

EDITORIAL

Should we stop methotrexate or not
for vaccination?Patrick Durez ¹, Bernard Combe ²

To cite: Durez P, Combe B. Should we stop methotrexate or not for vaccination?. *RMD Open* 2023;**9**:e002798. doi:10.1136/rmdopen-2022-002798

Received 21 January 2023
Accepted 18 March 2023



© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2023. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.

¹Rheumatology, Cliniques Universitaires Saint-Luc – Université catholique de Louvain (UCLouvain), Institut de Recherche Expérimentale et Clinique (IREC), Brussels, Belgium

²Rheumatology, CHU Montpellier, Montpellier, France

Correspondence to

Dr Patrick Durez;
patrick.durez@uclouvain.be

The COVID-19 pandemic has brought the debate about methotrexate (MTX) discontinuation and vaccine response back to the forefront. This issue cannot leave the rheumatology community indifferent.

This debate involves a difficult decision weighing the need to continue effective immunosuppressive treatment of chronic inflammatory rheumatism such as rheumatoid arthritis (RA) against the need to protect our patients through effective vaccination.

By its action, low-dose MTX has been shown to impair responsiveness to pneumococcal and influenza vaccine.^{1–3} Recently, several studies have explored the humoral response after the COVID-19 vaccine in MTX-treated patients. The article published by Habermann *et al* clearly indicates a reduction in humoral response under MTX after COVID-19 vaccination and a 1-week break from MTX allows this response to be restored.⁴ Araujo *et al* showed that in 138 patients with RA treated with MTX continuously or interrupted for 2 weeks, the seroconversion rate and antibody titre was higher in the pause group.⁵ The same decrease of antibody production was also confirmed by Abhishek *et al* in the prospective VROOM study.⁶ It is important to note that Haberman and Abishek analysed the booster dose and not after basic immunisation. MTX discontinuation was not only evaluated after COVID-19 vaccine since Park *et al* had previously recommended such intervention for influenza vaccination.⁷ These authors have also evaluated the optimal time between the last MTX dose and the vaccine and recommended that a 2 week interval could be optimal.⁸

The first consideration is whether the lowest antibody response evaluated by different assay in these studies can be interpreted as a good and validated predictive marker of vaccine protection. The rationale is simple and refer to the association of dose response of antibody titres after vaccination and protection against symptomatic SARS-CoV-2

infection.⁹ In a prospective cohort of patients with autoimmune disease, COVID-19 infection occurred in 7.4% of patients (threefold increased risk) and were associated with nonresponders to the vaccine defined by no antibody titres.¹⁰ They reported that MTX was associated with lower antibody titres but not with breakthrough infection in contrast with other treatment such as mycophenolate mofetil, rituximab and glucocorticoid.¹¹ In two studies reported by Arumahandi de Silva *et al* and Stahl *et al*, age was the major determinant to explain the decrease in the humoral response in MTX-treated patient with RA.^{12 13} This was recently confirmed in the study published by Frommert *et al* by a negative impact of age, MTX, type of vaccine and dosage interval on vaccine antibodies response.¹⁴ Withholding MTX after the second dose of COVID-19 vaccine resulted in a similar humoral response in a randomised controlled trial.¹⁵ Therefore, pausing MTX could be proposed for the second vaccine dose and in patients over 65 years of age.

A difficult issue is to define the threshold of the humoral response as a surrogate biomarker of clinical significance since the titre is influenced by the assay and the vaccine. This mean that there is uncertainty whether monitoring of anti-S response after COVID-19 vaccine might guide individual patient management. Moreover, even if we could define a validated value, the access to the test and its interpretation will not be feasible for all patients in daily care. An additional difficulty is the virus mutation and the absence of correlation between antibody response and severe COVID-19 infection, hospitalisation and death. In the VROOM study, only 4 patients (1%–2%) were hospitalised, which confirm that MTX and RA are not associated with severe COVID-19 infection and limit the data interpretation.⁶

It should also be remembered that for any vaccination, the protective response involves B and T lymphocytes, CD4+and CD8+ and is,

therefore, not explained only by the humoral but also the cellular response. Few articles have analysed the cellular response in inflammatory arthritis. Schmiedeberg *et al* reported an equivalent T cell response in a treated RA population compared with healthy controls after the COVID-19 vaccine.¹⁶ They observed also in this study that only anti-COVID-19 IgG were lowered and IgA and IgM levels were maintained. Interestingly, Kapetanovic *et al* demonstrated that despite a decreased total immunoglobulin level following pneumococcal vaccination, the numbers of circulating total and vaccine-specific IgG or IgA producing plasmablasts did not differ between patients with RA with or without MTX.¹⁷ MTX used at a dose below 25 mg/week could not be considered as an immunosuppressant but that its effect is more immunomodulatory, anti-inflammatory by increasing the level of adenosine. MTX may inhibit proliferation of cells responsible for synovial inflammation in RA but it has become clear that its antiproliferative effects are not the main mechanism of action in RA. The main effect of low weekly doses MTX is an anti-inflammatory action explained by an increase of extracellular adenosine by inhibiting aminoimidazole-carboxamide ribonucleoside. The accumulation of adenosine leads to a decrease secretion of TNF, IFN and IL-6 and increase secretion of IL10.^{18 19} The combination of the antiproliferative and anti-inflammatory actions may explain the disease modifying action of MTX. Supporting an immunomodulation rather than an immunosuppressive action of MTX, the vaccine response on MTX treatment could not be considered ineffective and the lowered antibody titre observed may not perfectly reflect the vaccine efficacy.

The second consideration is the consequence of stopping MTX in our patients. The Korean study published by Park *et al* clearly demonstrated the risk of a rebound of the disease (10.6% RA flare if MTX is interrupted for 2 weeks compared with 5.1% in the MTX maintenance group) and the need to use glucocorticoids.⁷ A higher rate of flare after the second dose of vaccine was also observed by Araujo *et al*.⁵ In the VROOM study, an absolute 25% increased risk of flare with a more frequent use of glucocorticoids in the group pausing MTX was reported.⁶ This is not in line with the results of a pharmacological study in which pausing temporary MTX for one to two weeks has no influence on the intracellular polyglutamate dosage of MTX.²⁰ To limit the risk of flare, factors such as the duration of MTX administration and the dose, the disease activity in the last months, the severity of RA and the comedication should be globally analysed before taking a decision. Another concern is related to the observation that vaccination against COVID-19 could influence RA symptoms and disease activity. A recent review published by Xie *et al* showed a flare rate in RA ranging from 7.8% to 11.3% but the resolution occurred very shortly within 7 days.²¹ In 2019, the EULAR updated their recommendation for vaccine and one of them indicate that

vaccination in patients should be promoted during a quiescent state of disease to avoid flare-ups and favour a good immune response.²² Pausing MTX recommendation could therefore be problematic in patients with early or active disease. An alternative for not discontinuing MTX could be to propose a booster dose in patients. This approach was evaluated in two studies which demonstrate that an additional vaccine dose to patients with inflammatory disease contribute to strong and sustained immune-responses comparable to healthy controls.^{23 24}

The last consideration is potentially the more relevant since the vaccination coverage in RA is not optimal. The COVID-19 pandemic and its 6 million deaths reminded us of the need for vaccination. Our patients with RA are at increased risk of infections due to inherent dysregulation of the immune system and treatment with immunosuppressive agents.²⁵ For this, the EULAR task force publication is a good tool to review the different vaccine in RA.²² Despite this, we know that the rate of full vaccinated patients with RA is not optimal. The COMORA study found that only 25% of patients with RA were adherent to current vaccine recommendations.²⁶ To analyse this, Sandler *et al* conducted a survey to assess vaccination rates in the RA population and reported vaccination rates of 79% for influenza and 53% for pneumococcus in an academic centre.²⁷ This reflects that a large number of patients with RA do not accept the vaccine for several reasons and speaking about a lack of antibody response could increase the vaccine hesitancy. To improve this, EULAR has published recommendations on the management of inflammatory rheumatism and RA during the COVID-19 period.²⁸ Numerous cohorts were analysed during the COVID-19 pandemic, severe COVID-19 and mortality was not associated with the diagnosis of RA but related to the risks observed in the general population such as age, male sex, obesity and hypertension. Among treatments, only glucocorticoids and some immunosuppressants such as rituximab, mycophenolate mofetil were associated with increased severity and mortality from SARS-CoV-2 infection. Recently, EULAR published an update to strongly encourage patients to receive full SARS-CoV-2 vaccination.²⁹ A recent survey in physicians indicates that the rheumatologist should be responsible for the vaccination and 96.7% considered the vaccination very important. Despite this, only 37% of the physician reported vaccinating the majority of their patients.³⁰ In the RA clinic of one of us in Brussels, the rate of vaccination willingness in patients with RA improve from 69% in 2020 to 81% in 2021.³¹ This mean that we have improved the process but still 19% of my RA population are not protected against severe infection. Optimising vaccination with MTX pausing is therefore an important but a secondary objective and need further analyses to better determine the target population and the way we could apply this easily in our standard of

care. Pausing immunosuppressive agent to improve vaccine protection is not limited to MTX and will be extended to the risk of other infection such as herpes zoster and JAK inhibitor. The debate is still open and vaccination in RA has the objective to protect patients against severe infection and mortality. EULAR and all rheumatologists have worked hard to promote vaccination. It is indeed undeniable that vaccination has drastically reduced the severity, hospitalisation rate and mortality of COVID-19 infection. Despite these efforts, vaccination rates are not optimal.

The arguments to stop MTX or not could be summarised as :

PRO : Vaccination is an important measure to protect our patients with chronic inflammatory rheumatism such as RA and the temporary discontinuation of MTX helps to optimise the vaccine response.

CON : Discontinuation of MTX has not shown superior protection to vaccination against severe COVID-19 infection and may increase the risk of disease relapse.

In summary, the first objective should be to propose the recommended vaccinations in every patients with inflammatory arthritis. The second objective should be to optimise these vaccinations. In this respect, pausing MTX (1 or 2 weeks) is an interesting option and should be proposed at least to elderly patient. The alternative is to recommend an additional booster dose without pausing MTX. Our role should be after evaluating each patient (age, comorbidities, disease activity and severity...) to define at the individual level the best balance between disease control and prevention of severe infection.

Acknowledgements We thank the patients and the rheumatologists for their attitudes and resilience during the COVID-19 pandemic.

Collaborators not applicable.

Contributors Both authors contributed to this editorial.

Funding The authors have not declared a specific grant for this research from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

Competing interests None declared.

Patient consent for publication Not applicable.

Provenance and peer review Commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>.

ORCID iDs

Patrick Durez <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-7156-2356>

Bernard Combe <http://orcid.org/0000-0003-4002-1861>

REFERENCES

- Kapetanovic MC, Saxne T, Sjöholm A, *et al.* Influence of methotrexate, TNF blockers and prednisolone on antibody responses to pneumococcal polysaccharide vaccine in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. *Rheumatology (Oxford)* 2006;45:106–11.
- Gabay C, Bel M, Combesure C, *et al.* Impact of synthetic and biological disease modifying antirheumatic drugs on antibody responses to the AS03-adjuvanted pandemic influenza vaccine. *Arthritis Rheum* 2011;63:1486–96.
- Hua C, Barnetche T, Combe B, *et al.* Effect of methotrexate, anti-tumor necrosis factor α , and rituximab on the immune response to influenza and pneumococcal vaccines in patients with rheumatoid arthritis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken)* 2014;66:1016–26.
- Habermann E, Gieselmann L, Tober-Lau P, *et al.* Pausing methotrexate prevents impairment of omicron BA.1 and BA.2 neutralisation after COVID-19 booster vaccination. *RMD Open* 2022;8:e002639.
- Araujo CSR, Medeiros-Ribeiro AC, Saad CGS, *et al.* Two-Week methotrexate discontinuation in patients with rheumatoid arthritis vaccinated with inactivated SARS-cov-2 vaccine: a randomised clinical trial. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2022;81:889–97.
- Abhishek A, Boyton RJ, Peckham N, *et al.* Effect of a 2-week interruption in methotrexate treatment versus continued treatment on COVID-19 booster vaccine immunity in adults with inflammatory conditions (VROOM study): a randomised, open label, superiority trial. *Lancet Respir Med* 2022;10:840–50.
- Park JK, Lee YJ, Shin K, *et al.* Impact of temporary methotrexate discontinuation for 2 weeks on immunogenicity of seasonal influenza vaccination in patients with rheumatoid arthritis: a randomised clinical trial. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2018;77:898–904.
- Park JK, Choi Y, Winthrop KL, *et al.* Optimal time between the last methotrexate administration and seasonal influenza vaccination in rheumatoid arthritis: post hoc analysis of a randomised clinical trial. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2019;78:1283–4.
- Feng S, Phillips DJ, White T, *et al.* Correlates of protection against symptomatic and asymptomatic SARS-cov-2 infection. *Nat Med* 2021;27:2032–40.
- Ahmed S, Mehta P, Paul A, *et al.* Postvaccination antibody titres predict protection against COVID-19 in patients with autoimmune diseases: survival analysis in a prospective cohort. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2022;81:868–74.
- Ferri C, Ursini F, Gragnani L, *et al.* Impaired immunogenicity to COVID-19 vaccines in autoimmune systemic diseases. high prevalence of non-response in different patients' subgroups. *J Autoimmun* 2021;125:102744.
- Stahl D, Tho Pesch C, Brück C, *et al.* Reduced humoral response to a third dose (booster) of SARS-cov-2 mRNA vaccines by concomitant methotrexate therapy in elderly patients with rheumatoid arthritis. *RMD Open* 2022;8:e002632.
- Arumahandi de Silva AN, Frommert LM, Albach FN, *et al.* Pausing methotrexate improves immunogenicity of covid-19 vaccination in elderly patients with rheumatic diseases. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2022;81:881–8.
- Frommert LM, Arumahandi de Silva AN, Zernicke J, *et al.* Type of vaccine and immunosuppressive therapy but not diagnosis critically influence antibody response after COVID-19 vaccination in patients with rheumatic disease. *RMD Open* 2022;8:e002650.
- Skaria TG, Sreepakash A, Umesh R, *et al.* Withholding methotrexate after vaccination with chadox1 ncv19 in patients with rheumatoid or psoriatic arthritis in india (MIVAC I and II): results of two, parallel, assessor-masked, randomised controlled trials. *Lancet Rheumatol* 2022;4:e755–64.
- Schmiedeberg K, Abela IA, Pikor NB, *et al.* Postvaccination anti-S IgG levels predict anti-SARS-cov-2 neutralising activity over 24 weeks in patients with RA. *RMD Open* 2022;8:e002575.
- Kapetanovic MC, Nagel J, Nordström I, *et al.* Methotrexate reduces vaccine-specific immunoglobulin levels but not numbers of circulating antibody-producing B cells in rheumatoid arthritis after vaccination with a conjugate pneumococcal vaccine. *Vaccine* 2017;35:903–8.
- Cronstein BN. Going with the flow: methotrexate, adenosine, and blood flow. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2006;65:421–2.
- Durez P, Appelboom T, Vray B, *et al.* Methotrexate inhibits LPS-induced tumor necrosis factor production in vivo. *Eur Cytokine Netw* 1998;9:669–72.
- Morrison A, Stauffer ME, Kaufman AS. Effect of missed doses on the therapeutic effect of methotrexate for rheumatoid arthritis: a pharmacokinetic modeling study. *Open Access Rheumatol* 2021;13:267–74.
- Xie Y, Liu Y, Liu Y. The flare of rheumatic disease after SARS-cov-2 vaccination: a review. *Front Immunol* 2022;13:919979.
- Furer V, Rondaan C, Heijstek MW, *et al.* 2019 update of EULAR recommendations for vaccination in adult patients with autoimmune inflammatory rheumatic diseases. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2020;79:39–52.
- Syversen SW, Jyssum I, Tveter AT, *et al.* Immunogenicity and safety of a three-dose SARS-cov-2 vaccination strategy in patients with

- immune-mediated inflammatory diseases on immunosuppressive therapy. *RMD Open* 2022;8:e002417.
- 24 Ferri C, Gragnani L, Raimondo V, *et al.* Absent or suboptimal response to booster dose of COVID-19 vaccine in patients with autoimmune systemic diseases. *J Autoimmun* 2022;131:102866.
- 25 Doran MF, Crowson CS, Pond GR, *et al.* Frequency of infection in patients with rheumatoid arthritis compared with controls: a population-based study. *Arthritis Rheum* 2002;46:2287–93.
- 26 Dougados M, Soubrier M, Antunez A, *et al.* Prevalence of comorbidities in rheumatoid arthritis and evaluation of their monitoring: results of an international, cross-sectional study (COMORA). *Ann Rheum Dis* 2014;73:62–8.
- 27 Sandler DS, Ruderman EM, Brown T, *et al.* Understanding vaccination rates and attitudes among patients with rheumatoid arthritis. *Am J Manag Care* 2016;22:161–7.
- 28 Landewé RBM, Kroon FPB, Alunno A, *et al.* EULAR recommendations for the management and vaccination of people with rheumatic and musculoskeletal diseases in the context of SARS-cov-2: the November 2021 update. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2022;81:1628–39.
- 29 Kroon FPB, Najm A, Alunno A, *et al.* Risk and prognosis of SARS-cov-2 infection and vaccination against SARS-cov-2 in rheumatic and musculoskeletal diseases: a systematic literature review to inform EULAR recommendations. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2022;81:422–32.
- 30 Seo P, Winthrop K, Sawalha AH, *et al.* Physician perspectives on vaccination in patients with autoimmune inflammatory rheumatic diseases: an international survey. *J Rheumatol* 2023;50:246–51.
- 31 Pirson V, Sokolova T, Theunssens X, *et al.* AB1161 COVID-19 in my RA clinic: data from questionnaire, vaccination, infection and flares from the RA louvain Brussels cohort. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2022;81(Suppl 1):1697.