



OPEN ACCESS

EDITED AND REVIEWED BY
Vandana Kalia,
University of Washington, United States

*CORRESPONDENCE

Ambra Natalini
✉ ambra.natalini@crick.ac.uk
Francesca Di Rosa
✉ francesca.dirosa@cnr.it

†PRESENT ADDRESS

Ambra Natalini,
Immunosurveillance Laboratory,
The Francis Crick Institute, London,
United Kingdom
Sonia Simonetti,
Medical Oncology Department, Campus
Bio-Medico University, Rome, Italy
Miguel Muñoz-Ruiz,
Department of Immunology,
Ophthalmology and ENT, Complutense
University School of Medicine and 12 de
Octubre Health Research Institute (imas12),
Madrid, Spain

SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to
Immunological Memory,
a section of the journal
Frontiers in Immunology

RECEIVED 03 April 2023

ACCEPTED 07 April 2023

PUBLISHED 02 May 2023

CITATION

Natalini A, Simonetti S, Favaretto G,
Lucantonio L, Peruzzi G, Muñoz-Ruiz M,
Kelly G, Contino AM, Sbrocchi R, Battella S,
Capone S, Folgori A, Nicosia A, Santoni A,
Hayday AC and Di Rosa F (2023)
Corrigendum: Improved memory
CD8 T cell response to delayed
vaccine boost is associated
with a distinct molecular signature.
Front. Immunol. 14:1199754.
doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2023.1199754

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Natalini, Simonetti, Favaretto,
Lucantonio, Peruzzi, Muñoz-Ruiz, Kelly,
Contino, Sbrocchi, Battella, Capone,
Nicosia, Santoni, Hayday and Di Rosa. This is
an open-access article distributed under the
terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution
License \(CC BY\)](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). The use, distribution or
reproduction in other forums is permitted,
provided the original author(s) and the
copyright owner(s) are credited and that
the original publication in this journal is
cited, in accordance with accepted
academic practice. No use, distribution or
reproduction is permitted which does not
comply with these terms.

Corrigendum: Improved memory CD8 T cell response to delayed vaccine boost is associated with a distinct molecular signature

Ambra Natalini^{1*†}, Sonia Simonetti^{1†}, Gabriele Favaretto¹,
Lorenzo Lucantonio^{1,2}, Giovanna Peruzzi³,
Miguel Muñoz-Ruiz^{4†}, Gavin Kelly⁵, Alessandra M. Contino⁶,
Roberta Sbrocchi⁶, Simone Battella⁶, Stefania Capone⁶,
Antonella Folgori⁶, Alfredo Nicosia^{7,8}, Angela Santoni⁹,
Adrian C. Hayday^{4,10,11} and Francesca Di Rosa^{1*}

¹Institute of Molecular Biology and Pathology, National Research Council of Italy (CNR), Rome, Italy,

²Department of Molecular Medicine, University of Rome "Sapienza", Rome, Italy, ³Center for Life Nano- & Neuro-Science, Fondazione Istituto Italiano di Tecnologia (IIT), Rome, Italy,

⁴Immunosurveillance Laboratory, The Francis Crick Institute, London, United Kingdom, ⁵Bioinformatic and Biostatistics Science and Technology Platform, The Francis Crick Institute, London, United Kingdom,

⁶ReiThera S.R.L., Rome, Italy, ⁷CEINGE, Naples, Italy, ⁸Department of Molecular Medicine and Medical Biotechnology, University of Naples Federico II, Naples, Italy, ⁹IRCCS Neuromed, Isernia, Italy, ¹⁰Peter Gorer Department of Immunobiology, King's College London, London, United Kingdom, ¹¹National Institute for Health Research (NIHR), Biomedical Research Center (BRC), Guy's and St Thomas' NHS Foundation Trust and King's College London, London, United Kingdom

KEYWORDS

CD8 T cells, memory, prime-boost interval, transcriptomic profile, vaccination


A corrigendum on

Improved memory CD8 T cell response to delayed vaccine boost is associated with a distinct molecular signature

by Natalini A, Simonetti S, Favaretto G, Lucantonio L, Peruzzi G, Muñoz-Ruiz M, Kelly G, Contino AM, Sbrocchi R, Battella S, Capone S, Folgori A, Nicosia A, Santoni A, Hayday AC and Di Rosa F (2023) *Front. Immunol.* 14:1043631. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2023.1043631

In the published article, there was an error in [Figure 5](#), panel A as published. The mistake was to show a statistically significant difference in the spleen, as indicated by an asterisk in panel A, top left. The correct panel A doesn't show a statistically significant difference in the spleen, but only in the LNs, in agreement with the text of the result section, as in the sentence "There was a trend of higher gag-specific frequency when boost was performed at d100 post-prime as compared to boost at d30 in all organs, that reached statistical significance in LNs ([Figure 5A](#))." The corrected [Figure 5](#) and its caption appear below.

The authors apologize for this error and state that this does not change the scientific conclusions of the article in any way. The original article has been updated.



Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated

organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.