NS Public Health Nutrition

# Association between alcohol consumption and metabolic syndrome among Chinese adults

Yi Lin<sup>1</sup>, Yan-Yan Ying<sup>2</sup>, Si-Xuan Li<sup>2</sup>, Si-Jia Wang<sup>2</sup>, Qing-Hai Gong<sup>2,\*</sup> and Hui Li<sup>2,\*</sup> <sup>1</sup>Center for Health Economics, Faculty of Humanities and Social Sciences, University of Nottingham Ningbo China, University Park, Ningbo 315100, China: <sup>2</sup>Municipal Center for Disease Control and Prevention, Ningbo 315010, China

Submitted 9 March 2020: Final revision received 16 October 2020: Accepted 27 October 2020: First published online 10 November 2020

## Abstract

*Objective:* To assess the prevalence of metabolic syndrome (MetS) in Chinese adults living in Ningbo and to examine the association between alcohol consumption and MetS and its medical components.

*Design:* A representative survey in Ningbo was conducted in 2015 covering sociodemography. A FFQ together with additional questionnaires was used to collect information on alcohol consumption, diet, demography, lifestyle and medical information. Multivariable logistic regression and generalised linear models were used to examine the association between alcohol consumption and both MetS and its medical components, respectively.

Setting: Ningbo, China.

*Participants:* A total of 2853 adults  $\geq$  20 years (44 % men) in this final analysis. *Results:* The prevalence of frequent alcohol drinkers and MetS was 29.9 % and 28.0 %, respectively. Significantly higher prevalence of MetS and mean values of medical components were found in the group of frequent alcohol drinkers with an exception for HDL-cholesterol, compared with less or non-alcohol drinkers. Frequent alcohol consumption was associated with higher odds of developing MetS and positively associated with medical components excepting waist circumference.

*Conclusions:* Frequent alcohol consumption contributed to a higher prevalence of MetS and unfavourable influence on MetS and its medical components among Chinese adults. A public health intervention on alcohol restriction is necessary for the prevention and control of the ongoing epidemic MetS.

Keywords Alcohol consumption Nutrition survey Metabolic syndrome Obesity Diabetes Hypertension Chinese

Metabolic syndrome (MetS) is a cluster of conditions that occur together, leading to increased risk of CVD, heart diseases, stroke and type 2 diabetes<sup>(1)</sup>. MetS involves at least three out of five metabolic conditions including hypertension, glucose intolerance, central obesity, decreased HDL-cholesterol or increased TAG levels<sup>(2)</sup>. The estimated prevalence of MetS among adults in most countries worldwide is around 20–30 %<sup>(3)</sup>. With economic development and urbanisation, the prevalence of MetS in adults increased from 10.5 % in 2009 to 14.4 % in 2014 in China<sup>(4,5)</sup> based on the definition of China guideline for type 2 diabetes (2017)<sup>(2)</sup>. Therefore, MetS has been considered as one of critical public health concerns in China.

Dietary intake, along with lifestyle, has been one of the major modifiable determinants in the development of chronic diseases<sup>(6,7)</sup>. Alcohol consumption, one of the most common human cultures and lifestyles, is associated with an increased risk of MetS<sup>(8)</sup>. However, some studies show that alcohol consumption has a favourable impact on medical components of MetS, contributing to a lower risk of developing MetS<sup>(9,10)</sup>. Recent systematic review and meta-analysis studies suggest that moderate red wine consumption is beneficial to CVD<sup>(11)</sup> and diabetes<sup>(12)</sup>.

To our best knowledge, the association between alcohol consumption and MetS in Zhejiang province has not been well investigated and reported. The objectives of our study are to investigate the prevalence of MetS among adults in Ningbo, Zhejiang province and explore the association between frequency of alcohol consumption status and MetS and its components.

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding authors: Email gongqinghai@163.com; lihui4329@163.com

<sup>©</sup> The Author(s), 2020. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of The Nutrition Society

Alcohol consumption and metabolic syndrome

#### Methods

#### Study design and population

The current study was a baseline study of the Ningbo Adult Chronic Diseases and Risk Factors cohort survey, conducted in Ningbo, the economic center of Zhejiang province in 2015 by the Ningbo Center for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). The baseline survey monitored the prevalence of non-communicable chronic diseases and associated risk factors in a representative sample of adults in Ningbo. A multistage, random cluster sampling procedure was utilised to draw the target samples, which covered socio-economic status (SES), demography and health aspects in both urban and rural areas. Urban and rural areas were based on the definition proposed by the Ningbo government. The eleven cities/counties of Cixi, Yuyao, Ninghai and Xiangshan are categorised into rural areas and the remaining regions (Beilun, Fenghua, Jiangbei, Jiangdong, Haishu, Yinzhou and Zhenhai) are urban areas. City, town and county were stratified by income (low, middle and high) in Ningbo. Three street blocks or counties were selected at each city/town level as the primary sampling unit. Two administrative communities/villages were selected as the secondary sampling unit at each city, town and county levels. One residency region was randomly selected as the third sampling unit. Around 105 household families were randomly selected as the fourth sampling unit. Around 630 participants, including 480 interviewees and 150 backup candidates, were randomly selected in each monitoring site. Ideally, 5280 participants would have been recruited in the survey with 1650 backup candidates. In the end, 5160 individuals, aged 15-74 years, who had been living in Ningbo for at least 5 years participated in this survey.

In this current study, participants who were at least 20 years old, not pregnant or lactating during data collection and not suffering from mental and physical diseases, were included in the final data analysis.

#### Dietary intake assessment

A validated FFQ was used to collect dietary information. This questionnaire is widely used across the whole of China, which was designed and validated by experts from the Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention.

This validated FFQ consisted of a 16-item dietary questionnaire. Items included seasoning [salt, MSG (a common condiment in China), edible oil and soya sauce], vegetables and fruit (dark and light), drinks (water, tea, coffee and alcohol), dietary behaviour (home-prepared meals, eating out, Western meal and traditional Chinese meals). Details of the questionnaire were reported somewhere<sup>(13)</sup>.

Participants were asked to report on their dietary intakes for the past month, including the average consumption of salt, MSG, edible oil and soya sauce for each meal and daily frequency and average consumption of dark vegetables (e.g. spinach, tomatoes and purple cabbage), light vegetables (e.g. wax gourds and white radish), dark fruit (e.g. oranges, mangoes and kiwi fruit) and light fruit (e.g. apples, pears and bananas). Dietary intakes for the last year included the monthly intake of tea and coffee and daily drink of water (about 200 ml per cup/glass). Participants were also asked about dietary behaviours for the past week activities such as the frequency of eating out including breakfast, lunch, dinner and snacks.

The quality of data collection was checked by comparing reasonable daily dietary intake. The individual was asked about their food consumption to resolve these discrepancies, where significant discrepancies were found. Regarding all the missing reports, individuals were visited up to a maximum of three times for filling in all the missing parts. Those who could not be reached for those missing reports were excluded from the participant list in the study.

All of the participants were asked to report on their alcohol consumption per month for the past year with four choices provided: (1) non-drinking or less than 1–2 times/month, (2) 1–2 times/week, (3) more than 3 times/ week and (4) everyday. Because of the low numbers of participants reporting non-drinking or drinking less than 1–2 times/month and drinking every day, alcohol consumption was divided into two groups: less or non-drinkers and frequent alcohol drinkers. Alcohol consumption more than 12 drinks/month was categorised as frequent alcohol consumption, which was defined based on the standard alcohol consumption proposed by Ningbo Center for Disease Control and Prevention.

#### Anthropometric measurement

Participants were measured in their underwear and barefoot twice by a registered nurse based on the standard China national human health monitoring - health measurement (WS/T424-2013) during randomly selected fieldwork visits. Body weight was measured using an electronic scale to the nearest 0.1 kg, and height was measured using a metal column height metre to the nearest 0.1 cm. Waist circumference (WC) was measured at midpoint between the costal margin and iliac crest in the mid-axillary line while participants were standing upright (upper clothes were raised to enable measurement of WC on the skin or underwear). Mean weight, height and WC were calculated based on two measurements. Thereafter, BMI was calculated as mean weight (kg)/mean height  $(m^2)$ . Participants were classified into four BMI categories according to China Obesity Task Force as follows: underweight (<18.5 kg/m<sup>2</sup>), normal weight  $(18.5-23.9 \text{ kg/m}^2)$ , overweight  $(24.0-27.9 \text{ kg/m}^2)$  and obesity ( $\geq 28.0 \text{ kg/m}^2$ ) <sup>(14)</sup>.

#### **Biomarker measurement**

Blood pressure (BP) was measured, following the standard China national human health monitoring – health measurement (WS/T424-2013), both at the right upper limb brachial

# Ø

# 4584

artery and in a seated posture by an electronic BP metre. Before the measurement, participants were asked to rest for 5–10 min. Each participant needed to have their BP measured three times at 30 s intervals. The average BP was calculated based on these three measurements.

Participants who were involved in the blood sampling were asked to fast after 20.00 the previous day. Fasting blood glucose was measured by the modified hexokinase enzymatic method. Total cholesterol, TAG and HDL-cholesterol were measured enzymatically using commercial reagents, and LDL-cholesterol was calculated by the Fried Ewald equation<sup>(15)</sup>.

# Definition of metabolic syndrome

MetS is defined based on the China guideline for type 2 diabetes – 2017 version<sup>(2)</sup>, as three or more of the following five medical components: (a) abdominal obesity: WC, men  $\geq$  90 cm; women  $\geq$  85 cm; (b) fasting blood glucose  $\geq$  6·1 mmol/l and/or diagnosed with diabetes; (c) BP: systolic BP (SBP)  $\geq$  130 mmHg and/or diastolic BP (DBP)  $\geq$  85 mmHg and/or and/or diagnosed with hypertension; (d) fasting TAG  $\geq$  1·70 mmol/l and (e) fasting HDL-cholesterol < 1·04 mmol/l.

#### Socio-economic status and lifestyle-related factors

Participants were asked to fill out a validated questionnaire about their SES, designed and validated by the Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention. SES includes education [lower secondary education; vocational, technical or high school; higher education (bachelor, master or above)]; employment (unemployed, employed, student and retired), geography (urban and rural) and monthly household income per capita (< \$295, \$295–370, \$370– 440, \$440–515 and > \$515).

Additionally, lifestyle factors such as smoking and physical activity (PA) were reported by participants. Smokers were defined as having smoked at least twenty packs of cigarettes per month for more than 6 months. Participants provided their PA level (frequency and time of moderate-intensity PA) and sedentary lifestyle. Total moderate-intensity PA throughout the week was categorised based on the daily adult PA recommendation proposed by China Nutrition Society<sup>(16)</sup>.

#### Statistical analysis

Descriptive analysis was presented as prevalence, mean and sE. The participants' MetS components and prevalence of MetS were compared between monthly less or nonalcohol drinkers and frequent alcohol drinkers. The prevalence of MetS and the mean of the individuals' MetS components were compared between less or non-alcohol drinkers and frequent alcohol drinkers by  $\chi^2$  test and *z*-test, respectively. Multivariable logistic regression and generalised linear models (linear model) were used to assess associations between frequency of alcohol consumption status (independent variable) and MetS (categorical variable; dependent variable) and MetS components (continuous variables; dependent variables), respectively. Associations were investigated via three models: (1) model 1: adjusting for confounding factors (age, gender, geography, education and employment); (2) model 2: adjusting for confounding factors and lifestyle (PA and smoking status) and (3) model 3: further adjusting for BMI, salt, edible oil and interactions. Interactions were examined between independent variables and confounding factors. Interactions were only retained in model 3 if they was statistically significant.

Results were considered statistically significant at a twotailed level of 0.05. Statistical analysis were conducted using the STATA statistical software package version 15 (2017).

# Results

In total, 2853 recruited individuals (44 % men) participated in this study completing a FFQ together with valid demography, lifestyle and medical information. Approximately 13.7 % of participants were senior generation ( $\geq$  65 years) and 48.4% of participants were living in rural areas (Table 1). The majority of participants (59.7%) were in the group with lower secondary education or no education and 23.1% of individuals had higher education. In this study, 66.8% of individuals were employed. In relation to lifestyle, only around 23.0 % of individuals were defined as smokers and 29.9 % of individuals were frequent alcohol drinkers. About 51.1 % of individuals had sufficient moderate-intensity PA. Regarding health outcomes, 32.9 and 9.3% individuals were defined as overweight and obesity, respectively. Moreover, for the medical components, around 24.6, 40.3, 14.3, 43.0 and 37.0% of participants were categorised as having abdominal obesity, hypertension, hyperglycaemia, hypertriglyceridaemia and low HDL-cholesterol value, respectively. About 28.0 % of participants were defined as MetS.

Table 2 shows the prevalence of MetS and its components among less or non-alcohol drinkers and frequent alcohol drinkers. Compared with less or non-alcohol drinkers, frequent alcohol drinkers showed a significantly higher prevalence of MetS. Conversely, individuals in the group of less or non-alcohol drinkers showed a significantly higher prevalence of medical components with less than three medical conditions. Regarding mean values of MetS components, frequent alcohol drinkers had significantly higher mean values of MetS components with an exception for HDL-cholesterol compared with their counterparts (Table 3).

The association between MetS and alcohol consumption was examined by multivariable logistic regression (Table 4).

## Table 1 Socio-demographic characteristics of Chinese adults living in Ningbo city (n 2853)

Total n†n%tn%tn2853125343.9160056.1Age115854643.661238.320-44115854643.661238.345-64130352441.877948.7≥ 6539218314.620913.1Geography00147364051.183352.1Urban147364051.183352.114.426016.3Lower secondary education170371056.799362.170.0Vocational, technical or high school49123118.426016.3Higher education65931224.934721.7Employment05451139.043227.0Unemployed5451139.043227.016.9Smoker7es65564451.4110.688Yes65564451.4110.68814.5Monthly frequent alcohol drinker79345763150.482651.6Yes26612910.31378.6Mothily frequent alcohol drinker26612910.31378.6Mothily frequent alcohol drinker26612910.31378.6Mothily frequent alcohol drinker26612910.31378.6Mothily frequent alcohol drinker		Total n† 2853 1158 1303 392 1473 1380 1703 491 659 545 1907 401 655 854	Men		Women	
n       2853       1253       43.9       1600       56.1         Age       1158       546       43.6       612       38.3         20-44       1158       546       43.6       612       38.3         ≥ 65       392       183       14.6       20.9       13.1         Geography       Urban       1473       640       51.1       833       52.1         Rural       1380       613       48.9       767       47.9         Education       1703       710       56.7       993       62.1         Lower secondary education       491       231       18.4       260       16.3         Vocational, technical or high school       491       231       18.4       260       16.3         Lower secondary education       659       312       24.9       347       21.7         Employment       0       907       1009       80.5       898       56.1         Where ducation       1907       1009       80.5       898       56.1         Smoker       401       131       10.5       270       16.9         Yes       854       655       644       51.4 <td< th=""><th></th><th>Total n†</th><th>n</th><th>%†</th><th>n</th><th>%†</th></td<>		Total n†	n	%†	n	%†
Age 20-44115854643-661238-3 $2 - 64$ 130352441.877948-7 $\geq 65$ 39218314-620913.1Geographyurban147364051.183352.1Rural138061348-976747.9Educationurban147364051.183352.1Rural138061348-976747.9Educationurban71056.799362.1Vocational, technical or high school49123118-426016.3Higher education65931224-934721.7Employmenturban1907100980-589856.1Retired40113110.527016.9Smokerves65564451.4110.688Yes65564451.4110.688Monthly frequent alcohol drinkerverseight65165554451.412.3Yes85465852.519612.312.3Physical activity26612910.313786Overweight093949739.744227.6Obesity70334327.436022.5Hypertension (SBP ≥ 130 mmHg and/or DBP ≥ 85 mmHg)115155644.459537.2Hypertension (CMP ≥ 1.70 mmHu/h)40819815.8 <td>n</td> <td>2853</td> <td>1253</td> <td>43.9</td> <td>1600</td> <td>56.1</td>	n	2853	1253	43.9	1600	56.1
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Age					
45-64130352441.877948.7≥ 6539218314.620913.1Geography147364051.183352.1Urban138061348.976747.9Education138061348.976747.9Education170371056.799362.1Vocational, technical or high school49123118.426016.3Higher education65931224.934721.7Employment1907100980.589856.1Unemployed5451139.043227.0Employed1907100980.589856.1Retired31013110.527016.9Smoker15065564451.4110.688Yes65564451.4110.688Monthly frequent alcohol drinker145763150.482.651.6BMIUnderweight and normal weight164862750.0102163.8Overweight93949739.744227.6Obesity70334327.436022.5Hypertension (SBP ≥ 130 mmHg and/or DBP ≥ 85 mmHg)115155644.459537.2Hypertycaemia (≥ 6.1 mmol/l)40819815821013.1Hupertyfickererid apmil/120861861561231.1 <td>20–44</td> <td>1158</td> <td>546</td> <td>43.6</td> <td>612</td> <td>38.3</td>	20–44	1158	546	43.6	612	38.3
	45–64	1303	524	41·8	779	48.7
Geography       Urban       1473       640       51.1       833       52.1         Rural       1380       613       48.9       767       47.9         Education       1000       56.7       993       62.1         Vocational, technical or high school       491       231       18.4       260       16.3         Higher education       659       312       24.9       347       21.7         Employment       0       432       27.0       16.9         Unemployed       545       113       9.0       432       27.0         Employed       1907       1009       80.5       898       56.1         Retired       401       131       10.5       270       16.9         Smoker       7       713       644       51.4       11       0.688         Yes       854       655       644       51.4       11       0.688         Monthly frequent alcohol drinker       7       7       7       7       7         Yes       854       658       52.5       196       12.3       7         Physical activity       2       1457       631       50.4       826	≥65	392	183	14.6	209	13.1
Urban147364051·183352·1Rural138061348·976747.9Education138061348·976747.9Lower secondary education170371056.799362·1Vocational, technical or high school49123118·426016·3Higher education65931224·934721·7Employment1907100980·589856·1Retired40113110·527016·9Smoker40113110·527016·9Yes65564451·4110·688Monthly frequent alcohol drinker70363150·482651·6Yes85465852·519612·3Physical activity26612910·31378·6BMI10derweight and normal weight164862750·0102163.8Overweight93949739.744227.6Obesity26612910·31378·6Abdominal obesity70334327.436022·5Hypertension (SBP ≥ 130 mmHg and/or DBP ≥ 85 mmHg)115155644·459537·2Hyperglycaemia (≥ 6·1 mmol/l)40819815·821013·1Hyperglycaemia (≥ 6·1 mmol/l)122861540·161313·1	Geography					
Rural138061348.976747.9Education170371056.799362.1Lower secondary education49123118.426016.3Higher education65931224.934721.7Employment65931224.934721.7Unemployed5451139.043227.0Employed1907100980.589856.1Retired40113110.527016.9Smoker79865564451.4110.688Yes85465852.519612.3Physical activity70334350.482651.6BMI104164862750.0102163.8Overweight and normal weight164862750.0102163.8Obesity26612910.31378-6Abdominal obesity70334327.436022.5Hypertension (SBP ≥ 130 mmHg and/or DBP ≥ 85 mmHg)115155644.459537.2Hyperglycaemia (≥ 6.1 mmol/l)40819815.821013.1Hyperglycaemia (≥ 6.1 mmol/l)123861540.461229.2	Urban	1473	640	51.1	833	52.1
Education       1703       710       56-7       993       62-1         Vocational, technical or high school       491       231       18-4       260       16-3         Higher education       659       312       24-9       347       21-7         Employment       1907       1009       80-5       898       56-1         Unemployed       545       113       9-0       432       27-0         Employed       1907       1009       80-5       898       56-1         Retired       401       131       10-5       270       16-9         Smoker       Yes       655       644       51-4       11       0-688         Monthly frequent alcohol drinker       Yes       854       658       52-5       196       12-3         Yes       854       658       52-5       196       12-3       1457         Physical activity       2       1457       631       50-4       826       51-6         BMI       Underweight and normal weight       1648       627       50-0       1021       63.8         Overweight       939       497       39.7       442       27-6       137       8-6	Rural	1380	613	48.9	767	47.9
Lower secondary education170371056·799362·1Vocational, technical or high school49123118·426016·3Higher education65931224·934721·7Employment1139·043227·0Unemployed5451139·043227·0Employed1907100980·589856·1Retired40113110·527016·9Smoker40113110·527016·9Yes65564451·4110·688Monthly frequent alcohol drinker145763150·482651·6Physical activity145763150·482651·651·6BMI164862750·0102163·8Overweight and normal weight164862750·0102163·8Overweight93949739·744227·6Obesity26612910·31378·6Abdominal obesity70334327·436022·5Hypertension (SBP ≥ 130 mmHg and/or DBP ≥ 85 mmHg)115155644·459537·2Hyperglycaemia (≥ 6·1 mmol/l)40819815·821013·1Hyperglycaemia (≥ 6·1 170 mmol/l)13·155640·161329·2	Education					
Vocational, technical or high school       491       231       18.4       260       16.3         Higher education       659       312       24.9       347       21.7         Employment       0       545       113       9.0       432       27.0         Employed       545       113       9.0       432       27.0         Employed       1907       1009       80.5       898       56.1         Retired       401       131       10.5       270       16.9         Smoker       798       655       644       51.4       11       0.688         Monthly frequent alcohol drinker       793       50.4       51.6       12.3       12.3         Yes       854       658       52.5       196       12.3         Physical activity       2       1457       631       50.4       826       51.6         BMI       0rerweight and normal weight       1648       627       50.0       1021       63.8         Overweight       939       497       39.7       442       27.6         Obesity       266       129       10.3       137       8.6         Abdominal obesity	Lower secondary education	1703	710	56.7	993	62.1
Higher education65931224-934721-7Employment10095451139-043227-0Unemployed1907100980-589856-1Retired40113110-527016-9Smoker40113110-527016-9Yes65564451-4110-688Monthly frequent alcohol drinker765852-519612-3Yes85465852-519612-3Physical activity145763150-482651-6BMI10derweight and normal weight164862750-0102163-8Overweight93949739-744227-6Obesity26612910-31378-6Abdominal obesity70334327-436022-5Hypertension (SBP ≥ 130 mmHg and/or DBP ≥ 85 mmHg)115155644-459537-2Hyperglycaemia (≥ 6.1 mmol/l)40819815-821013.1Hymertension (CAG ≥ 1.70 mmol/l)123861540-161512013.1	Vocational, technical or high school	491	231	18·4	260	16.3
Employment       545       113       9-0       432       27-0         Employed       1907       1009       80-5       898       56-1         Retired       401       131       10-5       270       16-9         Smoker       401       131       10-5       270       16-9         Yes       655       644       51-4       11       0-688         Monthly frequent alcohol drinker       7       7       631       50-4       826       51-6         Monthly frequent alcohol drinker       1457       631       50-4       826       51-6         Physical activity       1457       631       50-4       826       51-6         BMI       10       0verweight and normal weight       1648       627       50-0       1021       63-8         Overweight       939       497       39-7       442       27-6         Obesity       266       129       10-3       137       8-6         Abdominal obesity       703       343       27-4       360       22-5         Hypertension (SBP ≥ 130 mmHg and/or DBP ≥ 85 mmHg)       1151       556       44-4       595       37-2         Hyperglycaemi	Higher education	659	312	24.9	347	21.7
Unemployed5451139.043227.0Employed1907100980.589856.1Retired40113110.527016.9Smoker40113110.527016.9Yes65564451.4110.688Monthly frequent alcohol drinker7985465852.519612.3Yes85465852.519612.315016.9Physical activity145763150.482651.6BMI0164862750.0102163.8Overweight93949739.744227.6Obesity26612910.313.78.6Abdominal obesity70334327.436022.5Hypertension (SBP ≥ 130 mmHg and/or DBP ≥ 85 mmHg)115155644.459537.2Hyperglycaemia (≥ 6.1 mmol/l)40819815.821013.1Hyperglycaemia (≥ 6.1 mmol/l)122861549.161329.3	Employment					
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Unemployed	545	113	9.0	432	27.0
Retired40113110.527016.9Smoker Yes65564451.4110.688Monthly frequent alcohol drinker Yes85465852.519612.3Physical activity145763150.482651.6≥ 150 min/week145763150.482651.6BMI Underweight and normal weight Overweight164862750.0102163.8Overweight Obesity93949739.744227.6Obesity26612910.31378.6Abdominal obesity Hypertension (SBP ≥ 130 mmHg and/or DBP ≥ 85 mmHg)115155644.459537.2Hyperglycaemia (≥ 6.1 mmol/l)40819815.821013.1Hyperglycaemia (≥ 6.1 mmol/l)122861549.161329.2	Employed	1907	1009	80.5	898	56.1
Smoker       Yes       655       644       51·4       11       0.688         Monthly frequent alcohol drinker       854       658       52·5       196       12·3         Yes       854       658       52·5       196       12·3         ≥ 150 min/week       1457       631       50·4       826       51·6         BMI       Underweight and normal weight       1648       627       50·0       1021       63·8         Overweight       939       497       39·7       442       27·6         Obesity       266       129       10·3       137       8·6         Abdominal obesity       703       343       27·4       360       22·5         Hypertension (SBP ≥ 130 mmHg and/or DBP ≥ 85 mmHg)       1151       556       44·4       595       37·2         Hyperglycaemia (≥ 6·1 mmol/l)       408       198       15·8       210       13·1	Retired	401	131	10.5	270	16.9
Yes       655       644       51.4       11       0.688         Monthly frequent alcohol drinker       Yes       854       658       52.5       196       12.3         Physical activity       2       150 min/week       1457       631       50.4       826       51.6         BMI       Underweight and normal weight       1648       627       50.0       1021       63.8         Overweight       939       497       39.7       442       27.6         Obesity       266       129       10.3       137       8.6         Abdominal obesity       703       343       27.4       360       22.5         Hypertension (SBP ≥ 130 mmHg and/or DBP ≥ 85 mmHg)       1151       556       44.4       595       37.2         Hyperglycaemia (≥ 6.1 mmol/l)       408       198       15.8       210       13.1         Hymertrighcogridaemia (ZAG > 1.70 mmol/l)       1228       615       49.1       612       29.2	Smoker					
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Yes	655	644	51.4	11	0.688
Yes85465852.519612.3Physical activity2150 min/week145763150.482651.6BMI164862750.0102163.8Overweight93949739.744227.6Obesity26612910.31378.6Abdominal obesity70334327.436022.5Hypertension (SBP ≥ 130 mmHg and/or DBP ≥ 85 mmHg)115155644.459537.2Hyperglycaemia (≥ 6.1 mmol/l)40819815.821013.1Hymertiglycaemia (≥ 6.1 mmol/l)122861549.161229.2	Monthly frequent alcohol drinker					
Physical activity       1457       631       50.4       826       51.6         BMI       1648       627       50.0       1021       63.8         Overweight       939       497       39.7       442       27.6         Obesity       266       129       10.3       137       8.6         Abdominal obesity       703       343       27.4       360       22.5         Hypertension (SBP ≥ 130 mmHg and/or DBP ≥ 85 mmHg)       1151       556       44.4       595       37.2         Hyperglycaemia (≥ 6.1 mmol/l)       408       198       15.8       210       13.2	Yes	854	658	52.5	196	12.3
≥ 150  min/week  1457 631 50.4 826 51.6 BMI Underweight and normal weight 1648 627 50.0 1021 63.8 Overweight 939 497 39.7 442 27.6 Obesity 266 129 10.3 137 8.6 Abdominal obesity 703 343 27.4 360 22.5 Hypertension (SBP ≥ 130 mmHg and/or DBP ≥ 85 mmHg) 1151 556 44.4 595 37.2 Hyperglycaemia (≥ 6.1 mmol/l) 408 198 15.8 210 13.1 Hyperglycaemia (≥ 6.1 mmol/l) 1228 615 49.1 612 28.2	Physical activity					
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	≥ 150 min/week	1457	631	50.4	826	51.6
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	BMI					
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Underweight and normal weight	1648	627	50.0	1021	63.8
Obesity         266         129         10.3         137         8.6           Abdominal obesity         703         343         27.4         360         22.5           Hypertension (SBP $\geq$ 130 mmHg and/or DBP $\geq$ 85 mmHg)         1151         556         44.4         595         37.2           Hyperglycaemia ( $\geq$ 6.1 mmol/l)         408         198         15.8         210         13.1           Hyperglycaemia ( $\perp$ 6.1 mmol/l)         1228         615         4.9.1         612         28.2	Overweight	939	497	39.7	442	27.6
Abdominal obesity       703       343       27.4       360       22.5         Hypertension (SBP $\geq$ 130 mmHg and/or DBP $\geq$ 85 mmHg)       1151       556       44.4       595       37.2         Hyperglycaemia ( $\geq$ 6.1 mmol/l)       408       198       15.8       210       13.1         Hyperglycaemia (TAG $\geq$ 1.70 mmol/l)       1228       615       49.1       612       29.2	Obesity	266	129	10.3	137	8.6
Hypertension (SBP $\geq$ 130 mmHg and/or DBP $\geq$ 85 mmHg)       1151       556       44.4       595       37.2         Hyperglycaemia ( $\geq$ 6.1 mmol/l)       408       198       15.8       210       13.1         Hyperglycaemia (TAG $\geq$ 1.70 mmol/l)       1228       615       49.1       612       29.2	Abdominal obesity	703	343	27.4	360	22.5
Hyperglycaemia ( $\geq 6.1 \text{ mmol/l}$ )       408       198       15.8       210       13.1         Hyperglycaemia (TAG > 1.70 mmol/l)       1228       615       49.1       612       29.2	Hypertension (SBP $\geq$ 130 mmHg and/or DBP $\geq$ 85 mmHg)	1151	556	44.4	595	37.2
Hypertrialyceridaemia (TAG > $1.70 \text{ mmol/l}$ ) 1228 615 40.1 612 29.2	Hyperglycaemia (≥ 6·1 mmol/l)	408	198	15.8	210	13.1
1220 010 431 013 303	Hypertriglyceridaemia (TAG $\geq$ 1.70 mmol/l)	1228	615	49.1	613	38.3
Low HDL-cholesterol (< 1.04 mmol/l)         1057         532         42.5         525         32.8	Low HDL-cholesterol (< 1.04 mmol/l)	1057	532	42.5	525	32.8
Metabolic syndrome         798         399         31.8         399         24.9	Metabolic syndrome	798	399	31.8	399	24.9

SBP, systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure. †Data was presented as number and percentage.

Table 2	Prevalence	of metabolic	syndrome	and medical	components	of metabolic	syndrome	based on	alcohol	consumption
status										

	Less or non-alcohol drinkers ( <i>n</i> 1999)		Frequent alo		
	n	%	п	%	<i>P</i> *
MetS MetS score†	514	25.7	284	33.3	< 0.001
0	1485	74.3	570	66.7	< 0.001
1	289	14.5	150	17.6	
2	164	8.2	107	12.5	
3	61	3.1	27	3.2	

MetS, metabolic syndrome.

\*Statistical value was obtained from  $\chi^2$  test.

†MetS score: 0, less than 3 medical components; 1, 3 medical components; 2, 4 medical components; 3, 5 medical components.

The results show that significances were found in model 1 and model 3. In model 3, participants with frequent alcohol consumption had 1.6 times higher odds of developing MetS after adjusting for confounding factors, lifestyle factors, BMI, salt, edible oil and interaction in contrast to participants with less or non-alcohol consumption. Additionally, associations of medical components of MetS were further examined by generalised linear models (Table 5). Frequent alcohol consumption was significantly associated with medical components of MetS in the model 1 with an exception for HDL-cholesterol level. After further adjusting for lifestyle factors, HDL-cholesterol came to be significant, and SBP and TAG became non-significant in model 2. Only WC was statistically non-significant. Therefore, frequent alcohol consumption was positively associated with all the medical components with an exception for WC.

# 4586

 Table 3
 Mean levels of metabolic syndrome components† according to alcohol consumption

	Total ( <i>n</i> 2853)		Less or non-alcohol drinkers ( <i>n</i> 1999)		Frequent drinkers ( <i>n</i> 854)		
	Mean	SE	Mean	SE	Mean	SE	<b>P</b> *
MetS							
n	79	98	5 <sup>.</sup>	14	2	84	
%	30	0.0	25	5.7	33	3.3	
BMI	23.7	0.060	23.5	0.072	24.0	0.109	< 0.001
WC (cm)	81.5	0.171	80.4	0.201	83.9	0.309	< 0.001
FBG (mmol/l)	5.2	0.023	5.1	0.026	5.3	0.046	< 0.001
SBP (mmHa)	129.5	0.321	128.2	0.371	132.5	0.618	< 0.001
DBP (mmHa)	79.6	0.185	78.6	0.210	82.1	0.362	< 0.001
	1.5	0.021	1.4	0.022	1.6	0.046	< 0.001
HDL-cholesterol (mmol/l)	1.3	0.006	1.3	0.007	1.3	0.011	0.630

MetS, metabolic syndrome; WC, waist circumference; FBG, fasting blood glucose; SBP, systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure. \*Statistical value was obtained from z-test.

†Adjusted for gender, age, geography, education, employment, physical activity, smoking status, daily salt intake and daily oil intake.

Table 4 Odds ratios (95 % confidence intervals) of MetS according to alcohol consumption

	Model 1*			Model 2*			Model 3*		
MetS†	OR	CI	Р	OR	CI	Р	OR	CI	Р
Frequent alcohol drinkers	1.2	1.0, 1.5	0.044	1.1	0.92, 1.4	0.228	1.6	1.1,2.3	0.017

MetS, metabolic syndrome.

\*All the models were examined by multivariable logistic regression. Model 1, confounding factors adjusted; model 2, confounding factors + lifestyle adjusted; model 3, further adjusted for BMI, salt, oil and interaction.

†Less or non-alcohol consumption is reference in the models.

		Model 1*,†			Model 2*,†			Model 3*,†		
	ß	CI	Р	В	CI	Р	в	CI	Р	
WC (cm)	3.5	2.8, 4.2	< 0.001	0.776	0.011, 1.5	0.047	0.370	-0.136, 0.877	0.152	
SBP (mmHa)	3.5	2.2. 4.8	< 0.001	1.3	-0.020, 2.6	0.054	2.4	0.779. 4.1	0.004	
DBP (mmHg)	3.3	2.6, 4.1	< 0.001	1.4	0.574, 2.3	0.001	1.3	0.453, 2.1	0.002	
FBG (mmol/Ĭ)	0.163	0.068, 0.259	0.001	0.109	0.001, 0.217	0.048	0.214	0.073, 0.355	0.003	
TAG (mmol/l)	0.226	0.138, 0.313	< 0.001	0.065	-0.030, 0.159	0.179	0.166	0.029, 0.303	0.017	
HDL-cholesterol (mmol/l)	-0.018	-0.043, 0.008	0.168	0.056	0.028, 0.084	< 0.001	0.092	0.032, 0.152	0.003	

Table 5 Association of medical components of metabolic syndrome with alcohol consumption

MetS, metabolic syndrome; WC, waist circumference; FBG, fasting blood glucose; SBP, systolic blood pressure: DBP. diastolic blood pressure.

\*All the models were examined by generalised linear models. Model 1, confounding factors adjusted; model 2, confounding factors + lifestyle adjusted; model 3, further adjusted for BMI, salt, oil and interaction.

†Less or non-alcohol consumption is reference in the models.

#### Discussion

In this large population-based study, associations of MetS and medical components of MetS were examined with alcohol consumption among Chinese adults living in Ningbo, Zhejiang province. About 31 % of participants were defined as having MetS. With regard to the category of alcohol consumption, frequent alcohol drinkers had higher odds of developing MetS. In addition, frequent alcohol consumption was significantly associated with medical components of MetS, excepting WC.

MetS is highly prevalent in Ningbo due to its rapid economic growth, industrialisation and influence of westernisation. High prevalence of MetS among Chinese adults is consistent with the results of observational studies<sup>(17,18,19)</sup>. In addition, a high prevalence in the current study has reached a similar prevalence level of MetS in adults worldwide (20–30 %) <sup>(20)</sup>, is higher than that among European Alcohol consumption and metabolic syndrome

adults  $(24.3\%)^{(21)}$  but is relatively lower compared with American adults  $(34.7\%)^{(22)}$ .

Epidemiological studies indicate that increased alcohol consumption is associated with elevated risk of MetS<sup>(8,23)</sup>. A recent result from the Korea National Health and Nutritional Examination Survey carried out with a large study population reported that frequent alcohol consumption was associated with higher prevalence of MetS and its components in men compared with non-alcohol drinkers<sup>(24)</sup>, which supports our findings. However, some studies suggest that light to moderate alcohol consumption is associated with lower prevalence of MetS<sup>(8,10,23)</sup>, although alcohol consumption is strongly suggested to have negative effects on morbidity and mortality of liver diseases and cancers<sup>(25,26)</sup>. One result of this meta-analysis study indicated that light alcohol consumption may be associated with a reduced risk of MetS<sup>(27)</sup>. Sun and his colleagues reported that heavy drinking (> 35 g/d) increased the risk of MetS, while light drinking (0.1-5 g/d) decreased the risk of MetS<sup>(27)</sup>. Likewise, a cross-sectional study from the Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey conducted in the USA indicated that mild to moderate alcohol consumption is associated with a lower prevalence of MetS<sup>(28)</sup>. Light and moderate red wine consumption has been found to have beneficial effects on CVD due to a bioactive polyphenol acting as antiarrhythmic properties and an inhibitor of both intracellular Ca release and pathological signalling cascades<sup>(29)</sup>. Therefore, alcohol patterns and dose of alcohol consumption may play a significant role in health impacts.

Alcohol consumption is suggested to result in a high risk of MetS via increasing WC, BP, fasting blood glucose and blood lipids<sup>(30-,32)</sup>. Our study shows that mean values of medical components were significantly higher among frequent alcohol drinkers. In additional, frequent alcohol consumption was positively associated with medical components, excepting WC, compared with non-alcohol drinkers. A 3-year follow-up study conducted on Korean men showed that higher frequent alcohol consumption was positively associated with increasing risk of MetS via abdominal obesity, impaired fasting glucose and hypertriglyceridaemia<sup>(33)</sup>, which is partially supporting our findings.

Based on the fact that alcohol provides energy intake increasing appetite, stimulating food intake and affecting satiety, alcohol may promote weight gain and body composition with unbalanced energy intake and energy expenditure<sup>(34)</sup>. One cross-sectional population study showed that total alcohol intake was positively associated with BMI and WC in both Danish men and women, but not frequent alcohol consumption<sup>(32)</sup>. In the current study, we found that frequent alcohol consumption was positively associated with WC in comparison with less or non-alcohol consumption, after adjusting for confounding and lifestyle factors. However, significance disappeared after further adjusting for BMI, salt, oil and interaction. Similarly, Wakabayashi observed weaker or no associations between frequency of alcohol consumption and BMI/ WC in Japanese men (45–70 years)<sup>(35)</sup>. Interestingly, the previous Danish study indicated that the most frequent drinkers with light consumption had the lowest odds ratios for being obese<sup>(32)</sup>. Likewise, the result of the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC) – Potsdam study showed that light to moderate beer consumption leads to smaller WC and body weight gain in men<sup>(36)</sup>. Therefore, obesity can be dependent on dose of alcohol consumption and alcohol pattern.

Evidence shows that high frequency of alcohol consumption is positively associated with an increased risk of hypertension<sup>(24,37)</sup>. Frequent alcohol consumption was associated with an increased 2·4 mmHg SBP and 1·4 mmHg DBP in model 3. Our findings are in line with one recent study from the Korea National Health and Nutritional Examination Survey from 2007 to  $2013^{(24)}$ . The Korea national survey conducted on Korean adults also examined the association between MetS and frequency of alcohol consumption, indicating that higher frequent alcohol consumption was associated with odds of high BP<sup>(24)</sup>. The potential mechanisms can be elevated sympathetic nervous system activity<sup>(38)</sup> and involving nitric oxide as an important endogenous vasodilator regulating blood pressure<sup>(39)</sup>.

Alcohol consumption may play a key role in the regulation of lipid profile and plasma lipoprotein metabolism<sup>(40)</sup>. Frequent alcohol consumption was positively associated with both TAG and HDL-cholesterol level compared with less or non-alcohol consumption in our study. A community-based cohort study conducted on Korean adults showed that frequent alcohol consumption was associated with higher TAG and lower HDL-cholesterol in men compared with non-alcohol drinking in men<sup>(24)</sup>. This Korean study is partially in line with our findings. Despite the different timing of alcohol consumption, this Korean study indicated that gender as a confounding factor may be an important influential factor, which can explain the effect of sex hormone on chronic diseases<sup>(41)</sup>. A recent Mendelian randomisation analysis including 8364 general Japanese participants suggested that alcohol may increase HDL-cholesterol level and decrease LDL-cholesterol level<sup>(42)</sup>. The possible mechanism is that apolipoprotein (Apo) A-I synthesis is achieved in the liver as a major site and alcohol can increase ApoA-I production in transformed human hepatocytes<sup>(43-45)</sup>. Therefore, the increased circulating levels of ApoA-I and ApoA-II can better explain an increase in HDL-cholesterol as ApoA-I and ApoA-II are the two major proteins in HDL<sup>(45,46)</sup>. However, the mechanism is still unclear.

Furthermore, in terms of glucose homoeostasis, alcohol consumption may destroy glycaemic control and elevated fasting serum glucose concentration due to  $\beta$ -cell dysfunction and alcoholic steatohepatitis<sup>(33)</sup>. Several previous studies support the hypothesis that higher frequent alcohol

#### 4588

consumption is associated with greater insulin sensitivity and decreased insulin concentration<sup>(24,47)</sup>. Nevertheless, the mechanism of the associations between alcohol consumption and glucose metabolism and insulin sensitivity are yet complex and unclear. Frequent alcohol consumption was positively associated with fasting blood glucose. A previous cross-sectional study including healthy Shanghai adults, in agreement with our findings, showed a positive association between alcohol consumption and fasting plasma glucose compared with non-alcohol drinking<sup>(9)</sup>.

The Chinese dietary guideline in 2016 for alcohol consumption recommends not to exceed 25 g/d and 15 g/d for men and women, respectively, and the exact dose of alcohol consumption based on alcohol patterns<sup>(16)</sup>. Although our study did not examine the quantity of alcohol consumed per day, highly frequent alcohol consumption may be responsible for hypertension, hyperglycaemia and hypertriglyceridaemia, eventually causing MetS and related chronic diseases due to drinking behaviour and extra energy intake from other food sources. Alcohol consumption has been proven to enhance appetite and increase food intake<sup>(34)</sup>, thus can affect long-term energy storage and inhibit fat oxidation<sup>(48)</sup>. Appropriate strategy and policy are necessarily applied for reducing alcohol production in industries, alcohol consumption in public and alcohol-related problems. Public information campaigns and school-based education should get more attention to educate local adults and children as to the importance and consequences of alcohol dose and patterns and their effects on the related health and social problems.

#### Strength and limitation

The current study was the baseline study of a cohort survey. It presented the local dietary behaviour and culture among Ningbo citizens. Information of anthropometric and biomarkers were measured by a well-experienced registered nurse using standardised procedures.

Nonetheless, some limitations of this study need to be considered. First, causality cannot be inferred according to the nature of cross-sectional study design. Second, 2853 out of 5160 participants were included in the current study due to selection criteria. This may not be perfectly representative of local dietary intakes, although participants were recruited from all eleven cities/counties covering demography and SES. Then, alcohol consumption was determined using a standardised FFQ. Self-reported consumption via FFQ may differ from actual alcohol consumption, especially in our study, when dietary information was gained via interviews. Thus, participants might misreport their information due to socio-economics status and might therefore be biased towards misreporting. In addition, patterns and amounts of alcohol consumption were not assessed in this current study, which may result in evaluating imprecise alcohol consumption and misleading associations between MetS and its components. Moreover, total energy intake was not adjusted in the multivariable logistic regression and generalised linear models for investigation of association between MetS and its medical components and alcohol consumption. Therefore, it may affect the accurate result of associations.

# Conclusion

Around 31 % individuals were defined to have MetS in the current study. A higher prevalence of MetS was observed in the group of frequent alcohol drinkers in comparison with less or non-alcohol drinkers. Frequent alcohol consumption was associated with high odds of developing MetS and positively associated with medical components with an exception for WC. Since alcohol consumption may increase higher the risk of MetS, hypertension, diabetes and cancers, leading to all-cause mortality, an alcohol restriction initiative needs to be executed for public health promotion and its clinical importance. Moreover, future studies need to investigate causality of alcohol patterns and dose of alcohol consumption using a prospective cohort design.

#### Acknowledgements

Acknowledgements: The authors thank all the participants involved in the survey. The authors thank Centers for Disease Control and Prevention in Ningbo for their support of data collection and they thank School of Economics, Faculty of Humanities and Social Sciences, University of Nottingham Ningbo China to support this research collaboration. The authors thank Mr. Jose M Grisolia for the English proofreading of the manuscript. Financial support: This study was supported by Ningbo Science & Technology Bureau [No. 2019A610391], Ningbo Health Branding Subject Fund [No. PPXK2018-10] and the Science and Technology Planning Project of Ningbo [No. 2017C50045]. Conflict of interest: All authors have read and approved the final manuscript. All authors declare no conflicts of interest. Authorship: Y.L. contributed to conception, design, statistical analyses, data interpretation and drafted manuscript writing. Q.G. and H.L. contributed to the study design, reviewed and organised the field work. S.L., Y.Y. and S.W. were responsible for the field work, data collection and quality control. All authors reviewed the final manuscript and approved it for submission. Ethics of human subject participation: This study was conducted according to the guidelines laid down in the Declaration of Helsinki, and all procedures involving research study participants were approved by the Ningbo Center for Disease Control and Prevention (no. 201702). Written informed

#### Alcohol consumption and metabolic syndrome

consent was obtained from all subjects. Verbal consent was witnessed and formally recorded.

#### References

- Kaur J (2014) A comprehensive review on metabolic syndrome. *Cardiol Res Pract* 2014, 943162.
- Chinese Diabetes Society (2018) China guideline for type 2 diabetes (2017). *Chin J Diabetes* 10, 4–67.
- Grundy SM (2008) Metabolic syndrome pandemic. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol 28, 629–636.
- Lan Y, Mai Z, Zhou S *et al.* (2018) Prevalence of metabolic syndrome in China: an up-dated cross-sectional study. *PLoS One* 13, e0196012.
- Xi B, He D, Hu Y *et al.* (2013) Prevalence of metabolic syndrome and its influencing factors among the Chinese adults: the China Health and Nutrition Survey in 2009. *Preventative Med* 57, 867–871.
- Micha R, Penalvo JL, Cudhea F *et al.* (2017) Association between dietary factors and mortality from heart disease, stroke, and type 2 diabetes in the United States. *JAMA* 317, 912–924.
- Newby PK & Tucker KL (2004) Empirically derived eating patterns using factor or cluster analysis: a review. *Nutr Rev* 62, 177–203.
- 8. Vieira BA, Luft VC, Schmidt MI *et al.* (2016) Timing and type of alcohol consumption and the metabolic syndrome ELSA-Brasil. *PLoS One* **11**, e0163044.
- Fan JG, Cai XB, Li L *et al.* (2008) Alcohol consumption and metabolic syndrome among Shanghai adults: a randomized multistage stratified cluster sampling investigation. *World J Gastroenterol* 14, 2418–2424.
- Stoutenberg M, Lee DC, Sui X *et al.* (2013) Prospective study of alcohol consumption and the incidence of the metabolic syndrome in US men. *Br J Nutr* **110**, 901–910.
- Stephan LS, Almeida ED, Markoski MM *et al.* (2017) Red wine, resveratrol and atrial fibrillation. *Nutrients* 9, 1190.
- 12. Baliunas DO, Taylor BJ, Irving H *et al.* (2009) Alcohol as a risk factor for type 2 diabetes: a systematic review and metaanalysis. *Diabetes Care* **32**, 2123–2132.
- 13. Kong F, Li H, Xu G *et al.* (2018) Association of dietary behaviors and sleep quality: results from the adults chronic diseases and risk factors survey of 2015 in Ningbo, China. *IntJ Environ Res Public Health* **15**, 1823.
- 14. Department of Diseases Control, Ministry of Health, People's Republic of China & People's Republic of China (2004) The guideline of prevention and control of overweight and obesity among Chinese adults. *Acta Nutrimenta Sinica* **26**.
- Allain CC, Poon LS, Chan CS *et al.* (1974) Enzymatic determination of total serum cholesterol. *Clin Chem* 20, 470–475.
- Chinese Nutrition Society (2016) The Chinese dietary guideline. http://dg.en.cnsoc.org/ (accessed September 2019).
- Jiang X, Liu X, Wu S *et al.* (2015) Metabolic syndrome is associated with and predicted by resting heart rate: a cross-sectional and longitudinal study. *Heart (Br Cardiac Soc)* 101, 44–49.
- Li XT, Liao W, Yu HJ *et al.* (2017) Combined effects of fruit and vegetables intake and physical activity on the risk of metabolic syndrome among Chinese adults. *PLoS One* **12**, e0188533.
- Xu SH, Qiao N, Huang JJ *et al.* (2016) Gender differences in dietary patterns and their association with the prevalence of metabolic syndrome among Chinese: a cross-sectional study. *Nutrients* 8, 180.
- Eckel RH, Grundy SM & Zimmet PZ (2005) The metabolic syndrome. *Lancet* 365, 1415–1428.

- Scuteri A, Laurent S, Cucca F *et al.* (2015) Metabolic syndrome across Europe: different clusters of risk factors. *Eur J Preventive Cardiol* 22, 486–491.
- Aguilar M, Bhuket T, Torres S *et al.* (2015) Prevalence of the metabolic syndrome in the United States, 2003-2012. *JAMA* 313, 1973–1974.
- 23. Choi S, Kim K, Lee JK *et al.* (2019) Association between change in alcohol consumption and metabolic syndrome: analysis from the health examinees study. *Diabetes Metabol J* **43**, 615–626.
- 24. Oh SS, Kim W, Han KT *et al.* (2018) Alcohol consumption frequency or alcohol intake per drinking session: Which has a larger impact on the metabolic syndrome and its components? *Alcohol* **71**, 15–23.
- Lachenmeier DW, Przybylski MC & Rehm J (2012) Comparative risk assessment of carcinogens in alcoholic beverages using the margin of exposure approach. *Int J Cancer* 131, E995–E1003.
- Kondili LA, Taliani G, Cerga G et al. (2005) Correlation of alcohol consumption with liver histological features in non-cirrhotic patients. Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol 17, 155–159.
- 27. Sun K, Ren M, Liu D *et al.* (2014) Alcohol consumption and risk of metabolic syndrome: a meta-analysis of prospective studies. *Clin Nutr* **33**, 596–602.
- Freiberg MS, Cabral HJ, Heeren TC *et al.* (2004) Alcohol consumption and the prevalence of the Metabolic Syndrome in the US: a cross-sectional analysis of data from the Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. *Diabetes Care* 27, 2954–2959.
- Bonnefont-Rousselot D (2016) Resveratrol and cardiovascular diseases. *Nutrients* 8, 250.
- Oh JE (2018) Relationship between heavy drinking, binge drinking, and metabolic syndrome in obese and non-obese Korean male adults. *Nutr Res Pract* 12, 166–172.
- Fan JG, Cai XB, Li L *et al.* (2008) Alcohol consumption and metabolic syndrome among Shanghai adults: a randomized multistage stratified cluster sampling investigation. *World J Gastroenterol* 14, 2418–2424.
- Tolstrup JS, Heitmann BL, Tjonneland AM *et al.* (2005) The relation between drinking pattern and body mass index and waist and hip circumference. *Int J Obes* 29, 490–497.
- Kim BJ, Kim BS & Kang JH (2012) Alcohol consumption and incidence of metabolic syndrome in Korean men. A 3-year follow-up study. *Circ J: Offic J Jpn Circ Soc* 76, 2363–2371.
- Yeomans MR (2010) Alcohol, appetite and energy balance: is alcohol intake a risk factor for obesity? *Physiol Behav* 100, 82–89.
- Wakabayashi I (2011) Age-dependent inverse association between alcohol consumption and obesity in Japanese men. *Obesity* 19, 1881–1886.
- Schutze M, Schulz M, Steffen A *et al.* (2009) Beer consumption and the 'beer belly': scientific basis or common belief? *Eur J Clin Nutr* 63, 1143–1149.
- Xin X, He J, Frontini MG *et al.* (2001) Effects of alcohol reduction on blood pressure: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Hypertension* 38, 1112–1117.
- Arkwright PD, Beilin LJ, Vandongen R *et al.* (1982) The pressor effect of moderate alcohol consumption in man: a search for mechanisms. *Circulation* **66**, 515–519.
- Toda N & Ayajiki K (2010) Vascular actions of nitric oxide as affected by exposure to alcohol. *Alcohol Alcoholism* 45, 347–355.
- Frohlich JJ (1996) Effects of alcohol on plasma lipoprotein metabolism. *Clin Chim Acta* 246, 39–49.
- Taylor LE & Sullivan JC (2016) Sex differences in obesityinduced hypertension and vascular dysfunction: a protective role for estrogen in adipose tissue inflammation? *Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol* **311**, R714–R720.

# 4590

- 42. Tabara Y, Arai H, Hirao Y *et al.* (2017) The causal effects of alcohol on lipoprotein subfraction and triglyceride levels using a Mendelian randomization analysis: the Nagahama Study. *Atherosclerosis* **257**, 22–28.
- 43. Dashti N, Franklin FA & Abrahamson DR (1996) Effect of ethanol on the synthesis and secretion of apoA-I- and apoB-containing lipoproteins in HepG2 cells. *J Lipid Res* **37**, 810–824.
- 44. Amarasuriya RN, Gupta AK, Civen M *et al.* (1992) Ethanol stimulates apolipoprotein A-I secretion by human hepatocytes: implications for a mechanism for atherosclerosis protection. *Metab Clin Exp* **41**, 827–832.
- 45. Ikewaki K, Zech LA, Kindt M *et al.* (1995) Apolipoprotein A-II production rate is a major factor regulating the distribution of

apolipoprotein A-I among HDL subclasses LpA-I and LpA-I: A-II in normolipidemic humans. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* **15**, 306–312.

- 46. De Oliveira ESER, Foster D, McGee Harper M *et al.* (2000) Alcohol consumption raises HDL cholesterol levels by increasing the transport rate of apolipoproteins A-I and A-II. *Circulation* **102**, 2347–2352.
- Barrio-Lopez MT, Bes-Rastrollo M, Sayon-Orea C *et al.* (2013) Different types of alcoholic beverages and incidence of metabolic syndrome and its components in a Mediterranean cohort. *Clin Nutr* **32**, 797–804.
- Yeomans MR, Caton S & Hetherington MM (2003) Alcohol and food intake. *Curr Opin Clin Nutr Metab Care* 6, 639–644.