

Prevention of group B streptococcal infection in newborns

Recommendation statement from the Canadian Task Force on Preventive Health Care

Recommendations

- There is fair evidence (level II-1 and II-2) that universal screening for group B streptococcal (GBS) colonization at 35–37 weeks' gestation followed by selective intrapartum chemoprophylaxis (IPC) given to colonized women who have risk factors reduces the incidence of colonization and early-onset infection in neonates. This appears to be the most efficient strategy (grade B recommendation).
- There is fair evidence (level II-2) that universal screening for GBS colonization at 35–37 weeks' gestation followed by IPC of all colonized women reduces the incidence of colonization in neonates and prevents early-onset neonatal infection, but this strategy is associated with a much larger proportion of women being treated (grade B recommendation).
- There is insufficient evidence to evaluate the effectiveness of IPC given on the basis of risk factors alone (grade C recommendation).

Two forms of group B streptococcal (GBS) infection — early onset and late onset — in infants are well recognized, and the distinctions between them are described in Table 1. Risk factors for GBS infection in general include (a) preterm labour (< 37 weeks' gestation), (b) prolonged rupture of membranes (≥ 18 hours), (c) maternal fever (temperature $\geq 38.0^\circ\text{C}$), (d) GBS bacteriuria during pregnancy and (e) previous delivery of a newborn with GBS infection regardless of current maternal GBS colonization status. In the absence of intrapartum chemoprophylaxis (IPC), colonization will occur in about 40%–50% of infants of mothers who are GBS positive on screening. IPC is effective in reducing the incidence of colonization by 80%–90%. In the absence of treatment, early-onset infection will develop in a small but important proportion of infants of colonized mothers.

Preventive strategies

- Universal screening of pregnant women for GBS colonization followed by selective IPC given to

colonized women with risk factors

- Universal screening of pregnant women for GBS colonization followed by IPC given to all colonized women
- IPC given on the basis of risk factors only

Potential benefits

- Prevention of GBS colonization and early-onset infection in neonates

Potential harms

- Increased incidence of GBS strains resistant to erythromycin (reported rates ranging from 3.2% to 16.0%) and clindamycin (reported rates ranging from 2.5% to 15%)^{9–11}
- Increased incidence of neonatal sepsis due to ampicillin-resistant organisms other than GBS (possibly related to widespread use of antepartum and intrapartum antibiotics)^{12,13}

Recommendations by others

The Society of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists of Canada,¹⁴ the US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)¹⁵ and the American Academy of Pediatrics¹⁶ have published guidelines

Table 1: Description of group B streptococcal (GBS) infection in newborns by age at onset

Onset	Definition and signs at presentation	Incidence	Death rate, %
Early	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Occurs in infants < 1 wk old • Acquired through vertical transmission from colonized mothers • Clinical presentations include sepsis, pneumonia and meningitis^{1,2} 	1–3 per 1000 live births (declined to 0.6 per 1000 live births in active surveillance areas in the United States) ^{3–6}	4.7 ⁵ 9.0 ²
		0.42 per 1000 total births in Alberta during 1995–1999 ²	
Late	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Occurs in infants older than 1 wk • Acquired either by vertical transmission (delayed infection after early colonization in 50% of cases)⁷ or by horizontal transmission (in hospital or in the community)⁸ • Meningitis is most common presentation (in 85% of cases)¹ 	0.22 per 1000 total births in Alberta during 1995–1999 ²	2.8 ⁷ 2.0 ²

regarding the prevention of perinatal GBS infection. They recommend either of 2 strategies: universal screening at 35–37 weeks' gestation and offer of IPC to colonized women, or offer of IPC on the basis of maternal risk factors. The American College of Obstetricians and

Gynecologists¹⁷ and the CDC recommend that individual obstetricians choose one of these 2 strategies to establish consistent management of patients. No intervention will be able to prevent all cases of early-onset GBS infection in neonates.

The Canadian Task Force on Preventive Health Care is an independent panel funded through a partnership of the federal and provincial/territorial governments of Canada.

This statement is based on the technical report: "Prevention of early-onset group B streptococcal (GBS) infection in the newborn: systematic review and recommendations," by V. Shah and A. Ohlsson, with the Canadian Task Force on Preventive Health Care. The full technical report is available online (www.ctfphc.org) or from the task force office (ctf@ctfphc.org).

Evidence and clinical summary

- There is no direct evidence regarding the effectiveness of screening for GBS colonization in pregnant women as no study to date has compared the outcomes of screened and unscreened women.
- None of the randomized clinical trials evaluating the effectiveness of universal screening for GBS colonization followed by selective IPC given to colonized women with risk factors¹⁸ or of universal screening for GBS colonization followed by IPC given to all colonized women^{19,20} has shown a statistically significant reduction in the incidence of early-onset neonatal infection. Although they show a trend toward reduction, none of these studies had enough power to show a significant difference in incidence of early-onset neonatal infection between the treatment and control groups (possible type II error). There is evidence that both strategies reduce neonatal colonization.
- There is cumulative evidence from cohort studies that either universal screening followed by selective IPC given to colonized women with risk factors^{21–23} or universal screening followed by IPC given to all colonized women^{24,25} is effective in preventing early-onset GBS infection in neonates. The efficacy of IPC given on the basis of risk factors alone has not been tested.
- Two to 3 women need to be treated with IPC to prevent 1 case of neonatal colonization with either the universal or selective IPC strategies. To prevent 1 case of early-onset neonatal infection, 6 colonized women with risk factors (95% confidence interval [CI] 4–10) need to be treated with selective IPC. In comparison, evidence from 2 studies indicates that 16 colonized women (95% CI 9–84)²⁴ and 2059 colonized women (95% CI 1062–32 968)²⁵ need to be treated to prevent 1 case of early-onset infection if IPC is administered to all colonized women (the rates of early-onset infection in the control groups were 7% and 0.1% respectively). (In view of statistically significant heterogeneity [$p = 0.0062$], the results of the 2 studies were not combined.) Thus, a much larger proportion of pregnant women will receive antibiotics if universal screening for GBS colonization and IPC is adopted as a preventive strategy than if universal screening and selective IPC given on the basis of risk factors is adopted. The point estimates for effectiveness for the different strategies have likely been overestimated because of poor study quality, including heterogeneity.
- Collection by swab of antenatal specimens (from lower vagina and rectum) for culture should occur at 35–37 weeks' gestation. Specimens should be inoculated into selective broth medium, followed by overnight incubation, and then subcultured onto solid blood agar medium.
- Adequate IPC consists of at least 1 dose of penicillin (5 million units) given intravenously at least 4 hours before birth. If labour continues beyond 4 hours, penicillin (2.5 million units) should be administered every 4 hours until delivery. Intravenous administration of clindamycin (900 mg every 8 hours) or erythromycin (500 mg every 6 hours) until delivery is recommended for women allergic to penicillin.
- With the emerging resistance to erythromycin and clindamycin among GBS strains, the currently recommended antibiotic therapy in cases of penicillin allergy may need modification. The increased use of antibiotics in the perinatal period may lead to an increased incidence of bacteria resistant to antibiotics that are currently used as initial therapy for suspected perinatal infections.

References

1. Baker CJ, Edwards MS. Group B streptococcal infections. In: Remington J, Klein JO, editors. *Infectious disease of the fetus and newborn infant*. 4th ed. Philadelphia: WB Saunders. 1995. p. 980–1054.
2. Davies HD, Raj S, Adair C, Robinson J, McGeer A, Group TA. Population-based active surveillance for neonatal group B streptococcal infections in Alberta: implications for vaccine formulation. *Pediatr Infect Dis J* 2001;20:879–84.
3. Regan JA, Klebanoff MA, Nugent RP, Eschenbach DA, Blackwelder WC, Lou Y, et al. Colonization with group B streptococci in pregnancy and adverse outcome. VIP Study Group. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 1996;174:1354–60.
4. Zangwill KM, Schuchat A, Wenger JD. Group B streptococcal disease in the United States, 1990: report from a multistate active surveillance system. *Mor Mortal Wkly Rep CDC Surveill Summ* 1992;41:25–32.
5. Goldenberg E, Davies HD, Landry L, the Toronto GBS study group. Hospital prevention policies and the incidence of early onset neonatal group B streptococcal disease [abstract no 1879]. 38th Interscience Conference on Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy; 1998 Sept 24–28; San Diego.
6. Scharg SJ, Zywicki S, Farley MM, Reingold AL, Harrison LH, Lefkowitz LB, et al. Group B streptococcal disease in the era of intrapartum antibiotic prophylaxis. *N Engl J Med* 2000;342:15–20.
7. Dillon HC Jr, Khare S, Gray BM. Group B streptococcal carriage and disease: a 6-year prospective study. *J Pediatr* 1987;110:31–6.
8. Noya FJ, Rench MA, Metzger TG, Colman G, Naidoo J, Baker CJ. Unusual occurrence of an epidemic of type Ib/c group B streptococcal sepsis in a neonatal intensive care unit. *J Infect Dis* 1987;155:1135–44.
9. Berkowitz K, Regan JA, Greenberg E. Antibiotic resistance patterns of group B streptococci in pregnant women. *J Clin Microbiol* 1990;28:5–7.
10. Fernandez M, Hickman ME, Baker CJ. Antimicrobial susceptibilities of group B streptococci isolated between 1992 and 1996 from patients with bacteremia or meningitis. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 1998;42:1517–9.
11. Pearlman MD, Pierson CL, Faix RG. Frequent resistance of clinical group B streptococci isolates to clindamycin and erythromycin. *Obstet Gynecol* 1998;92:258–61.
12. Towers CV, Carr MH, Padilla G, Asrat T. Potential consequences of widespread antepartum use of ampicillin. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 1998;179:879–83.
13. Mercer BM, Carr TL, Beazley DD, Crouse DT, Sibai BM. Antibiotic use in pregnancy and drug-resistant infant sepsis. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 1999;181:816–21.
14. Society of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists. Statement on the prevention of early-onset group B streptococcal infections in the newborn. *J Soc Obstet Gynaecol* 1997;19:751–8.
15. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention: Prevention of perinatal group B streptococcal disease: a public health perspective. *MMWR Recomm Rep* 1996;45(RR-7):1–24.
16. American Academy of Pediatrics, Committee on Infectious Diseases and Committee on Fetus and Newborn. Revised guidelines for prevention of early-onset group B streptococcal (GBS) infection. *Pediatrics* 1997;99:489–96.

17. American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. Group B streptococcal infections in pregnancy: ACOG's recommendations. *ACOG News* 1993;37:1.
18. Boyer KM, Gotoff SP. Prevention of early-onset neonatal group B streptococcus disease with selective intrapartum chemoprophylaxis. *N Engl J Med* 1986;314:1665-9.
19. Tuppurainen N, Hallman M. Prevention of neonatal group B streptococcal disease: intrapartum detection and chemoprophylaxis of heavily colonized parturients. *Obstet Gynecol* 1989;73:583-7.
20. Matorras R, Garcia-Perea A, Omenaca F, Diez-Enciso M, Madero R, Usandizaga JA. Intrapartum chemoprophylaxis of early-onset group B streptococcal disease. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol* 1991;40:57-62.
21. Morales WJ, Lim D. Reduction of group B streptococcal maternal and neonatal infections in preterm pregnancies with premature rupture of membranes through a rapid identification test. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 1987;157:13-6.
22. Pylipow M, Gaddis M, Kinney JS. Selective intrapartum prophylaxis for group B streptococcus colonization: management and outcomes of newborns. *Pediatrics* 1994;93:631-5.
23. Gibbs RS, McDuffie RS Jr, McNabb F, Fryer GE, Miyoshi T, Merenstein G. Neonatal group B streptococcal sepsis during 2 years of a universal screening program. *Obstet Gynecol* 1994;84:496-500.
24. Allardice JG, Baskett TF, Seshia MM, Bowman N, Malazdrewicz R. Perinatal group B streptococcal colonization and infection. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 1982;142:617-20.
25. Garland SM, Fliegner JR. Group B streptococcus (GBS) and neonatal infections: the case for intrapartum chemoprophylaxis. *Aust NZ J Obstet Gynecol* 1991;31:119-22.

BOOKS RECEIVED

Callahan D, editor. **Promoting healthy behavior.** Washington: Georgetown University Press; 2001. 192 pp US\$19.95 ISBN 0-87840-853-3

Enck RE. **The medical care of terminally ill patients.** 2nd ed. Baltimore and London: Johns Hopkins University Press; 2002. 226 pp US\$68 (cloth) ISBN 0-8018-6765-7 US\$31.50 (paper) ISBN 0-8018-6766-5

Glasziou P, Irwig L, Bain C, Coldit, G. **Systematic reviews in health care.** New York: Cambridge University Press; 2001. 136 pp US\$29.95 ISBN 0-521-79962-7

Health Canada. **Beyond basics: a sourcebook on sexual and reproductive health education.** Ottawa: Health Canada; 2001. \$85 (includes taxes, shipping & handling) ISBN 0-

9688118-0-9 Contact: Planned Parenthood Federation of Canada; admin @ppfc.ca

May T. **Bioethics in a liberal society.** Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press; 2002. 135 pp \$61.95 ISBN 0-8018-6802-5

Rosenfeld J, editor. **Handbook of women's health.** New York: Cambridge University Press; 2001. 700 pp US\$74.95 ISBN 0-521-78833-1

Sappol M. **A traffic of dead bodies.** New Jersey: Princeton University Press; 2002. 430 pp US\$35.00 ISBN 0-691-05925-X

Scott A, Shaw M, Joughin C, editors. **Finding the evidence.** 2nd ed. London: Royal College of Psychiatrists; 2001. 203 pp £18.00 ISBN 1-901242-68-4

A CMAJ Call for Medical Images: Clinical Vistas

Send us your interesting clinical images!

Through scopes and scanners, on film and computer screens, with ultrasonography and microscopy, clinicians capture stunning images of illness and healing. *CMAJ* invites you to share your normally privy visual perspectives on anatomy, pathology, diagnostic procedures and therapeutic techniques. Let colleagues outside your specialty take a close look at the characteristic signs of rare conditions (Kayser-Fleischer rings in Wilson's disease) or the interior marvels of your clinical terrain (colonoscopic view of an adenomatous polyp). We're also interested in images that take a wider angle on the context of care (a recently cord-clamped newborn on a cold steel scale). If you have original, unpublished images that are beautiful or informative, rare or classic, we'd like to include them in *CMAJ's* Clinical Vistas.

Send your images or queries to:



Editorial Fellow • Canadian Medical Association Journal
1867 Alta Vista Drive • Ottawa ON K1G 3Y6 Canada
or email pubs@cma.ca