<span id="page-0-0"></span>

[pubs.acs.org/est](pubs.acs.org/est?ref=pdf) Article Article

# Reaction of Fe<sub>aq</sub><sup>II</sup> with Peroxymonosulfate and Peroxydisulfate in **the Presence of Bicarbonate: Formation of Feaq IV and Carbonate Radical Anions**

Aswin [Kottapurath](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Aswin+Kottapurath+Vijay"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) Vijay, Vered [Marks,](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Vered+Marks"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) Amir [Mizrahi,](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Amir+Mizrahi"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) [Yinghao](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Yinghao+Wen"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) Wen, [Xingmao](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Xingmao+Ma"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) Ma, [Virender](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Virender+K.+Sharma"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) K. Sharma, and Dan [Meyerstein](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Dan+Meyerstein"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf)[\\*](#page-8-0)



ABSTRACT: Many advanced oxidation processes (AOPs) use Fenton-like reactions to degrade organic pollutants by activating peroxymonosulfate (HSO<sub>5</sub><sup>-</sup>, PMS) or peroxydisulfate (S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>8</sub><sup>2-</sup>, PDS) with Fe(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>6</sub><sup>2+</sup> (Fe<sub>aq</sub><sup>II</sup>). This paper presents results on the kinetics and mechanisms of reactions between  $\text{Fe}_{\text{aq}}^{\text{II}}$  and PMS or PDS in the absence and presence of bicarbonate  $(HCO_3^-)$  at different pH. In the absence of  $HCO_3^-$ ,  $Fe_{aq}^{\text{IV}}$ , rather than the commonly assumed  $SO_4^{\bullet-}$ , is the dominant oxidizing species. Multianalytical methods verified the selective conversion of dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) and phenyl methyl sulfoxide (PMSO) to dimethyl sulfone  $(DMSO<sub>2</sub>)$  and phenyl methyl sulfone  $(PMSO<sub>2</sub>)$ , respectively, confirming the generation of Fe<sub>aq</sub><sup>IV</sup> by the Fe<sub>aq</sub><sup>II</sup>-PMS/PDS systems without HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>. Significantly, in the presence of environmentally relevant concentrations of  $\text{HCO}_3^-$ , a carbonate radical anion  $(\text{CO}_3^{\bullet -})$  becomes the dominant reactive



species as confirmed by the electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) analysis. The new findings suggest that the mechanisms of the persulfate-based Fenton-like reactions in natural environments might differ remarkably from those obtained in ideal conditions. Using sulfonamide antibiotics (sulfamethoxazole (SMX) and sulfadimethoxine (SDM)) as model contaminants, our study further demonstrated the different reactivities of Fe $_{aq}^{\rm IV}$  and CO<sub>3</sub> $^{\bullet-}$  in the Fe $_{aq}^{\rm II}$ -PMS/PDS systems. The results shed significant light on advancing the persulfate-based AOPs to oxidize pollutants in natural water.

KEYWORDS: *advanced oxidation processes, carbonate radical anion, fenton-like reactions, ferryl ion, persulfate radical anion*

## ■ **INTRODUCTION**

The increasing diversity and concentrations of organic contaminants in the environment have become a growing concern in past few decades.<sup>[1,2](#page-8-0)</sup> Many of these contaminants are recalcitrant and persistent, threatening the health of the ecosystem and human beings.<sup>[3](#page-8-0)</sup> Advanced oxidation processes (AOPs) are treatment technologies that utilize reactive species (e.g., hydroxyl radicals (OH• ) and sulfate radical anions  $(SO_4^{\bullet-})$ ) to break down organic contaminants in water.<sup>4-[6](#page-8-0)</sup> The redox potentials of OH $^{\bullet}$  and  ${SO_4}^{\bullet-}$  are in the ranges of +1.9 to 2.7 V<sub>NHE</sub> and +2.4 ( $E^0$  (SO<sub>4</sub><sup>•-</sup>/SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> V<sub>NHE</sub>)), respectively, and can effectively oxidize many contaminants in water.<sup>[7](#page-8-0)-[9](#page-8-0)</sup> The  $SO_4^{\bullet-}$ -based AOPs have attracted greater attention in recent years because of its longer lifetime (30−40  $\mu$ s) compared to that of OH<sup>•</sup> (<1  $\mu$ s).<sup>10,[11](#page-8-0)</sup> In addition, SO<sub>4</sub><sup>•–</sup> can be applied over a wider pH range and is more selective and has lower reactivity than OH• toward interfering natural organic matter in water.<sup>[12,13](#page-8-0)</sup> SO<sub>4</sub><sup>•–</sup> are usually produced by activating peroxymonosulfate (PMS, HSO<sub>5</sub><sup>-</sup>) or peroxydisulfate (PDS,  $S_2O_8^2$ <sup>2</sup>) using ultraviolet or visible-light irradiation, carbonaceous materials, and transition metals.<sup>[6,14](#page-8-0)–[20](#page-9-0)</sup> Among

metal activators, iron(II) in water  $(Fe(H_2O)_6^{2+})$  is attractive because it is environmentally friendly and a number of studies have already demonstrated its efficacy in activating PMS and PDS.<sup>[21](#page-9-0)−[25](#page-9-0)</sup> It was also shown that the Fenton reaction always proceeds via inner-sphere complexation due to thermodynamic reasons.[26](#page-9-0)−[28](#page-9-0) It is generally assumed that the reactive-oxidizing species in these systems are formed via the following reactions (reactions 1 and  $2$ ).<sup>29–3</sup>

$$
Fe(H_2O)_6^{2+} + HSO_5^- \to Fe^{III}_{aq} + SO_4^{\bullet-}
$$
 (1)

$$
Fe(H_2O)_6^{2+} + S_2O_8^{2-} \rightarrow Fe^{III}_{aq} + SO_4^{•-} + SO_4^{2-} \qquad (2)
$$

where  $\text{Fe}_{\text{aq}}^{\text{III}}$  is used as a general term to represent all  $\text{Fe}_{\text{ex}}^{\text{III}}$ species in water, which changes with the pH and Fe<sup>III</sup>

Received: January 7, 2023 Revised: March 22, 2023 Accepted: March 22, 2023 Published: April 13, 2023





concentration. In the last few years, however, studies are suggesting that the active product of [reactions](#page-0-0) 1 and [2](#page-0-0) in the pH range 3.0–9.0 is  $\text{Fe}_{\text{aq}}^{\text{TV}}$ , instead of SO<sub>4</sub><sup>•-[22,23](#page-9-0)</sup> A more recent study on the degradation kinetics of organic contaminants using the  $Fe(II)/PMS$  processes suggested that both  $[Fe_{aq}^{\text{IV}}]$  and  $SO_4^{\bullet-}$  are involved in oxidizing contaminants.[35](#page-9-0) The discrepancies in the literature on the reactive species in the  $Fe(II)/PMS$  system prompted us to revisit the involved reactive species in the reactions of Fe(II) with PMS and PDS in neutral pH.

Notably, investigations on Fe(II)/PMS and Fe(II)/PDS systems in the literature rarely considered the possible effect of ubiquitous bicarbonate ion  $(HCO_3^-)$  at environmentally relevant concentrations as the solubility of  $CaCO<sub>3</sub>$  at pH 7.0 is 3.0 mM. While  $HCO_3^-/CO_3^{2-}$  is generally considered only as a buffer or a proton transfer agent, recent results suggest that  $HCO_3^-/CO_3^{2-}$  are involved in a variety of important catalytic oxidation processes.<sup>[36](#page-9-0)–[38](#page-9-0)</sup> Thus, studying the role of HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>/  $CO_3^2$  $CO_3^2$ <sup>-</sup> on [reactions](#page-0-0) 1 and 2 is thus important. In particular, a recent study<sup>[39](#page-9-0)</sup> showed that the Fenton reaction (i.e.,  $\text{Fe}(H_2O)_6^{2+} + H_2O_2$ ) in the presence of bicarbonate yields a substantial amount of carbonate radical anions,  $CO_3$ <sup>\*-</sup>, rather than OH<sup>•</sup> or  $\text{Fe}^{\text{IV}}=\text{O}_{\text{aq}}^{2+}$  (Fe<sub>aq</sub><sup>IV</sup>) as commonly assumed. The same conclusion was obtained for the Fenton-like reaction in the presence of citrate.<sup>[40](#page-9-0)</sup> Significantly, the relative redox potentials of the  $CO_3^{\bullet -}/CO_3^{\tilde{2}-}$  and the  $(OH^{\bullet} + H^{\dagger})/H_2O$ couples also suggest the formation of  $CO_3$ <sup>+</sup> and not OH<sup>•</sup> in the presence of  $HCO<sub>3</sub>$ . Thus, the Fenton<sup>[39](#page-9-0)</sup> and Fenton-like reactions<sup>[40,41](#page-9-0)</sup> in the presence of HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> may yield  $CO_3$ <sup>\*-</sup> anion radicals via reactions between OH $_{a}^{\bullet}$  and  $HCO_{3}^{-1.2}$ 

The redox potential of the  $\text{CO}_3^{\bullet-}/\text{CO}_3^{\,2-}$  couple is only 1.57 V vs NHE,<sup>[43,44](#page-9-0)</sup> much lower than that of  $SO_4^{\bullet-}$  or  $OH^{\bullet}$  even though the redox potential for the  $(CO_3^{\bullet-} + H^+)/HCO_3^$ couple may be somewhat higher due to reaction 3 but remains lower than that of  $SO_4^{\bullet-}$  or  $OH^{\bullet}$ .

$$
HCO_3^- \rightleftharpoons CO_3^{2-} + H^+, K = 5.01 \times 10^{-11} M \tag{3}
$$

Consequently, the formation of  $CO<sub>3</sub>$ <sup>\*-</sup> in the AOPs may have major ramifications because it is a weaker oxidant than  ${SO_4}^{\bullet-}$ or OH• . However, its lifetime is orders of magnitude longer than that of OH<sup>•</sup>.<sup>[45](#page-9-0)</sup> Furthermore,  $CO<sub>3</sub>$ <sup> $-$ </sup> is considerably more selective<sup>46</sup> and reacts via the inner-sphere mechanism in most systems.<sup>[43,47](#page-9-0)</sup> The  $HCO_3^-$  present in the Fe(II)/PMS or Fe(II)/PDS systems may thus decrease the effectiveness of the system to oxidize pollutants in water.

The aims of the present study were to (i) demonstrate unequivocally the formation of  $\mathrm{Fe}_{\mathrm{aq}}^{\mathrm{IV}}$  in the reactions of  $\mathrm{Fe(II)}$ and PMS or PDS in the absence of interfering chemicals, (ii) verify the generation of carbonate radical anions in the presence of bicarbonate in neutral solutions by investigating the kinetics and mechanisms of reactions of  $\mathrm{Fe_{aq}}^\mathrm{II}$  with PMS/ PDS under different conditions, and (iii) assess the implications of the newly confirmed mechanisms for the degradation of environmental pollutants with sulfonamides (sulfamethoxazole (SMX) and sulfadimethoxine (SDM)) as model pollutants.

#### ■ **EXPERIMENTAL METHODS**

**Materials.** All chemicals were of analytical grades and were used without further purification. Iron(II) perchlorate, potassium peroxymonosulfate, potassium peroxydisulfate, sodium bicarbonate, NaOH, and perchloric acid were acquired

from Sigma-Aldrich (Rehovot, Israel). 2-(*N*-morpholino) ethanesulfonic acid (MES) was obtained from Chem-Impex Int'l Inc. Dimethyl sulfoxide was purchased from TCI. Deuterium oxide  $(D_2O)$  was bought from Tzamal D-Chem Laboratories Ltd. Sulfamethoxazole (SMX, 98%) and phenyl methyl sulfoxide (PMSO, >98.0%) were purchased from Thermo Fisher Scientific (Waltham). Sulfadimethoxine (SDM, >98.0%) was acquired from TCI America (Portland). Waters Oasis HLB cartridges (WAT106202, 6 cc/200 mg) were obtained from Waters (Milford).

**Kinetics Study.** Most of the experiments were conducted in a near-neutral pH by using a 0.60 mM 2-(*N*-morpholino) ethanesulfonic acid (MES) buffer solution, a non-coordinating tertiary-amine buffer with a  $pK<sub>a</sub>$  of 6.06. The  $pH$  was adjusted to 7.40  $\pm$  0.05 using NaOH. The pH measurements were made using a Schott Instrument Lab 850 pH meter. The kinetic studies by varying the pH (using NaOH and  $HClO<sub>4</sub>$ ) of the solutions were also carried out. Stock solutions of both PMS and PDS (2.0 mM) were prepared in water. Stock solutions of iron(II) perchlorate (5.0 mM) in buffered Milli-Q  $H<sub>2</sub>O$  (Millipore) were made. The exact amount of iron(II) perchlorate crystals was added to the argon-saturated buffered solution while argon purging was running to avoid any contact of iron(II) with oxygen. All of the solutions were purged with argon in glass syringes during the preparation and before carrying out kinetic studies.

The kinetic measurements were performed using a stoppedflow SX20 from Applied Photophysics Ltd., equipped with a xenon arc lamp light source of 150 W. The optical path length of the measuring cuvette was 2.0 mm. All measurements were carried out under an argon atmosphere at  $25 \pm 0.10$  °C. The solutions were injected into a mixing chamber (1:1), and the resulting mixture (here, Fe<sup>II</sup>aq and PMS or PDS (with or without bicarbonate)) traveled to an optical cell, where the change in the absorbance with time was measured. Thus, the pH in the kinetic runs was always pH 7.40  $\pm$  0.05. The concentrations mentioned in the study are those in the final solutions. Single-wavelength kinetics data were collected at 270 nm to determine the rates of reactions. The experiments were repeated at least five times to assess the reproducibility.

Several difficulties arose in the study of the effect of  $[\mathrm{HCO}_{3}^{-}]$  on the reaction rate. At pH 7.40,  $\mathrm{CO}_2$  is also present in the solution. Removing the  $O_2$  by bubbling with an inert gas also drives  $CO_2$  out of the solution and decreases the  $HCO_3^$ concentration considerably. To overcome this problem, the argon gas was passed through a gas washing bottle containing a solution of  $HCO_3^-$  at the same concentration. This method and its effectiveness were previously reported.<sup>[39](#page-9-0)</sup>

**Reactive Species Measurements.** Different analytical approaches were applied to determine reactive species involved in the studied system. DMSO  $((CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>SO)$  reacts with Fe<sup>IV</sup>=  $O_{\text{ao}}$  by oxygen atom transfer forming dimethyl sulfone,  $\left(\text{CH}_3\right)_2\text{SO}_2$ <sup>48</sup> while OH<sup>•</sup> generates methyl-sulfinic acid (CH3SOOH) and a mixture of methane and ethane (via methyl radicals).<sup>[49](#page-9-0)</sup> DMSO was added to the solutions, and the products formed by the oxidation of DMSO via the Fentonlike reactions were measured in the absence and presence of bicarbonate. The different products were identified by nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy  $(^1\mathrm{H}$  NMR) and gas chromatography (GC). Specifically, <sup>1</sup>H NMR measurements were performed on a 400 MHz Bruker Avance spectrometer. All samples were dissolved in solutions of  $H_2O(90\%)/D_2O$ (10%), and the NMR experiments were performed at 300 K.

<span id="page-2-0"></span>

Figure 1. Dependence of  $k_{obs}$  at a constant concentration of  $HCO_3^-$  on the concentration of peroxymonosulfate (PMS) and peroxydisulfate (PDS) at pH 7.4. (A) PMS (HSO<sub>5</sub><sup>-</sup>). [Fe<sub>aq</sub><sup>II</sup>] = 0.020 mM, [HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>] = 0.30 mM. (B) PDS (S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>8</sub><sup>2</sup><sup>-</sup>). [Fe<sub>aq</sub><sup>II</sup>] = 0.10 mM, [HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>] = 4.0 mM. Percentage of error  $= \pm 10$ .

The GC determination of methane and ethane was performed using an Agilent 7890B GC System with FID and TCD detectors and a GS Gaspro column.

As the concentrations of the reaction products in the stopped-flow experiments are too low to measure by the NMR method, the reactions were performed at higher concentrations. In this set of experiments, DMSO (25 mM) was added to the iron(II) solutions at the end of the preparations and the syringe was closed. Concentrated sodium bicarbonate solutions were prepared and injected into diluted PMS or PDS solutions in MES, pH ∼6.1, to form solutions containing the desired concentrations. Then, the pH was set to the required pH of 7.40 by adding NaOH or  $HClO<sub>4</sub>$  as required. All stock solutions were prepared fresh prior to each set of experiments. Phenyl methyl sulfoxide (PMSO) was also used to probe the formation of  $Fe_{aq}^{IV}$  in each treatment. Under the same condition as listed above, 20.0 and 200.0 *μ*M PMSO were added to each tube in the PMS and PDS system, respectively. The concentrations of PMSO and its oxidation product phenyl methyl sulfone (PMSO<sub>2</sub>) in each sample at time = 10, 30, 60, 90, and 120 min were determined using a high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) method.<sup>5</sup>

**Electron Paramagnetic Resonance Experiment.** EPR measurements were conducted using a Bruker Elexsys E500 EPR equipped with a CoolEdge cryo system (Billerica). The instrument settings were 20.0 mW microwave power, 9.8 GHz microwave frequency, 100 kHz modulation frequency, 1.00 G modulation amplitude, 3515 G center field, 150 G sweep width, and 40.0 s sweep time. The mixture of ultrapure water and acetonitrile (1:1) was used as the solvent. 50.0 mM 5,5 dimethyl-1-pyrroline *N*-oxide (DMPO) was used as the spintrapping agent for reactive radical species. 1.0 mL of the reaction solution was extracted and injected into a 2 mm quartz EPR tube using a syringe needle. The 2 mm quartz tube was then placed into a 4 mm quartz EPR tube and immediately inserted into the EPR.

**Degradation of Sulfonamides.** The degradation efficiencies of SMX and SDM were determined in six different systems as listed in [Table](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.est.3c00182/suppl_file/es3c00182_si_001.pdf) S1. To examine the effect of bicarbonate, the bicarbonate concentration was varied at 0, 0.05, 0.5, 5.0, and 20.0 mM in the PMS systems and 0, 0.5, 5.0, 50.0, and 200.0 mM in the PDS systems. The degradation experiments were conducted in 40 mL glass tubes with caps, which were covered with aluminum foil to avoid the interference of light. The initial pH of the reaction solution in each tube was adjusted to 7.0  $\pm$  0.2 using 0.1 M NaOH and 0.1 M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. At each elapsed time point (*t* = 0, 30, 60, 120, 240, 480 min), 1.0 mL of the sample was extracted from each tube and immediately quenched by 0.2 mL of 0.5 M  $\text{Na}_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_3$ . The concentration of SMX or SDM in each sample was measured using the highperformance liquid chromatography (HPLC) method. An instrument used was a Dionex UltiMate 3000 (Sunnyvale) and the column was a Restek C18 column  $(4.6 \times 250 \text{ mm}^2, 5 \text{ }\mu\text{m})$ .

## ■ **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Kinetics.** The reactions of  $Fe_{aq}^{II}$  with PMS or PDS at pH 7.4 were first investigated by monitoring the formation of Fe<sup>III</sup> at 270 nm as a function of time. In this set of experiments, the concentration of  $HCO_3^-$  was kept constant. Typical kinetic curves of the reactions under different concentrations of PMS and PDS are presented in [Figures](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.est.3c00182/suppl_file/es3c00182_si_001.pdf) S1 and S2. The kinetic traces could be nicely fitted by exponential curves, suggesting that the rates are first order with respect to the concentration of  $Fe_{aq}$ This was further confirmed by varying the concentrations of FeaqII. The kinetic traces are given in [Figures](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.est.3c00182/suppl_file/es3c00182_si_001.pdf) S3−S6. The observed first-order rate constants  $(k_{\text{obs}}, s^{-1})$  did not change with the concentration of  $Fe_{aq}^{II}$  ([Figures](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.est.3c00182/suppl_file/es3c00182_si_001.pdf) S7 and S8), again supporting that the rates are first order with respect to  $[Fe_{aq}^{II}]$ . The distribution of different Fe(II) species in the presence of low (0.3 mM for PMS and 2.0 mM for PDS) and high (0.6 mM for PMS and 5.0 mM for PDS) concentrations of  $\mathrm{HCO_3}^$ under neutral conditions was studied. The kinetics of the pH dependence ( $pH = 2.40-8.50$ ) in the absence of bicarbonate were also conducted. Their kinetic traces are given in [Figures](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.est.3c00182/suppl_file/es3c00182_si_001.pdf) S9 and [S10.](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.est.3c00182/suppl_file/es3c00182_si_001.pdf) The observed rate constants  $(k_{obs} s^{-1})$  had no dependence on the solution pH [\(Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.est.3c00182/suppl_file/es3c00182_si_001.pdf)  $S11$ ). It should be noted that the precipitation of Fe(III) as indicated by the decrease of the observed light absorption was observed only after several minutes.

The variation of  $k_{obs}$  with the concentrations of PMS or PDS is presented in Figure 1. The linear dependence of  $k_{obs}$  on the concentrations of PMS and PDS indicates that the oxidation of  $Fe_{aq}$ <sup>II</sup> was due to the peroxides (i.e., PMS and PDS). Significantly, PMS reacts much faster than PDS with  $Fe_{aq}$ Importantly, the potential precipitation of  $Fe(III)$  oxide can be ruled out in this study because the time required for the nucleation and formation of precipitates is much longer than the time scale of our experiments. The removal of Fe(III) through Fe(II)−Fe(III) also has a slower kinetics than the reaction of Fe(II) with PMS/PDS.

<span id="page-3-0"></span>

Figure 2. Dependence of  $k_{\rm obs}$  on the concentration of HCO<sub>3</sub>  $^-$  for the reactions of Fe<sub>aq</sub><sup>II</sup> with PMS and PDS  $^-$  at pH 7.4. (A) PMS, [Fe<sub>aq</sub><sup>II</sup>] = 0.020 mM,  $[HSO_5^-] = 0.20$  mM and (B) PDS,  $[Fe_{aq}^{II}] = 0.10$  mM,  $[S_2O_8^{2-}] = 1.0$  mM. In both experiments, excess peroxymonosulfate (PMS) and peroxydisulfate (PDS) were used. Percentage of error =  $\pm 10$ .

Next, the kinetics of the reactions of  $Fe_{aq}^{II}$  with PMS and PDS were studied at different concentrations of  $HCO_3^-$ . The results of  $k_{\text{obs}}$  at different concentrations of  $\text{HCO}_3^-$  are shown in Figure 2A,B. Typical kinetic curves are presented in [Figures](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.est.3c00182/suppl_file/es3c00182_si_001.pdf) [S12](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.est.3c00182/suppl_file/es3c00182_si_001.pdf) and S13. The addition of low concentrations of  $\mathrm{HCO_3}^-$  to the solutions increased the rate of reactions of  $\text{Fe}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6^{2+}$ with both PMS and PDS. The results are very similar to those obtained for the Fenton reaction $39$  that the rate constants depend linearly on  $HCO_3^-$  but with two different slopes: a relatively low slope at a very low  $\mathrm{HCO_3}^-$  concentration (below 0.3 mM for PMS and below 2.0 mM for PDS, see Figure 2) and a considerably higher slope at higher values of  $\overline{\text{HCO}_3}^-$ .

The rate law for the reaction of  $Fe_{aq}^{II}$  and PMS/PDS in the presence of  $HCO_3^-$  may be written as

$$
d[Fe^{III}]/dt = 2k[Fe(H2O)62+] [HSO5-] [HCO3-] (4)
$$

$$
d[Fe^{III}]/dt = 2k[Fe(H2O)62+] [S2O82-] [HCO3-] (4')
$$

In eqs 4 and 4′, the coefficient 2 was derived from the observation that the oxidizing species formed in the system including OH<sup>•</sup>, SO<sub>4</sub><sup>•–</sup>, Fe<sup>IV</sup>O<sub>aq</sub>, and CO<sub>3</sub><sup>•–</sup> oxidizes a second  $Fe_{aq}$ <sup>II</sup>.

The two-stage dependence of  $k_{\text{obs}}$  on  $[\text{HCO}_3^{-}]$ , shown in Figure 2, may be understood first by considering the different species of  $Fe_{aq}^{II}$  present in the studied conditions. At low [HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>], the species of Fe<sub>aq</sub><sup>II</sup> is not complexed with HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> (i.e.,  $Fe(H_2O)<sub>6</sub><sup>2+</sup>$ ). However, at higher concentrations of  $\text{HCO}_{3}^-$ , the following equilibria need to be considered (eqs 5−7).<sup>[51](#page-9-0)−[55](#page-10-0)</sup> This suggests the complex formation of  $Fe_{aq}^{II}$  with  $CO_3^2$ <sup>-</sup> (i.e., Fe<sup>II</sup>(CO<sub>3</sub>)(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>3</sub>).<sup>[51](#page-9-0)</sup>

$$
H_2CO_3 \rightleftharpoons HCO_3^- + H^+, \ pK_{app} = 6.35 \tag{5}
$$

(this is an apparent value as  $[H_2CO_3]_{app} = [H_2CO_3] + [CO_2]$ is used).

$$
HCO_3^- \rightleftharpoons CO_3^{2-} + H^+, \ pK_a = 10.33 \tag{6}
$$

$$
Fe(H_2O)_6^{2+} + CO_3^{2-}
$$
  
\n
$$
\Rightarrow Fe^{II}(CO_3)(H_2O)_3 + 3H_2O, \log K
$$
  
\n
$$
= 4.26
$$
 (7)

Three possible reaction mechanisms, I, II, and III, may be considered to describe the results presented in [Figures](#page-2-0) 1 and 2

and the experimentally observed rate laws. Mechanism I at low concentrations of  $HCO_3^-$  presumes that reactions 8, 8', 9, and  $9'$  occur. Initially, the Fe<sup>II</sup> forms a complex with PMS/PDS in the absence or in the presence of low bicarbonate (reactions 8 and  $8'$ ). The formed complexes then react with  $HCO_3^-$  to generate the carbonate anion radicals (reactions 9 and 9′). The derived rate law for reactions 8, 8′, 9, and 9′ is consistent with the observed rate law (eqs 4 and 4′) (see [Text](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.est.3c00182/suppl_file/es3c00182_si_001.pdf) S1). However, at higher concentrations of HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, the complexation of  $\text{Fe}_{\text{aq}}^{~~\text{II}}$ and bicarbonate is more dominant and is formed before reacting with PMS/PDS (eqs [10](#page-4-0) and 10′). The direct  $Fe_{aq}^{II}$ –  $HCO_3^-$  complexation and abundant PMS/PDS in the system facilitate the rapid production of reactive  $\mathrm{Fe_{aq}}^{\qquad \ \ \, \text{IV}}$  iron and carbonate anion species, leading to a steeper slope in Figure 2. The derived rate law is also consistent with the observed rate law (see [Text](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.est.3c00182/suppl_file/es3c00182_si_001.pdf) S1).

*Mechanism I.*

$$
Fe(H_2O)_6^{2+} + HSO_5^-
$$
  
\n
$$
\Rightarrow (H_2O)_5Fe^{II}(O_2SO_3)/(H_2O)_3Fe^{II}(O_2SO_3)
$$
  
\n
$$
+ H_3O^+/(H_3O^+ + 2H_2O)
$$
 (8)

Fe(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>6</sub><sup>2+</sup> + S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>8</sub><sup>2-</sup>  
\n
$$
\Rightarrow (H2O)5FeH(S2O8)/(H2O)3FeH(S2O8)
$$
\n
$$
+ H2O/2H2O
$$
\n(8')

$$
(H_2O)_5Fe^{II}(O_2SO_3)/(H_2O)_3Fe^{II}(O_2SO_3) + HCO_3^-
$$
  
\n
$$
\rightarrow Fe^{III}(H_2O)_{6-n}(OH)_n^{3-n} + nH^+ + CO_3^{n-} + SO_4^{2-}
$$
  
\n(9)

$$
(H_2O)_5Fe^{II}(S_2O_8)/(H_2O)_3Fe^{II}(S_2O_8) + HCO_3^- + OH^-
$$
  
\n
$$
\rightarrow Fe^{III}(H_2O)_{6-n}(OH)_n^{3-n} + nH^+ + CO_3^{--}
$$
  
\n
$$
+ 2SO_4^{2-}(\text{small slope})
$$
 (9')

$$
Fe(H2O)62+ + HCO3-
$$
  

$$
\Rightarrow (H2O)3Fe(CO3) + H3O+ + 2H2O
$$
 (10)

$$
(H2O)3Fe(CO3) + HSO5-/(S2O82-) + 3H2O
$$
  
\n
$$
\rightarrow FeIII(H2O)6-n(OH)n3-n + CO3- + SO42-
$$
  
\n/2SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>(large slope) (10')

While more complicated complexes could potentially form in the system that also results in a two-stage dependence on the bicarbonate concentration for the system, these mechanisms are unlikely in our system because both mechanisms require a second-order dependence on  $[\mathrm{HCO_3}^-]$  that is not observed (Text S2 ([eqs](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.est.3c00182/suppl_file/es3c00182_si_001.pdf) 11−14) and Text S3 ([eqs](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.est.3c00182/suppl_file/es3c00182_si_001.pdf) 15−18), Supporting Information). It should be pointed out that mechanisms II and III might contribute at very high bicarbonate concentrations. This possibility is further discussed in the degradation of investigated sulfonamides by

studied Fenton-like systems at neutral pH.<br> **The start verall, mechanism I fits the observed rate laws.** The rate Overall, mechanism I fits the observed rate laws. The rate<br>constants of [reactions](#page-3-0) [9](#page-3-0) and 9' cannot be calculated<br>because the equilibrium constants of reactions 8 and 8' are not because the equilibrium constants of [reactions](#page-3-0) 8 and [8](#page-3-0)′ are not known. The rate constant of the reactions  $(H_2O)_3Fe(CO_3)$  +  $HSO_5^-$  and  $(H_2O)_3Fe(CO_3) + S_2O_8^{2-}$  can be roughly calculated by dividing the larger slope in [Figure](#page-3-0) 2A, 1.32 ×  $10^6$  M<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> by [HSO<sub>5</sub><sup>-</sup>] = 0.0002 M, and that in [Figure](#page-3-0) 2B, 750 dm<sup>3</sup> mol<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> by [S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>8</sub><sup>2-</sup>] = 0.001 M, respectively. Thus, one obtains  $k(Fe^{II}(CO_3)(H_2O)_3 + HSO_5^-) = 6.6 \times 10^9 \text{ M}^{-2}$  $s^{-1}$  and  $k(Fe^{II}(CO_3)(H_2O)_3 + S_2O_8^{2-}) = 7.5 \times 10^5 \text{ M}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ . It is important to note that a large error limit must be applied on these values mainly because some  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  might have been lost from the solutions during bubbling. The value of  $k(Fe^{II}(CO<sub>3</sub>) (H_2O)_3$  +  $HSO_5^-$ ) is higher by 1 order of magnitude than that of  $k(Fe^{II}(CO_3)(H_2O)_3 + H_2O_2) = 5.5 \times 10^8 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-139}$  $k(Fe^{II}(CO_3)(H_2O)_3 + H_2O_2) = 5.5 \times 10^8 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-139}$  $k(Fe^{II}(CO_3)(H_2O)_3 + H_2O_2) = 5.5 \times 10^8 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-139}$  and more than 5 orders of magnitude higher than those of  $k(Fe^{II}_{.1}(P_2O_7)_{aq} + H_2O_2)^{56} = 3500$  $k(Fe^{II}_{.1}(P_2O_7)_{aq} + H_2O_2)^{56} = 3500$  $k(Fe^{II}_{.1}(P_2O_7)_{aq} + H_2O_2)^{56} = 3500$  dm<sup>3</sup> mol<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> and  $k(Fe^{II}(ATP)_{aq}^4 + H_2O_2)^{56} = 1200 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$  $k(Fe^{II}(ATP)_{aq}^4 + H_2O_2)^{56} = 1200 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$  $k(Fe^{II}(ATP)_{aq}^4 + H_2O_2)^{56} = 1200 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$  though both ATP and  $P_2O_7^{4-}$  clearly stabilize  $Fe_{aq}^{III}$  better than carbonate.<sup>[56](#page-10-0)</sup>

**Reactive Species in the Presence and Absence of Bicarbonate Ion.** The above kinetic results clearly demonstrate that low concentrations of bicarbonate within the range typically observed in natural environments could affect the kinetics of the Fenton-like reactions in neutral solutions dramatically. To determine the nature of the oxidizing products formed in [reactions](#page-3-0) 8 and  $10'$ ,  $(CH_3)$ <sub>2</sub>SO was added to the reaction mixtures and  $^1\mathrm{H}$  NMR spectra of the products of  $(CH_3)_2$ SO oxidation via the Fenton-like reaction were measured. Additionally, the gaseous products were also analyzed in the absence and presence of bicarbonate (3.0 mM).

It is known that  $(CH_3)_2$ SO reacts with  $Fe^{IV}=O_{aq}$  to form dimethyl sulfone,  ${\rm (CH_3)_2SO_2}^{48}$  ${\rm (CH_3)_2SO_2}^{48}$  ${\rm (CH_3)_2SO_2}^{48}$  while reactions with  ${\rm OH}^{\bullet}$  and some other radicals generate methyl-sulfinic acid  $(\mathrm{CH_{3}SOOH})$ and methyl radicals.<sup>[48](#page-9-0),[49](#page-9-0)</sup> The presence of bicarbonate, Figure 3, clearly affects the yield of  $(CH_3)_2SO_2$ . Both in the presence of excess  $HSO_5^-/S_2O_8^2$ <sup>-</sup> (PMS/PDS) and excess  $Fe^{2+}$ , the presence of a low concentration of bicarbonate inhibits the formation of  $(CH_3)_2SO_2$ . CH<sub>3</sub>SOOH was also not observed as a product (see Figure 3A,B), which is analogous to the recent report on the reactions observed when  $H_2O_2$  is used as the peroxide[.39](#page-9-0) The presence of 0.50 mM bicarbonate nearly eliminates the formation of  $(CH_3)_2SO_2$  in the case of  $HSO_5^-$ , whereas in  $S_2O_8^{2-}$ , 5.0 mM bicarbonate is needed. Furthermore, the formation of  $(CH_3)_2SO_2$  as the final organic product proves that in the absence of bicarbonate, the Fenton-

<span id="page-4-0"></span>

Figure 3. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of the products of the Fenton-like reactions at neutral pH in MES buffer solution in H<sub>2</sub>O: (A) Fe<sup>2+</sup> +  $HSO_5^-$  in the absence and presence of 0.50 mM  $HCO_3^-$ ,  $[Fe_{aq}^{II}]$  = 0.20 mM,  $[HSO_5^-] = 0.04$  mM, and  $[({\rm CH}_3)_2 {\rm SO}] = 25.0$  mM and (B)  $Fe^{2+} + S_2O_8^{2-}$  in the absence and presence of 5.0 mM HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>,  $[Fe_{aq}^{II}] = 2.0$  mM,  $[S_2O_8^{2-}] = 1.0$  mM, and  $[(CH_3)_2SO] = 25.0$  mM. The concentrations of bicarbonate chosen for these experiments are those that were shown, [Figure](#page-3-0) 2, to have a major effect on the observed rate constants.

like reactions studied proceed via reactions 19 and 19′, which are in agreement with the previous results.<sup>22,23,[57](#page-10-0)</sup>

$$
Fe(H_2O)_6^{2+} + HSO_5^- \to Fe^{IV}_{aq} + SO_4^{2-}
$$
 (19)

$$
\text{Fe}(H_2O)_6^{2+} + S_2O_8^{2-} \rightarrow \text{Fe}^{IV}_{aq} + 2SO_4^{2-} \tag{19'}
$$

This means that even in the absence of  $HCO_3^-$ , the Fentonlike reactions of Fe $(H_2O)_6^{2+}$  +  $HSO_5^-/S_2O_8^{2-}$  do not form  $SO_4^{\bullet-}$  and/or  $OH^{\bullet}$  as commonly assumed.<sup>[29](#page-9-0)–[31](#page-9-0)</sup> To further illustrate its environmental significance, the effect of pH was conducted by varying the pH from acidic (2.40) to alkaline (8.50). The results of GC measurement of the gas products are presented in [Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.est.3c00182/suppl_file/es3c00182_si_001.pdf) S14, which showed that the sulfate anion radical  $(SO_4^{\bullet-})$  was formed in acidic pH and its yield decreased with the increase of pH. Therefore, the yield of methane and ethane also decreased with the increase in pH, [Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.est.3c00182/suppl_file/es3c00182_si_001.pdf) S14. The results could be attributed to the dominant role of  $Fe_{aq}^{IV}$  in the absence of bicarbonate at neutral pH. To support the formation of  $CO_3^{\bullet-}$  in the Fenton-like reactions in

<span id="page-5-0"></span>

Figure 4. Changes in concentrations of PMSO and  $PMSO<sub>2</sub>$  in different persulfate systems over time at initial pH = 7.0 (experimental conditions: PMS system:  $[PMS]_0 = 0.04$  mM;  $[Fe_{aq}^{II}]_0 = 0.2$  mM;  $[bicarbonate]_0 = 0.5$  mM;  $[PMSO]_0 = 20.0$   $\mu$ M and PDS system:  $[PDS]_0 = 1.0$  mM;  $[Fe_{aq}^{II}]_0 = 2.0$  mM;  $[bicarbonate]_0 = 5.0$  mM;  $[PMSO]_0 = 200.0$   $\mu$ M).

the presence of bicarbonate and  $(CH_3)_2SO$ , the yields of  $CH_4$ and  $C_2H_6$  under three different conditions were measured: (I) at pH 2.4 in the absence of bicarbonate; (II) at pH 7.4 in the absence of bicarbonate; and (III), at pH 7.4 in the presence of 3.0 mM bicarbonate. The results are presented in [Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.est.3c00182/suppl_file/es3c00182_si_001.pdf) S15. It is known that  $SO_4^{\bullet-}$  reacts with  $(\text{CH}_3)_2$ SO to form methyl radicals via reaction 20. [60](#page-10-0)

$$
(CH_3)_2SO + SO_4^{\bullet -} \to CH_3^{\bullet} + CH_3S(O)OSO_3^-
$$
 (20)

However, also, other radicals react with  $(CH_3)_2SO$  to form methyl radicals.<sup>[60](#page-10-0),[61](#page-10-0)</sup> The formed methyl radicals (CH<sub>3</sub><sup>•</sup>) (reaction 21) react to form ethane and methane via reactions  $21 - 23$ <sup>[46,](#page-9-0)[62](#page-10-0)</sup>

$$
CH_3^{\bullet} + (CH_3)_2SO
$$
  
\n
$$
\rightarrow CH_4 + CH_2(CH_3)SO^{\bullet}, k_{21}
$$
  
\n
$$
= 100 M^{-1} s^{-1}
$$
 (21)

$$
CH_3^{\bullet} + RH \rightarrow CH_4 + R^{\bullet}, k_{22}
$$
  
= depending on RH  
(RH is an aliphatic compound) (22)

$$
2CH_3^{\bullet} \to C_2H_6, k_{23} = 1.6 \times 10^9 \,\mathrm{M}^{-1}\,\mathrm{s}^{-1} \tag{23}
$$

Ethane and methane are formed when the oxidizing species is a single-electron oxidizing agent, primary oxidizing radicals,

such as  $SO_4$ <sup>•-.[63](#page-10-0)</sup> The relative yields of ethane and methane depend on the steady-state concentrations of methyl radicals. The results at pH 2.4 for the PMS system clearly show that ethane is almost the only product. This suggests that at pH 2.4, SO<sub>4</sub><sup>•–</sup> is the major product of the reaction of Fe(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>6</sub><sup>2+</sup> +  $HSO_5^-$ . However, it was claimed that at pH 3.0,  $Fe_{aq}^{IV}$  is the only product[.22](#page-9-0),[23](#page-9-0)[,57](#page-10-0)<sup>−</sup>[59](#page-10-0) Interestingly, in the PDS system, a considerable amount of methane was also formed. This is likely due to that  $k(Fe(H_2O)_{6}^{2+} + HSO_5^{-}) > k(Fe(H_2O)_{6}^{2+} +$  $S_2O_8^{2-}$ ). At pH 7.4 in the HSO<sub>5</sub><sup>-</sup> system, no ethane was formed in the absence of  $\text{HCO}_3^-$ , and only traces of methane were observed. These results indicate that the product of [reaction](#page-4-0) 19 is indeed  $\text{Fe}^{\text{IV}}=O_{\text{aq}}$ . At pH 7.4 in the PDS system in the absence of  $HCO_3^-$ , traces of ethane and some methane are observed. This supports the conclusion that the major product of [reaction](#page-4-0)  $19'$  is  $\rm Fe^{IV}\!\!=\!\!O_{aq}$ , though some  $\rm Fe_{aq}^{III}$  and  $SO_4^{\bullet-}$  are also formed. The fact that no  $CH_4$  and/or  $C_2H_6$ were formed in the presence of bicarbonate in both systems, which further ruled out that  ${SO_4}^{\bullet-}$  radical anions were formed under these conditions.

In order to further confirm the formation of high-valent iron species, PMSO was employed as the probing molecule, which can be selectively oxidized by  $Fe_{aq}^{IV}$  or  $Fe_{aq}^{V}$  to produce phenyl methyl sulfone  $(PMSO_2)$ .<sup>[64](#page-10-0)</sup> As shown in Figure 4, the concentration of PMSO remained unchanged with PMS or PDS alone, and PMSO<sub>2</sub> was not generated. However, a rapid transformation from PMSO to  $PMSO<sub>2</sub>$  was observed when Fe(II) was added, confirming the formation of  $Fe_{aq}^{IV}$ , similar to the results of using DMSO as the probe molecule (see [Figure](#page-4-0) [3](#page-4-0)). The inhibitory effect of bicarbonate ion on this trans-

<span id="page-6-0"></span>

**Figure 5.** EPR spectra of (a) PMS and (b) PDS alone, with Fe(II) and with Fe(II) + HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> (initial pH: 7.0; the PMS system: [PMS]<sub>0</sub> = 0.04 mM,  $[Fe(II)]_0 = 0$  or 0.2 mM,  $[HCO_3^-]_0 = 0$  or 0.5 mM; the PDS system:  $[PDS]_0 = 1.0$  mM,  $[Fe(II)]_0 = 0$  or 2.0 mM,  $[HCO_3^-]_0 = 0$  or 5.0 mM).



Figure 6. Degradation of sulfamethoxazole (SMX) and sulfadimethoxine (SDM) by (A, B) PMS and (C, D) PDS alone, in the presence of Fe<sub>aq</sub><sup>II</sup> and Fe<sub>aq</sub><sup>II</sup>—bicarbonate ([SMX]<sub>0</sub> = [SDM]<sub>0</sub> = 5.0  $\mu$ M; initial pH = 7.0. The PMS system: [PMS]<sub>0</sub> = 0.04 mM; [Fe<sub>aq</sub><sup>II</sup>]<sub>0</sub> = 0.2 mM; [bicarbonate]<sub>0</sub> = 0.5 mM. The PDS system:  $[PDS]_0 = 1.0$  mM;  $[Fe_{aq}^{II}]_0 = 2.0$  mM;  $[bicarbonate]_0 = 5.0$  mM).

formation could be attributed to the production of carbonate radical anions through mechanism I discussed earlier. Significantly, the formation of  $PMSO<sub>2</sub>$  was not eliminated in the presence of 5.0 mM bicarbonate ion [\(Figure](#page-5-0) 4). This is somewhat different from the results presented in [Figure](#page-4-0) 3 using DMSO as a probe molecule for the formation of  $Fe_{aq}^{IV}$  in which no formation of  $DMSO<sub>2</sub>$  was observed in 4.0 mM bicarbonate ion. In using PMSO, no formation of  $PMSO<sub>2</sub>$  was seen only at a much higher concentration of bicarbonate ion (200 mM) ([Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.est.3c00182/suppl_file/es3c00182_si_001.pdf) S16). This may be related to differences in reactivity of  $(CO_3)Fe_{aq}^{IV}$  or  $Fe_{aq}^{IV}$  with the probe molecules, DMSO and PMSO. This tentatively suggests that [reaction](#page-4-0) 10′ is likely involved more complex reactions as shown below

$$
(H2O)3Fe(CO3) + HSO5-/S2O82-\n\rightarrow (CO3)FeIVaq + SO42-/2SO42-
$$
\n(10")

Followed by

$$
\longrightarrow CO_3^{\bullet} + Fe^{III}_{aq} \tag{24a}
$$

 $(CO_3)Fe^{IV}$ <sub>aq</sub> ——

$$
DMSO/PMSO
$$
  
 
$$
DMSO_2/PMSO_2 + Fe^{II}_{aq} + CO_3^{2}
$$
 (24b)

with the assumption that for DMSO,  $k_{24a} \gg k_{24b \text{ (DMSO)}}$  and for PMSO,  $k_{24a} \sim k_{24b \text{ (PMSO)}}$  and  $k_{24b \text{ (PMSO)}} > k_{24b \text{ (DMSO)}}$ .

In order to acquire direct evidence for the interactions between PMS/PDS, Fe(II), and  $\text{HCO}_3^-$ , EPR spectroscopy was employed to probe the signals of possible radical species.



Figure 7. Degradation of SMX and SDM in the presence of varying carbonate concentrations in the  $(A, B)$  PMS and  $(C, D)$  PDS systems  $([SMX]_0$  $=[SDM]_0 = 5.0 \mu M$ ; initial pH = 7.0. The PMS system:  $[PMS]_0 = 0.04 \text{ mM}$ ;  $[Fe_{aq}^{II}]_0 = 0.2 \text{ mM}$ ;  $[bicarbonate]_0 = 0$ , 0.05, 0.5, 5.0, and 20.0 mM. The PDS system:  $[PDS]_0 = 1.0 \text{ mM}$ ;  $[Fe_{aq}^{II}]_0 = 2.0 \text{ mM}$ ;  $[bicarbonate]_0 = 0$ , 0.5, 5.0, 50.0, and 200.0 mM).

Without adding Fe(II), no signal was observed. In the presence of Fe(II), however, the signals of DMPO-• OH and DMPO− SO4 •− adducts were captured for both PMS and PDS [\(Figure](#page-6-0) [5](#page-6-0)a,b). Significantly, the signal of a new species was observed along with  $\text{DMPO}-^{\bullet}\text{OH}$  and  $\text{DMPO}-\text{SO}_4^{\bullet-}$  when  $\text{HCO}_3^$ was introduced into the PDS system, which could be attributed to the formation of DMPO−OCO<sub>2</sub><sup>•−</sup> adduct as a result of the binding between  $CO_3$ <sup>•–</sup> and DMPO.<sup>[65](#page-10-0)</sup> Several peaks of  $\text{DMPO}-\text{SO}_4^{\bullet-}$  and  $\text{DMPO}-\text{OCO}_2^{\bullet-}$  were overlapping with each other, suggesting that both  $SO_4$ <sup>•-</sup> and  $CO_3$ <sup>•-</sup> were present. Also, the nucleophilic substitution by hydroxide or water molecule can occur on the carbonate/sulfonate moieties in DMPO−OCO2 •−/DMPO−SO4 •− via an exergonic process to produce DMPO−• OH[.65](#page-10-0)<sup>−</sup>[67](#page-10-0) Overall, EPR measurement confirmed our hypothesis that  $CO<sub>3</sub><sup>•−</sup>$  is a major radical species in the Fe(II)−PMS/PDS system in the presence of  $\text{HCO}_3^-$ .

**Degradation of Sulfamethoxazole and Sulfadimethoxine.** Sulfonamides (SAs) have been extensively used as veterinary and human antibiotics. They can enter the human food chain and trigger the development of antibiotic resistance (AR).[68](#page-10-0)−[70](#page-10-0) It is imperative to treat SAs before releasing them into the aquatic environment. The investigated systems herein were therefore investigated to degrade SAs, which contain an aniline ring and a heterocyclic N-containing aromatic ring (R) that are joined through a sulfonamide linkage  $(-NH-SO_2^-)$ . The studied SMX and SDM are SAs with different R of fiveand six-membered rings, respectively. The reaction of SMX with high-valent iron opens the five-membered ring, while no such ring opening happens in the case of SDM. Furthermore, there is no extrusion of  $SO_2$  in the case of SMX, whereas the loss of  $SO_2$  in the oxidized products was seen for SDM. These findings in the literature led us to select these sulfonamides, where the oxidized products in the reactions of high-valent iron, Fe(IV), with SMX and SDM could be examined. The degradation of such SAs by  $Fe_{aq}^{II}$ -PMS and  $Fe_{aq}^{II}$ -PDS systems in the absence and presence of bicarbonate ion may be extended to a wide range of SAs. The results obtained on the degradation efficiency at pH 7.0 are shown in [Figure](#page-6-0) 6. The observed first-order rate constants  $(k_{obs})$  for the degradation of SMX and SDM are presented in [Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.est.3c00182/suppl_file/es3c00182_si_001.pdf) S17. The presence of  $Fe_{2n}^{II}$  substantially enhanced the degradation efficiency of SMX  $I<sup>II</sup>$  substantially enhanced the degradation efficiency of SMX and SDM by both persulfate systems (i.e., PMS and PDS). The  $k_{\text{obs}}$  for the degradation of SMX and SDM by PMS reached 1.0  $\times$  10<sup>-2</sup> and 0.8  $\times$  10<sup>-2</sup> min<sup>-1</sup>, respectively, in the presence of  $\rm{Fe_{aa}}^{II}$  which were 6-fold compared to PMS alone. In the case of PDS, the  $k_{obs}$  values for SMX and SDM in the presence of Fe<sub>aq</sub><sup>II</sup> were 1.1 × 10<sup>-2</sup> and 0.9 × 10<sup>-2</sup> min<sup>-1</sup>, respectively, about twice as high as PDS alone. The results suggest that the  $Fe_{a}^{IV}$  formed reacts with SMX and SDM with high reactivity to increase their oxidation.

The bicarbonate ion markedly hinders the degradation efficiency of SMX and SDM by the  $Fe_{aq}^{II}$ -persulfate systems (Figure 7). As the bicarbonate concentration increased to above 0.5 and 5.0 mM for the PMS and PDS systems, the degradation efficiencies of SMX and SDM are further impeded. For example, with 5.0 mM bicarbonate, the  $k_{obs}$  for the degradation of SMX and SDM in the PMS system was decreased by 66.0 and 65.1%, respectively, compared to that without bicarbonate. On the other hand, 50.0 mM bicarbonate led to a 58.7 and 58.1% reduction in the  $k_{obs}$  for the degradation of SMX and SDM in the PDS system. However, as the bicarbonate concentration further increased from 5.0 to 20.0 mM in the PMS system and from 50.0 to 200.0 mM in the PDS system, further decreases in the degradation efficiency were very minor. The hindering effect of bicarbonate is likely due to the formation of the less reactive carbonate radical anion as confirmed above. This is consistent with kinetics analysis at a high concentration of  $\text{HCO}_3^-$ . This is supported

<span id="page-8-0"></span>by the complete inhibition of the transformation of PMSO to  $PMSO<sub>2</sub>$  for PMS and PDS systems in the presence of 20.0 and 200.0 mM bicarbonate ion, respectively (see [Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.est.3c00182/suppl_file/es3c00182_si_001.pdf) S16).

## ■ **ENVIRONMENTAL SIGNIFICANCE**

The results reported in this study are of major importance to understanding the mechanisms involved in many advanced oxidation processes. First, it is shown that the reactions of  $Fe_{aq}$ <sup>II</sup> with PMS/PDS in the absence of bicarbonate at neutral pH yields  $\rm Fe^{IV}\!\!=\!\!O_{aq}$  and not SO4 $\rm ^{\bullet -}$ . Furthermore, the results highlight that the presence of  $HCO_3^-$  dramatically changed the mechanism and kinetics of Fenton-like processes, here,  $Fe_{aa}^{II}$  +  $\text{HSO}_5^-$  and  $\text{Fe}_{\text{aq}}^{\text{II}}$  +  $\text{S}_2\text{O}_8^{\text{2-}}$  under most environmental conditions, yielding  $CO_3^{\bullet-}$  radical anions. The reactivity of high-valent iron species in aqueous solution,  $Fe_{aq}^{IV}$ , with pollutants differs from  ${SO_4}^{\bullet-}$  and  $OH^\bullet$ . This suggests that the antibiotics in the  $Fe_{aq}^{II}$ -activated PMS/PDS are oxidized by  $Fe_{aq}^{IV}$ . However, the presence of  $HCO_3^-$  in water generates  $CO_3^{\bullet-}$ , which is a weaker oxidizing species and a more selective one. In implementing AOPs under natural environmental conditions, species involved and their effectiveness to degrade different pollutants must be considered carefully.

## ■ **ASSOCIATED CONTENT**

#### $\bullet$  Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at [https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.est.3c00182](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.est.3c00182?goto=supporting-info).

> Typical kinetic curves for each dependence reactions; derived rate law for the proposed mechanisms; GC determination of methane and ethane; changes in concentrations of PMSO and  $PMSO_2$  with  $Fe^{II}$  in the presence of high bicarbonate systems; pseudo-first-order rate constants of the degradation of SMX and SDM by different persulfate systems ([PDF\)](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.est.3c00182/suppl_file/es3c00182_si_001.pdf)

## ■ **AUTHOR INFORMATION**

#### **Corresponding Author**

Dan Meyerstein − *Department of Chemical Sciences and The Radical Research Center, Ariel University, Ariel 40700, Israel; Chemistry Department, Ben-Gurion University, Beer-Sheva 8410501, Israel;* [orcid.org/0000-0003-1895-](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1895-8068) [8068](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1895-8068); Email: [danm@ariel.ac.il](mailto:danm@ariel.ac.il)

#### **Authors**

Aswin Kottapurath Vijay − *Department of Chemical Sciences and The Radical Research Center, Ariel University, Ariel 40700, Israel; Chemistry Department, Ben-Gurion University, Beer-Sheva 8410501, Israel;* Orcid.org/0000-[0003-1477-2573](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1477-2573)

Vered Marks − *Department of Chemical Sciences, Ariel University, Ariel 40700, Israel*

Amir Mizrahi − *Chemistry Department, Negev Nuclear Research Centre, Beer-Sheva 84190, Israel*

Yinghao Wen − *Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas 77843, United States*

Xingmao Ma − *Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas 77843, United States;* [orcid.org/0000-0003-4650-2455](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4650-2455)

Virender K. Sharma − *Program for the Environment and Sustainability, Department of Environmental and Occupational Health, Texas A&M University, College*

*Station, Texas 77843, United States;* [orcid.org/0000-](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5980-8675) [0002-5980-8675](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5980-8675)

Complete contact information is available at: [https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/acs.est.3c00182](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.est.3c00182?ref=pdf)

#### **Notes**

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

## ■ **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

This study was enabled by grants from the Pazy Foundation (Grant RA1700000176) and Ariel University.

## ■ **REFERENCES**

(1) Lin, X.; Choi, P. M.; Thompson, J.; Reeks, T.; Verhagen, R.; Tscharke, B. J.; O'Malley, E.; Shimko, K. M.; Guo, X.; Thomas, K. V.; O'Brien, J. W. [Systematic](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.1c00396?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Evaluation of the In-Sample Stability of Selected [Pharmaceuticals,](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.1c00396?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Illicit Drugs, and Their Metabolites in [Wastewater.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.1c00396?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2021, *55*, 7418−7429.

(2) Richardson, S. D.; Kimura, S. Y. Water Analysis: [Emerging](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.analchem.9b05269?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) [Contaminants](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.analchem.9b05269?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) and Current Issues. *Anal. Chem.* 2020, *92*, 473−505.

(3) Keller, A. A.; Su, Y.; Jassby, D. Direct [Potable](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsestengg.1c00258?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Reuse: Are We Ready? A Review of [Technological,](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsestengg.1c00258?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Economic, and Environmental [Considerations.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsestengg.1c00258?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *ACS ES&T Eng.* 2022, *2*, 273−291.

(4) Lim, S.; Shi, J. L.; von Gunten, U.; McCurry, D. L. [Ozonation](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2022.118053) of Organic [Compounds](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2022.118053) in Water and Wastewater: A Critical Review. *Water Res.* 2022, *213*, No. 118053.

(5) Von Gunten, U. Oxidation Processes in Water [Treatment:](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.8b00586?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Are We on [Track?](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.8b00586?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2018, *52*, 5062−5075.

(6) Lee, J.; Von Gunten, U.; Kim, J. H. [Persulfate-Based](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.9b07082?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Advanced Oxidation: Critical Assessment of [Opportunities](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.9b07082?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) and Roadblocks. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2020, *54*, 3064−3081.

(7) Wang, W.; Chen, M.; Wang, D.; Yan, M.; Liu, Z. [Different](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.145522) Activation Methods in Sulfate [Radical-Based](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.145522) Oxidation for Organic Pollutants [Degradation:](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.145522) Catalytic Mechanism and Toxicity Assessment of Degradation [Intermediates.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.145522) *Sci. Total Environ.* 2021, *772*, No. 145522.

(8) Moradi, S. E.; Dadfarnia, S.; Haji Shabani, A. M.; Emami, S. [Removal](https://doi.org/10.1080/19443994.2014.947328) of Congo Red from Aqueous Solution by Its Sorption onto the Metal Organic Framework [MIL-100\(Fe\):](https://doi.org/10.1080/19443994.2014.947328) Equilibrium, Kinetic and [Thermodynamic](https://doi.org/10.1080/19443994.2014.947328) Studies. *Desalin. Water Treat.* 2015, *56*, 709− 721.

(9) Wen, Y.; Huang, C. H.; Ashley, D. C.; Meyerstein, D.; Dionysiou, D. D.; Sharma, V. K.; Ma, X. Visible [Light-Induced](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.1c06696?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Catalyst-Free Activation of Peroxydisulfate: [Pollutant-Dependent](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.1c06696?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) [Production](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.1c06696?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) of Reactive Species. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2022, *56*, 2626−2636.

(10) Guan, Y. H.; Ma, J.; Li, X. C.; Fang, J. Y.; Chen, L. W. [Influence](https://doi.org/10.1021/es2017363?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) of PH on the [Formation](https://doi.org/10.1021/es2017363?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) of Sulfate and Hydroxyl Radicals in the UV/ [Peroxymonosulfate](https://doi.org/10.1021/es2017363?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) System. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2011, *45*, 9308− 9314.

(11) Olmez-Hanci, T.; Arslan-Alaton, I. [Comparison](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2012.11.007) of Sulfate and Hydroxyl Radical Based Advanced [Oxidation](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2012.11.007) of Phenol. *Chem. Eng. J.* 2013, *224*, 10−16.

(12) Ike, I. A.; Linden, K. G.; Orbell, J. D.; Duke, M. [Critical](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2018.01.034) Review of the Science and [Sustainability](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2018.01.034) of Persulphate Advanced Oxidation [Processes.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2018.01.034) *Chem. Eng. J.* 2018, *338*, 651−669.

(13) Wojnárovits, L.; Takács, E. Rate [Constants](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2018.12.156) of Sulfate Radical Anion Reactions with Organic [Molecules:](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2018.12.156) A Review. *Chemosphere* 2019, *220*, 1014−1032.

(14) Zhou, Z.; Liu, X.; Sun, K.; Lin, C.; Ma, J.; He, M.; Ouyang, W. [Persulfate-Based](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2019.04.213) Advanced Oxidation Processes (AOPs) for Organic-[Contaminated](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2019.04.213) Soil Remediation: A Review. *Chem. Eng. J.* 2019, *372*, 836−851.

(15) Li, J.; Yang, L.; Lai, B.; Liu, C.; He, Y.; Yao, G.; Li, N. [Recent](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2021.128674) Progress on [Heterogeneous](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2021.128674) Fe-Based Materials Induced Persulfate [Activation](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2021.128674) for Organics Removal. *Chem. Eng. J.* 2021, *414*, No. 128674.

<span id="page-9-0"></span>(16) Huang, W.; Xiao, S.; Zhong, H.; Yan, M.; Yang, X. [Activation](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2021.129297) of Persulfates by [Carbonaceous](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2021.129297) Materials: A Review. *Chem. Eng. J.* 2021, *418*, No. 129297.

(17) Zheng, X.; Niu, X.; Zhang, D.; Lv, M.; Ye, X.; Ma, J.; Lin, Z.; Fu, M. Metal-Based Catalysts for Persulfate and [Peroxymonosulfate](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2021.132323) Activation in [Heterogeneous](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2021.132323) Ways: A Review. *Chem. Eng. J.* 2022, *429*, No. 132323.

(18) Tian, D.; Zhou, H.; Zhang, H.; Zhou, P.; You, J.; Yao, G.; Pan, Z.; Liu, Y.; Lai, B. Heterogeneous [Photocatalyst-Driven](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2021.131166) Persulfate Activation Process under Visible Light [Irradiation:](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2021.131166) From Basic Catalyst Design Principles to Novel [Enhancement](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2021.131166) Strategies. *Chem. Eng. J.* 2022, *428*, No. 131166.

(19) Gao, Y.; Wang, Q.; Ji, G.; Li, A. [Degradation](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2021.132387) of Antibiotic [Pollutants](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2021.132387) by Persulfate Activated with Various Carbon Materials. *Chem. Eng. J.* 2022, *429*, No. 132387.

(20) Yang, J.; Zhu, M.; Dionysiou, D. D. [What](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2020.116627) Is the Role of Light in [Persulfate-Based](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2020.116627) Advanced Oxidation for Water Treatment? *Water Res.* 2021, *189*, No. 116627.

(21) Anipsitakis, G. P.; Dionysiou, D. D. Radical [Generation](https://doi.org/10.1021/es035121o?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) by the [Interaction](https://doi.org/10.1021/es035121o?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) of Transition Metals with Common Oxidants. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2004, *38*, 3705−3712.

(22) Wang, Z.; Jiang, J.; Pang, S.; Zhou, Y.; Guan, C.; Gao, Y.; Li, J.; Yang, Y.; Qiu, W.; Jiang, C. Is Sulfate Radical Really [Generated](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.8b02266?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) from [Peroxydisulfate](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.8b02266?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Activated by Iron(II) for Environmental Decontami[nation?](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.8b02266?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2018, *52*, 11276−11284.

(23) Wang, Z.; Qiu, W.; Pang, S.; Zhou, Y.; Gao, Y.; Guan, C.; Jiang, J. Further [Understanding](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2019.04.101) the Involvement of Fe(IV) in Peroxydisulfate and [Peroxymonosulfate](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2019.04.101) Activation by Fe(II) for Oxidative Water [Treatment.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2019.04.101) *Chem. Eng. J.* 2019, *371*, 842−847.

(24) Li, H.; Shan, C.; Li, W.; Pan, B. [Peroxymonosulfate](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2018.10.015) Activation by [Iron\(III\)-Tetraamidomacrocyclic](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2018.10.015) Ligand for Degradation of Organic Pollutants via [High-Valent](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2018.10.015) Iron-Oxo Complex. *Water Res.* 2018, *147*, 233−241.

(25) Li, H.; Shan, C.; Pan, B. [Fe\(III\)-Doped](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.7b05563?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) g-C3N4 Mediated [Peroxymonosulfate](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.7b05563?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Activation for Selective Degradation of Phenolic [Compounds](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.7b05563?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) via High-Valent Iron-Oxo Species. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2018, *52*, 2197−2205.

(26) Goldstein, S.; Meyerstein, D.; Czapski, G. The [Fenton](https://doi.org/10.1016/0891-5849(93)90043-T) [Reagents.](https://doi.org/10.1016/0891-5849(93)90043-T) *Free Radical Biol. Med.* 1993, *15*, 435−445.

(27) Meyerstein, D. What Are the Oxidizing [Intermediates](https://doi.org/10.3390/antiox11071368) in the Fenton and Fenton-like Reactions? A [Perspective.](https://doi.org/10.3390/antiox11071368) *Antioxidants* 2022, *11*, 1368.

(28) Masarwa, M.; Cohen, H.; Meyerstein, D.; Hickman, D. L.; Bakac, A.; Espenson, J. H. Reactions of Low-Valent [Transition-Metal](https://doi.org/10.1021/ja00221a031?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Complexes with Hydrogen Peroxide. Are They ["Fenton-like"](https://doi.org/10.1021/ja00221a031?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) or Not? 1. The Case of Cu+aq and [Cr2+aq.](https://doi.org/10.1021/ja00221a031?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1988, *110*, 4293−4297.

(29) Liu, J.; Peng, C.; Shi, X. Preparation, [Characterization,](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2021.118565) and [Applications](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2021.118565) of Fe-Based Catalysts in Advanced Oxidation Processes for Organics [Removal:](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2021.118565) A Review. *Environ. Pollut.* 2022, *293*, No. 118565.

(30) Hou, K.; Pi, Z.; Yao, F.; Wu, B.; He, L.; Li, X.; Wang, D.; Dong, H.; Yang, Q. A Critical Review on the [Mechanisms](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2020.127078) of Persulfate Activation by [Iron-Based](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2020.127078) Materials: Clarifying Some Ambiguity and [Controversies.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2020.127078) *Chem. Eng. J.* 2021, *407*, No. 127078.

(31) Duan, J.; Pang, S.; Wang, Z.; Zhou, Y.; Gao, Y.; Li, J.; Guo, Q.; Jiang, J. [Hydroxylamine](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2020.128390) Driven Advanced Oxidation Processes for Water [Treatment:](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2020.128390) A Review. *Chemosphere* 2021, *262*, No. 128390.

(32) Karim, A. V.; Jiao, Y.; Zhou, M.; Nidheesh, P. V. [Iron-Based](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2020.129057) Persulfate Activation Process for Environmental [Decontamination](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2020.129057) in [Water](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2020.129057) and Soil. *Chemosphere* 2021, *265*, No. 129057.

(33) Kiejza, D.; Kotowska, U.; Polińska, W.; Karpińska, J. [Peracids](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.148195) -New Oxidants in Advanced Oxidation [Processes:](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.148195) The Use of Peracetic Acid, [Peroxymonosulfate,](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.148195) and Persulfate Salts in the Removal of Organic [Micropollutants](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.148195) of Emerging Concern − A [Review.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.148195) *Sci. Total Environ.* 2021, *790*, No. 148195.

(34) Zhou, H.; Zhang, H.; He, Y.; Huang, B.; Zhou, C.; Yao, G.; Lai, B. Critical Review of [Reductant-Enhanced](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2021.119900) Peroxide Activation Processes: Trade-off between [Accelerated](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2021.119900) Fe3+/Fe2+ Cycle and [Quenching](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2021.119900) Reactions. *Appl. Catal., B* 2021, *286*, No. 119900.

(35) Dong, H.; Xu, Q.; Lian, L.; Li, Y.; Wang, S.; Li, C.; Guan, X. Degradation of Organic Contaminants in the [Fe\(II\)/Peroxymono](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.1c04563?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as)sulfate Process under Acidic [Conditions:](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.1c04563?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) The Overlooked Rapid [Oxidation](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.1c04563?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Stage. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2021, *55*, 15390−15399.

(36) Patra, S. G.; Mizrahi, A.; Meyerstein, D. The Role of [Carbonate](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.accounts.0c00344?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) in Catalytic [Oxidations.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.accounts.0c00344?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *Acc. Chem. Res.* 2020, *53*, 2189−2200.

(37) Wang, J.; Wang, S. Effect of [Inorganic](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2020.128392) Anions on the [Performance](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2020.128392) of Advanced Oxidation Processes for Degradation of Organic [Contaminants.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2020.128392) *Chem. Eng. J.* 2021, *411*, No. 128392.

(38) Meyerstein, D. [Re-Examining](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41570-021-00310-4) Fenton and Fenton-like [Reactions.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41570-021-00310-4) *Nat. Rev. Chem.* 2021, *5*, 595−597.

(39) Illés, E.; Mizrahi, A.; Marks, V.; Meyerstein, D. [Carbonate-](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2018.11.015)[Radical-Anions,](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2018.11.015) and Not Hydroxyl Radicals, Are the Products of the Fenton Reaction in Neutral Solutions Containing [Bicarbonate.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2018.11.015) *Free Radical Biol. Med.* 2019, *131*, 1−6.

(40) Illés, E.; Patra, S. G.; Marks, V.; Mizrahi, A.; Meyerstein, D. [The](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinorgbio.2020.111018) [FeII\(Citrate\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinorgbio.2020.111018) Fenton Reaction under Physiological Conditions. *J. Inorg. Biochem.* 2020, *206*, No. 111018.

(41) Burg, A.; Shamir, D.; Shusterman, I.; Kornweitz, H.; Meyerstein, D. The Role of Carbonate as a Catalyst of [Fenton-like](https://doi.org/10.1039/c4cc05852f) Reactions in AOP Processes: CO3− as the Active [Intermediate.](https://doi.org/10.1039/c4cc05852f) *Chem. Commun.* 2014, *50*, 13096−13099.

(42) Yang, Y.; Lu, X.; Jiang, J.; Ma, J.; Liu, G.; Cao, Y.; Liu, W.; Li, J.; Pang, S.; Kong, X.; Luo, C. Degradation of [Sulfamethoxazole](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2017.03.054) by UV, UV/H2O2 and [UV/Persulfate](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2017.03.054) (PDS): Formation of Oxidation Products and Effect of [Bicarbonate.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2017.03.054) *Water Res.* 2017, *118*, 196−207.

(43) Zilberg, S.; Mizrahi, A.; Meyerstein, D.; Kornweitz, H. [Carbonate](https://doi.org/10.1039/c7cp08240a) and Carbonate Anion Radicals in Aqueous Solutions Exist as [CO3\(H2O\)62-](https://doi.org/10.1039/c7cp08240a) and CO3(H2O)6- Respectively: The Crucial Role of the Inner Hydration Sphere of Anions in [Explaining](https://doi.org/10.1039/c7cp08240a) Their [Properties.](https://doi.org/10.1039/c7cp08240a) *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 2018, *20*, 9429−9435.

(44) Armstrong, D. A.; Huie, R. E.; Koppenol, W. H.; Lymar, S. V.; Merenyi, G.; Neta, P.; Ruscic, B.; Stanbury, D. M.; Steenken, S.; Wardman, P. Standard Electrode [Potentials](https://doi.org/10.1515/pac-2014-0502) Involving Radicals in Aqueous Solution: Inorganic Radicals (IUPAC [Technical](https://doi.org/10.1515/pac-2014-0502) Report). *Pure Appl. Chem.* 2015, *87*, 1139−1150.

(45) Bachi, A.; Dalle-Donne, I.; Scaloni, A. Redox [Proteomics:](https://doi.org/10.1021/cr300073p?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Chemical Principles, [Methodological](https://doi.org/10.1021/cr300073p?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Approaches and Biological/ [Biomedical](https://doi.org/10.1021/cr300073p?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Promises. *Chem. Rev.* 2013, *113*, 596−698.

(46) Huie, R. E. *NDRL/NIST Solution Kinetics Database on the Web*; NIST: 2003.

(47) Mizrahi, A.; Zilbermann, I.; Maimon, E.; Cohen, H.; Meyerstein, D. Different Oxidation [Mechanisms](https://doi.org/10.1080/00958972.2016.1190451) of MnII- [\(Polyphosphate\)n](https://doi.org/10.1080/00958972.2016.1190451) by the Radicals And. *J. Coord. Chem.* 2016, *69*, 1709−1721.

(48) Bataineh, H.; Pestovsky, O.; Bakac, A. [PH-Induced](https://doi.org/10.1039/c2sc20099f) Mechanistic [Changeover](https://doi.org/10.1039/c2sc20099f) from Hydroxyl Radicals to Iron(Iv) in the Fenton [Reaction.](https://doi.org/10.1039/c2sc20099f) *Chem. Sci.* 2012, *3*, 1594.

(49) Pestovsky, O.; Stoian, S.; Bominaar, E. L.; Shan, X.; Münck, E.; Que, L.; Bakac, A. Aqueous FeIV =O: [Spectroscopic](https://doi.org/10.1002/ange.200502686) Identification and [Oxo-Group](https://doi.org/10.1002/ange.200502686) Exchange. *Angew. Chem.* 2005, *117*, 7031−7034.

(50) Luo, C.; Feng, M.; Sharma, V. K.; Huang, C. H. [Oxidation](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.9b00006?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) of [Pharmaceuticals](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.9b00006?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) by Ferrate(VI) in Hydrolyzed Urine: Effects of Major Inorganic [Constituents.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.9b00006?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2019, *53*, 5272− 5281.

(51) Kornweitz, H.; Burg, A.; Meyerstein, D. Plausible [Mechanisms](https://doi.org/10.1021/jp512826f?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) of the [Fenton-like](https://doi.org/10.1021/jp512826f?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Reactions,  $M = Fe(II)$  and  $Co(II)$ , in the Presence of RCO2- [Substrates:](https://doi.org/10.1021/jp512826f?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Are OH• Radicals Formed in the Process? *J. Phys. Chem. A* 2015, *119*, 4200−4206.

(52) Bühl, M.; Dabell, P.; Manley, D. W.; McCaughan, R. P.; Walton, J. C. [Bicarbonate](https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.5b10693?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) and Alkyl Carbonate Radicals: Structural Integrity and Reactions with Lipid [Components.](https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.5b10693?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2015, *137*, 16153−16162.

(53) Medinas, D. B.; Cerchiaro, G.; Trindade, D. F.; Augusto, O. The [Carbonate](https://doi.org/10.1080/15216540701230511) Radical and Related Oxidants Derived from [Bicarbonate](https://doi.org/10.1080/15216540701230511) Buffer. *IUBMB Life* 2007, *59*, 255−262.

<span id="page-10-0"></span>(54) Cope, V. W.; Huffman, M. Z.; Chen, S. N. [Reactivity](https://doi.org/10.1021/j100514a007?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) of the Carbonate Radical toward Metal [Complexes](https://doi.org/10.1021/j100514a007?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) in Aqueous Solution. *J. Phys. Chem. A* 1978, *82*, 2665−2669.

(55) Fouillac, C.; Criaud, A. Carbonate and [Bicarbonate](https://doi.org/10.2343/geochemj.18.297) Trace Metal Complexes: Critical [Reevaluation](https://doi.org/10.2343/geochemj.18.297) of Stability Constants. *Geochem. J.* 1984, *18*, 297−303.

(56) Rachmilovich-Calis, S.; Masarwa, A.; Meyerstein, N.; Meyerstein, D. The Effect of Pyrophosphate, [Tripolyphosphate](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinorgbio.2011.01.009) and ATP on the Rate of the Fenton [Reaction.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinorgbio.2011.01.009) *J. Inorg. Biochem.* 2011, *105*, 669−674.

(57) Wang, Z.; Qiu, W.; Pang, S.; Jiang, J. Effect of [Chelators](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2019.114957) on the Production and Nature of the Reactive [Intermediates](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2019.114957) Formed in Fe(II) Activated [Peroxydisulfate](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2019.114957) and Hydrogen Peroxide Processes. *Water Res.* 2019, *164*, No. 114957.

(58) Wang, Z.; Qiu, W.; Pang, S.; Gao, Y.; Zhou, Y.; Cao, Y.; Jiang, J. Relative [Contribution](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2020.115504) of Ferryl Ion Species (Fe(IV)) and Sulfate Radical Formed in [Nanoscale](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2020.115504) Zero Valent Iron Activated Peroxydisulfate and [Peroxymonosulfate](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2020.115504) Processes. *Water Res.* 2020, *172*, No. 115504.

(59) Wang, Z.; Qiu, W.; Pang, S.; Guo, Q.; Guan, C.; Jiang, J. Aqueous Iron(IV)−Oxo [Complex:](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.1c04530?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) An Emerging Powerful Reactive Oxidant Formed by [Iron\(II\)-Based](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.1c04530?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Advanced Oxidation Processes for Oxidative Water [Treatment.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.1c04530?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2022, *56*, 1492− 1509.

(60) Herscu-Kluska, R.; Masarwa, A.; Saphier, M.; Cohen, H.; Meyerstein, D. [Mechanism](https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.200800218) of the Reaction of Radicals with Peroxides and [Dimethyl](https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.200800218) Sulfoxide in Aqueous Solution. *Chem.* − *Eur. J.* 2008, *14*, 5880−5889.

(61) Avraham, E.; Meyerstein, D.; Lerner, A.; Yardeni, G.; Pevzner, S.; Zilbermann, I.; Moisy, P.; Maimon, E.; Popivker, I. [Reactions](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2021.12.313) of Methyl, Hydroxyl and Peroxyl Radicals with the DOTA [Chelating](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2021.12.313) Agent Used in Medical [Imaging.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2021.12.313) *Free Radical Biol. Med.* 2022, *180*, 134−142.

(62) Rusonik, I.; Polat, H.; Cohen, H.; Meyerstein, D. [Reaction](https://doi.org/10.1002/ejic.200300403) of Methyl Radicals with Metal Powders [Immersed](https://doi.org/10.1002/ejic.200300403) in Aqueous Solutions. *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* 2003, *2003*, 4227−4233.

(63) Lerner, A.; Kornweitz, H.; Zilbermann, I.; Yardeni, G.; Saphier, M.; Bar Ziv, R.; Meyerstein, D. Radicals in ['Biologically](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2020.11.012) Relevant' [Concentrations](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2020.11.012) Behave Differently: Uncovering New Radical Reactions [Following](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2020.11.012) the Reaction of Hydroxyl Radicals with [DMSO.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2020.11.012) *Free Radical Biol. Med.* 2021, *162*, 555−560.

(64) Zhang, X.; Feng, M.; Luo, C.; Nesnas, N.; Huang, C. H.; Sharma, V. K. Effect of Metal Ions on Oxidation of [Micropollutants](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.0c04674?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) by Ferrate(VI): Enhancing Role of [FeIVSpecies.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.0c04674?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2021, *55*, 623−633.

(65) Villamena, F. A.; Locigno, E. J.; Rockenbauer, A.; Hadad, C. M.; Zweier, J. L. Theoretical and [Experimental](https://doi.org/10.1021/jp065692d?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Studies of the Spin Trapping of Inorganic Radicals by [5,5-Dimethyl-1-Pyrroline](https://doi.org/10.1021/jp065692d?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) N-Oxide (DMPO). 2. [Carbonate](https://doi.org/10.1021/jp065692d?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Radical Anion. *J. Phys. Chem. A* 2007, *111*, 384−391.

(66) Davies, M. J.; Gilbert, B. C.; Stell, J. K.; Whitwood, A. C. [Nucleophilic](https://doi.org/10.1039/p29920000333) Substitution Reactions of Spin Adducts. Implications for the Correct Identification of Reaction [Intermediates](https://doi.org/10.1039/p29920000333) by EPR/Spin [Trapping.](https://doi.org/10.1039/p29920000333) *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2* 1992, *3*, 333−335.

(67) Timmins, G. S.; Liu, K. J.; Bechara, E. J. H.; Kotake, Y.; Swartz, H. M. Trapping of Free Radicals with Direct in Vivo EPR [Detection:](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0891-5849(99)00049-0) A Comparison of [5,5-Dimethyl-1-Pyrroline-N-Oxide](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0891-5849(99)00049-0) and 5-Diethox[yphosphoryl-5-Methyl-1-Pyrroline-N-Oxide](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0891-5849(99)00049-0) as Spin Traps for HO and [SO4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0891-5849(99)00049-0)•−. *Free Radical Biol. Med.* 1999, *27*, 329−333.

(68) Kovalakova, P.; Cizmas, L.; McDonald, T. J.; Marsalek, B.; Feng, M.; Sharma, V. K. [Occurrence](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2020.126351) and Toxicity of Antibiotics in the Aquatic [Environment:](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2020.126351) A Review. *Chemosphere* 2020, *251*, No. 126351.

(69) Feng, M.; Baum, J. C.; Nesnas, N.; Lee, Y.; Huang, C. H.; Sharma, V. K. Oxidation of Sulfonamide Antibiotics of [Six-Membered](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.8b06535?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) [Heterocyclic](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.8b06535?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Moiety by Ferrate(VI): Kinetics and Mechanistic Insight into SO 2 [Extrusion.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.8b06535?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2019, *53*, 2695−2704.

(70) Kokoszka, K.; Wilk, J.; Felis, E.; Bajkacz, S. [Application](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2021.131189) of [UHPLC-MS/MS](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2021.131189) Method to Study Occurrence and Fate of Sulfonamide Antibiotics and Their [Transformation](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2021.131189) Products in Surface Water in Highly [Urbanized](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2021.131189) Areas. *Chemosphere* 2021, *283*, No. 131189.