

Association of atrial fibrillation and outcomes in patients undergoing bone marrow transplantation

Satyam Krishan (1)¹, Muhammad Bilal Munir (1)², Muhammad Zia Khan (1)³, Taha Al-Juhaishi¹, Ryan Nipp (1)¹, Christopher V. DeSimone⁴, Abhishek Deshmukh (1)⁴, Stavros Stavrakis (1)¹, Ana Barac (1)⁵, and Zain Ul Abideen Asad (1)¹*

¹Department of Medicine, University of Oklahoma Health Sciences Center, 800 Stanton L. Young Blvd, AAT 5400, Oklahoma City, OK, 73104, USA; ²Department of Cardiovascular Medicine, Electrophysiology Section, University of California Davis, Davis, CA, USA; ³Department of Medicine, West Virginia University, Morgantown, WV, USA; ⁴Department of Cardiovascular Medicine, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN, USA; and ⁵Cardio Oncology Program, MedStar Heart and Vascular Institute, Georgetown University, Washington, DC, USA

Received 18 February 2023; accepted after revision 14 April 2023

Aims	Haematopoietic stem cell transplantation (HSCT) is a potentially curative therapy for several malignant and non-malignant haematologic conditions. Patients undergoing HSCT are at an increased risk of developing atrial fibrillation (AF). We hypothesized that a diagnosis of AF would be associated with poor outcomes in patients undergoing HSCT.
Methods and results	The National Inpatient Sample (2016–19) was queried with ICD-10 codes to identify patients aged >50 years undergoing HSCT. Clinical outcomes were compared between patients with and without AF. A multivariable regression model adjusting for demographics and comorbidities was used to calculate the adjusted odds ratio (aOR) and regression coefficients with corresponding 95% confidence intervals and <i>P</i> -values. A total of 50 570 weighted hospitalizations for HSCT were identified, out of which 5820 (11.5%) had AF. Atrial fibrillation was found to be independently associated with higher inpatient mortality (aOR 2.75; 1.9–3.98; $P < 0.001$), cardiac arrest (aOR 2.86; 1.55–5.26; $P = 0.001$), acute kidney injury (aOR 1.89; 1.6–2.23; $P < 0.001$), acute heart failure exacerbation (aOR 5.01; 3.54–7.1; $P < 0.001$), cardiogenic shock (aOR 7.73; 3.17–18.8; $P < 0.001$), and acute respiratory failure (aOR 3.24; 2.56–4.1; $P < 0.001$) as well as higher mean length of stay (LOS) (+2.67; 1.79–3.55; $P < 0.001$) and cost of care (+67 529; 36 630–98 427; $P < 0.001$).
Conclusion	Among patients undergoing HSCT, AF was independently associated with poor in-hospital outcomes, higher LOS, and cost of care.

© The Author(s) 2023. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the European Society of Cardiology.

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel: 405-271-8001, fax: 405-271-2619. E-mail address: drzainasad@gmail.com; zain-asad@ouhsc.edu

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. For commercial re-use, please contact journals.permissions@oup.com

Graphical Abstract



Atrial fibrillation • Bone marrow transplantation • Haematopoietic stem cell transplantation • Mortality

What's new?

- Patients undergoing haematopoietic stem cell transplantation and >50 years have a high prevalence of atrial fibrillation (AF).
- Haematopoietic stem cell transplant recipients with concomitant AF have worse in-hospital outcomes compared to those without AF.
- Atrial fibrillation is associated with higher resource utilization in these patients.

Introduction

Haematopoietic stem cell transplantation (HSCT) is a potentially curative therapy for several malignant and non-malignant haematologic and immune disorders.¹ Patients undergoing HSCT are at an increased risk of developing HSCT-related cardiac complications, such as cardiomyopathy, myopericarditis, ischaemic heart disease, and cardiac arrhythmias.^{2–4} Previous studies have shown that supraventricular tachyarrhythmias (SVT), and particularly atrial tachyarrhythmias, are common in HSCT recipients.^{5–7}

Prior studies have suggested that the presence of arrhythmias correlates with poor in-hospital and long-term outcomes in patients undergoing HSCT.^{6.8} While atrial fibrillation (AF) is common in patients undergoing HSCT, the impact of AF on clinical outcomes is uncertain in this patient population.⁶ Moreover, the current thrombo-embolic and bleeding risk scores for AF have not been validated in patients undergoing HSCT.^{9–11} In the current study, we sought to examine the association of AF with clinical outcomes and healthcare resource utilization in hospitalized patients undergoing HSCT.

Methods

Data source

We conducted a retrospective study using data from the National Inpatient Sample (NIS) for the years 2016–19. The NIS is part of a family of databases developed under the Healthcare Cost and Utilization Project (HCUP) through a Federal-State-Industry Partnership and sponsored by the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ) and is the largest publicly available inpatient healthcare database. The NIS contains data on ~7 million unweighted or 35 million weighted hospitalizations each year and can be used to compute national estimates of healthcare utilization, costs, and outcomes.¹² Due to the de-identified nature of the NIS, the need for informed consent and Institutional Review Board (IRB) approval was waived. The NIS adheres to the 2013 Declaration of Helsinki for the conduct of human research.

Study population

Patients undergoing HSCT and those with a secondary diagnosis of AF were identified using International Classification of Diseases, 10th Revision, Clinical Modification (ICD-10-CM) codes '30243A-', '30243C-', '30243G-', '30243U-', '30243X-', '30243Y-', '30233A-', '30233C-', '30233G-', '30233U-', '30233X-', and '30233Y-'.

Clinical and demographic characteristics, inpatient clinical outcomes [inpatient mortality, cardiac arrest, acute respiratory failure, acute heart failure (HF) exacerbation, cardiogenic shock, stroke/transient ischaemic attack (TIA), acute kidney injury (AKI), and major bleeding], and resource utilization [hospital length of stay (LOS) expressed in days, cost of care in United States Dollars (USD), and discharge disposition] were compared between patients with and without AF. The cost of care was calculated and compared using cost-to-charge ratio files. We also analysed 4-year national trends for our outcomes of interest.

Statistical analyses

Descriptive statistics are presented as frequencies and percentages with corresponding 95% confidence intervals (CI) for categorical variables and

as means with corresponding 95% CI for continuous variables. Baseline characteristics and unadjusted outcomes were compared using the Pearson χ^2 test and univariable logistic regression for categorical variables and univariate linear regression for continuous variables. For assessment of the association of AF with outcomes, including inpatient mortality, cardiac arrest, acute respiratory failure, acute HF exacerbation, cardiogenic shock, stroke/TIA, AKI, and major bleeding, a multivariable logistic regression model was utilized to calculate adjusted odds ratio (aOR) with corresponding 95% CI and P-values. For the comparison of resource utilization, including LOS and cost of care, a multivariable linear regression model was utilized to calculate adjusted regression coefficients with corresponding 95% CI and P-values. The multivariable regression model was adjusted for demographics, including age, sex, race/ethnicity, insurance status, median household income quartile, and underlying comorbidities, including hypertension, complicated and uncomplicated diabetes mellitus (DM), coronary artery disease (CAD), peripheral artery disease (PAD), chronic HF, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), chronic kidney disease (CKD), cerebrovascular disease (CVD), obesity, cirrhosis, anaemia, thyroid dysfunction, alcohol use, drug use, haematologic malignancies (including Hodgkin's lymphoma, non-Hodgkin's lymphoma, multiple myeloma, acute and chronic myeloid leukaemia, acute and chronic lymphoid leukaemia, and monocytic leukaemia), and metastatic disease. A P-value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant. All statistical analyses were performed using the software Stata/BE version 17.0 (StataCorp).

Results

Our cohort was composed of a total of $50\,570$ hospitalizations for HSCT from 2016 to 2019. Among these hospitalizations, ~5820 (11.5%) had AF as a secondary diagnosis. The prevalence of atrial flutter and other supraventricular tachycardias was 2.2 and 2.6%, respectively.

In the overall study cohort, the mean age was 62.5 years, 41.4% were females, and information on other clinical and demographic characteristics is available in *Table 1*. Patients with AF were older (64.9 vs. 62.2 years, P < 0.001) and more likely to be White (80.1 vs. 71.5%, P < 0.001). Patients without AF were more likely to be Black (12.6 vs. 6.3%, P < 0.001), Hispanic (7.8 vs. 5.7%, P < 0.001), and Asian (2.7 vs. 2.4%, P < 0.001). Patients with AF had a higher burden of comorbidities, including hypertension (62.1 vs. 54.3%, P < 0.001), DM (21.2 vs. 17.6%, P = 0.003), chronic HF (11.9 vs. 3.1%, P < 0.001), and CAD (15.5 vs. 8.3%, P < 0.001). Patients with AF were more likely to have Medicare insurance (49.0 vs. 35.6%, P < 0.001), and patients without AF were more likely to have Medicare (56.3 vs. 46.8%, P < 0.001) and self-pay (0.9 vs. 0.4%, P < 0.001).

Outcomes of patients with atrial fibrillation

Patients with concomitant AF had higher inpatient mortality (6.4 vs. 2.0%, P < 0.001), cardiac arrest (1.6 vs. 0.4%, P < 0.001), AKI (28.1 vs. 14.3%, P < 0.001), acute HF exacerbation (4.8 vs. 0.9%, P < 0.001), acute respiratory failure (14.4 vs. 4.1%, P < 0.001), and stroke/TIA (1.2 vs. 0.4%, P = 0.001). Atrial fibrillation patients also had longer mean LOS (24.4 vs. 21.3 days, P < 0.001) and increased cost of care (396 132 vs. 325 578 USD, P < 0.001) on unadjusted analyses. A higher proportion of AF patients were discharged to another facility (6.7 vs. 2.1%, P < 0.001) or required home health services (21.2 vs. 17.7%, P < 0.001) compared to those without AF. The distribution of other relevant outcomes is shown in *Table 2*.

We assessed the association of AF with adverse outcomes and resource utilization using multivariable regression models, adjusted for potential confounders, such as age, sex, race, CAD, PAD, chronic HF, CVD, CKD, anaemia, coagulopathy, cirrhosis, and thyroid dysfunction. After adjusting for age, sex, race, insurance status, income status, and comorbidities, such as hypertension, diabetes, chronic HF, CAD,
 Table 1
 Clinical and demographic characteristics of patients undergoing BMT stratified by AF status

	AF (<i>n</i> = 5820)	No AF (44 750)	P-value
			0 0004
Mean age	64.9 (64.5–65.3)	62.2 (62–62.3)	<0.0001
Female (%)	1940 (33.4%)	1.9 × 10 ⁺ (42.4%)	< 0.0001
Race (%)		4	<0.0001
White	4540 (80.1%)	3.1 × 10 ⁺ (71.5%)	—
Black	355 (6.3%)	5405 (12.6%)	—
Hispanic	320 (5.7%)	3335 (7.8%)	-
Asian or Pacific Islander	135 (2.4%)	1155 (2.7%)	-
Native American	15 (0.3%)	110 (0.3%)	—
Other	250 (4.5%)	2220 (5.2%)	—
Insurance status (%)			<0.0001
Medicare	2805 (49%)	1.5 × 10 ⁴ (35.6%)	—
Medicaid	215 (3.8%)	3110 (7.2%)	—
Private insurance	2675 (46.8%)	2.4 × 10 ⁴ (56.3%)	—
Self-pay	25 (0.4%)	390 (0.9%)	—
Median household income quartile (%)			0.82
0th–25th percentile	1020 (17.7%)	8230 (18.7%)	—
26th–50th percentile (median)	1335 (23.2%)	1 × 10 ⁴ (23.7%)	—
51st–75th percentile	1575 (27.4%)	1.2 × 10 ⁴ (26.6%)	—
76th–100th percentile	1820 (31.7%)	1.4×10 ⁴ (31%)	—
Hospital region (%)			0.33
Northeast	740 (23.9%)	5350 (23.8%)	_
Midwest	900 (29.1%)	5835 (26%)	_
South	885 (28.6%)	6995 (31.1%)	_
West	570 (18.4%)	4285 (19.1%)	_
Hypertension (%)	3615 (62.1%)	2.4 × 10 ⁴ (54.3%)	<0.0001
Diabetes (%)	1235 (21.2%)	7890 (17.6%)	0.003
Chronic HF (%)	695 (11.9%)	1395 (3.12%)	<0.0001
CAD (%)	900 (15.5%)	3715 (8.3%)	<0.0001
PAD (%)	105 (1.8%)	475 (1.1%)	0.03
COPD (%)	60 (1.03%)	375 (0.8%)	0.48
CKD (%)	935 (16.1%)	4705 (10.5%)	<0.0001
CVD (%)	140 (2.4%)	585 (1.3%)	0.004
Obesity (%)	635 (10.9%)	3615 (0.8%)	0.0003
Cirrhosis (%)	20 (0.3%)	165 (0.4%)	0.9
Anaemia (%)	4990 (85.7%)	3.7×10 ⁴ (83.3%)	0.03
Thyroid dysfunction (%)	770 (13.2%)	5640 (12.6%)	0.49
Coagulopathy (%)	1200 (20.6%)	6365 (14.2%)	<0.0001
Alcohol use (%)	25 (0.4%)	325 (0.7%)	0.23
Drug abuse (%)	300 (5.2%)	2745 (6.1%)	0.19
Hodgkin's lymphoma (%)	15 (0.3%)	90 (0.2%)	0.69
Non-Hodgkin's lymphoma (%)	220 (3.8%)	1620 (3.6%)	0.79
Multiple myeloma (%)	245 (4.2%)	1655 (3.7%)	0.41
Lymphoid leukaemia (%)	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
Acute lymphoid leukaemia	<11	90 (0.2%)	0.83
Chronic lymphoid leukaemia	40 (0.7%)	225 (0.5%)	0.38
Myeloid leukaemia (%)	. ()	- ()	5.00
Acute myeloid leukaemia	45 (0.8%)	455 (1%)	0.43
			Continued

Table 1 Continued				
	AF (n = 5820)	No AF (44 750)	P-value	
Chronic myeloid leukaemia	<11	25 (0.06%)	0.16	
Aplastic anaemia (%)	4760 (81.8%)	3.5 × 10 ⁴ (77.4%)	0.0007	
Chronic myeloproliferative neoplasm (%)	<11	45 (0.1%)	0.32	

For n < 11, the absolute numbers are not reported as per HCUP recommendations.

AF, atrial fibrillation; BMT, bone marrow transplantation; CAD, coronary artery disease; CKD, chronic kidney disease; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; CVD, cerebrovascular disease; HCUP, Healthcare Cost and Utilization Project; HF, heart failure; PAD, peripheral artery disease.

Table 2 Crude in-hospital outcomes and resource utilization of patients undergoing BMT stratified by AF status

	AF (n = 5820)	No AF (44 750)	P-value
All-cause mortality (%)	370 (6.4%)	875 (2%)	<0.0001
Cardiac arrest (%)	90 (1.6%)	170 (0.4%)	<0.0001
AKI (%)	1635 (28.1%)	6405 (14.3%)	<0.0001
Major bleeding (%)	1210 (20.8%)	8745 (19.5%)	0.31
Acute HF exacerbation (%)	280 (4.8%)	415 (0.9%)	<0.0001
Acute respiratory failure (%)	840 (14.4%)	1845 (4.1%)	<0.0001
Cardiogenic shock (%)	65 (1.1%)	45 (0.1%)	<0.0001
Stroke/TIA (%)	70 (1.2%)	170 (0.4%)	0.001
LOS, days	24.44 (23.5–25.4)	21.27 (20.8–21.73)	<0.0001
Total hospitalization expenses, USD	396 287 (359 132–433 442)	325 578 (307 974–343 183)	<0.0001
Discharge disposition (%)			<0.0001
Routine	3795 (65.3%)	3.5 × 10 ⁴ (78%)	—
Short-term hospital	25 (0.4%)	95 (0.2%)	—
Another type of facility	390 (6.7%)	925 (2.1%)	—
Home healthcare	1230 (21.2%)	7935 (17.7%)	—
Against medical advice	5 (0.02%)	5 (0.01%)	—

For n < 11, the absolute numbers are not reported as per HCUP recommendations.

AF, atrial fibrillation; AKI, acute kidney injury; BMT, bone marrow transplantation; HCUP, Healthcare Cost and Utilization Project; HF, heart failure; LOS, length of stay; TIA, transient ischaemic attack; USD, United States Dollars.

PAD, COPD, CKD, CVD, obesity, anaemia, thyroid dysfunction, cirrhosis, coagulopathy, and drug and alcohol abuse, AF was found to be associated with adverse outcomes, including higher inpatient mortality (aOR 2.75; 95% Cl: 1.9–3.98; P < 0.001), cardiac arrest (aOR 2.86; 95% Cl: 1.55–5.26; P = 0.001), AKI (aOR 1.89; 95% Cl: 1.6–2.23; P < 0.001), acute HF exacerbation (aOR 5.01; 95% Cl: 3.54–7.1; P < 0.001), cardiogenic shock (aOR 7.73; 95% Cl: 3.17–18.8; P < 0.001), and acute respiratory failure (aOR 3.24; 95% Cl: 2.56–4.1; P < 0.001) (*Figure 1A* and *B*). Atrial fibrillation was also associated with longer mean LOS (+2.67; 95% Cl: 1.79–3.55; P < 0.001) (*Figure 1A*) and higher cost of care (+67 529; 95% Cl: 36 630–98 427; P < 0.001).

Results demonstrating a comparison of clinical outcomes, including inpatient mortality, AKI, major bleeding, stroke/TIA, acute respiratory failure, and mean LOS, are shown in *Figure 1A*. Results demonstrating a comparison of cardiovascular outcomes, including acute HF exacerbation, cardiogenic shock, and cardiac arrest, are shown in *Figure 1B*. A comparison of the cost of care between AF and non-AF patients and a 4-year national trend of clinical outcomes are available in Supplementary material online.

Discussion

In this large and nationally representative sample of hospitalized patients undergoing HSCT, the main findings of our study are as follows (*Figure 2*):

- The overall prevalence of AF in patients aged >50 years undergoing HSCT was high (11.5%).
- (ii) Atrial fibrillation was associated with worse outcomes, including inpatient mortality, cardiac arrest, AKI, acute HF exacerbation, cardiogenic shock, and acute respiratory failure. This association was independent of age, socioeconomic factors, and certain important comorbidities, including hypertension, diabetes, chronic HF, CAD, PAD, CKD, obesity, anaemia, and coagulopathy.
- (iii) Atrial fibrillation was associated with longer LOS and higher cost of care.

Our findings confirm prior reports of high AF risk among patients undergoing HSCT. Our study cannot investigate the mechanisms of this association or suggest causation. The high prevalence of AF in HSCT patients could be due to several reasons. Haematopoietic

Outcomes	:	Odds ratio (95% CI)
Mortality at discharge		
No AF (reference)		
AF		
Adjusted		2.75 (1.90–3.98)
Unadjusted		3.41 (2.51–4.63)
AKI		
No AF (reference)		
AF		
Adjusted		1.89 (1.60–2.23)
Unadjusted		2.34 (2.02–2.70)
Major bleeding		
No AF (reference)	i	
AF		
Adjusted	H	1.04 (0.89–1.22)
Unadjusted	H P-1	1.08 (0.93–1.26)
Stroke or TIA		
No AF (reference)		
AF		4.07 (0.00, 4.00)
Adjusted		1.97 (0.90-4.29)
Adjusted		3 24 (2 56-4 1 0)
Unadiusted		3.92 (3.20–4.81)
Mean LOS		Mean difference (95% CI)
No AF (reference)		
AE		
AF		
Adjusted		2.67 (1.79–3.55)
Ar Adjusted Unadjusted		2.67 (1.79–3.55) 3.17 (2.29–4.05)
Adjusted Unadjusted		2.67 (1.79–3.55) 3.17 (2.29–4.05)
Adjusted Unadjusted	2.0 4.0	2.67 (1.79–3.55) 3.17 (2.29–4.05) 6.0
Adjusted Unadjusted		2.67 (1.79–3.55) 3.17 (2.29–4.05) 6.0
Adjusted Unadjusted B Outcomes	2.0 4.0	2.67 (1.79–3.55) 3.17 (2.29–4.05) 6.0 Odds ratio (95% Cl)
Adjusted Unadjusted B Outcomes Cardiogenic shock	2.0 4.0	2.67 (1.79–3.55) 3.17 (2.29–4.05) 6.0 Odds ratio (95% Cl)
Adjusted Unadjusted B Outcomes Cardiogenic shock No AF (reference)	2.0 4.0	2.67 (1.79–3.55) 3.17 (2.29–4.05) 6.0 Odds ratio (95% Cl)
Adjusted Unadjusted B Outcomes Cardiogenic shock No AF (reference) AF	2.0 4.0	2.67 (1.79–3.55) 3.17 (2.29–4.05) 6.0 Odds ratio (95% Cl)
Adjusted Unadjusted B Outcomes Cardiogenic shock No AF (reference) AF Adjusted		2.67 (1.79–3.55) 3.17 (2.29–4.05) 6.0 Odds ratio (95% Cl)
Adjusted Unadjusted B Outcomes Cardiogenic shock No AF (reference) AF Adjusted Unadjusted		2.67 (1.79–3.55) 3.17 (2.29–4.05) 6.0 Odds ratio (95% Cl)
Af Adjusted Unadjusted B Outcomes Cardiogenic shock No AF (reference) AF Adjusted Unadjusted Unadjusted Acute HF exacerbation		2.67 (1.79–3.55) 3.17 (2.29–4.05) 6.0 Odds ratio (95% Cl) 7.73 (3.17–18.84) 11.22 (4.99–25.24)
Af Adjusted Unadjusted B Outcomes Cardiogenic shock No AF (reference) AF Adjusted Unadjusted Unadjusted Acute HF exacerbation No AF (reference)		2.67 (1.79–3.55) 3.17 (2.29–4.05) 6.0 Odds ratio (95% Cl) 7.73 (3.17–18.84) 11.22 (4.99–25.24)
AF Adjusted Unadjusted B Outcomes Cardiogenic shock No AF (reference) AF Adjusted Unadjusted Acute HF exacerbation No AF (reference) AF		2.67 (1.79–3.55) 3.17 (2.29–4.05) 6.0 Odds ratio (95% Cl)
Af Adjusted Unadjusted B Outcomes Cardiogenic shock No AF (reference) AF Adjusted Unadjusted Acute HF exacerbation No AF (reference) AF Adjusted		2.67 (1.79–3.55) 3.17 (2.29–4.05) 6.0 Odds ratio (95% Cl) 7.73 (3.17–18.84) 11.22 (4.99–25.24) 5.01 (2.54, 7.10)
Af Adjusted Unadjusted B Outcomes Cardiogenic shock No AF (reference) AF Adjusted Unadjusted Acute HF exacerbation No AF (reference) AF Adjusted Linadjusted		2.67 (1.79–3.55) 3.17 (2.29–4.05) 6.0 Odds ratio (95% Cl) 7.73 (3.17–18.84) 11.22 (4.99–25.24) 11.22 (4.99–25.24) 5.01 (3.54–7.10) 5.40 (2.95 7.20)
Adjusted Unadjusted Dutcomes Cardiogenic shock No AF (reference) AF Adjusted Unadjusted Acute HF exacerbation No AF (reference) AF Adjusted Unadjusted Unadjusted		2.67 (1.79–3.55) 3.17 (2.29–4.05) 6.0 Odds ratio (95% Cl) 7.73 (3.17–18.84) 11.22 (4.99–25.24) 5.01 (3.54–7.10) 5.40 (3.95–7.38)
Adjusted Unadjusted Unadjusted Cardiogenic shock No AF (reference) AF Adjusted Unadjusted Acute HF exacerbation No AF (reference) AF Adjusted Unadjusted Unadjusted Unadjusted		2.67 (1.79–3.55) 3.17 (2.29–4.05) 6.0 Odds ratio (95% Cl) 7.73 (3.17–18.84) 11.22 (4.99–25.24) 5.01 (3.54–7.10) 5.40 (3.95–7.38)
Adjusted Unadjusted Unadjusted Cardiogenic shock No AF (reference) AF Adjusted Unadjusted Unadjusted Acute HF exacerbation No AF (reference) AF Adjusted Unadjusted Unadjusted Unadjusted		2.67 (1.79–3.55) 3.17 (2.29–4.05) 6.0 Odds ratio (95% Cl) Odds ratio (95% Cl) 7.73 (3.17–18.84) 11.22 (4.99–25.24) 5.01 (3.54–7.10) 5.40 (3.95–7.38)
Adjusted Unadjusted Unadjusted Cardiogenic shock No AF (reference) AF Adjusted Unadjusted Unadjusted Acute HF exacerbation No AF (reference) AF Adjusted Unadjusted Unadjusted Unadjusted AF Adjusted Unadjusted AF		2.67 (1.79–3.55) 3.17 (2.29–4.05) 6.0 Odds ratio (95% Cl) Odds ratio (95% Cl) 11.22 (4.99–25.24) 5.01 (3.54–7.10) 5.40 (3.95–7.38)
Adjusted Unadjusted Unadjusted Cardiogenic shock No AF (reference) AF Adjusted Unadjusted Acute HF exacerbation No AF (reference) AF Adjusted Unadjusted Cardiac arrest No AF (reference) AF Adjusted Unadjusted		2.67 (1.79–3.55) 3.17 (2.29–4.05) 6.0
Adjusted Unadjusted Unadjusted Cardiogenic shock No AF (reference) AF Adjusted Unadjusted Acute HF exacerbation No AF (reference) AF Adjusted Unadjusted Cardiac arrest No AF (reference) AF Adjusted Unadjusted Unadjusted Cardiac arrest No AF (reference)		2.67 (1.79–3.55) 3.17 (2.29–4.05) 6.0

Figure 1 (A) Adjusted and unadjusted association of AF with in-hospital clinical outcomes, including inpatient mortality, AKI, major bleeding, stroke/ TIA, acute respiratory failure, and mean LOS. (B) Adjusted and unadjusted association of AF with in-hospital cardiovascular outcomes, including cardiogenic shock, acute HF exacerbation, and cardiac arrest. AF, atrial fibrillation; AKI, acute kidney injury; CI, confidence interval; HF, heart failure; LOS, length of stay; TIA, transient ischaemic attack.



Figure 2 Central illustration depicting study design. *Logistic and linear regression analysis adjusted for age, female sex, race, insurance status, median household income, hypertension, diabetes, CAD, PAD, chronic HF, COPD, chronic kidney disease, obesity, cirrhosis, anaemia, coagulopathy, drug or alcohol use, and metastatic disease. AF, atrial fibrillation; AKI, acute kidney injury; aOR, adjusted odds ratio; CAD, coronary artery disease; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; HF, heart failure; HSCT, haematopoietic stem cell transplantation; LOS, length of stay; NIS, National Inpatient Sample; PAD, peripheral artery disease.

stem cell transplantation-related cardiotoxicity is well known, and these effects may be contributed to by pre-HSCT conditioning therapy that can involve a combination of cardiotoxic chemotherapeutic agents, immunotherapy, and mediastinal radiation during the pre- or immediate post-transplant period.^{2,3,5} Conditioning therapy also leads to significant immunosuppression, predisposing patients to severe infections, sepsis, and subsequently AF.^{13–15} In our study, however, patients with AF were slightly older and in general had a higher comorbidity burden, including hypertension, DM, chronic HF, and CAD, compared to those without AF, and advanced age and certain comorbidities are well-established risk factors for the genesis of AF.^{16–18} Therefore, we are unable to determine the direct impact of underlying haematologic disease and HSCT therapy on AF risk in this population with high cardiovascular risk factors.

In our analyses, we observed higher rates of adverse clinical outcomes in patients with AF, including cardiogenic shock, acute HF exacerbation, and acute respiratory failure, which may possibly correspond to the use of specific AF treatment strategies in the setting of preexisting HSCT-related cardiotoxicity. In our study, patients with AF did not appear to have higher rates of major bleeding as compared to those without AF, potentially due to the withholding of therapeutic anticoagulation due to underlying thrombocytopaenia.^{19,20} Notably, we found a significant association of AF with inpatient mortality and cardiac arrest, but we cannot determine whether AF directly contributed to these adverse outcomes or whether AF was just a marker of poor cardiovascular health.

The findings of this study are clinically significant, as AF has previously been shown to be associated with poor outcomes in patients undergoing inpatient chemotherapy and among patients undergoing chimeric antigen receptor T cell (CAR-T) therapy.^{21,22} It is important to note that there is a paucity of data on the prevention and management of AF in patients undergoing HSCT.^{10,11} Results from those studies, coupled with the current findings in HSCT patients, may indicate significant AF-related morbidity and mortality with therapeutic modalities currently available for malignant haematologic neoplasms. Current treatment guidelines for most cancers recommend baseline electrocardiogram (ECG) for patients prior to initiation of treatment, mainly to monitor QTc intervals.^{23,24} Whether these patients would benefit from longitudinal rhythm monitoring is debatable.^{25,26}

In our study, the independent predictors of most adverse outcomes included CAD, chronic HF, CKD, CVD, and anaemia, which interestingly were also significant predictors of AF. Other predictors of AF were White ethnicity, hypertension, coagulopathy, and obesity. Whether AF or its drivers contributed to higher mortality is debatable. Hypertension, dyslipidaemia, and hyperglycaemia are known complications of HSCT and can be independent contributors to the high burden of cardiovascular disease in both adult and paediatric populations.²⁷ Total body irradiation can predispose to the risk of developing dyslipidaemia and diabetes. The development of predictive models can be helpful in identifying high-risk patients for targeted surveillance in the peri-HSCT setting.²⁸ Additionally, the adoption of specific management guidelines, such as the AF Better Care pathway with a primary focus on the management of comorbidities, should be studied.²⁹⁻³¹ Advanced imaging techniques, such as coronary calcium scoring or cardiac computed tomography, can help identify HSCT patients at risk of developing CAD, and speckle tracking echocardiography or cardiac magnetic resonance imaging can allow for the assessment of early-stage left ventricular dysfunction.³² Therapeutic anticoagulation should be considered whenever considered feasible from a bleeding risk perspective.³³

Regardless, comprehensive management of comorbidities and aggressive control of risk factors in the peri-HSCT setting may be considered while these patients undergo extensive evaluation prior to the decision to undergo HSCT. The development of AF in this population may be an indicator of poor prognosis and therefore may warrant clinicians to consider continuous inpatient rhythm monitoring for the detection of AF. Further research is needed to determine the clinical utility of these practices and outline specific treatment strategies and design management algorithms for AF in these patients.

Limitations

The results of this study should be interpreted within the context of the following limitations. First, the NIS is derived from administrative billing data that rely on ICD codes, which may be subject to error. However, it is worth noting that AHRQ utilizes robust quality control measures that ensure data integrity.¹² Second, we are unable to distinguish the history of AF from new-onset AF because of the lack of distinct ICD-10 codes for the new-onset AF. Therefore, the current analysis's temporal relationship between AF, HSCT, and outcomes is unclear. Third, the NIS censors data upon discharge from the facility; therefore, long-term or post-discharge follow-up outcomes cannot be ascertained from the database. Fourth, the NIS also censors medication administration data, thus precluding the analysis of chemotherapeutic agents used (for conditioning prior to HSCT) and specific rate or rhythm control strategies used to manage AF. Given the retrospective nature of the study, causation cannot be concluded, and our results merely suggest an association.

Conclusions

In this large and nationally representative cohort of hospitalized patients undergoing HSCT, the prevalence of AF is high, and it is associated with worse clinical outcomes. Collectively, these findings highlight the need for further studies examining specific AF prevention and management strategies in patients undergoing HSCT.

Supplementary material

Supplementary material is available at Europace online.

Conflict of interest: None declared.

Data availability

Data supporting the findings of this study are available from authors upon reasonable request.

References

- Copelan EA. Hematopoietic stem-cell transplantation. N Engl J Med 2006;354:1813–26.
 Tuzovic M, Mead M, Young PA, Schiller G, Yang EH. Cardiac complications in the adult
- bone marrow transplant patient. *Curr Oncol Rep* 2019;**21**:28.
 Fujimaki K, Maruta A, Yoshida M, Sakai R, Tanabe J, Koharazawa H et al. Severe cardiac toxicity in hematological stem cell transplantation: predictive value of reduced left ventricular ejection fraction. *Bone Marrow Transplant* 2001;**27**:307–10.
- Chiengthong K, Lertjitbanjong P, Thongprayoon C, Bathini T, Sharma K, Prasitlumkum N et al. Arrhythmias in hematopoietic stem cell transplantation: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Eur J Haematol 2019;103:564–72.
- Hidalgo JD, Krone R, Rich MW, Blum K, Adkins D, Fan M-Y et al. Supraventricular tachyarrhythmias after hematopoietic stem cell transplantation: incidence, risk factors and outcomes. Bone Marrow Transplant 2004;34:615–9.
- Tonorezos ES, Stillwell EE, Calloway JJ, Glew T, Wessler JD, Rebolledo BJ et al. Arrhythmias in the setting of hematopoietic cell transplants. Bone Marrow Transplant 2015;50:1212–6.
- Olivieri A, Corvatta L, Montanari M, Brunori M, Offidani M, Ferretti GF et al. Paroxysmal atrial fibrillation after high-dose melphalan in five patients autotransplanted with blood progenitor cells. Bone Marrow Transplant 1998;21:1049–53.
- Chang EK, Chanson D, Teh JB, lukuridze A, Peng K, Forman SJ et al. Atrial fibrillation in patients undergoing allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation. J Clin Oncol 2021;39: 902–10.
- Wolf PA, Mitchell JB, Baker CS, Kannel WB, D'Agostino RB. Impact of atrial fibrillation on mortality, stroke, and medical costs. *Arch Intern Med* 1998;**158**:229–34.
- Caine GJ, Stonelake PS, Lip GYH, Kehoe ST. The hypercoagulable state of malignancy: pathogenesis and current debate. *Neoplasia* 2002;4:465–73.

- López-Fernández T, Martín-García A, Roldán Rabadán I, Mitroi C, Mazón Ramos P, Díez-Villanueva P et al. Atrial fibrillation in active cancer patients: expert position paper and recommendations. Revista Española de Cardiología (English Edition) 2019;**72**:749–59.
- 12. for Healthcare Research A, Quality. Overview of the National Inpatient Sample (NIS). AHRQ Rockville; 2020.
- Gyurkocza B, Sandmaier BM. Conditioning regimens for hematopoietic cell transplantation: one size does not fit all. *Blood* 2014;**124**:344–53.
- Steinberg I, Brogi E, Pratali L, Trunfio D, Giuliano G, Bignami E et al. Atrial fibrillation in patients with septic shock: a one-year observational pilot study. *Turk J Anaesthesiol Reanim* 2019;47:213–9.
- Induruwa I, Hennebry E, Hennebry J, Thakur M, Warburton EA, Khadjooi K. Sepsis-driven atrial fibrillation and ischaemic stroke. Is there enough evidence to recommend anticoagulation? *Eur J Intern Med* 2022;**98**:32–6.
- Naser N, Dilic M, Durak A, Kulic M, Pepic E, Smajic E et al. The impact of risk factors and comorbidities on the incidence of atrial fibrillation. Mater Sociomed 2017;29:231–6.
- Willeit K, Kiechl S. Atherosclerosis and atrial fibrillation—two closely intertwined diseases. Atherosclerosis 2014;233:679–81.
- Asad Z, Abbas M, Javed I, Korantzopoulos P, Stavrakis S. Obesity is associated with incident atrial fibrillation independent of gender: a meta-analysis. J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol 2018;29:725–32.
- Falanga A, Leader A, Ambaglio C, Bagoly Z, Castaman G, Elalamy I et al. EHA guidelines on management of antithrombotic treatments in thrombocytopenic patients with cancer. *Hemasphere* 2022;6:e750.
- Caro J, Navada S. Safety of anticoagulation in patients with atrial fibrillation and MDS/ AML complicated by thrombocytopenia: an unresolved challenge: can they be managed? A report of three cases and literature review. Am J Hematol 2018;93:E112–4.
- Krishan S, Yalamanchili S, Atluri R, Khdeir O, Khan M, Munir B et al. Atrial fibrillation predicts poor outcomes and higher cost of care in patients admitted for inpatient chemotherapy-insight from the National Inpatient Sample. J Am Coll Cardiol 2022;79: 156.
- Thotamgari SR, Grewal US, Nobel Bhuiyan MA, Abideen Asad ZU, Dominic P. The association of cardiac arrhythmias with chimeric antigen receptor T cell therapy in hospitalised patients: insights from National Inpatient Sample. *Eur J Cancer* 2022;**174**:131–3.
- Spînu Ş, Cismaru G, Boarescu P-M, Istratoaie S, Negru AG, Lazea C et al. ECG markers of cardiovascular toxicity in adult and pediatric cancer treatment. *Dis Markers* 2021; 2021:1–10.

- survivorshipguidelines.org WW. For survivors of childhood, adolescent, and young adult cancers [Internet]. [cited 2023 Jan 26]. Available from: http://www. survivorshipguidelines.org/pdf/2018/cog_ltfu_guidelines_v5.pdf
- Kilickap S, Barista I, Akgul E, Aytemir K, Aksoy S, Tekuzman G. Early and late arrhythmogenic effects of doxorubicin. *South Med* J 2007;**100**:262–5.
- Poręba M, Gać P, Usnarska-Zubkiewicz L, Pilecki W, Kuliczkowski K, Mazur G et al. The analysis of the parameters of 24-hr ECG Holter monitoring in patients with blood neoplasms undergoing high-dose chemotherapy and stem cell transplantation. Ann Noninvasive Electrocardiol 2018;23:e12534.
- Majhail NS, Challa TR, Mulrooney DA, Baker KS, Burns LJ. Hypertension and diabetes mellitus in adult and pediatric survivors of allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation. *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant* 2009;**15**:1100–7.
- Armenian SH, Sun C-L, Vase T, Ness KK, Blum E, Francisco L et al. Cardiovascular risk factors in hematopoietic cell transplantation survivors: role in development of subsequent cardiovascular disease. Blood 2012;**120**:4505–12.
- Vitolo M, Proietti M, Malavasi VL, Bonini N, Romiti GF, Imberti JF et al. Adherence to the "Atrial fibrillation Better Care" (ABC) pathway in patients with atrial fibrillation and cancer: a report from the ESC-EHRA EURObservational Research Programme in atrial fibrillation (EORP-AF) General Long-Term Registry. Eur | Intern Med 2022;105:54–62.
- Proietti M, Lip GYH, Laroche C, Fauchier L, Marin F, Nabauer M et al. Relation of outcomes to ABC (Atrial fibrillation Better Care) pathway adherent care in European patients with atrial fibrillation: an analysis from the ESC-EHRA EORP Atrial Fibrillation General Long-Term (AFGen LT) Registry. Europace 2021;23:174–83.
- 31. Lyon AR, López-Fernández T, Couch LS, Asteggiano R, Aznar MC, Bergler-Klein J et al. 2022 ESC guidelines on cardio-oncology developed in collaboration with the European Hematology Association (EHA), the European Society for Therapeutic Radiology and Oncology (ESTRO) and the International Cardio-Oncology Society (IC-OS). Eur Heart J 2022;43:4229–361.
- Hemu M, Zimmerman A, Kalra D, Okwuosa T. Pretransplant cardiac evaluation using novel technology. *J Clin Med Res* 2019;8:690.
- Boriani G, Lee G, Parrini I, Lopez-Fernandez T, Lyon AR, Suter T et al. Anticoagulation in patients with atrial fibrillation and active cancer: an international survey on patient management. Eur J Prev Cardiol 2021;28:611–21.