A complex structural variant near SOX3 causes X-linked split-hand/foot malformation

Elke de Boer,^{1,[2](#page-0-0)} Carlo Marcelis,^{[1](#page-0-0)} Kornelia Neveling,^{1,2} Ellen van Beusekom,¹ Alexander Hoischen,^{1[,3](#page-0-1),[4](#page-0-2)} Willemijn M. Klein,^{[5](#page-0-3)} Nicole de Leeuw,^{[1](#page-0-0)} Tuomo Mantere,^{1[,6](#page-0-3)} Uirá S. Melo,^{7,[8](#page-0-5)} Jeroen van Reeuwijk,^{1,2} Dominique Smeets,^{[1](#page-0-0)} Malte Spielmann,^{[7,](#page-0-4)[9,10](#page-0-6)} Tiitske Kleefstra,^{[1,2](#page-0-0)[,11](#page-0-7)} Hans van Bokhoven,^{1,2[,12,](#page-0-8)13} and Lisenka E.L.M. Vissers^{[1,2](#page-0-0),13,[*](#page-0-9)}

Summary

Split-hand/foot malformation (SHFM) is a congenital limb defect most typically presenting with median clefts in hands and/or feet, that can occur in a syndromic context as well as in isolated form. SHFM is caused by failure to maintain normal apical ectodermal ridge function during limb development. Although several genes and contiguous gene syndromes are implicated in the monogenic etiology of isolated SHFM, the disorder remains genetically unexplained for many families and associated genetic loci. We describe a family with isolated X-linked SHFM, for which the causative variant could be detected after a diagnostic journey of 20 years. We combined well-established approaches including microarray-based copy number variant analysis and fluorescence in situ hybridization coupled with optical genome mapping and whole genome sequencing. This strategy identified a complex structural variant (SV) comprising a 165-kb gain of 15q26.3 material ([GRCh37/hg19] chr15:99795320-99960362dup) inserted in inverted position at the site of a 38-kb deletion on Xq27.1 ([GRCh37/hg19] chrX:139481061-139518989del). In silico analysis suggested that the SV disrupts the regulatory framework on the X chromosome and may lead to SOX3 misexpression. We hypothesize that SOX3 dysregulation in the developing limb disturbed the fine balance between morphogens required for maintaining AER function, resulting in SHFM in this family.

Split-hand/foot malformation (SHFM) refers to a heterogeneous group of rare congenital limb anomalies, characterized by median clefts in hands and/or feet, syndactyly, and aplasia or hypoplasia of the metacarpal, metatarsal, and phalangeal bones, mostly affecting the central rays. $1/2$ $1/2$ It arises during embryonic development as a result of failure to maintain normal function of the apical ectodermal ridge (AER), a critical signaling structure that directs morphogenesis of the developing limb along the proximal-distal axis. $3-7$ SHFM is a rare disease with a prevalence of 1 per 90,000 live births. 2 The severity of clinical features varies substantially and ranges from syndactyly in mildly affected individuals to monodactyly or aphalangia in its most severe forms.^{[1](#page-10-0)} This clinical variability can be observed between members of the same family but even between limbs of a single affected individual. $1/6$ $1/6$

SHFM can be observed in a syndromic context as well as in isolated (non-syndromic) form. To date, OMIM describes over 50 syndromes that involve SHFM, with a range of associated (congenital) anomalies, including neurodevelopmental delay, growth retardation, hearing loss, and craniofacial, ectodermal, and internal organ abnormal-ities.^{[2](#page-10-1)[,8](#page-10-4)[,9](#page-10-5)} For the non-syndromic forms, various clinical criteria have been proposed to assist in its classification, which depend on anatomical and radiographic findings, such as position of the cleft and thumb web, unilateral or bilateral occurrence, involvement of the long bones, and clinical severity.^{[1](#page-10-0)} Based on the associated genetic locus, 12 distinct forms of non-syndromic SHFM can be recognized, with an autosomal dominant, autosomal recessive or X-linked mode of inheritance, often showing variable expressivity and incomplete penetrance. $2,10$ $2,10$ Contiguous gene syndromes have been implicated in the etiology of SHFM, and for five of these 12 loci, the associated genes have been identified.^{[2](#page-10-1)} These include $DLX5$ and $DLX6$ in SHFM1 (MIM#183600 and MIM#220600), TP63 in SHFM4 (MIM#605289), WNT10B in SHFM6 (MIM#225 300), ZAK in split-foot malformation with mesoaxial polydactyly (SFMMP; MIM#616890), and EPS15L1 in SHFM8 ([Table 1](#page-1-0)). 2,11 2,11 2,11 2,11 Additionally, a combinatorial effect of ectopic misexpression of multiple genes was shown in the etiology of SHFM3. 12

*Correspondence: lisenka.vissers@radboudumc.nl

[https://doi.org/10.1016/j.xhgg.2023.100200.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.xhgg.2023.100200)

¹Department of Human Genetics, Radboudumc University Medical Center, Nijmegen, the Netherlands; ²Donders Institute for Brain, Cognition and Behaviour, Radboud University, Nijmegen, the Netherlands; ³Department of Internal Medicine and Radboud Center for Infectious Diseases, Radboud University Medical Center, Nijmegen, the Netherlands; ⁴ Radboud Institute for Molecular Life Sciences, Radboud University Medical Center, Nijmegen, the Netherlands; ⁵Department of Medical Imaging, Radiology, Radboud University Medical Center, Nijmegen, the Netherlands; ⁶Laboratory of Cancer Genetics and Tumor Biology, Cancer and Translational Medicine Research Unit and Biocenter Oulu, University of Oulu, Oulu, Finland; ⁷Max Planck Institute for Molecular Genetics, RG Development & Disease, Berlin, Germany; ⁸Institute for Medical and Human Genetics, Charité Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Berlin, Germany; ⁹Institute of Human Genetics, University Hospitals Schleswig-Holstein, University of Lübeck and Kiel University, 23562 Lübeck, Kiel, Germany;
¹⁰DZHK (German Centre for Cardiovascular Research), Partner Site Ha Vincent van Gogh Institute for Psychiatry, Venray, the Netherlands; 12Department of Cognitive Neuroscience, Radboudumc, Nijmegen, the Netherlands ¹³These authors contributed equally

2023 The Author(s). This is an open access article under the CC BY license ([http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/\)](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Finding genetic causes for SHFM is complicated by the rarity of the phenotype, the large number of morphogens associated with limb development, their complex interactions, including intertwining of signaling pathways acting in different spatial dimensions, and the presumed involve-ment of regulatory elements.^{[6](#page-10-3)[,13](#page-10-9)} SHFM2 (MIM%313350) is the only mapped form of non-syndromic SHFM with X-linked inheritance.[14–17](#page-10-10) Although X-linked inheritance for SHFM was already suggested in 1978 ,^{[17](#page-11-0)} SHFM2 is merely based on a single, large consanguineous family reported first in 1987 .^{[14](#page-10-10)} In this family, 36 individuals in seven generations were affected by monodactyly or splithand and split-foot, with full expression of the trait in hemizygous males and presumed homozygous females, whereas heterozygous females were either unaffected or showed milder phenotypes. 14 Linkage analysis in this large family defined a 5.1 Mb region on Xq26.3 (between DXS1114 and DXS1192, (GRCh37/hg19) chrX:133,295, 286–138,368,235).^{[15,](#page-10-11)[16](#page-10-12)} The exons and exon-intron boundaries of 19 candidate genes in the linkage region were sequenced, but no relevant variants were identified, 16 suggesting that regulatory elements must be at play in the pathophysiology of SHFM2.

Here, we report on a family in which five individuals are affected by non-syndromic SHFM, consistent with an X-linked inheritance pattern. We applied a combined approach of microarray-based copy number variant (CNV) analysis, fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH), optical genome mapping (OGM), and whole genome sequencing (WGS). The combination of these technologies

enabled us to identify a complex structural variant (SV), consisting of a 165-kb duplicated fragment originating from chromosome 15, to be inserted in inverted orientation at the site of a 38-kb deletion on the X chromosome near the previously described SHFM2 locus and SOX3. We hypothesize that perturbations of regulatory elements lead to dysregulated SOX3 expression affecting AER maintenance in the limb bud and causing SHFM in this family.

Individuals from the reported family first received genetic counseling at a university medical center in the Netherlands over 20 years ago, and were followed up at low frequency thenceforth. In this four-generation kindred ([Figure 1](#page-2-0)A), a total of five individuals were affected by congenital unilateral or bilateral non-syndromic splithand malformation, but without clinical abnormalities of the feet. Evaluation of the pedigree indicated an X-linked mode of inheritance, or less likely, autosomal dominant inheritance with reduced penetrance. The Radboudumc review board approved the study (2019–5554) and affected individuals provided written informed consent.

Individual I-1 was a male affected by bilateral split-hand malformation ([Figure 1B](#page-2-0)), who deceased at 82 years of age. He had three sons and six daughters from a non-consanguineous relationship, all of whom were clinically unaffected. Five of his nine children had offspring, but only his two oldest daughters (individual II-4 and II-7) had offspring with symptoms fitting the SHFM spectrum. Individual III-6, the 52-year-old daughter of individual II-4, showed a mild phenotype consisting of unilateral oligodactyly. She presented with four fingers in cleft-shape on

B Individual I-1

Individual III-6

Individual III-7

Individual IV-3

Individual IV-4

Figure 1. A family with X-linked split-hand malformation

(A) Pedigree of the family showing five affected individuals (marked in gray) in the first, third, and fourth generation, and unaffected carriers (indicated by a dot) in the second, third, and fourth generation, consistent with an X-linked inheritance pattern. Radiographic feet abnormalities are indicated by an asterisk.

(B) Photographs of upper limbs of individuals I-1, III-6, III-7, IV-3, and IV-4. Individual I-1 exhibited bilateral V-shaped hands with median clefts. Individual III-6 had right-sided split-hand with four fingers on the affected hand. Individual III-7 showed bilateral split-hand malformation, with abnormal carpal bone and absence of metacarpal and phalangeal bones of the third and fourth rays as seen on radiography. Monozygotic twins IV-3 and IV-4 are affected by bilateral bidactylous split-hand, characterized by deep V-shaped median clefts. Radiography of the hands at age 1 year and 9 months showed age-related absence of calcification of the carpal bones (therefore, the formation cannot be assessed based on these images) and complete absence of metacarpals and phalanges of the second, third, and fourth digits. The thumb consisted of a normal metacarpal and proximal phalangeal bone with a bifid distal phalangeal bone, and the fifth ray showed normal metacarpal and triphalangeal formation positioned in abnormal ulnar rotation.

the right hand [\(Figure 1](#page-2-0)B), requiring surgical intervention at age 18 months. Her brother (III-3), sister (III-4), and daughter (IV-2) were unaffected, but both her monozygotic twin sons (individual IV-3 and IV-4) exhibited bilateral split-hand malformation. The 22-year-old twins had very similarly affected hands characterized by absence of the three central digits, leading to a deep median cleft between the first and fifth rays, with the fifth ray in ulnar rotation. Radiography of hands in the posterior-anterior direction at age 1 year and 9 months showed a deep V-shaped cleft with complete absence of calcification of the carpals, as well as complete absence of metacarpals and phalanges of the second, third, and fourth rays. The thumb consisted of a normal metacarpal and proximal phalangeal bone, with a bifid top phalanx. The fifth ray comprised normal metacarpal, and normal proximal, mid and end phalangeal bones, positioned in abnormal ulnar rotation ([Figure 1B](#page-2-0)). As this ulnar rotation interfered with grip and pinch function of hands, and herewith development of fine motor skills, the twins underwent a rotational osteotomy at the base of the fifth metacarpal of the right (dominant) hand at 2 years and 9 months to improve functional anatomy of the hand. They received hand therapy of a specialized occupational therapist. At age 3 years and 10 months, they could perform fine motor skills at a slow but age-appropriate level. Besides a diagnosis of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in both, they had a normal development and there were no additional congenital abnormalities or medical problems. Their 50-year-old second cousin, individual III-7, son of individual II-7, showed a phenotype of bilateral split-hand malformation with absence of the third and fourth rays, including absence of metacarpal and phalangeal bones, as well as abnormalities of the carpal bones (large scaphoid, fused trapezoid and trapezium, absent capitate, rotated hamate, small triquetrum, pisiform and lunate), as seen on radiography ([Figure 1B](#page-2-0)). His feet showed mild bilateral cutaneous 2-3-syndactyly ([Figure S1](#page-10-13)). There were no other congenital anomalies or medical problems, and his brother (III-9) and daughter (IV-5) were unaffected. To understand segregation of the trait in this family, individuals II-1, II-4, II-7, II-10, II-11, III-4, and III-9 were screened for subclinical hand and feet abnormalities by radiography. For individual II-7, this showed bony coalition of talus and navicular bone and shortened second metatarsal bone of the right foot. Individual II-10 had bilateral shortened second metatarsal bones. All other imaging results were reported normal.

Over the course of the years, genetic testing in this family included chromosome X-specific exome sequencing, and targeted sequencing of TP63, associated with autosomal dominant SHFM4 with reports of remarkable nonpenetrance, $4,10$ $4,10$ but neither identified a causative variant. Additionally, linkage analysis failed to identify a locus with a significant logarithm of odds (LOD) score, although analysis with markers from the SHFM2 locus had revealed that linkage in the present family would be compatible

with the SHFM2 locus identified in the previously published family.^{14–16} Because of the family's ongoing search for a genetic diagnosis and CNVs being implicated in several forms of SHFM, we used DNA isolated from blood of individual III-7 for microarray-based CNV analysis (Affymetrix CytoScan HD 2.6M), using routine diagnostic procedures¹⁸ and reporting based on genome build GRCh37/ hg19. This analysis identified two rare CNVs, of which the first was an intragenic deletion of 175 kb in 10q26.2, arr [GRCh37/hg19] 10q26.2(129,012,678-129,186,196) x1, including DOCK1. The second CNV was an interstitial gain of 161 kb in 15q26.3, arr [GRCh37/hg19] 15q26. 3(99,796,482-99,957,320)x3, encompassing the gene LRRC28 and pseudogene HSP90B2P. These CNVs were neither reported before by our diagnostic laboratory, nor in online CNV databases of healthy or affected individuals.^{19–21} In addition, no disease-gene associations were known for DOCK1, LRRC2[8,](#page-10-4) or HSP[9](#page-10-5)0B2P in OMIM. $8,9$ Therefore, both CNVs were classified as variants of uncertain significance. To gain further insights in the clinical relevance of these CNVs, we continued with array-based CNV analysis in an additional affected relative (individual IV-4), who was, together with his monozygotic twin brother, the most distant affected individual in the pedigree (separated by five meiotic cell divisions from III-7). In individual IV-4, the 10q26.2 deletion was not present, but the 15q26.3 gain as found in individual III-7 was detected ([Figure 2](#page-4-0)A). Based on this observation and the pedigree information, his affected twin brother (individual IV-3), affected mother (individual III-6), and affected great-grandfather (individual I-1) are likely carriers of the 15q26.3 gain, which herewith segregates with the SHFM phenotype. However, an aberration on one of the autosomes would not explain the classic X-linked inheritance in this family, as evaluated from the absence of SHFM in the entire second generation, although there are at least two obligate female carriers of the 15q26.3 gain (individual II-4 and II-7), together with the observation of a milder phenotype in the only affected female (individual III-6) compared with her male counterparts. We thus hypothesized that the observed 15q26.3 gain could be part of a more complex SV, possibly involving the X chromosome.

We continued with karyotyping and metaphase FISH experiments in duplicate on cultured Epstein-Barr virus immortalized lymphoblastoid cells (EBV-LCLs) of affected male individual III-7, using RP11-668P3 (Empire Genomics, Williamsville, NY, USA) as a region-specific probe for 15q26.3 and probe CEP-15 (Vysis, Abbott, Abbott Park, IL, USA) for the centromere of chromosome 15 or probe CEP-X (Vysis, Abbott, Abbott Park, IL, USA) for the centromere of chromosome X. Conventional karyotyping showed a normal male karyotype as the copy number gain of 15q26.3 is smaller than the detection limit of G-banding (5–10 Mb). FISH, however, showed an abnormal pattern with the duplicated segment of 15q26.3 resulting in a third signal on the long arm of the X chromosome [\(Figure 2](#page-4-0)B). The exact locus of insertion of the duplicated fragment

Figure 2. Microarray-based CNV analysis, FISH, and OGM detected an inverted 15q26.3 gain inserted in Xq27.1

(A) Microarray-based CNV analysis of individual III-7 and IV-4 shows a 15q26.3 gain of \sim 161 kb. (B) FISH experiments show this 15q26.3 gain is inserted in the long arm of the X chromosome. Upper image: the centromeres of both chromosomes 15 (probe CEP 15, Vysis) are labeled in red. In green, probe RP11-668p3 (Empire Genomics) marks the duplicated region that is present on both chromosomes 15 with a third signal on Xq27, pinpointing that the gain of chromosome 15 is inserted into the distal long arm of the X chromosome. Lower image: metaphase FISH results with in red the centromere of chromosome X (probe CEP-X, Vysis) and in green probe RP11-668p3, that is present on both chromosomes 15 and again showing a third signal on the X chromosome.

(C) Circosplot showing chromosomes X and 15 connected with a pink line, representing a translocation event. (D) Whole chromosome view from OGM, indicating a translocation between chromosome 15 and the X chromosome with the pink line, t(X; 15)t(q27.1; q26.3).

(legend continued on next page)

of chromosome 15 into chromosome X could not be determined, but based on the FISH experiments, this was most probably at Xq27 (46,der(X)ins(X; 15) (q2?7; q26.3q26.3), Y. ish der(X) (q2?7) (RP11-668P3+)).

Although FISH confirmed our hypothesis of a structural chromosome rearrangement involving not only chromosome 15 but also the X chromosome, it lacked the resolution to elucidate the exact genomic architecture underlying the variant. Therefore, we continued with a two-pronged approach consisting of parallel OGM and WGS to gain insights in the breakpoints and orientation of the individual elements of the SV. OGM technology (Bionano Genomics) generates ultra-long DNA fragments (\geq 150 kb) with fluorescent labels targeting a 6-nucleotide motif occurring nonrandomly throughout the genome, resulting in a de novo genome assembly with "barcode-like" visualization of the genome of interest, and a more than 10,000-fold higher res-olution than conventional karyotyping.^{22,[23](#page-11-4)} Comparison of the occurrence of this 6-nucleotide motif between the genome of interest and a reference allows for detection of CNVs and (complex) SVs.^{[22](#page-11-3)[,23](#page-11-4)} We isolated ultra-high molecular weight DNA from EBV-LCLs of individual III-7, followed by the previously published OGM workflow, $22,23$ $22,23$ including de novo genome assembly and variant calling of CNVs and SVs. We obtained an N50 molecule length (of molecules larger than 150 kb) of 0.321 Mbp and a map rate of 85.9%, resulting in an effective coverage of 224 -fold.²² Variants were prioritized as previously described.^{[22](#page-11-3)} OGM readily revealed the variant of interest in more intricate detail: the gain originating from chromosome 15 ([GRCh37/hg19] chr15:99795709-99959476) inserted in an inverted fashion at the Xq27.1 locus between positions [GRCh37/hg19] chrX:139468438 and chrX:139527176 ([Figures 2C](#page-4-0)–2E and [S2,](#page-10-13) and [Table S1](#page-10-13)A). Additionally, visual inspection of output from the CNV algorithm suggested the presence of a small deletion on Xq27.1 at the locus of the insertion, although not detected by the CNV calling software.

WGS (BGISeq500, PE100) was performed in parallel to OGM on DNA derived from blood of individual III-7. Prioritization of SNVs/indels, CNVs and SVs from WGS data did not reveal any other compelling variants, $24,25$ $24,25$ but allowed us to refine the breakpoints of the SV at single nucleotide resolution. We obtained a mean coverage of 40-fold, and data were interpreted with a targeted approach, priori-tizing CNVs (Control-FREEC^{[26](#page-11-7)} and Canvas²⁷) and SVs $(Manta²⁸)$ on 15q26.3 and Xq27.1 using an ANNOVAR-based^{[29](#page-11-10)} annotation pipeline. Both CNV calling algorithms identified the rare 15q26.3 gain observed in previous experiments [\(Tables S1B](#page-10-13) and S1C). Additionally, both tools detected a rare \sim 38 kb Xq27.1 deletion ([Tables S1B](#page-10-13) and

S1C). Further analysis of the Manta output suggested the duplicated fragment of 15q26.3 to be inserted in inverted orientation at the locus of the Xq27.1 deletion, with inclusion of four nucleotides of unknown origin at the right breakpoint on the X chromosome [\(Table S1D](#page-10-13)). Visual inspection of WGS data in the Integrative Genomics Viewer $(IGV)³⁰$ ([Figures 3](#page-6-0)A–3D, [S3,](#page-10-13) and [S4](#page-10-13)) and analysis of breakpoints using BLAT on DNA^{31} DNA^{31} DNA^{31} confirmed the 165-kb duplicated fragment from chromosome 15 ([GRCh37/hg19] chr15:99795320-99960362dup) inserted at the site of a 38-kb deletion ([GRCh37/hg19] chrX:139481061-139518 989del) on the X chromosome [\(Figure 3A](#page-6-0)). Both breakpoints were confirmed by PCR and Sanger sequencing. Segregation analysis by breakpoint-spanning PCRs, including all family members for whom DNA was available (23 individuals), confirmed the presence of the SV in all affected individuals (III-6, III-7, IV-3, and IV-4) and in all putative carriers (II-4 and II-7). In addition, it revealed seven additional clinically unaffected female carriers (II-10, II-11, II-13, II-14, III-12, IV-2, IV-5; [Figure S5\)](#page-10-13). From these data, we concluded that the SV segregates with the SHFM phenotype [\(Figure 1](#page-2-0)A) and that expression of clinical features is consistent with an X-linked inheritance pattern.

Of all female carriers, only individual III-6 showed a mild unilateral split-hand malformation, whereas the other nine did not. Additionally, individual II-7 and II-10 exhibited mild subclinical radiographic foot abnormalities, although it remains uncertain whether these foot abnormalities are implicated in SHFM2 or occur randomly. We hypothesized that differences in X-inactivation could play a role in phenotypic variability between female carriers and continued with X-inactivation studies by quantifying methylation of the human androgen receptor locus including all 10 confirmed female carriers (II-4, II-7, II-10, II-11, II-13, II-14, III-6, III-12, IV-2, and IV-5). However, this analysis did not yield any conclusive results to understand the difference in phenotypic presentation among female carriers [\(Figure S6](#page-10-13); [supplemental information](#page-10-13)).

Collectively, the proximity of the here described SV to the known SHFM2 locus, the absence of variation in this locus in control populations, and the segregation pattern observed in the family, strongly suggest that this variant is causative for the SHFM in this family. We therefore pursued further biological and functional understanding of this variant by focusing on three aspects, being (1) the genomic contents of the duplicated fragment of chromosome 15, (2) the genomic contents deleted on chromosome X, and (3) the disruption of regulatory context by the insertion of chromosome 15 material into the X chromosome.

⁽E) OGM results from individual III-7 shows the 15q26.3 gain inserts in an inverted fashion on the X chromosome. The upper image illustrates the left breakpoint, the lower image illustrates the right breakpoint. In both these images, the upper green bar indicates the X chromosome, the middle blue bar indicates the genome of individual III-7, and the lower bar indicates chromosome 15. Each of the lines in these bars represents the fluorescent labels targeting a 6-nucleotide motif that occurs randomly throughout the genome. The lines connecting labels found in individual III-7 with labels on the X chromosome and on chromosome 15 indicate the software recognizes these labels are the same.

C

 ${\tt ATAGCAATCTTAATTTCAGACAAACAGACTTCAAACCAA}$ ATAGCAATCTTAATTTCAGGATAAATTCCTGGACTCATA ${\bf AGGAAATCTAGAAGAAATGGATAAATTCCTGGACTCATA}$ \star

 \rightarrow chrX (+) $III-7$ \leftarrow chr15 (-)

AAACTCTAGTCTTATCTATAATGGTTTAAGTCCTTACAA ${\tt TCCCACCTCAGCCTCCC\underline{AATC}TGGTTTAAGTCCTTACAA}$ ${\tt TCCCACCTCAGCCTCCCCAAGTGCTGGGATTACAGTCAT}$

Figure 3. Detection of SV breakpoints at single nucleotide resolution with WGS

(A) Schematic representation of the structural variant, comprising an inverted 165-kb gain from 15q26.3 inserted at the site of a 38-kb deletion on Xq27.1.

(B) Visualization of alignment of reads from WGS in IGV. The proximal (left) breakpoint on the X chromosome attaches to the distal end of the duplicated fragment of chromosome 15, whereas the distal (right) breakpoint on the X chromosome is connected with the proximal end of the duplicated fragment of chromosome 15.

(legend continued on next page)

The duplicated segment of chromosome 15 contains part of LRRC28 and pseudogene HSP90B2P. Despite LRRC28 not being triplosensitive (pTriplo 0.07), 32 and the duplicated protein-coding sequencing only involving exons 2–10, we first hypothesized that this duplication could play a role in SHFM pathophysiology in this family [\(Figure S7A](#page-10-13)). LRRC28 is ubiquitously expressed and encodes Leucine Rich Repeat Containing protein 28 (LRRC28), characterized by Leucine Rich Repeats (LRRs). Although its exact functions are largely uncharacterized, LRRC28 was reported to be involved in RAS-mediated signaling. $33,34$ $33,34$ We measured LRRC28 expression with quantitative real-time PCR experiments on RNA isolated from cultured EBV-LCLs of individuals III-6, III-7, IV-3, and IV-4, alongside three male and three female healthy unrelated controls, and found that expression of LRRC28 is not affected in individuals carrying the variant ([Figures S7](#page-10-13)B and S7C), suggesting that partial LRRC28 duplication is, as anticipated, not the underlying molecular cause of SHFM.

The 38-kb deletion on Xq27.1 does not contain any (protein-coding) genes. Interestingly, Xq27.1 is prone to structural variation, and insertions occurring at or near a quasipalindromic sequence (ChrX:139,502,865–139,503,044) are associated with nine other distinct disease pheno-types.^{[35](#page-11-16)} For two of these disease phenotypes, the underlying pathophysiological mechanism is shown to be dysregulation of a nearby gene, being $FGF13^{36}$ $FGF13^{36}$ $FGF13^{36}$ and $SOX3,^{37}$ $SOX3,^{37}$ $SOX3,^{37}$ respectively. We thus progressed to our hypothesis that the SV disrupts the genomic context, spatial organization and possibly regulation of genes in close proximity to the breakpoints. Assessing the region involved (300 kb upand downstream of breakpoints) identified the protein-coding gene SOX3 and two non-coding genes of which little is known, including the small nuclear RNA U7 (LOC124 905265; RF00066) and the long non-coding RNA LINC00632.^{[38](#page-11-19)} SOX3, located 67 kb downstream of the SV breakpoint encodes SRY-Box Transcription Factor 3 (SOX3), a member of the SOX family of transcription factors, which includes important regulators of cell fate during embryonic development.^{[39](#page-11-20)} SOX3 is predominantly expressed in the fetal brain and spinal cord, 40 where it is implicated in central nervous system development, $41-44$ by regulating gene expression in neural progenitor cells. 44 44 44 Duplication of SOX3 has been associated with intellectual disability with isolated growth hormone deficiency $(MIM#300123)^{45}$ $(MIM#300123)^{45}$ $(MIM#300123)^{45}$ and panhypopituitarism $(MIM#312)$ 000). Additionally, an SV located 67 kb downstream of SOX3 is implicated in hypoparathyroidism, 46 and SOX3 dysregulation caused by insertion of chromosome 1 material at Xq26.3 is implicated in 46,XX male sex reversal $(MIM#300833).$ ^{[37](#page-11-18)}

SOX3 is a plausible candidate in the etiology of SHFM2, as other members of the SOX family of transcription factors, such as SOX5, SOX6, SOX8, SOX9, and SOX10, are implicated in limb chondrogenesis.^{[47](#page-12-0)} In addition, induced ectopic expression of SOX3 in the limb bud alters expres-sion patterns of Sonic Hedgehog (SHH)-regulated genes,^{[48](#page-12-1)} and during early development, SOX3 is co-expressed at the neural plate border with $TP63⁴⁹$ $TP63⁴⁹$ $TP63⁴⁹$ the gene implicated in SHFM[4](#page-10-14) (MIM#605289). 4 SOX3 and TP63 share numerous transcription factor binding sites for neural plate genes, with TP63-dependent inhibition of SOX3 binding. 49 49 49 Together, these proteins are thought to define the distinction between surface ectoderm and neuroectoderm.⁴⁹ In the limb bud, TP63 is an important factor for AER maintenance, via regulation of DLX5, DLX6, and FGF8 expres-sion.^{50[,51](#page-12-4)} Tp63^{-/-} mutant mice display defective AER maintenance, lack several limb components, and show reduced expression of $f\text{gf8}$ in the limb bud,^{[52](#page-12-5)} a gene involved in AER maintenance and thought to regulate regionalized expression of $SOX3$ [\(Figure 4](#page-8-0)A).^{[53](#page-12-6)}

Taking this information together, we hypothesized that the SV interferes with tissue-specific and time-dependent regulation of SOX3 expression, although it is also a possibility that genes even more distant from the breakpoints are dysregulated. 35 Unfortunately, SOX3 is neither expressed in any accessible tissue nor in cultured fibroblasts or EBV-LCLs, limiting the possibility to test this hypothesis. Additionally, it is uncertain whether measuring gene expression would accurately reflect the *in vivo* situation if not taking into account the relevant developmental time point and cell type. Regardless, given the observed SOX3 misexpression in EBV-LCLs in 46,XX male sex reversal associated with an insertion near $SOX3$ ^{[37](#page-11-18)} we measured SOX3 expression with quantitative real-time PCR experiments on RNA isolated from cultured EBV-LCLs of individuals III-6, III-7, IV-3, IV-4, and three male and three female healthy unrelated controls, also taking along $FGF13³⁶$ $FGF13³⁶$ $FGF13³⁶$ Expression levels of SOX3 and FGF13 in EBV-LCLs were too low in affected individuals as well as controls (data not shown), herewith not providing any further insights in our hypothesis. We therefore continued by in silico analysis reasoning that the SV would either disrupt topological associating domain (TAD) structures, and/or affect SOX3 transcription factor binding sites (TFBS). We found that the SV deletes four TFBSs on the X chromosome, $54-56$ two of which have SOX3 as a target gene. Additionally, four TFBSs locate in a 100-kb region proximal to the locus of the inserted fragment on Xq27.1, and for three of these, SOX3 is the target gene. Potentially, the SV affects SOX3 expression as a result of loss of the TFBS and/or increased distance or disturbed genomic 3D organization between target gene

⁽C) Sequence alignment at both breakpoints, showing insertion of four nucleotides of unknown origin at the distal breakpoint on the X chromosome.

⁽D) Coverage data obtained from WGS shows a 38-kb deletion on the X chromosome (upper image, red line) and a 165-kb duplication on chromosome 15 (lower image, blue line).

Figure 4. Misexpression of SOX3 is hypothesized to disturb AER maintenance

(A) Schematic representation of the developing limb bud in early limb formation with simplified representation of genes involved in AER maintenance. The AER in red orchestrates outgrowth of the limb along the proximal-distal axis. Mesenchymal cells in the progress zone (PZ) in orange stay in an undifferentiated state under AER control. The zone of polarizing activity (ZPA) in turquoise regulates development along the anterior-posterior (radial-ulnar) axis. Factors determining dorsal-ventral growth are not shown. Signaling pathways associated with polarizing activity along the three axes are intertwined. Patterning along the anteriorposterior axis is mediated by SHH, also required for integrity of the AER. Patterning along the proximal-distal axis is regulated by FGFs, including FGF4 and FGF8, maintaining AER integrity. TP63, the gene underlying SHFM4, stimulates expression of FGF8, via induction of DLX5 and DLX6 (implicated in SHFM1), herewith contributing to AER maintenance. TP63 can also negatively regulate AER maintenance via induction of JAG2. (B)We hypothesize that SOX3 misexpression in the developing limb bud disturbs the balance between signaling molecules needed for AER maintenance, for example by competitive TFBS binding with TP63 or by induction of SHH-regulated genes.

enhancer may retain its transcriptionactivating function in the new genomic context and as a result might cause SOX3 misexpression [\(Figure 5C](#page-9-0)). Additionally, the duplicated region contains 10 candidate cis-Regulatory Elements that are active in the human developing limb ([Table S3](#page-10-13)). 60 60 60 Therefore, we hypothesize

SOX3 and TFBS located proximal of the SV [\(Figures 5](#page-9-0)A and [S8,](#page-10-13) and [Table S2](#page-10-13)A). Assessing whether a similar SV is implicated in the etiology of SHFM2 in the previously published family^{[14](#page-10-10)} would be an interesting future pros-pect, given that the linkage region from literature^{[15,](#page-10-11)[16](#page-10-12)} and the SV reported here are approximately 1.1 Mb apart but partly locate to the same TAD ([Figure S8\)](#page-10-13).

The duplicated 15q26.3 fragment inserted on the X chromosome also contains regulatory sequences, such as an enhancer (GH15J099385) that normally interacts with the LRRC28 promoter located upstream on chromosome 15 (GH15J099248; [Figures 5](#page-9-0)B and [S9\)](#page-10-13). This enhancer contains TFBS of CTCF, HNF4A, FOSL2, JUND, JUNB, and SMARCA4 [\(Table S2B](#page-10-13)), of which SMARCA4 is implicated in tissue-specific developmental gene regulation 57 and limb development inmice, with abnormal AERmorphology and defective hindlimb development associated with SMARCA4 ablation $(MGI:3606859).$ ⁵⁸ As enhancers are known for their functional autonomy, also when combined with heterologous promoters and genes,[59](#page-12-10) one could speculate that the inserted that misexpression of SOX3 in the limb bud disturbs the fine balance required for AER maintenance as orchestrated by TP63 and FGF8, possibly resulting from competitive binding between SOX3 and TP63, or resulting from altered SHH-activity [\(Figure 4B](#page-8-0)), $5-7,48,50,51,53,61,62$ $5-7,48,50,51,53,61,62$ $5-7,48,50,51,53,61,62$ $5-7,48,50,51,53,61,62$ $5-7,48,50,51,53,61,62$ $5-7,48,50,51,53,61,62$ $5-7,48,50,51,53,61,62$ $5-7,48,50,51,53,61,62$ leading to SHFM in this family.

In summary, we describe a family with X-linked nonsyndromic SHFM. By combining older but well-established approaches with innovative genomics technologies, we could delineate the exact genetic aberration underlying SHFM in this family. The phenotype is caused by a complex SV, comprising an inverted 165-kb 15q26.3 gain inserted at the site of a 38-kb Xq27.1 deletion. As the SV does not alter expression of the partly duplicated proteincoding gene LRRC28, we anticipate that the SV influences regulatory functions of the affected region on the X chromosome, including SOX3 as a plausible candidate gene. Hence, we hypothesize that the SV causes misexpression of SOX3 in the developing limb bud, resulting in failure to maintain AER function.

Figure 5. The SV is predicted to disturb regulation on the X chromosome

(A) Schematic representation of genes and regulatory elements on the X chromosome (upper image), and screenshot from the UCSC genome browser with the 38-kb deletion on the X chromosome in green (lower image). The deleted fragment of the X chromosome (dark green in the schematic representation) is located $~67$ kb proximal of SOX3 and contains four TFBSs (asterisk), of which two target SOX3 (yellow asterisk; OREG1571551, TFBS of FOXA1; OREG1521521, TFBS of ESR1). In the 100-kb region proximal to the deleted fragment on the X chromosome, there are four TFBSs as well, of which three target SOX3 (OREG1412659, TFBS of E2F1; OREG1412658 TFBS of E2F1; OREG1571552, TFBS of FOXA1).

Data and code availability

Disclosure of the full (raw and annotated) datasets are restricted by the level of consent for data sharing provided by the participants. Requests for more detailed analyses and (partial) data access should be discussed with the corresponding author.

Supplemental information

Supplemental information can be found online at [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.xhgg.2023.100200) [10.1016/j.xhgg.2023.100200.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.xhgg.2023.100200)

Acknowledgments

We are very grateful to all individuals from the family for their participation in this study. We would like to thank Joost Kummeling, Guillaume van de Zande, Martina Ruiterkamp-Versteeg, Ronald van Beek, Michael Kwint, Michiel Oorsprong, Ellen Kater-Baats, Jordi Corominas Galbany, Christian Gilissen, the Radboudumc Technology Center Genomics, and the Radboudumc Cell Culture Facility for their technical and bioinformatic support, and Musa M. Mhlanga and Lucas L. Boer for the fruitful discussions. This work was financially supported by Aspasia grants of the Dutch Research Council (015.014.036 to T.K. and 015.014.066 to L.V.) and Netherlands Organization for Health Research and Development (91718310 to T.K.). The collaborations in this study were facilitated by ERN ITHACA, one of the 24 European Reference Networks (ERNs) approved by the ERN Board of Member States, co-funded by the European Commission. The aims of this study contribute to the Solve-RD project (E.d.B., A.H., T.K., L.V.), which has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program under grant agreement No. 779257.

Declaration of interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Received: December 13, 2022 Accepted: April 13, 2023

Web resources

<https://omim.org/>. <http://www.oreganno.org/dump/>. [https://screen.wenglab.org/.](https://screen.wenglab.org/)

References

1. [Elliott, A.M., Scott, W.J., Jr., Chudley, A.E., Reed, M.H., and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref1) [Evans, J.A. \(2021\). Classifications of split hand foot malforma](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref1)[tion \(SHFM\) should include transverse deficiencies: why Mai](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref1)[sels was correct. Am. J. Med. Genet.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref1) 185, 2809–2814.

- 2. [Umair, M., and Hayat, A. \(2020\). Nonsyndromic split-hand/](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref2) [foot malformation: recent classification. Mol. Syndromol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref2) 10[, 243–254.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref2)
- 3. [Sowinska-Seidler, A., Socha, M., and Jamsheer, A. \(2014\). Split](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref3) [hand/foot malformation - molecular cause and implications](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref3) [in genetic counseling. J. Appl. Genet.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref3) 55, 105–115.
- 4. [Ianakiev, P., Kilpatrick, M.W., Toudjarska, I., Basel, D.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref4) [Beighton, P., and Tsipouras, P. \(2000\). Split-hand/split-foot](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref4) [malformation is caused by mutations in the p63 gene on](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref4) [3q27. Am. J. Hum. Genet.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref4) 67, 59–66.
- 5. [Provot, S., Schipani, E., Wu, J., and Kronenberg, H. \(2008\).](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref5) [Osteoporosis. Chapter 10 - Development of the Skeleton,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref5) [Third ed \(Academic Press\).](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref5)
- 6. [Duijf, P.H.G., van Bokhoven, H., and Brunner, H.G. \(2003\).](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref6) [Pathogenesis of split-hand/split-foot malformation. Hum.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref6) Mol. Genet. [12 Spec No 1](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref6), R51–R60.
- 7. [Pownall, M., and Isaacs, H. \(2010\). FGF Signalling in Verte](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref7)[brate Development: San Rafael \(CA\) \(Morgan & Claypool](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref7) [Life Sciences\).](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref7)
- 8. [Amberger, J.S., Bocchini, C.A., Schiettecatte, F., Scott, A.F., and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref8) [Hamosh, A. \(2015\). OMIM.org: online Mendelian Inheritance](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref8) in Man (OMIM®[\), an online catalog of human genes and ge](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref8)[netic disorders. Nucleic Acids Res.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref8) 43, D789–D798.
- 9. [Amberger, J.S., Bocchini, C.A., Scott, A.F., and Hamosh, A.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref9) [\(2019\). OMIM.org: leveraging knowledge across phenotype](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref9)[gene relationships. Nucleic Acids Res.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref9) 47, D1038–D1043.
- 10. [Spranger, M., and Schapera, J. \(1988\). Anomalous inheritance](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref10) [in a kindred with split hand, split foot malformation. Eur. J.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref10) Pediatr. 147[, 202–205.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref10)
- 11. [Umair, M., Ullah, A., Abbas, S., Ahmad, F., Basit, S., and Ahmad,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref11) [W. \(2018\). First direct evidence of involvement of a homozy](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref11)[gous loss-of-function variant in the EPS15L1 gene underlying](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref11) [split-hand/split-foot malformation. Clin. Genet.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref11) 93, 699–702.
- 12. Cova, G., Glaser, J., Schöpflin, R., Ali, S., Prada-Medina, C.A., Franke, M., et al. (2022). Combinatorial effects on gene expression at the Lbx1/Fgf8 locus resolve Split-Hand/Foot Malformation type 3. Preprint at bioRxiv. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.02.09.479724) [1101/2022.02.09.479724.](https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.02.09.479724)
- 13. [Bilal, M., Hayat, A., Umair, M., Ullah, A., Khawaja, S., Malik,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref13) [E., Burmeister, M., Bibi, N., Umm-E-Kalsoom, Memon, M.I.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref13) [et al. \(2020\). Sequence variants in the WNT10B and TP63](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref13) [genes underlying isolated split-hand/split-foot malformation.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref13) [Genet. Test. Mol. Biomarkers](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref13) 24, 600–607.
- 14. [Ahmad, M., Abbas, H., Haque, S., and Flatz, G. \(1987\). X-chro](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref14)[mosomally inherited split-hand/split-foot anomaly in a Pakis](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref14)[tani kindred. Hum. Genet.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref14) 75, 169–173.
- 15. Faiyaz ul Haque, M., Uhlhaas, S., Knapp, M., Schüler, H., [Friedl, W., Ahmad, M., and Propping, P. \(1993\). Mapping of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref15) [the gene for X-chromosomal split-hand/split-foot anomaly](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref15) [to Xq26-q26.1. Hum. Genet.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref15) 91, 17–19.
- 16. [Faiyaz-Ul-Haque, M., Zaidi, S.H.E., King, L.M., Haque, S., Patel,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref16) [M., Ahmad, M., Siddique, T., Ahmad, W., Tsui, L.C., and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref16) [Cohn, D.H. \(2005\). Fine mapping of the X-linked split](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref16)[hand/split-foot malformation \(SHFM2\) locus to a 5.1-Mb](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref16)

(B) Schematic representation of genes and regulatory elements on chromosome 15 (upper image), and screenshot from the UCSC genome browser with the 15q26.3 gain in blue (lower image). The duplicated 15q26.3 fragment (dark blue in the schematic representation) contains exon 2 to exon 10 of LRRC28 and an enhancer (orange in the schematic representation), normally regulating LRRC28 expression. This enhancer contains six TFBSs, associated with binding of CTCF, HNF4A, FOSL2, JUND, JUNB, and SMARCA4. (C) Schematic representation of regulatory elements affected by the SV. Two TFBSs targeting SOX3 are deleted, the distance between three SOX3-targeting TFBS and SOX3 is increased, and an enhancer is inserted on the X chromosome, which may retain its transcription-activation functions in the new genomic context. All these factors may affect expression of nearby genes, such as SOX3.

[region on Xq26.3 and analysis of candidate genes. Clin.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref16) Genet. 67[, 93–97.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref16)

- 17. [van den Berghe, H., Dequeker, J., Fryns, J.P., and David, G.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref17) [\(1978\). Familial occurrence of severe ulnar aplasia and lobster](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref17) [claw feet: a new syndrome. Hum. Genet.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref17) 42, 109–113.
- 18. [de Ligt, J., Boone, P.M., Pfundt, R., Vissers, L.E.L.M., de Leeuw,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref18) [N., Shaw, C., Brunner, H.G., Lupski, J.R., Veltman, J.A., and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref18) [Hehir-Kwa, J.Y. \(2014\). Platform comparison of detecting](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref18) [copy number variants with microarrays and whole-exome](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref18) [sequencing. Genom. Data](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref18) 2, 144–146.
- 19. [Lappalainen, I., Lopez, J., Skipper, L., Hefferon, T., Spalding,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref19) [J.D., Garner, J., Chen, C., Maguire, M., Corbett, M., Zhou,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref19) [G., et al. \(2013\). DbVar and DGVa: public archives for genomic](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref19) [structural variation. Nucleic Acids Res.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref19) 41, D936–D941.
- 20. [Firth, H.V., Richards, S.M., Bevan, A.P., Clayton, S., Corpas,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref20) [M., Rajan, D., Van Vooren, S., Moreau, Y., Pettett, R.M., and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref20) [Carter, N.P. \(2009\). DECIPHER: database of chromosomal](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref20) [imbalance and phenotype in humans using ensembl re](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref20)[sources. Am. J. Hum. Genet.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref20) 84, 524–533.
- 21. [Rehm, H.L., Berg, J.S., Brooks, L.D., Bustamante, C.D., Evans,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref21) [J.P., Landrum, M.J., Ledbetter, D.H., Maglott, D.R., Martin,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref21) [C.L., Nussbaum, R.L., et al. \(2015\). ClinGen–the clinical](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref21) [genome resource. N. Engl. J. Med.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref21) 372, 2235–2242.
- 22. [Mantere, T., Neveling, K., Pebrel-Richard, C., Benoist, M., van](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref22) [der Zande, G., Kater-Baats, E., Baatout, I., van Beek, R., Yam](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref22)[mine, T., Oorsprong, M., et al. \(2021\). Optical genome map](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref22)[ping enables constitutional chromosomal aberration detec](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref22)[tion. Am. J. Hum. Genet.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref22) 108, 1409–1422.
- 23. [Neveling, K., Mantere, T., Vermeulen, S., Oorsprong, M., van](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref23) [Beek, R., Kater-Baats, E., Pauper, M., van der Zande, G., Smeets,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref23) [D., Weghuis, D.O., et al. \(2021\). Next-generation cytogenetics:](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref23) [comprehensive assessment of 52 hematological malignancy](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref23) [genomes by optical genome mapping. Am. J. Hum. Genet.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref23) 108[, 1423–1435.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref23)
- 24. [Jacobsen, J.O.B., Kelly, C., Cipriani, V., Robinson, P.N., and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref24) [Smedley, D. \(2022\). Evaluation of phenotype-driven gene pri](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref24)[oritization methods for Mendelian diseases. Brief. Bioinform.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref24) 23[, bbac188.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref24)
- 25. Smedley, D., Jacobsen, J.O.B., Jäger, M., Köhler, S., Holtgrewe, [M., Schubach, M., Siragusa, E., Zemojtel, T., Buske, O.J., Wash](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref25)[ington, N.L., et al. \(2015\). Next-generation diagnostics and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref25) [disease-gene discovery with the Exomiser. Nat. Protoc.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref25) 10, [2004–2015.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref25)
- 26. [Boeva, V., Popova, T., Bleakley, K., Chiche, P., Cappo, J.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref26) [Schleiermacher, G., Janoueix-Lerosey, I., Delattre, O., and Bar](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref26)[illot, E. \(2012\). Control-FREEC: a tool for assessing copy num](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref26)[ber and allelic content using next-generation sequencing](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref26) [data. Bioinformatics](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref26) 28, 423–425.
- 27. [Roller, E., Ivakhno, S., Lee, S., Royce, T., and Tanner, S. \(2016\).](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref27) [Canvas: versatile and scalable detection of copy number vari](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref27)[ants. Bioinformatics](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref27) 32, 2375–2377.
- 28. [Chen, X., Schulz-Trieglaff, O., Shaw, R., Barnes, B., Schle](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref28)singer, F., Källberg, M., Cox, A.J., Kruglyak, S., and Saunders, [C.T. \(2016\). Manta: rapid detection of structural variants and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref28) [indels for germline and cancer sequencing applications. Bioin](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref28)formatics 32[, 1220–1222.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref28)
- 29. [Wang, K., Li, M., and Hakonarson, H. \(2010\). ANNOVAR:](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref29) [functional annotation of genetic variants from high](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref29)[throughput sequencing data. Nucleic Acids Res.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref29) 38, e164.
- 30. Robinson, J.T., Thorvaldsdóttir, H., Winckler, W., Guttman, [M., Lander, E.S., Getz, G., and Mesirov, J.P. \(2011\). Integrative](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref30) [genomics viewer. Nat. Biotechnol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref30) 29, 24–26.
- 31. [Kent, W.J. \(2002\). BLAT–the BLAST-like alignment tool.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref31) [Genome Res.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref31) 12, 656–664.
- 32. [Collins, R.L., Glessner, J.T., Porcu, E., Lepamets, M., Brandon,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref32) [R., Lauricella, C., Han, L., Morley, T., Niestroj, L.M., Ulirsch, J.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref32) [et al. \(2022\). A cross-disorder dosage sensitivity map of the hu](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref32)[man genome. Cell](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref32) 185, 3041–3055.e25.
- 33. [Piepoli, A., Palmieri, O., Maglietta, R., Panza, A., Cattaneo, E.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref33) [Latiano, A., Laczko, E., Gentile, A., Carella, M., Mazzoccoli, G.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref33) [et al. \(2012\). The expression of leucine-rich repeat gene family](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref33) [members in colorectal cancer. Exp. Biol. Med.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref33) 237, [1123–1128.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref33)
- 34. [Ng, A., and Xavier, R.J. \(2011\). Leucine-rich repeat \(LRR\) pro](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref34)[teins: integrators of pattern recognition and signaling in im](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref34)[munity. Autophagy](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref34) 7, 1082–1084.
- 35. [Boyling, A., Perez-Siles, G., and Kennerson, M.L. \(2022\). Struc](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref35)[tural variation at a disease mutation hotspot: strategies to](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref35) [investigate gene regulation and the 3D genome. Front. Genet.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref35) 13[, 842860.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref35)
- 36. [DeStefano, G.M., Fantauzzo, K.A., Petukhova, L., Kurban, M.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref36) [Tadin-Strapps, M., Levy, B., Warburton, D., Cirulli, E.T., Han,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref36) [Y., Sun, X., et al. \(2013\). Position effect on FGF13 associated](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref36) [with X-linked congenital generalized hypertrichosis. Proc.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref36) [Natl. Acad. Sci. USA](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref36) 110, 7790–7795.
- 37. [Haines, B., Hughes, J., Corbett, M., Shaw, M., Innes, J., Patel,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref37) [L., Gecz, J., Clayton-Smith, J., and Thomas, P. \(2015\). Inter](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref37)[chromosomal insertional translocation at Xq26.3 alters](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref37) [SOX3 expression in an individual with XX male sex reversal.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref37) [J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref37) 100, E815–E820.
- 38. [Barrett, S.P., Parker, K.R., Horn, C., Mata, M., and Salzman, J.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref38) [\(2017\). ciRS-7 exonic sequence is embedded in a long non](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref38)[coding RNA locus. PLoS Genet.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref38) 13, e1007114.
- 39. [Kamachi, Y., and Kondoh, H. \(2013\). Sox proteins: regulators](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref39) [of cell fate specification and differentiation. Development](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref39) 140[, 4129–4144.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref39)
- 40. [Wood, H.B., and Episkopou, V. \(1999\). Comparative expres](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref40)[sion of the mouse Sox1, Sox2 and Sox3 genes from pre-gastru](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref40)[lation to early somite stages. Mech. Dev.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref40) 86, 197–201.
- 41. [Bylund, M., Andersson, E., Novitch, B.G., and Muhr, J. \(2003\).](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref41) [Vertebrate neurogenesis is counteracted by Sox1-3 activity.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref41) [Nat. Neurosci.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref41) 6, 1162–1168.
- 42. [Dee, C.T., Hirst, C.S., Shih, Y.H., Tripathi, V.B., Patient, R.K.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref42) [and Scotting, P.J. \(2008\). Sox3 regulates both neural fate and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref42) [differentiation in the zebrafish ectoderm. Dev. Biol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref42) 320, [289–301.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref42)
- 43. Bergsland, M., Ramsköld, D., Zaouter, C., Klum, S., Sandberg, [R., and Muhr, J. \(2011\). Sequentially acting Sox transcription](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref43) [factors in neural lineage development. Genes Dev.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref43) 25, [2453–2464.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref43)
- 44. [McAninch, D., and Thomas, P. \(2014\). Identification of highly](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref44) [conserved putative developmental enhancers bound by SOX3](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref44) [in neural progenitors using ChIP-Seq. PLoS One](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref44) 9, e113361.
- 45. [Laumonnier, F., Ronce, N., Hamel, B.C.J., Thomas, P., Lespi](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref45)[nasse, J., Raynaud, M., Paringaux, C., Van Bokhoven, H., Kal](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref45)[scheuer, V., Fryns, J.P., et al. \(2002\). Transcription factor SOX3](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref45) [is involved in X-linked mental retardation with growth hor](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref45)[mone deficiency. Am. J. Hum. Genet.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref45) 71, 1450–1455.
- 46. [Bowl, M.R., Nesbit, M.A., Harding, B., Levy, E., Jefferson, A.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref46) [Volpi, E., Rizzoti, K., Lovell-Badge, R., Schlessinger, D., Whyte,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref46) [M.P., and Thakker, R.V. \(2005\). An interstitial deletion-inser](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref46)[tion involving chromosomes 2p25.3 and Xq27.1, near](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref46) [SOX3, causes X-linked recessive hypoparathyroidism.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref46) [J. Clin. Invest.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref46) 115, 2822–2831.
- 47. Chimal-Monroy, J., Rodriguez-Leon, J., Montero, J.A., Gañan, [Y., Macias, D., Merino, R., and Hurle, J.M. \(2003\). Analysis of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref47) [the molecular cascade responsible for mesodermal limb chon](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref47)[drogenesis: sox genes and BMP signaling. Dev. Biol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref47) 257, [292–301.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref47)
- 48. Oosterveen, T., Kurdija, S., Ensterö, M., Uhde, C.W., Bergs[land, M., Sandberg, M., Sandberg, R., Muhr, J., and Ericson,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref48) [J. \(2013\). SoxB1-driven transcriptional network underlies neu](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref48)[ral-specific interpretation of morphogen signals. Proc. Natl.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref48) [Acad. Sci. USA](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref48) 110, 7330–7335.
- 49. [Santos-Pereira, J.M., Gallardo-Fuentes, L., Neto, A., Acemel,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref49) [R.D., and Tena, J.J. \(2019\). Pioneer and repressive functions](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref49) [of p63 during zebrafish embryonic ectoderm specification.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref49) [Nat. Commun.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref49) 10, 3049.
- 50. [Guerrini, L., Costanzo, A., and Merlo, G.R. \(2011\). A sym](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref50)[phony of regulations centered on p63 to control development](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref50) [of ectoderm-derived structures. J. Biomed. Biotechnol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref50) 2011, [864904.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref50)
- 51. [Kawata, M., Taniguchi, Y., Mori, D., Yano, F., Ohba, S., Chung,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref51) [U.I., Shimogori, T., Mills, A.A., Tanaka, S., and Saito, T. \(2017\).](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref51) [Different regulation of limb development by p63 transcript](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref51) [variants. PLoS One](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref51) 12, e0174122.
- 52. [Yang, A., Schweitzer, R., Sun, D., Kaghad, M., Walker, N., Bron](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref52)[son, R.T., Tabin, C., Sharpe, A., Caput, D., Crum, C., and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref52) [McKeon, F. \(1999\). p63 is essential for regenerative prolifera](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref52)[tion in limb, craniofacial and epithelial development. Nature](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref52) 398[, 714–718.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref52)
- 53. Abelló, G., Khatri, S., Radosevic, M., Scotting, P.J., Giráldez, F., [and Alsina, B. \(2010\). Independent regulation of Sox3 and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref53) [Lmx1b by FGF and BMP signaling influences the neurogenic](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref53) [and non-neurogenic domains in the chick otic placode. Dev.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref53) Biol. 339[, 166–178.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref53)
- 54. [Lesurf, R., Cotto, K.C., Wang, G., Griffith, M., Kasaian, K.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref54) [Jones, S.J.M., Montgomery, S.B., Griffith, O.L.; and Open Reg](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref54)[ulatory Annotation Consortium \(2016\). ORegAnno 3.0: a](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref54) [community-driven resource for curated regulatory annota](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref54)[tion. Nucleic Acids Res.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref54) 44, D126–D132.
- 55. [Portales-Casamar, E., Arenillas, D., Lim, J., Swanson, M.I.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref55) [Jiang, S., McCallum, A., Kirov, S., and Wasserman, W.W.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref55) [\(2009\). The PAZAR database of gene regulatory information](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref55) [coupled to the ORCA toolkit for the study of regulatory se](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref55)[quences. Nucleic Acids Res.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref55) 37, D54–D60.
- 56. [Mathelier, A., Zhao, X., Zhang, A.W., Parcy, F., Worsley-Hunt,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref56) [R., Arenillas, D.J., Buchman, S., Chen, C.y., Chou, A., Iena](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref56)[sescu, H., et al. \(2014\). Jaspar 2014: an extensively expanded](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref56) [and updated open-access database of transcription factor](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref56) [binding profiles. Nucleic Acids Res.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref56) 42, D142–D147.
- 57. [Attanasio, C., Nord, A.S., Zhu, Y., Blow, M.J., Biddie, S.C., Men](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref57)[denhall, E.M., Dixon, J., Wright, C., Hosseini, R., Akiyama,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref57) [J.A., et al. \(2014\). Tissue-specific SMARCA4 binding at active](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref57) [and repressed regulatory elements during embryogenesis.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref57) [Genome Res.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref57) 24, 920–929.
- 58. Indra, A.K., Dupé, V., Bornert, J.M., Messaddeq, N., Yaniv, M., [Mark, M., Chambon, P., and Metzger, D. \(2005\). Temporally](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref58) [controlled targeted somatic mutagenesis in embryonic surface](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref58) [ectoderm and fetal epidermal keratinocytes unveils two](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref58) [distinct developmental functions of BRG1 in limb morpho](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref58)[genesis and skin barrier formation. Development](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref58) 132, [4533–4544.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref58)
- 59. [Catarino, R.R., and Stark, A. \(2018\). Assessing sufficiency and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref59) [necessity of enhancer activities for gene expression and the](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref59) [mechanisms of transcription activation. Genes Dev.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref59) 32, [202–223.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref59)
- 60. [ENCODE Project Consortium, Moore, J.E., Purcaro, M.J., Pratt,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref60) [H.E., Epstein, C.B., Shoresh, N., Adrian, J., Kawli, T., Davis,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref60) [C.A., Dobin, A., et al. \(2020\). Expanded encyclopaedias of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref60) [DNA elements in the human and mouse genomes. Nature](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref60) 583[, 699–710.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref60)
- 61. Capdevila, J., and Izpisú[a Belmonte, J.C. \(2001\). Patterning](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref61) [mechanisms controlling vertebrate limb development.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref61) [Annu. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref61) 17, 87–132.
- 62. [Mills, A.A., Zheng, B., Wang, X.J., Vogel, H., Roop, D.R., and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref62) [Bradley, A. \(1999\). p63 is a p53 homologue required for limb](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref62) [and epidermal morphogenesis. Nature](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-2477(23)00032-5/sref62) 398, 708–713.