E WŨ

World Journal of *Gastrointestinal* Endoscopy

Submit a Manuscript: https://www.f6publishing.com

World J Gastrointest Endosc 2023 March 16; 15(3): 84-102

DOI: 10.4253/wjge.v15.i3.84

ISSN 1948-5190 (online)

REVIEW

Gastroesophageal reflux disease in children: What's new right now?

Palittiya Sintusek, Mohamed Mutalib, Nikhil Thapar

Specialty type: Gastroenterology and hepatology

Provenance and peer review: Invited article; Externally peer reviewed.

Peer-review model: Single blind

Peer-review report's scientific

quality classification Grade A (Excellent): 0 Grade B (Very good): B Grade C (Good): C, C Grade D (Fair): 0 Grade E (Poor): 0

P-Reviewer: Mahmoud S, Egypt; Sumi K, Japan; Tadros M, United States

Received: October 27, 2022 Peer-review started: October 27, 2022 First decision: December 12, 2022

Revised: January 15, 2023 Accepted: February 8, 2023 Article in press: February 8, 2023 Published online: March 16, 2023



Palittiya Sintusek, Thai Pediatric Gastroenterology, Hepatology and Immunology Research Unit (TPGHAI), Division of Gastroenterology, Department of Pediatrics, King Chulalongkorn Memorial Hospital and Thai Red Cross, Faculty of Medicine, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok 10330, Bangkok, Thailand

Mohamed Mutalib, Department of Paediatric Gastroenterology, Pediatric and Gastroenterology Services, Evelina London Children's Hospital, London SE1 7EH, United Kingdom

Nikhil Thapar, Department of Gastroenterology, Hepatology and Liver Transplant, Queensland Children's Hospital, Brisbane, Queensland 4101, Australia

Nikhil Thapar, School of Medicine, University of Queensland, Brisbane, Queensland 4006, Australia

Nikhil Thapar, Woolworths Centre for Child Nutrition Research, Queensland University of Technology, Brisbane, Queensland 4101, Australia

Corresponding author: Nikhil Thapar, FRCP, MRCP, PhD, Professor, Department of Gastroenterology, Hepatology and Liver Transplant, Queensland Children's Hospital, 501 Stanley Street, Brisbane QLD 4101, Queensland, Australia. nikhil.thapar@health.qld.gov.au

Abstract

Gastroesophageal reflux (GER) in children is very common and refers to the involuntary passage of gastric contents into the esophagus. This is often physiological and managed conservatively. In contrast, GER disease (GERD) is a less common pathologic process causing troublesome symptoms, which may need medical management. Apart from abnormal transient relaxations of the lower esophageal sphincter, other factors that play a role in the pathogenesis of GERD include defects in esophageal mucosal defense, impaired esophageal and gastric motility and clearance, as well as anatomical defects of the lower esophageal reflux barrier such as hiatal hernia. The clinical manifestations of GERD in young children are varied and nonspecific prompting the necessity for careful diagnostic evaluation. Management should be targeted to the underlying aetiopathogenesis and to limit complications of GERD. The following review focuses on up-to-date information regarding of the pathogenesis, diagnostic evaluation and management of GERD in children.

Key Words: Gastroesophageal reflux; Gastroesophageal reflux disease; Children; Infant; Impedance study; Lower esophageal sphincter



©The Author(s) 2023. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

Core Tip: Gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD) is a pathologic process requiring prompt assessment and treatment. The manifestations of GERD, especially in young children vary making it a challenge to diagnose. Combined esophageal pH-MII manometry has increased the diagnostic accuracy of GERD and helped explain its pathogenesis. Medication should be targeted to the underlying GERD pathogenesis, if known, and to minimize complications.

Citation: Sintusek P, Mutalib M, Thapar N. Gastroesophageal reflux disease in children: What's new right now? World J Gastrointest Endosc 2023; 15(3): 84-102

URL: https://www.wjgnet.com/1948-5190/full/v15/i3/84.htm DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.4253/wjge.v15.i3.84

INTRODUCTION

A combined guideline of the European and the North American Societies for Paediatric Gastroenterology, Hepatology, and Nutrition (ESPGHAN and NAPSPGHAN respectively)[1], defined gastroesophageal reflux (GER) as the passage of gastric contents into the esophagus with or without regurgitation and vomiting and GER disease (GERD) where GER leads to troublesome symptoms that affect daily functioning and/or leads to clinical complications within the esophagus or other systems. As the clinical symptoms and signs of GERD are variable and nonspecific especially in infants and young children, it is often difficult to make a diagnosis on the basis of history or physical examination alone. Furthermore, other significant disorders that mimic GERD may need urgent attention and will need to be considered and excluded.

EPIDEMIOLOGY

The prevalence of GERD varies across studies depending on the diagnostic criteria used and the study design. A systematic review published in 2019 demonstrated that the overall pooled prevalence of GERD symptoms from 4 cross-sectional studies, was 26.9% [95% confidence interval (CI) 20.1-33.7, I²: 6.83][2]; However, the prevalence of GERD in infants, across a number of prospective studies, tends to decrease with time from 25.5% [3] at the age of 1 mo and 26.5% [4] at the age of 6 wk to 7.7% [4] at age 3 mo, 2.6% [4] and 2.9% [3] at the age of 6 mo to only 1.1% [4] and 1.6% [5] at the age of 12 mo. An explanation of this decline is described in the pathogenesis section below. The prevalence of GERD in Asia (8.7%) is comparable to both the United States (8.9%) and Europe (8.3%-32.0%). In children, there are a number of clinical conditions that clearly predispose to the development of GERD, which include corrected esophageal atresia[6], neurological impairment[7,8], prematurity[9-11], and cow's milk protein allergy[12-15]. In corrected esophageal atresia, for example, the prevalence of GERD diagnosed using impedance-pH monitoring and histopathology is high and up to 47.1% and 64.7%, respectively[6].

PATHOPHYSIOLOGY AND REFLUX-ASSOCIATED CONDITIONS

The main pathogenesis of GERD in children, as in adults, is abnormal transient lower esophageal sphincter relaxation (TLESR). Other factors implicated in the pathogenesis of GERD[16] include the anatomy and integrity of the antireflux barrier, as well as those affecting esophageal peristalsis and clearance (Table 1 and Figure 1).

Transient lower esophageal sphincter relaxation

The lower esophageal sphincter (LES) pressure tends to increase in infants with increasing gestational age[17-19]. In normality, LES relaxation follows swallowing or primary peristalsis of the esophagus. However, TLESR or a relaxation of the LES that is not preceded by swallowing can also occur leading to pathologic reflux. TLESR can be stimulated by increasing intraesophageal pressure as a result of crying, gastric distension and respiratory diseases. TLESR can be demonstrated in infants from the gestational age of 28 wks[18,19]. Interestingly, many studies have shown that TLESR do not occur more in patients with GERD compared to healthy persons[18,20]. Patients with GERD are more likely to have acid reflux compared to normal persons, which might explain this finding[21,22]. In addition, the failure of one or more of several protective mechanisms, detailed below, can also contribute to the pathogenesis of



Table 1 Summary of the pathogenesis of gastroesophageal reflux disease					
Main underlying mechanism	Associated conditions	Mechanism of GERD			
Anatomical defect	Hiatus hernia, immature esophageal anti-relux barrier, <i>e.g.</i> , infants, surgical pull up for esophageal atresia	Increased risk of GER			
Esophageal or gastric hypomotility/dysmotility	Esophageal disorders associated with dysmotility, <i>e.g.</i> , esophageal atresia, achalasia, gastroparesis, cow's milk protein allergy, sleeping, decreased saliva secretion, supine position	Impaired esophageal clearance of refluxate by peristalsis and/or production of neutralizing secretions			
Esophageal mucosal defect	Eosinophilic esophagitis, esophageal infection	Impaired esophageal sensation			
UES dysfunction	Extraesophageal or respiratory manifestations	Allows refluxate to access airways			

GERD: Gastroesophageal reflux disease; UES: Upper esophageal sphincter.



Figure 1 The anatomical antireflux barrier of the esophagus.

GERD.

The anatomy of antireflux barrier

The antireflux barrier consists of the LES, the diaphragmatic pinchcock and angle of His (Figure 1). The LES a 1-2 cm high pressure zone located at the junction between the esophagus and the stomach and is comprised of intrinsic (lower esophageal muscle fibers) and extrinsic components (oblique sling muscle fibers from the stomach and musculofacial sling from the diaphragm). This is further supported by a short length of intra-abdominal esophagus as well as the angle of His or esophagogastric angle, the acute angle formed between the cardia and abdominal part of LES^[23]. This composite anti-reflux barrier acts in normality as a physiologic sphincter between the high stomach (intra-abdominal) pressure compared to the lower pressure in the esophagus (intra-thoracic) and thus to prevent the regurgitation of gastric contents along the pressure gradient into the esophagus.

In infants, alongside TLESRs, underdevelopment of the abdominal part of the LES and angle of His are likely to explain the high prevalence of GERD in the infantile period [24,25]. Where a hiatal hernia is present in patients, the separation of the LES and the crural diaphragm acts to significantly impair the antireflux barrier and contribute to the increase in acid exposure of the esophagus and GERD.

Esophageal peristalsis and clearance

To prevent esophageal mucosal injury from the movement of gastric contents into esophagus after LES relaxation, secondary esophageal peristalsis with clearance of the refluxate back into the stomach is considered a main protective mechanism. Moreover, an upright position can further help volume clearance by gravity. Apart from mechanical clearance, the acid content of any refluxate can be neutralized by both swallowed saliva and esophageal secretions. In infants, volume clearance is less effective due to their mostly recumbent position. During sleep, the reduced frequency of primary and secondary esophageal peristalsis may contribute to precipitate GERD[1,16]. Any disorder that primarily



Table 2 The signs and symptoms of gastroesophageal reflux disease and alarm features of its most significant mimics

Symptoms	Signs	Red flags from other serious conditions that may underlie or mimic GERD
General	General	General
Irritability	Dental erosion, not dental caries (Figure 2)	Excessive irritability
Failure to thrive	Anemia	Weight loss
Feeding refusal		Fever
Sandifer syndrome		Lethargy
Gastrointestinal	Gastrointestinal	Gastrointestinal
Recurrent regurgitation	Esophagitis	Onset of regurgitation at > 6 mo of age
Recurrent vomiting	Esophageal stricture	Persistent or progressive regurgitation at > 1 yr of age
Heartburn	Barrett esophagus	Vomiting: Persistent forceful, nocturnal or bilious vomiting
Dysphagia/odynophagia		Hematemesis
Epigastric pain		Marked abdominal distension
Airway	Airway	Neurological
Difficult to treat wheezing	Apnea	Bulging fontanelle
Unexplained stridor	Recurrent pneumonia	Seizure
Chronic cough	Recurrent otitis media	Macro/microcephaly
Hoarseness of voice		Neurological abnormalities
		Papilledema

GERD: Gastroesophageal reflux disease

(e.g., esophageal atresia, achalasia) or secondarily (esophagitis) affects oesophageal motility may increase the predisposition to GERD[26-29]. Moreover, delayed gastric emptying or gastroparesis, often a transient phenomenon in children after infection, can cause postprandial reflux from gastric distension stimulating LES relaxation[30].

Others

Interestingly, a postprandial acid pocket phenomenon has been well described by Fletcher et al[31] They describe a floating "pocket" of an unbuffered reservoir of gastric acid that may become exposed to the esophagus during LES relaxation. The role of the acid pocket in the pathogenesis of GERD has been reported but limited to adult studies[32,33].

In addition, esophageal mucosal defense may be compromised in a number of conditions such as esophagitis from eosinophilic or other inflammatory diseases as well as infections. A defect in esophageal mucosal defense can lead to esophageal dysmotility and reflux esophagitis can be superimposed. As the esophageal mucosa contains receptors sensitive to acid, temperature and volume, their destruction in severe esophagitis might explain the hyposensitivity with reflux injury in children with Barrett esophagus and corrected esophageal atresia[34]. A high index of suspicion and intensive evaluation and monitoring, including with histopathology of esophagus, are needed in such patients.

In extraesophageal manifestation of GERD, such as upper airway diseases or ENT problems, there are many proposed pathways such as GER induced vagally mediated aspiration or insufficiency of upper esophageal sphincter (UES) function[24,34-38].

CLINICAL MANIFESTATIONS

The manifestations of GERD can vary from an asymptomatic presentation or non-specific symptoms such as irritability in infants, frequent vomiting, failure to thrive, unexplained anemia, difficult to treat respiratory symptoms through to more specific ones such as heartburn in older children. However, a high index of suspicion or the presence of alarm features, may require early investigation to either exclude other mimickers or confirm the diagnosis of GERD (Table 2).





DOI: 10.4253/wjge.v15.i3.84 Copyright ©The Author(s) 2023.

Figure 2 Characteristics of dental erosion in a child with corrected esophageal atresia compared to dental caries in a healthy child. A: Characteristics of dental erosion on the occlusal and palatal surface of deciduous teeth; B: Characteristics of dental caries on deciduous teeth.

INVESTIGATION

There has been no single gold standard tool to diagnose GERD in children. In practice, therapeutic trials of medication and follow-up can be considered in older children with a typical presentation of GERD such as heartburn but these may not reliable in infants[39]. If there is no response after an 8-week trial of PPI or in the presence of alarm features, investigations are necessary to confirm or rule out GERD. The major limitation of all diagnostic tools is that the normal values for each parameter are not well established in infants and children. A number of investigations have been used to distinguish GERD from other worrisome disorders that mimic GERD.

Ultrasound

Ultrasound has high sensitivity and positive predictive value for GERD as it can assess both the anatomy of the esophagus and real-time reflux. It is a non-invasive tool with some evidence-based studies supporting its fair sensitivity (76%-100%) and specificity (50%-100%) compared to pH studies [40-43]. A study noted the presence of a shorter abdominal esophageal length, increased cervical and abdominal esophageal wall thickness, diameter and angle of His in Thai children diagnosed with GERD (n = 22, median age of 1.6 years) compared with healthy children (n = 23), however, these differences failed to reach statistical significance [44] (Figure 3). Moreover, the reliability of the test depends on the individual experience of the radiologist[45].

Barium (contrast) swallow and upper gastrointestinal studies

Barium (contrast) swallow and upper gastrointestinal studies (meal ± follow through) are used to evaluate anatomical abnormalities of esophagus, stomach and proximal small bowel such as tracheoesophageal fistula, achalasia, hiatus hernia, midgut malrotation ± intermittent volvulus. Furthermore, the barium study can roughly evaluate the transit time of esophagus and stomach but lacks standardized protocols and normal values. Although, episodes of reflux are commonly observed during these procedures, there is poor correlation with an abnormal reflux index from a 24-h pH study [46]. Overall, such contrast studies are neither sensitive nor specific tests for GER or GERD and should not be used for diagnosis.

Endoscopy

Endoscopy is generally utilized where esophagitis is suspected in patients with significant clinical issues such as recurrent vomiting, unexplained anemia, hematemesis, positive stool occult blood or high-risk groups (corrected esophageal atresia, eosinophilic esophagitis, immunocompromised hosts that are prone to have esophageal infection). Eosinophilic esophagitis and eosinophilic gastrointestinal disease can present with symptoms and signs similar to that of GERD and its diagnosis requires histopathology of esophageal tissue (Figure 4). Clinicians should be aware that severe esophagitis in GERD rarely presents with pain[34] and there is a poor correlation between the severity of symptoms and presence or severity of esophagitis. In children with extraesophageal symptoms such as cough and wheezing, up to one third had microscopic esophagitis[47], suggesting endoscopy may also have a role in children with extraesophageal symptoms.

pH-monitoring, combined MII-pH monitoring test and combined Video-MII-pH monitoring test

The pH-monitoring test has largely been replaced with MII-pH monitoring that can provide more data not only of acid reflux but also of other types (weakly acid, nonacid, liquid or air) as well as the





Figure 3 Landmarks of the esophagus and stomach measured by ultrasonography in the study of Charoenwat et al[44]. 1: Cervical esophageal thickness; 2: Cervical esophageal diameter; 3: Abdominal esophageal thickness; 4: Abdominal esophageal diameter; 5: Abdominal esophageal length; 6: Angle of His. Citation: Charoenwat B, Sintusek P, Chaijitraruch N, Mahayosnond A, Suksri S, Patcharatrakul T, Chongsrisawat V. Transcutaneous esophageal ultrasonography in children with suspected gastroesophageal reflux disease. J Med Asso Thai 2018; 101: S1-S745[44]. Copyright ©The Authors 2018. Published by Medical Association of Thailand. The authors have obtained the permission for Medical Association of Thailand (Supplementary material).

proximal extent of reflux (Figure 5). However, pH-monitoring does retain value especially with regards to wireless pH recording, that minimizes disruption of patients during monitoring and allows for prolonged assessment of up to 5 days[48,49]. Similar to other diagnostic tools for GERD in children, there remains a lack of normal values hence the results of the test should be interpreted with caution. The most recent combined ESPGHAN- NAPSPGHAN guidelines recommend using the MII-pH study to correlate persistent troublesome symptoms with reflux episodes[1]. Recently, researchers have reported enhancements of the technique such as the use of combined VDO-MII-pH studies (Figure 6) in high-risk children with corrected esophageal atresia. Many children with corrected esophageal atresia may develop reflux esophagitis without specific symptoms or signs, however, Maholarnkij et al[6] found a trend of specific symptom that associated with reflux by using real-time Video recording and MII-pH monitoring. In this study, vomiting, irritability or unexplained crying and cough were the most common symptoms associated with reflux during combined Video-MII-pH monitoring. Hence, this novel tool might help the clinicians to diagnose GERD by increasing the symptom association index from MII-pH monitoring.

Oropharyngeal pH monitoring

UES dysfunction is thought to represent a major factor underlying the pathogenesis of the extraesophageal symptoms of GERD. Oropharyngeal pH monitoring should, in theory, detect abnormal acid reflux in this area and thus the cause of such symptoms. However, studies to date report conflicting results regarding the correlation of oropharyngeal pH monitoring and full-column reflux episodes detected by pH-impedance monitoring[50-56]. These studies were limited by small numbers of participants as well as equipment available to measure the pH above the LES and at the UES in children. The linkage of acid reflux from below the LES to that above the UES may have been impacted by the longer frequency used to detect acid in the proximally implanted Dx-pH probe (every 0.50 s) compared to the distal MII-pH recording (every 0.02 s)[52]. There is no connection between oropharyngeal pH events and pH-impedance events, according to a systematic review in adults[53]. Moreover, there were no significant differences in oropharyngeal acid exposure between PPI responders, partial responders and nonresponders in adult patients with laryngeal symptoms[54-56].

Esophageal manometry and esophageal manometry with pH-MII monitoring

Esophageal manometry can help clarify the role of esophageal dysmotility leading to ineffective esophageal clearance in the pathophysiology of reflux. It is, however, an invasive test that relies on





DOI: 10.4253/wjge.v15.i3.84 Copyright ©The Author(s) 2023.

Figure 4 The endoscopic and histologic findings of reflux esophagitis and eosinophilic esophagitis. A and B : Endoscopic finding of reflux esophagitis shows mucosal breaks and healing mucosal damage; C: Histopathology section (× 20) showing basal cell hyperplasia, elongation of the lamina propria papillae and scattered eosinophilic infiltration; D and E: Endoscopic findings of eosinophilic esophagitis showing ringed esophagus, linear furrows and whitish papules; F: Histopathology section (x 20) showing numerous eosinophils diffusely infiltrating the squamous epithelium (peak eosinophilic count = 40 cells/HPF). The squamous epithelium reveals spongiosis. Eosinophilic microabscesses and eosinophil degranulation are also noted.



DOI: 10.4253/wjge.v15.i3.84 Copyright ©The Author(s) 2023.

Figure 5 Tracing from MII-pH study and correct position of MII-pH probe on chest radiography. A: Acid reflux (impedance changes up to 7.5 cm above the pH sensor, which shows a drop in pH); B: Non-acid reflux (impedance changes up to 9 cm above the pH sensor, without a pH drop); C: Swallow shown by impedance changes; D: Chest X-ray showing proper position of the pH sensor (arrow) of the MII-pH probe 2 vertebral bodies above the diaphragm (dotted line).

cooperation from children undergoing the studies[57-59].

In a study by van Lennep *et al*^[60], even though esophageal manometry with or without 24-h pH impedance study was successfully completed in children (> 90%), complete interpretation is limited in children under the age of 4.

Esophageal manometry with pH-MII monitoring has a potential role in the assessment of extraesophageal symptoms such as aspiration pneumonia from esophageal stasis[1], or to improve the coughreflux correlation[61].

Zaishidena® WJGE | https://www.wjgnet.com



6 DOI: 10.4253/wjge.v15.i3.84 Copyright ©The Author(s) 2023.

Figure 6 Children after corrected esophageal atresia who underwent Video-MII-pH monitoring.

Electrogastrography

Electrogastrography (EGG) is a noninvasive test to study the electrophysiology of stomach, and in turn



JUPN

assess for the presence of gastroparesis or gastric hypomotility as potential pathogenic factors for GERD [59,62]. Studies suggest significantly higher pooled prevalences of EGG abnormalities in GERD patients compared to healthy adults^[63] and children^[64]. However, the protocol and techniques for EGG studies are quite variable between centers.

Biomarkers

Due to the limitations of current investigative procedures used to diagnose extraesophageal manifestations of GERD, biomarkers have been proposed for use in diagnosing this type of GERD. Studies have suggested using of pepsin, lipid-laden macrophages and, bilirubin[65-71]. However, their diagnostic efficacy has not been established, and most call for invasive procedures like bronchoscopy to get the necessary samples, which restricts their application.

Therapeutic trial: PPI or transpyloric feeding

Studies to support the role of diagnostic trials of PPI and transpyloric feeding in children are scarce[72, 73]. Trials of transpyloric feeding to confirm GERD are not specific given improvements in symptoms of vomiting or feeding intolerance may also be seen in mimickers of GERD such as severe gastroparesis [74].

TREATMENT

In GER, non-pharmacological treatments and close follow-up are often sufficient whilst in GERD more therapeutic options are usually needed with careful consideration of treatments that balance optimal symptom resolution with predictable side effects.

Non-pharmacological treatment

Non-pharmacological treatments are recommended in infants suspected of GER and include the following.

Head and body position after meals: So far there is no recommendation for prone, right lateral position in infants as it may increase the risk of sudden infant death syndrome[34]. One study has demonstrated the effectiveness of a supine 40 degree anti-Trendelenburg position using a "Multicare-AR Bed" in decreasing symptoms and acid reflux detected with MII-pH monitoring^[75]. However, a retrospective study demonstrated more reflux episodes in the upright position compared to the supine position in children and infants, probably as a result of frequent TLESRs while they were awake [76]. Nocturnal reflux has, however, been associated with prolonged esophageal acid exposure due to decreasing esophageal clearance from gravity, which may support the rationale of upright head position after feeding in infants.

Diet: Extensively hydrolysed protein or amino acid formulas should be considered in infants suspected of GERD. Nonspecific signs and symptoms, however, provide a challenge for the diagnosis of cow's milk protein allergy (CMPA). The Cow Milk Symptom Score (CoMiSS) might be used to evaluate infants before and after treatment of CMPA, but it is not considered as a diagnostic tool [77]. If there is no clinical improvement after a 4-8 wk trial of dietary cow's milk protein exclusion, CMPA is unlikely. Recently CoMiSS was modified in which a score of more than 10 (previously more than 12) in infants supported a diagnosis of CMPA[78]. The stool pattern was also changed from the Bristol Stool Scale to the Brussels Infant and Toddlers Stool Scale as a more user-friendly tool for non-toilet trained children. The updated CoMiss score is shown in Table 3.

Thickened formula use is associated with a significant decrease of visual regurgitation but not of acid reflux monitored by MII-pH[34]. Hence, thickening products have been recommended for use in infants with GER[1]. However, there has been rising concern about the safety of thickeners; for example inorganic arsenic in rice cereal[1], and the risk of necrotizing enterocolitis from xanthum gum and carob bean[79,80]. Moreover, rice cereal can be digested by amylase in breast milk limiting its use with breast milk.

Pharmacological treatments

If GERD symptoms in infants and children are not resolved with non-pharmacological treatment, medication can be considered. The most common medications include drugs that promote esophageal and gastric motility, tighten the LES, and acid suppressants to reduce esophageal mucosal injury (Table 4).

Acid suppressant agents: Proton pump inhibitors (PPI)[81,82] and H,-receptor antagonists (H,RA)[83] are used as the gold standard of GERD treatment[1]. PPIs are more effective than H₂RAs for acid suppression[84] and there is no tachyphylaxis with prolonged used. However, they may not be effective in non-acid or weakly acid reflux and their prolonged use can cause side effects especially increased rates of respiratory and gastrointestinal infection[85-88]. In addition, some H₂RAs were withdrawn from



allergy				
Symptom	Characteristics and frequency	Score		
Crying assessed by parents and without any obvious cause ≥ 1 wk, and not related to	≤1h/d	0		
infection	1.0-1.5 h/d	1		
	1.5-2.0 h/d	2		
	2-3 h/d	3		
	3-4 h/d	4		
	4-5 h/d	5		
	$\geq 5 \text{ h/d}$	6		
Regurgitation ≥ 1 wk	0-2 episodes/d	0		
	3-5 episodes (volume < 5 mL)/d	1		
	> 5 episodes of volume > 5 mL	2		
	> 5 episodes (volume < 50% of feeds)/d	3		
	Small volume and happens > 30 min after each feed	4		
	Regurgitation of $\ge 50\%$ volume of a feed in $\ge 50\%$ of total feeds	5		
	Regurgitation of the complete feed after each feeding	6		
Stool: Brussels Infant and Toddlers Stool Scale (BITSS); no change $\geq 1~\rm wk$	Hard stools	4		
	Formed stools	0		
	Loose stools not related to infection	4		
	Watery stools not related to infection	6		
Skin symptoms not related to infection	Atopic eczema ≥ 1/wk			
	Absent	0		
	Mild	1		
	Moderate	2		
	Severe	3		
	Acute urticaria/angioedema that directly related to cow's milk			
	No	0		
	Yes	1		
Respiratory symptoms not related to infection ≥ 1 wk	No respiratory symptoms	0		
	Slight symptoms	1		
	Mild symptoms	2		
	Severe symptoms	3		

the market because of the increased risk of malignancy from nitrosamine contamination[89]. It should also be noted that acid suppression has potential effects on the integrity of gut microbiota[90] with worsening of GI symptoms, although the concomitant use of probiotics have been suggested to mitigate this issue[91-93].

Prokinetic agents: The effectiveness of prokinetic agents was evidenced in adult populations but much less so in children. Common prokinetics used in infants and children include domperidone[94], metoclopramide^[95] and erythromycin. Domperidone and metoclopramide act as 5HT₄ agonists in the stomach and gut while erythromycin stimulates motilin receptors in the antral area of stomach[96]. These medications are therefore believed to be useful in children and infants who have GERD secondary to gastroparesis and to speed up upper GI transit time. Limitations for the use of domperidone and metoclopramide include significant potential side effects of QT prolongation[97] and extrapyramidal symptoms[98], respectively. When administered for a prolonged period, erythromycin



Table 4 Summarizes the drugs used in infants and children with gastroesophageal reflux disease[1-5] (for guidance only, prior to use please refer to local formulary and guidelines for accuracy and appropriate doses)

Medication	Dose	Adverse effect	Approved age (FDA indicated)
PPI ¹			
Omeprazole	1-4 mg/kg/d od	Diarrhea, abdominal pain, flatulence, headache, enteric infection, respiratory infection, rebound hypersecretion	> 1 yr old
Lansoprazole	0.7-2 mg/kg/d od	¹ Esomeprazole: Tarry stool, darkened urine	> 1 yr old
Esomeprazole	3-5 kg: 2.5 mg od	¹ Rabeprazole: Light-colored stool	>1 mo old
	> 5-7 kg: 5 mg od		
	> 7.5 kg, < 20 kg: 10 mg od		
	20 kg: 20 mg od		
Pantoprazole	1-2 mg/kg/d od		>5 yr old
Rabeprazole	0.5-1.0 mg/kg/d od		>1 yr old
Pro-motility			
Metoclopramide	0.4-0.9 mg/kg/d tid	Extrapyramidal side effect (1%), diarrhea, drowsiness	> 1 yr old
Domperidone	0.8-0.9 mg/kg/d tid	Dry mouth, QT prolongation (rare) Abdominal pain, diarrhea, (rare) HPS in infants, QT prolongation (rare)	> 12 yr old
Erythromycin	5 mg/kg/dose qid	Dizziness, diarrhea, dry mouth	All ages
Baclofen	0.5 mg/kg/d tid		All ages
Esophageal mucosal protection			
Alginate antacid		Flatulence, diarrhea, nausea and vomiting	Younger than 12 yr of age is not generally recommended
Magnesium alginate plus simethicone	Infant: 1-2 mL/kg/dose after feeding		
Sodium alginate (225.00 mg sodium alginate, 87.25 mg magnesium alginate per sachet)	Child: 2.5-5.0 mL oral tid after meal		
Sucralfate (sucrose, polyaluminium hydroxide)	40-80 mg/kg/d qid	Constipation, aluminum toxicity in long-term use	In adult
Esoxx (sodium hyaluronate, sodium chondroitin sulfate, poloxamer 407, povidone K30, xylitol, potassium sorbate, sodium benzoate, red grape aroma, purified water) (10 mL/sachet)	1-2 sachet/d after main meal and bedtime	No serious adverse effect because of the poor absorption, however, no data of long-term adverse effect	In Italy, it is approval for adolescents age > 12 yr old
Probiotics			
Lactobacillus reuteri DSM 17938	> 1 × 10 ⁸ colony- forming units/d od	None	All ages

¹Dose depend on metabolizer *via* cytochrome P2C19. FDA; od; bid; tid; qid. PPI: Proton pump inhibitor.

> can potentially cause tachyphylaxis[99]. There is little available information on other prokinetic drugs such as mosapride, itopride, prucalopride and renzapride in children. Another prokinetic agent with direct effects on the LES is baclofen. Baclofen is a gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA)-B receptor agonist and appear to act by reducing TLESRs. Baclofen has also been shown to accelerate gastric emptying[100-103]. However, the adverse effects of dyspepsia, drowsiness and dizziness[104] can limit its use in infants and children.

> Alginate antacids: Since the late 1990s, compound alginate preparations were changed to become



Baishidena® WJGE | https://www.wjgnet.com

aluminum-free and safe for infants. A Cochrane review in 2014 indicated moderate evidence of this agent for the improvement of GER in infants in short term follow-up[105-108]. Alginate antacids act by creating a barrier and appear effective for rapid symptom resolution regardless of the stimulus (acid, pepsin, bile, or mixed)[109]. Evidence for their use in GERD is limited[110].

Esophageal mucosal protectants: Sucralfate is a well-known mucosal protective drug that is composed of sucrose sulfate and aluminum hydroxide. It acts by inhibiting peptic digestion, providing mucosal protection and stimulating tissue growth and healing[111]. Recently, the novel medical device, EsoxxTM, was developed and mainly composed of two mucopolysaccharides, mixed to a mucoadhesive gelling agent and a viscosity regulator compound to form a mucoadhesive formulation. It adheres to the esophageal mucosa and act as barrier against refluxed gastric content[112-115]. However, Esoxx™ was originally developed for use in adults[114,116], and there is a rising concern about applying it in children[117]. A recent publication has demonstrated the efficacy and safety of Esoxx™ in adolescents [118] but the data in younger children is scarce.

Probiotics: Because of the safety profiles of probiotics, this agent has been used worldwide in infants and children for many purposes such as acute diarrhea, colic, and regurgitation. A large RCT study in 589 term infants demonstrated significant efficacy of Lactobacillus reuteri DSM 17938 in preventing colic. In the same RCT, the author also demonstrated the efficacy of this probiotic in decreasing the mean number of regurgitations per day [119]. Hence, probiotics are prescribed widely in clinical practice to prevent or treat GER. However, in GERD, there has been no strong evidence for their use and further research is warranted.

Post-pyloric feeding, Surgery and therapeutic endoscopic management

These are reserved for a minority of children suffering severe GERD non responsive to medical treatments.

Transpyloric feeding is often considered in GERD that might subside with time for example; in severe gastroparesis from medications such as opioids, preterm infants[72,73] or from critical illness such as children in intensive care units[120]. There is, however, increasing data supporting its use as a viable alternative therapeutic strategy to surgery (fundoplication) even for high-risk patients, such as those with neurological impairment, given their similar overall efficacy and rates of complications[121,122]. For transpyloric feeding recurrent tube dislodgement provides one of most common complications.

In the highest risk patients especially those with severe neurodisability and life-threatening complications of GERD, open surgical or laparoscopic fundoplication has traditionally been considered the therapy of choice [123-126].

They are, however, associated with a significant need for redo-fundoplication and concurrent medication use in the most difficult to treat patients[34]. In addition, transoral incisionless fundoplication (TIF) procedures have been increasingly performed in patients with severe GERD[127-130]. Even though the recurrence rate in long term follow-up in children with severe neurological impairment was high[131], the complications from TIF were minimal[132]. As a result, some selective cases with GERD might benefit from this low-risk procedure.

CONCLUSION

The recognition, diagnosis and treatment of GERD, especially in young children remains challenging. It requires to be differentiated from GER as well as GERD mimics, which is best approached using careful clinical assessment, especially in high-risk groups, paying attention to alarm features and the selective use of investigations, where necessary. There remains, however a lack of a gold standard tool for the diagnosis of GERD. Management should aim to target underlying aetiopathology and minimize complications. These may be managed through a variety of non-pharmacological and pharmacological strategies with surgery limited to very selected indications. Further studies to optimize the diagnosis and management of GERD are still needed. Table 5 summarize the updated diagnostic investigations and treatments for children with suspected GERD and Figure 7 proposes the steps of diagnosis and management in children with suspected GERD.

Table 5 Summarizes the updated diagnostic investigations and treatments for children with suspected GERD		
Novel diagnosis tools	Treatment	
Combined Video-MII-pH monitoring test to increase the detection of symptom associated reflux	Non-pharmacological treatment	
	Supine 40-degree anti-Trendelenburg position	
	Using the updated Cow Milk Symptom Score (CoMiSS) before and after therapeutic trial for CMPA	
Esophageal manometry with pH-MII monitoring	Pharmacological treatment	
	Novel prokinetics ex. mosapride, itopride, prucalopride and renzapride	
Electrogastrography	Alginate antacid	
	Esophageal mucosal protection: sucralfate, EsoxxTM	
	Probiotics	
Therapeutic trial with transpyloric feeding	Endoscopic treatment	
	Transoral incisionless fundoplication	

CMPA: Cow's milk protein allergy; CoMiSS: Cow Milk Symptom Score.



DOI: 10.4253/wjge.v15.i3.84 Copyright ©The Author(s) 2023.

Figure 7 Proposed steps in the diagnosis and management of children with suspected GERD. GERD: Gastroesophageal reflux disease; PPI: Proton pump inhibitor; EGG: Electrogastrography; GI: Gastrointestinal; EGD: Esophagogastroduodenoscopy; EM: Esophageal manometry; EA: Esophageal atresia; MII-Ph: Multichannel intraluminal impedance-pH study.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are very grateful to Miss Alisara Pittiyayon at the Electricity Generating Authority of Thailand for preparing Figure 1, and Assistant Professor Anapat Sanpavat at division of Pathology, Chulalongkorn University for providing Figure 4C and F. And Settachote Maholarnkij at Department of Pediatrics, Chulalongkorn University for providing Figure 6.

FOOTNOTES

Author contributions: Thapar N, Mutalib M, and Sintusek P contributed to conception of the study; Sintusek P drafted the manuscript; Sintusek P and Mutalib M wrote the manuscript; Thapar N made critical revisions related to the intellectual content of the manuscript; all authors read and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Supported by the Research Grant Contract Allocated for Basic Research from the Chulalongkorn University, No.



HEA663000047.

Conflict-of-interest statement: There are no conflicts of interest to report.

Open-Access: This article is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is noncommercial. See: https://creativecommons.org/Licenses/by-nc/4.0/

Country/Territory of origin: Thailand

ORCID number: Palittiya Sintusek 0000-0003-4441-0151; Mohamed Mutalib 0000-0002-8869-9466; Nikhil Thapar 0000-0002-0276-9951.

S-Editor: Chen YL L-Editor: A P-Editor: Chen YL

REFERENCES

- Rosen R, Vandenplas Y, Singendonk M, Cabana M, DiLorenzo C, Gottrand F, Gupta S, Langendam M, Staiano A, Thapar N, Tipnis N, Tabbers M. Pediatric Gastroesophageal Reflux Clinical Practice Guidelines: Joint Recommendations of the North American Society for Pediatric Gastroenterology, Hepatology, and Nutrition and the European Society for Pediatric Gastroenterology, Hepatology, and Nutrition. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr 2018; 66: 516-554 [PMID: 29470322 DOI: 10.1097/MPG.000000000001889]
- 2 Singendonk M, Goudswaard E, Langendam M, van Wijk M, van Etten-Jamaludin F, Benninga M, Tabbers M. Prevalence of Gastroesophageal Reflux Disease Symptoms in Infants and Children: A Systematic Review. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr 2019; 68: 811-817 [PMID: 31124988 DOI: 10.1097/MPG.00000000002280]
- 3 Van Howe RS, Storms MR. Gastroesophageal reflux symptoms in infants in a rural population: longitudinal data over the first six months. BMC Pediatr 2010; 10: 7 [PMID: 20149255 DOI: 10.1186/1471-2431-10-7]
- McLoughlin VZY, Suaini NHA, Siah K, Loo EXL, Pang WW, Chong YS, Godfrey KM, Tan KH, Chan JKY, Goh AEN, 4 Lee BW, Shek LP, Eriksson JG, Aw MM, Tham EH. Prevalence, risk factors and parental perceptions of gastroesophageal reflux disease in Asian infants in Singapore. Ann Acad Med Singap 2022; 51: 263-271 [PMID: 35658149 DOI: 10.47102/annals-acadmedsg.2021411]
- Chen PL, Soto-Ramírez N, Zhang H, Karmaus W. Association Between Infant Feeding Modes and Gastroesophageal 5 Reflux: A Repeated Measurement Analysis of the Infant Feeding Practices Study II. J Hum Lact 2017; 33: 267-277 [PMID: 28107099 DOI: 10.1177/0890334416664711]
- 6 Maholarnkij S, Sanpavat A, Decharun K, Dumrisilp T, Tubjareon C, Kanghom B, Patcharatrakul T, Chaijitraruch N, Chongsrisawat V, Sintusek P. Detection of reflux-symptom association in children with esophageal atresia by video-pHimpedance study. World J Gastroenterol 2020; 26: 4159-4169 [PMID: 32821077 DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v26.i28.4159]
- Lauriti G, Lisi G, Lelli Chiesa P, Zani A, Pierro A. Gastroesophageal reflux in children with neurological impairment: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Pediatr Surg Int 2018; 34: 1139-1149 [PMID: 30105496 DOI: 10.1007/s00383-018-4335-0]
- 8 Kawahara H, Tazuke Y, Soh H, Usui N, Okuyama H. Characteristics of gastroesophageal reflux in pediatric patients with neurological impairment. Pediatr Surg Int 2017; 33: 1073-1079 [PMID: 28808763 DOI: 10.1007/s00383-017-4139-7]
- Hrabovsky EE, Mullett MD. Gastroesophageal reflux and the premature infant. J Pediatr Surg 1986; 21: 583-587 [PMID: 3090224 DOI: 10.1016/s0022-3468(86)80410-9]
- 10 Golski CA, Rome ES, Martin RJ, Frank SH, Worley S, Sun Z, Hibbs AM. Pediatric specialists' beliefs about gastroesophageal reflux disease in premature infants. *Pediatrics* 2010; **125**: 96-104 [PMID: 20008431 DOI: 10.1542/peds.2008-3841]
- Omari TI, Barnett C, Snel A, Goldsworthy W, Haslam R, Davidson G, Kirubakaran C, Bakewell M, Fraser R, Dent J. 11 Mechanisms of gastroesophageal reflux in healthy premature infants. J Pediatr 1998; 133: 650-654 [PMID: 9821423 DOI: 10.1016/s0022-3476(98)70106-4]
- 12 Iacono G, Carroccio A, Cavataio F, Montalto G, Kazmierska I, Lorello D, Soresi M, Notarbartolo A. Gastroesophageal reflux and cow's milk allergy in infants: a prospective study. J Allergy Clin Immunol 1996; 97: 822-827 [PMID: 8613639 DOI: 10.1016/s0091-6749(96)80160-6]
- 13 Farahmand F, Najafi M, Ataee P, Modarresi V, Shahraki T, Rezaei N. Cow's Milk Allergy among Children with Gastroesophageal Reflux Disease. Gut Liver 2011; 5: 298-301 [PMID: 21927657 DOI: 10.5009/gnl.2011.5.3.298]
- 14 Garzi A, Messina M, Frati F, Carfagna L, Zagordo L, Belcastro M, Parmiani S, Sensi L, Marcucci F. An extensively hydrolysed cow's milk formula improves clinical symptoms of gastroesophageal reflux and reduces the gastric emptying time in infants. Allergol Immunopathol (Madr) 2002; 30: 36-41 [PMID: 11888491 DOI: 10.1016/s0301-0546(02)79085-x]
- 15 Borrelli O, Mancini V, Thapar N, Giorgio V, Elawad M, Hill S, Shah N, Lindley KJ. Cow's milk challenge increases weakly acidic reflux in children with cow's milk allergy and gastroesophageal reflux disease. J Pediatr 2012; 161: 476-481.e1 [PMID: 22513270 DOI: 10.1016/j.jpeds.2012.03.002]



- Vandenplas Y, Hassall E. Mechanisms of gastroesophageal reflux and gastroesophageal reflux disease. J Pediatr 16 Gastroenterol Nutr 2002; 35: 119-136 [PMID: 12187285 DOI: 10.1097/00005176-200208000-00005]
- 17 Jadcherla SR, Parks VN, Peng J, Dzodzomenyo S, Fernandez S, Shaker R, Splaingard M. Esophageal sensation in premature human neonates: temporal relationships and implications of aerodigestive reflexes and electrocortical arousals. Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol 2012; 302: G134-G144 [PMID: 21852361 DOI: 10.1152/ajpgi.00067.2011]
- 18 Omari TI, Miki K, Davidson G, Fraser R, Haslam R, Goldsworthy W, Bakewell M, Dent J. Characterisation of relaxation of the lower oesophageal sphincter in healthy premature infants. Gut 1997; 40: 370-375 [PMID: 9135527 DOI: 10.1136/gut.40.3.370]
- 19 Pena EM, Parks VN, Peng J, Fernandez SA, Di Lorenzo C, Shaker R, Jadcherla SR. Lower esophageal sphincter relaxation reflex kinetics: effects of peristaltic reflexes and maturation in human premature neonates. Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol 2010; 299: G1386-G1395 [PMID: 20864655 DOI: 10.1152/ajpgi.00289.2010]
- 20 Salvia G, De Vizia B, Manguso F, Iula VD, Terrin G, Spadaro R, Russo G, Cucchiara S. Effect of intragastric volume and osmolality on mechanisms of gastroesophageal reflux in children with gastroesophageal reflux disease. Am J Gastroenterol 2001; 96: 1725-1732 [PMID: 11419821 DOI: 10.1111/j.1572-0241.2001.03865.x]
- Mittal RK, McCallum RW. Characteristics and frequency of transient relaxations of the lower esophageal sphincter in 21 patients with reflux esophagitis. Gastroenterology 1988; 95: 593-599 [PMID: 3396810 DOI: 10.1016/s0016-5085(88)80003-9
- Sifrim D, Holloway R, Silny J, Xin Z, Tack J, Lerut A, Janssens J. Acid, nonacid, and gas reflux in patients with 22 gastroesophageal reflux disease during ambulatory 24-hour pH-impedance recordings. Gastroenterology 2001; 120: 1588-1598 [PMID: 11375941 DOI: 10.1053/gast.2001.24841]
- Fiorino KN, Nurko S. Developmental Anatomy and Physiology of the Esophagus. Pedia Gastrointest and Liver Dis 23 2021; 194-201.e192
- 24 Jadcherla SR, Hogan WJ, Shaker R. Physiology and pathophysiology of glottic reflexes and pulmonary aspiration: from neonates to adults. Semin Respir Crit Care Med 2010; 31: 554-560 [PMID: 20941656 DOI: 10.1055/s-0030-1265896]
- Jadcherla SR, Hoffmann RG, Shaker R. Effect of maturation of the magnitude of mechanosensitive and chemosensitive 25 reflexes in the premature human esophagus. J Pediatr 2006; 149: 77-82 [PMID: 16860132 DOI: 10.1016/j.jpeds.2006.02.041]
- Crookes PF, Corkill S, DeMeester TR. Gastroesophageal reflux in achalasia. When is reflux really reflux? Dig Dis Sci 26 1997; 42: 1354-1361 [PMID: 9246028 DOI: 10.1023/a:1018873501205]
- 27 Shoenut JP, Duerksen D, Yaffe CS. A prospective assessment of gastroesophageal reflux before and after treatment of achalasia patients: pneumatic dilation versus transthoracic limited myotomy. Am J Gastroenterol 1997; 92: 1109-1112 [PMID: 9219779]
- 28 Mittal R, Vaezi MF. Esophageal Motility Disorders and Gastroesophageal Reflux Disease. N Engl J Med 2020; 383: 1961-1972 [PMID: 33176086 DOI: 10.1056/NEJMra2000328]
- Kountouras J, Zavos C, Chatzopoulos D, Katsinelos P. Helicobacter pylori and gastro-oesophageal reflux disease. Lancet 29 2006; **368**: 986; author reply 986-986; author reply 987 [PMID: 16980103 DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(06)69405-1]
- 30 Kuiken S, Van Den Elzen B, Tytgat G, Bennink R, Boeckxstaens G. Evidence for pooling of gastric secretions in the proximal stomach in humans using single photon computed tomography. Gastroenterology 2002; 123: 2157-8; author reply 2158 [PMID: 12454881 DOI: 10.1053/gast.2002.37299]
- 31 Fletcher J, Wirz A, Young J, Vallance R, McColl KE. Unbuffered highly acidic gastric juice exists at the gastroesophageal junction after a meal. Gastroenterology 2001; 121: 775-783 [PMID: 11606490 DOI: 10.1053/gast.2001.27997]
- Hila A, Bouali H, Xue S, Knuff D, Castell DO. Postprandial stomach contents have multiple acid layers. J Clin 32 Gastroenterol 2006; 40: 612-617 [PMID: 16917403 DOI: 10.1097/00004836-200608000-00010]
- 33 Simonian HP, Vo L, Doma S, Fisher RS, Parkman HP. Regional postprandial differences in pH within the stomach and gastroesophageal junction. Dig Dis Sci 2005; 50: 2276-2285 [PMID: 16416175 DOI: 10.1007/s10620-005-3048-0]
- 34 Vandenplas Y. Gastroesophageal Reflux. Pediat Gastrointest and Liver Dis 2021; 212-229.e216
- Blake K, Teague WG. Gastroesophageal reflux disease and childhood asthma. Curr Opin Pulm Med 2013; 19: 24-29 35 [PMID: 23197288 DOI: 10.1097/MCP.0b013e32835b582b]
- Lenderking WR, Hillson E, Crawley JA, Moore D, Berzon R, Pashos CL. The clinical characteristics and impact of 36 laryngopharyngeal reflux disease on health-related quality of life. Value Health 2003; 6: 560-565 [PMID: 14627062 DOI: 10.1046/j.1524-4733.2003.65243.x
- Becker V, Drabner R, Graf S, Schlag C, Nennstiel S, Buchberger AM, Schmid RM, Saur D, Bajbouj M. New aspects in 37 the pathomechanism and diagnosis of the laryngopharyngeal reflux-clinical impact of laryngeal proton pumps and pharyngeal pH metry in extraesophageal gastroesophageal reflux disease. World J Gastroenterol 2015; 21: 982-987 [PMID: 25624734 DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v21.i3.982]
- Kilic M, Ozturk F, Kirmemis O, Atmaca S, Guner SN, Caltepe G, Sancak R, Kalayci AG. Impact of laryngopharyngeal 38 and gastroesophageal reflux on asthma control in children. Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol 2013; 77: 341-345 [PMID: 23277300 DOI: 10.1016/j.ijporl.2012.11.021]
- van der Pol RJ, Smits MJ, van Wijk MP, Omari TI, Tabbers MM, Benninga MA. Efficacy of proton-pump inhibitors in 39 children with gastroesophageal reflux disease: a systematic review. Pediatrics 2011; 127: 925-935 [PMID: 21464183 DOI: 10.1542/peds.2010-2719]
- 40 Westra SJ, Derkx HH, Taminiau JA. Symptomatic gastroesophageal reflux: diagnosis with ultrasound. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr 1994; 19: 58-64 [PMID: 7965478 DOI: 10.1097/00005176-199407000-00009]
- Riccabona M, Maurer U, Lackner H, Uray E, Ring E. The role of sonography in the evaluation of gastro-oesophageal 41 reflux--correlation to pH-metry. Eur J Pediatr 1992; 151: 655-657 [PMID: 1396925 DOI: 10.1007/BF01957566]
- Farina R, Pennisi F, La Rosa M, Puglisi C, Mazzone G, Riva G, Foti PV, Ettorre GC. Contrast-enhanced colour-Doppler 42 sonography versus pH-metry in the diagnosis of gastro-oesophageal reflux in children. Radiol Med 2008; 113: 591-598 [PMID: 18478190 DOI: 10.1007/s11547-008-0267-4]



- Matrunola M, Grandin A, Mazza ML, Panetta A, Giardini V, Corrado G. Role of radiography and ultrasonography in the 43 diagnosis of the pediatric gastro-esophageal reflux disease. Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci 2003; 7: 147-149 [PMID: 15214590]
- Charoenwat B, Sintusek P, Chaijitraruch N, Mahayosnond A, Suksri S, Patcharatrakul T, Chongsrisawat V. 44 Transcutaneous esophageal ultrasonography in children with suspected gastroesophageal reflux disease. J Med Asso Thai 2018: 101: S1-S745
- Minella R, Minelli R, Rossi E, Cremone G, Tozzi A. Gastroesophageal and gastric ultrasound in children: the state of the 45 art. J Ultrasound 2021; 24: 11-14 [PMID: 32361921 DOI: 10.1007/s40477-020-00471-w]
- 46 Aksglaede K, Pedersen JB, Lange A, Funch-Jensen P, Thommesen P. Gastro-esophageal reflux demonstrated by radiography in infants less than 1 year of age. Comparison with pH monitoring. Acta Radiol 2003; 44: 136-138 [PMID: 12694095 DOI: 10.1034/j.1600-0455.2003.00032.x]
- 47 Rosen R, Amirault J, Johnston N, Haver K, Khatwa U, Rubinstein E, Nurko S. The utility of endoscopy and multichannel intraluminal impedance testing in children with cough and wheezing. Pediatr Pulmonol 2014; 49: 1090-1096 [PMID: 24178927 DOI: 10.1002/ppul.22949]
- Rao NM, Campbell DI, Rao P. Two years' experience of using the Bravo wireless oesophageal pH monitoring system at a 48 single UK tertiary centre. Acta Paediatr 2017; 106: 312-315 [PMID: 27862298 DOI: 10.1111/apa.13667]
- Croffie JM, Fitzgerald JF, Molleston JP, Gupta SK, Corkins MR, Pfefferkorn MD, Lim JR, Steiner SJ, Dadzie SK. 49 Accuracy and tolerability of the Bravo catheter-free pH capsule in patients between the ages of 4 and 18 years. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr 2007; 45: 559-563 [PMID: 18030233 DOI: 10.1097/MPG.0b013e3180dc9349]
- 50 Chiou E, Rosen R, Jiang H, Nurko S. Diagnosis of supra-esophageal gastric reflux: correlation of oropharyngeal pH with esophageal impedance monitoring for gastro-esophageal reflux. Neurogastroenterol Motil 2011; 23: 717-e326 [PMID: 21592256 DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-2982.2011.01726.x]
- Ummarino D, Vandermeulen L, Roosens B, Urbain D, Hauser B, Vandenplas Y. Gastroesophageal reflux evaluation in 51 patients affected by chronic cough: Restech versus multichannel intraluminal impedance/pH metry. Laryngoscope 2013; 123: 980-984 [PMID: 23023943 DOI: 10.1002/Lary.23738]
- 52 Plocek A, Gebora-Kowalska B, Białek J, Fendler W, Toporowska-Kowalska E. Esophageal Impedance-pH Monitoring and Pharyngeal pH Monitoring in the Diagnosis of Extraesophageal Reflux in Children. Gastroenterol Res Pract 2019; 2019: 6271910 [PMID: 30944563 DOI: 10.1155/2019/6271910]
- 53 Joniau S, Bradshaw A, Esterman A, Carney AS. Reflux and laryngitis: a systematic review. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 2007; 136: 686-692 [PMID: 17478199 DOI: 10.1016/j.otohns.2006.12.004]
- Yadlapati R, Adkins C, Jaiyeola DM, Lidder AK, Gawron AJ, Tan BK, Shabeeb N, Price CP, Agrawal N, Ellenbogen M, 54 Smith SS, Bove M, Pandolfino JE. Abilities of Oropharyngeal pH Tests and Salivary Pepsin Analysis to Discriminate Between Asymptomatic Volunteers and Subjects With Symptoms of Laryngeal Irritation. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2016; 14: 535-542.e2 [PMID: 26689899 DOI: 10.1016/j.cgh.2015.11.017]
- 55 Ramaiah RN, Stevenson M, McCallion WA. Hypopharyngeal and distal esophageal pH monitoring in children with gastroesophageal reflux and respiratory symptoms. J Pediatr Surg 2005; 40: 1557-1561 [PMID: 16226984 DOI: 10.1016/j.jpedsurg.2005.06.026
- Ayazi S, Lipham JC, Hagen JA, Tang AL, Zehetner J, Leers JM, Oezcelik A, Abate E, Banki F, DeMeester SR, 56 DeMeester TR. A new technique for measurement of pharyngeal pH: normal values and discriminating pH threshold. J Gastrointest Surg 2009; 13: 1422-1429 [PMID: 19421822 DOI: 10.1007/s11605-009-0915-6]
- Shin MS. Esophageal pH and Combined Impedance-pH Monitoring in Children. Pediatr Gastroenterol Hepatol Nutr 57 2014; 17: 13-22 [PMID: 24749083 DOI: 10.5223/pghn.2014.17.1.13]
- Wenzl TG, Benninga MA, Loots CM, Salvatore S, Vandenplas Y; ESPGHAN EURO-PIG Working Group. Indications, 58 methodology, and interpretation of combined esophageal impedance-pH monitoring in children: ESPGHAN EURO-PIG standard protocol. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr 2012; 55: 230-234 [PMID: 22711055 DOI: 10.1097/MPG.0b013e3182592b65]
- 59 Riezzo G, Russo F, Indrio F. Electrogastrography in adults and children: the strength, pitfalls, and clinical significance of the cutaneous recording of the gastric electrical activity. Biomed Res Int 2013; 2013: 282757 [PMID: 23762836 DOI: 10.1155/2013/282757
- 60 van Lennep M, Leijdekkers ML, Oors JM, Benninga MA, van Wijk MP, Singendonk MMJ. Clinical Experience With Performing Esophageal Function Testing in Children. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr 2021; 72: 226-231 [PMID: 33230070 DOI: 10.1097/MPG.0000000000030001
- Rosen R, Amirault J, Giligan E, Khatwa U, Nurko S. Intraesophageal pressure recording improves the detection of cough 61 during multichannel intraluminal impedance testing in children. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr 2014; 58: 22-26 [PMID: 23942006 DOI: 10.1097/MPG.0b013e3182a80059]
- Carson DA, Bhat S, Hayes TCL, Gharibans AA, Andrews CN, O'Grady G, Varghese C. Abnormalities on 62 Electrogastrography in Nausea and Vomiting Syndromes: A Systematic Review, Meta-Analysis, and Comparison to Other Gastric Disorders. Dig Dis Sci 2022; 67: 773-785 [PMID: 33956280 DOI: 10.1007/s10620-021-07026-x]
- Bhat S, Varghese C, Carson DA, Hayes TCL, Gharibans AA, Andrews CN, O'Grady G. Gastric dysrhythmia in 63 gastroesophageal reflux disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Esophagus 2021; 18: 425-435 [PMID: 33594598 DOI: 10.1007/s10388-021-00820-6]
- 64 Bhat S, Varghese C, Carson DA, Hayes TCL, Andrews CN, Mousa H, O'Grady G, Gharibans AA. Electrogastrography Abnormalities in Pediatric Gastroduodenal Disorders: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr 2021; 73: 9-16 [PMID: 33797449 DOI: 10.1097/MPG.000000000003140]
- Dy F, Amirault J, Mitchell PD, Rosen R. Salivary Pepsin Lacks Sensitivity as a Diagnostic Tool to Evaluate 65 Extraesophageal Reflux Disease. J Pediatr 2016; 177: 53-58 [PMID: 27453366 DOI: 10.1016/j.jpeds.2016.06.033]
- Fortunato JE, D'Agostino RB Jr, Lively MO. Pepsin in saliva as a biomarker for oropharyngeal reflux compared with 24-66 hour esophageal impedance/pH monitoring in pediatric patients. Neurogastroenterol Motil 2017; 29 [PMID: 27604397 DOI: 10.1111/nmo.12936]



- 67 Rosen R, Johnston N, Hart K, Khatwa U, Nurko S. The presence of pepsin in the lung and its relationship to pathologic gastro-esophageal reflux. Neurogastroenterol Motil 2012; 24: 129-133, e84 [PMID: 22141343 DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-2982.2011.01826.x
- Farrell S, McMaster C, Gibson D, Shields MD, McCallion WA. Pepsin in bronchoalveolar lavage fluid: a specific and 68 sensitive method of diagnosing gastro-oesophageal reflux-related pulmonary aspiration. J Pediatr Surg 2006; 41: 289-293 [PMID: 16481237 DOI: 10.1016/j.jpedsurg.2005.11.002]
- Rosen R, Fritz J, Nurko A, Simon D, Nurko S. Lipid-laden macrophage index is not an indicator of gastroesophageal 69 reflux-related respiratory disease in children. Pediatrics 2008; 121: e879-e884 [PMID: 18362101 DOI: 10.1542/peds.2007-0723]
- 70 Barrett MW, Myers JC, Watson DI, Jamieson GG. Detection of bile reflux: in vivo validation of the Bilitec fibreoptic system. Dis Esophagus 2000; 13: 44-50 [PMID: 11005331 DOI: 10.1046/j.1442-2050.2000.00062.x]
- Orel R, Brecelj J, Homan M, Heuschkel R. Treatment of oesophageal bile reflux in children: the results of a prospective 71 study with omeprazole. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr 2006; 42: 376-383 [PMID: 16641575 DOI: 10.1097/01.mpg.0000214162.45198.0f]
- Malcolm WF, Smith PB, Mears S, Goldberg RN, Cotten CM. Transpyloric tube feeding in very low birthweight infants 72 with suspected gastroesophageal reflux: impact on apnea and bradycardia. J Perinatol 2009; 29: 372-375 [PMID: 19242488 DOI: 10.1038/jp.2008.234]
- Misra S, Macwan K, Albert V. Transpyloric feeding in gastroesophageal-reflux-associated apnea in premature infants. 73 Acta Paediatr 2007; 96: 1426-1429 [PMID: 17850402 DOI: 10.1111/j.1651-2227.2007.00442.x]
- 74 Rosen R, Hart K, Warlaumont M. Incidence of gastroesophageal reflux during transpyloric feeds. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr 2011; 52: 532-535 [PMID: 21464758 DOI: 10.1097/MPG.0b013e31820596f8]
- Vandenplas Y, De Schepper J, Verheyden S, Devreker T, Franckx J, Peelman M, Denayer E, Hauser B. A preliminary 75 report on the efficacy of the Multicare AR-Bed in 3-week-3-month-old infants on regurgitation, associated symptoms and acid reflux. Arch Dis Child 2010; 95: 26-30 [PMID: 19700421 DOI: 10.1136/adc.2008.156497]
- Quitadamo P, Tambucci R, Alessandrella A, Andreozzi M, Malamisura M, Isoldi S, Caldaro T, Zenzeri L, Verrotti A, De 76 Angelis P, Siani P, Staiano A. Association between body positioning and gastroesophageal reflux in paediatric age. Acta Paediatr 2020; 109: 1033-1039 [PMID: 31602697 DOI: 10.1111/apa.15049]
- Vandenplas Y, Dupont C, Eigenmann P, Host A, Kuitunen M, Ribes-Koninckx C, Shah N, Shamir R, Staiano A, 77 Szajewska H, Von Berg A. A workshop report on the development of the Cow's Milk-related Symptom Score awareness tool for young children. Acta Paediatr 2015; 104: 334-339 [PMID: 25557474 DOI: 10.1111/apa.12902]
- 78 Vandenplas Y, Bajerova K, Dupont C, Eigenmann P, Kuitunen M, Meyer R, Ribes-Koninckx C, Salvatore S, Shamir R, Szajewska H. The Cow's Milk Related Symptom Score: The 2022 Update. Nutrients 2022; 14 [PMID: 35807862 DOI: 10.3390/nu141326821
- Beal J, Silverman B, Bellant J, Young TE, Klontz K. Late onset necrotizing enterocolitis in infants following use of a 79 xanthan gum-containing thickening agent. J Pediatr 2012; 161: 354-356 [PMID: 22575248 DOI: 10.1016/j.jpeds.2012.03.054]
- Woods CW, Oliver T, Lewis K, Yang Q. Development of necrotizing enterocolitis in premature infants receiving 80 thickened feeds using SimplyThick®. J Perinatol 2012; 32: 150-152 [PMID: 22289705 DOI: 10.1038/jp.2011.105]
- Gold BD, Gunasekaran T, Tolia V, Wetzler G, Conter H, Traxler B, Illueca M. Safety and symptom improvement with 81 esomeprazole in adolescents with gastroesophageal reflux disease. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr 2007; 45: 520-529 [PMID: 18030228 DOI: 10.1097/MPG.0b013e318148c17c]
- Shakhnovich V, Brian Smith P, Guptill JT, James LP, Collier DN, Wu H, Livingston CE, Zhao J, Kearns GL, Cohen-82 Wolkowiez M; Best Pharmaceuticals for Children Act-Pediatric Trials Network. A Population-Based Pharmacokinetic Model Approach to Pantoprazole Dosing for Obese Children and Adolescents. Paediatr Drugs 2018; 20: 483-495 [PMID: 30097906 DOI: 10.1007/s40272-018-0305-1]
- van der Pol R, Langendam M, Benninga M, van Wijk M, Tabbers M. Efficacy and safety of histamine-2 receptor 83 antagonists. JAMA Pediatr 2014; 168: 947-954 [PMID: 25133940 DOI: 10.1001/jamapediatrics.2014.1273]
- 84 Lin PC, Chang CH, Hsu PI, Tseng PL, Huang YB. The efficacy and safety of proton pump inhibitors vs histamine-2 receptor antagonists for stress ulcer bleeding prophylaxis among critical care patients: a meta-analysis. Crit Care Med 2010; 38: 1197-1205 [PMID: 20173630 DOI: 10.1097/CCM.0b013e3181d69ccf]
- Giuliano C, Wilhelm SM, Kale-Pradhan PB. Are proton pump inhibitors associated with the development of community-85 acquired pneumonia? Expert Rev Clin Pharmacol 2012; 5: 337-344 [PMID: 22697595 DOI: 10.1586/ecp.12.20]
- 86 Nguyen PA, Islam M, Galvin CJ, Chang CC, An SY, Yang HC, Huang CW, Li YJ, Iqbal U. Meta-analysis of proton pump inhibitors induced risk of community-acquired pneumonia. Int J Qual Health Care 2020; 32: 292-299 [PMID: 32436582 DOI: 10.1093/intqhc/mzaa041]
- Chen Y, Liu B, Glass K, Du W, Banks E, Kirk M. Use of Proton Pump Inhibitors and the Risk of Hospitalization for 87 Infectious Gastroenteritis. PLoS One 2016; 11: e0168618 [PMID: 27997598 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0168618]
- 88 Wang YH, Wintzell V, Ludvigsson JF, Svanström H, Pasternak B. Association Between Proton Pump Inhibitor Use and Risk of Asthma in Children. JAMA Pediatr 2021; 175: 394-403 [PMID: 33555324 DOI: 10.1001/jamapediatrics.2020.5710]
- 89 Perisetti A, Goyal H, Tharian B. The 'burn' of ranitidine recall: current insights and mitigation strategies. Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2021; 33: e1013-e1016 [PMID: 33867447 DOI: 10.1097/MEG.00000000002161]
- 90 Shi YC, Cai ST, Tian YP, Zhao HJ, Zhang YB, Chen J, Ren RR, Luo X, Peng LH, Sun G, Yang YS. Effects of Proton Pump Inhibitors on the Gastrointestinal Microbiota in Gastroesophageal Reflux Disease. Genomics Proteomics Bioinformatics 2019; 17: 52-63 [PMID: 31028880 DOI: 10.1016/j.gpb.2018.12.004]
- 91 Belei O, Olariu L, Dobrescu A, Marcovici T, Marginean O. Is It Useful to Administer Probiotics Together With Proton Pump Inhibitors in Children With Gastroesophageal Reflux? J Neurogastroenterol Motil 2018; 24: 51-57 [PMID: 29291607 DOI: 10.5056/jnm17059]
- Hegar B, Hutapea EI, Advani N, Vandenplas Y. A double-blind placebo-controlled randomized trial on probiotics in 92



small bowel bacterial overgrowth in children treated with omeprazole. J Pediatr (Rio J) 2013; 89: 381-387 [PMID: 23796359 DOI: 10.1016/j.jped.2012.12.005]

- 93 Liang S, Xu L, Zhang D, Wu Z. Effect of probiotics on small intestinal bacterial overgrowth in patients with gastric and colorectal cancer. Turk J Gastroenterol 2016; 27: 227-232 [PMID: 27210778 DOI: 10.5152/tjg.2016.15375]
- 94 Pritchard DS, Baber N, Stephenson T. Should domperidone be used for the treatment of gastro-oesophageal reflux in children? Br J Clin Pharmacol 2005; 59: 725-729 [PMID: 15948939 DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-2125.2005.02422.x]
- 95 Craig WR, Hanlon-Dearman A, Sinclair C, Taback S, Moffatt M. Metoclopramide, thickened feedings, and positioning for gastro-oesophageal reflux in children under two years. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2004; CD003502 [PMID: 15495056 DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD003502.pub2]
- Tack J. Prokinetics and fundic relaxants in upper functional GI disorders. Curr Opin Pharmacol 2008; 8: 690-696 96 [PMID: 18940266 DOI: 10.1016/j.coph.2008.09.009]
- 97 Ngoenmak T, Treepongkaruna S, Buddharaksa Y, Khositseth A. Effects of Domperidone on QT Interval in Children with Gastroesophageal Reflux Disease. Pediatr Neonatol 2016; 57: 60-64 [PMID: 26141480 DOI: 10.1016/j.pedneo.2015.03.015
- Lau Moon Lin M, Robinson PD, Flank J, Sung L, Dupuis LL. The Safety of Metoclopramide in Children: A Systematic 98 Review and Meta-Analysis. Drug Saf 2016; 39: 675-687 [PMID: 27003816 DOI: 10.1007/s40264-016-0418-9]
- Peeters TL. Erythromycin and other macrolides as prokinetic agents. Gastroenterology 1993; 105: 1886-1899 [PMID: 8253365 DOI: 10.1016/0016-5085(93)91089-z]
- 100 Omari TI, Benninga MA, Sansom L, Butler RN, Dent J, Davidson GP. Effect of baclofen on esophagogastric motility and gastroesophageal reflux in children with gastroesophageal reflux disease: a randomized controlled trial. J Pediatr 2006; 149: 468-474 [PMID: 17011315 DOI: 10.1016/j.jpeds.2006.05.029]
- 101 Kawai M, Kawahara H, Hirayama S, Yoshimura N, Ida S. Effect of baclofen on emesis and 24-hour esophageal pH in neurologically impaired children with gastroesophageal reflux disease. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr 2004; 38: 317-323 [PMID: 15076634 DOI: 10.1097/00005176-200403000-00017]
- Wiersma HE, van Boxtel CJ, Butter JJ, van Aalderen WM, Omari T, Benninga MA. Pharmacokinetics of a single oral 102 dose of baclofen in pediatric patients with gastroesophageal reflux disease. Ther Drug Monit 2003; 25: 93-98 [PMID: 12548151 DOI: 10.1097/00007691-200302000-00014]
- 103 Vadlamudi NB, Hitch MC, Dimmitt RA, Thame KA. Baclofen for the treatment of pediatric GERD. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr 2013; 57: 808-812 [PMID: 23838820 DOI: 10.1097/MPG.0b013e3182a2747b]
- 104 Bell RC, Barnes WE, Carter BJ, Sewell RW, Mavrelis PG, Ihde GM, Hoddinott KM, Fox MA, Freeman KD, Gunsberger T, Hausmann MG, Dargis D, DaCosta Gill B, Wilson E, Trad KS. Transoral incisionless fundoplication: 2-year results from the prospective multicenter U.S. study. Am Surg 2014; 80: 1093-1105 [PMID: 25347499]
- 105 Mahant S. Pharmacological treatment of children with gastro-oesophageal reflux. Paediatr Child Health 2017; 22: 30-32 [PMID: 29479169 DOI: 10.1093/pch/pxx010]
- 106 Buts JP, Barudi C, Otte JB. Double-blind controlled study on the efficacy of sodium alginate (Gaviscon) in reducing gastroesophageal reflux assessed by 24 h continuous pH monitoring in infants and children. Eur J Pediatr 1987; 146: 156-158 [PMID: 3032640 DOI: 10.1007/BF02343223]
- 107 Argüelles-Martín F, González-Fernández F, Gentles MG, Navarro-Merino M. Sucralfate in the treatment of reflux esophagitis in children. Preliminary results. Scand J Gastroenterol Suppl 1989; 156: 43-47 [PMID: 2740841]
- 108 Tytgat GN. Clinical efficacy of sucralfate in reflux oesophagitis. Scand J Gastroenterol Suppl 1987; 140: 29-31 [PMID: 33282821
- 109 Salvatore S, Ripepi A, Huysentruyt K, van de Maele K, Nosetti L, Agosti M, Salvatoni A, Vandenplas Y. The Effect of Alginate in Gastroesophageal Reflux in Infants. Paediatr Drugs 2018; 20: 575-583 [PMID: 30182358 DOI: 10.1007/s40272-018-0314-0]
- Bor S, Kalkan IH, Çelebi A, Dinçer D, Akyüz F, Dettmar P, Özen H. Alginates: From the ocean to gastroesophageal 110 reflux disease treatment. Turk J Gastroenterol 2019; 30: 109-136 [PMID: 31624050 DOI: 10.5152/tjg.2019.19677]
- Scarpignato C, Hongo M, Wu JCY, Lottrup C, Lazarescu A, Stein E, Hunt RH. Pharmacologic treatment of GERD: 111 Where we are now, and where are we going? Ann N Y Acad Sci 2020; 1482: 193-212 [PMID: 32935346 DOI: 10.1111/nvas.14473]
- 112 Gaffney J, Matou-Nasri S, Grau-Olivares M, Slevin M. Therapeutic applications of hyaluronan. Mol Biosyst 2010; 6: 437-443 [PMID: 20174672 DOI: 10.1039/b910552m]
- 113 Volpi N, Schiller J, Stern R, Soltés L. Role, metabolism, chemical modifications and applications of hyaluronan. Curr Med Chem 2009; 16: 1718-1745 [PMID: 19442142 DOI: 10.2174/092986709788186138]
- 114 Savarino V, Pace F, Scarpignato C; Esoxx Study Group. Randomised clinical trial: mucosal protection combined with acid suppression in the treatment of non-erosive reflux disease - efficacy of Esoxx, a hyaluronic acid-chondroitin sulphate based bioadhesive formulation. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2017; 45: 631-642 [PMID: 28116754 DOI: 10.1111/apt.13914]
- Di Simone MP, Baldi F, Vasina V, Scorrano F, Bacci ML, Ferrieri A, Poggioli G. Barrier effect of Esoxx(®) on 115 esophageal mucosal damage: experimental study on ex-vivo swine model. Clin Exp Gastroenterol 2012; 5: 103-107 [PMID: 22767997 DOI: 10.2147/CEG.S31404]
- Palmieri B, Merighi A, Corbascio D, Rottigni V, Fistetto G, Esposito A. Fixed combination of hyaluronic acid and 116 chondroitin-sulphate oral formulation in a randomized double blind, placebo controlled study for the treatment of symptoms in patients with non-erosive gastroesophageal reflux. Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci 2013; 17: 3272-3278 [PMID: 24379055]
- Huijghebaert S, De Bruyne P, Allegaert K, Vande Velde S, De Bruyne R, Van Biervliet S, Van Winckel M. Medical 117 devices that look like medicines: safety and regulatory concerns for children in Europe. Arch Dis Child 2020; 105: 147-154 [PMID: 31533915 DOI: 10.1136/archdischild-2018-316391]
- 118 Romano C, Scarpignato C. Pharmacologic treatment of GERD in adolescents: Is esophageal mucosal protection an option? Therap Adv Gastroenterol 2022; 15: 17562848221115319 [PMID: 36004307 DOI: 10.1177/17562848221115319



- 119 Indrio F, Di Mauro A, Riezzo G, Civardi E, Intini C, Corvaglia L, Ballardini E, Bisceglia M, Cinquetti M, Brazzoduro E, Del Vecchio A, Tafuri S, Francavilla R. Prophylactic use of a probiotic in the prevention of colic, regurgitation, and functional constipation: a randomized clinical trial. JAMA Pediatr 2014; 168: 228-233 [PMID: 24424513 DOI: 10.1001/jamapediatrics.2013.4367]
- 120 Panadero E, López-Herce J, Caro L, Sanchez A, Cueto E, Bustinza A, Moral R, Carrillo A, Sancho L. Transpyloric enteral feeding in critically ill children. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr 1998; 26: 43-48 [PMID: 9443119 DOI: 10.1097/00005176-199801000-00008
- 121 Stone B, Hester G, Jackson D, Richardson T, Hall M, Gouripeddi R, Butcher R, Keren R, Srivastava R. Effectiveness of Fundoplication or Gastrojejunal Feeding in Children With Neurologic Impairment. Hosp Pediatr 2017; 7: 140-148 [PMID: 28159744 DOI: 10.1542/hpeds.2016-0126]
- Srivastava R, Downey EC, O'Gorman M, Feola P, Samore M, Holubkov R, Mundorff M, James BC, Rosenbaum P, 122 Young PC, Dean JM. Impact of fundoplication versus gastrojejunal feeding tubes on mortality and in preventing aspiration pneumonia in young children with neurologic impairment who have gastroesophageal reflux disease. Pediatrics 2009; 123: 338-345 [PMID: 19117901 DOI: 10.1542/peds.2007-1740]
- Jackson HT, Kane TD. Surgical management of pediatric gastroesophageal reflux disease. Gastroenterol Res Pract 123 2013; 2013: 863527 [PMID: 23762041 DOI: 10.1155/2013/863527]
- 124 Ceriati E, Marchetti P, Caccamo R, Adorisio O, Rivosecchi F, De Peppo F. Nissen fundoplication and combined procedures to reduce recurrence of gastroesophageal reflux disease in neurologically impaired children. Sci Rep 2020; 10: 11618 [PMID: 32669599 DOI: 10.1038/s41598-020-68595-x]
- 125 Vane DW, Harmel RP Jr, King DR, Boles ET Jr. The effectiveness of Nissen fundoplication in neurologically impaired children with gastroesophageal reflux. Surgery 1985; 98: 662-667 [PMID: 2931842]
- Cheung KM, Tse HW, Tse PW, Chan KH. Nissen fundoplication and gastrostomy in severely neurologically impaired 126 children with gastroesophageal reflux. Hong Kong Med J 2006; 12: 282-288 [PMID: 16912355]
- 127 Haseeb M, Thompson CC. Assessing implementation strategy and learning curve for transoral incisionless fundoplication as a new technique. Clin Endosc 2022; 55: 751-752 [PMID: 36464821 DOI: 10.5946/ce.2022.280]
- 128 Bell RCW, Freeman K, Heidrick R, Ayazi S. Transoral incisionless fundoplication demonstrates durability at up to 9 years. Therap Adv Gastroenterol 2021; 14: 17562848211004827 [PMID: 33948113 DOI: 10.1177/17562848211004827]
- 129 Benias PC. Update on the Use of Transoral Incisionless Fundoplication for the Treatment of Gastroesophageal Reflux Disease. Gastroenterol Hepatol (N Y) 2021; 17: 333-335 [PMID: 34602895]
- 130 Bomman S, Malashanka S, Ghafoor A, Sanders DJ, Irani S, Kozarek RA, Ross A, Hubka M, Krishnamoorthi R. Safe implementation of transoral incisionless fundoplication as a new technique in a tertiary care center. Clin Endosc 2022; 55: 630-636 [PMID: 35974471 DOI: 10.5946/ce.2022.003]
- Mohan N, Matthai J, Bolia R, Agarwal J, Shrivastava R, Borkar VV; For Pediatric Gastroenterology Chapter of Indian 131 Academy of Pediatrics, Indian Society of Pediatric Gastroenterology, Hepatology and Nutrition (ISPGHAN). Diagnosis and Management of Gastroesophageal Reflux Disease in Children: Recommendations of Pediatric Gastroenterology Chapter of Indian Academy of Pediatrics, Indian Society of Pediatric Gastroenterology, Hepatology and Nutrition (ISPGHAN). Indian Pediatr 2021; 58: 1163-1170 [PMID: 34183467]
- Robertson JO, Jarboe MD. Long-Term Outcomes of Transoral Incisionless Fundoplication in a High-Risk Pediatric 132 Population. J Laparoendosc Adv Surg Tech A 2018; 28: 95-100 [PMID: 29049004 DOI: 10.1089/Lap.2017.0257]





Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc 7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA Telephone: +1-925-3991568 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com Help Desk: https://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk https://www.wjgnet.com

