

On examination he was well and not overweight. Corrected visual acuity was 6/6 on the right and 6/18 on the left. Colour vision was normal. There was mild constriction of the peripheral visual fields and the blind spots were enlarged, more so on the left. There was bilateral papilloedema, worse on the left, with haemorrhages and retinal and choroidal folds. The remainder of the neurological examination was normal, as was the general examination.

The following investigations were normal or negative: full blood count, electrolytes, renal function, liver function, serum glucose, clotting screen, syphilis serology, lupus anticoagulant, protein C and S, anti-thrombin III, autoantibody screen, monospot, and cold agglutinins. Psittacosis complement fixation test was strongly positive at 1280. A repeat measurement three weeks later was 640. Mycoplasma and Coxiella titres were both < 40. A chest radiograph and CT brain scan were normal. Intravenous digital subtraction angiography showed no occlusion of the major cerebral venous sinuses. At lumbar puncture the opening pressure was elevated at 37 cm; CSF constituents were normal.

A total of 20 ml of CSF was drained with symptomatic improvement and he was given a ten day course of oral erythromycin. Two weeks after the initial lumbar puncture the visual symptoms recurred with intermittent diplopia. Mild bilateral sixth nerve palsies were now present and at repeat lumbar puncture CSF pressure was 37.5 cm. He was started on acetazolamide 250mg twice daily. One week later the CSF pressure was 22.5cm and his symptoms and visual fields had improved. The acetazolamide was continued for a further two weeks but then stopped following an episode of suspected renal colic. Despite this he continued to improve and after nine months, although troubled by occasional headaches, visual acuity was 6/6 on the right and 6/9 on the left. The left blind spot remained slightly enlarged but peripheral fields were now normal. There was no swelling of the optic discs and eye movements were normal. To date there has been no recurrence.

The systemic disturbance at the onset of this patient's illness is compatible with a diagnosis of psittacosis. A review of 135 cases of serologically confirmed psittacosis found the characteristic features to be an abrupt onset of fever, rigors, sweats, a prominent headache, and a mild dry cough which often appeared late. Respiratory symptoms were absent in 18% of patients, but over 90% had an abnormal chest radiograph, or abnormal chest signs, or a combination of both.⁵ Despite the absence of respiratory symptoms in our patient, his initial clinical presentation and the chest radiograph are consistent with this diagnosis. As the patient presented to us 10 weeks after the initial febrile illness, we were unable to demonstrate a rise in the complement fixation titre and a raised titre may merely reflect previous exposure. However, a single titre of ≥ 256 without an associated antibody rise to one of the commoner respiratory infections is generally considered to be sufficient evidence for a diagnosis of psittacosis.⁵ The initial titre of 1280 in our patient, which subsequently fell to 640, is thus indicative of recent infection. In combination the clinical, radiological and serological features support a diagnosis of

psittacosis. The previous death of one of the patient's parrots may also have been relevant. In 25% of cases where there is a firm history of exposure to birds, one or more of the birds had died or been ill.¹

The subsequent visual symptoms, visual field abnormalities, and papilloedema with an elevated CSF pressure and a normal CT brain scan suggested a diagnosis of so called benign intracranial hypertension. The condition is unusual in males who account for only 16% of cases and obesity is less strongly associated than in females. The cause of the syndrome in most cases remains undetermined. There are several potential mechanisms in this particular patient. Firstly, venous sinus thrombosis occurring at the time of the initial febrile illness could explain the intracranial hypertension and this could possibly have resolved by the time of digital subtraction angiography. This possibility is compatible with the patient's improvement which could have been spontaneous and unrelated to antibiotic and acetazolamide therapy. Tetracycline, the treatment of choice for psittacosis, is associated with benign intracranial hypertension, but our patient received no specific treatment for his original illness. Lastly, CSF absorption may have been impaired secondary to meningo-encephalitis, which is a recognised complication of psittacosis.¹ Our patient had symptoms compatible with meningo-encephalitis but CSF constituents were normal on two occasions. Thus the pathogenesis of intracranial hypertension in this patient is not entirely clear.

Psittacosis appears to be increasing in frequency and this previously unreported complication emphasises the potentially diverse manifestations of the disease.

M PREVETT
AE HARDING

University Department of Clinical Neurology,
National Hospital for Neurology and Neurosurgery,
Queen Square, London WC1N 3BG, UK

Correspondence to: Dr Prevet.

- 1 Anon. More psittacosis. *BMJ* 1973;3:704.
- 2 Shee CD. Cerebellar disturbance in psittacosis. *Postgrad Med J* 1988;64:382-3.
- 3 Zumla A, Lipscomb G, Lewis D. Sixth cranial nerve palsy complicating psittacosis (letter). *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 1988;51:1462.
- 4 Williams W, Sunderland R. As sick as a pigeon—psittacosis myelitis. *Arch Dis Child* 1989;64:1626-8.
- 5 Yung AP, Grayson ML. Psittacosis—a review of 135 cases. *Med J Aust* 1988;148:228-33.

MATTERS ARISING

Neurogenic effects on the palatopharyngeal muscle in patients with obstructive sleep apnoea: a muscle biopsy study

Edström *et al*¹ reported neurogenic lesion in the palatopharyngeal muscle of eight patients with obstructive sleep apnoea. Their report is particularly interesting as they suggest a disturbance of the dilating muscle function of the upper airway in the pathogenesis of obstructive sleep apnoea. Surprisingly, the authors did not consider our published data on muscle fibre type distribution of medium pharyngeal constrictor muscle in habitual snorers.² In these patients we found an abnormal distribution

of fibre types (low percentage of type I and type IIb and high percentage of type IIa fibres) compared with controls. No myopathic or neurogenic changes were found. We proposed two hypotheses to explain the abnormal distribution of fibre types in snorers. First, a constitutionally determined reduction of slow α -motor neurons induces an adaptive transformation of type IIb to type IIa fibres and a hypertrophy, or second motor neuron change their patterns of discharge and, hence, of activation, and modify fibre-type distribution of medium pharyngeal constrictor muscle as an adaptation to the anatomical characteristic of upper airway and habitual snoring.

In patients with obstructive sleep apnoea Edström *et al*¹ found a large variability in muscle fibre size, signs of neurogenic stress and muscle fibre atrophy. However, no neurological evaluation or investigations were performed in patients with obstructive sleep apnoea to exclude a peripheral neuropathy or muscular pathologies. The authors did not specify whether or not the patients had undergone recent pharmacotherapy. It is commonly known that some drugs can change the muscle fibre pattern.³ Another factor is the site of the muscle sampling in the different patients. Biopsy samples not taken at the same site and same depth tend to vary in fibre type distribution and diameter.⁴

The authors did not give any indication of the fibre type distribution in either of the subjects groups. Such an indication is important as fibre distribution is fundamental to assessing muscle function indexes.⁵

If details of the points we have raised are available there might be a greater understanding of the pharyngeal muscle involvement in patients with obstructive sleep apnoea.

SANDRO IANNACCONE
LUIGI FERINI-STRAMBI
RAFFAELLO NEMNI
SALVATORE SMIRNE
Department of Neurology,
State University and Scientific Institute
H S Raffaele, Milan, Italy

- 1 Edström L, Larsson H, Larsson L. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 1992;55:916-20.
- 2 Smirne S, Iannaccone S, Ferini-Strambi L, Comola M, Colombo E, Nemni R. *The Lancet* 1991;337:597-9.
- 3 Dubowitz V. *Muscle biopsy: a practical approach*, 2nd ed. London: Baillière Tindall, 1985.
- 4 Sjöström M, Downham D, Lexell J. *Muscle Nerve* 1986;9:30-6.
- 5 Sjöström M, Angquist KA, Bylund AC, Fridén J, Gustavsson L, Scherström T. *Muscle Nerve* 1982;5:538-53.

Edström *et al* reply:

The principal reason why we did not consider the results from Iannaccone *et al*¹ is because they were not published when the original version of our manuscript was completed. We have, however, considered their comments with interest.

We do not, however, find their results comparable to ours as a different biopsy site was examined. It is possible that different sites in the pharynx are affected in different ways by snoring and obstructive sleep apnoea. Furthermore, it is not known whether the patients in the study by Iannaccone *et al* had obstructive sleep apnoea or not, and snoring was not a medical problem. It is not possible to judge from interviews only whether a patient has obstructive sleep apnoea.^{2,4} All patients in our study had sought medical attention because of problems associated with obstructive