#### **ORIGINAL ARTICLE**

# **JMolMe**



# **SOST gene suppression stimulates osteocyte Wnt/β‑catenin signaling to prevent bone resorption and attenuates particle‑induced osteolysis**

**Zixue Jiao1 · Hao Chai1,2 · Shendong Wang1 · Chunguang Sun1,3 · Qun Huang1,4 · Wei Xu[1](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-6030-0668)**

Received: 18 November 2022 / Revised: 1 April 2023 / Accepted: 11 April 2023 / Published online: 1 May 2023 © The Author(s) 2023

### **Abstract**

The most common cause for prosthetic revision surgery is wear particle-induced periprosthetic osteolysis, which leads to aseptic loosening of the prosthesis. Both SOST gene and its synthetic protein, sclerostin, are hallmarks of osteocytes. According to our previous fndings, blocking SOST induces bone formation and protects against bone loss and deformation caused by titanium (Ti) particles by activating the Wnt/β-catenin cascade. Although SOST has been shown to infuence osteoblasts, its ability to control wear-particleinduced osteolysis via targeting osteoclasts remains unclear. Mice were subjected to development of a cranial osteolysis model. Micro CT, HE staining, and TRAP staining were performed to evaluate bone loss in the mouse model. Bone marrow-derived monocytemacrophages (BMMs) made from the C57BL/6 mice were exposed to the medium of MLO-Y4 (co-cultured with Ti particles) to transform them into osteoclasts. Bioinformatics methods were used to predict and validate the interaction among SOST, Wnt/β-catenin, RANKL/OPG, TNF-α, and IL-6. Local bone density and bone volume improved after SOST inhibition, both the number of lysis pores and the rate of skull erosion decreased. Histological research showed that β-catenin and OPG expression were markedly increased after SOST inhibition, whereas TRAP and RANKL levels were markedly decreased. In-vitro, Ti particle treatment elevated the expression of sclerostin, suppressed the expression of β-catenin, and increased the RANKL/OPG ratio in the MLO-Y4 cell line. TNF-α and IL-6 also elevated after treatment with Ti particles. The expression levels of NFATc1, CTSK, and TRAP in osteoclasts were signifcantly increased, and the number of positive cells for TRAP staining was increased. Additionally, the volume of bone resorption increased at the same time. In contrast, when SOST expression was inhibited in the MLO-Y4 cell line, these efects produced by Ti particles were reversed. All the results strongly show that SOST inhibition triggered the osteocyte Wnt/β-catenin signaling cascade and prevented wear particle-induced osteoclastogenesis, which might reduce periprosthetic osteolysis.

#### **Key messages**

- SOST is a molecular regulator in maintaining bone homeostasis.
- SOST plays in regulating bone homeostasis through the Wnt/ $\beta$ -catenin signaling pathway.
- SOST gene suppression stimulates osteocyte Wnt/β-catenin signaling to prevent bone resorption and attenuates particleinduced osteolysis.

**Keywords** Periprosthetic osteolysis · SOST · Wnt/β-catenin · Osteoclast · Bone resorption

# **Introduction**

Artifcial joint replacement has been widely employed in medical care for a range of end-stage joint disorders, femoral head necrosis, and trauma, which has a considerable

Zixue Jiao, Hao Chai, and Shendong Wang contributed equally to this work.

 $\boxtimes$  Wei Xu 13962157016@139.com

Extended author information available on the last page of the article

pain-relieving impact and improves patients' joint functioning and quality of life [[1\]](#page-12-0). A complication of arthroplasty known as the aseptic loosening of the prosthesis has become more prevalent; there has been a rise in the use of prosthetic joints as the elderly population has grown in recent years [\[2](#page-12-1)]. Even though the mechanical source of aseptic loosening remains to be elucidated, periprosthetic osteolysis is caused by wear particles which are considered to be the signifcant runner for this process [[2](#page-12-1)–[4\]](#page-12-2). Some studies showed that wear particles of titanium (Ti), polymethylmethacrylate, polyethylene, and cobalt-chromium produced by artifcial joints stimulated the RANKL/OPG/RANK system, directly stimulating osteoclast production and led to increased osteolysis [\[2](#page-12-1), [5\]](#page-12-3). Wear particles can also activate infammatory mediators such as TNF- $\alpha$ , IL-6, MMP-2, and others around the implant that are released by osteoclasts, macrophages, monocytes, fbroblasts, and osteoclasts. These mediators also help osteoclasts diferentiate and prevent osteoblasts from maturing  $[4, 6-8]$  $[4, 6-8]$  $[4, 6-8]$  $[4, 6-8]$ . The exact cause of periprosthetic osteolysis is yet unknown. Both the development and progression of periprosthetic osteolysis remain tough to prevent and control.

SOST gene and its protein product, i.e., sclerostin, a characteristic biomarker of osteocytes. SOST/sclerostin can inhibit Wnt signaling [[9\]](#page-12-6) and has a bidirectional regulatory role in bone remodeling [[10,](#page-12-7) [11\]](#page-12-8). Sclerostin stimulates osteocyte secretion of RANKL and activates osteoclasts while inhibiting osteoblast diferentiation and inducing osteoblast apoptosis. Some research have showed that SOST/sclerostin is involved in sclerosis, fracture repair, osteoporosis, and periprosthetic osteolysis [[12](#page-12-9), [13](#page-12-10)]. After SOST/sclerostin blockade, bone volume increases, and endophyte fxation improves in osteoporosis [[14\]](#page-12-11). Our previous studies shown that blocking the SOST gene increases bone formation and compensates bone loss caused by Ti particles by activating the Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway  $[15, 16]$  $[15, 16]$  $[15, 16]$  $[15, 16]$ . It is known that SOST regulates osteoclasts by modulation of RANKL / OPG expression by osteocytes [[17\]](#page-12-14). Though, still, it is undefned whether this mechanism is operative in wear-particle induced osteolysis.

The objective of the current study was to further explore SOST/sclerostin efects in the periprosthetic osteolysis process and to investigate whether inhibition of the SOST gene could inhibit osteoclastogenesis and hence alleviate wear particles induced-osteolysis by in-vitro and in-vivo studies.

# **Materials and methods**

## **Ti particle preparation**

Pure titanium (Ti) particles were obtained from Johnson Matthey (catalog #00681, Ward Hill). Manufacturer claims 90% of the Ti particles were less than 10.0 mm in diameter, with the average being 5.34 mm. In the earlier studies, the efficacy of these particles was demonstrated  $[15]$  $[15]$  $[15]$ .

The particles were developed following the reported procedure of Chen et al. Furthermore, a QCL-1000 kit was employed to make sure that no endotoxin was present (Bio-Whittaker) [[8\]](#page-12-5). Endotoxin-free Ti particles (100 mg/mL) were prepared and stored in PBS at 4 °C. For experiments on cells and animals, the Ti particle suspension was diluted to the desired concentration.

## **SOST adeno‑associated virus vector for in vivo experiments and development of a cranial osteolysis model**

The approval for animal utility in this study was provided by the Second Afliated Hospital of Soochow University's ethics committee. Herein, 40 C57BL/6 female mice aged 8 weeks and weighing 20 to 25 g were selected. Four groups of mice (i.e., (a) Sham group, (b) Ti (Ti) group, (c) SOST-RNAi (SOST-L) group, and (d) SOST-RNAi+Ti (SOST- $L + Ti$ ) group) were randomly assigned to each group. Adeno-associated virus vector  $(50 \mu L)$  was subcutaneously injected in the center of the skull of each mouse. The viral vectors injected into the SOST-L and SOST-L+Ti groups carried  $10^{12}$  replicas of the siRNA SOST gene. One week later, mice were anesthetized with 50 mg/kg pentobarbital (1%) intraperitoneally, and a 10-mm sagittal incision was made in the skull's center. The sham and SOST-L groups received an equal volume of PBS injection, while the mice in the Ti group and SOST-L + Ti group received 50  $\mu$ L of Ti particles in PBS suspension (100 mg/mL) under the periosteum of the sagittal suture of the skull. After 2 weeks, the skull samples of the mice were taken for radiological, histological, and immunohistochemical examinations.

## **Micro‑CT Analysis**

The cranial specimens were examined via micro-CT (Scanoco) at 10 μm/layer after being preserved in 4% paraformaldehyde  $(n=5$  per group). The X-ray settings were 70 kV and 114 μA. The following data was obtained using micro-CT on a circular region of interest (ROI) with a 6-mm diameter in the middle of each skull: bone volume (BV), bone mineral density (BMD), bone volume/tissue volume (BV/TV), and the number of perforations.

### **Histological and immunohistochemical analysis**

Skull samples ( $n=5$  per group) were decalcified in 10% ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA, Biosharp) for a month after being soaked in formalin for 2 days. The specimens were then trimmed, mainly to preserve the Ti particle-covered parietal and anterior bones of the mice models. After dehydration and paraffin embedding, the cranial specimens were proceeded for hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) staining and cut into 5-μm sections. Images of the H&E staining results were obtained with the skull midline suture located in its center at  $20 \times$  magnification. The surface area of bone tissue (BS mm<sup>2</sup>) and eroded bone surface area  $(ES \, \text{mm}^2)$  were calculated using Image Pro-Plus 6.0 software.

Immunohistochemical staining technique was occurred to detect the appearance of the following proteins: sclerostin (Abcam, ab86465, 1:200 dilution), β-catenin (Proteintech, ab66379, 1:200 dilution), OPG (Abcam, ab183910, 1:500 dilution), and RANKL (Abcam, ab45039, 1:200 dilution). Furthermore, osteocytes were labeled with sclerostin, OPG, and RANKL, while osteoclasts were labeled with TRAP staining (Cosmo Bio Co. Ltd). First, skull specimens were dewaxed, gradient hydrated and antigen extracted. Primary antibodies were overnight incubated at 4 °C. Afterward, the tissue slices were frst rinsed and incubated with a bufer containing secondary antibody for 35 min at room temperature. Each tissue sample was photographed about the median sagittal suture of the skull under a  $20 \times$  and  $40 \times$  microscope, respectively. Cells that stained brown were immunoreactive positive cells and positively stained cells were counted in three sections from every group  $(n=5)$  by two independent observers under a 20×microscope.

#### **Overexpression and silencing of SOST**

The osteocytes MLO-Y4 cell lines were taken from the Chinese Academy of Sciences (CAS) cell bank and seeded in α-MEM containing 10% FBS (Gibco). Next, this culture mixture was incubated at 37 °C with a continuous supply of 5% CO<sub>2</sub>. Short-hairpin shRNA lentiviral particles taken for the Sigma-Aldrich Chemical Co. were used according to the provided protocol of the manufacturer to silence a portion of MLO-Y4 osteocytes. Briefy, following a Gen-Bank search for the SOST gene sequence, the SOST shRNA interference sequence was designed using Invitrogen's RNA interference and synthesized manually by Sangon Biotech. MLO-Y4 osteocytes were transfected via lentivirus units carrying disordered or SOST-specific shRNAs. Using Western blotting, the expression of the sclerostin protein was measured separately to see how well shRNA worked. The most efficient SOST-shRNA and SOST overexpressed sequences in MLO-Y4 osteocytes were screened and the detail sequences were in supplement Table 1. MLO-Y4 cells that expressed diferent levels of SOST were used in the next in vitro experiments.

#### **Cell culture and treatments**

After 24 h after seeding, MLO-Y4 cells were treated with Ti particles at doses of 0, 0.1, and 1.0 mg/ml (control). The medium was replaced by adding fresh medium every 3 days. The day the Ti particles were introduced to the cells is known as Day 0. The MLO-Y4 osteocytes were then divided into four groups and inoculated in 6-well Corning plates: the control group, the Ti group, the  $SOST-L+Ti$  group, and the SOST-H group. For 48 h, Ti particles at 1.0 mg/ml were added to MLO-Y4 cells in the Ti and SOST-L+Ti groups. All groups had their initial culture medium for osteoclasts collected, centrifuged to remove any Ti particles or other impurities suspended therein, and then replaced every 2 days with fresh medium.

Primary bone marrow-derived monocyte macrophage (BMM) extraction then induction of diferentiation had BMMs remained extracted from the bone marrow of tibias and femurs of 4-week-old female mice C57BL/6. The cells were seeded in α-MEM containing  $10\%$  FBS and were incubated overnight at 37 °C with a continuous supply of  $5\%$  CO<sub>2</sub>. Next, the supernatant was then centrifuged to obtain suspension cells, and these cell suspensions were resuspended in 10% FBS containing α-MEM and M-CSF solution (30 ng/ml) (R&D systems). After 3 days, the adherent cells in the above culture dishes were collected, transferred to 6-well plates at a density of  $4 \times 10^5$ /well, and resuspended in α-MEM containing 50 ng/ml of RANKL (R&D systems), 30 ng/ml of M-CSF, and 10% FBS in α-MEM to stimulate their diferentiation to osteoclasts. Cells after culturing for 3 days with TRAP staining and a nuclear number≥3 were considered mature osteoclasts. Additionally, the characteristic markers of osteoclasts were identifed (Supplement 1B-C).

In the indirect cell co-culture model, BMMs were induced to develop into osteoclasts using the method described above. Diferently, when BMMs were transferred to 6-well plates, the medium that was collected from each group of MLO-Y4 osteocytes cultured, as described above, was added, with the addition of RANKL (50 ng/ml) and M-CSF (30 ng/ml). After the development of multinucleated cells, subsequent experiments were conducted.

#### **Immunofluorescence staining**

After being rinsed three times in PBS, MLO-Y4 osteocytes were fxed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 10 min, permeabilized with 0.1% Triton X-100 for 5 min, and incubated in 0.1% PBS-Tween (PBST) containing 5% bovine serum albumin (BSA) for 1 h. Secondary, OPG (1:500 dilution) and RANKL (1:500 dilution) primary antibodies were incubated with MLO-Y4 osteocytes at 4 °C overnight. The cells were washed thricely using PBS, followed by exposure to goat anti-rat (Alexa Fluor® 594, Abcam, ab15016, 1:500 dilution), goat anti-mouse (Alexa Fluor® 647, Abcam, ab150115, 1:500 dilution), and goat anti-rabbit (Alexa Fluor® 488, Abcam, ab150077, 1:500 dilution) secondary antibodies for an hour in the absence of light. Cell nuclei were stained for 5 min with DAPI (1:1000 dilution) after being thricely washed with PBST.

BMMs were inoculated into confocal culture dishes and were induced to differentiate osteoclasts, fix, and break membranes, as described previously. Osteoclasts were incubated with ActinGreenTM488 fuorescent ghost pen cyclic peptide (Invitrogen, R37110, two drops into 1.5 ml PBS) for 30 min in dark at room temperature. After PBS washing,

nuclei were stained for 5 min with DAPI (1:1000 dilution). F-actin rings were observed using an immunofuorescence microscope (ZEISS).

## **ELISA**

The levels of OPG, RANKL, IL-6, and TNF-α were evaluated in the culture medium supernatant of each group after 48 h of intervention in MLO-Y4 cells under varied circumstances using ELISA kits (R&D Systems). The O.D. was recorded at 450 nm using a fully automatic microenzymatic standard.

#### **Protein expression and western blotting analysis**

After being treated with lysis buffer, osteocytes and osteoclasts were rinsed twice with PBS, centrifuged at 15,000 rpm for 15 min, and kept on ice for 20 min. After collecting the supernatant, the protein content was determined using the BCA Protein Assay Kit (Beyotime, P0010). Then, separated 50  $\mu$ g of each sample by SDS-PAGE (10%) and electroblotted on PVDF membranes (membrane soaking in methanol for 3 min before protein transfer). The membranes were incubated with primary antibodies for sclerostin (Abcam, ab86465, 1:1000 dilution), β-catenin (dephosphorylated form, CST, 8480 T, 1:1000 dilution), OPG (Abcam, ab183910, 1:2000 dilution), RANKL (Abcam, ab45039, 1:1000 dilution), TNF-α (Proteintech, 17590–1-AP, 1:1000 dilution), IL-6 (Abcam, ab9324, 1:2000 dilution), NFATc1 (CST, 5861S, 1:2000 dilution), RANK (Abcam, ab200369, 1:1000 dilution), CTSK (Abcam, ab19027, 1:2000 dilution), and TRAP (Abcam, ab19146, 1:1000 dilution) overnight at 4 °C after being blocked with 5% BSA for an hour at room temperature. The membrane was washed with TBST (trisbuffered saline containing tween), followed by treatment with horseradish peroxide (HRP), goat anti-rabbit IgG, and goat anti-Rat IgG (Multisciences, GRT007, 1:2000 dilution) for 60 min at room temperature. The membranes underwent three additional TBST washes before being exposed to electrochemiluminescence (ECL) and subjected to GIS analysis. In addition, to evaluate the expression of the target protein, we chose β-actin as the internal reference protein.

## **TRAP staining**

Sections of skull specimens were prepared, as described above, and TRAP staining was performed on these sections, using the TRAP staining kit. In vitro, BMMs were inoculated at a concentration of  $3 \times 10^5$ /well on 6-well plates, and then groups of BMMs were induced to diferentiate toward osteoclasts, as described previously. TRAP staining and microscopy were performed to visualize the diferentiation of these cells. Using Image Pro-Plus 6.0, TRAP-positive

cells with 3+nuclei were identifed as osteoclasts, followed by counting them.

#### **Osteoclastic resorption assessment**

The function of osteoclasts was assessed by the resorption assay. Briefy, BMMs were inoculated in osteo assay surface plates containing 24-wells (Corning, USA) at a concentration of  $10 \times 10^4$ /well. Then groups of BMMs were induced to diferentiate toward osteoclasts as before. After 6 days, trypsin digestion and three PBS washes were performed on the cells. Following that, images were captured with a simple light microscope (Zeiss), and Image Pro-Plus (Version-6.0) was employed to analyze the region of the resorption depression.

### **Statistical evaluation**

For each assay, three separate replicate experiments were conducted. The ANOVA and post hoc multiple comparisons (Tukey Kramer's post hoc test) were used to identify diferences between groups. The data have been presented as mean standard deviation (SD). SPSS version 27.0 was employed to analyze all the data, diferences with *P*<0.05 were considered signifcant.

## **Results**

## **Inhibition of SOST gene attenuated Ti particles‑induced skull osteolysis in mice**

Micro-CT scan image analysis and 3D reconstruction showed that compared with the sham group, the Ti group showed increased bone erosion, signifcantly lower BV, BMD, BV/TV, an elevated quantity of osteolysis craters, and statistically  $(P < 0.05)$  difference was recorded. The SOST-L+Ti group showed decreased bone erosion, higher BMD, BV, and BV/TV, and a lower number of osteolysis craters, compared with the Ti group. Moreover, the diference was found to be statistically  $(P<0.05)$ . It indicated that inhibition of the SOST gene alleviated Ti particle-induced osteolysis (Fig. [1A](#page-4-0)–E).

H&E staining bone morphometry showed that Ti particles led to more bone erosion area (ES) and a higher ES/BS ratio on the skull, compared to the sham group. While inhibition of the SOST gene decreased ES and the ratio of ES/BS, with statistical differences (*P*<0.05), as depicted in Fig. [2](#page-5-0)A–D. TRAP stain showed that the positive osteoclasts with TRAP staining were considerably prominent under the stimulation of Ti particles. After SOST gene inhibition, the quantity of TRAP staining positive osteoclasts was decreased (Fig. [2](#page-5-0)B, E). Moreover, in the mouse skull lysis model, inhibition of



D

50 40

30

 $20$ 

10

 $\mathbf{0}$ 

Sham

BV/TV(%)



<span id="page-4-0"></span>**Fig. 1** In vivo bone resorption caused by Ti particles are reduced at low levels of SOST. **A** A general perspective of each group's 3D representation of the calvaria. Bone mineral density (BMD), bone vol-

the SOST suppressed osteoclast activation and attenuated Ti particle-induced osteolysis.

## **SOST gene silencing improved β‑catenin expression and decreased RANKL/OPG ratio in mouse cerebral osteolysis model**

The expression of β-catenin, osteoprotegerin (OPG), and RANKL in cranial bone was studied in depth to determine its role in osteolysis. IHC staining (Fig. [3A](#page-6-0)–B) and immunofluorescence (Fig. [4](#page-7-0)) assays showed that which has been compared to the sham group; Ti particle action increased sclerostin expression and RANKL in mouse cranial bone, and the β-catenin expression and OPG expression were considerably reduced. Sclerostin expression and RANKL levels were reduced by SOST gene inhibition, whereas β-catenin expression and OPG levels were increased with the same treatment with Ti particles  $(P < 0.05)$ . These results demonstrated that in a mouse cranial osteolysis model, β-catenin expression was elevated and the RANKL/OPG ratio was lowered when the SOST gene was inhibited.

ume (BV), bone volume as a proportion of total tissue volume (BV/ TV), and the number of pores in each group's quantifcation have been shown in **B**–**E**, respectively. Scale bar=5 mm. \* *P*<0. 05

SILVER A

**HILLES** 

 $\hat{\varsigma}$ 

 ${\bf E}$ 

Number of pores

60

 $40$ 

 $20$ 

 $\theta$ 

Sham

**BRAZILIAN** 

**- 305-205** 

<

## **The effect of increasing Ti particle concentration on sclerotin, β‑catenin, TNF‑α, IL‑6, and the RANKL/ OPG ratio in MLO‑Y4 cells cultured in vitro**

In this study, MLO-Y4 cells were exposed to Ti particles at varying concentrations for 24 and 48 h, respectively. After exposing MLO-Y4 to Ti particles, the expression of sclerostin was found to be elevated, whereas the expression of β-catenin was found to be progressively lowered as the concentration of Ti particles was raised. Simultaneously, the ratio of RANKL/OPG also increased, and the differences were statistically considerable  $(P < 0.05$ , Fig. [5](#page-7-1)A–D). The changes in sclerostin and β-catenin expression in vitro assays for exposure of MLO-Y4 cells to Ti particle were consistent with those in the particleinduced mouse skull osteolysis model. In addition to these changes, with rising Ti particle concentrations, TNF- $\alpha$ , and IL-6 expression levels also increased  $(P < 0.05$ , Fig.  $5A-D$  $5A-D$ ). Inflammatory mediators including TNF- $\alpha$ , and IL-6 are upregulated by Ti particles, which may have an effect on wear particle-induced osteoclast-genesis and lead to increased osteolysis.



<span id="page-5-0"></span>**Fig. 2** Histological staining and histomorphometric evaluation of SOST reduction on murine calvarial osteolysis. Herein, **A** and **B** represent H&E- and tartrate resistant acid phosphatase (TRAP) stained calvarial slices, accordingly. **C** Evaluation of the eroded surface area histomorphometrically; **D** percentage of eroded surface per

bone surface (ES/BS, %); **E** number of TRAP-positive osteoclasts (purple, indicated by red arrows). The black color triangle represents Ti particles. The thumbnail image on top left is  $20 \times$  magnified, scale bar = 200  $\mu$ m, and the main image is  $40 \times$ magnified, scale  $bar=100 \mu m. * P < 0.05$ 



<span id="page-6-0"></span>**Fig. 3** SOST reduction promotes the expression of β-catenin and OPG and inhibits the expression of RANKL in vivo. **A**–**B** Image Pro Plus 6.0 was used to evaluate sclerostin, β-catenin, OPG, and RANKL immunohistochemical staining in vivo (brown, indicated

by red arrows). The thumbnail image on top left is  $20 \times$  magnified, scale bar = 100  $\mu$ m, and the main image is 40×magnified, scale  $bar=50 \mu m. * P < 0.05$ 

<span id="page-7-0"></span>**Fig. 4** SOST reduction promotes the OPG expression level and inhibits the RANKL expression in vivo. Representative images of immunofuores-

(green), OPG (red), RANKL (orange), and nuclei (blue). Ti particles were showed in the white dashed line area, scale

 $bar=20 \mu m$ 

614 Journal of Molecular Medicine (2023) 101:607–620



# **SOST Inhibition reduced the expression of RANKL/ OPG, TNF‑α, and IL‑6 in the medium and attenuated the effect of Ti particles on MLO‑Y4 osteocytes. Whereas after SOST overexpression, similar changes to those after Ti particle treatment occurred**

In this study, the SOST gene of MLO-Y4 was suppressed or overexpressed using lentiviral transfection. Furthermore,

western blotting was employed to confrm the outcomes of in vivo experiments (Supplement 1A). Additionally, the most potent sequences of ShRNA-2 and SOST-H were selected for the in vitro cellular experiments.

According to ELISA results, the levels of RANKL/ OPG, TNF-α, and IL-6 were higher in MLO-Y4 osteocytes tested through Ti particles for 48 h, compared to the control group  $(P < 0.05)$ . Afterward, SOST inhibition, the levels



<span id="page-7-1"></span>**Fig. 5** The impact of Ti particles on MLO-Y4 cells. **A**–**D** The proteins, including sclerostin, β-catenin, RANKL/OPG, TNF-α, and IL-6 expression levels in MLO-Y4 cells exposed to various Ti particle con-

centrations for 24 and 48 h. Western blotting was employed to quantify sclerostin, β-catenin, and the ratio of RANKL/OPG, TNF-α, and IL-6. Here, β-actin was used as a standard protein. \* *P*<0.05



<span id="page-8-0"></span>**Fig. 6** Ti particles elevate the expression levels of sclerostin, the ratio of RANKL/OPG, TNF–α, and IL-6 but decreased the β-catenin expression level. However, SOST reduction inhibited these efects. **A** The ratio of RANKL/OPG, TNF-α, and IL-6 protein concentrations in the culture medium of diferent MLO-Y4 groups was identifed by ELISA.

**B** Sclerostin, β-catenin, and the ratio of RANKL/OPG, TNF-α, and IL-6 concentrations were evaluated by western blotting. And β-actin was used as a standard protein. **C** OPG and RANKL expression in osteocytes by immunofuorescence analysis at 48 h. OPG (green), RANKL (red), and nuclei (blue). Scale  $bar = 20 \mu m$ ,  $p < 0.05$ 

of RANKL/OPG, TNF-α, and IL-6 were decreased even under Ti particle intervention  $(P < 0.05)$ . Meanwhile, when SOST was overexpressed, the levels of RANKL/OPG, TNFα, and IL-6 in MLO-Y4 osteocytes were increased, showing similar changes in MLO-Y4 osteocytes treated with Ti particles (Fig. [6A](#page-8-0)).

It was revealed by western blotting that after SOST inhibition, the  $SOST-L + Ti$  group had a significantly lower RANKL/OPG ratio and higher expression of β-catenin, compared to the Ti group. TNF-α and IL-6 expression was also downregulated  $(P < 0.05)$ . Although β-catenin expression was reduced in MLO-Y4 osteocytes following SOST overexpression, the RANKL/OPG ratio, TNF- $\alpha$ , and IL-6 levels were all considerably increased  $(P < 0.05,$  Fig. [6B](#page-8-0)).

Due to staining with immunofluorescence, similar consequences were shown: OPG expression (in green color) decreased, and RANKL expression, shown in red, increased with Ti particle stimulation or SOST overexpression. However, OPG expression increased and RANKL expression decreased after SOST inhibition (Fig. [6C](#page-8-0)).

**Ti particles induced in MLO‑Y4 cells a differentiation of osteoclasts and an induced bone resorption. Low expression of SOST in MLO‑Y4 inhibited the differentiation of osteoclasts and resorption of bones induced by Ti particle stimulation, whereas SOST overexpression produced similar changes to Ti particle stimulation**

To further investigate the efects of SOST changes in MLO-Y4 induced via Ti particle on osteoclasts, diferent culture solutions of MLO-Y4 cells were used to induce diferentiation of BMMs toward osteoclasts as a way to mimic the regulation of osteocytes on osteoclasts.

In a western blotting analysis, the Ti group outperformed the control group in terms of osteoclast protein expression of NFATc1, CTSK, and TRAP (*P* < 0.05). However, the  $SOST-L + Ti$  group reduced the protein expression of NFATc1, CTSK, and TRAP when compared to the Ti group  $(P < 0.05)$ . In the SOST-H group, MLO-Y4 osteocytes with SOST overexpression, the expression of NFATc1, CTSK, and TRAP increased, which showed



<span id="page-9-0"></span>**Fig. 7** Efects of the culture medium of MLO-Y4, which was treated under diferent conditions, on BMM's diferentiation into osteoclasts. **A**–**B** Western blot detection of NFATc1, RANK, CTSK, and TRAP protein levels normalized to β-actin. **C** Multinucleated cells

similar changes to the Ti group (Fig. [7A](#page-9-0)–B). The expres-

sion of RANK in each group had no signifcant diferences. According to the fluorescent staining, induction of BMMs from the culture solution of MLO-Y4 osteocytes exposed to Ti particles stimulated the synthesis of F-actin rings in mature osteoclasts (shown in green). However, SOST inhibition reduced the formation and structure of such rings (Fig. [7](#page-9-0)C).

When compared to the control group, the Ti group had more positive osteoclast TRAP-stained cells than the control group ( $P < 0.05$ ). In the SOST-L + Ti group, the number of TRAP-positive cells was lower than in the Ti group  $(P < 0.05)$ , and in the SOST-H group, the number of TRAP-positive cells was signifcantly higher, showing similar changes to the Ti group (Fig. [7](#page-9-0)D, E). SOST inhibition of MLO-Y4 attenuated osteoclast diferentiation induced through Ti particles.

The effects of bone resorption led to a high bone resorption area on tooth slices caused by osteoclasts in the Ti group relative to the control group  $(P<0.05)$  as a result of bone resorption. When compared to the Ti group, the SOST-L+Ti group's bone resorption area was considerably decreased  $(P<0.05)$ . The SOST-H group, which demonstrated a change similar to that in the Ti group, had a signifcantly increased bone resorption area (Fig. [7D](#page-9-0)–F).

stained with F-actin were visualized by immunofuorescent staining. **D** BMMs induced by diferent culture media stained with TRAP and resorption areas; **E** TRAP-positive positive cell number per well  $(\geq 3)$ nuclei). **F** Area of resorptive pits.  $* P < 0.05$ . <sup>ns</sup> Non-significant

#### **Discussion**

Multiple studies have shown that aseptic loosening, along with periprosthetic osteolysis, is a major cause of arthroplasty failure and revision  $[1-7, 15]$  $[1-7, 15]$  $[1-7, 15]$  $[1-7, 15]$  $[1-7, 15]$ . In contrast, wear particles produced around the prosthesis are one of the most important factors in periprosthetic osteolysis. Osteolysis is the result of several reactions set off by wear particles surrounding the prosthesis that disturb the dynamic equilibrium between bone formation and bone resorption. Wear particles activate infammatory cells around the prosthesis to release various infammatory mediating substances, such as TNF- $\alpha$  and IL-6. They further activate osteoclasts, leading to increased bone resorption [[18,](#page-12-16) [19\]](#page-12-17). Simultaneously, infammatory mediators also inhibit osteoblast function, leading to decreased bone formation [[20](#page-12-18), [21\]](#page-12-19). The generation of wear particles on and around the prosthetic surface cannot be eliminated, despite ongoing advancements in prosthesis design options and materials [\[3](#page-12-20), [22](#page-12-21)]. In view of this fact, inhibiting periprosthetic bone resorption and/or increasing bone formation is the main starting point for the current treatment of osteolysis due to wear particles.

Osteocytes are the main class of bone cells and are terminally diferentiated osteoblasts that are deeply embedded in the bone matrix. Numerous studies have demonstrated that osteoclasts and osteoblasts can communicate with osteocytes via their dendritic and tubular structures, allowing osteocytes to participate in regulating bone formation and bone resorption [[23](#page-12-22), [24](#page-12-23)]. Because it controls bone formation and bone resorption [\[11](#page-12-8)], the production of SOST/sclerostin in bone, which is markedly carried out by osteocytes, has gained particular attention. Meanwhile, osteocytes are essential sources of the RANKL, and osteocyte RANKL is responsible for the bone loss associated with unloading [[10,](#page-12-7) [25\]](#page-12-24). RANKL and IL-6 promoted osteocytes to induce osteoclast diferentiation [[26,](#page-12-25) [27](#page-12-26)]. The regulation of osteocytes on osteoclast and osteoblast function during bone remodeling becomes a new development in the periprosthetic osteolysis procedure. According to our reported study, inhibition of SOST gene expression of osteocyte activated the Wnt/βcatenin signaling pathway and promoted diferentiation of osteoblast, thereby promoting bone formation and attenuating bone loss caused by Ti particles [[15,](#page-12-12) [16](#page-12-13)]. However, the efects of osteocyte and sclerostin on periprosthetic osteolysis remain elusive. In this view, the efect of SOST on osteoclast function and bone resorption has been investigated further and the regulation of osteocytes on osteoclast has also been regulated.

Herein, the results obtained from in vivo study confrmed that Ti particles enhanced sclerostin levels and the ratio of RANKL/OPG, and enhanced the cell quantity of positive TRAP staining. In distinction, osteolysis was reduced, the β-catenin level increased, RANKL/OPG reduced, and the number of positive TRAP cells declined after SOST inhibition. These fndings suggested that SOST inhibited Wnt signaling pathway and activated osteoclast function to lead to osteolysis. Furthermore, SOST reduction protected against osteolysis caused by wear particles by inhibiting bone resorption. It has been shown that osteocytes can regulate osteoclasts via the Wnt/ß-catenin signaling pathway.

To further validate the abovementioned results, the interactions between Ti particles and osteocytic MLO-Y4 cells were evaluated in vitro. Because of osteocyte exposure, Sclerostin levels rose in the presence of Ti particles, decreased β-catenin expression, elevated RANKL/OPG ratio, and the expression of TNF- $\alpha$  and IL-6 in vitro. By contrast, low expression of SOST resulted in increased β-catenin expression, lower RANKL/OPG ratio, and decreased the level of TNF- $\alpha$  and IL-6. OPG/RANKL/RANK system acts as a key role in osteoclast diferentiation and formation through MAPK and NF-kB cascades [\[28](#page-12-27)–[30\]](#page-12-28). A significant source of RANKL produced by osteoclasts is osteocytes. Two comprehensive independent studies [[10](#page-12-7), [31](#page-12-29)] have shown that selective deletion of the RANKL gene Tnfsf11 in engineered mouse osteocyte populations (but not osteoblasts or precursors) results in a defective osteoclastogenic phenotype in global mutants. OPG, a soluble RANK decoy receptor that inhibits the formation of osteoclasts, is a component that is primarily produced by osteocytes [[32\]](#page-13-0). Thus, osteocytes positively control osteoclastogenesis by increasing RANKL expression and decreasing OPG expression, or conversely, can reverse the ratio to decrease resorption activity. Likewise, mediate inflammation such as TNF- $\alpha$  and IL-6 have a negative impact on periprosthetic osteolysis by activating osteoclastic diferentiation and function [[33–](#page-13-1)[35\]](#page-13-2). Therefore, decreased release of the infammatory mediators and reduced ratio of RANKL/OPG can also overcome bone resorption.

The indirect co-culture model was created using a medium from osteocyte culture-treated bone marrowderived monocyte-macrophages to see if the Ti particles' induced change in SOST expression of osteocytes was followed by a corresponding change in their RANKL/OPG, TNF- $\alpha$ , and IL-6 levels, and whether this affected the function of osteoclasts. The level of osteoclast-related proteins, including NFATc1, RANK, CTSK, TRAP, and F-actin, and the number of positive TRAP cells and the bone resorption area have considerably increased in the Ti-treated osteocyte group. These manifestations of osteoclast were inhibited after SOST reduction, compared to the Ti-treated osteocyte group. However, SOST overexpression promoted osteoclast diferentiation and maturation. The results indicated that SOST might serve a considerable role in regulating bone resorption and osteoclast diferentiation in process of periprosthetic osteolysis.

Some studies showed that the Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway participates in controlling the expression of RANKL and OPG [[36,](#page-13-3) [37\]](#page-13-4). And sclerotin, which is a natural inhibitor of the canonical Wnt pathway, induced bone resorption by osteoclasts through the change in the ratio of RANKL/OPG [[17](#page-12-14), [38](#page-13-5)]. SOST was downregulated for the osteogenic response to mechanical loading and SOST overexpression reduced bone mass and strength by slowing down bone formation [[39\]](#page-13-6). Virdi et al. found that treatment with sclerostin antibodies resulted in improved implant fxation in the osteoporosis model  $[40]$ . Due to its enhanced anabolic efect on bone formation and its diminished catabolic efect on bone resorption, sclerostin antibody therapy has been proposed as a new treatment for postmenopausal osteoporosis [\[41,](#page-13-8) [42\]](#page-13-9). Our previous [[15,](#page-12-12) [16\]](#page-12-13) and present study

demonstrated that inhibition of SOST stimulated bone formation and impeded bone resorption through the model of particle-induced osteolysis in vivo and in vitro, which was similar to the results of Liu et al. [[43\]](#page-13-10). In addition, our study also found inhibition of SOST expression decreased TNF-α and IL-6 levels, which reports were rare. According to Marahleh et al., TNF-a directly increases osteocyte RANKL expression and encourages the formation of osteoclasts [\[44\]](#page-13-11). Similar to this, Wu et al. showed that IL-6 increased in vitro RANKL activity osteocyte-mediated osteoclastogenesis [[45\]](#page-13-12). In other words, decreased SOST expression also indirectly reduced osteocyte RANKL/OPG ratio and inhibited osteoclast formation by falling the level of  $TNF-\alpha$  and IL-6. There is also a report that the IL-6 family produced by osteoclasts acts on osteocytes to reduce the expression of sclerostin [[46,](#page-13-13) [47](#page-13-14)]. Therefore, there may be other interaction mechanisms between IL-6 and SOST, which are worthy of further study. Overall, our study demonstrates that inhibition of osteocytic SOST expression inhibits osteoclast formation, thereby depressing bone resorption and attenuating wear particle-induced osteolysis. The dual effects of suppression osteocytic SOST promoting bone formation and inhibiting bone resorption might make sclerostin antibody to be a new treatment against periprosthetic osteolysis.

However, our study has a few limitations. Although the mouse cranial osteolysis model has been considered a wellestablished model of osteolysis [\[48](#page-13-15), [49](#page-13-16)], it difers from surgery of joint replacement in that the models were unable to fully simulate the environment in which the prosthesis is exposed to fuid pressure and mechanical loading. And future studies will further explore how osteoclasts afect osteocytes through feedback mechanisms.

## **Conclusion**

Taken together, this study showed that reduced SOST of osteocytes can inhibit the development of osteoclast by triggering the Wnt/β-catenin signaling cascade as well as suppressing RANKL/ OPG ratio and the expression of infammatory mediators, consequently minimizing the bone loss caused by wear particles.

**Abbreviations** TRAP: Tartrate-resistant acid phosphatase; Wnt: Wingless/integrated; Ti: Titanium; RANKL: Receptor activator of nuclear factor-κB ligand; OPG: Osteoprotegerin; RANK: Receptor activator of nuclear factor-κB; TNF-α: Tumor necrosis factor-α; IL-6: Interleukin-6; MMP-2: Matrix metallopeptidase-2; PBS: Phosphate buffered saline; C57/6: C57 black 6; CT: Computed tomography; ROI: Region of interest; BV: Bone volume; BMD: Bone mineral density; BV/TV: Bone volume/tissue volume; EDTA: Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid; H&E: Hematoxylin and eosin; BS: Surface area of bone tissue; ES: Eroded bone surface area; MLOY4: Murine long bone osteocyte-Y4; α-MEM: Minimum essential medium alpha modifcation; FBS: Fetal bovine serum; shRNA: Short hairpin RNA; BMMs:

Bone marrow-derived monocyte macrophages; M-CSF: Macrophage colony stimulating factor-1; PBST: Phosphate bufered saline containing tween; BSA: Bovine serum albumin; DAPI: 4',6-Diamidino-2-phenylindole; F-actin: Fibros actin; ELISA: Enzyme linked immunosorbent assay; SDS-PAGE: Sodium dodecyl sulfate poly acrylamide gel electrophoresis; PVDF: Polyvinylidene fuoride; NFATc1: Nuclear factor of activated T cells-1; CTSK: Recombinant cathepsin K; TBST: Tris-buffered saline containing tween; HRP: Horseradish peroxide; IgG: Immunoglobulin G; ECL: Electrochemiluminescence

**Supplementary Information** The online version contains supplementary material available at<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00109-023-02319-2>.

**Acknowledgements** We thank Aidong Wang, Yanwen Zheng, and Li Xiao from the Central Laboratory of the Second Afliated Hospital of Soochow University for their help and guidance. We would like to give our sincere gratitude to the reviewers for their constructive comments.

**Author contribution** ZJ conducted all the experiments with assistance from the other authors. HC and SW assisted in the surgical procedure of establishing murine calvarial osteolysis model and biochemical analysis. HC and CS performed the histological staining and analysis. SW and QH performed the cell culturing, diferentiation, Elisa, and western blot assay in vitro. HC conducted the micro-CT scanning and reconstruction analysis. WX oversaw the experiments and provided academic guidance suggestions. All the authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

**Funding** This research was supported by the Natural Science Fund of China (Nos. 81874008, 81472105) and the Soochow Health Program of talent training (GSWS2019010).

**Data availability** The authors confrm that the data supporting the fndings of this study are available within the article.

**Availability of data and materials** All the data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this article. The datasets used and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

### **Declarations**

**Ethics approval and consent to participate** All the animal experiments were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the Second Afliated Hospital of Soochow University.

**Consent for publication** Not applicable.

**Competing interests** The authors declare no competing interests.

**Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

## **References**

- <span id="page-12-0"></span>1. Urban RM, Hall DJ, Della Valle C, Wimmer MA, Jacobs JJ, Galante JO (2012) Successful long-term fxation and progression of osteolysis associated with frst-generation cementless acetabular components retrieved post mortem. J Bone Joint Surg Am 94:1877–1885. <https://doi.org/10.2106/JBJS.J.01507>
- <span id="page-12-1"></span>2. Gallo J, Goodman SB, Konttinen YT, Wimmer MA, Holinka M (2013) Osteolysis around total knee arthroplasty: a review of pathogenetic mechanisms. Acta Biomater 9:8046–8058. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actbio.2013.05.005) [doi.org/10.1016/j.actbio.2013.05.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actbio.2013.05.005)
- <span id="page-12-20"></span>3. Dorr LD, Wan Z, Shahrdar C, Sirianni L, Boutary M, Yun A (2005) Clinical performance of a durasul highly cross-linked polyethylene acetabular liner for total hip arthroplasty at fve years. J Bone Joint Surg Am 87:1816–1821. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.2106/JBJS.D.01915) [2106/JBJS.D.01915](https://doi.org/10.2106/JBJS.D.01915)
- <span id="page-12-2"></span>4. Brooks RA, Sharpe JR, Wimhurst JA, Myer BJ, Dawes EN, Rushton N (2000) The efects of the concentration of high-density polyethylene particles on the bone-implant interface. J Bone Joint Surg Br 82:595–600.<https://doi.org/10.1302/0301-620x.82b4.9744>
- <span id="page-12-3"></span>5. Masui T, Sakano S, Hasegawa Y, Warashina H, Ishiguro N (2005) Expression of infammatory cytokines, RANKL and OPG induced by titanium, cobalt-chromium and polyethylene particles. Biomaterials 26:1695–1702. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biomaterials.2004.05.017) [biomaterials.2004.05.017](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biomaterials.2004.05.017)
- <span id="page-12-4"></span>6. Holding CA, Findlay DM, Stamenkov R, Neale SD, Lucas H, Dharmapatni ASSK, Callary SA, Shrestha KR, Atkins GJ, Howie DW et al (2006) The correlation of RANK, RANKL and TNFalpha expression with bone loss volume and polyethylene wear debris around hip implants. Biomaterials 27:5212–5219. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biomaterials.2006.05.054>
- <span id="page-12-15"></span>7. Shimizu S, Okuda N, Kato N, Rittling SR, Okawa A, Shinomiya K, Muneta T, Denhardt DT, Noda M, Tsuji K et al (2010) Osteopontin defciency impairs wear debris-induced osteolysis via regulation of cytokine secretion from murine macrophages. Arthritis Rheum 62:1329–1337.<https://doi.org/10.1002/art.27400>
- <span id="page-12-5"></span>8. Chen M, Chen P-M, Dong Q-R, Huang Q, She C, Xu W (2014) P38 Signaling in titanium particle-induced MMP-2 secretion and activation in diferentiating MC3T3-E1 cells. J Biomed Mater Res A 102:2824–2832.<https://doi.org/10.1002/jbm.a.34956>
- <span id="page-12-6"></span>9. ten Dijke P, Krause C, de Gorter DJJ, Löwik CWGM, van Bezooijen RL (2008) Osteocyte-derived sclerostin inhibits bone formation: its role in bone morphogenetic protein and Wnt signaling. J Bone Joint Surg Am 90(Suppl 1):31–35.<https://doi.org/10.2106/JBJS.G.01183>
- <span id="page-12-7"></span>10. Nakashima T, Hayashi M, Fukunaga T, Kurata K, Oh-Hora M, Feng JQ, Bonewald LF, Kodama T, Wutz A, Wagner EF et al (2011) Evidence for osteocyte regulation of bone homeostasis through RANKL expression. Nat Med 17:1231–1234. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1038/nm.2452) [org/10.1038/nm.2452](https://doi.org/10.1038/nm.2452)
- <span id="page-12-8"></span>11. Sapir-Koren R, Livshits G (2014) Osteocyte control of bone remodeling: is sclerostin a key molecular coordinator of the balanced bone resorption-formation cycles? Osteoporos Int 25:2685– 2700.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00198-014-2808-0>
- <span id="page-12-9"></span>12. Atkins GJ, Findlay DM (2012) Osteocyte regulation of bone mineral: a little give and take. Osteoporos Int 23:2067–2079. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00198-012-1915-z) [doi.org/10.1007/s00198-012-1915-z](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00198-012-1915-z)
- <span id="page-12-10"></span>13. Jacobsen CM (2017) Application of anti-sclerostin therapy in nonosteoporosis disease models. Bone 96:18–23. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bone.2016.10.018) [1016/j.bone.2016.10.018](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bone.2016.10.018)
- <span id="page-12-11"></span>14. Virdi AS, Liu M, Sena K, Maletich J, McNulty M, Ke HZ, Sumner DR (2012) Sclerostin antibody increases bone volume and enhances implant fxation in a rat model. J Bone Joint Surg Am 94:1670– 1680.<https://doi.org/10.2106/JBJS.K.00344>
- <span id="page-12-12"></span>15. Zhang ZH, Jia XY, Fang JY, Chai H, Huang Q, She C, Jia P, Geng DC, Xu W (2020) Reduction of SOST gene promotes bone

formation through the Wnt/β-catenin signalling pathway and compensates particle-induced osteolysis. J Cell Mol Med 24:4233– 4244. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jcmm.15084>

- <span id="page-12-13"></span>16. Chai H, Zhang ZH, Fang JY, She C, Geng DC, Xu W (2022) Osteocytic cells exposed to titanium particles increase sclerostin expression and inhibit osteoblastic cell diferentiation mostly via direct cell-to-cell contact. J Cell Mol Med 26:4371–4385. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1111/jcmm.17460) [doi.org/10.1111/jcmm.17460](https://doi.org/10.1111/jcmm.17460)
- <span id="page-12-14"></span>17. Wijenayaka AR, Kogawa M, Lim HP, Bonewald LF, Findlay DM, Atkins GJ (2011) Sclerostin stimulates osteocyte support of osteoclast activity by a RANKL-dependent pathway. PLoS One 6:e25900.<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0025900>
- <span id="page-12-16"></span>18. Holt G, Murnaghan C, Reilly J, Meek RMD (2007) The biology of aseptic osteolysis. Clin Orthop Relat Res 460:240–252. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1097/BLO.0b013e31804b4147) [doi.org/10.1097/BLO.0b013e31804b4147](https://doi.org/10.1097/BLO.0b013e31804b4147)
- <span id="page-12-17"></span>19. Xu Y, Sang W, Zhong Y, Xue S, Yang M, Wang C, Lu H, Huan R, Mao X, Zhu L et al (2021) CoCrMo-nanoparticles induced peri-implant osteolysis by promoting osteoblast ferroptosis via regulating Nrf2-ARE signalling pathway. Cell Prolif 54:e13142. <https://doi.org/10.1111/cpr.13142>
- <span id="page-12-18"></span>20. Nich C, Langlois J, Marchadier A, Vidal C, Cohen-Solal M, Petite H, Hamadouche M (2011) Oestrogen defciency modulates particleinduced osteolysis. Arthritis Res Ther 13:R100. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1186/ar3381) [1186/ar3381](https://doi.org/10.1186/ar3381)
- <span id="page-12-19"></span>21. Goodman SB, Ma T, Chiu R, Ramachandran R, Smith RL (2006) Efects of orthopaedic wear particles on osteoprogenitor cells. Biomaterials 27:6096–6101. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biomaterials.2006.08.023) [biomaterials.2006.08.023](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biomaterials.2006.08.023)
- <span id="page-12-21"></span>22. Mutimer J, Devane PA, Adams K, Horne JG (2010) Highly crosslinked polyethylene reduces wear in total hip arthroplasty at 5 years. Clin Orthop Relat Res 468:3228–3233. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11999-010-1379-4) [10.1007/s11999-010-1379-4](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11999-010-1379-4)
- <span id="page-12-22"></span>23. Prideaux M, Findlay DM, Atkins GJ (2016) Osteocytes: the master cells in bone remodelling. Curr Opin Pharmacol 28:24–30. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coph.2016.02.003>
- <span id="page-12-23"></span>24. Chen H, Senda T, Kubo K (2015) The osteocyte plays multiple roles in bone remodeling and mineral homeostasis. Med Mol Morphol 48:61–68. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00795-015-0099-y) [s00795-015-0099-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00795-015-0099-y)
- <span id="page-12-24"></span>25. Xiong J, Onal M, Jilka RL, Weinstein RS, Manolagas SC, O'Brien CA (2011) Matrix-embedded cells control osteoclast formation. Nat Med 17:1235–1241.<https://doi.org/10.1038/nm.2448>
- <span id="page-12-25"></span>26. Cheung W-Y, Simmons CA, You L (2012) Osteocyte apoptosis regulates osteoclast precursor adhesion via osteocytic IL-6 secretion and endothelial ICAM-1 expression. Bone 50:104–110. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bone.2011.09.052>
- <span id="page-12-26"></span>27. Baron R, Rawadi G, Roman-Roman S (2006) Wnt signaling: a key regulator of bone mass. Curr Top Dev Biol 76:103–127. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0070-2153(06)76004-5) [doi.org/10.1016/S0070-2153\(06\)76004-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0070-2153(06)76004-5)
- <span id="page-12-27"></span>28. Park JH, Lee NK, Lee SY (2017) Current understanding of RANK signaling in osteoclast diferentiation and maturation. Mol Cells 40:706–713.<https://doi.org/10.14348/molcells.2017.0225>
- 29. Chen X, Zhi X, Yin Z, Li X, Qin L, Qiu Z, Su J (2018) 18β-Glycyrrhetinic acid inhibits osteoclastogenesis in vivo and in vitro by blocking RANKL-mediated RANK-TRAF6 interactions and NF-ΚB and MAPK signaling pathways. Front Pharmacol 9:647. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fphar.2018.00647>
- <span id="page-12-28"></span>30. Jiang M, Wang T, Yan X, Liu Z, Yan Y, Yang K, Qi J, Zhou H, Qian N, Zhou Q et al (2019) A novel rhein derivative modulates bone formation and resorption and ameliorates estrogendependent bone loss. J Bone Miner Res 34:361–374. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1002/jbmr.3604) [org/10.1002/jbmr.3604](https://doi.org/10.1002/jbmr.3604)
- <span id="page-12-29"></span>31. Adachi T, Aonuma Y, Tanaka M, Hojo M, Takano-Yamamoto T, Kamioka H (2009) Calcium response in single osteocytes to locally applied mechanical stimulus: diferences in cell process

and cell body. J Biomech 42:1989–1995. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiomech.2009.04.034) [jbiomech.2009.04.034](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiomech.2009.04.034)

- <span id="page-13-0"></span>32. Robling AG, Bonewald LF (2020) The osteocyte: new insights. Annu Rev Physiol 82:485–506. [https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-physiol-021119-034332)[physiol-021119-034332](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-physiol-021119-034332)
- <span id="page-13-1"></span>33. Stea S, Visentin M, Granchi D, Ciapetti G, Donati ME, Sudanese A, Zanotti C, Toni A (2000) Cytokines and osteolysis around total hip prostheses. Cytokine 12:1575–1579. [https://doi.org/10.1006/](https://doi.org/10.1006/cyto.2000.0753) [cyto.2000.0753](https://doi.org/10.1006/cyto.2000.0753)
- 34. Merkel KD, Erdmann JM, McHugh KP, Abu-Amer Y, Ross FP, Teitelbaum SL (1999) Tumor necrosis factor-alpha mediates orthopedic implant osteolysis. Am J Pathol 154:203–210. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0002-9440(10)65266-2) [doi.org/10.1016/s0002-9440\(10\)65266-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0002-9440(10)65266-2)
- <span id="page-13-2"></span>35. Maeda K, Yoshida K, Nishizawa T, Otani K, Yamashita Y, Okabe H, Hadano Y, Kayama T, Kurosaka D, Saito M (2022) Infammation and bone metabolism in rheumatoid arthritis: molecular mechanisms of joint destruction and pharmacological treatments. Int J Mol Sci 23:2871. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms23052871>
- <span id="page-13-3"></span>36. Zhang L, Liu W, Zhao J, Ma X, Shen L, Zhang Y, Jin F, Jin Y (2016) Mechanical stress regulates osteogenic diferentiation and RANKL/OPG ratio in periodontal ligament stem cells by the Wnt/β-catenin pathway. Biochim Biophys Acta 1860:2211–2219. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbagen.2016.05.003>
- <span id="page-13-4"></span>37. Yang C, Liu W, Zhang X, Zeng B, Qian Y (2020) Naringin increases osteoprotegerin expression in fbroblasts from periprosthetic membrane by the Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway. J Orthop Surg Res 15:600.<https://doi.org/10.1186/s13018-020-02145-z>
- <span id="page-13-5"></span>38. Kramer I, Halleux C, Keller H, Pegurri M, Gooi JH, Weber PB, Feng JQ, Bonewald LF, Kneissel M (2010) Osteocyte Wnt/betacatenin signaling is required for normal bone homeostasis. Mol Cell Biol 30:3071–3085.<https://doi.org/10.1128/MCB.01428-09>
- <span id="page-13-6"></span>39. Tu X, Rhee Y, Condon KW, Bivi N, Allen MR, Dwyer D, Stolina M, Turner CH, Robling AG, Plotkin LI et al (2012) Sost downregulation and local wnt signaling are required for the osteogenic response to mechanical loading. Bone 50:209–217. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bone.2011.10.025) [org/10.1016/j.bone.2011.10.025](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bone.2011.10.025)
- <span id="page-13-7"></span>40. Virdi AS, Irish J, Sena K, Liu M, Ke HZ, McNulty MA, Sumner DR (2015) Sclerostin antibody treatment improves implant fxation in a model of severe osteoporosis. J Bone Joint Surg Am 97:133–140. <https://doi.org/10.2106/JBJS.N.00654>
- <span id="page-13-8"></span>41. McColm J, Hu L, Womack T, Tang CC, Chiang AY (2014) Singleand multiple-dose randomized studies of blosozumab, a monoclonal antibody against sclerostin, in healthy postmenopausal

women. J Bone Miner Res 29:935–943. [https://doi.org/10.1002/](https://doi.org/10.1002/jbmr.2092) [jbmr.2092](https://doi.org/10.1002/jbmr.2092)

- <span id="page-13-9"></span>42. Fabre S, Funck-Brentano T, Cohen-Solal M (2020) Anti-sclerostin antibodies in osteoporosis and other bone diseases. J Clin Med 9:E3439.<https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm9113439>
- <span id="page-13-10"></span>43. Liu S, Virdi AS, Sena K, Sumner DR (2012) Sclerostin antibody prevents particle-induced implant loosening by stimulating bone formation and inhibiting bone resorption in a rat model. Arthritis Rheum 64:4012–4020. <https://doi.org/10.1002/art.37697>
- <span id="page-13-11"></span>44. Marahleh A, Kitaura H, Ohori F, Kishikawa A, Ogawa S, Shen WR, Qi J, Noguchi T, Nara Y (2019) Mizoguchi I TNF-α directly enhances osteocyte RANKL expression and promotes osteoclast formation. Front Immunol 10. [https://doi.org/10.3389/fmmu.](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2019.02925) [2019.02925](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2019.02925)
- <span id="page-13-12"></span>45. Wu Q, Zhou X, Huang D, Ji Y, Kang F (2017) IL-6 Enhances osteocyte-mediated osteoclastogenesis by promoting JAK2 and RANKL activity in vitro. Cell Physiol Biochem 41:1360–1369. <https://doi.org/10.1159/000465455>
- <span id="page-13-13"></span>46. Koide M, Kobayashi Y, Yamashita T, Uehara S, Nakamura M, Hiraoka BY, Ozaki Y, Iimura T, Yasuda H, Takahashi N et al (2017) Bone formation is coupled to resorption via suppression of sclerostin expression by osteoclasts. J Bone Miner Res 32:2074– 2086. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jbmr.3175>
- <span id="page-13-14"></span>47. Koide M, Kobayashi Y (2019) Regulatory mechanisms of sclerostin expression during bone remodeling. J Bone Miner Metab 37:9–17. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00774-018-0971-7>
- <span id="page-13-15"></span>48. Hu X, Ping Z, Gan M, Tao Y, Wang L, Shi J, Wu X, Zhang W, Yang H, Xu Y et al (2017) Theafavin-3,3'-digallate represses osteoclastogenesis and prevents wear debris-induced osteolysis via suppression of ERK pathway. Acta Biomater 48:479–488. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actbio.2016.11.022>
- <span id="page-13-16"></span>49. Ping Z, Hu X, Wang L, Shi J, Tao Y, Wu X, Hou Z, Guo X, Zhang W, Yang H et al (2017) Melatonin attenuates titanium particleinduced osteolysis via activation of Wnt/β-Catenin Signaling Pathway. Acta Biomater 51:513–525. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actbio.2017.01.034) [actbio.2017.01.034](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actbio.2017.01.034)

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

# **Authors and Affiliations**

## **Zixue Jiao1 · Hao Chai1,2 · Shendong Wang1 · Chunguang Sun1,3 · Qun Huang1,4 · Wei Xu[1](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-6030-0668)**

Zixue Jiao jiaozixueys@163.com

Hao Chai chaihao20184233019@163.com

Shendong Wang sdwang615@126.com

Chunguang Sun sunchunguang08@163.com

Qun Huang 727570771@qq.com

- Department of Orthopedics, The Second Affiliated Hospital of Soochow University, Suzhou 215004, Jiangsu, China
- <sup>2</sup> Department of Orthopedics, Taiyuan Central Hospital of Shanxi Medical University, Taiyuan 030009, Shanxi, China
- <sup>3</sup> Department of Orthopedics, Funing People's Hospital, Yancheng 224400, Jiangsu, China
- <sup>4</sup> Department of Orthopedics, Zhangjiagang City First People's Hospital, Zhangjiagang 215699, Jiangsu, China