OXFORD GENETICS

https://doi.org/10.1093/genetics/iyad025 Advance Access Publication Date: 17 February 2023 Investigation

ם Genome Ge

ፊ), where of the one of

ۂ of the offer offer of the offer offer offer of the offer offer

*Corresponding author: Email: dab416@lehigh.edu

Abstract

Keywords: Drosophila, synapse, neuromuscular junction, flight

Introduction

Synapses are the key structures that underlie communication in the nervous system. While synaptic growth and development are well established (Turrigiano and Nelson 2004; Collins and DiAntonio 2007), relatively little is known regarding how synapses are maintained during aging. Synaptic communication is a dynamic process that requires these structures to be responsive to changes throughout all stages of life of an organism (Bishop et al. 2010; López-Otín et al. 2013). Emerging evidence has highlighted the importance of autophagy (Vijayan and Verstreken 2017) and proteostasis (Martínez et al. 2018) in sustaining synapses. Synaptic dysfunction is also among the earliest hallmarks of neurodegenerative diseases (Selkoe 2002; Oddo et al. 2003; Lodato et al. 2018), highlighting the central role of synaptic maintenance in sustaining neuronal function. To better understand the cellular and molecular mechanisms by which synaptic integrity is maintained, we seek to identify and characterize the genes involved in maintaining healthy synapses through aging.

One well-established model to study synaptic integrity has been the *Drosophila* neuromuscular junction (NMJ) (Jan and Jan 1976; Broadie and Bate 1993a, b). The larval NMJ is a wellcharacterized model that has been indispensable for our understanding of synapse growth and development (Collins and DiAntonio 2007; Harris and Littleton 2015). However, these structures only remain for a few days before being dismantled shortly after puparium formation (Liu *et al.* 2010), limiting our ability to use this model to study aging synapses over longer periods of time. In order to understand how synapses are maintained with age, one appealing model is the adult dorsal longitudinal muscle (DLM) NMJs that are required for flight behavior (Fernandes *et al.* 1991; Fernandes and VijayRaghavan 1993; Fernandes *et al.* 1996; Fernandes and Keshishian 1998; Hebbar and Fernandes 2004). These structures form tripartite synapses comprised of presynaptic motor neurons, postsynaptic muscles, and closely associated glia (Danjo *et al.* 2011). These tissues are amenable to assess both synaptic structure and function throughout the adult stage (Babcock and Ganetzky 2014; Sidisky *et al.* 2021).

One major strength of using Drosophila is the power of forward genetic screens to identify novel genes associated with a particular phenotype (Palladino *et al.* 2002). In addition to mutagenesis screens, using variation that exists in natural populations has emerged as a powerful tool for identifying genes associated with biological processes. The Drosophila Genetic Reference Panel (DGRP), for example, consists of over 200 fully sequenced isogeneic fly stocks to identify single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) associated with a given trait (Mackay *et al.* 2012). The DGRP has been used in several genome-wide studies including traumatic brain injury (TBI) (Katzenberger *et al.* 2015), retinitis pigmentosa (Chow *et al.* 2016), and dopaminergic neuron viability (Davis *et al.* 2021). Additionally, DGRP studies can also use changes in locomotor behavior, such as climbing to study the decline in physical activity on

Received: April 29, 2022. Accepted: February 09, 2023

lifespan (Wilson *et al.* 2020). With the strength of Genome-wide association studies studies, the DGRP could be applied to identify novel genes involved with synaptic maintenance.

In this study, we utilized the DGRP to identify novel regulators of synaptic maintenance at adult DLM NMJs in association with progressive loss of flight ability. Our screen detected variation in maintaining flight ability across DGRP lines. Here we focus primarily on the 10 most highly associated genes that have clear human homologs. We then functionally validated candidate genes that harbor SNPs associated with defects in maintaining flight ability using both mutant analysis and tissue-specific RNAi knockdown. We found that a progressive loss of flight ability is a strong indicator of defects in synaptic maintenance through quantification of gross morphology showing changes in neurite length, bouton count, and assessment of presynaptic and postsynaptic markers. Overall, our results described here identify several genes that regulate the maintenance of synaptic structure and function with age.

Methods

Fly stocks and husbandry

The following fly stocks were obtained from the Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center: DGRP fly stocks (Mackay et al. 2012), Cora^{EY07598} (#16848) (Bellen et al. 2004), MSP300^{MI02921} (#43857) (Nagarkar-Jaiswal et al. 2015), futsch^{N94} (#8805) (Hummel et al. 2000), cv-2²²⁵⁻³ (#6342) (Conley et al. 2000), serrate^D (#81122) (Fleming et al. 1990), frq2⁰⁶¹³¹ (#18939) (Thibault et al. 2004), DOPR2^{MB05108} (#24743) (Bellen et al. 2011), GMAP^{EP491} (#10130) (Bellen et al. 2004), BMCP^{BG02446} (#12688) (Bellen et al. 2004), pumilio^{bem} (#6782) (Stern et al. 1995), 10xUAS-mCD8::GFP (#32184) (Pfeiffer et al. 2010), Oregon-R (#5), MHC-Gal4 (#55132) (Klein et al. 2014), Repo-Gal4 (#7415) (Sepp et al. 2001), BG380-Gal4 (#80580) (Sanyal 2009), Tubulin-gal4 (Lee and Luo 1999) UAS-Dicer-2 (#24651) (Dietzl et al. 2007), UAS-Dicer-2 (#24650) (Dietzl et al. 2007), UAS-MSP300^{IR} (#32848) (Ni et al. 2011), UAS-futsch^{IR} (#40834) (Ni et al. 2011), UAS-cv-2^{IR} (#37514) (Ni et al. 2011), UAS-serrate^{IR} (#34700) (Perkins et al. 2015), UAS-frq2^{IR} (#28711) (Ni et al. 2009), UAS-DOPR2^{IR} (#65997) (Ni et al. 2009), UAS-GMAP^{IR} (#64863) (Ni et al. 2009), and UAS-BMCP^{IR} (#37498) (Perkins et al. 2015). The following fly stocks were obtained from the Vienna Drosophila Resource Center: UAS-cora^{IR} (#9788) (Dietzl et al. 2007) and UAS-pumilio^{IR} (#45815) (Dietzl et al. 2007).

Flight behavior

Analysis of flight behavior was performed as previously described (Babcock and Ganetzky 2014). For assessment of flight behavior, flies were transferred to glass vials and released down a 90-cm tube with a removable plastic sheet coated in Tangle Trap (Tanglefoot Company). The landing average for each fly was recorded to the nearest centimeter as previously described (Sidisky *et al.* 2021). For all experiments, males and females were assayed separately. For flight experiments using the DGRP stocks, the landing height was calculated as the flight index, which is determined by subtracting the average landing height at Day 21 (D21) from the Day 3 (D3) value.

Immunohistochemistry

DLMs were dissected as previously described (Sidisky and Babcock 2020). Briefly, preps were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 30 min

and washed with $1 \times$ phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) four times. Preparations were then flash frozen using liquid nitrogen and dissected in ice-cold PBS. Samples were next treated with blocking buffer (0.1% normal goat serum, 0.2% Triton X-100) for at least 1 h at 4°C. For gross morphology assessment, tissues were stained with FITC-conjugated anti-horseradish peroxidase (HRP) 1:200 (Jackson Laboratories) for 2 h at room temperature (RT) in a dark box and then washed $4 \times$ for 5 min each with PBS with 0.3% Triton (PBS-T). For all other experiments, tissues were blocked for at least 1 h and then treated with primary antibodies for 48 h at 4°C. After four PBS-T washes, samples were then incubated in secondary antibodies for 2 h at RT in the dark. Samples were then washed 4 × with PBS-T and mounted on glass slides with Vectashield (Vector Laboratories). The following primary antibody was used: mouse monoclonal anti-SYNORF1 1:25 (3C11, target Synapsin, Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank) (Klagges et al. 1996), rabbit anti-Drosophila p21-activated kinase (dPak) 1:2,000 (Harden et al. 1996), and chicken polyclonal anti-GFP (Thermo Fisher). The following secondary antibodies were used: goat anti-mouse Alexa-488 1:200 (Invitrogen), goat anti-rabbit Alexa-568 1:200 (Invitrogen), Cy3-conjugated anti-HRP 1:500 (Jackson Laboratories), FITC-conjugated anti-HRP 1:200 (Jackson Laboratories), AF647-conjugated anti-HRP 1:25 (Jackson Laboratories), and Phalloidin 647 1:1,000 (Abcam ab176759).

Assessment of synaptic morphology

DLM images were acquired using a $63 \times$ oil objective (N.A. 1.4) on a Zeiss LSM 880 confocal microscope. Z-stacks of 45 slices (0.7 µm interval) were acquired at a constant depth starting from the top surface of muscle fiber D (Sidisky *et al.* 2021). For image analysis of gross morphology (Fig. 11, Supplementary Figures 1 and 7), 10 images were acquired from independent samples for each genotype. Z-stacks were transformed into maximum intensity projections using FIJI software (Schindelin *et al.* 2012). Total neurite length (µM) was measured using the Simple Neurite Tracer (SNT) plug-in to trace the HRP staining (Longair *et al.* 2011; Arshadi *et al.* 2021) and then analyzed using the Skeletonize 3D plug-in (Schindelin *et al.* 2012) for each image. Brightness and contrast were adjusted using ImageJ software (NIH) Fiji (Schindelin *et al.* 2012) and Adobe Photoshop CC2022.

Quantification of bouton density and presynaptic and postsynaptic markers

DLM images for assessment of bouton density, bouton number, and presynaptic and postsynaptic markers were acquired using a 63 × objective (N.A. 1.4) on a Zeiss LSM 880 confocal microscope at a 2.5 x zoom. Confocal parameters were uniform for all images and genotypes with optimization for the synaptic markers. Z-stacks of 30 slices of muscle fiber D (1.0 µm interval) were acquired at a constant depth from the surface of the muscle fiber D. For image analysis, 12 images were acquired, 3 per sample, over 4 independent samples for an accurate assessment of synaptic markers in the anterior region of muscle fiber D for Day 3 and Day 21 females for each mutant and controls. Z-stacks were transformed into maximum intensity projections using FIJI software (Schindelin et al. 2012). Bouton density was calculated by first identifying synaptic boutons through the neuronal membrane marker HRP (Jan and Jan 1982) to count the boutons with FIJI's Region of Interest (ROI) tool (Schindelin et al. 2012). The total neurite length was accessed as described above using SNT plug-in to trace the HRP (Longair et al. 2011; Arshadi et al. 2021) and then analyzed using the Skeletonize 3D plug-in (Schindelin et al. 2012) for each image. Bouton density was then calculated by dividing the bouton number by total neurite length and multiplying by 1,000 μM for all samples. Brightness and contrast were adjusted using ImageJ software (NIH) Fiji (Schindelin et al., 2012) and Adobe Photoshop CC2022.

To assess synaptic markers, DLM images were acquired as described above for the bouton density assessment with 12 images acquired for each group, 3 per sample, over 4 independent samples for an accurate assessment of synaptic markers in the anterior region of muscle fiber D for Day 3 and Day 21 females for each mutant and control. First boutons were identified with HRP and selected using the oval area tool in FIJI (Schindelin *et al.* 2012). The presynaptic marker Synapsin and the postsynaptic density marker dPak were assessed by counting the number of boutons positive for either marker. The synapsin- or dPak-positive boutons were calculated as a percentage out of the total number of boutons in each image. Brightness and contrast were adjusted using ImageJ software (NIH) Fiji (Schindelin *et al.* 2012) and Adobe Photoshop CC2022.

Total RNA isolation and reverse transcription quantitative polymerase chain reaction

Total RNA was isolated from a group of 30 DLMs of 3-day-old adult female mutant flies. For the RNAi fly lines, groups of 20 whole bodies of 3-day-old adult female flies or third instar larvae were used to isolate the total RNA. Immediately after isolating each group, all tissues (DLMs/whole bodies/larvae) were flash frozen on liquid nitrogen and stored on -80°C freezer as previously described (Sidisky et al. 2021). Mutant lines used in these experiments are described above, and the control group was Oregon-R (also called wildtype). For RNAi experiments, F1 female flies were collected from the following crosses: (1) test groups [MHC-gal4 (muscle driver) females and males of UAS-cora^{IR}, UAS-MSP300^{IR}, UAS-serrate^{IR}, UAS-frq2^{IR}, and UAS-pumilio^{IR} and tubulin-gal4 (ubiquitous driver) females and males of UAS-futsch^{IR}, UAS-CV-2^{IR}, UAS-GMAP^{IR}, and $\textit{UAS-BMCP}^{\text{IR}}$] and (2) control groups (Oregon-R females and males of the RNAi lines described above). Due to technical limitations, the mRNA expression level of DOPR2 was not assessed.

Total RNA extraction was performed with Monarch Total RNA Miniprep Kit (NEB) following tissue and leukocyte protocol as previously described (Kao *et al.* 2019). Total RNA purity and integrity was accessed on NanoDrop One Spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher). cDNA was synthesized using qScript cDNA SuperMix (Quantabio) according to manufacturer's instruction.

Reverse transcription quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR) was performed using PowerUP Sybr Green Master Mix (Applied Biosystems) on an ABI7300 or a Rotor-Gene. Each assay was run in technical triplicates on ABI7300 and in technical duplicates on Rotor-Gene. Data normalization was performed against Actin5C (Dalui and Bhattacharyya 2014) and calibrated to proper control, as described on each figure. Primer efficiencies were determined, and analysis was performed with LinREgPCR (Ruijter *et al.* 2009). Primer pairs were designed using NCBI primer design tool and are listed in Supplementary Table 3.

Statistical analysis

Data are shown as mean or mean \pm SD. The statistical significance of the flight behavior, synaptic morphology, bouton density, and assessment of pre- and postsynaptic markers were analyzed using a one-way ANOVA with Tukey's post hoc comparisons. RT-qPCR data was analyzed using the Kruskal–Wallis test for more than two independent small samples and Mann–Whitney U test to compare two independent small samples. All statistical analyses were carried out using GraphPad PRISM 9 software (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA). SNP analysis associated with the progressive loss of flight ability was generated through the DGRP pipeline (http://dgrp2.gnets.ncsu.edu/) (Huang et al. 2014).

Results

Maintenance of flight ability varies across genetic backgrounds

To identify genes required to maintain synaptic integrity, we first screened for a progressive loss of flight ability with age as an initial readout. Our previous work demonstrated a strong link between impaired flight ability and the loss of DLM synaptic integrity (Sidisky and Babcock 2020; Sidisky et al. 2021), suggesting that this strategy would likely uncover genes associated with synaptic maintenance. We assessed flight ability in 198 DGRP fly stocks at both Day 3 and Day 21. We chose Day 3 for our initial measurements to allow the DLM innervation pattern to stabilize following pruning that occurs during metamorphosis (Hebbar and Fernandes 2005). We used Day 21 since our previous findings revealed synaptic defects in a model of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS) at this time (Sidisky and Babcock 2020). The average landing height for each genotype was scored at both timepoints (Fig. 1, dots), and genotypes were ranked based on the difference between these values (Fig. 1, orange bars). While the majority of stocks performed well at Day 3, we found greater variability of flight performance at Day 21. Flight ability remained similar between Days 3 and 21 for some genotypes, while others showed a major reduction in flight ability with age (Fig. 1, Supplementary Table 1). Overall, these results demonstrate that maintaining flight ability with age is a phenotype that varies considerably across genetic backgrounds.

Age-dependent flight impairments are linked to synaptic defects

To assess whether a progressive loss of flight performance is associated with impaired synaptic maintenance within DLMs, we measured NMJ morphology in DGRP stocks that ranked high or low in our initial screen. We found that NMJ integrity remained intact with age in Line 301, which showed a strong flight performance at Day 21, with total neurite length remaining consistent with age (Supplementary Fig. 1a, c, and e). In contrast, NMJ morphology in Line 91, which flew poorly at Day 21, displayed a significant decrease in neurite length from Day 3 to Day 21



Fig. 1. Progressive loss of flight ability varies across genetic backgrounds. Average landing heights of 198 DGRP stocks measured at Day 3 (teal dots) and Day 21 (purple dots). Orange bars represent the magnitude of difference between these values for each stock and were calculated by (D21 – D3). Error bars represent SEM. Flight ability was analyzed for each condition in triplicate.



מ

Identification of genes harboring SNPs associated with progressive flight defects

ncsu.edu/) (Huang et al. 2014). This analysis revealed that SNPs in several genes were highly associated with a loss of flight ability (Supplementary Table 2). To begin functionally validating this data set, we initially focused on a subset of these genes that includes the 10 most highly associated genes with a loss of flight ability that also have a clear human ortholog (Table 1). To further investigate the potential roles of these genes in maintaining synaptic integrity, we acquired publicly available mutant alleles and RNAi transgenes associated with these genes for analysis. Table 1. Top candidate genes with human orthologs.

Rank	Candidate gene	SNP ^a	P-value ^a	Human ortholog	OMIM
1	cora	2R:15117148	1.87E-07	EPB41L1	602879
2	Msp300	2L: 5154234	4.69E-07	SYNE1	608441
3	futsch	X: 1325055	5.64E-07	MAP1A	600178
4	cv-2	2R:17242555	8.13E-07	BMPER	608699
5	Serrate	3R: 23009203	1.18E-06	JAG1	601920
6	Frq2	X: 18092812	5.10E-06	NCS1	603315
7	DopR2	3R: 25457938	6.75E-06	ADRB1	109630
8	Gmap	X: 15388968	6.96E-06	TRIP11	604505
9	Bmcp	3L: 11713848	6.97E-06	SLC25A30	610793
10	pumilio	3R: 4967373	1.31E-05	PUM2	607205

Identification of genes harboring SNPs that are most significantly associated with a progressive loss of flight. This list highlights the 10 most highly associated genes that have a clear human homolog.

 $^{a}\,$ SNP with the most statistically significant association for this candidate gene.

Mutant analysis of candidate genes reveals defects in flight behavior

To functionally validate the hits from our screen, we assessed flight performance with age using mutant alleles for each candidate gene. To account for possible sex-specific differences, male and female flies were collected, raised, and assessed separately. Although we did not encounter any sex-specific differences in flight performance for DGRP fly stocks, we did uncover some differences with a few mutant alleles (Fig. 2 and Supplementary Fig. 2). Because of this, we analyzed male and female flight performance separately. For each allele, flight ability was measured at both Day 3 and Day 21, and transcript levels were assessed for changes in gene expression (Supplementary Fig. 3).

In our mutant analysis of female flies, we found that mutations in 9 of the 10 candidate genes showed a significant flight defect in comparison to wildtype controls from their genetic background (Fig. 2). The only exception was the allele *Ser^D*, which did not differ significantly from the *Oregon-R* control. Mutations in eight of these genes displayed a progressive, age-dependent loss of flight ability [cora, futsch, msp300, Crossveinless 2 (cv-2), FRQ2, DopR2, GMAP, and BMCP], while the pumilio showed severe flight defects at both Day 3 and Day 21. Interestingly, a significant decrease in the transcript level was only detected in Golgi microtubule-associated protein (GMAP) and BMCP alleles (Supplementary Fig. 3). This suggests that some of the flight defects are due to factors beyond a simple reduction in the gene expression level.

When performing mutant analysis using male flies, we found that mutations in 8 of the 10 candidate genes displayed a significant flight defect compared to wildtype controls from their genetic background (Supplementary Fig. 2). Among these eight mutant alleles, six showed a progressive, age-dependent decline in flight performance (cora, msp300, cv-2, serrate, frq2, BMCP), while the other two (futsch, pumilio) showed a significant flight defect even at Day 3. Neither of the mutant alleles for the two remaining genes (GMAP and DOPR2) showed a flight defect (Supplementary Fig. 2). It is currently unclear why sex-specific differences in flight performance exist specifically with these two alleles, but this could help determine the mechanism by which these genes help maintain flight performance with age. Altogether, these results align with the initial findings from our DGRP screen and highlight the roles of these genes in maintaining flight performance with age.

Mutations in candidate genes disrupt NMJ morphology

栋ႏႏႏႏႏႏႏႏႏႏႏႏႏႏႏႏႏႏႏႏႏႏႏႏႏႏႏႏႏႏႏႏႏ

In addition to neurite length, we also measured bouton density in each of these mutant alleles to assess synaptic morphology (Fig. 3h). We found a significant decrease in bouton density in *DopR2*, *BMCP*, and *pum* alleles, suggesting that changes to boutons more closely align with flight defects than neurite length itself.

We next performed the same analysis using mutant alleles for candidate genes generated in a separate *yellow–white* background. When measuring progressive changes to neurite length, we similarly found a progressive increase in neurite length compared to *yw* controls with mutant alleles of *futsch*, *cora*, *cv-2*, and *msp300* (Fig. 5a–f). However, there was also a progressive loss of bouton density in each of these mutants (Fig. 5g). This may suggest that the increased neurite length may not be completely functionally intact.

We also analyzed presynaptic and postsynaptic markers in these mutant alleles with age. Similar to the other mutant alleles, we found a progressive loss of both synapsin-positive and dPak-positive boutons in mutant alleles of *futsch*, *cora*, *cv*-2, and *msp300* (Fig. 6). Overall, these mutant alleles displayed remarkably similar phenotypes compared to those in other genetic backgrounds.

Finally, we measured NMJ gross morphology and synaptic markers in an allele of *serrate* in comparison to *Oregon-R* controls. When assessing gross morphology in *serrate* mutants, we again observed a progressive increase in total neurite length and a progressive decrease in bouton density (Fig. 7). However, we found similar phenotypes in the *Oregon-R* controls, revealing that the genetic background is responsible, at least to some extent, for the alterations to synaptic gross morphology in these mutants.

While the changes to gross morphology in *serrate* mutants appear to depend on genetic background, we found very different

ె In Information Informatio Informatio Information Information Information Information Infor

results using synaptic markers in these mutants. We observed a progressive loss of both synapsin-positive and dPak-positive boutons in *serrate* mutants compared to controls (Fig. 8). Altogether, these results suggest that mutations in most of the candidate

genes from our screen display an age-dependent loss of flight ability, accompanied by an increase in neurite length, decrease in bouton density, and progressive loss of both presynaptic and postsynaptic markers.

ਛ height he

ె Desting in the second in the second is the s

Tissue-specific knockdown of candidate genes highlights the roles of neurons, muscles, and glia in synaptic maintenance

To uncover the tissue(s) in which our candidate genes are required to maintain synaptic integrity, we selectively knocked each of these genes down in either muscles (*MHC-Gal4*), motor neurons (*BG380-Gal4*), or glia (*Repo-Gal4*). Tissue-specific knockdown of candidate genes in female flies (Fig. 10) revealed roles for each component of the tripartite synapse in maintaining synaptic integrity.

а series se

Finally, we examined glial-specific knockdown of each candidate gene in maintaining flight performance. We found small but significant effects upon knockdown of either *DopR2* or *Gmap* in glia (Fig. 10g–h), while the most striking progressive loss of flight ability was found by knocking down *cora* (Fig. 10a).

We also analyzed flight performance in male flies using the same genotypes. The phenotypes found in male flies largely recapitulated the results found using females (Supplementary Fig. 4), with a few notable exceptions. Glial-specific knockdown of cora, for example, was lethal in male flies (Supplementary Fig. 4a). Additionally, muscle-specific knockdown of cv-2 resulted in progressive loss of flight ability (Supplementary Fig. 4d). Finally, motor neuron-specific knockdown of cora, msp300, serrate, and pumilio showed a much stronger flight defect in male flies compared with females (Supplementary Fig. 4a, b, e, and j). We also measured flight performance using each Gal4 driver alone (Supplementary Fig. 5) as additional controls and only observed a small yet significant change in flight behavior using BG380-Gal4. We did not observe any additional flight defects in these controls, suggesting that the flight defects found are primarily due to the specific knockdown of the candidate genes.

ا

in multiple tissues, suggesting shared mechanisms of maintenance in muscles, motor neurons, and glia.

RNAi-mediated knockdown of candidate genes disrupts NMJ morphology

To assess whether tissue-specific knockdown of candidate genes impairs synaptic integrity at DLM synapses, we measured gross morphology of NMJs upon knockdown of these genes knocked down in various tissues. We chose one example of a condition resulting in progressive flight defects in each tissue: muscle, motor neuron, and glia.

We first accessed NMJ morphology upon muscle-specific knockdown of *serrate* in postsynaptic muscle tissue. At Day 3, *serrate* knockdown showed early signs of impairment with a decrease in neurite length compared to the control (Fig. 11a, b, and e). By Day 21, the *serrate* knockdown showed a progressive loss of neurite length compared with the age-matched controls (Fig. 11c–e). We also confirmed that the use of the Gal4 drivers alone did not produce a change in neurite length (Supplementary Fig. 7). These results demonstrate a role for *serrate* in retaining synaptic integrity through postsynaptic muscle tissue.

We next assessed NMJ morphology upon knockdown of futsch in motor neurons. At Day 3, motor neuron knockdown of futsch resulted in a total neurite length comparable to the control (Fig. 11f, g, and j). However, by Day 21, futsch knockdown displayed a significant decrease in total neurite length when compared to Day 3 (Fig. 11g and j) and age-matched controls (Fig. 11h–j). These data demonstrate the role of futsch in maintaining synaptic structure by acting in presynaptic motor neurons.

To investigate the role of glia in preserving synaptic integrity, we assessed how knockdown of *cora* in glia impacts synaptic morphology (Fig. 11k-o). At Day 3, we observed no change in

ਡ [111]

neurite length between glia knockdown of *cora* and the controls (Fig. 11k, l, and o). In contrast, by Day 21, *cora* knockdown in glia presented a significant increase in neurite length compared to the Day 21 control and the Day 3 knockdown (Fig. 111–o). Both the flight defect in glia knockdown of *cora* (Fig. 10a) and the increase in neurite length suggests that *cora* may have a role in regulating neurite growth in glia to sustain synaptic integrity. Together, these results support the tissue-specific roles of each gene as regulators of synaptic maintenance.

Discussion

Our results highlight genes with a wide variety of functions that mediate synaptic maintenance with age. Mutations in the vast majority of candidate genes recapitulated the results uncovered through SNP analysis using the DGRP, and tissue-specific knockdown of these genes revealed critical roles for these genes in various tissues that comprise the tripartite synapse.

Although further studies will be needed to identify the specific mechanisms by which these genes are required to maintain synaptic integrity, we briefly highlight the known functions of these genes to determine how they may be involved in this process.

Muscle development and function

As a ligand for the Notch receptor, serrate is most commonly associated with wing morphogenesis (Speicher *et al.* 1994). However, the flight defects and disruption of synaptic morphology seen here indicate a specific role for serrate within muscle cells. Previous work has demonstrated a role for serrate in the development of the indirect flight muscles. Specifically, serrate is required for proliferation of adult muscle progenitor (AMP) cells, from which the indirect flight muscles develop (Gunage et al. 2014). Our results showing a progressive, age-dependent loss of synaptic maintenance suggest that serrate is also required in muscles after their development.

Notch signaling has also been shown to affect synapse morphology in the olfactory circuit in *Drosophila*. Activation of the Notch ligand Delta in postsynaptic projection neurons acts back on presynaptic olfactory receptor neurons for canonical Notch signaling, thus restricting the overall architecture of glomeruli (Kidd and Lieber 2016). Since muscles are the postsynaptic cells of NMJs, our results suggest that serrate could regulate synaptic morphology via a similar mechanism. Serrate was also identified as a modifier of TDP-43-mediated toxicity in a *Drosophila* model of ALS (Zhan *et al.* 2013), further highlighting the role of serrate in maintaining neuromuscular integrity.

ၢ

We also found that muscle-specific knockdown of BMCP impairs synaptic maintenance. BMCP, which acts as a mitochondrial carrier protein, is proposed to regulate energy metabolism. Loss of function mutations in BMCP result in starvation sensitivity, decreased fertility, and reduced weight gain on a high-calorie diet, suggesting a role in maintaining metabolic homeostasis (Sánchez-Blanco *et al.* 2006). In contrast, overexpression of BMCP rescued locomotor impairments and the shortened lifespan resulting from expression of mutant huntingtin in glial cells (Besson *et al.* 2010). Our results showing a muscle-specific requirement of BMCP for maintaining synaptic integrity could be due to the fact that the indirect flight muscles use

an incredible amount of energy (Wigglesworth 1949) and include an abundance of mitochondria (Sohal 1975).

The mammalian homolog solute carrier family 25 (SLC25A30) is associated with the transport of solutes across the inner

mitochondrial membrane. In mice, SLC25A30 expression is most abundant in the kidney, where it is proposed to respond to increased mitochondrial metabolism (Haguenauer *et al.* 2005). Our results in the current study suggest that

mitochondrial activity in DLMs plays a crucial role in maintaining synaptic integrity.

Synaptic development

Coracle physically interacts with postsynaptic glutamate receptors (GluRs) and is required for proper synaptic localization and anchoring of receptors with GluRIIa subunits. Loss of function mutations in *coracle* results in decreased GluRIIa localization at both embryonic and larval NMJs (Chen et al. 2005). Our results are also consistent with a postsynaptic role in muscles, as both structural and functional integrity of DLM synapses are impaired following muscle-specific knockdown of *coracle*. It is possible that failure to maintain proper GluR localization leads to the morphological synaptic defects presented in this study.

Interestingly, we also found synaptic impairment when coracle is knocked down in glial cells. Previous work has demonstrated that coracle is required for the formation of septate junctions (Lamb et al. 1998). Additionally, loss of coracle function disrupts the integrity of the blood-brain barrier in Drosophila embryos (Stork et al. 2008), which is primarily formed by glial cells. Finally, knockdown of coracle in epidermal cells promotes dendrite overgrowth in sensory neurons (Tenenbaum et al. 2017). It is certainly possible that coracle also acts to limit synaptic growth by acting in glia that is closely associated with NMJs.

The human homolog of Cora is similarly involved in regulating GluR activity. Specifically, erythrocyte membrane protein band 4.1-like 1 (EPB41L1) regulates the insertion of AMPA receptors at glutamatergic synapses (Lin *et al.* 2009). Mutations in EPB41L1 disrupt glutamatergic systems in nonsyndromic intellectual disability (Hamdan *et al.* 2011), highlighting the role of regulating postsynaptic receptors in neuronal maintenance. Additionally, knocking out protein 4.1B, another member of the family of proteins 4.1, in mice causes peripheral nerve abnormalities and decreased levels of Caspr2, which has a *Drosophila* ortholog dNrxIV, another component of the septate junction (Buttermore *et al.* 2011; Cifuentes-Diaz *et al.* 2011; Einheber *et al.* 2013). Our results together with these previous data show that the family of proteins 4.1 is essential for the peripheral axon–glia interaction.

Frequenin 2 (Frq2) is a calcium-binding protein that regulates neurotransmitter release as well as bouton formation. Knockdown of *frq2* in motor neurons resulted in reduced quantal content, increased bouton number, and fewer active zones per bouton at larval NMJs, while the opposite phenotypes were observed in gain-of-function conditions (Romero-Pozuelo *et al.* 2007). Our results confirm a role for Frq2 in adult motor neurons but also show defects upon muscle-specific knockdown. Further studies will be needed to better understand how loss of Frq2 impacts the structure and function of both pre- and postsynaptic tissues.

Human neuronal calcium sensor 1 (NCS1) functions as a calcium ion sensor to modulate synaptic activity and secretion (Bourne *et al.* 2001). Evidence has also shown that the calciumbinding activity of NCS1 specifically modulates A-type K⁺ currents (Nakamura *et al.* 2001).

Futsch is a microtubule-associated protein with a wellestablished role in both neurite and synapse development (Hummel et al. 2000; Roos et al. 2000). It is thus unsurprising that disrupting Futsch function can dismantle synaptic structure and function. Human microtubule-associated protein 1a (MAP1A) similarly acts to stabilize microtubules and is found primarily within the central nervous system (Fink et al. 1996).

MSP-300, also referred to as *Drosophila* nesprin-1, is responsible for the proper positioning of organelles such as mitochondria and nuclei within muscle cells (Elhanany-Tamir *et al.* 2012). Additionally,

MSP-300 has been shown to regulate synapse maturation by localizing specific mRNAs (Packard *et al.* 2015) as well as GluRs (Morel *et al.* 2014) to postsynaptic sites. Our findings are consistent with a role of MSP-300 in postsynaptic muscle cells, as muscle-specific knockdown produced a progressive loss of flight ability.

The human homolog spectrin repeat-containing nuclear envelope protein 1 (SYNE1) encodes nesprin-1. Mutations in SYNE1 have been identified in patients suffering from spinocerebellar ataxia and autosomal recessive 8 (SCAR8) (Izumi et al. 2013), as well as Emery–Dreifuss muscular dystrophy (Zhang et al. 2007). It will be of great interest to investigate whether synaptic maintenance is defective in these conditions.

Pumilio is a translational repressor that has functions both in presynaptic motor neurons and postsynaptic muscle (Menon et al. 2009). Pum represses expression and translation of the GluR subunit GluRIIA, while mutations in Pum increase levels of GluRIIA dramatically (Menon et al. 2004). Our results showing a flight defect upon muscle-specific knockdown suggest that maintaining proper levels of postsynaptic proteins suck as GluRIIA is crucial for maintaining synaptic integrity with age.

The human homolog pumilio RNA-binding family member 2 (PUM2) has been shown to regulate genome stability (Lee *et al.* 2016). Together with our results demonstrated here, this highlights the potential role of maintaining gene expression over time in order to maintain synaptic integrity.

Intracellular transport

GMAP is uniformly expressed in late embryonic as well as larval stages, where it localizes primarily to the cis side of the Golgi stacks, near the endoplasmic reticulum. Golgi organization and anterograde transport through the Golgi are impaired by overexpression of GMAP (Friggi-Grelin *et al.* 2006). We found a progressive flight defects upon muscle-specific knockdown of GMAP. Thus, it is possible that Golgi sorting and processing are particularly sensitive in the DLMs.

The human homolog, thyroid hormone receptor interactor 11 (TRIP11), similarly encodes a Golgi microtubule-associated protein. Mutations in TRIP11 have been associated with lethal skeletal dysplasia (Smits *et al.* 2010). Specifically, hypomorphic TRIP11 mutations impaired secretion from the Golgi apparatus in patients suffering from odontochondrodysplasia (Wehrle *et al.* 2019).

While Futsch is known to regulate synaptic growth and development as mentioned above, microtubule instability resulting from loss of Futsch also results in defective axonal transport and neurodegeneration (Bettencourt da Cruz *et al.* 2005). Our results showing a progressive loss of flight ability upon knockdown in presynaptic motor neurons suggest that sustained axonal transport is central to synaptic maintenance.

Intercellular signaling

Our screen also revealed genes associated with intercellular signaling, which is fitting when considering the close connections between neurons, muscles, and glia at these NMJs. *cv*-2, for example, encodes a secreted protein that can either promote or inhibit BMP signaling (Conley *et al.* 2000) and physically binds with the BMP receptor thickveins (Serpe *et al.* 2008). Although the role of cv-2 has been most extensively investigated in wing development, BMP signaling is also critical for synapse development (Aberle *et al.* 2002; Marqués *et al.* 2002; Sweeney and Davis 2002; McCabe *et al.* 2003; Rawson *et al.* 2003; McCabe *et al.* 2004). We also recently identified the need for sustained BMP signaling with age to maintain synaptic integrity using *mayday* mutants (Sidisky *et al.* 2021). Our results here show a requirement of *cv*-2 expression within muscles, suggesting a postsynaptic role for maintaining synapses.

Human BMP-binding endothelial cell precursor-derived regulator (BMPER) has also been shown to be a negative regulator of BMP signaling, as BMPER protein inhibits BMP signaling and osteoblast differentiation (Binnerts *et al.* 2004). Additionally, mutations in human BMPER have been associated with the lethal skeletal disorder diaphanospondylodysostosis (Funari *et al.* 2010).

DopR2 is a specific dopamine receptor that is primarily found in the mushroom body, where it plays an important role in olfactory memory (Han *et al.* 1996). DopR2 is also involved in the optomotor response to a moving visual stimulus. Knockdown of DopR2 impairs dopaminergic innervation of the fan-shaped body, impairing this motor response (Akiba *et al.* 2020). Interestingly, knockdown of *DopR2* also results in an upregulation of immune and stress response genes (Regna *et al.* 2016), which is also associated with neurodegeneration (Cao *et al.* 2013).

While these studies have shown a clear role for DopR2 within the *Drosophila* brain, it is surprising that muscle-specific knockdown results in impaired synaptic maintenance. This suggests the possibility that there is dopaminergic innervation in either the indirect flight muscles or some other muscle tissue.

The human gene beta-1-adrenergic receptor (ADRB1) encodes a G-protein-coupled receptor found in greatest abundance in cardiac muscles (Frielle *et al.* 1987), and mutations in ADRB1 are associated with an increased risk of congenital heart failure (Small *et al.* 2002). Although the Drosophila homolog functions as a receptor for a different neurotransmitter, our results showing a role for DopR2 in postsynaptic muscle cells suggest that manipulation of neurotransmitter receptor signaling could impact synaptic maintenance.

Overall, our results shown here highlight several genes with wide-ranging functions that are required to maintain synaptic integrity with age. Further mechanistic studies should help further clarify the processes within motor neurons, muscles, and glia that are necessary to maintain these complex structures over time. These results will hopefully serve as a starting point for numerous future studies aimed at revealing neuroprotective mechanisms and strategies for maintaining nervous system function with age.

Data availability

The authors affirm that the conclusions of the article are fully contained within the article, figures, and tables. All reagents generated in this study will be made available upon request.

Supplemental material available at GENETICS online.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank members of the Babcock laboratory for helpful discussions and suggestions regarding the manuscript. This research was supported by the National Institutes of Health (R01NS110727 to DTB).

Funding

This work was supported by the National Institutes of Health (R01NS110727 to DTB).

Conflicts of interest

The authors confirm that no conflicts of interest exist.

Literature cited

- Akiba M, Sugimoto K, Aoki R, Murakami R, Miyashita T, Hashimoto R, Hiranuma A, Yamauchi J, Ueno T, Morimoto T. Dopamine modulates the optomotor response to unreliable visual stimuli in Drosophila melanogaster. Eur J Neurosci. 2020;51(3):822–839. doi:10.1111/ejn.14648.
- Arshadi C, Günther U, Eddison M, Harrington KI, Ferreira TA. SNT: a unifying toolbox for quantification of neuronal anatomy. Nat Methods. 2021;18(4):374–377. doi:10.1038/s41592-021-01105-7.
- Babcock DT, Ganetzky B. An improved method for accurate and rapid measurement of flight performance in Drosophila. J Vis Exp. 2014;(84):e51223. doi:10.3791/51223
- Bellen HJ, Levis RW, He Y, Carlson JW, Evans-Holm M, Bae E, Kim J, Metaxakis A, Savakis C, Schulze KL, et al. The Drosophila gene disruption project: progress using transposons with distinctive site specificities. Genetics. 2011;188(3):731–743. doi:10.1534/ genetics.111.126995.
- Bellen HJ, Levis RW, Liao G, He Y, Carlson JW, Tsang G, Evans-Holm M, Hiesinger PR, Schulze KL, Rubin GM, et al. The BDGP gene disruption project: single transposon insertions associated with 40% of Drosophila genes. Genetics. 2004;167(2):761–781. doi:10.1534/ genetics.104.026427.
- Besson MT, Dupont P, Fridell YW, Liévens JC. Increased energy metabolism rescues glia-induced pathology in a Drosophila model of Huntington's disease. Hum Mol Genet. 2010;19(17): 3372–3382. doi:10.1093/hmg/ddq249.
- Bettencourt da Cruz A, Schwärzel M, Schulze S, Niyyati M, Heisenberg M, and Kretzschmar D. Disruption of the MAP1B-related protein FUTSCH leads to changes in the neuronal cytoskeleton, axonal transport defects, and progressive neurodegeneration in Drosophila. Mol Biol Cell. 2005;16(5):2433–2442. doi: 10.1091/mbc.e04-11-1004.
- Binnerts ME, Wen X, Canté-Barrett K, Bright J, Chen HT, Asundi V, Sattari P, Tang T, Boyle B, Funk W, et al. Human crossveinless-2 is a novel inhibitor of bone morphogenetic proteins. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 2004;315(2):272–280. doi:10.1016/j.bbrc. 2004.01.048.
- Bishop NA, Lu T, Yankner BA. Neural mechanisms of ageing and cognitive decline. Nature. 2010;464(7288):529–535. doi:10.1038/ nature08983.
- Bourne Y, Dannenberg J, Pollmann V, Marchot P, Pongs O. Immunocytochemical localization and crystal structure of human frequenin (neuronal calcium sensor 1). J Biol Chem. 2001; 276(15):11949–11955. doi:10.1074/jbc.M009373200.
- Broadie KS, Bate M. Development of larval muscle properties in the embryonic myotubes of Drosophila melanogaster. J Neurosci. 1993a;13(1):167–180. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.13-01-00167.1993.
- Broadie KS, Bate M. Development of the embryonic neuromuscular synapse of Drosophila melanogaster. J Neurosci. 1993b;13(1): 144–166. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.13-01-00144.1993.
- Buttermore ED, Dupree JL, Cheng J, An X, Tessarollo L, Bhat MA. The cytoskeletal adaptor protein band 4.1B is required for the maintenance of paranodal axoglial septate junctions in myelinated axons. J Neurosci. 2011;31(22):8013–8024. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1015-11.2011.
- Cao Y, Chtarbanova S, Petersen AJ, Ganetzky B. Dnr1 mutations cause neurodegeneration in Drosophila by activating the innate immune response in the brain. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2013;110-(19):E1752–E1760. doi:10.1073/pnas.1306220110.

- Chow CY, Kelsey KJ, Wolfner MF, Clark AG. Candidate genetic modifiers of retinitis pigmentosa identified by exploiting natural variation in Drosophila. Hum Mol Genet. 2016;25(4):651–659. doi:10. 1093/hmg/ddv502.

- Dalui S, Bhattacharyya A. Herbicide paraquat induces sex-specific variation of neuroinflammation and neurodegeneration in Drosophila melanogaster. Indian J Biochem Biophys. 2014;51:567–573.
- Danjo R, Kawasaki F, Ordway RW. A tripartite synapse model in Drosophila. PLoS One. 2011;6(2):e17131. doi:10.1371/journal. pone.0017131.
- ْ

- Fernandes JJ, Celniker SE, VijayRaghavan K. Development of the indirect flight muscle attachment sites in Drosophila: role of the PS integrins and the stripe gene. Dev Biol. 1996;176(2):166–184. doi:10.1006/dbio.1996.0125.
- Fernandes JJ, Keshishian H. Nerve-muscle interactions during flight muscle development in Drosophila. Development. 1998;125(9): 1769–1779. doi:10.1242/dev.125.9.1769.
- Fernandes J, VijayRaghavan K. The development of indirect flight muscle innervation in Drosophila melanogaster. Development. 1993;118(1):215–227. doi:10.1242/dev.118.1.215.
- Fink JK, Jones SM, Esposito C, Wilkowski J. Human microtubule-associated protein 1a (MAP1A) gene: genomic organization, cDNA sequence, and developmental- and tissue-specific expression. Genomics. 1996;35(3):577–585. doi:10.1006/geno.1996.0400.
- Fleming RJ, Scottgale TN, Diederich RJ, Artavanis-Tsakonas S. The gene Serrate encodes a putative EGF-like transmembrane protein essential for proper ectodermal development in Drosophila melanogaster. Genes Dev. 1990;4(12a):2188–2201. doi:10.1101/gad.4.12a.2188.

- Frielle T, Collins S, Daniel KW, Caron MG, Lefkowitz RJ, Kobilka BK. Cloning of the cDNA for the human beta 1-adrenergic receptor. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1987;84(22):7920–7924. doi:10.1073/pnas. 84.22.7920.
- Funari VA, Krakow D, Nevarez L, Chen Z, Funari TL, Vatanavicharn N, Wilcox WR, Rimoin DL, Nelson SF, Cohn DH. BMPER Mutation in diaphanospondylodysostosis identified by ancestral autozygosity mapping and targeted high-throughput sequencing. Am J Hum Genet. 2010;87(4):532–537. doi:10.1016/j.ajhg.2010.08.015.

- Hamdan FF, Gauthier J, Araki Y, Lin DT, Yoshizawa Y, Higashi K, Park AR, Spiegelman D, Dobrzeniecka S, Piton A, *et al.* Excess of de novo deleterious mutations in genes associated with glutamatergic systems in nonsyndromic intellectual disability. Am J Hum Genet. 2011;88(3):306–316. doi:10.1016/j.ajhg.2011.02.001.
- Han KA, Millar NS, Grotewiel MS, Davis RL. DAMB, a novel dopamine receptor expressed specifically in Drosophila mushroom bodies. Neuron. 1996;16(6):1127–1135. doi:10.1016/S0896-6273(00)80139-7.
- Harden N, Lee J, Loh HY, Ong YM, Tan I, Leung T, Manser E, Lim L. A Drosophila homolog of the Rac- and Cdc42-activated serine/ threonine kinase PAK is a potential focal adhesion and focal complex protein that colocalizes with dynamic actin structures. Mol Cell Biol. 1996;16(5):1896–1908. doi:10.1128/MCB.16.5.1896.
- Harris KP, Littleton JT. Transmission, development, and plasticity of synapses. Genetics. 2015;201(2):345–375. doi:10.1534/genetics. 115.176529.
- Hebbar S, Fernandes JJ. Pruning of motor neuron branches establishes the DLM innervation pattern in Drosophila. J Neurobiol. 2004;60(4):499–516. doi:10.1002/neu.20031.
- Hebbar S, Fernandes JJ. A role for Fas II in the stabilization of motor neuron branches during pruning in Drosophila. Dev Biol. 2005; 285(1):185–199. doi:10.1016/j.ydbio.2005.06.015.
- Huang W, Massouras A, Inoue Y, Peiffer J, Ràmia M, Tarone AM, Turlapati L, Zichner T, Zhu D, Lyman RF, et al. Natural variation in genome architecture among 205 Drosophila melanogaster Genetic Reference Panel lines. Genome Res. 2014;24(7): 1193–1208. doi:10.1101/gr.171546.113.
- Hummel T, Krukkert K, Roos J, Davis G, Klämbt C. Drosophila Futsch/ 22C10 is a MAP1B-like protein required for dendritic and axonal development. Neuron. 2000;26(2):357–370. doi:10.1016/S0896-6273(00)81169-1.
- Izumi Y, Miyamoto R, Morino H, Yoshizawa A, Nishinaka K, Udaka F, Kameyama M, Maruyama H, Kawakami H. Cerebellar ataxia with SYNE1 mutation accompanying motor neuron disease. Neurology. 2013;80(6):600–601. doi:10.1212/WNL.0b013e3182815529.

- Kao SY, Nikonova E, Ravichandran K, Spletter ML. Dissection of Drosophila melanogaster flight muscles for omics approaches. J Vis Exp. 2019;(152). doi:10.3791/60309-v
- Katzenberger RJ, Chtarbanova S, Rimkus SA, Fischer JA, Kaur G, Seppala JM, Swanson LC, Zajac JE, Ganetzky B, Wassarman DA, *et al.* Death following traumatic brain injury in Drosophila is associated with intestinal barrier dysfunction. Elife. 2015;4:e04790. doi:10.7554/eLife.04790.
- Kidd S, Lieber T. Mechanism of notch pathway activation and its role in the regulation of olfactory plasticity in Drosophila melanogaster. PLoS One. 2016;11(3):e0151279. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0151279.
- Klagges BR, Heimbeck G, Godenschwege TA, Hofbauer A, Pflugfelder GO, Reifegerste R, Reisch D, Schaupp M, Buchner S, Buchner E. Invertebrate synapsins: a single gene codes for several isoforms in Drosophila. J Neurosci. 1996;16(10):3154–3165. doi:10.1523/ JNEUROSCI.16-10-03154.1996.
- Klein P, Müller-Rischart AK, Motori E, Schönbauer C, Schnorrer F, Winklhofer KF, Klein R. Ret rescues mitochondrial morphology and muscle degeneration of Drosophila Pink1 mutants. Embo j. 2014;33(4):341–355. doi:10.1002/embj.201284290.
- Lamb RS, Ward RE, Schweizer L, Fehon RG. Drosophila coracle, a member of the protein 4.1 superfamily, has essential structural functions in the septate junctions and developmental functions in embryonic and adult epithelial cells. Mol Biol Cell. 1998;9(12): 3505–3519. doi:10.1091/mbc.9.12.3505.
- Lee S, Kopp F, Chang TC, Sataluri A, Chen B, Sivakumar S, Yu H, Xie Y, Mendell JT. Noncoding RNA NORAD regulates genomic stability by sequestering PUMILIO proteins. Cell. 2016;164(1–2):69–80. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2015.12.017.
- Lee T, Luo L. Mosaic analysis with a repressible cell marker for studies of gene function in neuronal morphogenesis. Neuron. 1999; 22(3):451–461. doi:10.1016/S0896-6273(00)80701-1.
- Lin DT, Makino Y, Sharma K, Hayashi T, Neve R, Takamiya K, Huganir RL. Regulation of AMPA receptor extrasynaptic insertion by 4.1N, phosphorylation and palmitoylation. Nat Neurosci. 2009; 12(7):879–887. doi:10.1038/nn.2351.
- Liu Z, Chen Y, Wang D, Wang S, Zhang YQ. Distinct presynaptic and postsynaptic dismantling processes of Drosophila neuromuscular junctions during metamorphosis. J Neurosci. 2010;30(35): 11624–11634. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0410-10.2010.
- Lodato MA, Rodin RE, Bohrson CL, Coulter ME, Barton AR, Kwon M, Sherman MA, Vitzthum CM, Luquette LJ, Yandava CN, et al. Aging and neurodegeneration are associated with increased mutations in single human neurons. Science. 2018;359(6375): 555–559. doi:10.1126/science.aa04426.
- Longair MH, Baker DA, Armstrong JD. Simple Neurite Tracer: open source software for reconstruction, visualization and analysis of neuronal processes. Bioinformatics. 2011;27(17):2453–2454. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btr390.
- López-Otín C, Blasco MA, Partridge L, Serrano M, Kroemer G. The hallmarks of aging. Cell. 2013;153(6):1194–1217. doi:10.1016/j. cell.2013.05.039.
- Mackay TF, Richards S, Stone EA, Barbadilla A, Ayroles JF, Zhu D, Casillas S, Han Y, Magwire MM, Cridland JM, et al. The Drosophila melanogaster Genetic Reference Panel. Nature. 2012;482(7384):173–178. doi:10.1038/nature10811.
- Marqués G, Bao H, Haerry TE, Shimell MJ, Duchek P, Zhang B, O'Connor MB. The Drosophila BMP type II receptor Wishful Thinking regulates neuromuscular synapse morphology and function. Neuron. 2002; 33(4):529–543. doi:10.1016/S0896-6273(02)00595-0.
- Martínez G, Khatiwada S, Costa-Mattioli M, Hetz C. ER proteostasis control of neuronal physiology and synaptic function. Trends Neurosci. 2018;41(9):610–624. doi:10.1016/j.tins.2018.05.009.

- McCabe BD, Hom S, Aberle H, Fetter RD, Marques G, Haerry TE, Wan H, O'Connor MB, Goodman CS, Haghighi AP. Highwire regulates presynaptic BMP signaling essential for synaptic growth. Neuron. 2004;41(6):891–905. doi:10.1016/S0896-6273(04)00073-X.
- McCabe BD, Marqués G, Haghighi AP, Fetter RD, Crotty ML, Haerry TE, Goodman CS, O'Connor MB. The BMP homolog Gbb provides a retrograde signal that regulates synaptic growth at the Drosophila neuromuscular junction. Neuron. 2003;39(2): 241–254. doi:10.1016/S0896-6273(03)00426-4.
- Menon KP, Andrews S, Murthy M, Gavis ER, Zinn K. The translational repressors Nanos and Pumilio have divergent effects on presynaptic terminal growth and postsynaptic glutamate receptor subunit composition. J Neurosci. 2009;29(17):5558–5572. doi:10. 1523/JNEUROSCI.0520-09.2009.
- Menon KP, Sanyal S, Habara Y, Sanchez R, Wharton RP, Ramaswami M, Zinn K. The translational repressor Pumilio regulates presynaptic morphology and controls postsynaptic accumulation of translation factor eIF-4E. Neuron. 2004;44(4):663–676. doi:10. 1016/j.neuron.2004.10.028.
- Morel V, Lepicard S, Rey AN, Parmentier ML, Schaeffer L. Drosophila Nesprin-1 controls glutamate receptor density at neuromuscular junctions. Cell Mol Life Sci. 2014;71(17):3363–3379. doi:10.1007/ s00018-014-1566-7.
- Nagarkar-Jaiswal S, Lee PT, Campbell ME, Chen K, Anguiano-Zarate S, Gutierrez MC, Busby T, Lin WW, He Y, Schulze KL, *et al.* A library of MiMICs allows tagging of genes and reversible, spatial and temporal knockdown of proteins in Drosophila. Elife. 2015;4:e05338. doi:10.7554/eLife.05338.
- Nakamura TY, Pountney DJ, Ozaita A, Nandi S, Ueda S, Rudy B, Coetzee WA. A role for frequenin, a Ca2+-binding protein, as a regulator of Kv4 K+-currents. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2001;98-(22):12808–12813. doi:10.1073/pnas.221168498.
- Ni JQ, Liu LP, Binari R, Hardy R, Shim HS, Cavallaro A, Booker M, Pfeiffer BD, Markstein M, Wang H, et al. A Drosophila resource of transgenic RNAi lines for neurogenetics. Genetics. 2009; 182(4):1089–1100. doi:10.1534/genetics.109.103630.

- Palladino MJ, Hadley TJ, Ganetzky B. Temperature-sensitive paralytic mutants are enriched for those causing neurodegeneration in Drosophila. Genetics. 2002;161(3):1197–1208. doi:10.1093/genetics/161.3.1197.
- Perkins LA, Holderbaum L, Tao R, Hu Y, Sopko R, McCall K, Yang-Zhou D, Flockhart I, Binari R, Shim HS, et al. The transgenic RNAi project at Harvard Medical School: resources and validation. Genetics. 2015;201(3):843–852. doi:10.1534/genetics.115. 180208.
- Rawson JM, Lee M, Kennedy EL, Selleck SB. Drosophila neuromuscular synapse assembly and function require the TGF-beta type I

receptor saxophone and the transcription factor Mad. J Neurobiol. 2003;55(2):134–150. doi:10.1002/neu.10189.

- ॅं \mathff{a} \mathff{a}
- Romero-Pozuelo J, Dason JS, Atwood HL, Ferrús A. Chronic and acute alterations in the functional levels of Frequenins 1 and 2 reveal their roles in synaptic transmission and axon terminal morphology. Eur J Neurosci. 2007;26(9):2428–2443. doi:10.1111/j.1460-9568.2007.05877.x.
- Ruijter JM, Ramakers C, Hoogaars WM, Karlen Y, Bakker O, van den Hoff MJ, Moorman AF. Amplification efficiency: linking baseline and bias in the analysis of quantitative PCR data. Nucleic Acids Res. 2009;37(6):e45. doi:10.1093/nar/gkp045.
- Sánchez-Blanco A, Fridell YW, Helfand SL. Involvement of Drosophila uncoupling protein 5 in metabolism and aging. Genetics. 2006; 172(3):1699–1710. doi:10.1534/genetics.105.053389.

- 徽Serpe M, Umuum, Serpe M, Serp
- Sidisky JM, Babcock DT. Visualizing synaptic degeneration in adult Drosophila in association with neurodegeneration. J Vis Exp. 2020;(159). doi:10.3791/61363

- Smits P, Bolton AD, Funari V, Hong M, Boyden ED, Lu L, Manning DK, Dwyer ND, Moran JL, Prysak M, et al. Lethal skeletal dysplasia in mice and humans lacking the golgin GMAP-210. N Engl J Med. 2010;362(3):206–216. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa0900158.
- Sohal RD. Mitochondrial changes in flight muscles of normal and flightless Drosophila melanogaster with age. J Morphol. 1975; 145(3):337–353. doi:10.1002/jmor.1051450307.

- Speicher SA, Thomas U, Hinz U, Knust E. The Serrate locus of Drosophila and its role in morphogenesis of the wing imaginal discs: control of cell proliferation. Development. 1994;120(3): 535–544. doi:10.1242/dev.120.3.535.
- Stern M, Blake N, Zondlo N, Walters K. Increased neuronal excitability conferred by a mutation in the Drosophila bemused gene. J Neurogenet. 1995;10(2):103–118. doi:10.3109/01677069509083458.
- Stork T, Engelen D, Krudewig A, Silies M, Bainton RJ, Klämbt C. Organization and function of the blood-brain barrier in Drosophila. J Neurosci. 2008;28(3):587–597. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI. 4367-07.2008.
- Sweeney ST, Davis GW. Unrestricted synaptic growth in spinster-a late endosomal protein implicated in TGF-beta-mediated synaptic growth regulation. Neuron. 2002;36(3):403–416. doi:10.1016/ S0896-6273(02)01014-0.
- Tenenbaum CM, Misra M, Alizzi RA, Gavis ER. Enclosure of dendrites by epidermal cells restricts branching and permits coordinated development of spatially overlapping sensory neurons. Cell Rep. 2017;20(13):3043–3056. doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2017.09.001.
- Thibault ST, Singer MA, Miyazaki WY, Milash B, Dompe NA, Singh CM, Buchholz R, Demsky M, Fawcett R, Francis-Lang HL, *et al.* A complementary transposon tool kit for Drosophila melanogaster using P and piggyBac. Nat Genet. 2004;36(3):283–287. doi:10.1038/ ng1314.
- Turrigiano GG, Nelson SB. Homeostatic plasticity in the developing nervous system. Nat Rev Neurosci. 2004;5(2):97. doi:10.1038/ nrn1327.
- Vijayan V, Verstreken P. Autophagy in the presynaptic compartment in health and disease. J Cell Biol. 2017;216(7):1895–1906. doi:10. 1083/jcb.201611113.
- ຼWiggesworthead Wiggespecies (Wiggespecies) (Wigges
- Wilson KA, Beck JN, Nelson CS, Hilsabeck TA, Promislow D, Brem RB, Kapahi P. GWAS for lifespan and decline in climbing ability in flies upon dietary restriction reveal decima as a mediator of insulinlike peptide production. Curr Biol. 2020;30(14):2749–2760.e2743. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2020.05.020.
- Zhan L, Hanson KA, Kim SH, Tare A, Tibbetts RS. Identification of genetic modifiers of TDP-43 neurotoxicity in Drosophila. PLoS One. 2013;8(2):e57214. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0057214.
- ഒZhang Q, Bethmann C, Wethmann C, Wethman