A Section 508–conformant HTML version of this article is available at https://doi.org/10.1289/EHP11963.

Invited Perspective: Toxic Metals and Hypertensive Disorders of Pregnancy

Lauren A. Eaves[1,2] *and Rebecca C. Fry*[1,2,3,4]

[1]Department of Environmental Sciences and Engineering, Gillings School of Global Public Health, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill (UNC-Chapel Hill), Chapel Hill, North Carolina, USA
[2]Institute for Environmental Health Solutions, Gillings School of Global Public Health, UNC-Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, North Carolina, USA
[3]Curriculum in Toxicology and Environmental Medicine, UNC-Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, North Carolina, USA
[4]Department of Pediatrics, UNC-Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, North Carolina, USA

https://doi.org/10.1289/EHP11963

Refers to https://doi.org.10.1289/EHP10825

The worldwide prevalence of gestational hypertension and preeclampsia is estimated at 10% and 2%–8%, respectively.[1] In the United States alone, the incidence of preeclampsia—a leading cause of maternal mortality—increased by 25% between 1987 and 2004.[2] Despite the prevalence and severity of these conditions, definitive causes remain elusive, hindering risk reduction interventions.[3] There has been increasing attention to the role of environmental chemicals, including toxic metals, in the development of gestational hypertension and preeclampsia (together termed hypertensive disorders of pregnancy).[4,5] The recent study by Borghese et al. significantly contributes to the growing literature establishing associations between toxic metal exposure and these disorders.[6]

The authors examined mixture effects, highlighted modification of toxic metal effects by essential metals, evaluated exposure windows of susceptibility, measured various species of toxic metals (e.g., arsenic), and assessed confounding by seafood consumption and air pollution, all within one of the largest study populations to address this topic. They found an increased risk of preeclampsia with elevated third-trimester blood lead levels. They also observed an increased risk of preeclampsia and gestational hypertension with elevated first-trimester blood arsenic concentrations. These data underscore arsenic and lead as perinatal toxicants that remain an urgent public health concern. Lead has been previously found to increase the risk of preeclampsia[7]; however, there is more mixed evidence with regard to arsenic's contribution to hypertensive disorders of pregnancy.[4] The findings by Borghese et al. expand upon prior work that has also documented other metals of concern, including cadmium, as potential etiologic factors underlying hypertensive disorders of pregnancy.[4,8]

Although toxic metals have been studied for hundreds of years, these chemicals have received relatively less research attention than newer, engineered chemicals in relation to hypertensive disorders of pregnancy—which is unfortunate given their omnipresence. Exposure to toxic metals, such as arsenic and lead, predominately occurs via contaminated drinking water,[9,10] geogenic and industrial sources,[11–13] and contaminated food sources.[14,15] Despite the established toxicity of lead and governmental efforts to reduce exposure,[16] measured biomarker levels remain concerningly high among reproductive-age women around the world.[17–21] In fact, >500,000 pregnant women in the United States were predicted to have blood lead levels >5 μg/dL between 2011 and 2017.[19] This is particularly salient when considering that the median lead levels in the study by Borghese et al. were orders of magnitude lower (0.52–0.64 μg/dL).[6]

Arsenic also continues to be a contaminant of concern, particularly in federally unregulated private well water, but also in public community water systems. In the United States, concentrations hundreds of times over the maximum contaminant level (MCL) set by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA; 10 μg/L) have been reported in private well water.[22] Although public community water systems are regulated by the Safe Drinking Water Act,[23] evidence shows that arsenic remains a problem in these systems as well, with exceedances especially likely in the Southwestern United States, in communities that are smaller or predominantly Hispanic and systems that rely on groundwater.[24] However, placing the findings of blood arsenic from this study in the broader public health context is slightly more challenging than with lead given that there were inconsistent findings across the different arsenic biomarkers evaluated. In addition, the half-life of blood arsenic is several hours (thereby reflecting recent exposure that may or may not be chronic) and there are no specific public health guidelines on arsenic exposure for pregnant women, as exist for lead.[25] Thus, more research is needed to validate the findings on arsenic from the study by Borghese et al.[6] and to more fully grasp the clinical and public health implications.

Currently, it is not standard prenatal clinical care to test for maternal body concentrations of toxic metals or assess for potential exposure sources, although movement in this direction is endorsed by the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists and the International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics.[26–28] With studies such as Borghese et al. bolstering the evidence that these toxicants contribute to hypertensive disorders of pregnancy,[6] the foundation is strong for motivating change. Cultural shifts, such as clinicians asking patients about their drinking water sources and providing information on effective, low-cost water testing and filters,[29,30] could improve outcomes for women at high risk of exposure. Clinics could incorporate biomonitoring of arsenic, lead, cadmium, and mercury, among other metals, and offer interventions as needed. Moving forward, health insurance companies should consider environmental health as preventative care, including covering the costs of biomonitoring and water/air filters. Achieving these changes may require evidence from clinical trials that evaluate the impact of such interventions on perinatal outcomes. Of course, action at the patient–provider level must be coupled with continued pressure to improve and tighten environmental regulations to reduce water, food, and air contamination in the first place.[31,32] In fact, tighter federal regulations have been documented to reduce body burdens and disease incidence for both lead and arsenic.[10,33] For example, the U.S. EPA's more stringent MCL for arsenic implemented in 2006 reduced urinary arsenic levels by an average of 17% among public community water system users, which was predicted to reduce bladder and lung cancer incidence by 200–900 cases per year.[10]

Address correspondence to Rebecca C. Fry. Email: rfry@unc.edu

The authors declare they have nothing to disclose.

Received 3 August 2022; Revised 24 October 2022; Accepted 16 March 2023; Published 20 April 2023.

One particularly striking finding in the study by Borghese et al. is that the toxicity of blood arsenic was reduced at higher blood manganese concentrations.[6] Previous studies have also demonstrated the capacity of essential metals and other dietary factors to reduce the effects or body burden of toxic metals.[8,34–37] These studies suggest that nutritional factors that act on common toxicity pathways could be used in clinical practice. For example, appropriate supplementation with manganese may improve outcomes in patients at high risk for metals exposure, a hypothesis worthy of further investigation. Future epidemiologic research on this topic should be encouraged to stratify by essential metals to test whether this specific finding is repeated in different populations. In addition, animal model experimentation would likely be required to evaluate safety of manganese supplementation, given its toxicity at higher doses, before proceeding to trial therapeutic use in pregnant populations. However, the use of essential metal supplementation is feasible, as evidenced by the fact that calcium supplementation has been shown to lower lead body burden in mouse models and among pregnant and lactating women in human studies.[38,39] In turn, calcium supplementation is known to reduce the risk of preeclampsia; to our knowledge, the potential mediating role of the reduction in blood lead levels in this relationship has not been investigated.[37,40]

In addition to offering an avenue of potential clinical intervention for risk reduction, the antagonistic effect of manganese on arsenic toxicity furthers the toxicologic evidence that oxidative stress, particularly within the placenta, plays a critical role in the pathogenesis of hypertensive disorders of pregnancy.[41] Manganese is a component of superoxidase dismutase, an antioxidant enzyme.[42] Pathways related to oxidative stress and inflammation may play a role in poor placentation, one of the hallmarks of preeclampsia.[43–45] The activation of these pathways by toxicants such as arsenic may be attenuated by the antioxidant capacities of chemicals such as manganese. Interestingly, data support environmentally responsive epigenetic control of these key pathways as part of the complex biological underpinnings of preeclampsia, offering another avenue for therapeutic strategies to be investigated.[43,44,46,47] Continued epidemiologic studies along with *in vivo* and *in vitro* research into the mechanisms of environmentally induced hypertensive disorders of pregnancy may lead to further insights for risk-reducing interventions.

Last, the findings in the study by Borghese et al.[6] take on added importance when considering the appalling racial disparities in maternal and infant mortality in the United States, where Black women are more likely to develop preeclampsia than their White counterparts.[48,49] Although disparities are less extreme in Canada, where this study was conducted, they still persist.[50] These disparities are likely in part driven by several forms of environmental injustice that result in women of color having greater exposure to harmful chemicals, including toxic metals.[51,52] For example, municipal underbounding leaves periurban communities of color more likely to rely on unregulated private well water.[53,54] Cultural and commercial pressure to attain White beauty standards often pushes women of color to use toxic skin and hair care products.[55,56] Further, Superfund sites and other contaminating sources are disproportionately likely to adjoin communities of color.[13,57] Thus, mounting evidence of toxic metals' impact on adverse perinatal health outcomes behooves us to confront environmental racism to tackle maternal–child health disparities.

Taken together, the evidence raises three critical points to consider for improving perinatal environmental health. First, we must remain vigilant in focusing on toxic metals as chemicals of concern for perinatal health. Second, it is imperative that clinical care of pregnant patients include an assessment of environmental health history, perhaps moving toward biomonitoring of toxic metals and, ultimately, the implementation of exposure-reducing interventions. Finally, to translate these findings into improved perinatal health, we must advocate for solution-oriented changes, such as subsidizing and distributing water filters to families at high risk of exposure, ensuring community water systems comply with federal regulations, expanding clinical trials of nutritional interventions, and tackling environmental racism to promote clean drinking water for all.

Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to T. Manuck for her review and edits on this manuscript.

References

1. Duley L. 2009. The global impact of pre-eclampsia and eclampsia. Semin Perinatol 33(3):130–137, PMID: 19464502, https://doi.org/10.1053/j.semperi.2009.02.010.
2. Wallis AB, Saftlas AF, Hsia J, Atrash HK. 2008. Secular trends in the rates of preeclampsia, eclampsia, and gestational hypertension, United States, 1987–2004. Am J Hypertens 21(5):521–526, PMID: 18437143, https://doi.org/10.1038/ajh.2008.20.
3. Rana S, Lemoine E, Granger JP, Karumanchi SA. 2019. Preeclampsia: pathophysiology, challenges, and perspectives. Circ Res 124(7):1094–1112, PMID: 30920918, https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.118.313276.
4. Rosen EM, Muñoz MI, McElrath T, Cantonwine DE, Ferguson KK. 2018. Environmental contaminants and preeclampsia: a systematic literature review. J Toxicol Environ Health B Crit Rev 21(5):291–319, PMID: 30582407, https://doi.org/10.1080/10937404.2018.1554515.
5. Stone J, Sutrave P, Gascoigne E, Givens MB, Fry RC, Manuck TA. 2021. Exposure to toxic metals and per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances and the risk of preeclampsia and preterm birth in the United States: a review. Am J Obstet Gynecol MFM 3(3):100308, PMID: 33444805, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajogmf.2021.100308.
6. Borghese MM, Fisher M, Ashley-Martin J, Fraser WD, Trottier H, Lanphear B, et al. 2023. Individual, independent, and joint associations of toxic metals and manganese on hypertensive disorders of pregnancy: results from the MIREC Canadian pregnancy cohort. Environ Health Perspect 131(4):047014, https://doi.org/10.1289/EHP10825.
7. Poropat AE, Laidlaw MAS, Lanphear B, Ball A, Mielke HW. 2018. Blood lead and preeclampsia: a meta-analysis and review of implications. Environ Res 160:12–19, PMID: 28938191, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2017.09.014.
8. Laine JE, Ray P, Bodnar W, Cable PH, Boggess K, Offenbacher S, et al. 2015. Placental cadmium levels are associated with increased preeclampsia risk. PLoS One 10(9):e0139341, PMID: 26422011, https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0139341.
9. Mitra A, Chatterjee S, Gupta DK. 2020. Environmental arsenic exposure and human health risk. In: *Arsenic Water Resources Contamination: Challenges and Solutions*. Fares A, Singh SK, eds. Cham: Springer International Publishing, 103–129.
10. Nigra AE, Sanchez TR, Nachman KE, Harvey D, Chillrud SN, Graziano JH, et al. 2017. The effect of the Environmental Protection Agency maximum contaminant level on arsenic exposure in the USA from 2003 to 2014: an analysis of the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES). Lancet Public Health 2(11):e513–e521, PMID: 29250608, https://doi.org/10.1016/S2468-2667(17)30195-0.
11. Harkness JS, Sulkin B, Vengosh A. 2016. Evidence for coal ash ponds leaking in the southeastern United States. Environ Sci Technol 50(12):6583–6592, PMID: 27286270, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.6b01727.
12. Amini M, Abbaspour KC, Berg M, Winkel L, Hug SJ, Hoehn E, et al. 2008. Statistical modeling of global geogenic arsenic contamination in groundwater. Environ Sci Technol 42(10):3669–3675, PMID: 18546706, https://doi.org/10.1021/es702859e.
13. Zota AR, Schaider LA, Ettinger AS, Wright RO, Shine JP, Spengler JD. 2011. Metal sources and exposures in the homes of young children living near a mining-impacted Superfund site. J Expo Sci Environ Epidemiol 21(5):495–505, PMID: 21587306, https://doi.org/10.1038/jes.2011.21.
14. Chung JY, Yu SD, Hong YS. 2014. Environmental source of arsenic exposure. J Prev Med Public Health 47(5):253–257, PMID: 25284196, https://doi.org/10.3961/jpmph.14.036.
15. Lynch HN, Greenberg GI, Pollock MC, Lewis AS. 2014. A comprehensive evaluation of inorganic arsenic in food and considerations for dietary intake analyses. Sci Total Environ 496:299–313, PMID: 25089691, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2014.07.032.
16. Dignam T, Kaufmann RB, LeStourgeon L, Brown MJ. 2019. Control of lead sources in the United States, 1970–2017: public health progress and current

challenges to eliminating lead exposure. J Public Health Manag Pract 25(suppl 1, Lead Poisoning Prevention):S13–S22, PMID: 30507765, https://doi.org/10.1097/PHH.0000000000000889.
17. Bulka CM, Bommarito PA, Fry RC. 2019. Predictors of toxic metal exposures among US women of reproductive age. J Expo Sci Environ Epidemiol 29(5):597–612, PMID: 31235790, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41370-019-0152-3.
18. Sanders AP, Flood K, Chiang S, Herring AH, Wolf L, Fry RC. 2012. Towards prenatal biomonitoring in North Carolina: assessing arsenic, cadmium, mercury, and lead levels in pregnant women. PLoS One 7(3):e31354, PMID: 22427803, https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0031354.
19. Ettinger AS, Egan KB, Homa DM, Brown MJ. 2020. Blood lead levels in U.S. women of childbearing age, 1976–2016. Environ Health Perspect 128(1):17012, PMID: 31944143, https://doi.org/10.1289/EHP5925.
20. Callan AC, Hinwood AL, Ramalingam M, Boyce M, Heyworth J, McCafferty P, et al. 2013. Maternal exposure to metals—concentrations and predictors of exposure. Environ Res 126:111–117, PMID: 23896418, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2013.07.004.
21. Lozano M, Murcia M, Soler-Blasco R, Casas M, Zubero B, Riutort-Mayol G, et al. 2022. Exposure to metals and metalloids among pregnant women from Spain: levels and associated factors. Chemosphere 286(pt 2):131809, PMID: 34388877, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2021.131809.
22. Eaves LA, Keil AP, Rager JE, George A, Fry RC. 2022. Analysis of the novel NCWELL database highlights two decades of co-occurrence of toxic metals in North Carolina private well water: public health and environmental justice implications. Sci Total Environ 812:151479, PMID: 34767890, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.151479.
23. U.S. Congress. 1974. Safe Drinking Water Act. Pub L No. 93-523 (93rd U.S. Congress, 16 December 1974). https://www.congress.gov/bill/93rd-congress/house-bill/13002 [accessed 3 August 2022].
24. Nigra AE, Chen Q, Chillrud SN, Wang L, Harvey D, Mailloux B, et al. 2020. Inequalities in public water arsenic concentrations in counties and community water systems across the United States, 2006–2011. Environ Health Perspect 128(12):127001, PMID: 33295795, https://doi.org/10.1289/EHP7313.
25. CDC (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention). 2010. *CDC Guidelines for the Identification and Management of Lead Exposure in Pregnant and Lactating Women*. https://stacks.cdc.gov/view/cdc/11854 [accessed 19 May 2020].
26. ACOG Committee Opinion No 575. 2013. Exposure to toxic environmental agents. Fertil Steril 100(4):931–934, PMID: 24070500, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fertnstert.2013.08.043.
27. Di Renzo GC, Conry JA, Blake J, DeFrancesco MS, DeNicola N, Martin JN Jr, et al. 2015. International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics opinion on reproductive health impacts of exposure to toxic environmental chemicals. Int J Gynaecol Obstet 131(3):219–225, PMID: 26433469, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijgo.2015.09.002.
28. Stotland NE, Sutton P, Trowbridge J, Atchley DS, Conry J, Trasande L, et al. 2014. Counseling patients on preventing prenatal environmental exposures—a mixed-methods study of obstetricians. PLoS One 9(6):e98771, PMID: 24964083, https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0098771.
29. Tomlinson MS, Bommarito P, George A, Yelton S, Cable P, Coyte R, et al. 2019. Assessment of inorganic contamination of private wells and demonstration of effective filter-based reduction: a pilot-study in Stokes County, North Carolina. Environ Res 177:108618, PMID: 31419714, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2019.108618.
30. Mulhern R, Gibson JM. 2020. Under-sink activated carbon water filters effectively remove lead from private well water for over six months. Water (Basel) 12(12):3584, https://doi.org/10.3390/w12123584.
31. Sutton PM, Giudice LC, Woodruff TJ. 2016. Moving from awareness to action on preventing patient exposure to toxic environmental chemicals. Am J Obstet Gynecol 214(5):555–558, PMID: 27126615, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajog.2016.03.029.
32. Lubick N. 2011. Advising parents in the face of scientific uncertainty: an environmental health dilemma. Environ Health Perspect 119(10):A437–A441, PMID: 22069779, https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.119-a436.
33. McFarland MJ, Hauer ME, Reuben A. 2022. Half of US population exposed to adverse lead levels in early childhood. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 119(11): e2118631119, PMID: 35254913, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2118631119.
34. Clark J, Bommarito P, Stýblo M, Rubio-Andrade M, García-Vargas GG, Gamble MV, et al. 2022. Maternal serum concentrations of one-carbon metabolism factors modify the association between biomarkers of arsenic methylation efficiency and birth weight. Environ Health 21(1):68, PMID: 35836250, https://doi.org/10.1186/s12940-022-00875-7.
35. Hall MN, Gamble MV. 2012. Nutritional manipulation of one-carbon metabolism: effects on arsenic methylation and toxicity. J Toxicol 2012:595307, PMID: 22523489, https://doi.org/10.1155/2012/595307.
36. Gamble MV, Liu X, Slavkovich V, Pilsner JR, Ilievski V, Factor-Litvak P, et al. 2007. Folic acid supplementation lowers blood arsenic. Am J Clin Nutr 86(4):1202–1209, PMID: 17921403, https://doi.org/10.1093/ajcn/86.4.1202.
37. Ettinger AS, Hu H, Hernandez-Avila M. 2007. Dietary calcium supplementation to lower blood lead levels in pregnancy and lactation. J Nutr Biochem 18(3):172–178, PMID: 17296490, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnutbio.2006.12.007.
38. Christensen K. 2022. Nutritional multitasking? Exploring calcium supplementation to reduce toxic metal effects. Environ Health Perspect 130(12):124002, https://doi.org/10.1289/EHP12341.
39. Li H-B, Xue R-Y, Chen X-Q, Lin X-Y, Shi X-X, Du H-Y, et al. 2022. Ca minerals and oral bioavailability of Pb, Cd, and As from indoor dust in mice: mechanisms and health implications. Environ Health Perspect 130(12):127004, https://doi.org/10.1289/EHP11730.
40. Hofmeyr GJ, Lawrie TA, Atallah ÁN, Torloni MR. 2018. Calcium supplementation during pregnancy for preventing hypertensive disorders and related problems. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 10(10):CD001059, PMID: 30277579, https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD001059.pub5.
41. Roberts JM, Escudero C. 2012. The placenta in preeclampsia. Pregnancy Hypertens 2(2):72–83, PMID: 22745921, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.preghy.2012.01.001.
42. Li L, Yang X. 2018. The essential element manganese, oxidative stress, and metabolic diseases: links and interactions. Oxid Med Cell Longev 2018:7580707, PMID: 29849912, https://doi.org/10.1155/2018/7580707.
43. Brooks SA, Martin E, Smeester L, Grace MR, Boggess K, Fry RC. 2016. miRNAs as common regulators of the transforming growth factor (TGF)-β pathway in the preeclamptic placenta and cadmium-treated trophoblasts: links between the environment, the epigenome and preeclampsia. Food Chem Toxicol 98(pt A):50–57, PMID: 27375191, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fct.2016.06.023.
44. Brooks SA, Fry RC. 2017. Cadmium inhibits placental trophoblast cell migration via miRNA regulation of the transforming growth factor beta (TGF-β) pathway. Food Chem Toxicol 109(pt 1):721–726, PMID: 28774740, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fct.2017.07.059.
45. Alvarez MM, Chakraborty C. 2011. Cadmium inhibits motility factor-dependent migration of human trophoblast cells. Toxicol In Vitro 25(8):1926–1933, PMID: 21745561, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tiv.2011.06.016.
46. Martin E, Ray PD, Smeester L, Grace MR, Boggess K, Fry RC. 2015. Epigenetics and preeclampsia: defining functional epimutations in the preeclamptic placenta related to the TGF-β pathway. PLoS One 10(10):e0141294, PMID: 26510177, https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0141294.
47. Kamrani A, Alipourfard I, Ahmadi-Khiavi H, Yousefi M, Rostamzadeh D, Izadi M, et al. 2019. The role of epigenetic changes in preeclampsia. Biofactors 45(5):712–724, PMID: 31343798, https://doi.org/10.1002/biof.1542.
48. Fasanya HO, Hsiao CJ, Armstrong-Sylvester KR, Beal SG. 2021. A critical review on the use of race in understanding racial disparities in preeclampsia. J Appl Lab Med 6(1):247–256, PMID: 33227139, https://doi.org/10.1093/jalm/jfaa149.
49. Tanaka M, Jaamaa G, Kaiser M, Hills E, Soim A, Zhu M, et al. 2007. Racial disparity in hypertensive disorders of pregnancy in New York State: a 10-year longitudinal population-based study. Am J Public Health 97(1):163–170, PMID: 17138931, https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2005.068577.
50. McKinnon B, Yang S, Kramer MS, Bushnik T, Sheppard AJ, Kaufman JS. 2016. Comparison of black–white disparities in preterm birth between Canada and the United States. CMAJ 188(1):E19–E26, PMID: 26553860, https://doi.org/10.1503/cmaj.150464.
51. Boyles AL, Beverly BE, Fenton SE, Jackson CL, Jukic AMZ, Sutherland VL, et al. 2021. Environmental factors involved in maternal morbidity and mortality. J Womens Health (Larchmt) 30(2):245–252, PMID: 33211615, https://doi.org/10.1089/jwh.2020.8855.
52. Morello-Frosch R, Shenassa ED. 2006. The environmental "riskscape" and social inequality: implications for explaining maternal and child health disparities. Environ Health Perspect 114(8):1150–1153, PMID: 16882517, https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.8930.
53. Nigra AE. 2020. Environmental racism and the need for private well protections. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 117(30):17476–17478, PMID: 32641505, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2011547117.
54. Leker HG, MacDonald Gibson J. 2018. Relationship between race and community water and sewer service in North Carolina, USA. PLoS One 13(3):e0193225, PMID: 29561859, https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0193225.
55. Zota AR, Shamasunder B. 2017. The environmental injustice of beauty: framing chemical exposures from beauty products as a health disparities concern. Am J Obstet Gynecol 217(4):418.e1–418.e6, PMID: 28822238, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajog.2017.07.020.
56. James-Todd TM, Chiu YH, Zota AR. 2016. Racial/ethnic disparities in environmental endocrine disrupting chemicals and women's reproductive health outcomes: epidemiological examples across the life course. Curr Epidemiol Rep 3(2):161–180, PMID: 28497013, https://doi.org/10.1007/s40471-016-0073-9.
57. U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). 2021. Population surrounding 1,866 Superfund sites. https://www.epa.gov/superfund/population-surrounding-1866-superfund-sites [accessed 14 July 2022].