Revised: 20 July 2022



Adverse maternal environment alters *Oprl1* variant expression in mouse hippocampus

Xingrao Ke¹ | Yingliu Huang² | Qi Fu¹ | Amber Majnik³ | Venkatesh Sampath^{1,4} | Robert H. Lane¹

¹Department of Research Administration, Children Mercy Research Institute, Children's Mercy Kansas City, Kansas City, Missouri, USA

²Department of Neurology, Hainan Provincial People's Hospital, Haikou, Hainan, China

³Division of Neonatology, Department of Pediatrics, Medical College of Wisconsin, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, USA

⁴Division of Neonatology, Department of Pediatrics, Children's Mercy Kansas City, Kansas City, Missouri, USA

Correspondence

Robert H. Lane, Department of Research Administration, Children's Mercy Research Institute, Children's Mercy Hospital Kansas City, 2401 Gillham Road, Kansas City, MO 64108, USA. Email: rhlane@cmh.edu

Funding information

Children's Mercy Hospital; Medical College of Wisconsin

Abstract

An adverse maternal environment (AME) and Western diet (WD) in early life predispose offspring toward cognitive impairment in humans and mice. Cognitive impairment associates with hippocampal dysfunction. An important regulator of hippocampal function is the hippocampal Nociceptin/Orphanin FQ (N/OFQ) system. Previous studies find links between dysregulation of hippocampal N/OFQ receptor (NOP) expression and impaired cognitive function. NOP is encoded by the opioid receptor-like 1 (Oprl1) gene that contains multiple mRNA variants and isoforms. Regulation of Oprl1 expression includes histone modifications within the promoter. We tested the hypothesis that an AME and a postweaning WD increase the expression of hippocampal Oprl1 and select variants concurrent with altered histone code in the promoter. We created an AME-WD model combining maternal WD and prenatal environmental stress plus postweaning WD in the mouse. We analyzed the hippocampal expression of Oprl1, Oprl1 variants, and histone modifications in the Oprl1 promoter in offspring at postnatal day (P) 21 and P100. An AME and an AME-WD significantly increased the total hippocampal expression of Oprl1 and variant V4 concurrently with an increased accumulation of active histone marks in the promoter of male offspring. We concluded that an AME and an AME-WD alter hippocampal Oprl1 expression in offspring through an epigenetic mechanism in a variant-specific and sex-specific manner. Altered hippocampal Oprl1 expression may contribute to cognitive impairment seen in adult males in this model. Epigenetic regulation of Oprl1 is a potential mechanism by which an AME and a WD may contribute to neurocognitive impairment in male offspring.

KEYWORDS

adverse maternal environment, hippocampus, histone modifications, Oprl1 variants

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made. © 2022 The Authors. The Anatomical Record published by Wiley Periodicals LLC on behalf of American Association for Anatomy.

1 | INTRODUCTION

The maternal environment mediates the long-term health of offspring in both humans and animals (Alastalo et al., 2013; Arcego et al., 2016; Barker et al., 1993; Ke et al., 2020; Tozuka et al., 2010). An adverse maternal environment (AME) appears to increase the likelihood of multiple later life pathophysiologies. For example, maternal poverty predisposes offspring toward later life obesity in the United States (Levine, 2011). More than 14% of total US population and 3.4 million more children are currently living in poverty (Parolin et al., 2022). Additionally, a Western diet (WD) has been a significant contributor to the growing rate of obesity over the last several decades (Rakhra et al., 2020).

An AME and the consumption of a WD in early postnatal life increase the risk for cognitive impairment later in life in humans (Alastalo et al., 2013; Barker et al., 1993; Cordner et al., 2019) and animal models (Arcego et al., 2016; Tozuka et al., 2010; Weaver et al., 2004). We previously demonstrated that AME together with postweaning WD impair learning and memory function in adult male mice (Ke et al., 2020). Moreover, AME male offspring demonstrate reduced hippocampal neurogenesis (Ke, Huang, et al., 2021). However, the pathogenesis underlying these changes remain poorly understood. Cognitive functions involve many signaling pathways including the Nociceptin/Orphanin FQ (N/OFQ) system in the hippocampus (Andero, 2015; Sardari et al., 2015; Zaveri, 2003). The N/OFQ system in the hippocampus plays an essential role in cognitive functions in adult humans and animals (Andero, 2015; Zaveri, 2003). While several signaling pathways have been found to be programmed by AME (Criado-Marrero et al., 2020; Lemche, 2018; Pillai et al., 2018; Wang et al., 2020), the effects of AME on N/OFO system in developing hippocampus remain unknown.

N/OFO participates in numerous physiological functions though binding to the nociceptin opioid peptide receptor (NOP) (Lambert, 2008). The opioid receptor-like 1 (Oprl1) gene encodes NOP, which exists as a member of the opioid subfamily of G protein-coupled receptors (Mollereau et al., 1994). N/OFQ and NOP are widely express in the central nervous system and peripheral organs and participate in many processes including learning and memory (Andero, 2015; Bodnar, 2013; Mallimo & Kusnecov, 2013). NOP activates Gi/o proteins, a family of heterotrimeric G protein alpha subunits that primarily inhibit the cAMP dependent pathway and thus inhibits neuronal activity (Mouledous, 2019). NOP agonism impairs learning and memory whereas NOP antagonists have been shown to block this effect (Redrobe et al., 2000; Sardari et al., 2015). Moreover, studies in transgenic Pnoc/Oprl1 knockout mice correlate with

Anterior is Vilgentia Anteriory and Total

pharmacological studies, indicating that decreased activation of NOP is associated with enhanced memory (Andero, 2015). In contrast, NOP activation impairs memory (Andero, 2015). Importantly, N/OFQ-NOP receptor memory functions appear vulnerable to physiological stress (Mallimo & Kusnecov, 2013).

Most species demonstrate significant conservation of the Oprl1 gene, which produces multiple mRNA variants due to alternative splicing (Curro et al., 2001; Pan et al., 1998). The mouse Oprl1 gene contains 4 exons. Alternative splicing of mouse Oprl1 gene generates 12 mRNA variants (V) and multiple isoforms. V1-4, 8-9, and 12 are protein coding transcripts. Transcripts V5-7 undergo nonsense mediated decay and V10-11 are noncoding transcripts (ENSMUSG0000027584). Five of the Oprl1 splicing variants are differentially expressed in various mouse brain regions (Pan et al., 1998). Given that different variants and isoforms display different biological functions and pharmacological effects (Pan et al., 1998), delving into the expression pattern of Oprl1 splicing variants in the hippocampus exposed to AME and AME-WD will likely be more informative than looking solely at the total expression.

Regulation of *Oprl1* expression and alternative splicing involves histone covalent modifications, including histone acetylation (ac) and methylation (me) (Caputi et al., 2014, 2016). For example, histone H3 lysine (K) 9 acetylation (ac), H3K14ac, and H3K4 trimethylation (me3) in the promoter region lead to gene activation while H3K36me3 marks actively transcribed regions (Black et al., 2012; Graff & Tsai, 2013). In contrast, H3K9me3 and H3K27me3 in the promoter region lead to gene silencing (Black et al., 2012). All of these histone marks display vulnerability to perinatal insults (Ke et al., 2010, 2011).

Despite this previous work elucidating the impact of AME on neurodevelopmental issues such as memory and learning as well as hippocampal neurogenesis, the effects of AME and AME-WD on the expression of *Oprl1* and its variant composition in the hippocampus are unknown. We hypothesized that AME and AME-WD would alter variant expression of *Oprl1* and *Oprl1* promoter histone code.

2 | METHODS

2.1 | Animals

All experiments were conducted in accordance with the Public Health Services Policy on Human Care and Use of Laboratory Animals and all procedures were approved by the Medical College of Wisconsin Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (American Physiological Society & World Medical Association General Assembly, 2002). In this study, we used the mouse model of the AME that has been previously described (Ke et al., 2020). In brief, the AME was induced in mice by exposing 6-week-old C57/Bl6 female mice randomly to either a control diet (CD) or a WD (Ke et al., 2020). Dams in the CD group experienced a normal environment throughout pregnancy and are designated as Control (Con). Dams fed a WD experienced a "stressed" environment the last third of pregnancy. The combination of chronic WD and gestational stress is designated as AME (Ke et al., 2020). Dams from both Con and AME groups delivered spontaneously, and litters were culled to six, with three female and three male pups in each litter. At postnatal day 21 (P21), pups from both Con and AME groups were either sacrificed for studying the immediate effect of AME or weaned and permanently placed on either a CD or a WD, creating four experimental groups: Con-CD, Con-WD, AME-CD, AME-WD for a later time point study (Ke et al., 2020). At P100, when the mice were clearly adults at this stage and post the changes that occur in adolescent as well as are not in senescence, pups from four experimental groups were anesthetized, sacrificed, and the hippocampi (HP) were dissected for molecular studies. For immunohistochemistry (IHC) studies, animals were individually fixed via intra-cardiac perfusion with 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS, brains were removed and prepared for paraffin sectioning as previously described (Ke et al., 2022; Ke, Huang, et al., 2021). A total of 40 pregnant female mice were used in the study (Ke et al., 2020). One male and one female pup from the same litter were used and counted as N = 1. To have a N of six, six males and six females from six different litters were used for each experiment with N = 6 L/group. HP from control mice at P7, P21 and P100 were also harvested for the determination of the Oprl1 alternative splicing variant expression pattern developmentally.

2.2 | RNA isolation and real-time Reverse Transcription Polymerase Chain Reaction (RT-PCR)

Total RNA extraction and cDNA syntheses were performed as previously described (Cohen et al., 2016). mRNA levels of *Oprl1* total (Mm.PT.58.5266522.g, Integrated DNA Technologies) and its splicing variants were calculated relative to hypoxanthine phosphoribosyltransferase 1 (HPRT1, Mm.PT.39a.22214828, Integrated DNA Technologies), which was used as an internal control. Primer and probe sequences for *Oprl1* variants were designed based on the sequences obtained from Ensembl. org (ENSMUSG0000027584) and are listed in Table 1. A unique primer set was designed for each variant. Either a forward or reverse primer crossed the exon junction for all variants except for V8–9 and V12. The primer sets for V8–9 and V12 crossed the coding and noncoding junctions. All primer sets were confirmed by sequencing. The corresponding locations are shown in the schematic representation of *Oprl1* splicing variants in Figure 4b.

2.3 | Protein isolation and immunoblot

Hippocampal tissue proteins' isolation and immunoblots were performed as previously described (Cohen et al., 2016). Antibody against *Oprl1* (ThermoFisher Scientific, Cat#PA5-70443) at 1:50 dilution was used to determine protein abundance and Vinculin (Cell Signaling, Cat #13901) at 1:10000 dilution was used as a loading control.

2.4 | Immunohistochemistry

IHC was used to localize *Oprl1* expression in the hippocampus at P21 and P100. IHC was performed by the Histology Core Lab in the Department of Pathology Medical College of Wisconsin using a Leica Bond Rx automated staining platform as previously described (Ke et al., 2022). A rabbit anti-*Oprl1* (Cat# bs-0181R, Bioss Antibodies Inc.) 1:100 was used to determine *Oprl1* protein abundance in three hippocampal subregions (CA1, CA3, and denate gyrus [DG]). The percentage of *Oprl1* positive cell density of each hippocampal subregion area was quantified by imageJ.

2.5 | Chromatin isolation and chromatin immunoprecipitation assay

Hippocampal chromatin isolations and chromatin immunoprecipitation assays with antibodies against histone H3 lysine (K) 4 trimethylation (H3K4me3, #9751, Cell Signaling Technology), H3K9me3 (#13969, Cell Signaling Technology), H3K27me3 (#9733, Cell Signaling Technology), H3K36me3 (#4909, Cell Signaling Technology), H3K9 acetylation (H3K9ac, #9649, Cell Signaling Technology), and H3K14ac (#7627, Cell Signaling Technology) were performed as previously described (Ke et al., 2022; Ke, Huang, et al., 2021). Real-time PCR was used to quantitate the amount of DNA from the *Oprl1* promoter with primers and probe listed in Table 1.

2.6 | Statistics

GraphPad Prism 6 (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA) was used to perform all analyses. All data presented are

	Forward	Reverse	Probe
Real-time RT-PCR			
Oprl1			
V1	5' CTGTTGGAGGAACTGTACTGAG	5' GAGACAGGTTCCCTTGAAAGT	5' TTCTGGGAGGTCTTGTATGGCAGC
V2	5' CCCTAATATGAGGAACTGTACTGAG	5' GAGACAGGTTCCCTTGAAAGT	5' TTCTGGGAGGTCTTGTATGGCAGC
V3	5' TTGGACTCAAGGTCACCATC	5' CTTGGTGTGCATGACGAG	5' CTCTACTTGGCTGTGTGCGCATCGGG
V4	5' GGGCTCTACTTGGCTGT	5' CTCCCAGCTGAGGATGA	5' CATACATGACGAGGCAGTTCCC
V5	5' CTTGGACTCAAGGTCACCATC	5' CAATGCCCTCCCAGATGAC	5' CTCTACTTGGCTGTGTGCGCATCGGG
V6	5' CTCTGAGAGGAGTCTTAAGAGAGA	5' TGGTGTGCCTGAATGTGAA	5' AGCATCTCTCTCTCTTGATTCCTTCCACA
V7	5' TGTATGTCATCCTCAGACAACATT	5' TCAGTCTCTTTAAGACTCCTCTC	5' TGAACTTTACAGGCAGTGCCCTGA
V8	5' AGTGGAGGATGAAGGTCAGT	5' ACCAGGCACTCGATCTCT	5' AGTCCTCCTCCTGACCAATCAGT
6A	5' TCATGTGCTGTTAGTGTGTGTT	5' CTGGAACAGGACACAGAAAGA	5' CTCAGTGGACTGTGTCCAGCACTG
V10	5' TTGGAGAGATCGAGTGCCT	5' GCAGACAGAGATGATCAGAACC	5' AGATGCAGATGGCAAATACAGGGC
V12	5' ATGACTAGGCGTGGACCT	5' AGTTCAGGGTCAACCTAGAGAG	5' CCACAGAGCCCATCTACACCCCAAC
ChIP			
Oprl1 promoter	5' TGTGTCTTGTGAGCCGATTT	5' TITIGGCTTCCTTCTCCAACCTGCG	5' CAGTGCGGCAGCCAGAA
Abbreviation: ChIP, chromatin	immunoprecipitation.		



FIGURE 1 Hippocampal *Oprl1* total mRNA and protein levels in P21 and P100 offspring. Data were presented as mean \pm SD. (a) Hippocampal Oprl1 total mRNA levels in P21. (b) Hippocampal Oprl1 protein levels in P21. *p < .05, **p < .01 when compared to sexmatched control. (c) Hippocampal Oprl1 total mRNA levels in P100. (d) Hippocampal Oprl1 protein levels in P100. N = 6 L/group. *p < .05when compared to sex-matched con-VD, ${}^{\#}p < .05$ when compared to sex-matched con-WD, ${}^{\&}p < .05$ when compared to sec-matched AME-CD. AME, adverse maternal environment; CD, control diet; WD, Western diet

expressed as mean \pm SD. Adequate technical and biological replicates were used for all experiments. Four-group comparisons were analyzed by ANOVA followed by post-hoc Tukey's multiple comparisons test. Two-group comparisons were analyzed by Mann-Whitney (nonparametric) test. Significance was set as p < .05.

3 RESULTS

3.1 AME and AME-WD increased hippocampal Oprl1 expression in males at P21 and P100

We first determined the effects of AME on hippocampal Oprl1 expression at P21, immediately following exposure to maternal insults. In P21 males (M), AME significantly

increased hippocampal Oprl1 total mRNA and protein levels compared to controls (Con) (Figure 1a,b). In P21 females (F), AME also significantly increased hippocampal Oprl1 total mRNA levels compared to the controls (Figure 1a). However, AME did not affect hippocampal Oprl1 protein levels in females compared to the controls (Figure 1b).

We next determined the effects of an AME-WD on hippocampal Oprl1 expression at P100 when the adult males displayed learning and memory deficits. In P100 males, similarly, the AME-WD significantly increased hippocampal Oprl1 total mRNA levels when compared to either Con male CD group (ConM-CD) or ConM WD group (ConM-WD) (Figure 1c). AME-WD also significantly increased hippocampal protein levels when compared to either ConM-CD or ConM-WD or AMEM-CD (Figure 1d). In P100 females, however, neither AME

ConF

AMEE

ConM AMEM



FIGURE 2 *Oprl1* protein abundance in P21 hippocampal subregions. (a–f) *Oprl1* abundance in P21 female hippocampal CA1 (a and d), CA3 (b and e), and DG (c and f) in Con (a–c) versus AME (d–f). (g–l) *Oprl1* abundance in P21 male hippocampal CA1 (g and j), CA3 (h and k), and DG (i and l) in Con (g–i) versus AME (j–l). Red arrows pointed cells represent *Oprl1* positive cells while black arrows pointed cells represent *Oprl1* negative cells. (m) Quantifications of *Oprl1* positive cell density. Data were presented as mean \pm SD. N = 4 L/group. Scale bar = 100 µm, *p < .05 when compared to sex-matched control. AME, adverse maternal environment; DG, dentate gyrus



FIGURE 3 *Oprl1* protein abundance in P100 hippocampal subregions. (a–l) *Oprl1* abundance in P100 female hippocampal CA1 (a, d, g, and j), CA3 (b, e, h, and k), and DG (c, f, i, and l) in Con-CD (a–c), Con-WD (d–f), AME-CD (g–i), and AME-WD (j–l). (m–x): *Oprl1* abundance in P100 male hippocampal CA1 (m, p, s, and v), CA3 (n, q, t, and w), and DG (o, r, u, and x) in Con-CD (m–o), Con-WD (p–r), AME-CD (s–u), and AME-WD (v–x). Red arrows pointed cells represent *Oprl1* positive cells while black arrows pointed cells represent *Oprl1* negative cells. (y) Quantifications of *Oprl1* positive cell density. Data were presented as mean \pm SD. Scale bar = 100 µm, N = 4 L/group. *p < .05 when compared to sex-matched Con-CD, *p < .05 when compared to sec-matched Con-WD, *p < .05 when compared to sec-matched AME-CD. AME, adverse maternal environment; CD, control diet; DG, dentate gyrus; WD, Western diet

alone, WD alone nor the combination of the two altered hippocampal *Oprl1* expression in either mRNA or protein levels (Figure 1c,d).

Using IHC, we found that in P21, increased *Oprl1* abundance was mainly in CA3 region of hippocampus in AME males (AMEM) when compared to sex-matched



FIGURE 4 Histone code in *Oprl1* promoter region in male hippocampi at P21 and P100. Data were presented as mean \pm SD. (a) Histone code in P21 male hippocampi. *p < .05 when compared to ConM. (b) Histone code in P100 male hippocampi. N = 6 L/group. *p < .05 when compared ConM-CD, "p < .05 when compared to ConM-WD, "p < .05 when compared to AMEM-CD. AME, adverse maternal environment; CD, control diet; WD, Western diet;

controls with no difference in the Oprl1 expression in any hippocampal subregions in females between ConF and AMEF groups (Figure 2a-m). In P100 males, increased Oprl1 expression was predominant in CA1 and CA3 regions in AMEM-WD when compared to ConM-CD. Furthermore, increased Oprl1 expression was noted in CA1 and DG regions in AMEM-WD when compared to AMEM-CD indicating a diet effect. Meanwhile, increased Oprl1 expression was also observed in CA3 region in AMEM-WD when compared to ConM-WD indicating an AME effect (Figure 3a-y). In P100 females, interestingly, decreased Oprl1 expression was noted in CA3 region in ConF-WD compared to ConF-CD. Additionally. decreased Oprl1 expression was also noted in CA1 region in AMEF-WD compared to AMEF-CD. The data in P100 females indicate a diet effect. Together, our data suggest that an AME and an AME-WD affect hippocampal Oprl1 expression in a sex-specific manner.

3.2 | AME and AME-WD increased hippocampal densities of active histone marks in the *Oprl1* promoter in males at P21 and P100

Given that AME and AME-WD display consistent effects upon the expression of *Oprl1* in male HP and *Oprl1* expression is known to be regulated in part by histone modifications in the promoter region, we examined the effect of AME on histone modifications in *Oprl1* promoter in male HP at P21 and P100. In P21 males, AMEM significantly increased hippocampal densities of active histone marks H3K9ac, H3K14ac, and H3K36me3 in the *Oprl1* promoter region when compared to the controls (Figure 4a). In P100 males, AMEM-WD significantly increased hippocampal H3K9ac densities in *Oprl1* promoter when compared to either ConM-CD or ConM-WD or AMEM-CD. AMEM-WD also significantly increased H3K36me3 in the promoter region when compared to either ConM-CD or ConM-WD (Figure 4b). Additionally, both AMEM-CD and AMEM-WD significantly increased H3K14ac densities in *Oprl1* promoter region when compared to ConM-CD, indicating an AME effect. Surprisingly, AMEM had similar effect on H3K27me3 densities in both CD and WD groups.

3.3 | Developmental expression of *Oprl1* alternative splicing mRNA variants in control mouse HP

Alternative splicing of *Oprl1* generates multiple mRNA variants (Figure 5a,b). Different variants and isoforms display different biological functions and pharmacological effects (Pan et al., 1998). Thus, it is important to examine the expression pattern of *Oprl1* splicing variants in the hippocampus developmentally.

We measured mRNA levels of *Oprl1* variants in the hippocampus of control mice at P7, P21, and P100. P7 represents the first rapid stage of brain development postnatally in rodents (Gottlieb et al., 1977). We first measured total *Oprl1* mRNA at the three time points examined to determine if there is a sex difference. P7 mice expressed the highest mRNA levels of total *Oprl1* while P21 and P100 mice expressed similar mRNA levels of total *Oprl1* in both sexes with higher expression levels in males at P7 and P21 (Figure 5c). Among 12 variants (V), V1–10 and 12 were detected (Figure 5d). In general, the younger the mice, the lower levels of V1, 3, 5, and 8 were detected in the hippocampus. While the younger the mice, the higher levels of V2, 4, 6–7, and 9–10 were detected at the same time in the hippocampus. V12 was

169



FIGURE 5 Developmental expression pattern of *Oprl1* total and its variants in the hippocampus. Data were presented as mean \pm SD. (a) A schematic of mouse *Oprl1* gene. White boxes represent noncoding exons while black boxes represent coding exons. Gray boxes represent nonsense mediated decay exons. (b) A schematic representation of *Oprl1* splicing variants. Numbers on the left represent the designations of the variants according to the information from NCBI and Ensembl. The horizontal line above exon(s) of each variant represents the location of the primers for the variant. (c) Total *Oprl1* mRNA expression in the hippocampus at three different time points. (d) Heat map represents the developmental expression levels of V1-10 and V12 in the hippocampus at three different time points. The numbers in the heat map represent the means of the variants at each time point. The gradient color bar represents different expression levels with blue represents low expression levels while red represents high expression levels. N = 3 L/group. *p < .05 compared to P7, **p < 0.01 compared to P7, $^p < .05$ compared to females

expressed at similar levels cross three different time points. V10 was predominantly expressed while V3 was the least expressed in the hippocampus. A sex difference was noted at P7 for V3, 4, and 12 and at P100 for V1. We then examined the effects of AME and AME-WD on the expression of *Oprl1* coding variants (V1–9 and 12) in the hippocampus at P21 and P100.

3.4 | AME-WD increased hippocampal *Oprl1* V4 levels in males at P21 and P100

In P21 males, AME significantly increased hippocampal V4 but decreased V1 levels when compared to the controls (Figure 6a). In P21 females, AME significantly increased hippocampal V4 and V7 levels compared to the controls (Figure 6b).

In P100 males, AMEM-WD also significantly increased hippocampal V4 levels compared to either ConM-CD or ConM-WD or AMEM-CD. Moreover, AMEM-WD significantly increased hippocampal V1–2 levels when compared to either ConM-WD or AMEM-CD (Figure 6c). Yet, in P100 females, hippocampal *Oprl1* variant levels were differentially affected in different experimental groups. In summary, AMEF-WD significantly decreased hippocampal V4, V6, and V7 levels when compared to ConF-CD. AMEF-CD also significantly increased hippocampal V2 and V9 levels when compared to ConF-CD (Figure 6d).

4 | DISCUSSION

An AME and the consumption of a WD impair offspring learning and memory functions later in life. Activation of



FIGURE 6 Hippocampal expression of *Oprl1* coding variants in P21 and P100 offspring. Data were presented as mean \pm SD. (a) Hippocampal expression of Oprl1 variants in P21 females. (b) Hippocampal expression of Oprl1 variants in P21 males. *p < .05 when compared to sex-matched controls. (c) Hippocampal expression of Oprl1 variants in P100 females. (d) Hippocampal expression of Oprl1 variants in P100 males. N = 6 L/group. *p < .05 when compared to sex-matched Con-CD, "p < .05 when compared to sex-matched Con-WD, $^{\&}p < .05$ when compared to sec-matched AME-CD. AME, adverse maternal environment; CD, control diet; WD, Western diet

N/OPQ signaling through its cognate receptor NOP also impairs memory (Ke et al., 2020; Redrobe et al., 2000; Sardari et al., 2015). We therefore hypothesized that AME and postweaning WD alter variant expression of *Oprl1* and *Oprl1* promoter histone code in an established model of AME and postweaning WD characterized by male offspring memory impairment. We found that AME increased the expression of Oprl1 and variant V4 concurrently with the accumulation of activating histone mark H3K9ac, H3K14ac, and H3K36me3 in the promoter region in juvenile male mouse hippocampus. Importantly, these changes persist into adulthood in male AME-WD mice. These findings suggest that early life stress and diet endured by the mother affect Oprl1 expression via an epigenetic mechanism in the hippocampus. Moreover, a postweaning WD sustains an altered epigenetic Oprl1 profile into adulthood, which in turn may contribute to cognitive impairment later in life. To our knowledge, this is the first evidence that an AME and an AME-WD affect the expression of Oprl1 and its

splice variant concurrent with altered histone code in the promoter in offspring hippocampus.

AME and postweaning WD impair cognitive function in adult males in our model. In our previous study, we found that male AME-WD mice took significantly more time finding the platform compared to male control mice (Con-CD) on Days 1 and 5 of Morris Water Maze testing, a hippocampus-dependent functional test, indicating that both nonspatial and spatial learning and memory are impaired (Ke et al., 2020). Our findings revealing AME and AME-WD induced an upregulation of Oprl1 expression in male offspring HP in this study suggest that upregulation of Oprl1 may play a role in impaired cognitive function in this model. Our data are consistent with studies showing that dexamethasone exposure in the neonatal period increases Oprl1 mRNA levels in adult male rats with subsequent decreased cognitive function (Chang, 2014; Neal Jr et al., 2003). Additionally, increasing Oprl1 activity through a pharmacological approach (Oprl1 agonists' administration) impairs spatial learning and memory while this effect is attenuated via *Oprl1* antagonists' administration in adult rats (Redrobe et al., 2000; Sardari et al., 2015). Furthermore, transgenic studies reveal that deletion of *Oprl1* in mice improves performance in memory tests that are highly dependent on hippocampal functioning (Andero, 2015; Kuzmin et al., 2009; Taverna et al., 2005). Taken together, these observations suggest that *Oprl1* may regulate hippocampal dependent memory functions.

Our observation that an AME-WD increases hippocampal Oprl1 expression in juvenile males provides further relevant context to previous findings. An AME impairs neurogenesis in juvenile male HP by decreasing cell proliferation (Ki67+ cells), neuronal differentiation (NeuroD1+ cells), and the numbers of mature neurons (NeuN+ cells) in the dentate gyrus region (Ke, Huang, et al., 2021). Similarly, pharmacological blockade of Oprl1 increases the number of immature neurons (DXC+ cells), thereby restoring chronic mild stress-induced neurogenesis impairment in adult male rat hippocampus (Vitale et al., 2017). While we establish an association between upregulated hippocampal Oprl1 expression and impaired cognitive function, as well as decreased neurogenesis in our study, the underline mechanisms require further investigations.

Although hippocampal *Oprl1* mRNA levels are also increased in juvenile AME females, the protein levels are not affected in this study, suggesting that posttranscriptional regulations may be involved in the female HP. Despite WD decreased *Oprl1* abundance in CA1 and CA3 region in both ConF-WD and AMEF-WD, overall hippocampal *Oprl1* expression is not affected at either mRNA levels or protein levels in adult females in any experimental groups, which is consistent with nonaffected learning and memory functions seen in this model. These results imply that an AME affects *Oprl1* expression in a sex-specific manner. Future studies are warranted to investigate underline mechanisms.

This study also demonstrates regional variation in terms of the vulnerability of the developing hippocampus relative to the insults used in this study. AME predominantly affected hippocampal CA3 region through increased Oprl1 expression in juvenile males while AME-WD increased Oprl1 abundance mainly in CA1 and CA3 regions in adult males when compared to the controls. Hippocampal CA1 and CA3 are both required for contextual encoding of extinction (Ji & Maren, 2008). The CA1 region is essential for context-dependent retrieval whereas the CA3 region contributes to acquiring and encoding spatial information and plays a critical role in long-term spatial memory (Cherubini & Miles, 2015; Gilbert & Brushfield, 2009; Holahan & Routtenberg, 2011; Ji & Maren, 2008). Our findings may be a byproduct of the normal developmental pattern of hippocampal Oprl1 expression with early life Anatomical Rec

expression being confined predominantly to the CA3 region by spreading to CA1 region later in life thereby being affected by the postweaning WD. Our findings of increased *Oprl1* expression in CA1 and DG regions in AMEM-WD when compared to AMEM-CD that indicates a diet effect. Furthermore, our data of increased *Oprl1* expression in the CA3 region in AMEM-WD when compared to ConM-WD indicates an AME effect. Collectively, our data suggest that an AME plus a second hit by a postweaning WD are necessary for the upregulation of *Oprl1* expression in male adult hippocampus seen in this model.

Regulation of Oprl1 expression involves histone modifications in the promoter (Caputi et al., 2014, 2016). Previous studies add relevance to our findings. For example, decreased H3K9ac occupancy at Oprl1 promoter reduces Oprl1 expression levels after acute and repeated 3,4-methylenedioxy-methamphetamine (MDMA) exposure (Caputi et al., 2016). Respective changes in the activating H3K4me3 and repressive mark H3K27me3 occupancy led to the anticipated changes in the Oprl1 promoter consistent after cocaine exposure (Caputi et al., 2014). Similarly, we demonstrated that increased occupancy of activating histone marks H3K9ac, H3K14ac, and H3K36me3 at hippocampal Oprl1 promoter occurs concurrently with upregulated Oprl1 mRNA levels in male juvenile AME HP. Increased densities of these three active marks at hippocampal Oprl1 promoter persist into adulthood as do the changes in Oprl1 hippocampal expression from AME-WD males. Finally, H3K36me3 occupancy enriches the body of active genes and appears to be associated with transcriptional elongation (Mikkelsen et al., 2007; Wang et al., 2018). H3K36me3 also plays a role in transcriptional initiation (Wang et al., 2018; Zhang et al., 2014). Indeed, increased H3K36me occupancy in the IGF1 promoter region occurs concurrently with upregulated IGF1 expression induced by CCI in juvenile rat HP (Schober et al., 2012). Together, our data suggest that enriched activating histone code at Oprl1 promoter contribute to upregulation of Oprl1 expression in male HP at both time points.

Our findings of increased repressive mark H3K27me3 densities in the *Oprl1* promoter region in both AMEM-CD and AMEM-WD groups indicate an AME effect and the existing of bivalent histone modifications. Bivalent histone modifications that are characterized by being marked with opposing histone modifications correlate with both a "repressive" and "active" gene expression and are often seen in embryonic stem cells (Tomomi Tsubouchi, 2013). The presence of "bivalent" histone modifications at the promoters of lineage-specifying genes has been implicated in establishing a chromatin context in which multiple lineage options are primed in

readiness for subsequent developmental cues (Tomomi Tsubouchi, 2013). We have previously shown that bivalent histone modifications also exist in both promoter and the body of IGF1 gene in the hippocampus of preterm lambs exposed to noninvasive ventilation at P21 (Ke, Xing, et al., 2021). Yet, the role of AME-induced bivalent histone modifications seen in the adult mice in this study is unknown.

Alternative splicing of Oprl1 gene generates multiple variants (Curro et al., 2001; Pan et al., 1998). Five different splice variants with differential regional expressions occur in the mouse brain (Pan et al., 1998). We found 11 Oprl1 mRNA variants with differential expression pattern in the hippocampus. The expression levels of V1, 3, 5, and 8 trend lower in younger mouse hippocampus with V2, 4, 6-7, and 9-10 trending higher. Our findings imply that different Oprl1 variants may play a role at different stages during hippocampal development. V1 exists as a canonical transcript and encodes Oprl1 protein KOR-3 (UniProtP35377). V3-4 and 6-8 encode KOR-3d, KOR-3a, KOR-3b, KOR-3c, and KOR-3e isoforms, respectively (Pan et al., 1998). Different pharmacological responses to agonists characterize these different variants and isoforms (Pan et al., 1998). Our findings of sex differences in Oprl1 total mRNA and a few variants suggest that endogenous sex steroids may play a role in these changes.

Our findings of AME-WD leading to increased hippocampal Oprl1 V4 mRNA levels in adulthood suggest that increased V4 levels may account for elevated total Oprl1 levels seen in this model. V4 contains an additional internal exon, which results in translation initiation from an alternate start codon compared to V1. The encoded isoform KOR-3a is shorter with a distinct N-terminus compared to isoform KOR-3 (Acc# NM 001318922.1). This truncated isoform may affect Oprl1 biological functions that require further investigations. Furthermore, hippocampal V4 and V7 levels are increased in AME juvenile females. However, hippocampal V4 and V7 levels are decreased in AME-WD adult females that are in accord with nonaffected cognitive function. While the Oprl1 genetic variants have been shown to be altered in neuropsychiatric disorders (Andero et al., 2013; Briant et al., 2010), our data demonstrate that an AME and an AME-WD affect Oprl1 expression in a variant-specific and sex-specific manner. We speculate that aberrant expression levels of V4 may contribute to upregulated Oprl1 expression in affected HP and subsequently lead to learning and memory impairment seen in males in our model.

All molecular analyses performed in this study utilized whole homogenized hippocampus, thus limiting our ability to understand the contribution of hippocampal subfields but allowed for a comprehensive hippocampal investigation. Future studies include FISH probes for RNA may delineate region specificity. Another limitation is that this study did not directly link *Oprl1* expression after an AME-WD and neurogenesis that may be a mechanism for hippocampal dysfunction. Future studies are warranted to investigate the mechanisms underline using an *Oprl1* agonist in vivo and siRNA knock down in vitro.

In summary, we demonstrate that AME increases *Oprl1* total and V4 expression in association with altered histone code at *Oprl1* promoter in male juvenile hippocampus. Furthermore, an AME plus a second hit by a postweaning WD shows the similar effects on gene expression and histone code in male adult hippocampus. These results advance the field by providing the first report of altered *Oprl1* mRNA variant expression and histone code in the promoter in the hippocampus of male mice exposed to AME and second hit.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Xingrao Ke: Conceptualization (equal); data curation (lead); formal analysis (lead); investigation (lead); methodology (lead); project administration (equal); resources (equal); validation (equal); visualization (lead); writing – original draft (lead). Yingliu Huang: Data curation (supporting); investigation (supporting); methodology (supporting). Qi Fu: Data curation (supporting); methodology (supporting). Amber Majnik: Data curation (supporting); writing – review and editing (supporting). Venkatesh Sampath: Resources (supporting); writing – review and editing (supporting). Robert H. Lane: Conceptualization (equal); project administration (equal); resources (equal); supervision (lead); validation (lead); writing – review and editing (lead).

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Immunohistochemistry studies were carried out using the Clinical and Translational Research Core Lab in the Department of Pathology Medical College of Wisconsin.

FUNDING INFORMATION

Funding support by Children's Mercy Hospital and Department of Pediatrics, Medical College of Wisconsin.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

All authors declare that no conflict of interest exists.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The authors confirm that the data supporting the findings of this study are available within the article. Raw data the support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author, upon reasonable request.

ETHICS APPROVAL STATEMENT

This study was approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee, Medical College of Wisconsin (#AUA00003557).

PATIENT CONSENT STATEMENT

Patient consent was not required for this study.

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE MATERIAL FROM OTHER SOURCES

Permission was not required for this study.

ORCID

Xingrao Ke https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7763-1052

REFERENCES

- Alastalo, H., von Bonsdorff, M. B., Räikkönen, K., Pesonen, A. K., Osmond, C., Barker, D. J. P., Heinonen, K., Kajantie, E., & Eriksson, J. G. (2013). Early life stress and physical and psychosocial functioning in late adulthood. *PLoS One*, 8(7), e69011.
- American Physiological Society, & World Medical Association General Assembly. (2002). Guiding principles for research involving animals and human beings. *American Journal of Physiology-Cell Physiology*, 282(6), 3.
- Andero, R. (2015). Nociceptin and the nociceptin receptor in learning and memory. Progress in Neuro-Psychopharmacology & Biological Psychiatry, 62, 45–50.
- Andero, R., Brothers, S. P., Jovanovic, T., Chen, Y. T., Salah-Uddin, H., Cameron, M., Bannister, T. D., Almli, L., Stevens, J. S., Bradley, B., Binder, E. B., Wahlestedt, C., & Ressler, K. J. (2013). Amygdala-dependent fear is regulated by *Oprl1* in mice and humans with PTSD. *Science Translational Medicine*, 5(188), 188ra73.
- Arcego, D. M., Krolow, R., Lampert, C., Toniazzo, A. P., Berlitz, C., Lazzaretti, C., Schmitz, F., Rodrigues, A. F., Wyse, A. T. S., & Dalmaz, C. (2016). Early life adversities or high fat diet intake reduce cognitive function and alter BDNF signaling in adult rats: Interplay of these factors changes these effects. *International Journal of Developmental Neuroscience*, 50, 16–25.
- Barker, D. J., Hales, C. N., Fall, C. H., Osmond, C., Phipps, K., & Clark, P. M. (1993). Type 2 (non-insulin-dependent) diabetes mellitus, hypertension and hyperlipidaemia (syndrome X): Relation to reduced fetal growth. *Diabetologia*, *36*(1), 62–67.
- Black, J. C., Van Rechem, C., & Whetstine, J. R. (2012). Histone lysine methylation dynamics: Establishment, regulation, and biological impact. *Molecular Cell*, 48(4), 491–507.
- Bodnar, R. J. (2013). Endogenous opiates and behavior: 2012. Peptides, 50, 55–95.
- Briant, J. A., Nielsen, D. A., Proudnikov, D., Londono, D., Ho, A., Ott, J., & Kreek, M. J. (2010). Evidence for association of two variants of the nociceptin/orphanin FQ receptor gene *OPRL1* with vulnerability to develop opiate addiction in Caucasians. *Psychiatric Genetics*, 20(2), 65–72.
- Caputi, F. F., di Benedetto, M., Carretta, D., Bastias del Carmen Candia, S., D'Addario, C., Cavina, C., Candeletti, S., & Romualdi, P. (2014). Dynorphin/KOP and nociceptin/NOP

gene expression and epigenetic changes by cocaine in rat striatum and nucleus accumbens. *Progress in Neuro-Psychopharmacology & Biological Psychiatry*, 49, 36–46.

- Caputi, F. F., Palmisano, M., Carboni, L., Candeletti, S., & Romualdi, P. (2016). Opioid gene expression changes and posttranslational histone modifications at promoter regions in the rat nucleus accumbens after acute and repeated 3,4-methylenedioxymethamphetamine (MDMA) exposure. *Pharmacological Research*, *114*, 209–218.
- Chang, Y. P. (2014). Evidence for adverse effect of perinatal glucocorticoid use on the developing brain. *Korean Journal of Pediatrics*, 57(3), 101–109.
- Cherubini, E., & Miles, R. (2015). The CA3 region of the hippocampus: How is it? What is it for? How does it do it? Frontiers in Cellular Neuroscience, 9, 19.
- Cohen, S., Ke, X., Liu, Q., Fu, Q., Majnik, A., & Lane, R. (2016). Adverse early life environment increases hippocampal microglia abundance in conjunction with decreased neural stem cells in juvenile mice. *International Journal of Developmental Neuroscience*, 55, 56–65.
- Cordner, Z. A., Khambadkone, S. G., Boersma, G. J., Song, L., Summers, T. N., Moran, T. H., & Tamashiro, K. L. K. (2019). Maternal high-fat diet results in cognitive impairment and hippocampal gene expression changes in rat offspring. *Experimental Neurology*, 318, 92–100.
- Criado-Marrero, M., Smith, T. M., Gould, L. A., Kim, S., Penny, H. J., Sun, Z., Gulick, D., Dickey, C. A., & Blair, L. J. (2020). FKBP5 and early life stress affect the hippocampus by an age-dependent mechanism. *Brain, Behavior, and Immunity Health*, 9, 100143.
- Curro, D., Yoo, J. H., Anderson, M., Song, I., Del Valle, J., & Owyang, C. (2001). Molecular cloning of the orphanin FQ receptor gene and differential tissue expression of splice variants in rat. *Gene*, 266(1–2), 139–145.
- Gilbert, P. E., & Brushfield, A. M. (2009). The role of the CA3 hippocampal subregion in spatial memory: A process oriented behavioral assessment. *Progress in Neuro-Psychopharmacology & Biological Psychiatry*, 33(5), 774–781.
- Gottlieb, A., Keydar, I., & Epstein, H. T. (1977). Rodent brain growth stages: An analytical review. *Biology of the Neonate*, 32(3–4), 166–176.
- Graff, J., & Tsai, L. H. (2013). Histone acetylation: Molecular mnemonics on the chromatin. *Nature Reviews. Neuroscience*, 14(2), 97–111.
- Holahan, M. R., & Routtenberg, A. (2011). Lidocaine injections targeting CA3 hippocampus impair long-term spatial memory and prevent learning-induced mossy fiber remodeling. *Hippocampus*, 21(5), 532–540.
- Ji, J., & Maren, S. (2008). Differential roles for hippocampal areas CA1 and CA3 in the contextual encoding and retrieval of extinguished fear. *Learning & Memory*, 15(4), 244–251.
- Ke, X., Fu, Q., Sterrett, J., Hillard, C. J., Lane, R. H., & Majnik, A. (2020). Adverse maternal environment and western diet impairs cognitive function and alters hippocampal glucocorticoid receptor promoter methylation in male mice. *Physiological Reports*, 8(8), e14407.
- Ke, X., Huang, Y., Fu, Q., Lane, R. H., & Majnik, A. (2021). Adverse maternal environment alters microRNA-10b-5p expression and its epigenetic profile concurrently with impaired hippocampal

WILEY

174 WILEY The Anatomical Re

neurogenesis in male mouse hippocampus. *Developmental Neuroscience*, *43*(2), 95–105.

- Ke, X., Huang, Y., Fu, Q., Majnik, A., & Lane, R. H. (2022). Adverse maternal environment affects hippocampal HTR2c variant expression and epigenetic characteristics in mouse offspring. *Pediatric Research*. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41390-022-01962-8
- Ke, X., McKnight, R. A., Caprau, D., O'Grady, S., Fu, Q., Yu, X., Callaway, C. W., Albertine, K. H., & Lane, R. H. (2011). Intrauterine growth restriction affects hippocampal dual specificity phosphatase 5 gene expression and epigenetic characteristics. *Physiological Genomics*, 43(20), 1160–1169.
- Ke, X., Schober, M. E., McKnight, R. A., O'Grady, S., Caprau, D., Yu, X., Callaway, C. W., & Lane, R. H. (2010). Intrauterine growth retardation affects expression and epigenetic characteristics of the rat hippocampal glucocorticoid receptor gene. *Physiological Genomics*, 42(2), 177–189.
- Ke, X., Xing, B., Dahl, M. J., Alvord, J., McKnight, R. A., Lane, R. H., & Albertine, K. H. (2021). Hippocampal epigenetic and insulin-like growth factor alterations in noninvasive versus invasive mechanical ventilation in preterm lambs. *Pediatric Research*, 90(5), 998–1008.
- Kuzmin, A., Madjid, N., Johansson, B., Terenius, L., & Ögren, S. O. (2009). The nociceptin system and hippocampal cognition in mice: A pharmacological and genetic analysis. *Brain Research*, 1305(Suppl), S7–S19.
- Lambert, D. G. (2008). The nociceptin/orphanin FQ receptor: A target with broad therapeutic potential. *Nature Reviews. Drug Discovery*, 7(8), 694–710.
- Lemche, E. (2018). Early life stress and epigenetics in late-onset Alzheimer's dementia: A systematic review. *Current Genomics*, 19(7), 522–602.
- Levine, J. A. (2011). Poverty and obesity in the U.S. *Diabetes*, 60(11), 2667–2668.
- Mallimo, E. M., & Kusnecov, A. W. (2013). The role of orphanin FQ/nociceptin in neuroplasticity: Relationship to stress, anxiety and neuroinflammation. *Frontiers in Cellular Neuroscience*, *7*, 173.
- Mikkelsen, T. S., Ku, M., Jaffe, D. B., Issac, B., Lieberman, E., Giannoukos, G., Alvarez, P., Brockman, W., Kim, T. K., Koche, R. P., Lee, W., Mendenhall, E., O'Donovan, A., Presser, A., Russ, C., Xie, X., Meissner, A., Wernig, M., Jaenisch, R., ... Bernstein, B. E. (2007). Genome-wide maps of chromatin state in pluripotent and lineage-committed cells. *Nature*, 448(7153), 553–560.
- Mollereau, C., Parmentier, M., Mailleux, P., Butour, J. L., Moisand, C., Chalon, P., Caput, D., Vassart, G., & Meunier, J. C. (1994). ORL1, a novel member of the opioid receptor family. Cloning, functional expression and localization. *FEBS Letters*, 341(1), 33–38.
- Mouledous, L. (2019). The Nociceptin/Orphanin FQ system and the regulation of memory. *Handbook of Experimental Pharmacol*ogy, 254, 259–278.
- Neal, C. R., Jr., VanderBeek, B. L., Vázquez, D. M., & Watson, S. J., Jr. (2003). Dexamethasone exposure during the neonatal period alters ORL1 mRNA expression in the hypothalamic paraventricular nucleus and hippocampus of the adult rat. *Brain Research Developmental Brain Research*, 146(1–2), 15–24.
- Pan, Y. X., Xu, J., Wan, B. L., Zuckerman, A., & Pasternak, G. W. (1998). Identification and differential regional expression of

KOR-3/ORL-1 gene splice variants in mouse brain. *FEBS Letters*, *435*(1), 65–68.

- Parolin, Z., Collyer, S., & Curran, M. A. (2022). 3.4 million more children in poverty in February 2022 than December 2021. Monthly [Report].
- Pillai, A. G., Arp, M., Velzing, E., Lesuis, S. L., Schmidt, M. V., Holsboer, F., Joëls, M., & Krugers, H. J. (2018). Early life stress determines the effects of glucocorticoids and stress on hippocampal function: Electrophysiological and behavioral evidence respectively. *Neuropharmacology*, 133, 307–318.

Rakhra, V., Galappaththy, S. L., Bulchandani, S., & Cabandugama, P. K. (2020). Obesity and the Western diet: How we got here. *Missouri Medicine*, 117(6), 536–538.

- Redrobe, J. P., Calo, G., Guerrini, R., Regoli, D., & Quirion, R. (2000). [Nphe(1)]-Nociceptin (1-13)-NH(2), a nociceptin receptor antagonist, reverses nociceptin-induced spatial memory impairments in the Morris water maze task in rats. *British Journal of Pharmacology*, 131(7), 1379–1384.
- Sardari, M., Rezayof, A., & Khodagholi, F. (2015). Hippocampal signaling pathways are involved in stress-induced impairment of memory formation in rats. *Brain Research*, 1625, 54–63.
- Schober, M. E., Ke, X., Xing, B., Block, B. P., Requena, D. F., McKnight, R., & Lane, R. H. (2012). Traumatic brain injury increased IGF-1B mRNA and altered IGF-1 exon 5 and promoter region epigenetic characteristics in the rat pup hippocampus. *Journal of Neurotrauma*, 29(11), 2075–2085.
- Taverna, F. A., Georgiou, J., McDonald, R. J., Hong, N. S., Kraev, A., Salter, M. W., Takeshima, H., Muller, R. U., & Roder, J. C. (2005). Defective place cell activity in nociceptin receptor knockout mice with elevated NMDA receptordependent long-term potentiation. *The Journal of Physiology*, 565(Pt 2), 579–591.
- Tomomi Tsubouchi, A. G. F. (2013). Epigenetics and development. In *Current topics in developmental biology* (pp. 223–241). Elsevier Inc..
- Tozuka, Y., Kumon, M., Wada, E., Onodera, M., Mochizuki, H., & Wada, K. (2010). Maternal obesity impairs hippocampal BDNF production and spatial learning performance in young mouse offspring. *Neurochemistry International*, 57(3), 235–247.
- Vitale, G., Filaferro, M., Micioni di Bonaventura, M. V., Ruggieri, V., Cifani, C., Guerrini, R., Simonato, M., & Zucchini, S. (2017). Effects of [Nphe(1), Arg(14), Lys(15)] N/OFQ-NH2 (UFP-101), a potent NOP receptor antagonist, on molecular, cellular and behavioural alterations associated with chronic mild stress. *Journal of Psychopharmacology*, 31(6), 691–703.
- Wang, A., Zou, X., Wu, J., Ma, Q., Yuan, N., Ding, F., Li, X., & Chen, J. (2020). Early-life stress alters synaptic plasticity and mTOR signaling: Correlation with anxiety-like and cognitionrelated behavior. *Frontiers in Genetics*, 11, 590068.
- Wang, L., Niu, N., Li, L., Shao, R., Ouyang, H., & Zou, W. (2018). H3K36 trimethylation mediated by SETD2 regulates the fate of bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells. *PLoS Biology*, 16(11), e2006522.
- Weaver, I. C., Cervoni, N., Champagne, F. A., D'Alessio, A. C., Sharma, S., Seckl, J. R., Dymov, S., Szyf, M., & Meaney, M. J. (2004). Epigenetic programming by maternal behavior. *Nature Neuroscience*, 7(8), 847–854.

- Zaveri, N. (2003). Peptide and nonpeptide ligands for the nociceptin/orphanin FQ receptor ORL1: Research tools and potential therapeutic agents. *Life Sciences*, 73(6), 663–678.
- Zhang, Y., Xie, S., Zhou, Y., Xie, Y., Liu, P., Sun, M., Xiao, H., Jin, Y., Sun, X., Chen, Z., Huang, Q., & Chen, S. (2014). H3K36 histone methyltransferase Setd2 is required for murine embryonic stem cell differentiation toward endoderm. *Cell Reports*, 8(6), 1989–2002.

How to cite this article: Ke, X., Huang, Y., Fu, Q., Majnik, A., Sampath, V., & Lane, R. H. (2023). Adverse maternal environment alters *Oprl1* variant expression in mouse hippocampus. *The Anatomical Record*, *306*(1), 162–175. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/ar.</u> 25056