VOLUME 29 NUMBER 2 April 2023

pISSN 2287-2728 eISSN 2387-285X

CLINICAL and MOLECULAR HEPATOLOGY The forum for latest knowledge of hepatobiliary diseases

Asia clinical practice guidelines for HCC

Single-cell phenotypes of immune cells in NAFLD Global burden of primary liver cancer Factors of unrecognized cirrhosis in HCC patients



Editorial



https://doi.org/10.3350/cmh.2023.0104 Clinical and Molecular Hepatology 2023;29:394-397

Non-invasive imaging biomarkers for liver steatosis in non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: present and future

Lynna Alnimer¹ and Mazen Noureddin²

¹Division of Gastroenterology, Ascension Providence Hospital, Michigan State University/College of Human Medicine, Southfield, MI; ²Houston Research Institute, Houston, TX, USA

Keywords: Steatosis; Fibrosis; Noninvasive; Imaging; NAFLD

See Article on https://doi.org/10.3350/cmh.2022.0357

INTRODUCTION

Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) is the most common cause of liver disease in the United States.¹ It is not only associated with cirrhosis but is also considered a significant risk factor for cardiovascular disease and other complications related to the metabolic syndrome.² NAFLD is considered an umbrella term for a group of diseases; the spectrum starts with nonalcoholic fatty liver (NAFL), which is defined as liver fat content of more than 5% of the hepatocyte and is characterized histologically by macrovesicular hepatic steatosis. NAFL can progress into non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) which is characterized by the presence of inflammation and cellular injury, specifically ballooning, with or without fibrosis. However, both NAFL and NASH are associated with an increased risk of fibrosis and identifying it at an early stage is key. NAFL progresses to NASH in up to 30% of cases, leading to significant liver fibrosis with detrimental consequences.² Although liver biopsy remains the gold standard to diagnose NASH and liver steatosis, it is associated with risks and challenges. In addition, the rise of noninvasive testing (NITs) is found to be easier to perform, cost-effective, and less invasive.²

Given the prevalence of NAFLD worldwide, it is not feasible to perform liver biopsies on all patients with the suspected disease. There are several limitations of liver biopsy including sampling error, inter- and intra-observer variability, risks, and complications. Since only around 1/50,000 of the whole liver tissue is sampled during one biopsy, this by itself raises the concern of sampling error.³ Hepatocyte ballooning is a histological key feature differentiating steatosis (NAFL) from NASH yet expert liver pathologists disagree in many instances on the presence or absence of ballooning.⁴ Moreover, every procedure is associated with risks, and the incidence of serious complications and mortality has been reported to be 0.3– 0.57% and 0.01% respectively.⁵ As mentioned by Nogami et al.⁶, the importance of assessing the degree of fibrosis rather

Corresponding author : Mazen Noureddin

Houston Research Institute, 1155 Dairy Ashford Rd Suite 200, Houston, TX 77079, USA Tel: +1-281-809-3234, Fax: +1-281-809-3287, E-mail: NoureddinMD@houstonresearchinstitute.com https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2127-2040

Editor: Han Ah Lee, Korea University College of Medicine, Korea

Received : Mar. 13, 2023 / Received : Mar. 24, 2023 / Accepted : Mar. 24, 2023

Copyright © 2023 by Korean Association for the Study of the Liver

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

than diagnosing NAFL or NASH or evaluating liver steatosis is key. Nogami et al.⁶ also mentioned the importance of appropriately evaluating liver steatosis as studies have shown higher risk of mortality from extrahepatic cancer, cardiovascular disease, cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma with different liver steatosis levels. Nevertheless, NITs play a significant role nowadays in diagnosing and managing multiple aspects of NAFLD including identifying disease severity, monitoring response to therapy, and predicting outcomes.

FIRST GENERATION TESTS

There are different serum biomarkers and composite scores used to evaluate hepatic fibrosis such as the Enhanced Liver Fibrosis (ELF) test and Fibrosis-4 (FIB-4), but the scope of this editorial revolves around the role of imaging in liver steatosis. As mentioned in the recently published review article by Nogami et al.⁶, multiple tests exist to identify steatosis including abdominal ultrasonography (US), computed tomography (CT), and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). US is a simple and popular type of imaging to diagnose fatty liver. B mode findings such as bright liver, vascular blurring attenuation, and hepatorenal echo contrast indicate fatty liver however the sensitivity and specificity decrease when intra-hepatic steatosis is less than 30%.⁶ CT scans can identify fatty liver, yet they are costly, time-consuming, a relatively poor indicator to quantify steatosis, and are associated with inevitable radiation exposure.⁶ On CTs, fatty liver is usually diagnosed by comparing the liver fat content relative to that of the spleen. MRI is an excellent method to quantify fat content in the liver as the signals are obtained from protons belonging to water and fat molecules, and there is no risk of exposure compared to CT. However, it has not been used in general practice due to high costs.

Vibration-Controlled Transient Elastography (VCTE) (e.g., FibroScan[®]) was discovered in 2003 and is used to obtain a liver stiffness measurement (LSM) that correlates with fibrosis.² It is widely available and can be used as a point-of-care

test. In 2010, the controlled attenuation parameter (CAP) was introduced to measure the degree of fat attenuation, allowing it to quantify liver steatosis.⁶ Initially, obesity was considered a limitation until the XL probe was introduced, which allows for deeper penetration to generate signals in patients with a higher body mass index (BMI). As mentioned by Nogami et al.⁶, CAP is essential in evaluating S \geq 1, 2, and 3 however it has not been reported whether the measurement of liver steatosis is useful for long term follow up. On the other hand, changes in liver stiffness can be used to identify disease progression.⁶

Newer MR-based modalities are used to quantify hepatic fat. MRI proton density fat fraction (MRI-PDFF) is a MR technique that accurately quantifies hepatic fat by decomposing the signals obtained from the liver into its fat and water components.⁷ It minimizes most confounding factors, including patient factors such as body mass index (BMI), sex, age, or etiology of liver disease, or other liver abnormalities such as iron overload.⁷ Although MR approaches are considered the gold standard NIT to detect steatosis, they are not used as frequently due to limited availability and high cost.⁷ Importantly, MRI-PDFF can be coupled with magnetic resonance elastography (MRE) which is more sensitive than VCTE in the detection of fibrosis stage ≥ 2 and is considered the most accurate noninvasive imaging-based test in fibrosis assessment in NAFLD.⁷

SECOND GENERATION TESTS

Steatohepatitis remains the driver of the disease, and thus regulators have considered NASH patients with the histological NASH activity score of 4 and higher and fibrosis stage 2 and higher (also known as at-risk NASH) as the targeted group for pharmacological therapy, especially in NASH phase 3 registry studies. Combining serologic markers with imaging is an improved way to assess at-risk NASH patients and has been studied recently. A newer predictive score combines LSM, CAP, and aspartate aminotransferase (AST) to-

Abbreviations:

NAFLD, nonalcoholic fatty liver disease; NAFL, nonalcoholic fatty liver; NASH, nonalcoholic steatohepatitis; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; NITs, Noninvasive testing; ELF test, Enhanced Liver Fibrosis (ELF) test; FIB-4, Fibrosis-4; US, abdominal ultrasonography; CT, computed tomography; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; VCTE, Vibration-Controlled Transient Elastography; LSM, liver stiffness measurement; CAP, controlled attenuation parameter; BMI, body mass index

gether, known as FAST (FibroScan-AST), which is an efficient way to identify these individuals and minimize unnecessary liver biopsies. In a prospective multicenter study of 350 patients, the FAST score was internally and externally validated with a cutoff of 0.35 and 0.67 for ≥0.90 sensitivity and specificity, respectively, in the derivation cohort.⁸ Moreover, Agile 3+ and 4 are other non-invasive scores based on VCTE that accurately identify fibrosis (≥F3) and F4 (cirrhosis), respectively, but also predict adverse outcomes such as major adverse liver outcomes (MALO), hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC), the requirement for liver transplant (LT), and death.⁹ Last but not least, the MAST score (MRI-AST) is an MRI serum-based score that, by far, outperforms previous scores (FAST and FIB-4) in identifying at-risk NASH patients.¹⁰ The MEFIB score has also shown an ability to predict at-risk NASH patients and MALO.¹¹ Nevertheless, the dichotomous nature of the test gives less flexibility to its use in comparison to MAST and FAST.

In terms of correlation between NITs and MALO, a study by Younossi et al.¹² has shown that baseline FIB-4, NFS, ELF, and VCTE correlated with clinical liver outcomes. Boursier et al.¹³ have shown significant increases in patients' risk for MALO with "FIB4 \geq 1.30 then VCTE 8.0-12.0 kPa" (aHR 3.8; 95% CI 1.3–10.9) and even more for those with "FIB4 \geq 1.30 then VCTE >12.0 kPa" (aHR 12.4; 95% CI 5.1–30.2). Two studies have shown that increases in MRE stiffness correlate with MALO and that a cutoff of 6.48 is a threshold of decompensation.^{14,15} In another study with six international cohorts, MRE was shown to be associated with liver outcomes; the MEFIB (a combination of MRE and FIB-4) had an excellent negative predictive value for hepatic decompensation. MAST has also shown a correlation with clinical liver events with c-Statistic of >0.92.¹⁰

CONCLUSION

NAFLD is a progressive liver disease that can lead to cirrhosis. Its worldwide prevalence is high and continues to rise. In clinical practice, NITs are being used more frequently to identify steatosis, fibrosis, and high-risk NASH instead of liver biopsy, which is invasive, expensive, and associated with risks. Quantifying liver fat content is important however identifying "at risk NASH" is more essential. The future of NASH diagnosis and management is heading towards non-invasive methods, as there is robust evidence that NITs can assess disease severity and predict liver-related events. Ongoing studies are being conducted to support the use of NITs in monitoring responses to available treatments.

Authors' contribution

Lynna Alnimer drafted the manuscript. Mazen Noureddin revised and finalized the manuscript.

Conflicts of Interest -

The authors have no conflicts to disclose.

REFERENCES

- Setiawan VW, Stram DO, Porcel J, Lu SC, Le Marchand L, Noureddin M. Prevalence of chronic liver disease and cirrhosis by underlying cause in understudied ethnic groups: The multiethnic cohort. Hepatology 2016;64:1969-1977.
- Noureddin M, Ntanios F, Malhotra D, Hoover K, Emir B, McLeod E, et al. Predicting NAFLD prevalence in the United States using National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 2017-2018 transient elastography data and application of machine learning. Hepatol Commun 2022;6:1537-1548.
- Sumida Y, Nakajima A, Itoh Y. Limitations of liver biopsy and non-invasive diagnostic tests for the diagnosis of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease/nonalcoholic steatohepatitis. World J Gastroenterol 2014;20:475-485.
- Brunt EM, Clouston AD, Goodman Z, Guy C, Kleiner DE, Lackner C, et al. Complexity of ballooned hepatocyte feature recognition: Defining a training atlas for artificial intelligence-based imaging in NAFLD. J Hepatol 2022;76:1030-1041.
- 5. Bravo AA, Sheth SG, Chopra S. Liver biopsy. N Engl J Med 2001;344:495-500.
- Nogami A, Yoneda M, Iwaki M, Kobayashi T, Honda Y, Ogawa Y, et al. Non-invasive imaging biomarkers for liver steatosis in non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: present and future. Clin Mol Hepatol 2023;29(Suppl):S123-S135.
- Noureddin M, Lam J, Peterson MR, Middleton M, Hamilton G, Le TA, et al. Utility of magnetic resonance imaging versus histology for quantifying changes in liver fat in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease trials. Hepatology 2013;58:1930-1940.
- Newsome PN, Sasso M, Deeks JJ, Paredes A, Boursier J, Chan WK, et al. FibroScan-AST (FAST) score for the non-invasive identification of patients with non-alcoholic steatohepatitis

with significant activity and fibrosis: a prospective derivation and global validation study. Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol 2020;5:362-373. Erratum in: Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol 2020;5:e3.

- Truong E, Gornbein J, Yang JD, Harrison SA, Alkhouri N, Noureddin M. The Agile 3+ and 4 scores most accurately predict major adverse liver outcomes and death compared to liver stiffness measurement and FibroScan-AST score. Hepatology. Hoboken: Wiley, 2022.
- Truong E, Gornbein JA, Yang JD, Noureddin N, Harrison SA, Alkhouri N, et al. MRI-AST score accurately predicts major adverse liver outcome, hepatocellular carcinoma, liver transplant, and liver-related death. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2023 Feb 21. doi: 10.1016/j.cgh.2023.02.003.
- 11. Ajmera V, Kim BK, Yang K, Majzoub AM, Nayfeh T, Tamaki N, et al. Liver stiffness on magnetic resonance elastography and the MEFIB index and liver-related outcomes in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: A systematic review and meta-analysis of indi-

vidual participants. Gastroenterology 2022;163:1079-1089.e5.

- Younossi ZM, Anstee QM, Wai-Sun Wong V, Trauner M, Lawitz EJ, Harrison SA, et al. the association of histologic and noninvasive tests with adverse clinical and patient-reported outcomes in patients with advanced fibrosis due to nonalcoholic steatohepatitis. Gastroenterology 2021;160:1608-1619.e13.
- Boursier J, Hagström H, Ekstedt M, Moreau C, Bonacci M, Cure S, et al. Non-invasive tests accurately stratify patients with NAFLD based on their risk of liver-related events. J Hepatol 2022;76:1013-1020.
- Han MAT, Vipani A, Noureddin N, Ramirez K, Gornbein J, Saouaf R, et al. MR elastography-based liver fibrosis correlates with liver events in nonalcoholic fatty liver patients: A multicenter study. Liver Int 2020;40:2242-2251.
- Gidener T, Ahmed OT, Larson JJ, Mara KC, Therneau TM, Venkatesh SK, et al. Liver stiffness by magnetic resonance elastography predicts future cirrhosis, decompensation, and death in NAFLD. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2021;19:1915-1924.e6.