European Chemical Societies Publishing

Towards Luminescent Vanadium(II) Complexes with Slow Magnetic Relaxation and Quantum Coherence

Matthias Dorn⁺,^[a] David Hunger⁺,^[b] Christoph Förster,^[a] Robert Naumann,^[a] Joris van Slageren,^{*[b]} and Katja Heinze^{*[a]}

Abstract: Molecular entities with doublet or triplet ground states find increasing interest as potential molecular quantum bits (qubits). Complexes with higher multiplicity might even function as qudits and serve to encode further quantum bits. Vanadium(II) ions in octahedral ligand fields with quartet ground states and small zero-field splittings qualify as qubits with optical read out thanks to potentially luminescent spin-flip states. We identified two V²⁺ complexes [V(ddpd)₂]²⁺ with the strong field ligand *N*,*N'*-dimethyl-*N*,*N'*-dipyridine-2-yl-pyridine-2,6-diamine (ddpd) in two isomeric forms (*cis-fac* and *mer*) as suitable candidates. The energy gaps between the

Introduction

Molecular quantum bits (qubits) based on $S = \frac{1}{2}$ systems such as copper(II)^[1] or vanadium(IV)^[2-4] possess long coherence times, while qubits based on non-spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ ions, for example europium(III), vanadium(III) in trigonal bipyramidal ligand fields, chromium(IV) in tetrahedral ligand fields or nickel(II) in pseudo octahedral ligand fields,^[5] are rare. The advantage of high-spin ions over two-level ($S = \frac{1}{2}$) ions is that several qubits can be encoded into one complex, or the enlarged Hilbert space provided by the additional levels of such so-called qudits can be used, for example, for quantum error correction.^[6] A second advantage of high-spin complexes, is that their spin quantum state may be addressed optically, through optically detected

[a]	M. Dorn, ⁺ Dr. C. Förster, Dr. R. Naumann, Prof. Dr. K. Heinze
	Department of Chemistry
	Johannes Gutenberg University
	Duesbergweg 10–14, 55128 Mainz (Germany)
	E-mail: katja.heinze@uni-mainz.de
	Homepage: www.ak-heinze.chemie.uni-mainz.de
[b]	D. Hunger, ⁺ Prof. Dr. J. van Slageren
	Institute of Physical Chemistry and Center for
	Integrated Quantum Science and Technology
	University of Stuttgart
	Pfaffenwaldring 55, 70569 Stuttgart (Germany)
	E-mail: slageren@ipc.uni-stuttgart.de

Homepage: www.ipc.uni-stuttgart.de/slageren

- [⁺] These authors contributed equally.
- Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.202202898
- © 2022 The Authors. Chemistry A European Journal published by Wiley-VCH GmbH. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited and is not used for commercial purposes.

two lowest Kramers doublets amount to 0.2 and 0.5 cm⁻¹ allowing pulsed EPR experiments at conventional Q-band frequencies (35 GHz). Both isomers possess spin-lattice relaxation times T_1 of around 300 µs and a phase memory time T_M of around 1 µs at 5 K. Furthermore, the *mer* isomer displays slow magnetic relaxation in an applied field of 400 mT. While the vanadium(III) complexes [V(ddpd)₂]³⁺ are emissive in the near-IR-II region, the [V(ddpd)₂]²⁺ complexes are non-luminescent due to metal-to-ligand charge transfer admixture to the spin-flip states.

magnetic resonance techniques, as widely employed for S = 1 nitrogen-vacancy defects in diamond.^[7] In fact, optical readout of molecular qubits has been recently achieved.^[8] A thoroughly studied ion with $S = {}^{3}/{}_{2}$ is chromium(III). Chromium(III) complexes (quartet ground state, $S = {}^{3}/{}_{2}$) with suitable ligand fields have been developed into highly versatile materials for photonic and photocatalytic applications, including sensing,^[9-11] upconversion,^[12,13] circularly polarized luminescence^[14,15] and photo(redox) catalysis.^[16-19] The magnetic ground state $S = {}^{3}/{}_{2}$ of the highly luminescent molecular ruby [Cr(ddpd)₂]³⁺ with the spin-flip^[20] emission band peaking at 778 nm^[21] shows a long coherence time of 8.4(1) µs (ddpd = *N*,*N'*-dimethyl-*N*,*N'*-dipyridine-2-yl-pyridine-2,6-diamine).^[22] Yet, optical read-out^[8,23] or manipulation was unsuccessful, warranting the further search for optically addressable molecular qubits.^[22]

Isoelectronic vanadium(II) ions in an octahedral environment such as MgO:V²⁺ display their spin-flip emission band (${}^{2}E_{g} \rightarrow {}^{4}A_{2g}$; O_{h} notation) at around 869 nm.^[24,25] However, all reported molecular vanadium(II) complexes are nonluminescent.^[26-33] Damrauer, Shores and Rappé thoroughly investigated the excited states of the classical 2,2'-bipyridine and phenanthroline vanadium(II) complexes [V(bpy)₃]²⁺ and [V(phen)₃]^{2+[26-31]} and concluded that the lowest excited states are distorted mixed ${}^{2}MLCT/{}^{2}MC$ states rather than pure metalcentered (MC) spin-flip (${}^{2}E_{g}$ derived) states, nested with the ground state (MLCT=metal-to-ligand charge transfer).^[32] Addition of electron withdrawing substituents to the N-donor ligands increases the ${}^{2}MC/{}^{2}MLCT$ mixing, so that this mixed doublet state can even become the ground state instead of the ${}^{4}A_{2}$ state.^[33]

Consequently, to prevent strong mixing and to gain access to a pure spin-flip excited state as in MgO:V²⁺ without ²MLCT admixture, the electron accepting properties of the ligands

European Chemical Societies Publishing

should be diminished. Concomitantly, the ligands must exert a large ligand field splitting in order to place the ${}^{2}E_{g}/{}^{2}T_{1g}$ levels as lowest excited states below the ${}^{4}T_{2g}$ ligand field excited states (O_h notation). Ideally, the ligand field should be so strong that the next higher doublet levels ${}^{2}\!T_{2g}$ become degenerate with the ⁴T_{2a} levels, which would accelerate the intersystem crossing process to the doublet manifold.^[20,34] Such an energy scheme is accomplished in the molecular ruby [Cr(ddpd)₂]³⁺ with the strong field ligand ddpd.^[20] The ddpd ligand was even capable to induce detectable spin-flip luminescence in liquid solution in d² vanadium(III) complexes.^[35] Building on this concept, this study aims to investigate the generality of this strategy, by investigating the excited state ordering and the magnetic properties of d^3 -[V(ddpd)₂]²⁺ complexes isoelectronic to the molecular ruby d^3 -[Cr(ddpd)₂]³⁺ and featuring a strong field polypyridine ligand with electron-donating substituents, i.e., less pronounced π accepting properties than for example typical 2,2'-bipyridine or 2,2':6',2"-terpyridine ligands. As the flexible ddpd ligand allows for meridional and cis-facial diastereomers,^[36-38] we will also address the influence of the stereochemistry on electronically excited states and ground state magnetic behavior of vanadium(II) complexes in this study.

Results and Discussion

The *cis-fac-* and *mer-*vanadium(II) complex isomers *cis-fac-* $[V(ddpd)_2]^{2+}$ and *mer-* $[V(ddpd)_2]^{2+}$ were obtained from $[V-(NCCH_3)_6][BPh_4]_2$ and ddpd as the kinetic and thermodynamic products at room temperature and in boiling CH₃CN, respectively (Scheme 1; Supporting Information). Both salts were characterized by IR spectroscopy, mass spectrometry and elemental analyses (Supporting Information, Figures S1–S4). Although these analytical data do not allow assigning the stereochemistry, we note slight differences in the IR fingerprint



Scheme 1. Temperature-controlled synthesis of cis-fac-[V(ddpd)₂][BPh₄]₂ and mer-[V(ddpd)₂][BPh₄]₂.

Chem. Eur. J. 2023, 29, e202202898 (2 of 9)

region that allow distinguishing the isomers (Supporting Information, Figure S2).

Once formed, they do not interconvert at room temperature, as expected for substitutionally kinetically inert pseudooctahedral complexes with d³ electron configurations.^[39] The respective diastereomeric d² vanadium(III) complexes *cis-fac-* $[V(ddpd)_2]^{3+}$ and *mer-* $[V(ddpd)_2]^{3+}$ had been isolated before.^[35,38]

Single crystals of *cis-fac*- $[V(ddpd)_2]^{2+}$ and *mer*- $[V(ddpd)_2]^{2+}$ suitable for X-ray diffraction (XRD) analyses were obtained from CH₃CN solutions of the [PF₆]⁻ and [BPh₄]⁻ salts, respectively (Figure 1; Supporting Information, Tables S1 and S2). The experimentally obtained metrics of the dications agree well with those obtained from Density Functional Theory (DFT) calculations including solvent modelling, relativistic effects and dispersion correction (CPCM-(acetonitrile)-RIJCOSX-UB3LYP-D3BJ-ZORA/def2-TZVPP; Supporting Information, Tables S1–S4).

Calculations of ground and excited state properties with respect to the pure ligand field excited states for both complex cations *cis-fac*-[V(ddpd)₂]²⁺ and *mer*-[V(ddpd)₂]²⁺ were performed at the ground state geometry using the complete-active-space self-consistent field method including spin-orbit coupling (SOC-CASSCF)^[40,41] for calculation of the zero-field splitting *D* and *E* in conjunction with strongly contracted N-electron valence perturbation theory to second order (SC-NEVPT2)^[42,43] in order to recover missing dynamic electron correlation (Supporting Information, Tables S5 and S6).

As is evident from the calculations, the pure ${}^{2}E_{g}/{}^{2}T_{1g}$ -derived spin-flip states lie well below the ${}^{4}T_{2g}$ and ${}^{4}T_{1g}$ levels (Figure 2 for *mer*-[V(ddpd)₂]²⁺; Supporting Information, Figure S7 for *cis*-*fac*-[V(ddpd)₂]²⁺). In fact, the ${}^{4}T_{2g}$ levels are nearly degenerate with the next higher set of doublet levels (${}^{2}T_{2g}$, Figure 2). According to the calculations, spin-flip luminescence would be expected around 851 and 872 nm from the lowest diabatic doublet level (${}^{2}E_{g}$) of *cis-fac*-[V(ddpd)₂]²⁺ and *mer*-[V(ddpd)₂]²⁺, respectively (Supporting Information, Figures S5 and S6. This is in the expected range when compared to the MgO:V²⁺ emission (869 nm)^[24,25] and the ${}^{2}G$ free ion term of vanadium(II) (1.49 eV, 828 nm).^[44] However, neither complex displays emission after irradiation with 400 or 450 nm at room temperature



Figure 1. Molecular structures of the cations of a) *cis-fac*- $[V(ddpd)_2][PF_6]_2$ and b) *mer*- $[V(ddpd)_2][BPh_4]_2$ determined by XRD. Counter ions and hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.

Research Article doi.org/10.1002/chem.202202898





Figure 2. Proposed energy level diagram of *mer*-[V(ddpd)₂]²⁺ with the pure metal-centred states (black and red) obtained from the CASSCF-NEVPT2 calculation (TZVPP basis), the ⁴MLCT states (large number of states indicated by blue squares) estimated from the experimental absorption spectrum and the time-dependent (TD)-DFT calculation and the ²MLCT states (pale blue) set to the ⁴MLCT energies. The mixing between ²E_g and ²MLCT states (purple) is set to an arbitrary value. (i) Spin density of the ⁴A₂ ground state from the CASSCF-NEVPT2 calculation. (ii) Difference electron density of the lowest ⁴MLCT state obtained from the TD-DFT calculation (isosurface value of 0.003 a.u.; purple = electron depletion; orange = electron density gain). (iii) Spin density of the lowest ⁴T_{2g} derived state from the CASSCF-NEVPT2 calculation. (iv) Spin density of the lowest ²E_g derived state from the CASSCF-NEVPT2 calculation. (v) Spin density of the optimized lowest doublet state (²MC/²LMCT) from a DFT geometry optimization calculation with the ligand contributions highlighted by arrows.

and at 77 K in solution or in the solid state. The photo-luminescence quantum yield must therefore be below $10^{-4}\%$ (detection limit).

Prolonged irradiation with 300 nm light, where the complexes absorb more strongly (Figure 3; strongly allowed LC and LLCT transitions), leads to a fluorescence band at 395 nm that increases with irradiation time (Supporting Information, Figure S8). Excitation spectra suggest that this band arises from traces of the fluorescent (protonated) ligand ddpd (Supporting Information, Figure S9),^[38] which is obviously photoliberated from the complexes under these conditions. Photoisomerization of the complexes (*cis-fac*→*mer* or *mer*→*cis-fac*) is not observed



Figure 3. UV/Vis absorption spectra of a) *cis-fac*-[V(ddpd)₂][BPh₄]₂ and b) *mer*-[V(ddpd)₂][BPh₄]₂ in CH₃CN and TD-DFT transitions calculated for the respective dications with the colour code indicating the character of the transition according to charge transfer analyses (blue: ⁴MLCT; orange: ⁴LC; dark green ⁴LLCT; black: ⁴MC; MLCT = metal-to-ligand charge transfer; LC = ligand-centred; LLCT = ligand-to-ligand charge transfer; MC = metal-centred). The insets show photographs of CH₃CN solutions of the respective complexes.

as seen from the unchanged shape of the absorption bands of the irradiated *cis-fac* or *mer* complex isomers (Supporting Information, Figure S10).

The absorption spectra of the orange-red complexes in acetonitrile hint at possible reasons for the lacking spin-flip emission (Figure 3). Intense absorption bands from approximately 400–650 nm ($\lambda_{max}(cis-fac-[V(ddpd)_2]^{2+})=486$ nm; $\lambda_{max}-(mer-[V(ddpd)_2]^{2+})=490$ nm) arise from low-energy ⁴MLCT transitions according to TD-DFT calculations (*cis-fac-*[V(ddpd)_2]^{2+}: 387–620 nm; *mer-*[V(ddpd)_2]^{2+}: 362–622 nm; Supporting Information, Tables S7–S10, Figures S11 and S12). In reasonable agreement, electrochemical data (Supporting Information, Figure S13) estimate the HOMO-LUMO gap as 2.0 eV (610 nm) and 2.1 eV (591 nm), respectively. With the oxidation assigned to the vanadium(II/III) couple and the reduction to a ligand-centered redox process,^[38] this fits to the MLCT assignment of the absorption spectral data. Chemical oxidation of *cis-fac*-[V(ddpd)_2]²⁺ and *mer-*[V(ddpd)_2]²⁺ with Ag[BF₄] yields the



corresponding vanadium(III) complexes.^[38,35] Re-reduction with cobaltocene fully or partially recovers the vanadium(II) absorption spectra of the *cis-fac* and *mer* isomers, respectively (Supporting Information, Figures S14 and S15).

mer-[V(ddpd)₂]³⁺ formed by oxidation of *mer*-[V(ddpd)₂]²⁺ with Ag[BF₄] shows spin-flip luminescence (${}^{1}E/{}^{1}T_{2}\rightarrow {}^{3}T_{1}$) around 1100 nm in butyronitrile at 78 K as reported previously (Supporting Information, Figure S16).^[35] Under these conditions, *cis-fac*-[V(ddpd)₂]³⁺ prepared from oxidation of *cis-fac*-[V(ddpd)₂]²⁺ with Ag[BF₄] is NIR-II luminescent as well (Supporting Information, Figure S16). *cis-fac*-[V(ddpd)₂]³⁺ displays a structured emission band between 1150 and 1250 nm from ${}^{1}E_{g}/{}^{1}T_{2g}$ states to the split ${}^{3}T_{1g}$ ground state (*O*_h notation). Shape and energy of this emission band differs from the emission band of *mer*-[V(ddpd)₂]³⁺, while the shape rather resembles the emission pattern of Cs₂NaYCl₆:V³⁺ and VCl₃(ddpd).^[45,46]

Magnetic circular dichroism (MCD) spectroscopy was employed to extract more fine structure of the broad absorption band of the vanadium(II) complexes (Figure 4; Supporting Information, Figures S17 and S18). The MCD spectra were deconvoluted as sums of Gaussian peaks giving six components (cis-fac-[V(ddpd)₂]²⁺: 27250, 25710, 24380, 19420, 17570, 15520 cm⁻¹; *mer*-[V(ddpd)₂]²⁺: 27170, 25840, 23280, 20420, 18210, 15550 cm⁻¹). The three components at lower energies (15520, 17570, 19420 $\rm cm^{-1}$ and 15550, 18210, 20420 $\rm cm^{-1}$ for the cis-fac and the mer isomer, respectively) are assigned to the three components of the $^4T_{2g}$ (O_h notation) excited state (Figure 4). The prominent signals at 24380 (cis-fac isomer) and at 23280 cm⁻¹ (mer isomer) are assigned to ⁴MLCT transitions. The MCD spectrum pertaining to the ⁴T_{2a} derived states is well reproduced with a CASSCF-NEVPT2 calculation with an active space of CAS(7,7) and with a def2-SVP basis set. A red shift of the calculated transitions is observed, when the basis set size is increased to def2-TZVP (Supporting Information, Figure S18). With the three components of the ${}^{4}T_{2q}$ (O_{h}) state identified, it is



Figure 4. MCD spectra of 1 mM solutions (butyronitrile/propionitrile 1:1) of *cis-fac*-[V(ddpd)₂][BPh₄]₂ at 5 K/7 T (bottom) and of *mer*-[V(ddpd)₂][BPh₄]₂ at 5 K/5 T (middle), as well as the corresponding spectrum for *mer*-[V(ddpd)₂]²⁺ obtained from CASSCF (SVP basis) calculations (top). Measurements are shown as black solid lines, spectral components as black dashed lines and their sum as red solid lines.

Chem. Eur. J. 2023, 29, e202202898 (4 of 9)

possible to use ligand field theory to link the observed transitions to the ground state zero-field splitting (ZFS) parameters, which can later be useful for the evaluation of further experiments. For this, the components of the Λ tensor have to be calculated. The Λ tensor describes the residual orbital angular momentum of the ground state due to coupling of excited states and it is linked to the ZFS parameters via

 $D = -\frac{1}{2}\lambda^2(2 \Lambda_{zz} - \Lambda_{xx} - \Lambda_{yy})$ and $E = -\frac{1}{2}\lambda^2(\Lambda_{xx} - \Lambda_{yy})$, with the spin orbit coupling (SOC) constant λ .^[47] For the higher symmetric *mer*-[V(ddpd)₂]²⁺ complex the derivation is analogue to *mer*-[Cr(ddpd)₂]³⁺, since both possess D_2 symmetry. This has been carried out before.^[22] With the ${}^{4}T_{2g}$ energies obtained from MCD for *mer*-[V(ddpd)₂]²⁺ and a SOC constant of 168 cm⁻¹,^[48] ZFS parameters of D = 1.39 cm⁻¹ and E = 0.34 cm⁻¹ are found. *cis-fac*-[V(ddpd)₂]²⁺, hence the derivation of the Λ tensor has to be carried out in this point group (see Supporting Information). The ZFS parameters obtained for *cis-fac*-[V(ddpd)₂]²⁺ this way are D = 0.38 cm⁻¹ and E = 0.10 cm⁻¹.

The strongly allowed low-energy component at ca. 644 nm (Figure 3) is assigned to the transition to the lowest energy ⁴MLCT state at the ground state geometry (Figure 2 for mer-[V(ddpd)₂]²⁺; Supporting Information, Figure S7 for *cis-fac*-[V-(ddpd)₂]²⁺). The lowest (diabatic) ²MLCT states might be at similar energies to the corresponding ⁴MLCT states. These energies are relatively close to the calculated energies of the diabatic spin-flip states (Figure 2). Consequently, mixing of the diabatic spin-flip and ²MLCT states is possible (Figure 2).^[32] Although the absorption maxima of *cis-fac*-[V(ddpd)₂]²⁺ and mer-[V(ddpd)₂]²⁺ are shifted to the blue as compared to $[V(bpy)_3]^{2+}$ and $[V(phen)_3]^{2+}$ ($\lambda_{max}\!=\!650$ nm) due to the electron-rich ddpd ligand,^[26-32] this seems not yet sufficient to fully prevent mixing of ${}^{2}E_{g}/{}^{2}T_{1g}$ and ${}^{2}MLCT$ states. Indeed, DFT calculations of the geometry optimized lowest doublet states of cis-fac-[V(ddpd)₂]²⁺ and mer-[V(ddpd)₂]²⁺ confirm an admixture of charge transfer character in this optimized lowest doublet excited state (Figure 2(v) for *mer*- $[V(ddpd)_2]^{2+}$; Supporting Information, Figure S7(v) for *cis-fac*-[V(ddpd)₂]²⁺; Tables S11 and S12): The Mulliken spin density at vanadium exceeds one (cisfac-[V(ddpd)₂]²⁺: 1.25 mer-[V(ddpd)₂]²⁺: 1.11), the spin distribution differs from that of the hypothetical pure ${}^{2}E_{\alpha}$ spin-flip state obtained from the CASSCF-NEVPT2 calculations (Figure 2(iv)) and β spin density is found at the terminal pyridine rings of the ddpd ligands. In addition, the V-N bonds contract in the doublet states as expected for an MLCT admixture (cis-fac- $[V(ddpd)_2]^{2+}$: $\Delta d_{av} = 0.016 \text{ Å}; mer-[V(ddpd)_2]^{2+}$: $\Delta d_{av} = 0.019 \text{ Å}),$ while a pure spin-flip state should be nested. Although the MLCT character of the lowest energy doublet states of $[V(ddpd)_2]^{2+}$ appears much less distinct than in $[V(bpy)_3]^{2+}$ and $[V(phen)_3]^{2+[32]}$ due to the higher energies of the π^* orbitals of the pyridines of ddpd and thus the higher energies of the diabatic ²MLCT states, the geometric distortion in the true adiabatic doublet state seems to be sufficient to facilitate nonradiative decay. Clearly, the π -accepting nature of the ligands needs to be further decreased to enable spin-flip luminescence in vanadium(II) complexes.



The potential suitability of a complex as molecular qubit is determined by its electronic structure as well as its spin dynamics. Concerning the former, the microstates of an $S = {}^{3}/{}_{2}$ ion are split into two Kramers doublets in the absence of a magnetic field, which correspond to $m_{\rm S} = \pm {}^{3}/{}_{2}$ and $\pm {}^{1}/{}_{2}$, respectively, in the absence of rhombic zero-field splitting [E = 0 in Equation (1)]. To be able to use the inter-Kramers-doublet transitions for quantum operations, the energy gap should not be larger than the microwave frequency of the spectrometer employed, putting an upper limit on the value of *D*. The sign of *D* is less important. Secondly, in case the intradoublet transition of the $m_{\rm S} = \pm {}^{3}/{}_{2}$ doublet is to be used, this transition is formally EPR-forbidden. The presence of a small rhombic ZFS partially allows this transition and this may therefore be favorable.

As a starting point for the investigation of the electronic structure of the complexes, we carried out magnetic susceptibility measurements. Both vanadium(II) complexes possess nearly temperature-independent χT values of 1.857 cm³ K mol⁻¹ and 1.863 cm³ K mol⁻¹ for *cis-fac* and *mer*-[V(ddpd)₂]²⁺, respectively. Both values are consistent with three unpaired electrons with a *g* value slightly smaller than 2 (for *g* = 2, this is 1.875 cm³ K mol⁻¹) (Figure 2(i); Supporting Information, Figures S19–S22). From 8 K downwards, χT decreases slightly which we attribute to a small ZFS, where a fit yielded a *D* value [Equation (1)] of *D*=0.4 cm⁻¹ for *cis-fac*-[V(ddpd)₂]²⁺. For *mer*-[V(ddpd)₂]²⁺ such a decrease is not observed, indicating an even smaller ZFS than in the *cis-fac* case.

To obtain deeper insight into the ZFS spin Hamiltonian parameters *D* and *E* [Equation (1)], high-field electron paramagnetic resonance (HFEPR) spectroscopy at frequencies of up to 375 GHz was carried out for both isomers (Figure 5 for *mer*- $[V(ddpd)_2]^{2+}$; Supporting Information, Figure S23 for *cis-fac*- $[V(ddpd)_2]^{2+}$).

$$\mathbf{H} = \mu_B \widehat{\mathbf{S}} \cdot \mathbf{g} \cdot \mathbf{B} + D \widehat{S}_z^2 + E \left(\widehat{S}_x^2 - \widehat{S}_y^2 \right)$$
(1)



Figure 5. Measured HFEPR spectra of *cis-fac*-[V(ddpd)₂][BPh₄]₂ and *mer*-[V(ddpd)₂][BPh₄]₂ at 5 K at the frequencies as indicated (black) together with simulations based on the spin Hamiltonian parameters given in the text (red).

Chem. Eur. J. 2023, 29, e202202898 (5 of 9)

The HFEPR spectra consist of three resonance lines, where the central one is assigned to the $m_s = -\frac{1}{2}$ to $m_s = +\frac{1}{2}$ transition (high-field limit notation). The spectra were simulated using the spin Hamiltonian from Equation (1) with D = $+0.47(2) \text{ cm}^{-1}$, $E=0.09(1) \text{ cm}^{-1}$ (E/D=0.19), $g_1=1.99(1)$, $g_2=$ 1.99(1) and $g_3=2.00(1)$ for *cis-fac*-[V(ddpd)₂]²⁺ and D = +0.20(5)cm⁻¹, $E=0.02(1) \text{ cm}^{-1}$ (E/D=0.08), $g_1=1.986(1)$, $g_2=1.993(2)$ and $g_3=1.996(2)$ for *mer*-[V(ddpd)₂]²⁺, respectively. The *D* value of the meridional isomer is nearly identical to that found for *mer*-[Cr(ddpd)₂]³⁺.⁽²²⁾ The zero-field energy gap \varDelta between the two Kramers doublets given by $\varDelta = \sqrt{D^2 + 3E^2}$ is $\varDelta = 0.50 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ and 0.20 cm⁻¹ for the *cis-fac* and *mer* isomers, respectively (Figure 2), for *mer*-[V(ddpd)₂]²⁺, this is roughly half of that of *mer*-[Cr(ddpd)₂]³⁺ ($\varDelta = 0.41 \text{ cm}^{-1}$).⁽²²⁾

In order to determine the sign of *D*, temperature dependent HFEPR spectra were carried out in the range from 5 K to 20 K. For both isomers, the temperature dependent HFEPR spectra can be well simulated with the parameters obtained from the frequency dependence, in particular with a positive sign of the *D* value. In contrast to this, simulations with a negative sign of *D* show a completely different temperature behavior from the one found experimentally (Supporting Information, Figures S24 and S26).

Since the ⁵¹V isotope (natural abundance 99.15%) possesses a nuclear spin of $I = \frac{7}{2}$, hyperfine splitting of the resonance lines can be expected in the spectra. No such splitting was observed in the HFEPR spectra,^[49] which we attribute to the line width exceeding the hyperfine splitting strength. To probe possible hyperfine interactions, EPR experiments were carried out at X-band frequency (9.45 GHz) in frozen solution (butyronitrile/propionitrile 1:1) at 7 K. In the case of $mer[V(ddpd)_2]^{2+}$, hyperfine shoulders are found on a broad resonance line centered at 340 mT (Supporting Information, Figure S27). These shoulders are separated by 7 mT, which is equivalent to a hyperfine splitting A of 6.5×10^{-3} cm⁻¹. For *cis-fac*-[V(ddpd)₂]²⁺, no such shoulders are observed, but signals are broadened due to hyperfine interactions (Supporting Information, Figure S27). Both spectra can be well simulated with $A = 6.5(6) \times 10^{-3}$ cm⁻¹, assuming $g_{iso} = 1.94(1)$ and ZFS parameters of D = 0.30(2) cm⁻¹ and E/D = 0.3 in the *cis-fac* case and $g_{iso} = 1.989(5)$, D =0.12(2) cm⁻¹ and E/D = 0.08 in the mer case, which is in good agreement with the values found by HFEPR spectroscopy. For these simulations, the Hamiltonian in Equation (1) was expanded by the conventional hyperfine interaction term SAI.

While CASSCF(12,7) (TZVPP basis) calculations predict small negative values for *D* irrespective of the NEVPT2 correction, a CASSCF(7,7) (SVP basis) calculation on *mer*-[V(ddpd)₂]²⁺ delivers a small positive *D* value in agreement with the experimentally determined data (see Supporting Information for details). Hence, the sign of the *D* values of vanadium(II) complexes appears difficult to predict on this level of theory, while the signs of the ZFS parameters of the isoelectronic chromium(III) complex [Cr(ddpd)₂]³⁺ lacking low-energy charge transfer states were correctly predicted by CASSCF calculations.^[22]

To assess their suitability as molecular qubits, we investigated the spin dynamics of the complexes by means of pulsed Q-band EPR spectroscopy and AC susceptometry. In this regard, the electron coherence time is of particular interest, since it is a benchmark criterion for qubit candidates. While the majority of vanadium-based qubits feature the metal ion in its more stable oxidation state V^{IV} with a spin $S = \frac{1}{2}$ ground state, the $[V(ddpd)_2]^{2+}$ complexes presented here possess a ground state of $S = \frac{3}{2}$. Such high spin qubits provide further states that can be used as additional qubits. The nuclear spin of ⁵¹V of $I = \frac{7}{2}$ leads to additional hyperfine states rendering an even higher number of encoded qubits in one molecule conceivable.

Pulsed Q-band EPR spectra were recorded of both isomers in a 3 mM frozen solutions of butyronitrile/propionitrile 1:1 at 35 GHz in a temperature range from 5 K up to 30 K (Figure 6). Echo detected spectra of both species feature a prominent central signal at around g=2, that displays distinct hyperfine peaks due to coupling of the $S = \frac{3}{2}$ electron spin to the $I = \frac{7}{2} V^{\parallel}$ center. This signal is due to the transition in the $m_5 = \pm \frac{1}{2}$ ground state Kramers doublet. The central line is accompanied by broad signals, which is due to the zero field split transition from the lower lying $m_s = \pm \frac{1}{2}$ manifold to the higher lying $m_{\rm S} = \pm 3/2$ states. In the case of *cis-fac*-[V(ddpd)₂]²⁺ this broad signal is more distinct due to its higher D value. In both cases, the ZFS signals are broadened by a large D-strain. Simulations are in good agreement with the measured spectra, when smaller D values than those found by means of static spectroscopy are assumed (D=0.11(3) cm⁻¹ and $A=6.0\times$ $10^{-3} \,\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ for the *cis-fac* and $D = 0.10(3) \,\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ and $A = 6.4 \times$ 10^{-3} cm⁻¹ for the *mer* isomer). The phase memory time T_m was determined by means of the Hahn-Echo sequence for both isomers in the same temperature range as the echo detected spectra. The echo decay curves show a modulation in all cases. These oscillations are due to a change of the nuclear spin while the electron spin echo experiment is recorded and are known as electron spin echo envelop modulation (ESEEM). The frequency of this ESEEM can be extracted when the subtraction of the experiment and the exponential decay, that is used to describe the decay of the echo intensity [Equation (2)], is Fourier transformed.

$$I(\tau) = I(0)\exp(-\tau/T_{\rm M})^k \tag{2}$$

From the Fourier transform, three main frequencies can be extracted for both isomers at 2.1, 5.3 and 10 MHz (Supporting Information, Figures S32 and S33). The frequency pattern is quite similar to that found previously for *mer*-[Cr(ddpd)₂]³⁺. In the Cr^{III} case, the ESEEM was attributed to double quantum transitions of the neighboring ¹⁴N nuclei.

To probe the coherence times of the various magnetic states that are spectroscopically accessible, Hahn echo decays were recorded for both isomers at the highest intensity of the spectrum, in both cases at around g = 2 (1266 mT and 1275 mT for the *cis-fac* and *mer* isomer, respectively), and in the flanks of the spectrum (1175 mT and 1100 mT, respectively). The decays were fitted by means of equation (2) and revealed phase memory times for both isomers of 1 µs at 5 K at the position of the central spectral signal at 1266 and 1275 mT, respectively. When the coherence times are measured in the flanks of the spectra (1172 mT and 1100 mT), a much faster relaxation of 0.79 µs and 0.41 µs (*cis-fac* and *mer* isomer, respectively) is observed (Supporting Information, Figures S29 and S31). This is due to the fact, that at that magnetic field, transitions involving the $m_{\rm S} = \pm {}^{3}/{}_{2}$ Kramers doublet are excited.

With increasing temperatures up to 12 K, the phase memory times remain essentially constant in both cases Figure 7, but start to drop at higher temperatures down to $0.4 \,\mu s$ at 30 K for



Figure 6. a) Temperature dependent electron spin echo detected (ESE) spectrum of cis-fac- $[V(ddpd)_2][BPh_4]_2$ at 35 GHz (3 mM in propionitrile/butyronitrile), b) Corresponding simulation at 5 K, based on the parameters given in the text. c) ESE spectrum of mer- $[V(ddpd)_2][BPh_4]_2$ (3 mM in propionitrile/butyronitrile) at the temperatures indicated. d) Corresponding simulation at 5 K based on the parameters given in the text.

Chem. Eur. J. 2023, 29, e202202898 (6 of 9)





Figure 7. Bottom: T_1 (blue filled symbols) and T_M (non-colored symbols) of *cis-fac*-[V(ddpd)₂][BPh₄]₂ (squares) and *mer*-[V(ddpd)₂][BPh₄]₂ (circles), measured at 1266 mT and 1275 mT, respectively. As black circles relaxation times, obtained by AC susceptometry for *mer*-[V(ddpd)₂][BPh₄]₂. Top: Stretch factors of the corresponding fits of the echo-decay curves based on Equation (2).

cis-fac-[V(ddpd)₂][BPh₄]₂ and down to 0.6 µs in the case of mer-[V(ddpd)₂][BPh₄]₂. While the phase memory times are almost equivalent for both isomers, the stretch factor k behaves completely different in both cases. The stretch factor provides unique information about the main relaxation process. While for 1 < k < 1.5 the physical motion of the nuclei is predominant, it is for 2 < k < 2.5, that nuclear spin diffusion is the dominating process.^[22] Up to 7 K, the stretch factors of the *cis-fac* and the mer isomer are almost the same at 1.80 ± 5 , hinting towards a mixed relaxation process. However, the temperature dependence of the stretch factors of both isomers is completely different. While for *cis-fac*-[V(ddpd)₂]²⁺ the stretch factor increases to 2.27 with increasing temperatures, it decreases in the case of mer-[V(ddpd)₂]²⁺ down to 1.12 at 20 K. A decrease of stretch factors with growing temperatures was found before in the case of mer-[Cr(ddpd)₂]³⁺, indicating a limitation of the coherence time by the physical motion of nuclei with increasing temperatures for the *mer* isomers of [M(ddpd)₂]ⁿ⁺ complexes. The increase of k with growing temperatures for cis-fac-[V(ddpd)₂]²⁺ hints towards nuclear spin diffusion limitation of coherence times. A possible explanation for this can be the more rigid ligand system of the cis-fac orientation of the two ddpd ligands, leading to a reduction in the physical motion of the surrounding nuclei quenching this relaxation pathway.

The spin lattice relaxation time, which is the upper limit for the phase coherence time, was determined by means of the inversion-recovery sequence at the same temperatures as the phase coherence time. It was found to be at around 300 μ s at 5 K for both isomers. Upon heating, it is decreasing to around 40 μ s at 12 K. Interestingly with even higher temperature, the spin-lattice relaxation time stays largely constant up to 30 K. To investigate the magnetization dynamics of both samples even further and also in a non-diluted matrix, AC susceptometry was carried out on pressed pellets of both isomers. While *cisfac*-[V(ddpd)₂][BPh₄]₂ does not show any slow relaxation of the magnetization in zero field or with an applied external field, *mer*-[V(ddpd)₂][BPh₄]₂ features an out-of-phase signal below 15 K at an applied external magnetic field of 400 mT (Figure 8). The relaxation times found by means of AC susceptometry are slightly shorter than those found by pulsed EPR spectroscopy. This is due to the fact, that in the EPR measurements, frozen solution samples are used, while for AC susceptometry, a pressed powder pellet is used, leading to different relaxation times.

mer-[V(ddpd)₂]²⁺ appears to be the first vanadium(II) complex showing slow magnetization.^[50,51] With the d³ electron configuration in an octahedral field, the electronic situation of vanadium(II) is analogous to that of a high-spin d⁷ cobalt(II) ion in a tetrahedral field which has been shown to enable large ZFS and relaxation energy barriers.^[52]

Conclusion

Two novel vanadium(II) complexes with the electron-rich tridentate polypyridine ligand *N,N'*-dimethyl-*N,N'*-dipyridine-2-yl-pyridine-2,6-diamine (ddpd) differing only in the ligand coordination mode (cis-facial vs. meridional) were isolated as the kinetic and thermodynamic products, respectively. These isomers *cis-fac*-[V(ddpd)₂][BPh₄]₂ and *mer*-[V(ddpd)₂][BPh₄]₂ are stable with respect to isomerization at room temperature and under light irradiation in solution, while prolonged irradiation with UV–B light leads to ligand dissociation. No ruby-like spin-flip luminescence is detected for either complex. However, one-electron oxidation delivers the corresponding NIR-II luminescence of the vanadium(II) complexes arises from mixing of the spin-flip states with low-energy ²MLCT states leading to distortion and efficient non-radiative decay of the excited



Figure 8. a) In-phase and b) out-of-phase component of the AC susceptibility of *mer*-[V(ddpd)₂][BPh₄]₂ from 1.8 K to 10 K at an applied external magnetic field of 400 mT. Measured values are shown as open circles, while simultaneous fits of the in-phase and out-of-phase component to the standard modified Debye function are shown as solid lines.



states. Clearly, even the electron-rich ddpd ligand possesses π^* orbitals with too low energy providing the low-energy MLCT states. Future ligand design aims to eliminate the low-energy MLCT states, while providing a strong enough ligand field for vanadium(II).

Zero-field splitting splits the ${}^{4}A_{2g}$ ground states of the d³ vanadium(II) ion into two Kramers doublets with energy gaps of ca. 0.5 and 0.2 cm⁻¹ for the *cis-fac* and *mer* isomer, respectively, enabling pulsed EPR measurements. While *cis-fac*-[V-(ddpd)₂][BPh₄]₂ does not show slow magnetic relaxation at an applied field below 15 K, *mer*-[V(ddpd)₂][BPh₄]₂ features an out-of-phase signal. This isomer possesses a spin-lattice relaxation time T_1 = 308 µs and a phase memory time T_M =1.1 µs at 5 K according to pulsed EPR measurements, recommending vanadium(II) complexes as potential molecular quantum bits.

Consequently, the present study lays the foundations for developing vanadium(II) complexes with tuned spin-flip excited states lacking MLCT admixture and tuned magnetic relaxation as candidates for optically addressable molecular qubits.

Experimental Section

Details of the syntheses, spectroscopic, computational and simulation data are collected in the Supporting Information.

Deposition Number(s) 2177572 (for *mer*-[V(ddpd)₂][BPh₄]₂), 2177573 (for *cis-fac*-[V(ddpd)₂][PF₆]₂) contain(s) the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data are provided free of charge by the joint Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre and Fachinformationszentrum Karlsruhe Access Structures service.

Acknowledgements

This work has been financially supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) under grant INST 247/1018-1 (K. H.) and the Priority Program SPP 2102 "Light-controlled reactivity of metal complexes" HE2778/15-1, as well as by DFG grant SL104/10-1 and the Landesgraduiertenförderung of the State of Baden-Württemberg. Parts of this research were conducted using the supercomputer MOGON and advisory services offered by Johannes Gutenberg University Mainz (http://www.hpc.uni-mainz.de) and the supercomputer Elwe-tritsch and advisory services offered by the TU Kaiserslautern (https://elwe.rhrk.uni-kl.de), which are members of the AHRP and the Gauss Alliance e.V. We thank Dr. Dieter Schollmeyer for collecting the XRD data and Dimitri Zorn for assistance with some absorption spectroscopic experiments. Open Access funding enabled and organized by Projekt DEAL.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Data Availability Statement

The data that support the findings of this study are available in the Supporting material of this article.

Keywords: electron paramagnetic resonance · excited state order · magnetic relaxation · spin-flip · vanadium

- a) K. Bader, D. Dengler, S. Lenz, B. Endeward, S.-D. Jiang, P. Neugebauer, J. van Slageren, *Nat. Commun.* 2014, *5*, 5304; b) S. Lenz, K. Bader, H. Bamberger, J. van Slageren, *Chem. Commun.* 2017, *53*, 4477–4480.
- [2] M. Atzori, E. Morra, L. Tesi, A. Albino, M. Chiesa, L. Sorace, R. Sessoli, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 11234–11244.
- [3] C.-J. Yu, M. J. Graham, J. M. Zadrozny, J. Niklas, M. D. Krzyaniak, M. R. Wasielewski, O. G. Poluektov, D. E. Freedman, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 14678–14685.
- [4] J. M. Zadrozny, J. Niklas, O. G. Poluektov, D. E. Freedman, ACS Cent. Sci. 2015, 1, 488–492.
- [5] a) K. S. Kumar, D. Serrano, A. M. Nonat, B. Heinrich, L. Karmazin, L. J. Charbonnière, P. Goldner, M. Ruben, *Nat. Commun.* 2021, *12*, 2152; b) D. Serrano, S. K. Kuppusamy, B. Heinrich, O. Fuhr, D. Hunger, M. Ruben, P. Goldner, *Nature* 2022, *603*, 241–248; c) M. S. Fataftah, S. L. Bayliss, D. W. Laorenza, X. Wang, B. T. Phelan, C. B. Wilson, P. J. Mintun, B. D. Kovos, M. R. Wasielewski, S. Han, M. S. Sherwin, D. D. Awschalom, D. E. Freedman, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2020, *142*, 20400–20408; d) S. L. Bayliss, D. W. Laorenza, P. J. Mintun, B. D. Kovos, D. E. Freedman, D. D. Awschalom, K. Schaller, D. E. Freedman, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2020, *142*, 14826–14830.
- [6] H. Biard, E. Moreno-Pineda, M. Ruben, E. Bonet, W. Wernsdorfer, F. Balestro, Nat. Commun. 2021, 12, 4443.
- [7] R. Schirhagl, K. Chang, M. Loretz, C. L. Degen, Ann. Rev. Phys. Chem. 2014, 65, 83–105.
- [8] D. Serrano, S. K. Kuppusamy, B. Heinrich, O. Fuhr, D. Hunger, M. Ruben, P. Goldner, *Nature* 2022, 603, 241–246.
- [9] S. Otto, N. Scholz, T. Behnke, U. Resch-Genger, K. Heinze, Chem. Eur. J. 2017, 23, 12131–12135.
- [10] S. Otto, J. Harris, K. Heinze, C. Reber, Angew. Chem. 2018, 130, 11236– 11240; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2018, 57, 11069–11073.
- [11] C. Wang, S. Otto, M. Dorn, K. Heinze, U. Resch-Genger, Anal. Chem. 2019, 91, 2337–2344.
- [12] J. Kalmbach, C. Wang, Y. You, C. Förster, H. Schubert, K. Heinze, U. Resch-Genger, M. Seitz, Angew. Chem. 2020, 132, 18966–18970; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2020, 59, 18804–18808.
- [13] C. Wang, F. Reichenauer, W. R. Kitzmann, C. Kerzig, K. Heinze, U. Resch-Genger, Angew. Chem. 2022, 134, e202202238; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2022, 61, e202202238.
- [14] C. Dee, F. Zinna, W. R. Kitzmann, G. Pescitelli, K. Heinze, L. Di Bari, M. Seitz, Chem. Commun. 2019, 55, 13078–13081.
- [15] J.-R. Jiménez, B. Doistau, C. M. Cruz, C. Besnard, J. M. Cuerva, A. G. Campaña, C. Piguet, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2019, 141, 13244–13252.
- [16] S. M. Stevenson, M. P. Shores, E. M. Ferreira, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 6506–6510.
- [17] S. Otto, A. M. Nauth, E. Ermilov, N. Scholz, A. Friedrich, U. Resch-Genger, S. Lochbrunner, T. Opatz, K. Heinze, *ChemPhotoChem* **2017**, *1*, 344–349.
- [18] S. Sittel, R. Naumann, K. Heinze, Front. Chem. 2022, 10, 887439.
- [19] T. H. Bürgin, F. Glaser, O. S. Wenger, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2022, 144, 14181– 14194.
- [20] a) W. R. Kitzmann, J. Moll, K. Heinze, Photochem. Photobiol. Sci. 2022, 21, 1309–1331.
- [21] a) S. Otto, M. Grabolle, C. Förster, C. Kreitner, U. Resch-Genger, K. Heinze, Angew. Chem. 2015, 127, 11735–11739; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 11572–11576; b) W. R. Kitzmann, C. Ramanan, R. Naumann, K. Heinze, Dalton Trans. 2022, 51, 6519–6525.
- [22] S. Lenz, H. Bamberger, P. P. Hallmen, Y. Thiebes, S. Otto, K. Heinze, J. van Slageren, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2019, 21, 6976–6983.
- [23] S. L. Bayliss, D. W. Laorenza, P. J. Mintun, B. D. Kovos, D. E. Freedman, D. D. Awschalom, *Science* 2020, *370*, 1309–1312.
- [24] G. Viliani, O. Pilla, M. Montagna, A. Boyrivent, *Phys. Rev. B* 1981, 23, 18–27.
- [25] M. D. Sturge, Phys. Rev. 1963, 130, 639-646.
- [26] E. König, S. Herzog, J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. 1970, 32, 601–611.



- [27] I. Fujita, T. Yazaki, Y. Torii, H. Kobayashi, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1972, 45, 2156–2161.
- [28] A. C. Bowman, S. Sproules, K. Wieghardt, Inorg. Chem. 2012, 51, 3707– 3717.
- [29] S. S. Shah, A. W. Maverick, Inorg. Chem. 1986, 25, 1867–1871.
- [30] A. W. Maverick, S. S. Shah, C. Kirmaier, D. Holten, Inorg. Chem. 1987, 26, 774–776.
- [31] S. S. Shah, A. W. Maverick, Inorg. Chem. 1987, 26, 1559–1562.
- [32] a) R. D. Dill, R. I. Portillo, S. G. Shepard, M. P. Shores, A. K. Rappé, N. H. Damrauer, *Inorg. Chem.* **2020**, *59*, 14706–14715; b) J. P. Joyce, R. I. Portillo, C. M. Nite, J. M. Nite, M. P. Nguyen, A. K. Rappé, M. P. Shores, *Inorg. Chem.* **2021**, *60*, 12823–12834.
- [33] J. P. Joyce, R. I. Portillo, A. K. Rappé, M. P. Shores, *Inorg. Chem.* 2022, 61, 6376–6391.
- [34] T. J. Penfold, E. Gindensperger, C. Daniel, C. M. Marian, Chem. Rev. 2018, 118, 6975–7025.
- [35] M. Dorn, J. Kalmbach, P. Boden, A. Päpcke, S. Gómez, C. Förster, F. Kuczelinis, L. M. Carrella, L. Büldt, N. Bings, E. Rentschler, S. Lochbrunner, L. González, M. Gerhards, M. Seitz, K. Heinze, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2020, 142, 7947–7955.
- [36] C. Förster, K. Mack, L. M. Carrella, V. Ksenofontov, E. Rentschler, K. Heinze, Polyhedron 2013, 52, 576–581.
- [37] C. Förster, T. E. Gorelik, U. Kolb, V. Ksenofontov, K. Heinze, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2015, 920–924.
- [38] C. Förster, M. Dorn, T. Reuter, S. Otto, D. Guellue, T. Reich, L. Carrella, E. Rentschler, K. Heinze, *Inorganics* 2018, 6, 86.
- [39] L. Helm, A. E. Merbach, Chem. Rev. 2005, 105, 1923–1960.
- [40] B. O. Roos, R. P. Taylor, P. E. M. Siegbahn, Chem. Phys. 1980, 48, 157–173.

- [41] P. E. M. Siegbahn, J. Almlöf, A. Heiberg, B. O. Roos, J. Chem. Phys. 1981, 74, 2384–2396.
- [42] C. Angeli, R. Cimiraglia, S. Evangelisti, T. Leininger, J.-P. Malrieu, J. Chem. Phys. 2001, 114, 10252–10264.
- [43] C. Angeli, R. Cimiraglia, Theor. Chim. Acta. 2002, 107, 313-317.
- [44] NIST ASD Team. A. Kramida, Y. Ralchenko, J. Reader, NIST Atomic Spectra Database, version 5.9; National Institute of Standards and Technology: Gaithersburg, MD, 2021; 10.18434/T4 W30F. Available: https://physics.nist.gov/asd (September 13, 2022).
- [45] C. Reber, H. U. Güdel, J. Luminesc. 1988, 42, 1-13.
- [46] M. Dorn, J. Kalmbach, P. Boden, A. Kruse, C. Dab, C. Reber, G. Niedner-Schatteburg, S. Lochbrunner, M. Gerhards, M. Seitz, K. Heinze, *Chem. Sci.* 2021, *12*, 10780–10790.
- [47] R. Boça, Coord. Chem. Rev. 2004, 248, 757–815.
- [48] E. Francisco, L. Pueyo, Phys. Rev. B 1988, 37, 5278-5288.
- [49] J. Krzystek, A. Ozarowski, J. Telser, Coord. Chem. Rev. 2006, 250, 2308– 2324.
- [50] G. A. Craig, M. Murrie, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2015, 44, 2135-2147.
- [51] P. S. Perlepe, D. Maniaki, E. Pilichos, E. Katsoulakou, S. P. Perlepes, *Inorganics* 2020, 8, 39.
- [52] Y. Rechkemmer, F. Breitgoff, M. van der Meer, M. Atanasov, M. Hakl, M. Orlita, P. Neugebauer, F. Neese, B. Sarkar, J. van Slageren, *Nat. Commun.* 2016, 7, 10467.

Manuscript received: September 16, 2022 Accepted manuscript online: November 8, 2022 Version of record online: December 21, 2022