Cloning and Characterization of SmeDEF, a Novel Multidrug Efflux Pump from *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia*

ANA ALONSO AND JOSÉ L. MARTÍNEZ*

Departamento de Biotecnologı´a Microbiana, Centro Nacional de Biotecnologı´a, CSIC, Campus Universidad Auto´noma de Madrid, Cantoblanco, 28049-Madrid, Spain

Received 20 March 2000/Returned for modification 16 July 2000/Accepted 18 August 2000

Stenotrophomonas maltophilia **is a nosocomial bacterial pathogen intrinsically resistant to several antibiotics. The mechanisms involved in this intrinsic multiresistance phenotype are poorly understood. A library of chromosomal DNA from a spontaneous multidrug-resistant** *S. maltophilia* **D457R mutant (A. Alonso and J. L. Martinez, Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 41:1140–1142, 1997) was screened for complementation of erythromycin susceptibility on an antibiotic-hypersusceptible** *Escherichia coli* D*acrAB* **strain. Cloning and further analysis revealed that a 6-kbp region constituting a transcriptional unit was capable of complementing the** antibiotic-susceptible phenotype of an *E. coli* $\Delta acrAB$ strain. We identified three open reading frames, *smeD*, *smeE* **and** *smeF***, which code for members of the membrane fusion protein, resistance nodulation division, and outer membrane factor families, respectively. Drug susceptibility assays indicated that the SmeDEF system cloned in** *E. coli* **mediates resistance to a wide range of antibiotics. Ethidium bromide and norfloxacin accumulation experiments in the presence and in the absence of carbonyl cyanide** *m***-chlorophenylhydrazone showed that this system constitutes a drug efflux pump dependent on the membrane proton motive force. The presence of high levels of** *smeDEF* **mRNA in the multiresistant D457R mutant was consistent with the high levels of SmeF (formerly Omp54) observed in the same strain. In contrast, transcription levels of** *smeDEF* **in the D457 strain were tiny, which correlates with the low levels of SmeF observed for this strain. Also, for both the D457 and D457R strains, we observed growth phase-dependent regulation in which the highest level of transcription corresponded to early exponential phase, with transcription decreasing throughout the growth curve to undetectable levels at 24 h.**

In the last decade, the gram-negative bacterium *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* has emerged as a relevant nosocomial pathogen, usually associated with infections of immunocompromised patients. Although, the mechanisms involved in the virulence of *S. maltophilia* are poorly understood, this bacterium has been reported to be associated with bacteremia, endocarditis, infection of the respiratory and urinary tracts, meningitis, and ocular and gastrointestinal infections (for a review, see reference 10).

Infections caused by *S. maltophilia* are difficult to treat due to the intrinsic antibiotic resistance displayed by this bacterium (17, 40). Indeed, selective media developed to isolate *S. maltophilia* from clinical and environmental samples include antimicrobial agents (20). Two β -lactamases, L1 metallo- and L2 serine- β -lactamases, which allow many *S. maltophilia* isolates to be resistant both to β -lactams and combinations of β -lactams and β -lactamase inhibitors (46), have been characterized (47, 48). Quinolone resistance has been increasingly reported (46), and aminoglycoside-inactivating activity has been demonstrated for some isolates (22). The presence of a gene encoding the synthesis of a macrolide phosphotransferase with a gram-positive origin in the *S. maltophilia* D457R mutant has also been reported (3). Quite recently, one aminoglycoside, acetyl transferase, which is ubiquitously present in all *S. maltophilia* isolates and thus might contribute to the natural low susceptibility of *S. maltophilia* to aminoglycosides has been described (25). It should be noted that the low susceptibility

* Corresponding author. Mailing address: Departamento de Biotecnología Microbiana, Centro Nacional de Biotecnología, CSIC, Campus Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Cantoblanco, 28049-Madrid, Spain. Phone: 34-91-5854551. Fax: 34-91-5854506. E-mail: jmtnez @cnb.uam.es.

showed by *S. maltophilia* strains can be considered an important virulence factor in patients under antibiotic treatment. In fact, prior exposure of the patient to antibiotics has been identified as an important risk factor associated with *S. maltophilia* infection or colonization (9, 10).

It has been elucidated that, although the low permeability of the outer membranes of gram-negative bacteria contributes to the intrinsic low susceptibilities of these microorganisms to some antibiotics, there should be other mechanisms that, synergically with this reduced permeability, produce significant levels of resistance (28, 35, 36). Indeed, multidrug resistance (MDR) efflux pumps together with the outer membrane barrier have been identified as the major mechanism of broad antibiotic resistance in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (26). MDR efflux pumps have been characterized for *P. aeruginosa* (24, 31, 38, 39) and *Escherichia coli* (23, 27), among others. Indeed, those determinants are probably found in most, if not all, bacterial species (42). With this point of view in mind, we speculated that *S. maltophilia*'s typical MDR phenotype could be explained, at least in part, by the presence of such systems in the genome of this bacterial species. In fact, single-step spontaneous MDR mutants are easily selectable from clinical isolates of *S. maltophilia* upon incubation with antibiotics (2, 49). Furthermore, analysis of some of these mutants has demonstrated that they express outer membrane proteins (OMPs) immunologically related to OMPs involved in MDR in *P. aeruginosa* (49).

In this report, we describe the cloning and the characterization for the first time of an MDR efflux pump of *S. maltophilia*. Like other well-characterized gram-negative MDR determinants, the pump is composed of a membrane fusion protein, an energy-dependent transporter, and one OMP. Screening of the EMBL database yielded *smeRSABC* (accession no. AF173226)

TABLE 1. Bacterial strains and plasmids used in this work

a Kan^r, kanamycin resistance; Rf^r, rifampin resistance; Tc^r, tetracycline resistance; Ap^r, ampicillin resistance; Cm^r, chloramphenicol resistance.

from *S. maltophilia* (in which "*sme*" stands for *Stenotrophomonas* multiple efflux), which is not associated with the multiresistance phenotype (L. Zhang, X. Li, and K. Poole, Pseudomonas '99: biotechnology and pathogenesis, abstr. 22, 1999). Indeed, sequence analysis revealed that the components of the efflux pumps of both systems differed substantially. To comply with current nomenclature, we have named the new system described in this article the *smeDEF* system.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacterial strains, plasmids, and growth conditions. The strains and plasmids employed in this work are listed in Table 1. The *S. maltophilia* D457R strain is a spontaneous single-step multiresistance mutant (2) of the D457 clinical isolate that overexpresses the OMP SmeF (formerly Omp54). *E. coli* KZM120 contains an insertion in the efflux pump determinant $acr\hat{AB}$ ($\Delta acrAB$::Tn903 Kan^r) which renders the strain drug hypersusceptible and was a kind gift from Dzwokai Ma. *E. coli* AA68, a spontaneous rifampin-resistant clone, was obtained by plating *E. coli* KZM120 in Luria-Bertani (LB) medium containing 50 μg of rifampin per ml. *E. coli* AA81 and *E. coli* AA72 were obtained by P1 transduction (16) of a phage lysate prepared from KZM120. Cosmid pLAFR3 was a kind gift from Fernando Rojo. Strains were grown in LB medium (4) at 37°C, unless indicated otherwise.

Construction of a cosmid library, cloning procedures, and DNA sequencing. Chromosomal DNA for library construction was obtained from the *S. maltophilia* D457R mutant as described previously (5) and partially digested with *Bsp*1431 (Fermentas). DNA fragments were separated on a 10 to 40% (wt/vol) sucrose gradient, and 0.5-ml aliquots were collected and analyzed electrophoretically on 0.5% agarose gel. Those samples that contained 20- to 25-kbp fragments were pooled, ligated to the alkaline-phosphatase-treated cosmid pLAFR3, linearized with *BamHI* (Fermentas), and introduced into phage particles by the lambda DNA in vitro packaging module (Amersham). A packaged reaction was used to infect *E. coli* AA81, and transconjugants complementing susceptibility were selected on media containing tetracycline (13 μ g/ml), kanamycin (25 μ g/ml), and erythromycin (9 μ g/ml). To confirm the resistance phenotype displayed by transconjugants, cosmids were transferred conjugally into *E. coli* AA68 in the presence of the helper strain *E. coli* HB101(pRK2013) and plated on the appropriate medium to counterselect both donor and helper strains (8).

Restriction analysis of DNA and subcloning of the desired DNA fragments were performed by conventional methods (43). DNA sequencing was performed by the dideoxy chain termination method (43) with an ABI 373A automatic sequencer either by using the M13 universal primers or by primer walking.

DNA sequences were analyzed for open reading frames (ORFs) with the program CodonPreference from the University of Wisconsin Genetics Computer Group using a codon frequency table derived from highly expressed *E. coli* genes. Screening of the EMBL database was performed using the BLAST network service of the Swiss Institute of Bioinformatics.

Drug susceptibility measurements. The MICs of antibiotics were determined with Mueller-Hinton (4) medium for *S. maltophilia* strains and LB medium for *E. coli* strains by the E-test (AB Biodisk, Olna, Sweden), according to the manufacturer's instructions. MICs of ethidium bromide were determined by the broth microdilution method (33) in Mueller-Hinton medium.

Protein analysis. Whole-cell lysates and OMPs, obtained by differential solubilization in Triton X-100 as described previously (15), were analyzed on sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS)–8% polyacrylamide gels using the Bio-Rad Protean minigel system and stained with GelCode Blue (Pierce). Protein concentration was determined by the bicinchoninic acid protein assay (Pierce), and molecular weight markers were from Bio-Rad.

For Western blot analysis, proteins were transferred to a polyvinylidene fluoride membrane (Millipore) and analyzed with polyclonal antibody raised against Omp54 at a final dilution of 1:2,000 (see below). Horseradish peroxidase-conjugated protein A (Sigma) was used at a final concentration of $0.25 \mu g/ml$, and detection of immunoreactive bands was performed by chemiluminescence as described previously (41).

In gel digestion of proteins and sample preparation for matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization–time of flight (MALDI-TOF) mass spectrometry. Digestion of proteins in excised gel plugs (in gel) was performed as described previously (44) with minor modifications. The excised gel plugs were washed in water and acetonitrile prior to reduction with 10 mM dithiothreitol and alkylation with 55 mM iodoacetamide and thereafter dried by vacuum centrifugation. Modified
porcine trypsin (10 ng/µl, sequencing grade; Promega, Madison, Wis.) in digestion buffer (50 mM NH₄HCO₃, 300 ng of CaCl₂ per μ l) was added to the dry gel pieces, which were incubated on ice for 40 min for reswelling. After the supernatant was removed, 20 to 40 μ l of digestion buffer was added and the digestion was continued at 37°C for 18 h.

A 0.5-µl aliquot of the digestion supernatant was deposited onto the stainless steel MALDI probe and allowed to dry at room temperature. Then, 0.5μ l of matrix solution (saturated a-cyano-4-hydroxycinnamic acid in 30% aqueous acetonitrile and 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid) was added and the supernatant was again allowed to dry at room temperature.

Samples were measured on a Reflex III MALDI-TOF mass spectrometer (Bruker-Franzen Analytic GmbH, Bremen, Germany) equipped with the SCOUT source in positive ion reflector mode. The ion acceleration voltage was 20 kV. The equipment was first externally calibrated employing protonated mass signals from a peptide mixture covering the 1,000- to 4,000-*m/z* range, and thereafter every spectrum was internally calibrated using signals arising from trypsin autoproteolysis.

Production of polyclonal anti-Omp54 antibody. Electrophoretic bands from an outer membrane preparation of the *S. maltophilia* D457R mutant corresponding to Omp54 were excised from a preparative SDS-polyacrylamide gel, crushed in the presence of liquid N_2 until a fine dust was obtained, and resuspended in 50% phosphate-buffered saline. This solution was mixed in a 1:1 ratio with Freund's adjuvant (complete for the first injection only) (Sigma Chemical Co., St. Louis, Mo.) immediately before injection. One New Zealand White male rabbit weighing 2.5 kg was injected intramuscularly and subcutaneously with a

Strain	MIC $(\mu g/ml)^a$									
	TC	CM	AK	IMP	TICAR-CLV	ERY	NDX	NFX	OFX	EtBr
S. maltophilia										
D ₄₅₇ strain	6	1.5	24	>32	4	$48 - 64$	8	6		500
D457R strain	16	12	16	>32	6	>256	128	64	>32	500
E. coli										
AA68(pLAFR3)	ND	0.38	0.50	0.125	0.50	1.0	1.0	< 0.016	0.008	$\overline{4}$
AA68(pAS1)	ND	6	0.50	0.125	0.38	>256	6	0.032	0.047	125
AA72(pCK01)	0.38	ND	0.19	0.094	1.0	$1.5 - 2$	1.0	< 0.016	0.012	4
AA72(pAS2)	1.0	ND	0.19	0.19	0.75	$32 - 48$	3.0	0.032	0.064	125

TABLE 2. Antibiotic susceptibilities of *S. maltophilia* and *E. coli* strains expressing or not expressing the MDR determinant SmeDEF

^a TC, tetracycline; CM, chloramphenicol; AK, amikacin; IMP, imipenem; TICAR-CLV, ticarcillin-clavulanic acid; ERY, erythromycin; NDX, nalidixic acid; NFX, norfloxacin; OFX, ofloxacin; EtBr, ethidium bromide; ND, not done.

total of 100 mg of Omp54 protein, and the rabbit was boosted by subcutaneous injection four times at 2-week intervals. Preimmune serum was obtained from the central ear artery prior to the first injection, and total serum was obtained after euthanasia of the rabbit. Western blot analysis to determine the specificity of total serum indicated that, although Omp54 was immunoreactive, the lipopolysaccharides of *S. maltophilia* protein preparations were detected by the serum, giving a high background. To improve the specificity, serum was incubated with OMPs of the *S. maltophilia* \overrightarrow{D} 457 strain for 48 h at 4°C; the outer membrane fraction was then pelleted by centrifugation at $40,000 \times g$ for 1 h at 10°C, and the supernatant containing the antibody was recovered. After verification of loss of specificity to lipopolysaccharide and retention of immunodetection of Omp54, the supernatant was used in further Western blot analysis.

Drug accumulation assays. The intracellular accumulation of norfloxacin (6) and ethidium bromide (34) in *E. coli* strains was analyzed by fluorometric methods as described previously. Briefly, mid-logarithmic cells were recovered after 10 min of centrifugation at $4,000 \times g$ at 4°C, washed, and concentrated sixfold in 50 mM NaPO₄ (pH 7.0)–1 mM MgSO₄–0.2% glucose. The suspension was incubated for 10 min at 37°C before we proceeded with the accumulation assays. Ethidium bromide was added to the suspension to a final concentration of 10 mg/ml, and accumulation was recorded continuously by change of fluorescence (λ _{excite}, 530 nm; λ _{emit}, 600 nm) on a Hitachi F-2500 spectrofluorometer. After 5 min, carbonyl cyanide *m*-chlorophenylhydrazone (CCCP) was added to a final concentration of 100 μ M and fluorescence was recorded for another 5 min. Norfloxacin accumulation experiments were performed by adding to the cellular suspensions quinolone to a final concentration of 10 μ g/ml. After 10 min of incubation at 37°C, the suspension was divided in halves and CCCP was added to one of them to reach a final concentration of 100 μ M. After another 10 min of incubation, 0.5-ml triplicate aliquots were recovered from each bacterial suspension and treated as described previously (6) and fluorescence (λ_{excite} , 281 nm; λ_{emit} , 600 and 440 nm) was measured. The amount of quinolone accumulated was determined by comparison with the fluorescence shown by known concentrations of norfloxacin standards. Accumulation was compared with protein concentration in each sample.

RNA analysis. Total RNA from the *S. maltophilia* D457 and D457R strains was obtained using guanidine thiocyanate-based Tri Reagent-LS (Molecular Research Center Inc.) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Residual DNA was removed by treatment with RNase-free DNase I (Boehringer Mannheim) at 37°C for 15 min. The reaction mixture was extracted twice with acid phenol, and RNA was precipitated with ethanol and dissolved in water. The RNA concentration and purity were estimated by measuring UV absorption at A_{260} and A_{280} (43).

For Northern blot analysis, 25 μ g of total RNA was electrophoresed on 1% agarose under denaturing conditions (formaldehyde-formamide procedure [43]) and transferred to Hybond-N (Amersham) according to the manufacturer's instructions. RNA molecular weight markers were from Boehringer Mannheim. The membrane was stained with 0.02% methylene blue in 0.3 M sodium acetate (pH 5.2) to verify that RNA levels in each lane were comparable (data not shown). Membranes were subjected to overnight hybridization and subsequent washings under stringent conditions at 55°C with an *smeD* probe. The *smeD* (150-bp product) probe was prepared by PCR amplification of pAS1 using primer 1 (5'-CCAAGAGCCTTTCCGTCAT-3') and primer 2 (5'-TCTCGGAC TTCAGCGTGAC-3'). The reaction mixture (50 μ J) contained 0.2 mM (each) dCTP, and dGTP, 0.32 mM [³²P]dATP (50 μ Ci), 0.5 μ M each primer, 1.5 mM MgCl₂, 10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.3), 50 mM KCl, 100 ng of pAS1, and 1.0 U of *Taq* DNA polymerase. The mixture was heated for 90 s at 94°C, followed by 35 cycles of 30 s at 94°C, 60 s at 58°C, a 90-s extension step at 72°C, and, finally, one 10-min extension cycle at 72°C before the end of the reaction. The obtained PCR product was purified with Micro Bio-Spin chromatography columns (Bio-Rad), according to the manufacturer's instructions and added to the hybridization buffer at a final concentration of 10⁶ cpm/ml.

Nucleotide sequence accession number. The nucleotide sequence of *smeDEF* was submitted to the EMBL database under accession number AJ252200.

RESULTS

Cloning of the *smeDEF* **operon.** In a previous work (2), we analyzed a spontaneous MDR mutant (D457R mutant) obtained from the D457 *S. maltophilia* clinical isolate. Resistance to erythromycin in the MDR D457R strain is increased compared to that of the parental D457 strain (Table 2); therefore, selection with this antibiotic should allow growth of clones harboring a gene(s) that complements the susceptible background of *E. coli* AA81. This strain was obtained by P1 transduction of the *acrAB* deletion from *E. coli* KZM120 as described in Materials and Methods, and it is hypersusceptible to several antibiotics (data not shown) because it lacks *acrAB*, the major MDR determinant from *E. coli*. A pLAFR3-based cosmid library of the *S. maltophilia* D457R mutant was thus constructed in *E. coli* AA81, and colonies able to grow on erythromycin were selected. Although the number of transformants plated was high (approximately $10⁴$ colonies), only one colony grew up on the selective medium. To confirm that resistance was due to the cosmid (pAS1), this extrachromosomal element was conjugally transferred to the hypersusceptible strain *E. coli* AA68 (which also lacks *acrAB* [Table 1]). Introduction of pAS1 in *E. coli* AA68 conferred resistance not only to erythromycin but also to other nonrelated antibiotics (Table 2), indicating that an MDR determinant is encoded by the *S. maltophilia* DNA fragment present in this cosmid. Increases in MICs for *E. coli* AA68(pAS1) compared with those for the control strain *E. coli* AA68(pLAFR3) reached ratios of more than 256 times for erythromycin, 16 times for chloramphenicol, and 6 times for members of the quinolone family. No significant changes were observed in the MICs of amikacin and b-lactams.

Sequence analysis of *smeDEF.* Digestion of pAS1 with *Eco*RI and *Hin*dIII revealed that the cosmid pAS1 contains an insert of approximately 30 kbp. Several different restriction fragments from this insert were subcloned into pUC19 and partially sequenced using the forward and reverse M13 universal primers. DNA sequence from one of the ends of fragment E2 (approximately 9 kb in size) showed homology to several members of the membrane fusion protein family. A 6-kbp region was sequenced by primer walking from this DNA fragment. Three ORFs were identified. The first ORF (*smeD*) spanned nucleotides 82 to 1,266 of E2 and encodes a protein of 394 amino acids with a predicted molecular mass of 40,918 Da. The second ORF (*smeE*, nucleotides 1,279 to 4,401) encodes a

SmeF	AEHOLLAANANIGAARAAFFPSIS	290-313
SmeM	AEHOLLAANANIGAARAAFFPGID	
OprM	AEHOLMAANASIGAARAAFFPSIS	295-318
OprJ	AEHRLRARNADIGAARAAFFPRIS	296-319
SprC	AEHQLMAANANIGAARAAFFPRIS	293-316
	*** * * ** ********** *	

FIG. 1. Alignment of a conserved region of SmeF with the same region in the *S. maltophilia* OMP SmeM and other efflux OMPs (OprM and OprJ from *P. aeruginosa* and SprC from *Pseudomonas putida*). Residues conserved in all proteins are indicated with an asterisk, and residues conserved in four proteins are indicated by a dot. The numbers at the right indicate the positions of the amino acid sequences in the proteins.

protein of 1,040 amino acids with a predicted molecular mass of 111,311 Da. The third ORF (*smeF*, nucleotides 4,495 to 5,895) encodes a protein of 466 amino acids with a predicted molecular mass of 50,028 Da. Pairwise analysis of amino acidic alignments of each of the three ORFs to proteins in the EMBL database revealed homology to several components of efflux pumps from gram-negative bacteria. SmeD showed homology to members of the membrane fusion protein family (37), in which highest similarity was to the *E. coli* MDR determinants AcrA (29) and AcrE (23). Both proteins were 48% identical to SmeD. Interestingly, the level of similarity to SmeA from *S. maltophilia* (accession number AF173226) was lower and the identity to SmeD was 41%. A putative lipoprotein modification site (SLAIAATUAAC) was identified beginning from amino acid 12 at the N terminus of SmeD.

The second ORF, SmeE, showed homology to several proteins of the root nodulation and division (RND) family. AcrB (29) and AcrF (23) from *E. coli* had the highest similarities, being 61 and 58% identical to SmeE, respectively. SmeB (accession number AF173226) from *S. maltophilia* was 51% identical to SmeE. Analysis of transmembrane-spanning (TMS) regions revealed that SmeE has 12 predicted TMS regions, and as with other RND members (37), two conserved periplasmic loops were identified between TMS regions 1 and 2 and between TMS regions 7 and 8.

The third ORF, SmeF, showed homology to several OMPs. Highest similarity was found with SmeC (accession number AF173226) from *S. maltophilia*, which was 42% identical to SmeF. A putative lipoprotein modification site (SIAATLA LAGC) beginning at amino acid 14 at the N terminus of SmeF was identified. It has been recently described that in vitroobtained *S. maltophilia* MDR mutants might overexpress an OMP (SmeM) putatively involved in MDR and immunologically similar to OprM from *P. aeruginosa* (49). Comparison of the available sequence of an internal peptide of SmeM with the deduced sequences of SmeF and other OMPs involved in MDR systems indicated that SmeM and SmeF are not the same protein (Fig. 1), although they share several conserved amino acids in this region.

Omp54 and SmeF expression. The *S. maltophilia* D457R mutant overexpresses an OMP (Omp54) which is diagnostic for MDR and is present in clinical isolates of *S. maltophilia* showing an antibiotic MDR phenotype (2). To know whether the SmeDEF determinant was related to Omp54, a polyclonal antibody was raised as described in Materials and Methods against this OMP. Whole-cell lysates and OMPs of the *S. maltophilia* D457 and D457R strains and of *E. coli* AA81, AA81(pAS1), AA68, and AA68(pAS1) were obtained and analyzed on SDS-8% polyacrylamide gels and blotted for immunodetection with the polyclonal antibody. The outer mem-

FIG. 2. Analysis of SmeF expression by bacterial strains containing the *sme-DEF* operon. (A) Protein profiles obtained by SDS-8% polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis of protein extracts from *S. maltophilia* and *E. coli* strains either expressing or not expressing or not expressing *smeDEF*. (B) Results of Western blot analysis of the same samples using an anti-SmeF antibody. In all cases, samples contained 5 μ g of protein. Lanes 2 to 7, whole-cell protein extracts; lanes 8 to 13, OMP fractions. Slight differences in protein mobilities were observed between whole-cell extracts and OMP fractions. Lane 1, molecular mass stan-dards; lanes 2 and 8, *S. maltophilia* D457 strain; lanes 3 and 9, *S. maltophilia* D457R strain; lanes 4 and 10, *E. coli* AA81; lanes 5 and 11, *E. coli* AA81(pAS1); lanes 6 and 12, *E. coli* AA68; lanes 7 and 13, *E. coli* AA68(pAS1). The arrow shows the position of SmeF. Note that the protein is expressed only in *E. coli* strains containing *smeDEF* genes. Also, SmeF is overexpressed in the MDR *S. maltophilia* D457R mutant and is detectable, although at a low level, in the wild-type D457 strain.

brane fraction of both *E. coli* strains harboring pAS1 contained a new protein, which was not detected in the control strains (Fig. 2A). This protein was of the same size as Omp54, and Western blot analysis revealed that it was immunoreactive with the anti-Omp54 antibody (Fig. 2B). The immunoreactive band was also detectable by Western blot analysis of the whole-cell lysates of both *E. coli* strains harboring pAS1 but not of the parental strains. Together, these data strongly suggest that the Omp54 overexpressed in the D457R mutant and that SmeF are the same protein. Further confirmation of the identity of the protein was obtained by mass spectrometry analysis of the fragments generated with trypsin of the Omp54 band excised from an SDS-polyacrylamide gel. The experimental masses of 21 obtained fragments, spanning all along the protein, fit exactly (differences of less than 0.08 Da) with those predicted from the analysis of the *smeF* sequence (Fig. 3), indicating that SmeF and Omp54 are indeed the same protein. Three predicted tryptic fragments were absent in the mass spectrometry analysis. One, spanning amino acids 243 to 305, has a mass of 6,365.45 Da and probably was not efficiently transferred due to its size. The other two fragments were located at the N terminus of the SmeF protein, one from amino acids 1 to 7 (size, 846.43 Da) and another from amino acids 8 to 30 (size, 2263.21 Da). In this case, the lack of these low-molecular-size peptides strongly suggests that SmeF is a processed protein, a feature common to other OMPs.

SmeDEF is an efflux pump upon expression in *E. coli.* To determine whether the *smeDEF* operon indeed encodes an efflux pump, the E2 fragment from pAS1, which contains the whole *smeDEF* operon, was subcloned downstream from the *lac* promoter into the low-copy-number vector pCK01. The

Position of tryptic fragments with respect to SmeF

FIG. 3. Mass spectrometry analysis of tryptic fragments obtained from Omp54. The masses of the fragments obtained after in gel tryptic digestion of Omp54 were compared with those deduced from the *smeF* sequence. Black rectangles on the *x* axis indicate that the experimentally determined masses and the deduced masses were identical within an absolute error of less than 0.08 Da.

obtained recombinant plasmid, hereafter named pAS2, was introduced in the D*acrAB E. coli* strain AA72. Western blot analysis of total cell extract with polyclonal anti-SmeF antibody confirmed that SmeF is expressed in *E. coli* AA72 (data not shown) even without IPTG (isopropyl-ß-D-thiogalactopyranoside) induction. Analysis of MICs of several unrelated antibiotics (Table 1) demonstrated that AA72 harboring pAS2 rendered a multiple-antibiotic resistance phenotype. To determine if reduced susceptibility could be explained by impaired uptake of such drugs, intracellular accumulation of ethidium bromide and norfloxacin were performed in the absence and in the presence of the proton uncoupler CCCP. The intracellular accumulations of ethidium bromide (Fig. 4A) and norfloxacin (Fig. 4B) were reduced, respectively, 3.1- and 1.9-fold in the *smeDEF*-expressing strain *E. coli* AA72(pAS2) compared with levels in the control strain *E. coli* AA72(pCK01). Treatment with CCCP increased the accumulation of norfloxacin and ethidium bromide, reaching the same level in the *smeDEF*expressing strain *E. coli* AA72(pAS2) as in the controls after 5 min of incubation with the uncoupler agent. These results indicate that *smeDEF* is an efflux pump determinant whose activity is linked to the membrane potential. The changes in the MICs for *E. coli* AA72(pAS2), which expresses *smeDEF*, upon comparison with those for the parental strain *E. coli* AA72(pCK01) indicate that the range of antibiotics for which this pump is active includes tetracycline, erythromycin, and the quinolone family of antibiotics, but it seems that it is not effective in extruding amikacin or β -lactams.

Growth-phase regulation of SmeDEF expression in wildtype and MDR *S. maltophilia* **mutants.** In order to know if the expression of *smeDEF* is increased in the *S. maltophilia* D457R mutant compared to that in the parental D457 strain, both strains were grown in liquid LB medium at 37°C and samples for protein and RNA analysis were withdrawn at several points throughout the growth curve (Fig. 5A). Western blot analysis revealed that expression of SmeF by the wild-type D457 strain was low but that this protein was heavily expressed in the D457R strain (Fig. 5B). Levels of SmeF seemed to be constant throughout growth, although a small reduction in the amount of the protein was detectable at 24 h. These data indicate either that the expression of this OMP is constant throughout the cell cycle or that it is very stable.

In order to analyze *smeDEF* mRNA transcripts, Northern blot analysis was performed using an *smeD* probe as described

FIG. 4. Intracellular accumulation of drugs by *E. coli* strains containing or not containing *smeDEF*. (A) Accumulation of ethidium bromide; (B) accumulation of norfloxacin. In both cases, the proton uncoupler CCCP was added at a final concentration of 100 μ M. Note that the accumulation of both drugs is much lower for the *E. coli* strain AA72(pAS2) encoding *smeDEF* than for the control strain AA72(pCK01). Accumulation is restored to reach the same level in both strains after treatment with CCCP. The increased accumulation of the control strain AA72(pCK01) in the presence of CCCP is probably the consequence of the activities of endogenous *E. coli* pumps other than *acrAB* and that mediating ethidium bromide efflux.

FIG. 5. Growth-dependent analysis of *smeDEF* expression in *S. maltophilia* D457 and D457R strains. (A) Samples were withdrawn throughout the growth curve. O.D., optical density. (B) Results of Western blot analysis using an anti-SmeF antibody of protein extracts obtained along the growth cycle either from the wild-type *S. maltophilia* D457 strain or from the D457R mutant. Note that SmeF protein is much more highly expressed in the D457R mutant than in the D457 strain and that expression of this protein is nearly constant throughout the cell cycle, with a small reduction at the stationary phase of growth. (C) Results of a Northern blot analysis, using an *smeD* probe, of RNAs obtained at different points along the growth curve of the *S. maltophilia* D457 and *S. maltophilia* D457R strains. Lane M, RNA molecular size markers (from top to bottom: 6.9, 4.7, 2.7, 1.8, 1.5, 1.0, 0.6, 0.4, and 0.3 kb). Notice the strong induction of *smeDEF* in the D457R mutant strain at early exponential phase. Also, the low levels of *smeDEF* transcripts observed for the wild-type D457 strain are remarkable.

in Materials and Methods. A transcript whose size (5.8 kb) is consistent with the dimension of the entire *smeDEF* operon was detected (Fig. 5C) in samples obtained from the *S. maltophilia* D457R mutant. Some other major transcripts with smaller molecular sizes were also detected, indicating either the processing of *smeDEF* mRNA or the degradation of larger mRNA species. Interestingly, the levels of transcripts in D457R samples revealed a growth-dependent regulation in such a way that highest transcription corresponded to early exponential phase and decreased gradually throughout the growth curve, reaching undetectable levels at 24 h. In contrast, transcription levels of *smeDEF* mRNA in D457 samples were

FIG. 6. Growth-dependent analysis of *smeDEF* expression in the *S. maltophilia* D457 strain. Due to the low level of *smeDEF* transcripts observed for the *S. maltophilia* D457 strain in previous experiments (Fig. 3), a new Northern blot analysis of samples obtained throughout the growth curves of both the D457 and D457R strains (Fig. 3A) was performed as described in the text. The growthphase regulation of the amount of *smeDEF* RNA in the wild type was similar to that in the MDR mutant.

very low throughout the growth curve. This low basal level of transcription is consistent with the low levels of the SmeF protein in D457 samples (Fig. 5B). The increased expression of both *smeDEF* RNA and SmeF protein in the *S. maltophilia* D457R mutant compared with levels in the D457 parental strain strongly suggests that SmeDEF is the MDR determinant, the expression of which is increased in the previously analyzed D457R mutant (2).

The growth-dependent regulation of *smeDEF* transcripts, observed in D457R samples, was not clearly detectable in D457 samples as a consequence of some unspecific hybridization with rRNAs. We thus decided to carry out another Northern blot analysis, in which extensive washes to remove the *smeD* probe in order to avoid the background and extended exposure times might allow the analysis of *smeDEF* expression in the wild-type D457 strain. Figure 6 shows the results of this analysis. Although the levels of the transcripts cannot be quantified for the D457R mutant because the autoradiogram is overexposed, it is clear that the same growth-dependent regulation of *smeDEF* was detectable for both the D457R and D457 samples. These data strongly suggest that expression of the *smeDEF* system is regulated at at least two levels. One level is skipped in the D457R mutant, and its loss allows the increased expression of *smeDEF* in this mutant strain compared with its expression in the D457 wild-type strain. The other level is the growth-dependent regulation of *smeDEF* expression, which is detectable in both wild-type and MDR mutant strains.

DISCUSSION

To gain some insight into the mechanisms that allow the reduced susceptibility of *S. maltophilia*, we decided to study the presence of MDR determinants in this bacterial species. MDR efflux pumps from gram-negative bacteria are composed of three proteins located in the inner membrane, the periplasmic space, and the outer membrane. These proteins form a channel capable of extruding a broad range of substances from inside the bacterial cell through a proton motive force-dependent mechanism (36, 37). Synthesis of MDR determinants is usually down-regulated under standard laboratory conditions (36), so that we decided to clone *S. maltophilia* MDR determinants from a spontaneous nonrepressed MDR mutant previously obtained in our laboratory (2) . To make that, a cosmid-based library was made and expressed in the \triangle *acrAB E. coli* strain AA81. Functional selection for antibiotic-resistant clones allowed the isolation and further sequencing of the first MDR

efflux pump determinant (*smeDEF*) so far characterized for *S. maltophilia*. The results of Northern and Western blot analyses, together with mass spectrometry data, presented in this work support the identity of Omp54 and SmeF. Another determinant sharing the characteristics of an efflux determinant has also been recently sequenced from *S. maltophilia*; however, those authors indicate that it is not involved in antibiotic resistance (L. Zhang et al., Pseudomonas '99, abstr. 22).

SmeDEF overexpression increased the MICs of several antibiotics both for *S. maltophilia* and for the heterologous host *E. coli*, indicating that it is a broad-range MDR determinant. The fact that its expression in *E. coli* reduces the accumulation of structurally different compounds by a mechanism that is dependent on bacterial membrane potential indicates that *smeDEF* encodes all the elements needed for the synthesis of a functionally active MDR efflux pump similar to others so far described. Indeed, the gene organization of the *smeDEF* operon is similar to that of operons of other efflux systems of gram-negative bacteria (37, 42). Highest homology was found between SmeE and components of the RND family. The membrane fusion proteins of these systems also showed high similarities, although the similarities were lower than for the members of the RND family. The lowest similarities were found between the outer membrane components of efflux pumps; interestingly, SmeF showed the highest homology to SmeC, an OMP from another efflux determinant recently described for *S. maltophilia* (accession no. AF173226). Protein sequence analysis strongly suggests that SmeD and SmeF display lipid attachment sites at the N terminus; indeed, early attempts at sequencing the N terminus of SmeF failed. Predictions of TMS regions in SmeE were also consistent with structural characteristics of the members of the RND family (37); 12 TMS regions and two external loops situated between TMS regions 1 and 2 and TMS regions 7 and 8 were identified.

Together, these data indicate that SmeDEF is an antibiotic efflux determinant similar to others so far described for gramnegative bacteria (37), which thus might contribute to the intrinsic susceptibility of *S. maltophilia* to different drugs. A recent work has shown that this bacterial species might have several different MDR determinants, some of which are immunologically related with those previously characterized for *P. aeruginosa* (49). It is noteworthy that expression of SmeDEF strongly increases the MIC of erythromycin. Erythromycin is commonly used for the treatment of infections by gram-positive bacteria; however, gram-negative organisms are barely susceptible to this antibiotic. It has been speculated that this reduced susceptibility may be due to a reduced permeability of cellular envelopes to erythromycin. However, some MDR determinants from gram-negative bacteria are capable of extruding this antibiotic (1, 11, 32), as occurs with SmeDEF. Searching for inhibitors of those MDR determinants involved in erythromycin extrusion might allow us to increase the susceptibilities of gram-negative bacteria and thus allow us to introduce this antibiotic into the armamentarium for the treatment of gram-negative infections.

Expression of SmeDEF has been analyzed by Northern and Western blotting both for the wild-type D457 strain and for the derepressed D457R mutant. In both cases, a maximum amount of *smeDEF* was observed at the beginning of the exponential phase and decreased to undetectable levels after 24 h of growth. Western blot analysis demonstrated, however, that the amount of SmeF is nearly constant throughout cell cycle, with a small reduction at 24 h. These data might be explained either by the presence of another internal promoter which drives *smeF* expression and is not regulated by the cell cycle or because this protein is very stable and thus its amount is maintained, although *smeDEF* RNA levels decrease.

The regulation of the expression of *smeDEF* occurs then at two independent levels. First, the system is repressed in the wild-type D457 strain, a repression that is retrieved in the MDR D457R strain. Second, the expression of the system is regulated by growth phase, a regulation that is maintained in both strains. The signals which allow expression of the usually down-regulated MDR systems are poorly understood. Some of these systems are activatable by natural signal molecules like salicylate (7, 30). Induction of an MDR phenotype by toxic substances such as solvents (19, 21) and heavy metals (18) has also been described. Gene fusion experiments have demonstrated that the expression of *acrAB* from *E. coli* (29) and *mexABOprM* from *P. aeruginosa* (12) is increased by stress conditions and in stationary growth phase. Unlike with this growth-phase regulation, increased expression of *smeDEF* is observed at early exponential phase whereas expression of the system in stationary phase is nearly null. It is for the first time that this type of regulation is observed for MDR systems. Based on the expression of other determinants (see above), it was speculated that MDR systems, might have a physiological role during stationary-phase stress (29). However, our data do not support such a role for *smeDEF*. A search of published sequences of bacterial genomes has demonstrated the presence of multiple MDR determinants in bacterial chromosomes (42). This high redundancy probably indicates that they do not share the same physiological function. Hence, it is not strange that their expression responds in different ways to environmental and physiological signals.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Thanks are given to Dzwokai Ma for the gift of *E. coli* KZM120 and to E. Campanario and A. Varas for technical assistance. E. Camafeita and the Proteomics Facility of the CNB are also acknowledged by their help with the mass spectrometry analysis.

This research was aided in part by grant 08.2/022/98 from CAM. A. Alonso is a recipient of a fellowship from Gobierno Vasco.

REFERENCES

- 1. **Aires, J. R., T. Kohler, H. Nikaido, and P. Plesiat.** 1999. Involvement of an active efflux system in the natural resistance of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* to aminoglycosides. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. **43:**2624–2628.
- 2. **Alonso, A., and J. L. Martı´nez.** 1997. Multiple antibiotic resistance in *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia*. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. **41:**1140–1142.
- 3. **Alonso, A., and J. L. Martı´nez.** 2000. *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* D457R contains a cluster of genes from gram-positive bacteria involved in antibiotic and heavy metal resistance. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. **44:**1778–1782.
- 4. **Atlas, R. M.** 1993. Handbook of microbiological media. CRC Press, Inc., London, United Kingdom.
- 5. **Bagdasarian, M., and M. M. Bagdasarian.** 1994. Gene cloning and expression, p. 406–417. *In* P. Gerhardt, R. G. E. Murray, W. A. Wood, and N. R. Krieg (ed.), Methods for general and molecular bacteriology. American Society for Microbiology, Washington, D.C.
- 6. **Chapman, J. S., and N. H. Georgopapadakou.** 1989. Fluorometric assay for fleroxacin uptake by bacterial cells. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. **33:**27– 29.
- 7. **Cohen, S. P., S. B. Levy, J. Foulds, and J. L. Rosner.** 1993. Salicylate induction of antibiotic resistance in *Escherichia coli*: activation of the *mar* operon and a *mar*-independent pathway. J. Bacteriol. **175:**7856–7862.
- 8. **de Lorenzo, V., and K. N. Timmis.** 1994. Analysis and construction of stable phenotypes in gram-negative bacteria with Tn*5*- and Tn*10*-derived minitransposons. Methods Enzymol. **235:**386–405.
- 9. **Denton, M., N. J. Todd, and J. M. Littlewood.** 1996. Role of anti-pseudomonal antibiotics in the emergence of *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* in cystic fibrosis patients. Eur. J. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. Dis. **15:**402–405.
- 10. **Denton, M., and K. G. Kerr.** 1998. Microbiological and clinical aspects of infection associated with *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia*. Clin. Microbiol. Rev. **11:**57–80.
- 11. **Edgar, R., and E. Bibi.** 1997. MdfA, an *Escherichia coli* multidrug resistance protein with an extraordinarily broad spectrum of drug recognition. J. Bacteriol. **179:**2274–2280.
- 12. **Evans, K., and K. Poole.** 1999. The MexA-MexB-OprM multidrug efflux system of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* is growth-phase regulated. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. **173:**35–39.
- 13. **Fernandez, S., V. de Lorenzo, and J. Perez-Martin.** 1995. Activation of the transcriptional regulator XylR of *Pseudomonas putida* by release of repression between functional domains. Mol. Microbiol. **16:**205–213.
- 14. **Figurski, D. H., and D. R. Helinski.** 1979. Replication of an origin-containing derivative of plasmid RK2 dependent on a plasmid function provided in trans. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **76:**1648–1652.
- 15. **Fukuoka, T., N. Masuda, T. Takenouchi, N. Sekine, M. Iijima, and S. Ohya.** 1991. Increase in susceptibility of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* to carbapenem antibiotics in low-amino-acid media. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. **35:** 529–532.
- 16. **Goldberg, R. B., R. A. Benber, and S. L. Steicher.** 1974. Direct selection for P1-sensitive mutants of enteric bacteria. J. Bacteriol. **118:**810–814.
- 17. **Hancock, R. E.** 1998. Resistance mechanisms in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and other nonfermentative gram-negative bacteria. Clin. Infect. Dis. **27**(Suppl. 1)**:**S93–S99.
- 18. **Hernandez, A., R. P. Mellado, and J. L. Martinez.** 1998. Metal accumulation and vanadium-induced multidrug resistance by environmental isolates of *Escherichia hermannii* and *Enterobacter cloacae*. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. **64:**4317–4320.
- 19. **Isken, S., P. M. A. C. Santos, and J. A. M. deBont.** 1997. Effect of solvent adaptation on the antibiotic resistance in *Pseudomonas putida* S12. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. **48:**642–647.
- 20. **Juhnke, M. E., and E. des Jardin.** 1989. Selective medium for isolation of *Xanthomonas maltophilia* from soil and rhizosphere environments. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. **55:**747–750.
- 21. **Kieboom, J., J. J. Dennis, G. J. Zylstra, and J. A. de Bont.** 1998. Active efflux of organic solvents by *Pseudomonas putida* S12 is induced by solvents. J. Bacteriol. **180:**6769–6772.
- 22. **King, B. A., K. P. Shannon, and I. Phillips.** 1978. Aminoglycoside 6'-N acetyltransferase production by an isolate of *Pseudomonas maltophilia*. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. **4:**467–468.
- 23. **Klein, J. R., B. Henrich, and R. Plapp.** 1991. Molecular analysis and nucleotide sequence of the *envCD* operon of *Escherichia coli*. Mol. Gen. Genet. **230:**230–240.
- 24. **Kohler, T., M. Michea-Hamzehpour, U. Henze, N. Gotoh, L. K. Curty, and J. C. Pechere.** 1997. Characterization of MexE-MexF-OprN, a positively regulated multidrug efflux system of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Mol. Microbiol. **23:**345–354.
- 25. **Lambert, T., M. C. Ploy, F. Denis, and P. Courvalin.** 1999. Characterization of the chromosomal *aac*(*6*9)-*Iz* gene of *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia*. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. **43:**2366–2371.
- 26. **Li, X. Z., D. M. Livermore, and H. Nikaido.** 1994. Role of efflux pump(s) in intrinsic resistance of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*: resistance to tetracycline, chloramphenicol, and norfloxacin. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. **38:**1732– 1741.
- 27. **Ma, D., D. N. Cook, M. Alberti, N. G. Pon, H. Nikaido, and J. E. Hearst.** 1993. Molecular cloning and characterization of *acrA* and *acrE* genes of *Escherichia coli*. J. Bacteriol. **175:**6299–6313.
- 28. **Ma, D., D. N. Cook, J. E. Hearst, and H. Nikaido.** 1994. Efflux pumps and drug resistance in gram-negative bacteria. Trends Microbiol. **2:**489–493.
- 29. **Ma, D., D. N. Cook, M. Alberti, N. G. Pon, H. Nikaido, and J. E. Hearst.** 1995. Genes *acrA* and *acrB* encode a stress-induced efflux system of *Escherichia coli*. Mol. Microbiol. **16:**45–55.
- 30. **Masuda, N., E. Sakagawa, and S. Ohya.** 1995. Outer membrane proteins responsible for multiple drug resistance in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. **39:**645–649.
- 31. **Mine, T., Y. Morita, A. Kataoka, T. Mizushima, and T. Tsuchiya.** 1999. Expression in *Escherichia coli* of a new multidrug efflux pump, MexXY, from *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. **43:**415–417.
- 32. **Moore, R. A., D. DeShazer, S. Reckseidler, A. Weissman, and D. E. Woods.** 1999. Efflux-mediated aminoglycoside and macrolide resistance in *Burkholderia pseudomallei*. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. **43:**465–470.
- 33. **National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards.** 1997. Approved standard M7-A4. Methods for dilution antimicrobial susceptibility tests for bacteria that grow aerobically, 4th ed. National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards, Wayne, Pa.
- 34. **Neyfakh, A. A., V. E. Bidnenko, and L. B. Chen.** 1991. Efflux-mediated multidrug resistance in *Bacillus subtilis*: similarities and dissimilarities with the mammalian system. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **88:**4781–4785.
- 35. **Nikaido, H.** 1994. Prevention of drug access to bacterial targets: permeability barriers and active efflux. Science **264:**382–388.
- 36. **Nikaido, H.** 1996. Multidrug efflux pumps of gram-negative bacteria. J. Bacteriol. **178:**5853–5859.
- 37. **Paulsen, I. T., M. H. Brown, and R. A. Skurray.** 1996. Proton-dependent multidrug efflux systems. Microbiol. Rev. **60:**575–608.
- 38. **Poole, K., D. E. Heinrichs, and S. Neshat.** 1993. Cloning and sequence analysis of an EnvCD homologue in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*: regulation by iron and possible involvement in the secretion of the siderophore pyoverdine. Mol. Microbiol. **10:**529–544.
- 39. **Poole, K., N. Gotoh, H. Tsujimoto, Q. X. Zhao, A. Wada, T. Yamasaki, S. Neshat, J. I. Yamagishi, X. Z. Li, and T. Nishino.** 1996. Overexpression of the *mexC-mexD-oprJ* efflux operon in *nfxB*-type multidrug-resistant strains of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Mol. Microbiol. **21:**713–724.
- 40. **Quinn, J. P.** 1998. Clinical problems posed by multiresistant nonfermenting gram-negative pathogens. Clin. Infect. Dis. **27:**S117–S124.
- 41. **Renart, J., M. M. Behrens, M. Ferna´ndez-Renart, and J. L. Martinez.** 1996. Immunoblotting techniques, p. 537–554. *In* E. P. Diamandis and T. K. Christopoulos (ed.), Immunoassay. Academic Press, Inc., San Diego, Calif.
- 42. **Saier, M. H., I. T. Paulsen, M. K. Sliwinski, S. S. Pao, R. A. Skurray, and H. Nikaido.** 1998. Evolutionary origins of multidrug and drug-specific efflux pumps in bacteria. FASEB J. **12:**265–274.
- 43. **Sambrook, J., E. F. Fritsch, and T. Maniatis.** 1989. Molecular cloning: a laboratory manual, 2nd ed. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, N.Y.
- 44. **Shevchenko, A., M. Wilm, O. Vorm, and M. Mann.** 1996. Mass spectrometric sequencing of proteins silver-stained polyacrylamide gels. Anal. Chem. **68:** 850–858.
- 45. **Staskawicz, B., D. Dahlbeck, N. Keen, and C. Napoli.** 1987. Molecular characterization of cloned avirulence genes from race 0 and race 1 of *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. glycinea. J. Bacteriol. **169:**5789–5794.
- 46. **Vartivarian, S., E. Anaissie, G. Bodey, H. Sprigg, and K. Rolston.** 1994. A changing pattern of susceptibility of *Xanthomonas maltophilia* to antimicrobial agents: implications for therapy. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. **38:** 624–627.
- 47. **Walsh, T. R., A. P. MacGowan, and P. M. Bennett.** 1997. Sequence analysis and enzyme kinetics of the L2 serine beta-lactamase from *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia*. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. **41:**1460–1464.
- 48. **Walsh, T. R., L. Hall, S. J. Assinder, W. W. Nichols, S. J. Cartwright, A. P. MacGowan, and P. M. Bennett.** 1994. Sequence analysis of the L1 metallobeta-lactamase from *Xanthomonas maltophilia*. Biochim. Biophys. Acta **1218:**199–201.
- 49. **Zhang, L., X. Z. Li, and K. Poole.** 2000. Multiple antibiotic resistance in *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia*: involvement of a multidrug efflux system. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. **44:**287–293.