## CORRECTION





## Correction: Impact of shifting from laparoscopic to robotic surgery during 600 minimally invasive pancreatic and liver resections

Anouk. M. L. H. Emmen<sup>1,2</sup> · B. Görgec<sup>1,2</sup> · M. J. W. Zwart<sup>1,2</sup> · F. Daams<sup>2,3</sup> · J. Erdmann<sup>1,2</sup> · S. Festen<sup>1,2,4</sup> · D. J. Gouma<sup>1,2</sup> · T. M. van Gulik<sup>1,2</sup> · J. van Hilst<sup>1,2</sup> · G. Kazemier<sup>2,3</sup> · S. Lof<sup>1,2</sup> · S. I. Sussenbach<sup>1,2</sup> · P. J. Tanis<sup>1,2</sup> · B. M. Zonderhuis<sup>2,3</sup> · O. R. Busch<sup>1,2</sup> · R. J. Swijnenburg<sup>1,2,3</sup> · M. G. Besselink<sup>1,2</sup> · for HPB-Amsterdam

Published online: 27 December 2022 © The Author(s) 2022

## **Graphical abstract**

## Impact of Shifting from Laparoscopic to Robotic Surgery during 600 Minimally Invasive Pancreatic and Liver resections

Use of MIS-HPB resections increased from 30% to 45% (2014 - 2021)

Overall conversion rate: 4.3%

163 pancreatoduodenectomy (111 robotic)
128 distal pancreatectomy





198 laparoscopic liver resections

91	
Implementation of robotic surgery was associated with:	
Pancreatoduodenectomy	Liver
Reduced operation time (450 min to 361 min; P<0.001)	Less overall complications (24.7% vs 10.8%; P=0.003)
Reduced blood loss (350 ml to 200 ml; P<0.001)	Shorter hospital stay (4 vs 3 days; P<0.001)
Higher lymph node retrieval (11 vs 14, P=0.016)	

Emmen, Görgec et al. Surgical Endoscopy, September 2022

Correction to: Surgical Endoscopy https://doi.org/10.1007/s00464-022-09735-4

The original article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1007/s00464-022-09735-4.

M. G. Besselink m.g.besselink@amsterdamUMC.nl

Anouk. M. L. H. Emmen a.emmen@amsterdamUMC.nl

- Department of Surgery, Amsterdam UMC, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands
- <sup>2</sup> Cancer Center Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands
- Department of Surgery, Amsterdam UMC, Vrije Universiteit, Amsterdam, The Netherlands
- <sup>4</sup> Department of Surgery, OLVG, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

The original online version of this article was revised to correct a typo in the presentation of "for HPB-Amsterdam" in the contributors' list and to correct an error in the graphical abstract. The original article has been corrected.



**Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not

permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

