



# **Cross-Sectional Investigation of the Awareness and Practices of Food Safety among Food Service Catering Staff in Riyadh City Hospitals during the Coronavirus Pandemic**

Shaima Baker Alsultan<sup>1</sup>, Shiekhah S. Allowaymi<sup>1</sup>, Ghedeir M. Alshammari<sup>1,\*</sup>, Ali Alrasheed<sup>2</sup>, Amro B. Hassan<sup>1</sup>, Abdulmohsen Abdulaziz Alzobaa<sup>3</sup>, Ahlam Bader Alqahtani<sup>4</sup> and Mohammed Abdo Yahya<sup>1</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Department of Food Science and Nutrition, College of Food and Agricultural Sciences, King Saud University, Riyadh 11451, Saudi Arabia
- <sup>2</sup> Deputyship for Therapeutic Services, Ministry of Health, Riyadh 11345, Saudi Arabia
- <sup>3</sup> First Health Cluster, Ministry of Health Riyadh, Riyadh 12769, Saudi Arabia
- <sup>4</sup> King Fahad Medical City, Riyadh 11525, Saudi Arabia
- \* Correspondence: aghedeir@ksu.edu.sa

Abstract: This study examines food safety awareness and practices among handlers in the food service sector at Riyadh City hospitals during the COVID-19 pandemic. Three hundred and fifteen (315) food service workers completed the entire questionnaire from five hospitals in Riyadh City between December 2020 and February 2021. The contributor's respondents' three-part questionnaire was divided according to general characteristics, food safety awareness, and food safety practices. The findings show that food handlers demonstrated good knowledge, techniques, and attitudes regarding maintaining food quality and ensuring food safety. Moreover, a significant positive correlation between the food handler's knowledge and safe food handling was negative. In general, our findings revealed the significance of education and the regular training of food service staff to improve learning and ensure better and safer food-handling practices, which could contribute to applying food safety practices in hospitals.

Keywords: food safety awareness; food-handling practices; COVID-19

# 1. Introduction

Food handling comprises a set of procedures to which food is exposed during the food production sequence until its final consumption, including receipt, storage, preparation, cooking, transportation, service, and presentation. To ensure food safety, food establishments should follow food safety control systems based on the principle of the HACCP system to control food safety hazards and prevent food contamination [1,2]. According to the World Health Organization (WHO), in January 2020, a new coronavirus (CoV) was discovered in China [3]. Coronaviruses (CoVs), which represent a large virus species, cause illnesses in the respiratory system that range from the common cold to more severe diseases, such as Middle East respiratory syndrome (MERS-CoV) and severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS-CoV). The new coronavirus, which causes the illness 2019-nCOV or COVID-19, is a respiratory virus that spreads primarily from person to person through coughing and sneezing. Common signs of infection include a fever, a cough, and shortness of breath. In more severe cases, the contamination can cause acute respiratory syndrome, kidney failure, and even death [4]. According to the Saudi Arabian Ministry of Health statistics, on 26 July 2020, the number of coronavirus patients in Saudi Arabia reached 266,941. The number worldwide reached 16,055,909 and continues to increase.

The sanitary control of food in the food service sector is critical to reducing or mitigating outbreaks of endemic foodborne diseases. The Food and Drug Administration



Citation: Alsultan, S.B.; Allowaymi, S.S.; Alshammari, G.M.; Alrasheed, A.; Hassan, A.B.; Alzobaa, A.A.; Alqahtani, A.B.; Yahya, M.A. Cross-Sectional Investigation of the Awareness and Practices of Food Safety among Food Service Catering Staff in Riyadh City Hospitals during the Coronavirus Pandemic. *Healthcare* 2023, *11*, 1134. https://doi.org/ 10.3390/healthcare11081134

Academic Editor: Alberto Modenese

Received: 6 February 2023 Revised: 31 March 2023 Accepted: 9 April 2023 Published: 14 April 2023



**Copyright:** © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). (FDA), the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), and various food safety agencies agree that there is no proof to support the transmission of COVID-19 linked with food [5–8]. People consume food in public places, such as restaurants, hotels, and hospitals, and their food consumption is essential to daily life. To ensure the food safety provided to the community, food workers must be guided and trained in the necessary control measures for food safety and implement hygienic practices during food production [9].

Although no instances of food-service-related person-to-person transmission of COVID-19 have been reported, people working in the food service can be expected to be exposed to the risk of disease. Hence, this risk should not be ignored. It has been a challenge to ensure food safety and limit the spread of COVID-19 in the food service and retail sectors that serve perishable fresh food items that have passed through a series of steps, e.g., taking orders, preparing food, packing food, and delivering food to customers. In addition, hospital food services must follow all preventive measures to minimize the risk of food poisoning and ensure the safety of the meals they provide to patients [10]. The food service sector must strengthen its food safety management systems by following good health practices, including meeting the requirements for cleaning and public health and taking preventive measures in their dealings with suppliers, storage, distribution, transportation, and personal hygiene, in addition to all the standard conditions and activities necessary to maintain the cleanliness of the processing sites' food. At each step, there is a possibility that food handlers who do not follow the appropriate precautionary measures might become a source of coronavirus spread by touching food or food surfaces [10].

So far, no recent published data exist to explore the work environment of the food service sector at Riyadh City hospitals. However, a solitary report has emerged, investigating knowledge, practice, and attitudes regarding food safety among food handlers preparing food for COVID-19 patients. Therefore, the current study was undertaken to assess the knowledge and food safety practices among food handlers in the food service sector at Riyadh City hospitals during the COVID-19 pandemic.

### 2. Materials and Methods

# 2.1. Study Design

This observational cross-sectional study was used to measure the research participants simultaneously and estimate the percentage of participants' knowledge and attitudes. The questionnaire was performed following the Pen-and-Paper Personal Interviews (PAPI) method.

### 2.2. Questionnaire Design

A closed-ended questionnaire was designed based on pertinent and relevant questions from previously validated food safety questionnaires applied in similar studies [11–18] and following the guidance related to food safety in light of the coronavirus pandemic [19,20]. The questionnaire form contained twenty-eight questions, which were divided into three parts. First, seven questions on the demographic characteristics of each participant, such as their age, educational level, gender, nationality, and work experience, covered two questions on food safety training. In the second part, the questions focused on food handler knowledge and food safety practices. Finally, the last part of the questionnaire consisted of questions covering the knowledge of food handlers on COVID-19.

The questionnaire was validated through a pilot study amongst food safety professionals to verify the questionnaire's accuracy and to strengthen the survey based on the feedback received. The questionnaire was later distributed manually to the food service employees in the 5 hospitals in Al-Riyadh. Participation was voluntary, and the respondents had the right to withdraw from the study. All food service staff in the selected five hospitals in Riyadh City were the target participants for this study. About 300 respondents agreed to participate in the research and completed the entire questionnaire. The questionnaires were distributed to five governmental hospitals, and staff responses were collected from December 2020 to February 2021. About 315 food service workers completed the entire questionnaire.

### 2.3. Statistical Analysis

The variables were summarized as descriptive statistics of frequency, percentage, and standard deviation. A multivariate analysis of variance (MANOVA) was used to examine the effects of the demographic variables. Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS), version 24 (IBM version 23.0, Armonk, NY, USA), was used to perform the quantitative analysis of the participants' responses. The data are reported in numbers and percentages. In all cases, the cutoff for a significant effect was set at p < 0.05.

# 3. Results

## 3.1. Demographic Characteristics of the Food Handlers

Table 1 presents the demographic characteristics of study participants, with results showing that the majority of the employees were aged between 30 and 40 years (45.4%), followed by 18–29 (42.9%) and 41–50 years (9.5%), and the lowest number (2.2%) of employees were >51 years old. Regarding gender characteristics, 265 (84.1%) were males and 50 (15.9%) were females, with a male-to-female ratio of 5.3:1. Among the employees surveyed, most were non-Saudis. The majority (42.5%) were Indians, followed by 27.6% and 9.5% Bangladeshis and Filipinos. The majority of the study participants (48.6%) had more than four years of experience in catering services, and only 12.4% had less than a year of work experience. In total, 119 (37.8%), 45 (14.3%), 6 (1.9%), and 26 (8.3%) employees had secondary, bachelor's, master's, and diploma certificates, respectively. Food handlers surveyed in the present study belonged to varied job profiles, including chief cooks (10.8%), cleaners (21%), salad preparers (5.3%), meat cutters (1.6%), desert preparers (3.8%), and supervisors (4.1%), while more than half of them (53.3%) performed various roles in catering.

	Categories	Respondents	Percentage
	18–29	135	42.9
A C	30-40	143	45.4
Age Group	41-50	30	9.5
	>51	7	2.2
	Male	265	84.1
Gender	Female	50	15.9
	Saudi	30	9.5
	Egyptian	9	2.9
	Indian	134	42.5
Nationality	Bengali	87	27.6
5	Filipino	30	9.5
	Sudanese	3	1.0
	Other nationalities	22	7.0
	Chief cook	34	10.8
	Cleaner	66	21.0
	Salad preparer	17	5.4
Job	Meat cutter	5	1.6
	Desert preparer	12	3.8
	Supervisor	13	4.1
	Any other role	168	53.3
	<1 year	39	12.4
Years of experience in food catering	2–3 years	123	39.0
	>4 years	153	48.6
	Elementary	92	29.2
	Diploma	26	8.3
	Secondary	119	37.8
Education	Bachelor's	45	14.3
	Master's	6	1.9
	Other	27	8.6

Table 1. The demographic characteristics of food service staff.

Note: Data are presented as numbers and percentages.

## 3.2. Current Awareness of COVID-19

Food handlers were assessed for their knowledge of the coronavirus's symptoms and spread, as represented in Table 2. The majority of the participants (86%) were aware of all the main symptoms of the coronavirus. Similarly, 70% of the respondents knew that the virus's incubation period was between 1 and 14 days, while around 20% did not know. Among all the food handlers, 53.7% knew that asymptomatic patients could transmit the virus, while 46.3% of respondents did not agree with this transmission mode.

Questions	Categories	Respondents	Percentage
	High temperature	25	7.9
	Continuous cough	9	2.9
Symptoms of COVID-19 infection	Loss or change to sense of smell and taste	10	3.2
	All the above	271	86.0
	1–3	7	2.2
COVID 10 incubation pariod (days)	1–7	22	7.0
COVID-19 incubation period (days)	1–14	222	70.5
	I do not know	64	20.3
COVID 10 is transmitted by a parson without symptoms	Yes	169	53.7
COVID-19 is transmitted by a person without symptoms	No	146	46.3
COVID 10 is transmitted through food	Yes	88	27.9
COVID-19 is transmitted through food	No	277	72.1
COVID-19 is transmitted through touching surfaces, hand shaking,	Yes	288	91.7
and sneezing	No	26	8.3
Vour tomporature is taken before work starts on site	Yes	293	93.3
Your temperature is taken before work starts on site	No	21	6.7
Hand draws are effective in killing the new COVID 10	Yes	182	58.0
Hand dryers are effective in killing the new COVID-19	No	132	42.0
Construction of the share of the second seco	Yes	160	50.8
Spraying alcohol or chlorine all over your body kills the new COVID-19	No	155	49.2

Table 2. Food service staff information on the symptoms and transmission of COVID-19.

# 3.3. Food Safety Knowledge of COVID-19 among Food Handlers

The catering staff was evaluated for their knowledge about personal hygiene and standard practices to control the coronavirus spread, and the results are summarized in Table 3. The majority of respondents (69.2%) agreed that effective cleaning, disinfection, and pest control could prevent the spread of coronavirus. Similarly, 83.8% of staff strongly agreed to wash their hands after entering the bathroom and touching their hair, face, nose, mouth, and ears. In addition, 97.2% of participants agreed that social distancing, washing hands frequently, and not touching their eyes and nose while working could thwart the coronavirus.

Table 3. Knowledge level of food service staff on hygiene and standard practices.

Questions	Categories	Respondents	Percentage
	Strongly disagree	3	1.0
Effective cleaning, disinfection, and pest control prevent the spread of COVID-19	Disagree	4	1.3
	Agree	90	28.6
	Strongly agree	218	69.2
	Strongly disagree	3	1.0
You must wash your hands after entering the bathroom, touching hair, face, nose, mouth, and ears	Disagree	2	0.6
	Agree	46	14.6
	Strongly agree	264	83.8

## Table 3. Cont.

Questions	Categories	Respondents	Percentage
	Strongly disagree	5	1.6
One of the correct practices to prevent COVID-19 is social distancing,	Disagree	4	1.3
washing hands frequently, and not touching eyes and nose while working	Agree	43	13.7
	Strongly agree	263	83.5
	Strongly disagree	5	1.6
Vou must wash wour hands after disposal of wasts and unfit food	Disagree	1	0.3
You must wash your hands after disposal of waste and unfit food	Agree	74	23.5
	Strongly agree	235	74.6
	Strongly disagree	6	1.9
Tingomaile should be kent short and clean	Disagree	1	0.3
Fingernails should be kept short and clean	Agree	72	22.9
	Strongly agree	236	74.9
	Strongly disagree	7	2.2
You must wear gloves and a mask during food handling to prevent the	Disagree	2	0.6
spread of COVID-19.	Agree	66	21.0
•	Strongly agree	240	76.2
	Strongly disagree	2	0.6
During food handling, there should be an appropriate distance	Disagree	3	1.0
between workers	Agree	61	19.4
	Strongly agree	249	79.0
When suspicious or confirmed asses of COVID 10 are detected among	Strongly disagree	14	4.4
When suspicious or confirmed cases of COVID-19 are detected among	Disagree	23	7.3
workers, the central air conditioner must be cleaned and sterilized, and	Agree	86	27.3
it can be turned on again after sanitation	Strongly agree	192	61.0

# 3.4. Knowledge of Handling and Storing Food among Food Handlers

Table 4 summarizes participants' knowledge regarding handling food items during the pandemic to avoid cross-contamination. Results show that more than 90% of staff affirmed that hands should be washed and sanitized before handling food or eating and that using color-coded cutting boards can reduce cross-contamination. Although more than 60% of respondents knew that food handlers are a source of pathogenic bacteria and that thorough cooking can kill the coronavirus, a high percentage (39%) of participants gave negative responses. Overall, the catering staff demonstrated sufficient knowledge concerning handling and food storage. However, there is room for better handling of food items and preventing cross-contamination [21]. Other studies have reported similar results among hospital food handlers [22,23].

**Table 4.** (a) Food service staff information on the handling of food. (b) Food service staff information regarding food storage.

(a)					
Questions	Categories	Respondents	Percentage		
You should wash and sanitize your hands before handling food or eating	Yes	311	98.7%		
	No	4	1.3%		
Food workers are a source of pathogonic microhos	Yes	190	60.3%		
Food workers are a source of pathogenic microbes	No	125	39.7%		
Using color-coded cutting boards can reduce cross-contamination	Yes	296	94.3%		
Using color-coded cutting boards can reduce cross-containination	No	18	5.7%		
Cooking the reveably will kill the COVID 10	Yes	191	60.8%		
Cooking thoroughly will kill the COVID-19	No	123	39.2%		

## Table 4. Cont.

(b)				
Questions	Categories	Respondents	Percentage	
	55 °C	5	1.6%	
	65 °C	33	10.5%	
When chicken is cooked, the minimum internal temperature should be	75 °C	157	49.8%	
	100 °C	45	14.3%	
	I do not know	75	23.8%	
Cold foods are kept at 5 °C or below	No	20	6.3%	
Cold loods are kept at 5°C of below	Yes	295	93.7%	
Hot foods are kept at 60 $^\circ$ C or above	No	14	4.1%	
The hous are kept at our C of above	Yes	301	95.9%	
	10–15 °C	11	3.5%	
	5–10 °C	77	24.4%	
The normal temperature in a refrigerator is	1–5 °C	172	54.6%	
	Below 0 °C	3	1.0%	
	I do not know	52	16.5%	
	64 °C	41	13.0%	
To ensure killing pathogens, food should be cooked until the center	74 °C	143	45.4%	
reaches at least	100 °C	51	16.2%	
	I do not know	80	25.4%	
	-2018 °C	157	49.8%	
The normal temperature during freezing is	−10 °C	70	22.2%	
The normal temperature during neezing is	0 °C	20	6.3%	
	I do not know	68	21.6%	
	Milk	8	2.5%	
	Meat	5	1.6%	
High-risk foods include	Poultry	17	5.4%	
	Eggs	13	4.1%	
	All of the above	272	86.3%	
	1–3	179	56.8%	
The shelf life (days) of refrigerated chicken is	4–7	55	17.5%	
The shen me (days) of remgenated clicken is	>7	7	2.2%	
	I do not know	74	23.5%	
	5–6	166	52.7%	
The shelf life (month) of frozen chicken is	7–8	22	7.0%	
The shen me (month) of mozen chicken is	9–12	56	17.8%	
	I do not know	71	22.5%	

Regarding the overall knowledge level of respondents about food storage, the majority of respondents (86.3%) were aware that milk, meat, poultry, and eggs are perishable foods (Table 4). In total, 93.7% of respondents confirmed that cold foods should be kept at  $41^{\circ}F/5^{\circ}C$  or below, while 95.9% stated that hot foods should be kept at  $140^{\circ}F/60^{\circ}C$  or above. Only half of the respondents knew the answer to the question about the normal temperature in a refrigerator (54.6%). The average temperature during freezing (49.8%), the minimum internal temperature of chicken when cooked (49.8%), the belief that food should be cooked until the center temperature reaches 74 °C to assure the death of pathogens (45.4%), the shelf life of refrigerated chicken (56.8%), and the shelf life of frozen chicken (17.8%). The results indicate a lack of knowledge on temperatures among food service staff, which agrees with various workers' studies [14,24].

# 3.5. Knowledge about Coronavirus (COVID-19) among Food Handlers

Table 5 shows the knowledge of the coronavirus among the surveyed population of food handlers. Most of the respondents (67%) had no idea about the duration of the

survival of coronavirus on glass surfaces. A relatively small percentage of participants (7.3%) reported that the virus could survive for 2 days, while 16.8% and 8.9% reported that the virus could survive for 5 and 9 days, respectively. The majority of respondents (66.7%) knew that the virus could survive on metallic, glass, and plastic surfaces. However, almost half of the respondents (48.7%) did not know about the chemical disinfectants that could prevent coronavirus, while 31.8% reported that ethanol, hydrogen peroxide, and/or sodium hypochlorite could be used for disinfection. Around 58% of the surveyed staff reported that the survival of the virus was dependent on the surface type, temperature, and environmental humidity. On the contrary, 24.1% of the staff had no idea.

Questions	Categories	Respondents	Percentage
	2 days	23	7.3%
COVID 10 remains on the class surfaces up to	5 days	53	16.8%
COVID-19 remains on the glass surfaces up to	9 days	28	8.9%
	I do not know	211	67.0%
	Metal	12	3.8%
	Glass	9	2.9%
An example of surfaces on which COVID-19 remains	Plastic	13	4.1%
	All of the above	210	66.7%
	I do not know	71	22.5%
	Ethanol	57	18.2%
	Hydrogen peroxide	3	1.0%
The chemical disinfectant that prevents COVID-19 is	Sodium hypochlorite	1	3%
1	All of the above	100	31.8%
	I do not know	153	48.7%
	Surface type	8	2.5%
	Temperature	25	7.9%
COVID-19 remains alive on surfaces depending on	Environmental humidity	22	7.0%
	All of the above	184	58.4%
	I do not know	76	24.1%
	5 s	75	23.8%
The best period by seconds to wash hands with soap and water to	10 s	45	14.3%
limit the spread of COVID-19 is	40 s	137	43.5%
-	I do not know	58	18.4%
When you deal with unwrapped food, do you use a cap or wear a	Never	11	3.5%
head covering?	Sometimes	6	1.9%

Table 5. Food handlers' knowledge about COVID-19.

Regarding the optimum time for washing hands with soap and water to limit the spread of coronavirus, only 43.5% of the respondents reported that their hands should be washed for 40 s. In summary, the respondents had little knowledge of the coronavirus and needed to be updated. In an Egyptian study by Elsherbiny and his colleagues conducted at hospitals to evaluate workers' knowledge and practices, the majority of study participants (82.6%) did not know how long they should rub their hands during handwashing, and only 40.9% always washed their hands before handling food [25]. Authors reported the continued presence of coronaviruses (SARS, MERS, and COVID-19) on non-living surfaces such as metal, glass, and plastic for up to 9 days and maintained that these viruses could be effectively reduced by disinfecting surfaces with any of the following chemical disinfectants: ethanol alcohol (62–71%), sodium hypochlorite (1%), or hydrogen peroxide (5%) [9].

# 3.6. Knowledge and Practice of Food Safety

According to the data reported in Table 6, participants showed significant differences in their knowledge relating to coronaviruses according to nationality, education, work experience, and job role. Saudi participants reported significantly higher (p < 0.001) mean

coronavirus knowledge as compared to non-Saudi participants ( $67.9 \pm 12.1 \text{ vs. } 52.6 \pm 13.2$ ). Participants who had master's degrees reported significantly higher (p < 0.001) mean scores ( $69.2 \pm 6.9$ ) compared to participants with elementary, secondary, diploma, and other educational certificates. Furthermore, participants with more than 4 years of job experience also reported significantly higher (p < 0.001) mean scores ( $56.5 \pm 14.5$ ) compared to participants with less experience ( $48.9 \pm 13.3$ ). In addition, participants working as supervisors also reported the highest mean scores ( $51.5 \pm 12.7$ ) compared to cleaners and chief cooks (p < 0.05). Data showed that participants working as cleaners reported the lowest knowledge of coronavirus ( $49.9 \pm 16.9$ ) as compared to salad preparers and those working in other roles (p < 0.05). No significant differences in coronavirus knowledge were found according to age, gender, and food safety training courses.

**Table 6.** Respondents' food safety knowledge, attitudes, and practices by their sociodemographic and employment characteristics.

	Coronavirus Knowledge		Practice		Food Safety Knowledge	
	Mean $\pm$ SD	p Value	$\mathbf{Mean} \pm \mathbf{SD}$	p Value	Mean $\pm$ SD $^{\circ}$	p Value
Age (years)						
18–29	$53.2 \pm 13.5$		$88.0\pm16.1$		$77.8 \pm 13.7$	
30-40	$53.8 \pm 14.1$		$83.2 \pm 20.3$	0.004	$77.1 \pm 11.2$	0.236
41-50	$58.9 \pm 13.4$	0.193	$94.2 \pm 14.2$		$81.9\pm9.8$	
>51	$58.2 \pm 13.9$		$96.4 \pm 9.4$		$81.0 \pm 10.3$	
Gender						
Male	$53.9 \pm 13.5$		$87.1 \pm 18.3$		$78.3 \pm 12.7$	
Female	$55.1 \pm 15.4$	0.593	$84.0\pm17.3$	0.274	$75.9\pm9.4$	0.199
Nationality						
Saudi	$67.9 \pm 12.1$	0.001	$85.8 \pm 17.0$	0.010	$75.9\pm9.6$	0.001
Non-Saudi	$52.6\pm13.2$	< 0.001	$86.6\pm18.3$	0.812	$78.1 \pm 12.5$	0.326
What is your job?						
Chief cook	$52.7 \pm 13.4$		$91.9 \pm 16.0$		$75.4\pm9.8$	
Cleaner	$49.9 \pm 16.9$		$81.8\pm20.8$		$74.1 \pm 15.7$	
Salad preparer	$59.1 \pm 14.5$		$88.2 \pm 15.6$		$73.9\pm10.9$	
Meat cutter	$50.8\pm6.9$	0.033	$85.0\pm22.4$	0.054	$77.1 \pm 18.3$	0.005
Desert preparer	$57.1 \pm 14.1$		$81.3\pm24.1$		$77.4\pm8.9$	
Supervisor	$61.5\pm12.7$		$96.2\pm9.4$		$84.6\pm8.3$	
Any other role	$54.9 \pm 12.3$		$86.9\pm17.3$		$80.0\pm11.0$	
How many years have yo	u been working in food ca	tering?				
<1 year	$48.9 \pm 13.3$	0	$89.7 \pm 12.5$		$75.7\pm9.8$	
2–3 years	$52.8 \pm 12.5$	0.003	$85.4 \pm 17.5$	0.42	$77.5 \pm 12.5$	0.312
>4 years	$56.5\pm14.5$		$86.8\pm19.9$		$78.9 \pm 12.6$	
Qualification						
Elementary	$53.3\pm12.7$		$82.9 \pm 17.4$		$74.6\pm9.8$	
Diploma	$56.3 \pm 17.9$		$89.4 \pm 14.4$		$78.4\pm9.6$	
Secondary	$50.9 \pm 13.0$	. 0.001	$85.5\pm20.5$	0.044	$80.1 \pm 14.9$	0.01
Bachelors	$63.5\pm13.7$	< 0.001	$91.1 \pm 15.2$	0.044	$79.5\pm10.6$	0.04
Master	$69.2\pm6.90$		$95.8 \pm 10.2$		$80.2\pm6.3$	
Other	$50.4\pm9.1$		$91.7\pm17.0$		$76.9\pm10.6$	
How many training food s		e?				
0	$55.1 \pm 13.8$		$82.8 \pm 14.6$		$75.8 \pm 11.4$	
1–3	$52.7 \pm 14.0$	0.077	$87.4 \pm 17.9$	0.020	$78.5 \pm 12.4$	0.454
4–5	$54.1 \pm 14.6$	0.066	$83.1\pm26.6$	0.029	$79.0\pm13.6$	0.454
>6	$59.3 \pm 10.6$		$93.1 \pm 14.2$		$78.6 \pm 11.7$	

p value was determined by one-way ANOVA or independent sample, and values indicate statistically significant (p < 0.05).

Moreover, Table 6 shows significant differences in food safety practices according to age, qualification, and the extent of food safety training courses. Participants aged 30–40 years reported significantly lower mean scores on food safety practices than other age groups. Older participants, i.e., those over 50 years old, reported the highest mean score on food safety practices, i.e., 96.4  $\pm$  9.4 (p < 0.05). This result agrees with a study conducted by [26] on food handlers in Calabria, Italy, which argued that food service staff

differ in food safety practices according to their different age groups. However, contrary to this study [27], there was no relationship between hygiene practices and food handler ages. Participants with the lowest educational levels, e.g., at the primary level, reported the lowest mean score on food safety practices compared to participants with master's, bachelor's, and other academic certificates (p < 0.05). Similarly, the results show that participants who attended more than six food safety training courses significantly (p < 0.05) recorded higher scores on food safety practices (93.1 ± 14.2) as compared to participants who had not attended food safety training courses.

Similarly, the data of this study are consistent with the research conducted by [28–30], which found a strong association in the relationship between the education of food handlers and food safety practices. Others observed that trained food service staff achieved better scores on methods than untrained staff [31]. McIntyre et al. [32] reported that it is not necessarily true that a high level of education develops food safety practices among staff. No differences in food safety practices were found according to gender, nationality, job role, or job experience.

In this study, participants with an elementary education reported the lowest knowledge of food safety (74.6  $\pm$  9.8) as compared to secondary and diploma certificate holders (p < 0.05). Similarly, studies found that there was a significant association between food safety knowledge and food service staff education levels. Moreover, the higher the food handlers' education level, the better their food safety knowledge was [33–36]. On the contrary, however, a study found no significant differences in knowledge and practices and the education of food service staff [37]. Participants working as supervisors (84.6  $\pm$  8.3) and in other roles (80.0  $\pm$  11.0) reported significantly higher mean scores as compared to chief cooks, cleaners, and salad preparers (p < 0.05). This result contradicts another study conducted by [38], which showed that the job role did not improve the overall food safety knowledge assessment outcomes of hospital food handlers. No differences in food safety knowledge were found according to age, gender, nationality, job experience, or food safety training courses.

# 4. Discussion

The current study was considered to examine food safety awareness and practices among handlers in the food service sector at Riyadh City hospitals during the COVID-19 pandemic. Several questionnaires were designed to identify the food handlers' origin and knowledge and training in food handling and storage. Moreover, the other part of the study covers the awareness of handling COVID-19 and practices of food handling with safety roles.

Concerning the safety training for the food handlers, our preliminary screening found that the majority (56.5%) of the team received one to three training courses, while 21.3% of food handlers did not undergo any training courses. Our findings indicate an emphasis on food safety training in hospitals in Al Riyadh. The American CDC identified five major risk factors in food services that are familiar sources of foodborne outbreaks: contaminated equipment, food from unsafe sources, improper holding times and temperatures, inadequate cooking, and poor personal hygiene. All these risk factors come from human error and behavior and can be prevented through proper safety training [39]. Studies found that food safety training improved knowledge of food safety [40–42]. One study on Korean restaurants confirmed the effectiveness of a training program, the results of which showed an improvement in food handlers' knowledge and hygiene practices and reinforced the importance of handwashing before work [43]. Food handler training reduces food contamination and foodborne diseases [41].

Based on the handlers' awareness of the coronavirus and its symptoms, we concluded that the majority of them in the target hospitals were aware of the symptoms. As stated by the WHO, the viruses are transmitted through droplets formed during coughing or sneezing. These droplets may enter the nose and mouth of other people [20]. A British study reported that infection symptoms of the emerging coronavirus (cough, fever, and loss of taste and smell) did not appear in 86 percent of those who tested positive, implying that those infected could spread the virus without symptoms appearing, emphasizing the importance of precautionary measures (wearing a mask, social distancing, cleaning, and disinfection procedures) to reduce the "silent" virus transmission [13,44]. Furthermore, another study stated that the risk of virus transmission via person-to-person contact, such as close contact with a patient or carrier, increased [45].

In this study, most of the participants (72.1%) knew that the virus does not spread through food, and 91.7% of food handlers agreed that the transmission of the coronavirus occurred through touching surfaces, shaking hands, and sneezing; these results agreed with a study conducted on food handlers working in food factories in Jordan based on this mode of transmission [13]. In addition, around 97.0% of food workers believed that inhaling big droplets while coughing and sneezing would be a significant factor in the spread of the SRS-CoV-2 virus, and 88.9% of food employees recognized that handshaking between coworkers would help transmit the virus. On the other hand, the workers had a limited understanding of other SRS-CoV-2 viral sources (such as contacting raw food; food packaging; contaminated surfaces; touching the nose, mouth, and eyes; and touching inanimate things (doorknobs, money, etc.)) [44]. Most of the catering staff were wellversed in the importance of sanitization in curbing the virus's spread. In this study, the results revealed that 93.3% of employees stated that their temperature is taken before work begins on-site, 58% of respondents agreed that hand dryers are effective in killing the new coronavirus, and 50.8% indicated that spraying alcohol or chlorine all over the body is effective in killing the new coronavirus. These results contradict the guidance of the World Health Organization, which states the ineffectiveness of using hand dryers and spraying alcohol or chlorine all over the body to kill the new coronavirus [20]. Overall, most respondents had adequate knowledge about the symptoms and transmission of the coronavirus.

According to a recent study, one recommended preventive measure is social distancing. Social distancing is highly effective in all scenarios, such as airborne contamination when the microorganism remains viable in the air for an extended period, aerial transmission by coughing or sneezing, as well as direct or indirect physical contact (such as via contaminated surfaces, etc.) [46]. In total, 74.6–79% of catering staff fully agreed that maintaining personal hygiene and employing standard practices, such as washing hands after the disposal of waste and unfit food, keeping short and clean nails, wearing gloves and masks, and maintaining the appropriate distance between workers during food handling, could prove effective in controlling the spread of the virus. Strong agreement was recorded for 61% of respondents. In comparison, 27.3% agreed that, upon encountering suspicious or confirmed coronavirus cases among fellow workers, the central air conditioner must be cleaned and sterilized, and it can be turned on again after sanitation. Results demonstrate that the surveyed staff demonstrated in-depth knowledge of personal hygiene and standard practices to prevent the spread of coronavirus. In a study reported by university students in Jordan, the percentages of correct answers to "COVID-19 food-related attributes" were as follows: food cooking and storage (56.8%), personal hygiene (44.6%), and restaurant hygiene (36.9%) [44].

Regarding the food handlers' practices, our preliminary findings stated that most participants (93.7%) reported covering their mouths and noses with a mask during food handling. The majority of the staff informed the correct way of washing hands, and 88.3% of respondents wash hands with warm water and soap and then wipe them dry. Moreover, about 94.6% of the surveyed staff reported wearing a cap or head covering when handling unwrapped foodstuff. In the case of the wound on the hands, 14.6% said to inform the manager, 7.6% of participants responded to covering the injury with a clean and impermeable bandage, and 7.9% of participants reported wearing gloves. The majority (69.8%) reported following all these protocols in the presence of wounds on their hands. In general, the staff stated acceptable food-handling practices. A similar result in a study conducted on restaurants in Vitoria, Spain, recorded good practices in personal

hygiene (98.7%), uniform hygiene (93.3%), and keeping their hair completely covered during work (90.7%) [33]. A study conducted in Madinah hospitals indicated that nearly all employees (94.5%) always washed their hands before preparing food. Additionally, most employees (81%) wore gloves when handling food and always wore a mask (70.6%) and a head covering (82.2%) when preparing and serving food. In total, 70.6% of the employees reported that they knew the correct way to wash their hands [11]. Personal hygiene affects food hygiene as well as food quality and safety.

A correlation between the awareness of COVID-19, handling practices, and food safety knowledge of the handlers was also found in this study. The participants demonstrated that sufficient knowledge of the coronavirus tended to portray good food safety practices (R = 0.24, p < 0.001) and food safety knowledge (R = 0.27, p < 0.001). Moreover, those with higher food safety knowledge also showed good food safety practices (R = 0.39, p < 0.001). Similarly, other studies [11,33,47,48] describe a significant positive correlation between food safety knowledge and food safety practices. However, these results contrasted with those of another study that found that although food service employees had good knowledge of food safety, respondents seldom applied this knowledge when handling food [49,50].

In general, the findings of this study highlight the significance of education and the regular training of food service staff to improve learning and ensure better and safer food-handling practices, which could contribute to applying food safety and hygiene in hospitals, particularly in governmental hospitals.

## 5. Conclusions

The results of this survey show vital information regarding the food handlers' level of knowledge, their attitudes and practices, the contamination of food samples, as well as an understanding of the coronavirus to ensure proper food safety. Food handlers demonstrated the use of sufficient knowledge, techniques, and attitudes with regard to maintaining food quality and ensuring food safety. However, there seems to be a pertinent need to educate food handlers about the coronavirus and its management to prevent the contamination or transfer of the virus. The findings of this study showed a significant positive correlation between food safety knowledge and food safety practices. However, there was no positive correlation between the food handler's knowledge and safe food handling. Therefore, there is a need to evaluate training courses and to provide practical training for food handlers, following the mandates of the Ministry of Health.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, S.B.A., S.S.A., G.M.A., and A.A.; Methodology, S.B.A., S.S.A., and A.B.H.; Software, A.B.A.; Validation, A.A.A.; Formal analysis, A.B.H.; Investigation, M.A.Y.; Resources, G.M.A. and A.A.; Data curation, A.B.H.; Writing—original draft, S.B.A. and S.S.A.; Writing—review and editing, G.M.A. and A.B.H.; Visualization, A.A.A. and A.B.A.; Supervision, G.M.A., and A.B.H.; Project administration, M.A.Y.; Funding acquisition, G.M.A. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This research was funded by the Researchers Supporting Project, King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia (grant number RSP2023R84).

**Institutional Review Board Statement:** The research protocol was approved by the Subcommittee on the Ethics of Human and Social Research, King Saud University, Ref. No.: KSU-HE-20-655.

**Informed Consent Statement:** All subjects gave their informed consent for inclusion before they participated in the study.

**Data Availability Statement:** The datasets used and analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Acknowledgments: The authors extend thanks to the Researchers Supporting Project number (RSP2023R84), King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

# References

- 1. Wu, Y.-C.; Chen, C.-S.; Chan, Y.-J. The outbreak of COVID-19: An overview. J. Chin. Med. Assoc. 2020, 83, 217. [CrossRef]
- Ma, L.; Chen, H.; Yan, H.; Yang, L.; Wu, L. Multiple attribute decision making model and application to food safety risk evaluation. PLoS ONE 2017, 12, e0189835. [CrossRef]
- Ministry of Health, Saudi Arabia. Coronavirus Infections. 2020. Available online: https://www.moh.gov.sa/en/Ministry/ MediaCenter/Publications/Pages/covid19.aspx (accessed on 29 January 2023).
- 4. World Health Organization. Coronavirus Infections. 2020. Available online: https://www.who.int/health-topics/coronavirus# tab=tab\_1 (accessed on 30 March 2023).
- 5. Center for Disease Control and Preservation (CDC). Food and Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19); CDC: Atlanta, GA, USA, 2020.
- US Food and Drugs Administration (FDA). Food Safety and the Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19); 2020. Available online: https://www.fda.gov/food/food-safety-during-emergencies/food-safety-and-coronavirus-disease-2019-covid-19 (accessed on 30 March 2023).
- 7. EUFIC. Food and Coronavirus (COVID-19): What You Need to Know; EUFIC: New York, NY, USA, 2020.
- 8. FSA. Qualitative Risk Assessment on the Risk of Food or Food Contact Materials as a Transmission Route for SARS-CoV-2; FSA: London, UK, 2020.
- 9. Kwol, V.S.; Eluwole, K.K.; Avci, T.; Lasisi, T.T. Another look into the Knowledge Attitude Practice (KAP) model for food control: An investigation of the mediating role of food handlers' attitudes. *Food Control* **2020**, *110*, 107025. [CrossRef]
- 10. Shahbaz, M.; Bilal, M.; Moiz, A.; Zubair, S.; Iqbal, H.M. Food Safety and COVID-19: Precautionary Measures to Limit the Spread of Coronavirus at Food Service and Retail Sector. *J. Pure Appl. Microbiol.* **2020**, *14*, 749–756. [CrossRef]
- 11. Alqurashi, N.A.; Priyadarshini, A.; Jaiswal, A.K. Evaluating food safety knowledge and practices among foodservice staff in Al Madinah Hospitals, Saudi Arabia. *Safety* **2019**, *5*, 9. [CrossRef]
- 12. Alrasheed, A.; Connerton, P.; Alshammari, G.; Connerton, I. Cohort study on the food safety knowledge among food services employees in Saudi Arabia state hospitals. *J. King Saud Univ.-Sci.* **2021**, *33*, 101500. [CrossRef]
- 13. Omar, S.S. Impact of pandemic crisis: COVID-19 on food safety knowledge, attitudes and practices among food workers in Jordan. *Eurasian J. Biosci.* **2020**, *14*, 3581–3586.
- 14. Al-Dagal, M.M. Food safety knowledge and behavior of sanitarians of Riyadh municipality (Saudi Arabia). *Food Prot. Trends* **2003**, 23, 142–148.
- 15. Ayaz, W.O.; Priyadarshini, A.; Jaiswal, A.K. Food safety knowledge and practices among Saudi mothers. *Foods* **2018**, *7*, 193. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 16. Moreb, N.A.; Priyadarshini, A.; Jaiswal, A.K. Knowledge of food safety and food handling practices amongst food handlers in the Republic of Ireland. *Food Control* 2017, *80*, 341–349. [CrossRef]
- Husain, F.M.; Ahmad, I.; Khan, M.S.; Al-Shabib, N.A. Trigonella foenum-graceum (Seed) extract interferes with quorum sensing regulated traits and biofilm formation in the strains of Pseudomonas aeruginosa and Aeromonas hydrophila. *Evid.-Based Complement. Altern. Med.* 2015, 2015, 879540. [CrossRef]
- 18. Gonge, S.S.; Ghatol, A. A Cheque Watermarking System Using Singular Value Decomposition for Copyright Protection of Cheque Images, in Advances in Signal Processing and Intelligent Recognition Systems; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2016; pp. 119–132.
- 19. Ministry of Health, Saudi Arabia. Coronavirus Infections. 2020. Available online: https://www.moh.gov.sa/HealthAwareness/ EducationalContent/PublicHealth/Pages/corona.aspx (accessed on 16 June 2022).
- 20. WHO. Coronavirus Infections. 2020. Available online: https://www.paho.org/en/topics/coronavirus-infections (accessed on 30 March 2023).
- 21. Pagotto, H.Z.; Espíndula, L.G.; da Vitória, A.G.; Machado, M.M.; de São José, J.F.B. Knowledge, attitudes and practices of food handlers in food services. *Demetra Food Nutr. Health/Aliment. Nutr. Saúde* **2018**, *13*, 293–305. [CrossRef]
- 22. Osaili, T.M.; Obeidat, B.A.; Hajeer, W.A.; Al-Nabulsi, A.A. Food safety knowledge among food service staff in hospitals in Jordan. *Food Control* **2017**, *78*, 279–285. [CrossRef]
- 23. Bou-Mitri, C.; Mahmoud, D.; El Gerges, N.; Abou Jaoude, M. Food safety knowledge, attitudes and practices of food handlers in lebanese hospitals: A cross-sectional study. *Food Control* **2018**, *94*, 78–84. [CrossRef]
- 24. Al Kaabi, S.; Salama, R. Assessment of Knowledge, attitude and practice of food safety among food service staff at Hamad General Hospital in 2006. *Qatar Med. J.* 2010, 2010, 8. [CrossRef]
- 25. Nkhebenyane, J.S.; Lues, R. The Knowledge, attitude, and practices of food handlers in central South African hospices. *Food Sci. Nutr.* **2020**, *8*, 2598–2607. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 26. Elsherbiny, N.M.; Sobhy, S.A.; Fiala, L.; Abbas, M.A. Knowledge, attitude and practices of food safety among food handlers in Ismailia city hospitals, Egypt. *Int. J. Adv. Community Med.* **2019**, *2*, 96–102. [CrossRef]
- Angelillo, I.F.; Viggiani, N.M.; Greco, R.M.; Rito, D. HACCP and food hygiene in hospitals knowledge, attitudes, and practices of food-services staff in Calabria, Italy. *Infect. Control Hosp. Epidemiol.* 2001, 22, 363–369. [CrossRef]
- 28. Buccheri, C.; Casuccio, A.; Giammanco, S.; Giammanco, M.; La Guardia, M.; Mammina, C. Food safety in hospital: Knowledge, attitudes and practices of nursing staff of two hospitals in Sicily, Italy. *BMC Health Serv. Res.* 2007, *7*, 1–11. [CrossRef]
- 29. Woh, P.Y.; Thong, K.L.; Behnke, J.M.; Lewis, J.W.; Zain, S.N.M. Evaluation of basic Knowledge on food safety and food handling practices amongst migrant food handlers in Peninsular Malaysia. *Food Control* **2016**, *70*, 64–73. [CrossRef]

- 30. Mekasha, T.; Neela, S.; Kumela, D. Food safety knowledge, practice and attitude of food handlers in traditional hotels of Jimma Town, Southern Ethiopia. *Ann. Food Sci. Technol.* **2016**, *17*, 507–517.
- 31. Howes, M.; McEwen, S.; Griffiths, M.; Harris, L. Food handler certification by home study: Measuring Changes in Knowledge and Behavior. *Dairy Food Environ. Sanit.* **1996**, *16*, 737–744.
- McIntyre, L.; Vallaster, L.; Wilcott, L.; Henderson, S.B.; Kosatsky, T. Evaluation of food safety knowledge, attitudes and self-reported hand washing practices in FOODSAFE trained and untrained food handlers in British Columbia, Canada. *Food Control* 2013, 30, 150–156. [CrossRef]
- 33. Webb, M.; Morancie, A. Food safety knowledge of foodservice workers at a university campus by education level, experience, and food safety training. *Food Control* **2015**, *50*, 259–264. [CrossRef]
- 34. Abdul-Mutalib, N.A.; Abdul-Rashid, M.F.; Mustafa, S.; Amin-Nordin, S.; Hamat, R.A.; Osman, M. Knowledge, attitude and practices regarding food hygiene and sanitation of food handlers in Kuala Pilah, Malaysia. *Food Control* 2012, 27, 289–293. [CrossRef]
- 35. Sibanyoni, J.J.; Tshabalala, P.A.; Tabit, F.T. Food safety knowledge and awareness of food handlers in school feeding programmes in Mpumalanga, South Africa. *Food Control* **2017**, *73*, 1397–1406. [CrossRef]
- 36. Nee, S.O.; Sani, N.A. Assessment of Knowledge, attitudes and practices (KAP) among food handlers at residential colleges and canteen regarding food safety. *Sains Malays.* **2011**, *40*, 403–410.
- Ambrožič, M.; Kukec, A.; Jevšnik, M.; MOŽINA, S.S.; Raspor, P. Food safety expertise among professional food handlers and consumers related to foodborne viruses: Case Slovenia. *Int. J. Sanit. Eng.* 2016, 10, 4–19.
- 38. Al-Mohaithef, M. Food Hygiene in Hospitals: Evaluating Food Safety Knowledge, Attitudes and Practices of Foodservice Staff and Prerequisite Programs in Riyadh's Hospitals, Saudi Arabia; University of Birmingham: Birmingham, UK, 2014.
- 39. Teffo, L.A.; Tabit, F.T. An assessment of the food safety knowledge and attitudes of food handlers in hospitals. *BMC Public Health* **2020**, *20*, 1–12. [CrossRef]
- McFarland, P.; Checinska Sielaff, A.; Rasco, B.; Smith, S. Efficacy of food safety training in commercial food service. *J. Food Sci.* 2019, 84, 1239–1246. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Lynch, R.A.; Elledge, B.L.; Griffith, C.C.; Boatright, D.T. A comparison of food safety knowledge among restaurant managers, by source of training and experience, in Oklahoma County, Oklahoma. J. Environ. Health 2003, 66, 9. [PubMed]
- 42. Acikel, C.H.; Ogur, R.; Yaren, H.; Gocgeldi, E.; Ucar, M.; Kir, T. The hygiene training of food handlers at a teaching hospital. *Food Control* **2008**, *19*, 186–190. [CrossRef]
- 43. Lindgreen, A.; Hingley, M.K.; Angell, R.J.; Memery, J. A Stakeholder Approach to Managing Food: Local, National, and Global Issues; Routledge: Oxfordshire, UK, 2016.
- 44. Park, S.-H.; Kwak, T.-K.; Chang, H.-J. Evaluation of the food safety training for food handlers in restaurant operations. *Nutr. Res. Pract.* **2010**, *4*, 58–68. [CrossRef]
- 45. Petersen, I.; Phillips, A. Three quarters of people with SARS-CoV-2 infection are asymptomatic: Analysis of English household survey data. *Clin. Epidemiol.* **2020**, *12*, 1039. [CrossRef]
- Larisa, M.; Arkadiy, K.; Tatyana, K. Food safety practices in catering during the coronavirus COVID-19 pandemic. *Foods Raw* Mater. 2020, 8, 197–203.
- 47. Sani, N.A.; Siow, O.N. Knowledge, attitudes and practices of food handlers on food safety in food service operations at the Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia. *Food Control* **2014**, *37*, 210–217. [CrossRef]
- 48. Rosnani, A.H.; Son, R.; Mohhidin, O.; Toh, P.S.; Chai, L.C. Assessment of knowledge, attitude and practices concerning food safety among restaurant workers in Putrajaya, Malaysia. *Food Sci. Qual. Manag.* **2014**, *32*, e27.
- Clayton, D.A.; Griffith, C.J.; Price, P.; Peters, A.C. Food handlers' beliefs and self-reported practices. *Int. J. Environ. Health Res.* 2002, 12, 25–39. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 50. Pilling, V.K.; Brannon, L.A.; Shanklin, C.W.; Howells, A.D.; Roberts, K.R. Identifying specific beliefs to target to improve restaurant employees' intentions for performing three important food safety behaviors. J. Am. Diet. Assoc. 2008, 108, 991–997. [CrossRef]

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.