High-dose biotin neither fosters remyelination nor stimulates malonyl coenzyme A synthesis in the regenerating nerve

Fabian Szepanowski* , Rebecca D. Steubing, Cansu Güngör, Jaqueline Zipfel, Anne K. Mausberg, Christoph Kleinschnitz, Mark Stettner

Dear editor,

Dysregulated inflammatory responses primarily account for damage to the central nervous system, however, neurodegenerative processes likely contribute to the pathophysiology of progressive forms of multiple sclerosis (MS). These pathophysiological aspects include axonal energy depletion and impaired remyelination capacity (Levin et al., 2014). The clinical efficacy of most disease-modifying therapies in MS to date has been achieved by targeting functions of the adaptive immune system (Cree et al., 2019; Szepanowski et al., 2021). In light of the unmet need for a regenerative therapy in multiple sclerosis, the concept of high-dose biotin (HDB) treatment (approximately 10,000 fold the recommended daily allowance) as a neurometabolic modulator arose (Sedel et al., 2016). Biotin is an essential cofactor for five carboxylase enzymes: pyruvate carboxylase, propionyl-coenzyme A (CoA) carboxylase, 3-methylcrotonyl-CoA carboxylase and two isoforms of acetyl-CoA carboxylase (ACC), ACC-265 and ACC-280 (Zempleni and Kuroishi, 2012). Given the involvement of these carboxylases in a wide range of metabolic pathways, it has been hypothesized that supraphysiological doses of biotin might have neuroprotective and regenerative potential. For example, HDB might contribute to adenosine triphosphate production by increasing intermediates of the tricarboxylic acid cycle and may improve remyelination by elevating levels of the ACC product, malonyl-CoA, as a building block for fatty acid synthesis (Sedel et al., 2016). However, these claims regarding the presumptive mode of action of HDB have barely been validated in preclinical studies employing appropriate animal models. Nevertheless, an open-label pilot study investigating the efficacy of HDB in patients with primary and secondary progressive MS revealed promising results (Sedel et al., 2015). A randomized, double-blind, placebo controlled study (MS-SPI) indicated that HDB might reverse disability in a subgroup of progressive MS patients compared to the placebo arm (Tourbah et al., 2016). Despite these initial positive results, the subsequent phase 3 trial (SPI2) did not show significant improvements of disability, leading to the conclusion that HDB cannot be recommended for patients with progressive forms of MS (Cree et al., 2020).

The presumed efficacy of HDB in progressive

MS patients had been suggested to - at least in part - result from increased myelin synthesis in oligodendrocytes. This assumption stems from the observation that, within the central nervous system, the ACC-265 isoform appears to be predominantly expressed in oligodendrocytes and that highest ACC 265 activity correlates with the course of postnatal central nervous system myelination (Tansey and Cammer, 1988; Sedel et al., 2016). Similarly, there is evidence for significantly increased ACC 265 expression during postnatal sciatic nerve myelination (Salles et al., 2003). Furthermore, ACC-265 expression has been reported to be reduced by approximately 70% in sciatic nerves of trembler mutant mice, a model for peripheral nervous system dysmyelination (Salles et al., 2003). These findings indicate a fundamental role of ACC 265 in developmental peripheral nervous system myelination and may therefore have implications for targeting ACC-265 activity during the process of remyelination.

To this end, we performed sciatic nerve crush in wild-type C57BL/6J mice treated with 60 mg/kg biotin (corresponding to human equivalent dose of 300 mg per day in MS patients (Sedel et al., 2016) via daily intraperitoneal injection, starting immediately after crush injury was introduced. Animals were subjected to clinical testing by grip strength analysis of the left (non-crushed) and right (crushed) hindlimbs at 2 days before and 7, 14 and 21 days post-crush (**Figure 1A**). We did not observe any improvement of grip strength under HDB treatment. Coherently, histological analyses performed at 21 days post-crush (**Figure 1B**) revealed that HDB did not affect the distribution of myelinated axons (**Figure 1C**) and, most importantly, did not improve myelin thickness as determined by g-ratio measurements (**Figure 1D**). At 14 days post-crush, during the phase of ongoing remyelination, we prepared sciatic nerve homogenates to quantify the ACC enzymatic product malonyl-CoA, a building block for fatty acid synthesis. In line with elevated metabolic activity in the regenerating nerve, we were able to detect highly significant increases in malonyl-CoA levels in crushed nerves as compared to noncrushed controls (**Figure 1E**). However, there was no additional improvement under HDB treatment, indicating that the proposed mechanism of HDB driving ACC activity and thus malonyl-CoA formation is not present to a measurable extent. Of note, HDB treatment was nevertheless well NEURAL REGENERATION RESEARCH
www.nrronline.org

tolerated by the animals and did not affect weight (**Figure 2A**) or basic hematological parameters (**Figure 2B–G**).

Collectively, our findings strongly suggest that HDB is not effective in promoting peripheral nerve regeneration and that HDB does not modulate the activity of its proposed target – namely ACC and malonyl-CoA production. As such, we conclude that HDB does not improve myelin repair in the peripheral nervous system, which may explain why it cannot promote remyelination in the central nervous system.

We thank Stefanie Hezel and Kristina Wagner (both at the Department of Neurology, University Medicine Essen, Germany) for excellent technical assistance.

Fabian Szepanowski* , Rebecca D. Steubing, Cansu Güngör, Jaqueline Zipfel, Anne K. Mausberg, Christoph Kleinschnitz, Mark Stettner

Department of Neurology and Center for Translational Neuro- and Behavioral Sciences (C-TNBS), University Medicine Essen, University Duisburg-Essen, Essen, Germany ***Correspondence to:** Fabian Szepanowski, PhD, fabian.szepanowski@uk-essen.de. https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2744-5416 (Fabian Szepanowski) **Date of submission:** August 25, 2022 **Date of decision:** October 10, 2022 **Date of acceptance:** October 25, 2022 **Date of web publication:** November 25, 2022

https://doi.org/10.4103/1673-5374.361542

How to cite this article: *Szepanowski F, Steubing RD, Güngör C, Zipfel J, Mausberg AK, Kleinschnitz C, Stettner M (2023) High-dose biotin neither fosters remyelination nor stimulates malonyl coenzyme A synthesis in the regenerating nerve. Neural Regen Res 18(7):1623-1624.*

Availability of data and materials: *All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this published article and its supplementary information files.*

Open access statement: *This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons AttributionNonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.* **Open peer reviewer:** *Christina Dimovasili, Boston*

University, USA.

Additional files:

Additional file 1: Detailed procedures. Additional file 2: Open peer review report 1.

References

Cree BAC, Mares J, Hartung HP (2019) Current therapeutic landscape in multiple sclerosis: an evolving treatment paradigm. Curr Opin Neurol 32:365-377.

NEURAL REGENERATION RESEARCH
www.nrronline.org Non-crushed Crushed **B** Non-crushed Crushed **A** $\frac{2}{30}$ 30
Grip strength (10
 $\frac{30}{30}$ 30 **+** Vehicle Grip strength (10–2 $\frac{30}{10}$ 30 B_h Vehicle 20 20 10 10 0 –2 +7 +14 +21 Day(s) post-crush –2 +7 +14 +21 Day(s) post-crush Biotin **E C D** myelinated axons (

2008

2009 $\frac{9}{10}$ 0.8
 $\frac{9}{10}$ 0.7 $\overline{3}$ **Vehicle** onyl-CoA(ng/g) Malonyl-CoA (ng/g) Biotin 60 0.7 $\overline{2}$ 40 0.6 1 20 $\overline{0}$. 0 $\begin{array}{cccc} 2 & \geq 2 & \geq 4 & \geq 6 \end{array}$ Non-crushed Crushed Crushed Crushed Crushed

Figure 1|**High-dose biotin neither improves peripheral nerve regeneration nor elevates malonyl-coenzyme A (CoA) levels.**

Axon diameter (μm)

(A) Grip strength was assessed in crushed and contralateral non-crushed hindlimbs 2 days before crush (−2) and 7 (+7), 14 (+14) and 21 (+21) days post-crush (*n* = 10 for both groups and all time points). (B) Toluidine blue-stained semithin sections of the distal injured nerve stump at 21 days post-crush. Scale bar: 10 µm. (C) Axon diameter histogram indicates no differences in the percentage of myelinated axons in crushed nerves between the treatment groups. (D) g-ratio measurements at 21 days post-crush indicate no impact of high-dose biotin treatment on myelin thickness and remyelination, respectively (*n* = 3 for all columns). (E) Malonyl-CoA levels are strongly upregulated in the regenerating nerve (*P* < 0.0001 non-crushed *vs.* crushed nerves), but not increased by high-dose biotin treatment (*n* = 7/8/7/8 from left to right). Data are represented as mean ± SEM, and were analyzed by Student's *t*-test. The detailed procedures are shown in Additional file 1. Unpublished Data.

Figure 2 | **High-dose biotin does not affect body weight or basic hemogram profiles.**

(A) High-dose biotin did not affect body weight after crush injury (*n* = 10 for both groups). At 21 days post-injury, hemogram profiles were generated from blood samples taken by retrobulbar puncture immediately after animals were sacrificed. (B) The total number of circulating leukocytes was unaffected by treatment with high-dose biotin. (C) Coherently, no significant differences were observed for leukocyte subpopulations including lymphocytes, neutrophils, monocytes, eosinophils and basophils. (D–G) Also, no differences were detected in basic parameters including erythrocytes (D), hemoglobin (HGB) (E), hematocrit (HCT) (F) and platelets (G) (*n* = 9 for both groups). Data are represented as mean ± SEM, and were analyzed by Student's *t*-test. The detailed procedures are shown in Additional file 1. Unpublished Data.

Letter to the Editor

- Cree BAC, Cutter G, Wolinsky JS, Freedman MS, Comi G, Giovannoni G, Hartung HP, Arnold D, Kuhle J, Block V, Munschauer FE, Sedel F, Lublin FD (2020) Safety and efficacy of MD1003 (high-dose biotin) in patients with progressive multiple sclerosis (SPI2): a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase 3 trial. Lancet Neurol 19:988-997.
- Levin MC, Douglas JN, Meyers L, Lee S, Shin Y, Gardner LA (2014) Neurodegeneration in multiple sclerosis involves multiple pathogenic mechanisms. Degener Neurol Neuromuscul Dis 4:49-63.
- Salles J, Sargueil F, Knoll-Gellida A, Witters LA, Cassagne C, Garbay B (2003) Acetyl-CoA carboxylase and SREBP expression during peripheral nervous system myelination. Biochim Biophys Acta 1631:229-238.
- Sedel F, Papeix C, Bellanger A, Touitou V, Lebrun-Frenay C, Galanaud D, Gout O, Lyon-Caen O, Tourbah A (2015) High doses of biotin in chronic progressive multiple sclerosis: a pilot study. Mult Scler Relat Disord 4:159- 169.
- Sedel F, Bernard D, Mock DM, Tourbah A (2016) Targeting demyelination and virtual hypoxia with high-dose biotin as a treatment for progressive multiple sclerosis. Neuropharmacology 110:644-653.
- Szepanowski F, Warnke C, Meyer Zu Hörste G, Mausberg AK, Hartung HP, Kleinschnitz C, Stettner M (2021) Secondary immunodeficiency and risk of infection following immune therapies in neurology. CNS Drugs 35:1173-1188.
- Tansey FA, Cammer W (1988) Acetyl-CoA carboxylase in rat brain. I. Activities in homogenates and isolated fractions. Brain Res 471:123-130.
- Tourbah A, Lebrun-Frenay C, Edan G, Clanet M, Papeix C, Vukusic S, De Seze J, Debouverie M, Gout O, Clavelou P, Defer G, Laplaud DA, Moreau T, Labauge P, Brochet B, Sedel F, Pelletier J, MS-SPI study group (2016) MD1003 (high-dose biotin) for the treatment of progressive multiple sclerosis: A randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled study. Mult Scler 22:1719-1731.

Zempleni J, Kuroishi T (2012) Biotin. Adv Nutr 3:213-214.

P-Reviewer: Dimovasili C; C-Editors: Zhao M, Liu WJ, Yu J; T-Editor: Jia Y