Incidence of Urinary Tract Infection Among Patients: Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis

INQUIRY: The Journal of Health Care Organization, Provision, and Financing Volume 60: 1–12 © The Author(s) 2023 Article reuse guidelines: sagepub.com/journals-permissions DOI: 10.1177/00469580231168746 journals.sagepub.com/home/inq



Dechasa Adare Mengistu, MSc¹, Addisu Alemu, MPH¹, Abdi Amin Abdukadir, MD¹, Ahmed Mohammed Husen, MD¹, Fila Ahmed, MPH¹, and Baredin Mohammed, MPH¹

Abstract

Healthcare-associated infection is one of the most common and severe threats to patients' health and remains a significant challenge for healthcare providers. Among healthcare-associated infections, urinary tract infection (UTI) is one of the most common infections. This study aimed to determine the global incidence of UTI among patients. The Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analysis (PRISMA) guideline was used to perform this systematic review and meta-analysis. The articles were searched from April 4 to August 5, 2022, from electronic databases (Scopus, PubMed, Web of Science, Google Scholar, DOAJ, and MedNar) using Boolean logic operators, MeSH terms, and keywords. The quality of the study was assessed using the JBI Critical Assessment tool. One thousand nine ninety three articles were retrieved from the electronic databases, of which 38 articles conducted on 981221 patients were included in the current study. The study found the global pooled incidence of UTI accounted for 1.6%. Based on the subgroup analysis by survey period and WHO region, the highest incidence of UTI was reported in the African Region [3.6%] and among studies conducted between 1996 and 2001 [3.7%]. This study revealed the overall pooled incidence of UTI was 1.6%. The highest incidence of UTI (3.6%) was reported in the African region. This indicates that there is a need to implement safety measures.

Keywords

hospital acquired infection, nosocomial infection, urinary tract infection, healthcare associated infection, patient, patient safety, global

What do we already know about this topic?

- Urinary Tract Infection (UTI) is one of the most common infections in healthcare facilities.
- It remains a major cause of morbidity and mortality globally and is one of the most common infections in healthcare facilities.
- Until the current study was conducted, there was no study that provided a global and WHO's region incidence of UTI.

How does your research contribute to the field?

- This study revealed that the overall pooled incidence of UTI was 1.6%.
- Based on the world health organizations' region, UTI incidence estimates was high in African region [3.6%].
- What are your research's implications toward theory, practice, or policy?
- The finding of the current study can be used by national and international concerned agencies or organizations to take appropriate prevention measures and for planning and implementing effective UTI prevention and control programs, as well as other nosocomial infections which can contribute to better health service provision across the world.

Introduction

Healthcare-associated infections (HAI) pose one of the most severe threats to patients' health and remain a major challenge for healthcare service providers globally.^{1,2} These infections are mainly caused by antimicrobial-resistant

¹Haramaya University College of Health and Medical Science, Harar, Ethiopia

Received 2 January 2023; revised 20 March 2023; revised manuscript accepted 23 March 2023

Corresponding Author:

Dechasa Adare Mengistu, School of Environmental Health, College of Health and Medical Science, Haramaya University, Harar 235, Ethiopia. Email: dechasaadare@gmail.com

Creative Commons Non Commercial CC BY-NC: This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits non-commercial use, reproduction and distribution of the work without further permission provided the original work is attributed as specified on the SAGE and Open Access pages (https://us.sagepub.com/en-us/nam/open-access-at-sage). microorganisms.³ HAI is the major cause of morbidity and mortality.⁴⁻⁶ which is associated with clinical, diagnostic, and therapeutic procedures.^{7,8}

Despite the fact that there is no accurate data available, it is estimated that hundreds of millions of patients are affected by HAIs each year. Not only does this result in significant mortality, but it also results in service or financial losses for healthcare systems. Currently, there are no countries free from the HAI burden and antimicrobial resistance.³

Furthermore, approximately 3 million healthcare professionals around the world are affected by HAI every year.⁹ Among HAI, Urinary tract infection (UTI) is the most commonly encountered hospital-acquired infection and the major risk factor is urinary catheterization.¹⁰ UTI is one of the most common bacterial infections and also it may be an emerging problem in patient in different parts of the world with high medical costs.^{11,12} Bacterial adherence to uroepithelial cells is essential for the initiation of infection in UTI. Pathogenic *Escherichia coli* is regarded as the main cause of nosocomial infections, including UTIs.¹³ UTI account for more than 30% of HAIs reported by acute care hospitals.¹⁴ UTI is considered the most common HAI,¹⁵ accounting for up to 36% of all HAIs.¹⁶

Similarly, among UTI, catheter-associated urinary tract infections (CA-UTIs) represent the majority of UTI accounting for up to 67% of UTIs in all hospital inpatients.¹⁷ It is the most common nosocomial infection, accounting for up to 10% to 70% of all nosocomial infections.^{18,19} It is caused by instrumentation of the urinary tract with 80% traced to the use of indwelling urinary catheters.²⁰ CA-UTI is a serious health condition, which is associated with reduced quality of life, increased risk of hospitalization, and increased mortality.²¹

Besides these problems, there is limited evidence regarding the global incidence of UTI. Some of the previous systematic reviews and meta-analysis conducted on lower urinary tract symptoms suggestive of benign prostatic hyperplasia,²² factor associated with UTI,^{23,24} region specific,²⁵ and pathogenic and ward specific.^{26,27}

Therefore, the aim of this systematic review and metaanalysis was to assess and estimate the regional and global incidence of UTI among patients. It can be used by both national and international concerned agencies or organizations to take appropriate prevention measures and for planning and implementing effective UTI prevention and control programs, which can contribute to better health service provision across the world.

Protocol

The Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analysis (PRISMA) guideline was used to perform this systematic review and meta-analysis.²⁸

Eligibility Criteria

Inclusion criteria. The studies that met the following inclusion criteria were included in the systematic review and meta-analysis:-

- **Study population:** Patients admitted to the health facility were a study population.
- **Outcomes**: The study reported a quantitative outcome (magnitude, frequency, rate, or incidence of urinary tract infection).
- Language: Articles written in English.
- **Types of articles:** Peer-reviewed full text, original, and published articles.
- **Publication year**: Articles conducted anytime (not limited).
- Study regions or countries: Not specified (not limited).

Exclusion criteria

• The study did not report quantitative outcomes, case series, review articles, reports, conference abstracts, opinions, articles written in non-English, high risk of bias articles, and articles not available in full text were excluded from the current study.

Information Sources and Search Strategy.

The articles were searched from SCOPUS, PubMed, Web of Science, Google Scholar, DOAJ, and MedNar, from April 4 to August 5, 2022. A combination of Boolean logic operators (AND, OR, and NOT), Medical Subject Headings (MeSH), and keywords (healthcare facility, nosocomial infection, urinary tract infection, patients, hospital acquired infection, healthcare associated infection) were used to retrieve the articles from the identified databases. The main keywords and index terms were checked across the included databases. Finally, references within eligible articles were further screened for additional articles.

The following search term was used in the initial searching of the articles from PubMed:- "incidence" [Mesh Terms] OR "incidence" [All Fields]) OR "Incidence" [Mesh Terms] OR "Incidence" [All Fields]) OR "Proportion" [Mesh Terms] OR "Proportion" [All Fields]) AND (("Nosocomial" [Mesh Terms] OR "Nosocomial" [All Fields], OR "Hospital Acquired" [All Fields] OR "Hospital Acquired" [Mesh]) OR (("Health Facility" [Mesh Terms] OR "Health Facility" [All Fields], OR "Healthcare Facility" [All Fields] OR "Healthcare Facility" [Mesh]) OR " urinary tract infection" [All Fields] OR "Urinary tract Infection" AND (("Infection" [Mesh Terms]] OR ("Disease" [All Fields] OR "Problems" [All Fields] OR "Hazards" [Mesh]) AND "Patient" [All Fields]) [Mesh]) OR "Patients" [All Fields]) OR "Service Users" [All Fields]) AND ("Developing Country" [Mesh Terms] OR "Developing Countries" [All Fields]) OR "Developed Countries" [Mesh Terms] OR ("Developed" [All Fields] AND "Countries" [All Fields]) OR "Developed Countries" [All Fields])).

Study Selection Process

The study selection process was performed using the PRISMA flow chart, indicating the number of articles included in the study and excluded from the study with the reasons of exclusion. Following the search for articles through the included electronic databases, duplicate articles were removed using the ENDNOTE software version X5 (Thomson Reuters, USA).

After duplicated articles were removed, the authors (DAM, AA, AA, AM, BM, and FA) independently screened the articles based on their titles and abstracts to determine their eligibility for the current study by applying the inclusion criteria. The authors (DAM, AA, AA, AM, BM, and FA) further evaluated the full texts of the relevant articles independently. Any disagreements made with respect to the inclusion of studies were resolved by consensus after discussion. Finally, studies that met the inclusion criteria were included in the current study.

Data Extraction Process

All authors (DAM, AA, AA, AM, BM, and FA) independently extracted the relevant data required for the current study from the included or eligible articles. To extract the data, a predetermined Microsoft Excel format consisting of study characteristics, including publication year, survey year or study period, country where the study was conducted, sample size, and primary outcomes, such as incidence of UTI among the patients. Any disagreement made regarding the data extraction was resolved through discussion.

Quality Assessment

The included articles were subjected to quality assessment by the authors (DAM, AA, AA, AM, BM, and FA) using Joanna Briggs Institute Critical Assessment Tools (JBI) for the incidence studies.²⁹ Then, they were evaluated by the authors (DAM, AA, AA, AM, BM, and FA) to confirm their relevance or eligibility to the current study.

The JBI critical appraisal tools have 9 evaluation criteria: (1) appropriate sampling frame; (2) proper sampling technique; (3) adequate sample size; (4) description of the study subject and setting description; (5) sufficient data analysis; (6) use of valid methods for the identified conditions; (7) valid measurement for all participants; (8) use of appropriate statistical analysis; and (9) adequate response rate. Then, each parameter was assigned a value 1 if "Yes" and 0 if "No." Based on the total score, each article was graded as high quality (85% and above), moderate (60%-85% score),

or low quality (60% score). Finally, the articles having a moderate and high quality were included in the study.

Disagreement was made between the authors, regarding the quality assessment was solved by discussion after repeating the same procedures.

Statistical Procedures and Data Analysis

A systematic review and meta-analysis were used to summarize data on UTI by pooling together the findings of studies reporting the incidence of UTI globally. The pooled incidence of UTI among patients was determined using Comprehensive Meta-Analysis version 3.0 statistical software. The pooled incidence of UTI among patients in the healthcare facility was visualized using a forest plot and a random-effects model.

The *I*-squared test (I^2 statistics) was used to evaluate the heterogeneity between the included articles. The level of heterogeneity was then classified as no heterogeneity (0%), low (25%-50%), moderate (50%-75%), and high heterogeneity (>75%).³⁰ A random-effects model was used to analyze and report the data. Furthermore, subgroup analysis was conducted based on survey period, WHO region, and study areas/regions.

A sensitivity analysis was done to determine differences in pooled effects by dropping studies that were found to influence the summary estimates.

Results

Study Selection

A total of 1993 articles were retrieved from the included electronic databases and manual searches from Google. Then, 980 duplicate articles were excluded. Out of 1013 articles, 360 were excluded after the evaluation was made based on titles and abstracts. Furthermore, 653 full-text studies were further assessed to determine their eligibility, of which 299 studies were excluded. Furthermore, 554 were evaluated based on the objective, methods, and outcome of interest by reading all contents of the articles. Finally, a total of 38 articles were included in the systematic review and meta-analysis (Figure 1).

Study Characteristics

This systematic review and meta-analysis included a total of 38 studies conducted on 981,221 patients (ranging from 105³¹ to 633 990³² study participants). Among the included studies, 7 were conducted in China,³²⁻³⁸ 2 in each Switzerland,^{39,40} USA,^{41,42} Turkey,^{43,44} Iran,^{45,46} Italy,^{47,48} and Poland.^{49,50} However, one articles were selected from each Benin,⁵¹ France,⁵² Cuba,⁵³ Thailand,⁵⁴ Albania,⁵⁵ Malawi,³¹ Saudi Arabia,⁵⁶ Ghana,⁵⁷ Argentina,⁵⁸ Ethiopia,⁵⁹ Tunisia,⁶⁰ Belgium,⁶¹ Nepal,⁶² Kuwait,⁶³ Germany,⁶⁴ Australia,⁶⁵ Herzegovina,⁶⁶ India,⁶⁷ Cameroon⁶⁸ (Table 1).



Figure 1. Study selection process of included articles for systematic review and meta-analysis, 2022.

Based on the region, the majority of the studies were conducted in developing countries. In general, the included articles were conducted in 26 countries around the world. The yellow color on the map indicated the countries where the included articles were conducted (Figure 2).

Incidence of Urinary Tract Infections

The worldwide incidence of UTI among patients was found to be 1.6% (95% CI: 1.0, 2.4) with a *p*-value of <.001; $l^2=79.02$ (Figure 3).

Ref.	Author/s	Sample size (N)	Survey year	Publication year	Outcome (incidence of UTI)	Country	Risk of bias
Pittet et al ³⁹	Pittet et al	1349	1996	1999	2.89	Switzerland	Moderate
Ahoyo et al ⁵¹	Ahoyo et al	3130	2012	2014	11.1	Benin	Moderate
Girard et al ⁵²	Girard et al	286	2001	2006	7.69	France	Moderate
Esen and Leblebicioglu ⁴³	Esen and Leblebicioglu	236	2004	2001	15.68	Turkey	Moderate
Izquierdo-Cubas et al ⁵³	Izquierdo-Cubas et al	4240	2004	2008	0.5	Cuba	Moderate
Danchaivijitr et al ⁵⁴	Danchaivijitr et al	9865	2006	2007	1.6	Thailand	Moderate
, Faria et al ⁵⁵	, Faria et al	968	2003	2007	6.3	Albania	Low
Nash et al ⁴¹	Nash et al	879	2006	2011	6.0	USA	Low
Bunduki et al ³¹	Bunduki et al	105	2020	2021	3.81	Malawi	Low
Huang et al ³³	Huang et al	6717	2014-2018	2020	0.19	China	Low
Balkhy et al ⁵⁶	Balkhy et al	562	2003	2006	1.96	Saudi Arabia	Low
Labi et al ⁵⁷	, Labi et al	2107	2016	2019	1.61	Ghana	Low
Askarian et al ⁴⁵	Askarian et al	3450	2008-2009	2012	1.4	Iran	Low
Zotti et al ⁴⁷	Zotti et al	9467	2000	2004	4.5	Italy	Moderate
Gentili et al ⁴⁸	Gentili et al	6263	2013-2018	2020	1.07	Italy	Low
Durlach et al ⁵⁸	Durlach et al	4249	2008	2012	3.1	, Argentina	Low
Mühlemann et al ⁴⁰	Miihlemann et al	520	2000	2004	1.35	Switzerland	Moderate
Lee et al ³⁴	Lee et al	1021	2005	2006	0.8	China	Low
Motbainor et al ⁵⁹	Motbainor et al	238	2018	2020	2.1	Ethiopia	Low
Strasheim et al ⁶⁹	Zhao et al	134637	2015-2017	2020	0.23	China	Low
Kołpa et al ⁴⁹	Kołpa et al	1849	2016-2017	2018	2.9	Poland	Low
Ghali et al ⁶⁰	Ghali et al	2729	2012-2020	2021	2.27	Tunisia	Low
Vandael et al ⁶¹	Vandael et al	11800	2017	2020	1.65	Belgium	Low
Shrestha et al ⁶²	Shrestha et al	300	2016	2020	16.0	Nepal	Low
Alfouzan et al ⁶³	Alfouzan et al	1408	2018-2019	2021	0.97	Kuwait	Low
Magill et al ⁴²	Magill et al	85 I	2009	2012	1.06	USA	Moderate
Arefian et al ⁶⁴	Arefian et al	62154	2011-2014	2019	0.76	Germany	Low
Russo et al ⁶⁵	Russo et al	2767	2018	2019	2.4	Australia	Low
Zhang et al ³⁶	Zhang et al	4029	2012-2014	2016	0.52	China	Low
Zhang et al ³²	Zhang et al	633990	2013-2017	2019	0.47	China	Low
lsikgoz Tasbakan et al44	Tasbakan et al	26534	2011	2008-2013	1.82	Turkey	Low
Custovic et al ⁶⁶	Custovic et al	834	2010	2014	1.8	Herzegovina	Moderate
Wang et al ³⁷	Wang et al	1347	2013-2015	2019	2.0	China	Low
Jiang et al ³⁸	Jiang et al	13695	2013-2019	2020	0.28	China	Low
Heydarpour et al ⁴⁶	Heydarpou et al	6000	2011-2014	2017	0.22	Iran	Moderate
Sahu et al ⁶⁷	Sahu et al	6864	2013-2014	2016	0.32	India	Low
Nouetchognou et al ⁶⁸	Nouetchognou et al	307	2013-2014	2016	3.91	Cameroon	Low
Tomczyk-Warunek et al ⁵⁰	Tomczyk-Warunek et al	2474	2018-2020	2021	1.25	Poland	Low

Table I. Overall Characteristics of the Articles Included in the Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis, 2022.

N=sample size; UTI=urinary tract infection; USA=United State of America.

Based on subgroup analysis by survey year, studies conducted between 2014 and 218 had the lowest incidence of UTI among patients [1.1% (95% CI: 0.5, 2.1)], while studies conducted between 1996 and 2001 had the highest [3.7%(95% CI: 2.3, 5.8)]. The results of the current finding indicated that the incidence of UTI was declining from 3.7% (1996-2001) to 1.4% (2019-2022) (Figure 4).

Based on the World Health Organization Region, the overall pooled incidence of UTI was 1.8% [95% CI: 1.3, 2.4]

that was slightly lower than the pooled prevalence before subgroup analysis (1.6%).

The highest incidence of UTI was reported in the African Region, which accounted for 3.6% [95% CI: 1.2, 10.3], whereas the lowest incidence was reported in the Western Pacific Region, at 0.4% [95% CI: 0.2, 1.0] followed by Eastern Mediterranean Region and American region accounted for 1.1% [95% CI: 0.5, 2.5] and 1.9% [95% CI: 0.8, 4.3], respectively (Figure 5).



Figure 2. Countries of the world where the included articles were conducted.

Sensitivity Analysis Results

The sensitivity analysis was conducted by dropping the outcomes of samples expected to influence the pooled incidence of urinary tract infection. However, no substantial difference was observed in the incidence of urinary tract infection among patients (Table 2).

Discussion

Urinary tract infection were considered the most common healthcare-associated infection,¹⁵ accounting for up to 36% of healthcare-associated infections.¹⁶ Urinary tract infection is a serious health condition, which is associated with reduced quality of life, increased risk of hospitalization and increased mortality.²¹ To determine the pooled incidence of urinary tract infection, the current study included 38 articles conducted on 981 221 patients (ranged from 105³¹ to 633 990³² study participants).

According to the current finding, the worldwide incidence of urinary tract infection among patients was found to be 1.6% (95% CI: 1.0, 2.4). The current study found a lower incidence of urinary tract infection compared to another study reporting a incidence rate of 9.33%.²⁴ The variation may be attributed to the scope of the study, the number of included articles, and study participants involved. Because, the latter study included 10 articles with a total of 8785 study participants with or without urinary tract infection.

Based on the subgroup analysis of the findings based on the survey period, the results of the current finding indicated that the incidence of urinary tract infection was declining from 3.7% (1996-2001) to 1.4% (2019-2022) (Figure 4).

After the findings were analyzed based on the survey year or period, the incidence of urinary tract infection was declined from 3.7% (1996-2001) to 1.1% (2014-2018). However, it was slightly increased from 1.1% (1996-2001) to 1.4% (2019-2022). The variation may be attributed to the number of studies included. Because, only 3 studies conducted from 2019 to 2022 in a few countries and met the eligible criteria were included in this study. Similarly, it may be related to the application of safety measures, including training and appropriate interventions or programs.

Furthermore, to compare the findings based on the World Health Organization regions' of the world and determine the difference in outcomes, the highest incidence [3.6% (95% CI: 1.2, 10.3)] of urinary tract infection was reported



Figure 3. The forest plot shows an overall pooled incidence of urinary tract infections among patients, 2022.

in the African Region. This can be attributed to the fact that there is poor implementation of patient's safety measures, including adequate interventions, training, precautions, or policy in developing countries, including African Regions. This indicates that the appropriate action should be taken by prioritizing the problems to improve the safety and service provision. Furthermore, the study revealed that the lowest incidence of urinary tract infection was observed in Western Pacific Region accounted for 0.4%, followed by Eastern Mediterranean Region and American region accounted for 1.1% [95% CI: 0.5, 2.5] and 1.9% [95% CI: 0.8, 4.3]. The variation may be related to the variation in the scope of the studies, the infection reporting system, or surveillance

Group by	Study name		Statist	ics for each stud	ly		Eve	nt rate and 95	% CI	
Survey Year		Event	Lower	Upper limit 7 -Value	n-Value					
1006 2001	Pittat at al	0.020	0.021	0.020 21.625	0.000	1	1		I I	1
1990 -2001	Girard at al	0.029	0.021	0.039 -21.023	0.000					
1990 -2001	Tattia at al	0.077	0.031	0.114 -11.198	0.000					
1990 -2001	Zottia et al	0.045	0.041	0.049 -01.022	0.000				-	
1990 -2001	Winnenhann et al	0.014	0.000	0.028 -11.293	0.000					
2002 2007	Esen and Leblebicioglu	0.057	0.025	0.038 -13.337	0.000					
2002-2007	Izquiardo Cubos at	0.137	0.110	0.209 -9.397	0.000					-
2002-2007	Denchoivijitr et el	0.016	0.003	0.008 -24.311	0.000					
2002-2007	Earia at al	0.010	0.014	0.019 - 31.334	0.000					
2002-2007	Nach at al	0.003	0.049	0.060 -20.407	0.000					
2002-2007	Balkhy et al	0.000	0.050	0.035 12.857	0.000				_	
2002-2007	Leo et al	0.020	0.011	0.055 -12.057	0.000					
2002-2007		0.008	0.004	0.055 _0.450	0.000					
2002-2007	Abovo et al	0.027	0.013	0.055 - 9.459	0.000					
2008-2013	Alloyo et al	0.111	0.100	0.122 - 30.303	0.000					
2008-2013	Durlach et al	0.014	0.011	0.017 - 29.301	0.000					
2008-2013	Magill et al	0.031	0.020	0.037 - 38.889	0.000					
2008-2013	Arafian at al	0.011	0.000	0.020 -15.552	0.000					
2008-2013	Zhang et ala	0.008	0.007	0.008 -23 985	0.000					
2008-2013	Tashakan et al	0.003	0.005	0.000 -25.985	0.000					
2008-2013	Custovic et al	0.018	0.011	0.020 - 30.850	0.000					
2008-2013	Heydarpou et al	0.018	0.001	0.004 22 200	0.000					
2008-2013	Sahu et al	0.002	0.001	0.005 -26.865	0.000					
2008-2013	Nouetchognou et al	0.039	0.002	0.068 -10.874	0.000					
2008-2013	Nouetenognoù et ar	0.013	0.002	0.027 -11.658	0.000				-	
2008 2015	Huang et al	0.002	0.000	0.003 -22 356	0.000					
2014-2018	I abi et al	0.002	0.012	0.022 -23 760	0.000					
2014-2018	Gentili et al	0.011	0.008	0.014 - 36.858	0.000					
2014-2018	Mothainor et al	0.021	0.009	0.049 -8.499	0.000					
2014-2018	Zhao et al	0.000	0.000	0.000 -46.612	0.000					
2014-2018	Ko?pa et al	0.029	0.022	0.038 - 25.334	0.000					
2014-2018	Ghali et al	0.023	0.018	0 029 -29 275	0.000					
2014-2018	Vandael et al	0.017	0.014	0.019 -56 566	0.000					
2014-2018	Shrestha et al	0.160	0.123	0 206 -10 529	0.000					
2014-2018	Russo et al	0.024	0.019	0.030 - 29.831	0.000					
2014-2018	Zhang et al	0.005	0.005	0.005291.652	0.000					
2014-2018	Wang et al	0.020	0.014	0.029 - 19.997	0.000					
2014-2018	Jiang et al	0.003	0.002	0.004 -36.332	0.000					
2014-2018		0.011	0.005	0.021 -13.182	0.000			•		
2019-2022	Bunduki et al	0.038	0.014	0.097 -6.334	0.000					
2019-2022	Alfouzan et al	0.010	0.006	0.016 -17.012	0.000					
2019-2022	Tomezyk Warunek et al	0.013	0.009	0.018 -24.146	0.000					1
2019-2022	romezyk-warunek et al	0.014	0.008	0.025 -14.583	0.000			•		
Overall		0.020	0.015	0.026 -27.961	0.000			👘 📔 🍝		

Figure 4. The forest plot shows the subgroup analysis of the pooled incidence of urinary tract infections among patients based on the survey period, 2022.

system of the included countries as well as variations in the implementation of safety practices in the health facilities.

In general, the current study revealed that there is a variation incidence of urinary tract infection across the world, with the highest incidence reported in African regions. The finding indicates that there is a need to implement safety measures, particularly in low and middle-income countries such as the African Region, to maintain the health and safety of patients. Furthermore, strengthening the healthcare systems and of the countries in the World Health Organization's African region is of paramount importance and can be achieved by educating and providing training to healthcare providers to enhance their skills.⁷⁰

Strength and Limitations

The current study employed multiple search strategies and standard tools for quality assessment and evaluation tools to reduce bias. This study included studies conducted at any time and all over the world. Furthermore, this study was conducted based on the PRISMA protocol.

However, there was an unequal distribution of the studies across the world as a result of limited articles. Furthermore, the incidence of urinary tract infection in many countries of the world were not included because of the lack of studies that met the eligibility criteria. The differences in the surveillance systems may lead to variation in incidence of UTI. As a result of variation in the unit of measurement employed, the authors could not able to determine the risk factors

Group by WHO Region	Study name	Statistics for each study					Event rate and 95% Cl			
WHO Region		Event rate	Lower limit	Upper limit Z-Value	e p-Value					
AfR	Ahoyo et al	0.111	0.100	0.122 -36.565	0.000	1		1		1
AfR	Bunduki et al	0.038	0.014	0.097 -6.334	0.000					
AfR	Labi et al	0.016	0.012	0.022 -23.760	0.000					
AfR	Motbainor et al	0.021	0.009	0.049 -8.499	0.000					
AfR	Nouetchognou et al	0.039	0.022	0.068 -10.874	0.000					
AfR		0.036	0.012	0.103 -5.766	0.000					
AmR	Izquierdo-Cubas et al	0.005	0.003	0.008 -24.311	0.000				_	
AmR	Nash et al	0.060	0.056	0.064 -71.220	0.000					
AmR	Durlach et al	0.031	0.026	0.037 -38.889	0.000					
AmR	Magill et al	0.011	0.006	0.020 -13.552	0.000					
AmR		0.019	0.008	0.043 -9.066	0.000					
EMR	Balkhy et al	0.020	0.011	0.035 -12.857	0.000					
EMR	Askarian et al	0.014	0.011	0.019 -29.361	0.000					
EMR	Ghali et al	0.023	0.018	0.029 -29.275	0.000					
EMR	Alfouzan et al	0.010	0.006	0.016 -17.012	0.000					
EMR	Jiang et al	0.003	0.002	0.004 -36.332	0.000					
EMR		0.011	0.005	0.025 -10.575	0.000					
EuR	Pittet et al	0.029	0.021	0.039 -21.625	0.000					
EuR	Girard et al	0.077	0.051	0.114 -11.198	0.000					
EuR	Esen and Leblebicioglu	0.157	0.116	0.209 -9.397	0.000					
EuR	Faria et al	0.063	0.049	0.080 -20.407	0.000					
EuR	Zottia et al	0.045	0.041	0.049 -61.622	0.000					
EuR	Gentili et al	0.011	0.008	0.014 -36.858	0.000					
EuR	Miihlemann et al	0.014	0.006	0.028 -11.293	0.000					
EuR	Ko?pa et al	0.029	0.022	0.038 -25.334	0.000					
EuR	Vandael et al	0.017	0.014	0.019 -56.566	0.000					
EuR	Arefian et al	0.008	0.007	0.008-105.485	0.000					
EuR	Tasbakan et al	0.018	0.017	0.020 -86.836	0.000					
EuR	Custovic et al	0.018	0.011	0.030 -15.355	0.000					
EuR	Tomczyk-Warunek et al	0.013	0.009	0.018 -24.146	0.000					
EuR	1011102911 (Caronion of an	0.026	0.017	0.041 -15.256	0.000					
SEAR	Danchaivijitr et al	0.016	0.014	0.019 -51.334	0.000					_
SEAR	Shrestha et al	0.160	0.123	0.206 -10.529	0.000					
SEAR	Sahu et al	0.003	0.002	0.005 -26.865	0.000					
SEAR		0.021	0.003	0.130 -3.884	0.000					
WPR	Huang et al	0.002	0.001	0.003 -22.356	0.000					
WPR	Lee et al	0.008	0.004	0.016 -13.721	0.000			-		
WPR	Zhao et al	0.000	0.000	0.000 -46.612	0.000					
WPR	Russo et al	0.024	0.019	0.030 -29.831	0.000					
WPR	Zhang et ala	0.005	0.003	0.008 -23.985	0.000					
WPR	Zhang et al	0.005	0.005	0.005-291.652	0.000					
WPR	Wang et al	0.020	0.014	0.029 -19.997	0.000					
WPR	Heydarpou et al	0.002	0.001	0.004 -22.200	0.000					
WPR		0.004	0.002	0.010 -12.243	0.000					
Overall		0.018	0.013	0.024 -24.671	0.000					
						0.20	0.10	0.00	0.10	0.2

Figure 5. The forest plot shows the subgroup analysis of the pooled incidence of urinary tract infections among patients based on World Health Organization's Region of the world, 2022. ArR = African Region; AmR = American Region; EMR = Eastern Mediterranean Region; SEAR = South East Asian Region; WPR = Western Pacific Region; EuR = European Region.

Table 2. Sensitivity Analysis Based on Sample Size and StudyOutcomes Expected to Effect the Pooled incidence of UrinaryTract Infection.

Criteria	Pooled incidence	P-value
After dropping one lowest outcome	1.8 (95% CI: 1.2, 2.7)	<.001
After dropping one small sample size	1.5 (95% Cl: 1.0, 2.4)	<.001
After dropping three largest outcomes	1.3 (95% CI: 0.9, 1.9)	<.001
After dropping two largest sample size	1.7 (95% Cl: 1.2, 2.4)	<.001

associated with the incidence of urinary tract infection. Furthermore, as a result of limited studies (systematic review and meta-analysis) conducted on the incidence of urinary tract infection, we can't able to compare the current findings with other findings adequately.

Conclusions

This study revealed the overall pooled incidence of urinary tract infection was 1.6%. Urinary tract infection estimates varied among the WHO's region of the world. However, the highest incidence was observed in African regions, which

accounted for 3.7%. This indicates that there is a need to implement safety measures, including interventions for urinary tract infection to reduce the health affect posed by urinary tract infection and to improve patient safety.

Authors' Contributions

DAM conceived the idea and had a major role in the review, extraction, and analysis of the data, writing, drafting, and editing of the manuscript. AA, AA, AM, BM, and FA have contributed to data extraction, analysis, and editing. Finally, the authors (DAM, AA, AA, AM, BM, and FA) read and approved the final version of the manuscript to be published and agreed on all aspects of this work.

Declaration of Conflicting Interests

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Funding

The author(s) received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Ethics Approval and Consent to Participate

Not applicable.

Consent for Publication

Not applicable.

Data Availability

Almost all data are included in this study. However, some data may be available from the corresponding authors on reasonable request.

ORCID iDs

Dechasa Adare Mengistu D https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0076-5586 Abdi Amin Abdukadir D https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0539-4059

References

- World Health Organization (WHO). Report on the burden of endemic health care-associated infection worldwide. World Health Organization. 2011. Accessed February 30, 2021. http:// apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/80135/1/9789241501507_ eng.pdf
- Auta A, Adewuyi EO, Tor-Anyiin A, et al. Global prevalence of percutaneous injuries among healthcare workers: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int J Epidemiol.* 2018;47(6):1972-1980.
- World Health Organization (WHO). Global guidelines on the prevention of surgical site infection, 2016. Accessed November 26, 2021. https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/han dle/10665/250680/9789241549882-eng.pdf?sequence=8
- World Health Organization. Prévention des infections nosocomiales: guide pratique. Organisation mondiale de la Santé. 2008.
- Garner JS, Jarvis WR, Emori TG, Horan TC, Hughes JM. CDC definitions for nosocomial infections, 1988. *Am J Infect Control*. 1988;16(3):128-140.

- Jarvis WR. Selected aspects of the socioeconomic impact of nosocomial infections: morbidity, mortality, cost, and prevention. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol*. 1996;17(8):552-557.
- Promotion OoDPaH. Why are healthcare-associated infections important? 2020. Accessed January 11, 2022. https://www. healthypeople.gov/2020/topics-objectives/topic/healthcareassociated-infections%0AGoal
- Prevention CfDCa. Healthcare-Associated Infections (HAIs). 2020. https://www.healthypeople.gov/2020/topics-objectives/ topic/healthcare-associated-infections%0AGoal
- 9. World Health Organization ROfS-EAaR PO. Infection control practices. SEARO Regional Publication. 2004.
- Trautner BW. Management of catheter-associated urinary tract infection. *Curr Opin Infect Dis.* 2010;23(1):76-82.
- Mohajeri P, Darfarin G, Farahani A. Genotyping of ESBL producing Uropathogenic *Escherichia coli* in west of Iran. *Int J Microbiol*. 2014;2014:1-6.
- Melzer M, Petersen I. Mortality following bacteraemic infection caused by extended spectrum beta-lactamase (ESBL) producing E. coli compared to non-ESBL producing E. coli. *Infect* J. 2007;55(3):254-259.
- FarajzadehSheikh A, Veisi H, Shahin M, Getso M, Farahani A. Frequency of quinolone resistance genes among extendedspectrum β-lactamase (ESBL)-producing Escherichia coli strains isolated from urinary tract infections. *Trop Med Health*. 2019;47(1):7.
- Gould CV, Umscheid CA, Agarwal RK, Kuntz G, Pegues DA. Guideline for prevention of catheter-associated urinary tract infections 2009. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol*. 2010;31(4):319-326.
- Meddings J, Rogers MAM, Krein SL, Fakih MG, Olmsted RN, Saint S. Reducing unnecessary urinary catheter use and other strategies to prevent catheter-associated urinary tract infection: an integrative review. *BMJ Qual Saf.* 2014;23(4):277-289.
- Allegranzi Nejad B, Castillejos G, Kilpatrick CK, Mathai E. Report on the burden of endemic health care-associated infection worldwide: A systematic review of the literature. World Health Organization. 2011. http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/1 0665/80135/1/9789241501507eng.pdf
- Magill SS, Edwards JR, Bamberg W, et al. Multistate pointprevalence survey of health care–associated infections. *New Engl J Med.* 2014;370(13):1198-1208.
- Elvy J, Colville A. Catheter associated urinary tract infection: what is it, what causes it and how can we prevent it? *J Infect Prev.* 2009;10(2):36-41.
- Waskiewicz A, Alexis O, Cross D. Supporting patients with long-term catheterisation to reduce risk of catheter-associated urinary tract infection. *Br J Nurs*. 2019;28(9):S4-S17.
- Kwak YG, Choi JY, Yoo H, et al. Korean nosocomial infections surveillance system, Intensive Care Unit Module Report: Summary of data from July 2013 through June 2014. *Korean J Nosocomial Infect Control*. 2015;20(2):49-60.
- Temiz E, Piskin N, Aydemir H, et al. Factors associated with catheter-associated urinary tract infections and the effects of other concomitant nosocomial infections in intensive care units. *Scand J Infect Dis.* 2012;44(5):344-349.
- 22. Lee SWH, Chan EMC, Lai YK. The global burden of lower urinary tract symptoms suggestive of benign prostatic hyperplasia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Sci Rep.* 2017;7(1):1-0.

- Meddings J, Rogers M, Macy M, Saint S. Systematic review and meta-analysis: reminder systems to reduce catheter-associated urinary tract infections and urinary catheter use in hospitalized patients. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2010;51(5):550-560.
- 24. Li F, Song M, Xu L, Deng B, Zhu S, Li X. Risk factors for catheter-associated urinary tract infection among hospitalized patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis of observational studies. J Adv Nurs. 2019;75(3):517-527.
- Ling ML, Apisarnthanarak A, Madriaga G. The burden of healthcare-associated infections in Southeast Asia: a systematic literature review and meta-analysis. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2015;60(11):1690-1699.
- Peng D, Li X, Liu P, et al. Epidemiology of pathogens and antimicrobial resistanceof catheter-associated urinary tract infections in intensivecare units: a systematic review and metaanalysis. *Am J Infect Control*. 2018;46(12):e81-e90.
- 27. Bryce A, Hay AD, Lane IF, Thornton HV, Wootton M, Costelloe C. Global prevalence of antibiotic resistance in paediatric urinary tract infections caused by *Escherichia coli* and association with routine use of antibiotics in primary care: systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ*. 2016;i939.
- Moher D, Shamseer L, Clarke M, et al. Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015 statement. *Syst Rev.* 2015;4(1):1-9.
- JBI. The Joanna Briggs Institute. Critical appraisal tools for use in the JBI systematic reviews checklist for prevalence studies: The University of Adelaide. 2019. https://joannabriggs.org/ sites/default/files/2019-05/JBI_Critical_AppraisalChecklist_ for_Prevalence_Studies2017_0.pdf
- Ades AE, Lu G, Higgins JPT. The interpretation of randomeffects meta-analysis in decision models. *Med Decis Making*. 2005;25(6):646-654.
- Bunduki GK, Feasey N, Henrion MYR, Noah P, Musaya J. Healthcare-associated infections and antimicrobial use in surgical wards of a large urban central hospital in Blantyre, Malawi: a point prevalence survey. *Infect Prev Pract*. 2021;3(3):100163.
- 32. Zhang Y, Du M, Johnston JM, et al. Incidence of healthcareassociated infections in a tertiary hospital in Beijing, China: results from a real-time surveillance system. *Antimicrob Resist Infect Control.* 2019;8(1):1-9.
- Huang G, Huang Q, Zhang G, Jiang H, Lin Z. Point-prevalence surveys of hospital-acquired infections in a Chinese cancer hospital: from 2014 to 2018. *J Infect Public Health*. 2020;13(12):1981-1987.
- Lee MK, Chiu CS, Chow VC, Lam RK, Lai RW. Prevalence of hospital infection and antibiotic use at a university medical center in Hong Kong. *J Hosp Infect*. 2007;65(4):341-347.
- 35. Zhao X, Wang L, Wei N, et al. Epidemiological and clinical characteristics of healthcare-associated infection in elderly patients in a large Chinese tertiary hospital: a 3-year surveillance study. *BMC Infect Dis.* 2020;20(1):1-7.
- Zhang Y, Zhang J, Wei D, Yang Z, Wang Y, Yao Z. Annual surveys for point-prevalence of healthcare-associated infection in a tertiary hospital in Beijing, China, 2012-2014. *BMC Infect Dis.* 2016;16(1):1-7.
- 37. Wang L, Zhou KH, Chen W, Yu Y, Feng SF. Epidemiology and risk factors for nosocomial infection in the respiratory intensive care unit of a teaching hospital in China: a prospective surveil-

lance during 2013 and 2015. BMC Infect Dis. 2019;19(1):145-149.

- Jiang AM, Liu N, Ali Said R, et al. Nosocomial infections ingastrointestinal cancer patients: bacterial profile, antibiotic resistance pattern, and prognostic factors. *Cancer Manag Res.* 2020;12(12):4969-4979.
- Pittet D, Harbarth S, Ruef C, et al. Prevalence and risk factors for nosocomial infections in four university hospitals in Switzerland. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol.* 1999;20(1): 37-42.
- Mühlemann K, Franzini C, Aebi C, et al. Prevalence of nosocomial infections in swiss children's hospitals. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol*. 2004;25(9):765-771. doi:10.1086/502474
- Nash MC, Strom JA, Pathak EB. Prevalence of major infections and adverse outcomes among hospitalized. ST-elevation myocardial infarction patients in Florida, 2006. *BMC Cardiovasc Disord*. 2011;11(1):69-78.
- Magill SS, Hellinger W, Cohen J, et al. Prevalence of healthcareassociated infections in acute care hospitals in Jacksonville, florida. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol*. 2012;33(3):283-291.
- Esen S, Leblebicioglu H; Study Group. Prevalence of nosocomial infections at intensive care units in Turkey: a multicentre 1-day point prevalence study. *Scand J Infect Dis.* 2004;36(2):144-148.
- Isikgoz Tasbakan M, Durusoy R, et al. Hospital-acquired urinary tract infection point prevalence in Turkey: differences in risk factors among patient groups. *Ann Clin Microbiol Antimicrob.* 2013;12(1):31-38.
- Askarian M, Yadollahi M, Assadian O. Point prevalence and risk factors of hospital acquired infections in a cluster of university-affiliated hospitals in Shiraz, Iran. J Infect Public Health. 2012;5(2):169-176.
- Heydarpour F, Rahmani Y, Heydarpour B, Asadmobini A. Nosocomial infections and antibiotic resistance pattern in openheart surgery patients at Imam Ali Hospital in Kermanshah, Iran. *GMS Hyg Infect Control*. 2017;12:Doc07.
- Zotti CM, Messori Ioli G, Charrier L, et al. Hospital-acquired infections in Italy: a region wide prevalence study. J Hosp Infect. 2004;56(2):142-149.
- Gentili A, Di Pumpo M, La Milia DI, et al. A six-year point prevalence survey of healthcare-associated infections in an Italian teaching acute care hospital. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2020;17(21):7724.
- 49. Kołpa M, Wałaszek M, Gniadek A, Wolak Z, Dobroś W. Incidence, microbiological profile and risk factors of healthcare-associated infections in intensive care units: a 10 year observation in a provincial hospital in southern Poland. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2018;15(1):112.
- Tomczyk-Warunek A, Blicharski T, Blicharski R, et al. Retrospective study of nosocomial infections in the orthopaedic and Rehabilitation Clinic of the Medical University of Lublin in the years 2018-2020. J Clin Med. 2021;10(14):3179.
- Ahoyo TA, Bankolé HS, Adéoti FM, et al. Prevalence of nosocomial infections and anti-infective therapy in benin: results of the first nationwide survey in 2012. *Antimicrob Resist Infect Control.* 2014;3(1):17-26.
- 52. Girard R, Mazoyer MA, Plauchu MM, Rode G. High prevalence of nosocomial infections in rehabilitation units accounted

for by urinary tract infections in patients with spinal cord injury. *J Hosp Infect*. 2006;62(4):473-479.

- Izquierdo-Cubas F, Zambrano A, Frómeta I, et al. National prevalence of nosocomial infections. cuba 2004. *J Hosp Infect*. 2008;68(3):234-240.
- Danchaivijitr S, Judaeng T, Sripalakij S, Naksawas K, Plipat T. Prevalence of nosocomial infection in Thailand 2006. *J Med Assoc Thai*. 2007;90(8):1524.
- 55. Faria S, Sodano L, Gjata A, et al. The first prevalence survey of nosocomial infections in the University Hospital Centre 'Mother Teresa' of Tirana, Albania. J Hosp Infect. 2007;65(3):244-250.
- Balkhy HH, Cunningham G, Chew FK, et al. Hospital- and community-acquired infections: a point prevalence and risk factors survey in a tertiary care center in Saudi Arabia. *Int J Infect Dis.* 2006;10(4):326-333.
- Labi AK, Obeng-Nkrumah N, Owusu E, et al. Multi-centre point-prevalence survey of hospital-acquired infections in Ghana. J Hosp Infect. 2019;101(1):60-68.
- Durlach R, McIlvenny G, Newcombe RG, et al. Prevalence survey of healthcare-associated infections in Argentina; comparison with England, Wales, Northern Ireland and South Africa. J Hosp Infect. 2012;80(3):217-223.
- Motbainor H, Bereded F, Mulu W. Multi-drug resistance of blood stream, urinary tract and surgical site nosocomial infections of Acinetobacter baumannii and Pseudomonas aeruginosa among patients hospitalized at felegehiwot referral hospital, Northwest Ethiopia: a cross-sectional study. *BMC Infect Dis.* 2020;20(1):92-101.
- Ghali H, Ben Cheikh A, Bhiri S, Khefacha S, Latiri HS, Ben Rejeb M. Trends of healthcare-associated infections in a Tuinisian University Hospital and impact of COVID-19 Pandemic. *INQUIRY*. 2021;58:00469580211067930.
- Vandael E, Latour K, Goossens H, et al. Point prevalence survey of antimicrobial use and healthcare-associated infections in Belgian acute care hospitals: results of the Global-pps and ECDC-PPS 2017. *Antimicrob Resist Infect Control.* 2020;9(1):13-3.

- 62. Shrestha SK, Trotter A, Shrestha PK. Epidemiology and risk factors of healthcare-associated infections in critically ill patients in a Tertiary Care Teaching Hospital in Nepal: a prospective cohort study. *Infect Dis Res Treat*. 2022;15:11786337211071120.
- 63. Alfouzan W, Dhar R, Abdo NM, Alali WQ, Rabaan AA. Epidemiology and microbiological profile of common healthcare associated infections among patients in the intensive care unit of a general hospital in Kuwait: a retrospective observational study. *J Epidemiol Glob Health*. 2021;11(3):302-309.
- 64. Arefian H, Hagel S, Fischer D, et al. Estimating extra length of stay due to healthcare-associated infections before and after implementation of a hospital-wide infection control program. *PLoS One.* 2019;14(5):e0217159.
- 65. Russo PL, Stewardson AJ, Cheng AC, Bucknall T, Mitchell BG. The prevalence of healthcare associated infections among adult inpatients at nineteen large Australian acute-care public hospitals: a point prevalence survey. *Antimicrob Resist Infect Control.* 2019;8(1):114-118.
- Custovic A, Smajlovic J, Hadzic S, Ahmetagic S, Tihic N, Hadzagic H. Epidemiological surveillance of bacterial nosocomial infections in the surgical intensive care unit. *Mater Sociomed*. 2014;26(1):7-11.
- 67. Sahu M, Siddharth B, Choudhury A, et al. Incidence, microbiological profile of nosocomial infections, and their antibiotic resistance patterns in a high volume Cardiac Surgical Intensive Care Unit. *Ann Card Anaesth*. 2016;19(2):281.
- Nouetchognou JS, Ateudjieu J, Jemea B, Mesumbe EN, Mbanya D. Surveillance of nosocomial infections in the Yaounde University Teaching Hospital, Cameroon. *BMC Res Notes*. 2016;9(1):505-508.
- 69. Strasheim W, Kock MM, Ueckermann V, Hoosien E, Dreyer AW, Ehlers MM. Surveillance of catheter-related infections: the supplementary role of the microbiology laboratory. *BMC Infect Dis.* 2015;15(1):1-0.
- Danwang C, Bigna JJ, Tochie JN, et al. Global incidence of surgical site infection after appendectomy: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ Open*. 2020;10(2):e034266.