; HH, hedgehog homolog.

paracrine effect on TGF-β signaling consequently induces cancer invasion and resistance (Taniguchi et al., 2020).

NRF2 AND CANCER PLASTICITY

Given that EMT contributes to the plasticity of CSC and non-CSCs, the potential relationship between NRF2 and EMT is an intriguing question. Several lines of evidence indicate the involvement of NRF2 in EMT; however, this correlation does not seem to be consistent. E-cadherin, a marker of epithelial cells, was shown to inhibit NRF2 accumulation through direct binding and KEAP1-dependent proteasomal degradation; thus, loss of E-cadherin in EMT could increase NRF2 levels, which results in cancer resistance to chemotherapy (Kim et al., 2012). In another study, NRF2 activation suppressed E-cadherin expression through an unidentified mechanism

and increased the invasion of pancreatic ductal carcinoma cells (Arfmann-Knübel et al., 2015). In lung cancer cells, TGF-β, a potent inducer of EMT, increases ROS and activates NRF2 signaling. This event is necessary for NOTCH4 induction and TGF-β-induced EMT (Yazaki et al., 2021). However, loss of NRF2 can enhance HCC motility with a concomitant decrease in E-cadherin and an increase in the EMT transcription factor zinc finger protein SNAI2 (SLUG) (Rachakonda et al., 2010). Nrf2-knockout mice develop a higher degree of lung metastasis following inoculation with mouse lung carcinoma cells, and Kepa1-knockdown mice are resistant to cancer cell migration to the lungs (Satoh et al., 2010). TGF-β-induced cancer migration and associated signaling activation were higher in NRF2-silenced lung cancer cells (Ryu et al., 2020).

Conflicting observations suggest that the association between NRF2 and cancer plasticity might be a phase-specific

phenomenon. Several recent studies have emphasized the role of hybrid E/M cells, in cancer stemness, which have both epithelial and mesenchymal features. The computational-experimental approach revealed that NRF2 could prevent complete EMT, while stabilizing a hybrid E/M phenotype by upregulating E-cadherin and ZEB-1 in lung cancer and bladder cancer cells (Bocci et al., 2019). In particular, this study showed that the NRF2 levels are maximally increased in the hybrid E/M state, and NRF2 knockdown destabilized the hybrid E/M phenotype. Consistently, a simulation study of network dynamics suggested that NRF2 is a stabilizing factor for the hybrid E/M phenotype (Pasani et al., 2020). In silico-in vitro analysis, NRF2 activation enhances the hybrid E/M phenotype at the migrating edge in a wound healing assay, and the involvement of NOTCH signaling was also confirmed in experimental settings (Vilchez Mercedes et al., 2022). Although sufficient evidence is lacking, these reports suggest the possibility that NRF2 can change and function during the plastic phase of cancer. Therefore, the functional identification of NRF2 in cancer plasticity is expected to provide clues to control the emergence of CSC properties.

CONCLUSION AND PERSPECTIVES

In this review, we examine recent evidence that demonstrates NRF2 signaling is activated in CSCs and contributes to CSC properties, such as tumor initiation, metastatic malignancy, and therapy resistance. To date, multiple CSC markers and transcription factors have been reported to be associated with the upregulation of NRF2 expression in CSCs. In particular, the reciprocal regulation of NRF2 and NOTCH signaling could explain the changes and functions of NRF2 in CSCs. Activated NRF2 signaling in CSCs has been primarily attributed to maintaining intracellular ROS levels low, which leads to enhanced CSC survival after ROS-generating therapy. NRF2 activation also induces resistance to chemotherapy by increasing ABC transporter expression.

Considering the multifaceted role of NRF2 in cancer cells, the function of NRF2 in CSCs is expected to expand. For instance, CSCs are believed to favor glycolysis and pentose phosphate pathway (PPP) metabolism, which aids in maintaining low ROS levels by inhibiting mitochondrial oxidative phosphorylation and NADPH generation (Tuy et al., 2021). From this perspective, activation of the PPP pathway or changes in amino acid metabolic pathways, which are observed in NRF2-activated cancers, may contribute to metabolic changes in CSCs (Hayes and Dinkova-Kostova, 2014; Okazaki et al., 2020b). The immune evasion property is another hallmark of CSCs. The immune response of CSCs is reprogrammed to promote tumor immune escape, resulting in immunotherapy failure (Bayik and Lathia, 2021). As NRF2 was found to upregulate programmed cell death ligand 1 (PD-L1), an immune checkpoint protein for the inhibition of adaptive immune response, high levels of NRF2 in CSCs may contribute to the immune evasion traits of CSCs (Shen et al., 2020; Zhu et al., 2018). The CSC microenvironment is an important contributor to the regulation of CSC fate. In particular, secretory factors derived from the tumor niche have been shown to activate self-renewal and differentiation of CSCs

(Batlle and Clevers, 2017). Proteome analysis revealed that the NRF2 antioxidant system is enriched in conditioned media from colorectal CSCs (Emmink et al., 2013). NRF2 is also involved in the secretion of cytokines from non-cancerous and cancerous cells (Kitamura et al., 2017; Taniguchi et al., 2020). Considering these reports, it is probable that NRF2 signaling contributes to the regulation of the crosstalk between CSCs and their microenvironment.

Based on the context discussed above, a novel strategy for targeting NRF2 and CSCs can be developed. EpCam antibodies, such as adecatumumab and γ-secretase inhibitors targeting NOTCH signaling, have been developed as CSC targeting therapies (Yang et al., 2020). Considering the increase in NRF2 in EpCAM⁺ CSCs and NOTCH-activated cells (Noman et al., 2020; Wakabayashi et al., 2014), it would be interesting to determine whether these drugs improve CSC resistance by suppressing NRF2 signaling. NRF2 inhibitors are being developed to control tumorigenesis and malignant progression. Although the issue of selectivity between normal cells and cancer cells remains, several synthetic and natural compounds, including brusatol, chrysin, and trigonelline, have been investigated to demonstrate their inhibitory effects on tumor growth, malignant progression, and therapy resistance (Cuadrado et al., 2019; Panieri et al., 2020; Taguchi and Yamamoto, 2020). The development of NRF2 inhibitors that selectively act on CSCs is expected to be a promising strategy for suppressing CSC survival and malignant properties.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This study was supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) grant funded by the Korean government (MSIT) (2022R1A2C2011866, 2018R1A6A1A03025108). This study was also supported by The Catholic University of Korea, Research Fund 2021.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

M.K.K. supervised the overall process and wrote the manuscript. J.M.K. and S.P.H. contributed manuscript writing and figure preparation.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors have no potential conflicts of interest to disclose.

ORCID
Steffanus P. Hallis https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8841-4835 Jin Myung Kim https://orcid.org/0009-0007-7365-2471 Mi-Kyoung Kwak https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6254-2516

REFERENCES

Arfmann-Knübel, S., Struck, B., Genrich, G., Helm, O., Sipos, B., Sebens, S., and Schäfer, H. (2015). The crosstalk between Nrf2 and TGF-β1 in the epithelial-mesenchymal transition of pancreatic duct epithelial cells. PLoS One 10, e0132978.

Baird, L. and Yamamoto, M. (2020). The molecular mechanisms regulating the KEAP1-NRF2 pathway. Mol. Cell. Biol. 40, e00099-20.

Bao, S., Wu, Q., McLendon, R.E., Hao, Y., Shi, Q., Hjelmeland, A.B., Dewhirst, M.W., Bigner, D.D., and Rich, J.N. (2006). Glioma stem cells promote radioresistance by preferential activation of the DNA damage response. Nature 444, 756-760.

Batlle, E. and Clevers, H. (2017). Cancer stem cells revisited. Nat. Med. 23, 1124-1134.

Batlle, E., Sancho, E., Francí, C., Domínguez, D., Monfar, M., Baulida, J., and García De Herreros, A. (2000). The transcription factor snail is a repressor of E-cadherin gene expression in epithelial tumour cells. Nat. Cell Biol. 2, 84-89.

Bayik, D. and Lathia, J.D. (2021). Cancer stem cell-immune cell crosstalk in tumour progression. Nat. Rev. Cancer 21, 526-536.

Beck, B., Lapouge, G., Rorive, S., Drogat, B., Desaedelaere, K., Delafaille, S., Dubois, C., Salmon, I., Willekens, K., Marine, J.C., et al. (2015). Different levels of Twist1 regulate skin tumor initiation, stemness, and progression. Cell Stem Cell 16, 67-79.

Best, S.A., De Souza, D.P., Kersbergen, A., Policheni, A.N., Dayalan, S., Tull, D., Rathi, V., Gray, D.H., Ritchie, M.E., McConville, M.J., et al. (2018). Synergy between the KEAP1/NRF2 and PI3K pathways drives non-small-cell lung cancer with an altered immune microenvironment. Cell Metab. 27, 935- 943.e4.

Bjerkvig, R., Tysnes, B.B., Aboody, K.S., Najbauer, J., and Terzis, A.J. (2005). Opinion: the origin of the cancer stem cell: current controversies and new insights. Nat. Rev. Cancer 5, 899-904.

Bocci, F., Tripathi, S.C., Vilchez Mercedes, S.A., George, J.T., Casabar, J.P., Wong, P.K., Hanash, S.M., Levine, H., Onuchic, J.N., and Jolly, M.K. (2019). NRF2 activates a partial epithelial-mesenchymal transition and is maximally present in a hybrid epithelial/mesenchymal phenotype. Integr. Biol. (Camb.) 11, 251-263.

Bonnet, D. and Dick, J.E. (1997). Human acute myeloid leukemia is organized as a hierarchy that originates from a primitive hematopoietic cell. Nat. Med. 3, 730-737.

Caramel, J., Papadogeorgakis, E., Hill, L., Browne, G.J., Richard, G., Wierinckx, A., Saldanha, G., Osborne, J., Hutchinson, P., Tse, G., et al. (2013). A switch in the expression of embryonic EMT-inducers drives the development of malignant melanoma. Cancer Cell 24, 466-480.

Chaffer, C.L., Brueckmann, I., Scheel, C., Kaestli, A.J., Wiggins, P.A., Rodrigues, L.O., Brooks, M., Reinhardt, F., Su, Y., Polyak, K., et al. (2011). Normal and neoplastic nonstem cells can spontaneously convert to a stem-like state. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 108, 7950-7955.

Chaffer, C.L., Marjanovic, N.D., Lee, T., Bell, G., Kleer, C.G., Reinhardt, F., D'Alessio, A.C., Young, R.A., and Weinberg, R.A. (2013). Poised chromatin at the ZEB1 promoter enables breast cancer cell plasticity and enhances tumorigenicity. Cell 154, 61-74.

Chang, C.W., Chen, Y.S., Tsay, Y.G., Han, C.L., Chen, Y.J., Yang, C.C., Hung, K.F., Lin, C.H., Huang, T.Y., Kao, S.Y., et al. (2018). ROS-independent ER stress-mediated NRF2 activation promotes warburg effect to maintain stemness-associated properties of cancer-initiating cells. Cell Death Dis. 9, 194.

Chio, I.I.C., Jafarnejad, S.M., Ponz-Sarvise, M., Park, Y., Rivera, K., Palm, W., Wilson, J., Sangar, V., Hao, Y., Öhlund, D., et al. (2016). NRF2 promotes tumor maintenance by modulating mRNA translation in pancreatic cancer. Cell 166, 963-976.

Cho, H.Y. and Kleeberger, S.R. (2020). Mitochondrial biology in airway pathogenesis and the role of NRF2. Arch. Pharm. Res. 43, 297-320.

Choi, B.H., Kim, J.M., and Kwak, M.K. (2021). The multifaceted role of NRF2 in cancer progression and cancer stem cells maintenance. Arch. Pharm. Res. 44, 263-280.

Cordenonsi, M., Zanconato, F., Azzolin, L., Forcato, M., Rosato, A., Frasson, C., Inui, M., Montagner, M., Parenti, A.R., Poletti, A., et al. (2011). The Hippo transducer TAZ confers cancer stem cell-related traits on breast cancer cells. Cell 147, 759-772.

Creighton, C.J., Li, X., Landis, M., Dixon, J.M., Neumeister, V.M., Sjolund, A., Rimm, D.L., Wong, H., Rodriguez, A., Herschkowitz, J.I., et al. (2009). Residual breast cancers after conventional therapy display mesenchymal as well as tumor-initiating features. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 106, 13820-13825.

Cuadrado, A., Rojo, A.I., Wells, G., Hayes, J.D., Cousin, S.P., Rumsey, W.L., Attucks, O.C., Franklin, S., Levonen, A.L., Kensler, T.W., et al. (2019). Therapeutic targeting of the NRF2 and KEAP1 partnership in chronic diseases. Nat. Rev. Drug Discov. 18, 295-317.

Dean, M., Fojo, T., and Bates, S. (2005). Tumour stem cells and drug resistance. Nat. Rev. Cancer 5, 275-284.

Del Vecchio, C.A., Feng, Y., Sokol, E.S., Tillman, E.J., Sanduja, S., Reinhardt, F., and Gupta, P.B. (2014). De-differentiation confers multidrug resistance via noncanonical PERK-Nrf2 signaling. PLoS Biol. 12, e1001945.

DeNicola, G.M., Karreth, F.A., Humpton, T.J., Gopinathan, A., Wei, C., Frese, K., Mangal, D., Yu, K.H., Yeo, C.J., Calhoun, E.S., et al. (2011). Oncogene-induced Nrf2 transcription promotes ROS detoxification and tumorigenesis. Nature 475, 106-109.

Diehn, M., Cho, R.W., Lobo, N.A., Kalisky, T., Dorie, M.J., Kulp, A.N., Qian, D., Lam, J.S., Ailles, L.E., Wong, M., et al. (2009). Association of reactive oxygen species levels and radioresistance in cancer stem cells. Nature 458, 780- 783.

Emmink, B.L., Verheem, A., Van Houdt, W.J., Steller, E.J., Govaert, K.M., Pham, T.V., Piersma, S.R., Borel Rinkes, I.H., Jimenez, C.R., and Kranenburg, O. (2013). The secretome of colon cancer stem cells contains drugmetabolizing enzymes. J. Proteomics 91, 84-96.

Escoll, M., Lastra, D., Pajares, M., Robledinos-Antón, N., Rojo, A.I., Fernández-Ginés, R., Mendiola, M., Martínez-Marín, V., Esteban, I., López-Larrubia, P., et al. (2020). Transcription factor NRF2 uses the Hippo pathway effector TAZ to induce tumorigenesis in glioblastomas. Redox Biol. 30, 101425.

Fan, H., Paiboonrungruan, C., Zhang, X., Prigge, J.R., Schmidt, E.E., Sun, Z., and Chen, X. (2017). Nrf2 regulates cellular behaviors and Notch signaling in oral squamous cell carcinoma cells. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 493, 833-839.

Fragoulis, A., Schenkel, J., Schröder, N., Brandt, E.F., Weiand, M., Neu, T., Ramadori, P., Caspers, T., Kant, S., Pufe, T., et al. (2022). Nrf2 induces malignant transformation of hepatic progenitor cells by inducing β-catenin expression. Redox Biol. 57, 102453.

Giancotti, F.G. (2013). Mechanisms governing metastatic dormancy and reactivation. Cell 155, 750-764.

Goldstein, L.D., Lee, J., Gnad, F., Klijn, C., Schaub, A., Reeder, J., Daemen, A., Bakalarski, C.E., Holcomb, T., Shames, D.S., et al. (2016). Recurrent loss of NFE2L2 exon 2 is a mechanism for Nrf2 pathway activation in human cancers. Cell Rep. 16, 2605-2617.

Goto, S., Kawabata, T., and Li, T.S. (2020). Enhanced expression of ABCB1 and Nrf2 in CD133-positive cancer stem cells associates with doxorubicin resistance. Stem Cells Int. 2020, 8868849.

Gupta, P.B., Fillmore, C.M., Jiang, G., Shapira, S.D., Tao, K., Kuperwasser, C., and Lander, E.S. (2011). Stochastic state transitions give rise to phenotypic equilibrium in populations of cancer cells. Cell 146, 633-644.

Gupta, P.B., Pastushenko, I., Skibinski, A., Blanpain, C., and Kuperwasser, C. (2019). Phenotypic plasticity: driver of cancer initiation, progression, and therapy resistance. Cell Stem Cell 24, 65-78.

Hadnagy, A., Gaboury, L., Beaulieu, R., and Balicki, D. (2006). SP analysis may be used to identify cancer stem cell populations. Exp. Cell Res. 312, 3701-3710.

Harrison, H., Farnie, G., Howell, S.J., Rock, R.E., Stylianou, S., Brennan, K.R., Bundred, N.J., and Clarke, R.B. (2010). Regulation of breast cancer stem cell activity by signaling through the Notch4 receptor. Cancer Res. 70, 709-718.

Hayes, J.D. and Dinkova-Kostova, A.T. (2014). The Nrf2 regulatory network provides an interface between redox and intermediary metabolism. .
Trends Biochem. Sci. *39,* 199-218.

Hirschmann-Jax, C., Foster, A.E., Wulf, G.G., Nuchtern, J.G., Jax, T.W., Gobel,

U., Goodell, M.A., and Brenner, M.K. (2004). A distinct "side population" of cells with high drug efflux capacity in human tumor cells. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 101, 14228-14233.

Ho, M.M., Ng, A.V., Lam, S., and Hung, J.Y. (2007). Side population in human lung cancer cell lines and tumors is enriched with stem-like cancer cells. Cancer Res. 67, 4827-4833.

Hu, X., Ghisolfi, L., Keates, A.C., Zhang, J., Xiang, S., Lee, D.K., and Li, C.J. (2012). Induction of cancer cell stemness by chemotherapy. Cell Cycle 11, 2691-2698.

Ichimura, Y., Waguri, S., Sou, Y.S., Kageyama, S., Hasegawa, J., Ishimura, R., Saito, T., Yang, Y., Kouno, T., Fukutomi, T., et al. (2013). Phosphorylation of p62 activates the Keap1-Nrf2 pathway during selective autophagy. Mol. Cell 51, 618-631.

Islam, S.S., Qassem, K., Islam, S., Parag, R.R., Rahman, M.Z., Farhat, W.A., Yeger, H., Aboussekhra, A., Karakas, B., and Noman, A.S.M. (2022). Genetic alterations of Keap1 confers chemotherapeutic resistance through functional activation of Nrf2 and Notch pathway in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. Cell Death Dis. 13, 696.

Itoh, K., Chiba, T., Takahashi, S., Ishii, T., Igarashi, K., Katoh, Y., Oyake, T., Hayashi, N., Satoh, K., Hatayama, I., et al. (1997). An Nrf2/small Maf heterodimer mediates the induction of phase II detoxifying enzyme genes through antioxidant response elements. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 236, 313-322.

Itoh, K., Wakabayashi, N., Katoh, Y., Ishii, T., Igarashi, K., Engel, J.D., and Yamamoto, M. (1999). Keap1 represses nuclear activation of antioxidant responsive elements by Nrf2 through binding to the amino-terminal Neh2 domain. Genes Dev. 13, 76-86.

Jang, J., Wang, Y., Kim, H.S., Lalli, M.A., and Kosik, K.S. (2014). Nrf2, a regulator of the proteasome, controls self-renewal and pluripotency in human embryonic stem cells. Stem Cells 32, 2616-2625.

Justilien, V. and Fields, A.P. (2015). Molecular pathways: novel approaches for improved therapeutic targeting of Hedgehog signaling in cancer stem cells. Clin. Cancer Res. 21, 505-513.

Kahroba, H., Shirmohamadi, M., Hejazi, M.S., and Samadi, N. (2019). The role of Nrf2 signaling in cancer stem cells: from stemness and self-renewal to tumorigenesis and chemoresistance. Life Sci. 239, 116986.

Kakehashi, A., Ishii, N., Sugihara, E., Gi, M., Saya, H., and Wanibuchi, H. (2016). CD44 variant 9 is a potential biomarker of tumor initiating cells predicting survival outcome in hepatitis C virus-positive patients with resected hepatocellular carcinoma. Cancer Sci. 107, 609-618.

Kamble, D., Mahajan, M., Dhat, R., and Sitasawad, S. (2021). Keap1-Nrf2 pathway regulates ALDH and contributes to radioresistance in breast cancer stem cells. Cells 10, 83.

Kim, D., Choi, B.H., Ryoo, I.G., and Kwak, M.K. (2018a). High NRF2 level mediates cancer stem cell-like properties of aldehyde dehydrogenase (ALDH)-high ovarian cancer cells: inhibitory role of all-trans retinoic acid in ALDH/NRF2 signaling. Cell Death Dis. 9, 896.

Kim, D.H., Jang, J.H., Kwon, O.S., Cha, H.J., Youn, H.J., Chun, K.S., and Surh, Y.J. (2020). Nuclear factor erythroid-derived 2-like 2-induced reductive stress favors self-renewal of breast cancer stem-like cells via the FoxO3a-Bmi-1 axis. Antioxid. Redox Signal. 32, 1313-1329.

Kim, D.H., Yoon, H.J., Cha, Y.N., and Surh, Y.J. (2018b). Role of heme oxygenase-1 and its reaction product, carbon monoxide, in manifestation of breast cancer stem cell-like properties: Notch-1 as a putative target. Free Radic. Res. 52, 1336-1347.

Kim, J.H., Thimmulappa, R.K., Kumar, V., Cui, W., Kumar, S., Kombairaju, P., Zhang, H., Margolick, J., Matsui, W., Macvittie, T., et al. (2014). NRF2-mediated Notch pathway activation enhances hematopoietic reconstitution following myelosuppressive radiation. J. Clin. Invest. 124, 730-741.

Kim, W.D., Kim, Y.W., Cho, I.J., Lee, C.H., and Kim, S.G. (2012). E-cadherin inhibits nuclear accumulation of Nrf2: implications for chemoresistance of

cancer cells. J. Cell Sci. 125, 1284-1295.

Kitamura, H. and Motohashi, H. (2018). NRF2 addiction in cancer cells. Cancer Sci. 109, 900-911.

Kitamura, H., Onodera, Y., Murakami, S., Suzuki, T., and Motohashi, H. (2017). IL-11 contribution to tumorigenesis in an NRF2 addiction cancer model. Oncogene 36, 6315-6324.

Kreso, A., van Galen, P., Pedley, N.M., Lima-Fernandes, E., Frelin, C., Davis, T., Cao, L., Baiazitov, R., Du, W., Sydorenko, N., et al. (2014). Self-renewal as a therapeutic target in human colorectal cancer. Nat. Med. 20, 29-36.

Lagadec, C., Vlashi, E., Della Donna, L., Dekmezian, C., and Pajonk, F. (2012). Radiation-induced reprogramming of breast cancer cells. Stem Cells 30, 833-844.

Lagadinou, E.D., Sach, A., Callahan, K., Rossi, R.M., Neering, S.J., Minhajuddin, M., Ashton, J.M., Pei, S., Grose, V., and O'Dwyer, K.M. (2013). BCL-2 inhibition targets oxidative phosphorylation and selectively eradicates quiescent human leukemia stem cells. Cell Stem Cell 12, 329- 341.

Lapidot, T., Sirard, C., Vormoor, J., Murdoch, B., Hoang, T., Caceres-Cortes, J., Minden, M., Paterson, B., Caligiuri, M.A., and Dick, J.E. (1994). A cell initiating human acute myeloid leukaemia after transplantation into SCID mice. Nature 367, 645-648.

Lee, J.A., Kwon, Y.W., Kim, H.R., Shin, N., Son, H.J., Cheong, C.S., Kim, D.J., and Hwang, O. (2022). A novel pyrazolo[3,4-d]pyrimidine induces heme oxygenase-1 and exerts anti-inflammatory and neuroprotective effects. Mol. Cells 45, 134-147.

Leung, H.W., Lau, E.Y.T., Leung, C.O.N., Lei, M.M.L., Mok, E.H.K., Ma, V.W.S., Cho, W.C.S., Ng, I.O.L., Yun, J.P., Cai, S.H., et al. (2020). NRF2/SHH signaling cascade promotes tumor-initiating cell lineage and drug resistance in hepatocellular carcinoma. Cancer Lett. 476, 48-56.

Li, L., Shen, C., Nakamura, E., Ando, K., Signoretti, S., Beroukhim, R., Cowley, G.S., Lizotte, P., Liberzon, E., Bair, S., et al. (2013). SQSTM1 is a pathogenic target of 5q copy number gains in kidney cancer. Cancer Cell 24, 738-750.

Li, Y., Li, Y., Yin, J., Wang, C., Yang, M., Gu, J., He, M., Xu, H., Fu, W., Zhang, W., et al. (2021). A mitophagy inhibitor targeting p62 attenuates the leukemia-initiation potential of acute myeloid leukemia cells. Cancer Lett. 510, 24-36.

Lytle, N.K., Barber, A.G., and Reya, T. (2018). Stem cell fate in cancer growth, progression and therapy resistance. Nat. Rev. Cancer 18, 669-680.

Maher, J.M., Dieter, M.Z., Aleksunes, L.M., Slitt, A.L., Guo, G., Tanaka, Y., Scheffer, G.L., Chan, J.Y., Manautou, J.E., Chen, Y., et al. (2007). Oxidative and electrophilic stress induces multidrug resistance-associated protein transporters via the nuclear factor-E2-related factor-2 transcriptional pathway. Hepatology 46, 1597-1610.

Mani, S.A., Guo, W., Liao, M.J., Eaton, E.N., Ayyanan, A., Zhou, A.Y., Brooks, M., Reinhard, F., Zhang, C.C., Shipitsin, M., et al. (2008). The epithelialmesenchymal transition generates cells with properties of stem cells. Cell 133, 704-715.

Medema, J.P. (2013). Cancer stem cells: the challenges ahead. Nat. Cell Biol. 15, 338-344.

Meyer, M.J., Fleming, J.M., Lin, A.F., Hussnain, S.A., Ginsburg, E., and Vonderhaar, B.K. (2010). CD44posCD49fhiCD133/2hi defines xenograftinitiating cells in estrogen receptor-negative breast cancer. Cancer Res. 70, 4624-4633.

Mitsuishi, Y., Taguchi, K., Kawatani, Y., Shibata, T., Nukiwa, T., Aburatani, H., Yamamoto, M., and Motohashi, H. (2012). Nrf2 redirects glucose and glutamine into anabolic pathways in metabolic reprogramming. Cancer Cell 22, 66-79.

Murakami, S. and Motohashi, H. (2015). Roles of Nrf2 in cell proliferation and differentiation. Free Radic. Biol. Med. 88(Pt B), 168-178.

Murakami, S., Ninomiya, W., Sakamoto, E., Shibata, T., Akiyama, H., and Tashiro, F. (2015). SRY and OCT4 are required for the acquisition of cancer

stem cell-like properties and are potential differentiation therapy targets. Stem Cells 33, 2652-2663.

Naka, K., Hoshii, T., Muraguchi, T., Tadokoro, Y., Ooshio, T., Kondo, Y., Nakao, S., Motoyama, N., and Hirao, A. (2010). TGF-beta-FOXO signalling maintains leukaemia-initiating cells in chronic myeloid leukaemia. Nature 463, 676-680.

Nassar, D. and Blanpain, C. (2016). Cancer stem cells: basic concepts and therapeutic implications. Annu. Rev. Pathol. 11, 47-76.

Neradil, J. and Veselska, R. (2015). Nestin as a marker of cancer stem cells. Cancer Sci. 106, 803-811.

Nguyen, L.V., Vanner, R., Dirks, P., and Eaves, C.J. (2012). Cancer stem cells: an evolving concept. Nat. Rev. Cancer 12, 133-143.

Nieto, M.A., Huang, R.Y., Jackson, R.A., and Thiery, J.P. (2016). EMT: 2016. Cell 166, 21-45.

Noman, A.S.M., Parag, R.R., Rashid, M.I., Islam, S., Rahman, M.Z., Chowdhury, A.A., Sultana, A., Jerin, C., Siddiqua, A., Rahman, L., et al. (2020). Chemotherapeutic resistance of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma is mediated by EpCAM induction driven by IL-6/p62 associated Nrf2-antioxidant pathway activation. Cell Death Dis. 11, 663.

Okazaki, K., Anzawa, H., Katsuoka, F., Kinoshita, K., Sekine, H., and Motohashi, H. (2022). CEBPB is required for NRF2-mediated drug resistance in NRF2-activated non-small cell lung cancer cells. J. Biochem. 171, 567-578.

Okazaki, K., Anzawa, H., Liu, Z., Ota, N., Kitamura, H., Onodera, Y., Alam, M.M., Matsumaru, D., Suzuki, T., Katsuoka, F., et al. (2020a). Enhancer remodeling promotes tumor-initiating activity in NRF2-activated nonsmall cell lung cancers. Nat. Commun. 11, 5911.

Okazaki, K., Papagiannakopoulos, T., and Motohashi, H. (2020b). Metabolic features of cancer cells in NRF2 addiction status. Biophys. Rev. 12, 435-441.

Oshimori, N., Oristian, D., and Fuchs, E. (2015). TGF-β promotes heterogeneity and drug resistance in squamous cell carcinoma. Cell 160, 963-976.

Panieri, E., Buha, A., Telkoparan-Akillilar, P., Cevik, D., Kouretas, D., Veskoukis, A., Skaperda, Z., Tsatsakis, A., Wallace, D., Suzen, S., et al. (2020). Potential applications of NRF2 modulators in cancer therapy. Antioxidants (Basel) 9, 193.

Park, J., Kim, S.K., Hallis, S.P., Choi, B.H., and Kwak, M.K. (2022). Role of CD133/NRF2 axis in the development of colon cancer stem cell-like properties. Front. Oncol. 11, 808300.

Pasani, S., Sahoo, S., and Jolly, M.K. (2020). Hybrid E/M phenotype(s) and stemness: a mechanistic connection embedded in network topology. J. Clin. Med. 10, 60.

Paul, M.K., Bisht, B., Darmawan, D.O., Chiou, R., Ha, V.L., Wallace, W.D., Chon, A.T., Hegab, A.E., Grogan, T., and Elashoff, D.A. (2014). Dynamic changes in intracellular ROS levels regulate airway basal stem cell homeostasis through Nrf2-dependent notch signaling. Cell Stem Cell 15, 199-214.

Phillips, T.M., McBride, W.H., and Pajonk, F. (2006). The response of CD24(-/low)/CD44+ breast cancer-initiating cells to radiation. J. Natl. Cancer Inst. 98, 1777-1785.

Ponti, D., Costa, A., Zaffaroni, N., Pratesi, G., Petrangolini, G., Coradini, D., Pilotti, S., Pierotti, M.A., and Daidone, M.G. (2005). Isolation and in vitro propagation of tumorigenic breast cancer cells with stem/progenitor cell properties. Cancer Res. 65, 5506-5511.

Prasetyanti, P.R. and Medema, J.P. (2017). Intra-tumor heterogeneity from a cancer stem cell perspective. Mol. Cancer 16, 41.

Rachakonda, G., Sekhar, K.R., Jowhar, D., Samson, P.C., Wikswo, J.P., Beauchamp, R.D., Datta, P.K., and Freeman, M.L. (2010). Increased cell migration and plasticity in Nrf2-deficient cancer cell lines. Oncogene 29, 3703-3714.

Ricardo, S., Vieira, A.F., Gerhard, R., Leitão, D., Pinto, R., Cameselle-Teijeiro, J.F., Milanezi, F., Schmitt, F., and Paredes, J. (2011). Breast cancer stem cell markers CD44, CD24 and ALDH1: expression distribution within intrinsic molecular subtype. J. Clin. Pathol. 64, 937-946.

Rojo de la Vega, M., Chapman, E., and Zhang, D.D. (2018). NRF2 and the hallmarks of cancer. Cancer Cell 34, 21-43.

Romero, R., Sayin, V.I., Davidson, S.M., Bauer, M.R., Singh, S.X., LeBoeuf, S.E., Karakousi, T.R., Ellis, D.C., Bhutkar, A., Sánchez-Rivera, F.J., et al. (2017). Keap1 loss promotes Kras-driven lung cancer and results in dependence on glutaminolysis. Nat. Med. 23, 1362-1368.

Ryoo, I.G., Choi, B.H., Ku, S.K., and Kwak, M.K. (2018). High CD44 expression mediates p62-associated NFE2L2/NRF2 activation in breast cancer stem cell-like cells: implications for cancer stem cell resistance. Redox Biol. 17, 246-258.

Ryoo, I.G., Choi, B.H., and Kwak, M.K. (2015). Activation of NRF2 by p62 and proteasome reduction in sphere-forming breast carcinoma cells. Oncotarget 6, 8167-8184.

Ryoo, I.G., Kim, G., Choi, B.H., Lee, S.H., and Kwak, M.K. (2016a). Involvement of NRF2 signaling in doxorubicin resistance of cancer stem cell-enriched colonospheres. Biomol. Ther. (Seoul) 24, 482-488.

Ryoo, I.G., Lee, S.H., and Kwak, M.K. (2016b). Redox modulating NRF2: a potential mediator of cancer stem cell resistance. Oxid. Med. Cell. Longev. 2016, 2428153.

Ryu, D., Lee, J.H., and Kwak, M.K. (2020). NRF2 level is negatively correlated with TGF-β1-induced lung cancer motility and migration via NOX4-ROS signaling. Arch. Pharm. Res. 43, 1297-1310.

Saretzki, G., Armstrong, L., Leake, A., Lako, M., and von Zglinicki, T. (2004). Stress defense in murine embryonic stem cells is superior to that of various differentiated murine cells. Stem Cells 22, 962-971.

Satoh, H., Moriguchi, T., Taguchi, K., Takai, J., Maher, J.M., Suzuki, T., Winnard, P.T., Jr., Raman, V., Ebina, M., Nukiwa, T., et al. (2010). Nrf2 deficiency creates a responsive microenvironment for metastasis to the lung. Carcinogenesis 31, 1833-1843.

Shen, X., Zhao, Y., Liu, G., Zhou, H.L., Fan, J., Zhang, L., Li, Y.L., Wang, Y., Liang, J., and Xu, Z.X. (2020). Upregulation of programmed death ligand 1 by liver kinase B1 and its implication in programmed death 1 blockade therapy in non-small cell lung cancer. Life Sci. 256, 117923.

Shimokawa, M., Ohta, Y., Nishikori, S., Matano, M., Takano, A., Fujii, M., Date, S., Sugimoto, S., Kanai, T., and Sato, T. (2017). Visualization and targeting of LGR5(+) human colon cancer stem cells. Nature 545, 187- 192.

Singh, A., Bodas, M., Wakabayashi, N., Bunz, F., and Biswal, S. (2010). Gain of Nrf2 function in non-small-cell lung cancer cells confers radioresistance. Antioxid. Redox Signal. 13, 1627-1637.

Singh, S.K., Hawkins, C., Clarke, I.D., Squire, J.A., Bayani, J., Hide, T., Henkelman, R.M., Cusimano, M.D., and Dirks, P.B. (2004). Identification of human brain tumour initiating cells. Nature 432, 396-401.

Song, I.S., Jeong, Y.J., Jung, Y., Park, Y.H., Shim, S., Kim, S.J., Eom, D.W., Hong, S.M., Lee, P.C.W., Kim, S.U., et al. (2021). The sulfiredoxinperoxiredoxin redox system regulates the stemness and survival of colon cancer stem cells. Redox Biol. 48, 102190.

de Sousa e Melo, F., Kurtova, A.V., Harnoss, J.M., Kljavin, N., Hoeck, J.D., Hung, J., Anderson, J.E., Storm, E.E., Modrusan, Z., Koeppen, H., et al. (2017). A distinct role for Lgr5(+) stem cells in primary and metastatic colon cancer. Nature 543, 676-680.

Taguchi, K. and Kensler, T.W. (2020). Nrf2 in liver toxicology. Arch. Pharm. Res. 43, 337-349.

Taguchi, K. and Yamamoto, M. (2020). The KEAP1-NRF2 system as a molecular target of cancer treatment. Cancers (Basel) 13, 46.

Takebe, N., Miele, L., Harris, P.J., Jeong, W., Bando, H., Kahn, M., Yang, S.X., and Ivy, S.P. (2015). Targeting Notch, Hedgehog, and Wnt pathways in

cancer stem cells: clinical update. Nat. Rev. Clin. Oncol. 12, 445-464.

Taniguchi, S., Elhance, A., Van Duzer, A., Kumar, S., Leitenberger, J.J., and Oshimori, N. (2020). Tumor-initiating cells establish an IL-33-TGF-β niche signaling loop to promote cancer progression. Science 369, eaay1813.

Taylor, M.D., Poppleton, H., Fuller, C., Su, X., Liu, Y., Jensen, P., Magdaleno, S., Dalton, J., Calabrese, C., Board, J., et al. (2005). Radial glia cells are candidate stem cells of ependymoma. Cancer Cell 8, 323-335.

Tebay, L.E., Robertson, H., Durant, S.T., Vitale, S.R., Penning, T.M., Dinkova-Kostova, A.T., and Hayes, J.D. (2015). Mechanisms of activation of the transcription factor Nrf2 by redox stressors, nutrient cues, and energy status and the pathways through which it attenuates degenerative disease. Free Radic. Biol. Med. 88(Pt B), 108-146.

Tonelli, C., Chio, I.I.C., and Tuveson, D.A. (2018). Transcriptional regulation by Nrf2. Antioxid. Redox Signal. 29, 1727-1745.

Tothova, Z., Kollipara, R., Huntly, B.J., Lee, B.H., Castrillon, D.H., Cullen, D.E., McDowell, E.P., Lazo-Kallanian, S., Williams, I.R., Sears, C., et al. (2007). FoxOs are critical mediators of hematopoietic stem cell resistance to physiologic oxidative stress. Cell 128, 325-339.

Tsai, J.J., Dudakov, J.A., Takahashi, K., Shieh, J.H., Velardi, E., Holland, A.M., Singer, N.V., West, M.L., Smith, O.M., and Young, L.F. (2013). Nrf2 regulates haematopoietic stem cell function. Nat. Cell Biol. 15, 309-316.

Tsushima, M., Liu, J., Hirao, W., Yamazaki, H., Tomita, H., and Itoh, K. (2020). Emerging evidence for crosstalk between Nrf2 and mitochondria in physiological homeostasis and in heart disease. Arch. Pharm. Res. 43, 286- 296

Tuy, K., Rickenbacker, L., and Hjelmeland, A.B. (2021). Reactive oxygen species produced by altered tumor metabolism impacts cancer stem cell maintenance. Redox Biol. 44, 101953.

Umemura, A., He, F., Taniguchi, K., Nakagawa, H., Yamachika, S., Font-Burgada, J., Zhong, Z., Subramaniam, S., Raghunandan, S., Duran, A., et al. (2016). p62, upregulated during preneoplasia, induces hepatocellular carcinogenesis by maintaining survival of stressed HCC-initiating cells. Cancer Cell 29, 935-948.

Vermeulen, L., De Sousa, E.M.F., van der Heijden, M., Cameron, K., de Jong, J.H., Borovski, T., Tuynman, J.B., Todaro, M., Merz, C., Rodermond, H., et al. (2010). Wnt activity defines colon cancer stem cells and is regulated by the microenvironment. Nat. Cell Biol. 12, 468-476.

Vilchez Mercedes, S.A., Bocci, F., Ahmed, M., Eder, I., Zhu, N., Levine, H., Onuchic, J.N., Jolly, M.K., and Wong, P.K. (2022). Nrf2 modulates the hybrid epithelial/mesenchymal phenotype and Notch signaling during collective cancer migration. Front. Mol. Biosci. 9, 807324.

Wakabayashi, N., Shin, S., Slocum, S.L., Agoston, E.S., Wakabayashi, J., Kwak, M.K., Misra, V., Biswal, S., Yamamoto, M., and Kensler, T.W. (2010). Regulation of notch1 signaling by nrf2: implications for tissue regeneration. Sci. Signal. 3, ra52.

Wakabayashi, N., Skoko, J.J., Chartoumpekis, D.V., Kimura, S., Slocum, S.L., Noda, K., Palliyaguru, D.L., Fujimuro, M., Boley, P.A., Tanaka, Y., et al. (2014). Notch-Nrf2 axis: regulation of Nrf2 gene expression and cytoprotection by notch signaling. Mol. Cell. Biol. 34, 653-663.

Wang, J., Lu, Q., Cai, J., Wang, Y., Lai, X., Qiu, Y., Huang, Y., Ke, Q., Zhang, Y., Guan, Y., et al. (2019). Nestin regulates cellular redox homeostasis in lung cancer through the Keap1-Nrf2 feedback loop. Nat. Commun. 10, 5043.

Wang, R., An, J., Ji, F., Jiao, H., Sun, H., and Zhou, D. (2008). Hypermethylation of the Keap1 gene in human lung cancer cell lines and lung cancer tissues. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 373, 151-154.

Wu, T., Harder, B.G., Wong, P.K., Lang, J.E., and Zhang, D.D. (2015). Oxidative stress, mammospheres and Nrf2-new implication for breast cancer therapy? Mol. Carcinog. 54, 1494-1502.

Yang, L., Shi, P., Zhao, G., Xu, J., Peng, W., Zhang, J., Zhang, G., Wang, X., Dong, Z., Chen, F., et al. (2020). Targeting cancer stem cell pathways for cancer therapy. Signal Transduct. Target. Ther. 5, 8.

Yazaki, K., Matsuno, Y., Yoshida, K., Sherpa, M., Nakajima, M., Matsuyama, M., Kiwamoto, T., Morishima, Y., Ishii, Y., and Hizawa, N. (2021). ROS-Nrf2 pathway mediates the development of TGF-β1-induced epithelialmesenchymal transition through the activation of Notch signaling. Eur. J. Cell Biol. 100, 151181.

Yung, W.K., Shapiro, J.R., and Shapiro, W.R. (1982). Heterogeneous chemosensitivities of subpopulations of human glioma cells in culture. Cancer Res. 42, 992-998.

Zhao, Q., Mao, A., Guo, R., Zhang, L., Yan, J., Sun, C., Tang, J., Ye, Y., Zhang, Y., and Zhang, H. (2017). Suppression of radiation-induced migration of non-small cell lung cancer through inhibition of Nrf2-Notch Axis. Oncotarget 8, 36603-36613.

Zhou, S., Schuetz, J.D., Bunting, K.D., Colapietro, A.M., Sampath, J., Morris, J.J., Lagutina, I., Grosveld, G.C., Osawa, M., Nakauchi, H., et al. (2001). The ABC transporter Bcrp1/ABCG2 is expressed in a wide variety of stem cells and is a molecular determinant of the side-population phenotype. Nat. Med. 7, 1028-1034.

Zhu, B., Tang, L., Chen, S., Yin, C., Peng, S., Li, X., Liu, T., Liu, W., Han, C., Stawski, L., et al. (2018). Targeting the upstream transcriptional activator of PD-L1 as an alternative strategy in melanoma therapy. Oncogene 37, 4941-4954.

Zhu, J., Wang, H., Chen, F., Fu, J., Xu, Y., Hou, Y., Kou, H.H., Zhai, C., Nelson, M.B., Zhang, Q., et al. (2016). An overview of chemical inhibitors of the Nrf2-ARE signaling pathway and their potential applications in cancer therapy. Free Radic. Biol. Med. 99, 544-556.

Zhu, J., Wang, H., Fan, Y., Hu, Y., Ji, X., Sun, Q., and Liu, H. (2014). Knockdown of nuclear factor erythroid 2-related factor 2 by lentivirus induces differentiation of glioma stem-like cells. Oncol. Rep. 32, 1170- 1178.