



# *Article* **Genome-Wide Association Study Identified Novel SNPs Associated with Chlorophyll Content in Maize**

Yueting Jin <sup>1[,](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1304-2181)†</sup>, Dan Li <sup>1,†</sup>, Meiling Liu <sup>1</sup>, Zhenhai Cui <sup>1,2</sup>, Daqiu Sun <sup>1</sup>, Cong Li <sup>1</sup>®, Ao Zhang <sup>1</sup>®, Huiying Cao <sup>1,</sup>\* **and Yanye Ruan 1,3,\***

- <sup>1</sup> College of Bioscience and Biotechnology, Shenyang Agricultural University, Shenyang 110866, China; jinyt1115@163.com (Y.J.)
- <sup>2</sup> Key Laboratory of Soybean Molecular Design Breeding, Northeast Institute of Geography and Agroecology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Changchun 130102, China
- <sup>3</sup> Liaoning Province Research Center of Plant Genetic Engineering Technology, Shenyang Key Laboratory of Maize Genomic Selection Breeding, Shenyang 110866, China
- **\*** Correspondence: chy@syau.edu.cn (H.C.); yanyeruan@syau.edu.cn (Y.R.)
- † These authors contributed equally to this work.

**Abstract:** Chlorophyll is an essential component that captures light energy to drive photosynthesis. Chlorophyll content can affect photosynthetic activity and thus yield. Therefore, mining candidate genes of chlorophyll content will help increase maize production. Here, we performed a genomewide association study (GWAS) on chlorophyll content and its dynamic changes in 378 maize inbred lines with extensive natural variation. Our phenotypic assessment showed that chlorophyll content and its dynamic changes were natural variations with a moderate genetic level of 0.66/0.67. A total of 19 single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) were found associated with 76 candidate genes, of which one SNP, 2376873-7-G, co-localized in chlorophyll content and area under the chlorophyll content curve (AUCCC). *Zm00001d026568* and *Zm00001d026569* were highly associated with SNP 2376873-7-G and encoded pentatricopeptide repeat-containing protein and chloroplastic palmitoylacyl carrier protein thioesterase, respectively. As expected, higher expression levels of these two genes are associated with higher chlorophyll contents. These results provide a certain experimental basis for discovering the candidate genes of chlorophyll content and finally provide new insights for cultivating high-yield and excellent maize suitable for planting environment.

**Keywords:** GWAS; chlorophyll content; area under the chlorophyll content curve; single-nucleotide polymorphisms; candidate gene prediction; maize (*Zea mays*); ear leaf

# **1. Introduction**

Maize (*Zea mays* L.) is an important source used for animal feed and bioenergy and has become the top crop produced in China, with production estimated up to 272.6 million tons (MT) in 2021 [\(http://www.stats.gov.cn,](http://www.stats.gov.cn) accessed on 20 April 2022). High yield based on photosynthesis has always been the focus topic in crop breeding [\[1\]](#page-16-0). Chlorophyll is essential for photosynthesis and promotes light energy absorption and assembly with photosynthetic protein complexes [\[2\]](#page-16-1). Chlorophyll content is one of the most important physiological traits, which is closely related to leaf photosynthesis and crop yield potential [\[3\]](#page-16-2). In a certain range, chlorophyll content is positively correlated with photosynthetic rate, which directly determines the yield of crops [\[4\]](#page-16-3). Therefore, maintaining high chlorophyll content in leaves can improve photosynthetic activity and increase yield [\[5](#page-16-4)[,6\]](#page-16-5). At present, chlorophyll content has been used to evaluate the photosynthetic capacity and yield potential of leaves in rice [\[7\]](#page-16-6). Therefore, a better mining of the genes of chlorophyll content in maize leaves is of great value to speed up maize high-yield breeding.

Chlorophyll content is controlled by nuclear genes [\[8\]](#page-16-7), and chlorophyll metabolism can be divided into four major steps [\[9\]](#page-16-8). The first step is the synthesis of chlorophyll-a



**Citation:** Jin, Y.; Li, D.; Liu, M.; Cui, Z.; Sun, D.; Li, C.; Zhang, A.; Cao, H.; Ruan, Y. Genome-Wide Association Study Identified Novel SNPs Associated with Chlorophyll Content in Maize. *Genes* **2023**, *14*, 1010. [https://doi.org/10.3390/genes](https://doi.org/10.3390/genes14051010) [14051010](https://doi.org/10.3390/genes14051010)

Academic Editor: Xiquan Gao

Received: 26 March 2023 Revised: 24 April 2023 Accepted: 26 April 2023 Published: 29 April 2023



**Copyright:** © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license [\(https://](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [creativecommons.org/licenses/by/](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)  $4.0/$ ).

via the branched tetrapyrrole biosynthesis pathway [\[10,](#page-16-9)[11\]](#page-16-10). The second step is catalyzing the mutual conversion of chlorophyll-a and chlorophyll-b [\[12\]](#page-16-11). The third step involves the degradation of chlorophyll-a via the pheophorbide a oxygenase (PAO)/phyllobilin pathway [\[13\]](#page-16-12). The final step is the chlorophyll cycle pathway [\[14\]](#page-16-13). Although significant progress has been made in research on chlorophyll metabolism, the molecular mechanism of chlorophyll metabolism is quite complex, and chlorophyll content is a quantitative trait [\[15\]](#page-16-14) that can be used for quantitative trait locus analysis and identification. Therefore, it is crucial to deeply study the expression and regulation of genes involved in the regulation of chlorophyll metabolism.

In recent years, researchers have identified some quantitative trait loci (QTLs) of chlorophyll content in leaves of different populations of various crops from different angles and have made considerable progress [\[7,](#page-16-6)[16–](#page-16-15)[19\]](#page-17-0), which has laid the foundation for further clarifying the molecular genetic mechanism determining chlorophyll content. However, the method of QTL mapping can only analyze the gene effects of differences between the parent materials of the isolated population and cannot widely excavate the genes regulating chlorophyll content in the whole genome [\[20,](#page-17-1)[21\]](#page-17-2). Genome-wide association study (GWAS) is an efficient method developed in recent years to study complex traits. It has the advantages of high resolution and throughput, which can associate multiple complex traits and detect multiple alleles at the same time. It was previously reported that GWAS was used to mine candidate genes related to chlorophyll content and tolerance of soybean cyst nematode, and 15 candidate genes related to tolerance of soybean cyst nematode and chlorophyll content were identified [\[22\]](#page-17-3). Dhanapal et al. [\[23\]](#page-17-4) conducted GWAS of soybean chlorophyll traits based on canopy spectral reflectance and leaf extracts and found 15 SNPs loci related to total chlorophyll content. Herritt et al. [\[24\]](#page-17-5) identified 21 chlorophyll fluorescence phenotypes by GWAS and found relevant genes involved in photosynthesis and electron transport. Therefore, it is important to identify genes associated with chlorophyll content. The chlorophyll content of the first maize leaf was analyzed by GWAS at the seedling stage, and two genes potentially controlling chlorophyll content in maize were identified, a homolog of the *Arabidopsis Tic22* and a homolog of rice *SAG12* relating to aging [\[25\]](#page-17-6). However, no studies have been conducted on chlorophyll content and AUCCC of maize ear leaves within multi-year, multi-location trials.

As a research tool, GWAS have become a common way to study natural variation and inheritance of important agronomic traits in various plants [\[26\]](#page-17-7). Maize has rich genetic diversity and rapid linkage disequilibrium decay, which make maize an excellent variety for GWAS. To date, many researchers have contributed to our understanding of maize through GWAS. Wang et al. [\[27\]](#page-17-8) carried out a study on drought tolerance in maize seedlings that found *ZmVPP1*, and transgenic maize with enhanced *ZmVPP1* expression exhibited improved drought tolerance. Sun et al. [\[28\]](#page-17-9) identified candidate genes that affect bracing root angle and diameter. Li et al. [\[29\]](#page-17-10) used GWAS to reveal the candidate gene of maize seed germination traits and found 58 genetic variation sites and 36 candidate genes, which provided important implications for the molecular breeding of maize seed germination. These studies indicate that GWAS is a reliable tool to study the chlorophyll content of maize and to find candidate genes.

In this study, 378 maize inbred lines and 96,726 SNPs were used for the GWAS of the chlorophyll content in two environments. The purpose of this study is to identify candidate genes of chlorophyll content. Our findings provide new insights for mining candidate genes of leaf chlorophyll content.

#### **2. Materials and Methods**

# *2.1. Plant Materials*

An association panel comprising 378 diverse maize inbred lines from the northeast of China, temperate region in the United States and the International Maize and Wheat Improvement Center (CIMMYT), Mexico, were used for GWAS. All materials were kept in the College of Bioscience and Biotechnology, Shenyang Agricultural University.

## *2.2. Field Experiments*

The 378 inbred lines were grown in Fushun City, Liaoning Province, China (121 $\degree$ 74' E, 42°14' N) in May 2017 (17FS) and Ledong city, Hainan Province, China (108°39' E, 18°24' N) in November 2017 (17LD). The field experiment was designed as random blocks with 2 replicates. Fifteen plants were planted in a 2.5 m long row with 0.6 m row distance, and the planting density was approximately 45,000 plants/ha. The inbred lines were labeled before pollination for standard field management. Chlorophyll content was measured using a portable chlorophyll meter (SPAD-502, plus Konica Minolta, Tokyo, Japan), which was non-destructive, fast and cheap. SPAD-502 readings were taken from five plants per plot on five dates at a 5 d interval starting 0 d after silking. There were three measurements in the middle of the ear leaf for each plant, and the average value was used for the statistical analysis. AUCCC was calculated based on SPAD-502 readings on all measure dates. Larger AUCCC values represent higher chlorophyll content, and lower AUCCC values represent lower chlorophyll content. The formula used to calculate AUCCC was modified from the AUCCC formula [\[30\]](#page-17-11):

AUCCC = 
$$
[(\gamma_i + \gamma_{i+1})/2](t_{i+1} - t_i)
$$

in which *n* is the number of assessment times, *γ* is the meter reading, *i* is the *i*th rating date, and *t* is time (in days).

## *2.3. Statistical Analysis of Phenotypes*

The "PROC MIXED" program in SAS software was used to analyze the variance heritability of the phenotypic values at the two places. The mixed linear model (MLM) was used for the analysis, and the model  $yijk = \mu + e_l + rk(l) + \hat{p} + (fe)il + \varepsilon lik$ , where  $yijk$  was the phenotypic value of the attenuation rate *ijk* in this test,  $\mu$  denoted the average value of attenuation rate, *el* was the influence of two environments, *rk(l)* was the repeated effect in the environment, *fl* was the genetic effect of the *i*th family, *(fe)il* was the interaction between genetic and environmental effects, and *εlik* was a random error.

The generalized heritability formula is:  $h^2 = \sigma g^2/(\sigma g^2 + \sigma g e^2/e + \sigma \epsilon^2/re)$  [\[31\]](#page-17-12), where  $h^2$ represents the generalized heritability of the trait,  $\sigma g^2$  is the genetic variance of the trait, *σge<sup>2</sup>* represents the variance of the interaction between genetic and environmental effects, *σε<sup>2</sup>* denotes the residual error, and *e* and *r* denote the number of environments and the number of repetitions of the trait in each environment. The total phenotype of the two trials was predicted and expressed by the best linear unbiased prediction (BLUP) value so as to minimize the environmental impact.

## *2.4. Genome-Wide Association Mapping*

The 96,726 SNPs (MAF  $\geq$  0.05) were used to conduct GWAS by combining the data from two genotyping platforms (RNA-seq and SNP array). The mixed linear model (MLM) calculation method was used to analyze the association of chlorophyll content in R 4.0.3, where population structure and kinship were fitted to control false positives [\[32](#page-17-13)[,33\]](#page-17-14). We used the standard Bonferroni correction threshold  $\alpha = 1$  as the significance node. The *p* value was calculated as  $1/n$  (*n* = 96,726), and we obtained  $p < 1.03 \times 10^{-5}$  as significant nodes.

## *2.5. Prediction of Candidate Genes*

The most significant SNP was selected to represent the locus associated with chlorophyll content in the same LD block  $(r^2 < 0.2)$ . The physical locations of significant SNPs were determined using the B73 RefGen v4 database. The annotated genes were searched within the 100 kb region around (50 kb upstream and 50 kb downstream) the detected significant SNP and were identified based on functional domains. The function of annotation genes referred to the MaizeGDB [\(https://maizegdb.org/\)](https://maizegdb.org/), NCBI [\(https:](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gene) [//www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gene\)](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gene) and the homologous genes of Arabidopsis.

## *2.6. Heat Map of Candidate Genes Expression*

The expression amount of candidate genes in leaves of different days after maize silking was obtained from the Sequence Read Archive database of NCBI, and the mapping method was as described in [\[34\]](#page-17-15).

#### *2.7. RNA Extraction and RT-qPCR*

The SNP allele effect was analyzed using R 4.0.3, and leaves of 6 varieties (Liao7980, A801, 29MIBZ2, PHVA9, LX9311 and Dan330) were selected during maize V4 stage. A leaf segment of 2 cm in length was excised from the middle of the fourth leaf and stored at −80 ◦C. The RNAprep Pure Plant Kit was used to extract the RNA (TIANGEN). cDNA was synthesized from 2 µg of total RNA using the FastKing RT Kit (TIANGEN). RT-qPCR reactions were performed using a Bio-Rad (Hercules, CA, USA) real-time PCR system using the SuperReal PreMix Plue (SYBR Green) (TIANGEN). Transcript levels were analyzed using the comparative CT (2−4CT) method [\[29\]](#page-17-10). *ZmTubulin1* (*Zm00001d033850*) was used as an internal control for data normalization. All data were measured in three independent biological replicates. The primers are listed in Table S6.

## **3. Results**

# *3.1. Chlorophyll Content Diversity and Heritability at Silking*

Chlorophyll content was investigated at silking stage in 17FS and 17LD, and the BLUP values were calculated according to the phenotypic values of two places. The chlorophyll content of 17FS, 17LD and BLUP showed a normal distribution (Figure [1\)](#page-4-0) and a wide range of values, ranging from 36.23 to 68.85 (mean 54.81  $\pm$  4.57), 27.23 to 62.66 (mean 49.63  $\pm$  7.89) and 44.78 to 58.61 (mean 51.96  $\pm$  3.68), respectively (Table S1), indicating that the chlorophyll content conforms to the quantitative trait.

The heritability of chlorophyll content is 0.67, which is medium (Table [1\)](#page-3-0). There were significant and positive correlations between 17FS:17LD, 17FS:BLUP, 17LD:BLUP (*p* < 0.01; Figure [1\)](#page-4-0). The Genotype  $\times$  Environment interaction effects were not significant for the chlorophyll content, suggesting that the Genotype  $\times$  Environment interaction effects were small and had good stability and adaptability of varieties (Table [1\)](#page-3-0). These results suggested that the population's phenotypic variations of chlorophyll content are largely affected by genetic factors, and therefore, the association panel can be used for further association mapping.

<span id="page-3-0"></span>**Table 1.** Variance composition and broad-sense heritability of chlorophyll content and AUCCC in the maize association population in two environments (17FS and 17LD).



<sup>a</sup> G  $\times$  E indicates the interaction between G and E. <sup>b</sup> \*\* indicates statistical significance with  $p \leq 0.01$ . <sup>c</sup> Family mean-based broad-sense heritability.

<span id="page-4-0"></span>

48 50 52 54

Figure 1. Scatterplots, frequency distribution histogram and correlation of 17FS, 17LD and BLUP. The histograms on the diagonal represent the phenotypic distribution frequency, the values above The histograms on the diagonal represent the phenotypic distribution frequency, the values above the diagonal represent the Pearson's correlation coefficient between adjacent environments, and the scatterplots below the diagonal represent the degree of data fit. The values in the outer circle represent the range of phenotype values in the corresponding environment. \*\* indicates statistical significance with  $p < 0.01$  significant.

# 3.2. AUCCC and Heritability after Silking

The chlorophyll content was measured at 0, 5, 10, 15, and 20 days after silking in 17FS, and at 0, 5, and 10 days after silking in 17LD (Figure [2\)](#page-5-0). We found that the chlorophyll content was the highest at silking (day 0) and gradually decreased and showed the lowest at 20 days after silking during measurement in 17FS and 10 days after silking in 17LD (Figure 2). In general, the chlorophyll content show[ed](#page-5-0) a downward trend. After silking, the plant enters the reproductive phase of growth. Nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium, and other nutrients are rapidly transferred to the kernel [\[35\]](#page-17-16), and this nutrient redistribution leads to the aging of maize and the decrease in chlorophyll content [\[36\]](#page-17-17). phenomenon is most obvious from the filling stage to the mature stage of maize, which

The AUCCC showed a normal distribution (Figure [3\)](#page-5-1), and the heritability of AUCCC was 0.66, which is medium (Table [1\)](#page-3-0). These results show that the AUCCC is controlled by genetics and is suitable for further GWAS.

<span id="page-5-0"></span>

Figure 2. The changes in the chlorophyll content in 17FS and 17LD. The chlorophyll content was measured at 0, 5, 10, 15, 20 days in 17FS, and at 0, 5, 10 days in 17LD after silking (data are shown as the average  $(n = 5)$ ).  $\sigma$ , i.e., 20 days in 1710, and at 0,0,10 days in

<span id="page-5-1"></span>

Figure 3. Frequency histogram of AUCCC distribution. (A) 17FS; (B) 17LD. **Figure 3.** Frequency histogram of AUCCC distribution. (**A**) 17FS; (**B**) 17LD.

# *3.3. Correlations of Chlorophyll Content with Other Plant Developmental Processes*

area (KA). As shown in Figure 4, chlorophyll content is positively correlated with HKW The correlation coefficients (Pearson's) were calculated between chlorophyll content of ear leaf and 10 agronomic traits, including hundred kernel weight (HKW), flowering time (FT), ear rows number (ERN), kernel number per row (KNR), ear length (EL), ear perimeter (EP), kernel length (KL), kernel width (KW), kernel thickness (KT) and kernel at the 0.05 level. Previous studies also showed that chlorophyll content was positively correlated with kernel weight in rye and barley, whereas the correlation was significant at the level of 0.01 [\[37,](#page-17-18)[38\]](#page-17-19).



<span id="page-6-0"></span>

**Figure 4.** Correlation coefficients of maize chlorophyll content with 10 agronomic traits based on **Figure 4.** Correlation coefficients of maize chlorophyll content with 10 agronomic traits based on BLUP values.  $*$  indicates statistical significance with  $p \leq 0.05$ .

## *3.4. Genome-Wide Association Analysis*

the level of  $\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{3},3/3)$ . In the level of  $\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{3},3/3)$ 

To ascertain the candidate genes of chlorophyll content, we conducted a GWAS in two environments of 378 lines using each environment and BLUP values at silking as the<br>there two Clients 5). The CWAS wes couried aut wing the MLM method with a threshold of  $p < 1.03 \times 10^{-5}$  (Figures [5A](#page-7-0)–C and S1), and a total of 15 SNPs were identified. Among them, three significant SNPs were located on chromosome 1, 7 and 10, respectively, in 17FS (Figure 5A and Table 2). Ten significant SNPs were found in 17LD, of which three were located on chromosome 1, one was mapped on chromosome 5, and chromosome  $\sim 2.442 \times 10^{-10}$  $25$ ,  $47$ , to harbored 2 5 eV s respectively (Figure 5D and Table 2). These results indicate SNPs were located on chromosome 2 and 5 (Figure [5C](#page-7-0) and Table [2\)](#page-8-0). These results indicate that chlorophyll content in maize was controlled by multiple genetic loci in this crosscombination. phenotype (Figure [5\)](#page-7-0). The GWAS was carried out using the MLM method with a threshold 2, 4, 10 harbored 2 SNPs respectively (Figure [5B](#page-7-0) and Table [2\)](#page-8-0). In BLUP, two significant

Manhattan plots of GWAS were conducted on AUCCC after silking (Figures 6 and S2). There were four SNPs identified, among which two were for 17FS (Figure [6A](#page-9-0)) and two were<br>for 17LD (Figure 6B), and there were no significant SNPs in the PLUD (Figure 6G). Notably we identified the same SNP 2376873-7-G on chromosome 10 by GWAS for chlorophyll for 17LD (Figure [6B](#page-9-0)), and there were no significant SNPs in the BLUP (Figure [6C](#page-9-0)). Notably, content at silking and AUCCC.



<span id="page-7-0"></span>*Zm00001d0265*

Figure 5. Genome-wide association studies of chlorophyll content. Each point represents an SNP **Figure 5.** Genome-wide association studies of chlorophyll content. Each point represents an SNP site, and the horizontal black line represents that the effective threshold of Bonferring threshold of Bonferring site, and the horizontal black line represents that the effective threshold of Bonferroni correction at 1.03 × 10−<sup>5</sup> , different colors represent different chromosomes of maize. (**A**) 17FS; (**B**) 17LD; (**C**) BLUP.

<span id="page-8-0"></span>

**Table 2.** Single-nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) chromosomal positions and candidate genes significantly associated with chlorophyll content and AUCCC identified by genome-wide association study.

<span id="page-9-0"></span>

 $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{c}) = \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{c}) = \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{c})$  of  $\mathbf{c}$  association studies of  $\mathbf{c}$ **Figure 6.** Genome-wide association studies of AUCCC. Each point represents an SNP site, and the horizontal black line represents that the effective threshold of Bonferroni correction at 1.03  $\times$  10<sup>-5</sup>, different colors represent different chromosomes of maize. (**A**) FS; (**B**) LD; (**C**) BLUP.

## *3.5. Candidate Genes*

A total of 76 candidate genes were identified from 19 SNPs within the 50 kb flanking regions (Tables [2](#page-8-0) and S2) , of which 41 genes had functional annotations. The annotations for the candidate genes consisted of transmembrane protein, kinase, phosphatase, signal transduction protein, and transcription factors that may be involved in photosynthesis, redox, chloroplast development, and plant growth. The co-located SNP, 2376873-7-G, harbored *Zm00001d026563*, *Zm00001d026569* and *Zm00001d026574* encoding APETALA2/ethyleneresponsive element binding protein (AP2/EREBP), chloroplastic palmitoyl-acyl carrier protein thioesterase and UDP-D-galacturonate, respectively (Table [2\)](#page-8-0). We discovered that the genomic region containing SNP, Marker.247949, includes the gene *Zm00001d007012*, which encodes chloroplast RNA binding protein and directly participates in chloroplast morphogenesis (Table [2\)](#page-8-0). In addition, ATP synthase encoded by *Zm00001d007011* can directly affect photosynthesis. Together, these results further demonstrate that the candidate genes were reliable.

## *3.6. Expression Pattern of Candidate Gene*

To determine the candidate genes' expression pattern, an in silico profiling was compiled using the published RNA-Seq datasets (Figure [7\)](#page-12-0). The results showed that the expression patterns of different candidate genes varied in seven different tissues (Figure [7A](#page-12-0) and Table S3). The color scale bar at the top of the heat map represents log10 transformed FPKM value, which represents low and high expression. *Zm00001d023314*, *Zm00001d034534*, *Zm00001d003404, Zm00001d012982* and *Zm00001d014126* had a relatively high level of expression with tissue specificity in the leaf relative to other tissues. Contrarily, *Zm00001d039221* had a relatively low level of expression in leaf (Figure [7A](#page-12-0)). *Zm00001d007011* and *Zm00001d034528* showed high expression tendencies in all tissues compared to the other candidate genes (Figure [7A](#page-12-0)). Other genes showed moderate or low expression levels in leaf, such as *Zm00001d026563* and *Zm00001d007479*, which encoded AP2/EREBP transcription factors and BSD-transcription factor, respectively (Figure [7A](#page-12-0), Tables [2](#page-8-0) and S2).

To confirm the expression pattern of the candidate genes in leaves, we performed a heat map of the candidate gene expression at 0,6,12,18,24,30 d after pollination (Figure [7B](#page-12-0) and Table S4). *Zm00001d021162* had a low expression level at day 0, moderate on days 6 and 12, and high on days 18 and 24. *Zm00001d026563* showed high expression only on day 6. *Zm00001d003403* was lowly expressed on days 0 and 6 and moderate on days 12–30. *Zm00001d003405* was moderate on day, and low on days 6–30. *Zm00001d027601* was lowly expressed on day 0, moderate on day 6, and high on days 12–30. For *Zm00001d039221*, the expression increased gradually after pollination during measurement.

Expression of *Zm00001d003403*, encoding AMINO ACID PERMEASE (AAP), gradually increased after pollinating. AAP belongs to the amino acid/auxin permease family, which is involved in transportation of the principal nitrogen assimilates amino acid [\[39](#page-17-20)[,40\]](#page-17-21). When the *AAP2* gene was mutated in *Arabidopsis thaliana*, the mutant leaf had more chlorophyll content than the wild-type plant [\[41\]](#page-17-22). The leaf chlorophyll decreased (Figure [2\)](#page-5-0) with an increase in *Zm00001d003403* expression in maize. These results are in line with previous reports.

The allele variations of SNP 2376873-7-G were identified, and A allele genotypes showed higher chlorophyll content at the population level (Figure [8A](#page-13-0) and Table S5). *Zm00001d026568* and *Zm00001d026569* genes were associated with the same SNP 2376873- 7-G. The relative expressions of *Zm00001d026568* and *Zm00001d026569* were higher in the genotypes carrying the SNP A allele (Figure [8B](#page-13-0),C). In contrast, the relative expressions of *Zm00001d026568* and *Zm00001d026569* were lower in lines carrying the G genotype (Figure [8B](#page-13-0),C). As expected, higher chlorophyll content in the A genotypes was associated with higher expression of these two candidate genes in the selected lines shown in this study.



Genes 2023, 14, 1010 13 of 22

**Figure 7.** *Cont.*

<span id="page-12-0"></span>

Genes 2023, 14, 1010 14 of 22

**Figure 7.** Heat map of the expression patterns of candidate genes determined by genome-wide association study. The value used in the figure is the log10 conversion ratio of the counts of standardized PRKM counts of chlorophyll content in (A) seven tissues and (B) in leaves on days 0, 6, 12, 18, 24 and 30 of maize silking stage. Columns and rows are sorted according to similarity. Compared expectively. The state of a specific gene, red, black and green represent higher, moderate and lower with different periods of a specific gene, red, black and green represent higher, moderate and lower expression, respectively.

<span id="page-13-0"></span>

**Figure 8.** Allele variations of SNP and expression patterns of *Zm00001d026568* and *Zm00001d026569*. (**A**) Allele effects of SNP 2376873-7-G, 307 and 63, represent the number of G and A genotypes in the population, respectively. The relative expression of *Zm00001d026568* (**B**) and *Zm00001d026569* (**C**) by qRT-PCR in 6 lines, including Liao7980, A801 and 29MIBZ2, containing A allele; PHVA9, LX9311 and Dan330, containing G allele. Vertical bars indicate standard deviation. \*\* indicates statistical significance with *p* < 0.01. Bars with different letter superscripts are significantly different at *p* < 0.05.

# **4. Discussion**

Improvements in maize yield are very important to ensure world food security. Enhancing crop yield potential by enhancing photosynthesis is a major focus of modern crop breeding [\[42](#page-18-0)[,43\]](#page-18-1). Chlorophyll content is an indicator of photosynthesis activity, which can directly affect photosynthetic efficiency [\[44](#page-18-2)[–46\]](#page-18-3). A large number of functional genes related to chlorophyll have been identified by analysis of many mutants in maize, rice, and other species [\[47–](#page-18-4)[49\]](#page-18-5). However, chlorophyll content is a quantitative trait controlled by multiple genes, which requires further study on its function. In particular, these genes have more functional variation, and many genomic regions remain largely elusive [\[50](#page-18-6)[,51\]](#page-18-7). Therefore, analyzing the candidate genes of chlorophyll content and identifying its important variation sites will contribute to the improvement of maize breeding.

Compared with the traditional linkage analysis, GWAS can directly use the existing natural population as the material that has the advantage of saving time and effort [\[52\]](#page-18-8). However, it also has shortcomings in specific research, such as population structure that is prone to lead to false positives, linkage disequilibrium level that is affected by a variety of genetic or non-genetic factors [\[53](#page-18-9)[,54\]](#page-18-10), and the accuracy of candidate gene determination that is not enough. In order to obtain more accurate research results, we can reduce false positives as much as possible by increasing the population size. We use a mixed linear model (MLM) to take the individual kinship (K) and group structure (Q) as covariates  $(Q + K)$  at the same time, which can also effectively reduce the false positive rate [\[55\]](#page-18-11). Currently, GWAS approaches based on MLM are widely employed in both plant and animal systems [\[56\]](#page-18-12). GWAS is more and more widely used in crop research, for example, the genetic basis of several kernel-related traits and charcoal rot resistance has been reported in maize, and some candidate genes have been predicted by the GWAS [\[57,](#page-18-13)[58\]](#page-18-14).

The 378 maize inbred lines were used to construct an association population, and the candidate genes of chlorophyll content at silking and AUCCC after silking were mined by using high-density markers and phenotypic data. In this study, the heritability of the two methods was medium, and both of them conformed to normal distribution, indicating that chlorophyll content was contributed to by various types of genetic variation effects. Thee area under the curve to study dynamic processes has been widely used in the medical field; for example, the area under the curve was used to represent the dynamic distribution of the age composition of patients [\[59\]](#page-18-15). The AUCCC is a simple tool for breeders to evaluate the chlorophyll content. Although the heritability of AUCCC was similar to chlorophyll content in this study, Yang et al. [\[30\]](#page-17-11) found that the heritability of the area under the curve could be effectively improved, indicating that the results of this method were reliable. As an indicator, AUCCC not only provides sufficient chlorophyll content information but can also accurately compare genotypes [\[60\]](#page-18-16). Furthermore, this simple manipulation method can be used to integrate applied and basic maize research, providing a good foundation for follow-up gene function studies [\[61\]](#page-18-17).

The chlorophyll content of ear leaves was measured in two different sites, which have significant environmental differences between them; therefore, it could result in that the same SNP was not identified in 17FS and 17LD. Teng et al. [\[25\]](#page-17-6) revealed the genetic basis of chlorophyll content of the first leaves at the seedling stage by GWAS, which identified nine SNPs. However, no same SNP was found in our study. In addition, positive correlation was detected for chlorophyll content and HKW. This is because the abundance and stability of chlorophyll in leaves significantly affect grain filling, and increasing the chlorophyll content of crop leaves can improve biomass yield and grain yield [\[62–](#page-18-18)[65\]](#page-18-19). In general, a breeding strategy is mainly dependent on phenotypic selection of the best genotype by environmental interactions and the heritability level [\[66,](#page-19-0)[67\]](#page-19-1). A total of 19 significant SNPs were associated with chlorophyll content at silking and the AUCCC after silking. To realize good prospects in the improvement of maize, a number of SNPs needs to be selected in order to reduce the overall time and cost.

A total of 76 candidate genes were identified for the chlorophyll content and the dynamic changes of chlorophyll content according to the position of significantly associated

SNPs. In addition, Li et al. [\[6\]](#page-16-5) previously identified gene overlap with these candidate genes, such as cytochrome c reductase. They compared transcriptome related to the physiological changes of yellow-green leaf mutant of maize and found that cytochrome c reductase participates in the tricarboxylic acid cycle. The chlorophyll content of yellow green leaves is different, which results in the difference of cytochrome c reductase. The role of cytochrome c reductase is to catalyze the transfer of electrons from coenzyme Q to cytochrome c [\[68\]](#page-19-2), which is an important electron transporter in biological oxidation and is related to programmed cell death [\[69,](#page-19-3)[70\]](#page-19-4). Programmed cell death leads to leaf senescence, which in turn leads to a decrease in chlorophyll content [\[71,](#page-19-5)[72\]](#page-19-6). In addition, the co-located SNPs include *Zm00001d026563, Zm00001d026569, Zm00001d026568*, etc., which encode AP2/EREBP transcription factor 40, chloroplastic palmitoyl-acyl carrier protein thioesterase and pentatricopeptide repeat-containing protein, respectively. The AP2/EREBP superfamily is one of the largest and specific transcription factor (TF) families in plants that is involved in biotic/abiotic stress, compound storage and plant growth and development [\[73](#page-19-7)[–76\]](#page-19-8). Chlorophyll content is an important physiological index used to measure plant growth and development [\[77](#page-19-9)[,78\]](#page-19-10). Palmitoyl acyl carrier protein thioesterase is a key gene for de novo fatty acid synthesis [\[79\]](#page-19-11). Fatty acids are one of the main components of the cell membrane, and insufficient fatty acid synthesis triggers programmed cell death [\[80,](#page-19-12)[81\]](#page-19-13). Leaf senescence is an organ-level programmed death process during plant growth and development, resulting in a decrease in chlorophyll content. In the inferior allele G, the expression of palmitoyl acyl carrier protein thioesterase is low, and fatty acid metabolism is accelerated, thus leading to a reduction in chlorophyll content. Pentatricopeptide repeatcontaining protein is one of the largest protein families in plants that affects chloroplast development [\[82](#page-19-14)[,83\]](#page-19-15). Therefore, in the dominant allele A, the chlorophyll content is high, and the expression of pentatricopeptide repeat-containing protein is high.

To explore the potential roles of candidate genes in regulating chlorophyll content, we performed expression profiling analysis on RNA-seq data from different tissues at 0, 6, 12, 18, 24, and 30 days after pollination. The expressions of AP2/EREBP transcription factor 40 and chloroplast palmitoyl carrier protein thioesterase in leaves were low, and the expressions were moderately high on the 6th day after pollination. These results indicate that they have direct or indirect effects on chlorophyll content. Thus, selection of *Zm00001d026563, Zm00001d026569, Zm00001d026568* or this SNP may help to regulate chlorophyll content in maize breeding. Taken together, the discovery of candidate genes provides help for further analyzing the molecular regulatory network of chlorophyll content on maize ear leaves. The identification of SNP will promote marker-assisted selection in maize molecular breeding.

# **5. Conclusions**

In this study, we discovered candidate genes of natural variation in maize ear chlorophyll content by GWAS. Chlorophyll content appeared to be of moderate heritability and showed extensive variation in the association panel, indicating that chlorophyll content is a quantitative trait suitable for GWAS. GWAS showed that the natural changes regulating chlorophyll content had manymicro effect loci. We found 19 SNPs containing 76 candidate genes that may participate in leaf senescence, photosynthesis, and plant developmental processes. One of the SNPs was co-localized in AUCCC and chlorophyll content that was associated with five genes. *Zm00001d026568* and *Zm00001d026569* contained significant SNP and encoded pentatricopeptide repeat-containing protein and chloroplastic palmitoylacyl carrier protein thioesterase, respectively. They were found to be highly expressed in lines with the A allele as determined by RT-qPCR. These candidate genes provide valuable resources for further study of the molecular network regulating chlorophyll content in maize. In addition, the prediction of SNPs with chlorophyll content may help devise effective breeding plans and selection strategies to improve maize yield.

**Supplementary Materials:** The following supporting information can be downloaded at: [https:](https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/genes14051010/s1) [//www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/genes14051010/s1.](https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/genes14051010/s1) All datasets generated in this study are included in the article/supplementary material, and for further inquiries, the corresponding authors can be directly contacted. Table S1: Phenotypic description of chlorophyll content in the maize association population; Table S2: Single-nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) chromosomal positions and candidate genes significantly associated with chlorophyll content and AUCCC identified by genomewide association study; Table S3: Expression of different candidate genes in seven different tissues; Table S4: Expression of candidate genes in leaves at 0, 6, 12, 18, 24 and 30 days after pollinating; Table S5: Chlorophyll content in 6 different varieties; Table S6: Primers used in this study; Figure S1. Quantile–quantile plot for chlorophyll content of maize ear leaf; Figure S2: Quantile–quantile plot for AUCCC of maize ear leaf.

**Author Contributions:** Formal analysis, Y.J.; Software, Y.J. and M.L.; Writing—original draft, Y.J.; Writing—review and editing, Y.J., H.C. and D.L.; Visualization, Y.J., A.Z. and D.S.; Data curation, D.L.; Investigation, D.L.; Supervision. Z.C. and C.L.; Conceptualization, Y.R. and H.C. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This work was supported by the Science and Technology Bureau of Shenyang Municipality (21-110-3-06), Innovation and Cultivation Project of Shenyang Agricultural University (2022YCXS16).

**Data Availability Statement:** The original data for this article can be found online at: [https://wp.](https://wp.me/p80aHo-L5) [me/p80aHo-L5.](https://wp.me/p80aHo-L5)

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## **References**

- <span id="page-16-0"></span>1. Raines, C.A. Increasing Photosynthetic Carbon Assimilation in C3 Plants to Improve Crop Yield: Current and Future Strategies. *Plant Physiol.* **2011**, *155*, 7. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.110.168559) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21071599)
- <span id="page-16-1"></span>2. Grossman, A.R.; Bhaya, D.; Apt, K.E.; Kehoe, D.M. Light-Harvesting Complexes in Oxygenic Photosynthesis: Diversity, Control, and Evolution. *Annu. Rev. Genet.* **1995**, *29*, 231–288. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ge.29.120195.001311) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8825475)
- <span id="page-16-2"></span>3. Wang, Q.; Xie, W.; Xing, H.; Yan, J.; Meng, X.; Li, X.; Fu, X.; Xu, J.; Lian, X.; Yu, S.; et al. Genetic Architecture of Natural Variation in Rice Chlorophyll Content Revealed by a Genome-Wide Association Study. *Mol. Plant* **2015**, *8*, 946–957. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molp.2015.02.014)
- <span id="page-16-3"></span>4. Peng, S.; Khush, G.S.; Virk, P.; Tang, Q.; Zou, Y. Progress in Ideotype Breeding to Increase Rice Yield Potential. *Field Crops Res.* **2008**, *108*, 32–38. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fcr.2008.04.001)
- <span id="page-16-4"></span>5. Teng, S.; Qian, Q.; Zeng, D.; Kunihiro, Y.; Fujimoto, K.; Huang, D.; Zhu, L. QTL Analysis of Leaf Photosynthetic Rate and Related Physiological Traits in Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Euphytica* **2004**, *135*, 1–7. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1023/B:EUPH.0000009487.89270.e9)
- <span id="page-16-5"></span>6. Li, T.; Yang, H.; Lu, Y.; Dong, Q.; Liu, G.; Chen, F.; Zhou, Y. Comparative Transcriptome Analysis of Differentially Expressed Genes Related to the Physiological Changes of Yellow-Green Leaf Mutant of Maize. *PeerJ* **2021**, *9*, e10567. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.10567)
- <span id="page-16-6"></span>7. Takai, T.; Kondo, M.; Yano, M.; Yamamoto, T. A Quantitative Trait Locus for Chlorophyll Content and Its Association with Leaf Photosynthesis in Rice. *Rice* **2010**, *3*, 172–180. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12284-010-9047-6)
- <span id="page-16-7"></span>8. Wang, L.; Conteh, B.; Fang, L.; Xia, Q.; Nian, H. QTL Mapping for Soybean (*Glycine max* L.) Leaf Chlorophyll-Content Traits in a Genotyped RIL Population by Using RAD-Seq Based High-Density Linkage Map. *BMC Genom.* **2020**, *21*, 739. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-020-07150-4)
- <span id="page-16-8"></span>9. Wang, P.; Grimm, B. Connecting Chlorophyll Metabolism with Accumulation of the Photosynthetic Apparatus. *Trends Plant Sci.* **2021**, *26*, 484–495. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2020.12.005)
- <span id="page-16-9"></span>10. Tanaka, R.; Tanaka, A. Tetrapyrrole Biosynthesis in Higher Plants. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* **2007**, *58*, 321–346. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.arplant.57.032905.105448)
- <span id="page-16-10"></span>11. Mochizuki, N.; Tanaka, R.; Grimm, B.; Masuda, T.; Moulin, M.; Smith, A.G.; Tanaka, A.; Terry, M.J. The Cell Biology of Tetrapyrroles: A Life and Death Struggle. *Trends Plant Sci.* **2010**, *15*, 488–498. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2010.05.012)
- <span id="page-16-11"></span>12. Tanaka, R.; Tanaka, A. Chlorophyll Cycle Regulates the Construction and Destruction of the Light-Harvesting Complexes. *Biochim. Et Biophys. Acta (BBA)-Bioenerg.* **2011**, *1807*, 968–976. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbabio.2011.01.002)
- <span id="page-16-12"></span>13. Christ, B.; Hörtensteiner, S. Mechanism and Significance of Chlorophyll Breakdown. *J. Plant Growth Regul.* **2014**, *33*, 4–20. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00344-013-9392-y)
- <span id="page-16-13"></span>14. Lin, Y.-P.; Wu, M.-C.; Charng, Y. Identification of a Chlorophyll Dephytylase Involved in Chlorophyll Turnover in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Cell* **2016**, *28*, 2974–2990. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.16.00478)
- <span id="page-16-14"></span>15. Jiang, S.; Zhang, X.; Zhang, F.; Xu, Z.; Chen, W.; Li, Y. Identification and Fine Mapping of QCTH4, a Quantitative Trait Loci Controlling the Chlorophyll Content from Tillering to Heading in Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *J. Hered.* **2012**, *103*, 720–726. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1093/jhered/ess041) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22851681)
- <span id="page-16-15"></span>16. Suzuki, J.Y.; Bollivar, D.W.; Bauer, C.E. Genetic analysis of chlorophyll biosynthesis. *Annu. Rev. Genet.* **1997**, *31*, 61–89. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.genet.31.1.61) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9442890)
- 17. Zhang, G.-H.; Li, S.-Y.; Wang, L.; Ye, W.-J.; Zeng, D.-L.; Rao, Y.-C.; Peng, Y.-L.; Hu, J.; Yang, Y.-L.; Xu, J.; et al. LSCHL4 from Japonica Cultivar, Which Is Allelic to NAL1, Increases Yield of Indica Super Rice 93-11. *Mol. Plant* **2014**, *7*, 1350–1364. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1093/mp/ssu055) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24795339)
- 18. Ye, W.; Hu, S.; Wu, L.; Ge, C.; Cui, Y.; Chen, P.; Xu, J.; Dong, G.; Guo, L.; Qian, Q. Fine Mapping a Major QTL QFCC7 L for Chlorophyll Content in Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) Cv. PA64s. *Plant Growth Regul.* **2017**, *81*, 81–90. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10725-016-0188-5)
- <span id="page-17-0"></span>19. Sakai, T.; Abe, A.; Shimizu, M.; Terauchi, R. RIL-StEp: Epistasis Analysis of Rice Recombinant Inbred Lines Reveals Candidate Interacting Genes That Control Seed Hull Color and Leaf Chlorophyll Content. *G3 Genes Genomes Genet.* **2021**, *11*, jkab130. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1093/g3journal/jkab130) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33871605)
- <span id="page-17-1"></span>20. Salvi, S.; Sponza, G.; Morgante, M.; Tomes, D.; Niu, X.; Fengler, K.A.; Meeley, R.; Ananiev, E.V.; Svitashev, S.; Bruggemann, E.; et al. Conserved Noncoding Genomic Sequences Associated with a Flowering-Time Quantitative Trait Locus in Maize. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2007**, *104*, 11376–11381. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0704145104)
- <span id="page-17-2"></span>21. Ducrocq, S.; Giauffret, C.; Madur, D.; Combes, V.; Dumas, F.; Jouanne, S.; Coubriche, D.; Jamin, P.; Moreau, L.; Charcosset, A. Fine Mapping and Haplotype Structure Analysis of a Major Flowering Time Quantitative Trait Locus on Maize Chromosome 10. *Genetics* **2009**, *183*, 1555–1563. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.109.106922) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19822732)
- <span id="page-17-3"></span>22. Ravelombola, W.S.; Qin, J.; Shi, A.; Nice, L.; Bao, Y.; Lorenz, A.; Orf, J.H.; Young, N.D.; Chen, S. Genome-Wide Association Study and Genomic Selection for Soybean Chlorophyll Content Associated with Soybean Cyst Nematode Tolerance. *BMC Genomics* **2019**, *20*, 904. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-019-6275-z)
- <span id="page-17-4"></span>23. Dhanapal, A.P.; Ray, J.D.; Singh, S.K.; Hoyos-Villegas, V.; Smith, J.R.; Purcell, L.C.; Fritschi, F.B. Genome-Wide Association Mapping of Soybean Chlorophyll Traits Based on Canopy Spectral Reflectance and Leaf Extracts. *BMC Plant Biol.* **2016**, *16*, 174. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12870-016-0861-x)
- <span id="page-17-5"></span>24. Herritt, M.; Dhanapal, A.P.; Purcell, L.C.; Fritschi, F.B. Identification of Genomic Loci Associated with 21chlorophyll Fluorescence Phenotypes by Genome-Wide Association Analysis in Soybean. *BMC Plant Biol.* **2018**, *18*, 312. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12870-018-1517-9)
- <span id="page-17-6"></span>25. Teng, S.; Wang, H.; Liang, H.; Xin, H.; Li, S.; Lang, Z. Genome-wide Association Study of Chlorophyll Content in Maize Leaves. *Biotechnol. Bull.* **2017**, *33*, 98–107. (In Chinese) [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.13560/j.cnki.biotech.bull.1985.2017.04.013)
- <span id="page-17-7"></span>26. Yang, W.; Guo, Z.; Huang, C.; Duan, L.; Chen, G.; Jiang, N.; Fang, W.; Feng, H.; Xie, W.; Lian, X.; et al. Combining High-Throughput Phenotyping and Genome-Wide Association Studies to Reveal Natural Genetic Variation in Rice. *Nat. Commun.* **2014**, *5*, 5087. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms6087)
- <span id="page-17-8"></span>27. Wang, X.; Wang, H.; Liu, S.; Ferjani, A.; Li, J.; Yan, J.; Yang, X.; Qin, F. Genetic Variation in *ZmVPP1* Contributes to Drought Tolerance in Maize Seedlings. *Nat. Genet.* **2016**, *48*, 1233–1241. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.3636)
- <span id="page-17-9"></span>28. Sun, D.; Chen, S.; Cui, Z.; Lin, J.; Liu, M.; Jin, Y.; Zhang, A.; Gao, Y.; Cao, H.; Ruan, Y. Genome-Wide Association Study Reveals the Genetic Basis of Brace Root Angle and Diameter in Maize. *Front. Genet.* **2022**, *13*, 963852. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2022.963852) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/36276979)
- <span id="page-17-10"></span>29. Li, Y.; Liang, Y.; Liu, M.; Zhang, Q.; Wang, Z.; Fan, J.; Ruan, Y.; Zhang, A.; Dong, X.; Yue, J.; et al. Genome-Wide Association Studies Provide Insights Into the Genetic Architecture of Seed Germination Traits in Maize. *Front. Plant Sci.* **2022**, *13*, 930438. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2022.930438) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/35755688)
- <span id="page-17-11"></span>30. Yang, J.; Carena, M.J.; Uphaus, J. Area Under the Dry Down Curve (AUDDC): A Method to Evaluate Rate of Dry Down in Maize. *Crop Sci.* **2010**, *50*, 2347–2354. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.2135/cropsci2010.02.0098)
- <span id="page-17-12"></span>31. Knapp, S.J. Confidence Intervals for Heritability for Two-Factor Mating Design Single Environment Linear Models. *Theoret. Appl. Genet.* **1986**, *72*, 587–591. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00288995) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24248067)
- <span id="page-17-13"></span>32. Zhou, X.; Stephens, M. Genome-Wide Efficient Mixed-Model Analysis for Association Studies. *Nat. Genet.* **2012**, *44*, 821–824. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.2310)
- <span id="page-17-14"></span>33. Cui, Z.; Luo, J.; Qi, C.; Ruan, Y.; Li, J.; Zhang, A.; Yang, X.; He, Y. Genome-Wide Association Study (GWAS) Reveals the Genetic Architecture of Four Husk Traits in Maize. *BMC Genomics* **2016**, *17*, 946. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-016-3229-6)
- <span id="page-17-15"></span>34. Jiang, S.; Zhang, H.; Ni, P.; Yu, S.; Dong, H.; Zhang, A.; Cao, H.; Zhang, L.; Ruan, Y.; Cui, Z. Genome-Wide Association Study Dissects the Genetic Architecture of Maize Husk Tightness. *Front. Plant Sci.* **2020**, *11*, 861. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2020.00861)
- <span id="page-17-16"></span>35. Nehe, A.S. Nitrogen Partitioning and Remobilization in Relation to Leaf Senescence, Grain Yield and Protein Concentration in Indian Wheat Cultivars. *Field Crops Res.* **2020**, *251*, 107778. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fcr.2020.107778) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32549650)
- <span id="page-17-17"></span>36. Das, A.; Guyer, L.; Hörtensteiner, S. Chlorophyll and Chlorophyll Catabolite Analysis by HPLC. In *Plant Senescence*; Guo, Y., Ed.; Methods in Molecular Biology; Springer: New York, NY, USA, 2018; Volume 1744, pp. 223–235. ISBN 978-1-4939-7670-6.
- <span id="page-17-18"></span>37. Masoj´c, P.; Milczarski, P.; Kruszona, P. Comparative Analysis of Genetic Architectures for Nine Developmental Traits of Rye. *J. Appl. Genetics* **2017**, *58*, 297–305. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13353-017-0396-3)
- <span id="page-17-19"></span>38. Borrego-Benjumea, A.; Carter, A.; Zhu, M.; Tucker, J.R.; Zhou, M.; Badea, A. Genome-Wide Association Study of Waterlogging Tolerance in Barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) Under Controlled Field Conditions. *Front. Plant Sci.* **2021**, *12*, 711654. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2021.711654)
- <span id="page-17-20"></span>39. Young, G.B.; Jack, D.L.; Smith, D.W.; Saier, M.H., Jr. The Amino Acid/Auxin:Proton Symport Permease Family. *Biochim. Et Biophys. Acta* **1999**, *1415*, 306–322. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0005-2736(98)00196-5)
- <span id="page-17-21"></span>40. Zhang, L.; Garneau, M.G.; Majumdar, R.; Grant, J.; Tegeder, M. Improvement of Pea Biomass and Seed Productivity by Simultaneous Increase of Phloem and Embryo Loading with Amino Acids. *Plant J.* **2015**, *81*, 134–146. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1111/tpj.12716)
- <span id="page-17-22"></span>41. Perchlik, M.; Tegeder, M. Leaf Amino Acid Supply Affects Photosynthetic and Plant Nitrogen Use Efficiency under Nitrogen Stress. *Plant Physiol.* **2018**, *178*, 174–188. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.18.00597) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30082496)
- <span id="page-18-0"></span>42. Chen, Y.; Wang, B.; Chen, J.; Wang, X.; Wang, R.; Peng, S.; Chen, L.; Ma, L.; Luo, J. Identification of Rubisco RbcL and RbcS in *Camellia oleifera* and Their Potential as Molecular Markers for Selection of High Tea Oil Cultivars. *Front. Plant Sci.* **2015**, *6*, 189. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2015.00189)
- <span id="page-18-1"></span>43. Yadav, S.; Mishra, A.; Jha, B. Elevated CO2 Leads to Carbon Sequestration by Modulating C4 Photosynthesis Pathway Enzyme (PPDK) in *Suaeda Monoica* and *S. Fruticosa*. *J. Photochem. Photobiol. B Biol.* **2018**, *178*, 310–315. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jphotobiol.2017.11.022)
- <span id="page-18-2"></span>44. Azoulay-Shemer, T.; Palomares, A.; Bagheri, A.; Israelsson-Nordstrom, M.; Engineer, C.B.; Bargmann, B.O.R.; Stephan, A.B.; Schroeder, J.I. Guard Cell Photosynthesis Is Critical for Stomatal Turgor Production, yet Does Not Directly Mediate CO  $_2$ - and ABA-induced Stomatal Closing. *Plant J.* **2015**, *83*, 567–581. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1111/tpj.12916) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26096271)
- 45. Chen, D.; Wang, S.; Cao, B.; Cao, D.; Leng, G.; Li, H.; Yin, L.; Shan, L.; Deng, X. Genotypic Variation in Growth and Physiological Response to Drought Stress and Re-Watering Reveals the Critical Role of Recovery in Drought Adaptation in Maize Seedlings. *Front. Plant Sci.* **2016**, *6*, 1241. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2015.01241)
- <span id="page-18-3"></span>46. Singh, R.; Parihar, P.; Prasad, S.M. Sulfur and Calcium Simultaneously Regulate Photosynthetic Performance and Nitrogen Metabolism Status in As-Challenged *Brassica juncea* L. Seedlings. *Front. Plant Sci.* **2018**, *9*, 772. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2018.00772)
- <span id="page-18-4"></span>47. Song, Y.; Li, C.; Zhu, Y.; Guo, P.; Wang, Q.; Zhang, L.; Wang, Z.; Di, H. Overexpression of *ZmIPT2* Gene Delays Leaf Senescence and Improves Grain Yield in Maize. *Front. Plant Sci.* **2022**, *13*, 963873. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2022.963873)
- 48. Xue, Y.; Dong, H.; Huang, H.; Li, S.; Shan, X.; Li, H.; Liu, H.; Xia, D.; Su, S.; Yuan, Y. Mutation in Mg-Protoporphyrin IX Monomethyl Ester (Oxidative) Cyclase Gene *ZmCRD1* Causes Chlorophyll-Deficiency in Maize. *Front. Plant Sci.* **2022**, *13*, 912215. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2022.912215) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/35873969)
- <span id="page-18-5"></span>49. Yamatani, H.; Ito, T.; Nishimura, K.; Yamada, T.; Sakamoto, W.; Kusaba, M. Genetic Analysis of Chlorophyll Synthesis and Degradation Regulated by balance of chlorophyll metabolism. *Plant Physiol.* **2022**, *189*, 419–432. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1093/plphys/kiac059) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/35348770)
- <span id="page-18-6"></span>50. Myles, S.; Peiffer, J.; Brown, P.J.; Ersoz, E.S.; Zhang, Z.; Costich, D.E.; Buckler, E.S. Association Mapping: Critical Considerations Shift from Genotyping to Experimental Design. *Plant Cell* **2009**, *21*, 2194–2202. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.109.068437) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19654263)
- <span id="page-18-7"></span>51. Miculan, M.; Nelissen, H.; Hassen, M.B.; Marroni, F.; Inze, D.; Enrico, M.; Dell'Acqua, M. A Forward Genetics Approach Integrating Genome-Wide Association Study and Expression Quantitative Trait Locus Mapping to Dissect Leaf Development in Maize (*Zea mays*). *Plant J.* **2021**, *107*, 1056–1071. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1111/tpj.15364)
- <span id="page-18-8"></span>52. Huang, C.; Nie, X.; Shen, C.; You, C.; Li, W.; Zhao, W.; Zhang, X.; Lin, Z. Population Structure and Genetic Basis of the Agronomic Traits of Upland Cotton in China Revealed by a Genome-Wide Association Study Using High-Density SNPs. *Plant Biotechnol. J.* **2017**, *15*, 1374–1386. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1111/pbi.12722) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28301713)
- <span id="page-18-9"></span>53. Ye, J.; Niu, X.; Yang, Y.; Wang, S.; Xu, Q.; Yuan, X.; Yu, H.; Wang, Y.; Wang, S.; Feng, Y.; et al. Divergent Hd1, Ghd7, and DTH7 Alleles Control Heading Date and Yield Potential of Japonica Rice in Northeast China. *Front. Plant Sci.* **2018**, *9*, 35. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2018.00035)
- <span id="page-18-10"></span>54. Jiang, D.; Zhong, S.; McPeek, M.S. Retrospective Binary-Trait Association Test Elucidates Genetic Architecture of Crohn Disease. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* **2016**, *98*, 243–255. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajhg.2015.12.012)
- <span id="page-18-11"></span>55. Yu, J.; Pressoir, G.; Briggs, W.H.; Vroh Bi, I.; Yamasaki, M.; Doebley, J.F.; McMullen, M.D.; Gaut, B.S.; Nielsen, D.M.; Holland, J.B.; et al. A Unified Mixed-Model Method for Association Mapping that Accounts for Multiple Levels of Relatedness. *Nat. Genet.* **2006**, *38*, 203–208. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1038/ng1702) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16380716)
- <span id="page-18-12"></span>56. Miao, C.; Yang, J.; Schnable, J.C. Optimising the Identification of Causal Variants across Varying Genetic Architectures in Crops. *Plant Biotechnol. J.* **2019**, *17*, 893–905. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1111/pbi.13023)
- <span id="page-18-13"></span>57. Qu, Z.; Wu, Y.; Hu, D.; Li, T.; Liang, H.; Ye, F.; Xue, J.; Xu, S. Genome-Wide Association Analysis for Candidate Genes Contributing to Kernel-Related Traits in Maize. *Front. Plant Sci.* **2022**, *13*, 872292. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2022.872292) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/35685022)
- <span id="page-18-14"></span>58. Rashid, Z.; Kaur, H.; Babu, V.; Singh, P.K.; Harlapur, S.I.; Nair, S.K. Identification and Validation of Genomic Regions Associated with Charcoal Rot Resistance in Tropical Maize by Genome-Wide Association and Linkage Mapping. *Front. Plant Sci.* **2021**, *12*, 726767. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2021.726767)
- <span id="page-18-15"></span>59. Yi, M.; Li, A.; Zhou, L.; Chu, Q.; Song, Y.; Wu, K. The Global Burden and Attributable Risk Factor Analysis of Acute Myeloid Leukemia in 195 Countries and Territories from 1990 to 2017: Estimates Based on the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017. *J. Hematol. Oncol.* **2020**, *13*, 72. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13045-020-00908-z)
- <span id="page-18-16"></span>60. Paraschivu, M.; Cotuna, O.; Paraschivu, M. The use of the area under the disease progress curve (AUDPC) to assess the epidemics of *Septoria tritici* in winter wheat. *Res. J. Agric. Sci.* **2013**, *45*, 193–201.
- <span id="page-18-17"></span>61. Irfaq, M.; Ajab, M.; Khattak, G.S.S.; Mohammad, T.; Shah, S.J.A. Genetic Behavior of Controlling Area Under Disease Progress Curve for Stripe Rust (*Puccinia striiformis* f. Sp. Tritici ) in Two Wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) Crosses. *Phytopathology* **2009**, *99*, 1265–1272. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1094/PHYTO-99-11-1265)
- <span id="page-18-18"></span>62. Shao, G.; Li, Z.; Ning, T.; Zheng, Y. Responses of Photosynthesis, Chlorophyll Fluorescence, and Grain Yield of Maize to *Controlledrelease Urea* and Irrigation after Anthesis. *J. Plant Nutr. Soil Sci.* **2013**, *176*, 8. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1002/jpln.201100185)
- 63. Chang, C.; Lu, J.; Zhang, H.-P.; Ma, C.-X.; Sun, G. Copy Number Variation of Cytokinin Oxidase Gene Tackx4 Associated with Grain Weight and Chlorophyll Content of Flag Leaf in Common Wheat. *PLoS ONE* **2015**, *10*, e0145970. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0145970)
- 64. Ramesh, K.; Chandrasekaran, B.; Balasubramanian, T.N.; Bangarusamy, U.; Sivasamy, R.; Sankaran, N. Chlorophyll Dynamics in Rice (*Oryza sativa*) Before and After Flowering Based on SPAD (Chlorophyll) Meter Monitoring and Its Relation with Grain Yield. *J. Agron. Crop Sci.* **2002**, *188*, 4. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1439-037X.2002.00532.x)
- <span id="page-18-19"></span>65. Wang, F.; Wang, G.; Li, X.; Huang, J.; Zheng, J. Heredity, Physiology and Mapping of a Chlorophyll Content Gene of Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *J. Plant Physiol.* **2008**, *165*, 7. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jplph.2006.11.006) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17306416)
- <span id="page-19-0"></span>66. Zhao, Y.; Wang, H.; Bo, C.; Dai, W.; Zhang, X.; Cai, R.; Gu, L.; Ma, Q.; Jiang, H.; Zhu, J.; et al. Genome-Wide Association Study of Maize Plant Architecture Using F1 Populations. *Plant Mol. Biol.* **2019**, *99*, 1–15. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11103-018-0797-7) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30519826)
- <span id="page-19-1"></span>67. Geshnizjani, N.; Snoek, B.L.; Willems, L.A.J.; Rienstra, J.A.; Nijveen, H.; Hilhorst, H.W.M.; Ligterink, W. Detection of QTLs for Genotype × Environment Interactions in Tomato Seeds and Seedlings. *Plant Cell Environ.* **2020**, *43*, 1973–1988. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1111/pce.13788)
- <span id="page-19-2"></span>68. Brumme, S.; Kruft, V.; Schmitz, U.K.; Braun, H.-P. New Insights into the Co-Evolution of Cytochrome C Reductase and the Mitochondrial Processing Peptidase. *J. Biol. Chem.* **1998**, *273*, 13143–13149. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.273.21.13143)
- <span id="page-19-3"></span>69. Ow, Y.-L.P.; Green, D.R.; Hao, Z.; Mak, T.W. Cytochrome c: Functions beyond Respiration. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* **2008**, *9*, 532–542. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrm2434)
- <span id="page-19-4"></span>70. Martínez-Fábregas, J.; Díaz-Moreno, I.; González-Arzola, K.; Janocha, S.; Navarro, J.A.; Hervás, M.; Bernhardt, R.; Díaz-Quintana, A.; De la Rosa, M.Á. New *Arabidopsis thaliana* Cytochrome c Partners: A Look Into the Elusive Role of Cytochrome c in Programmed Cell Death in Plants. *Mol. Cell. Proteom.* **2013**, *12*, 3666–3676. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1074/mcp.M113.030692)
- <span id="page-19-5"></span>71. Yu, J.; Zhang, Y.; Di, C.; Zhang, Q.; Zhang, K.; Wang, C.; You, Q.; Yan, H.; Dai, S.Y.; Yuan, J.S.; et al. JAZ7 Negatively Regulates Dark-Induced Leaf Senescence in *Arabidopsis*. *J. Exp. Bot.* **2016**, *67*, 751–762. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erv487)
- <span id="page-19-6"></span>72. Wang, H.-L.; Zhang, Y.; Wang, T.; Yang, Q.; Yang, Y.; Li, Z.; Li, B.; Wen, X.; Li, W.; Yin, W.; et al. An Alternative Splicing Variant of PtRD26 Delays Leaf Senescence by Regulating Multiple NAC Transcription Factors in Populus. *Plant Cell* **2021**, *33*, 1594–1614. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1093/plcell/koab046)
- <span id="page-19-7"></span>73. Cernac, A.; Benning, C. WRINKLED1 Encodes an AP2/EREB Domain Protein Involved in the Control of Storage Compound Biosynthesis in *Arabidopsis*: WRI1 Controls Seed Oil Biosynthesis. *Plant J.* **2004**, *40*, 575–585. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-313X.2004.02235.x) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15500472)
- 74. Dietz, K.-J.; Vogel, M.O.; Viehhauser, A. AP2/EREBP Transcription Factors Are Part of Gene Regulatory Networks and Integrate Metabolic, Hormonal and Environmental Signals in Stress Acclimation and Retrograde Signalling. *Protoplasma* **2010**, *245*, 3–14. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00709-010-0142-8) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20411284)
- 75. Zhou, Y.; Xia, H.; Li, X.-J.; Hu, R.; Chen, Y.; Li, X.-B. Overexpression of a Cotton Gene That Encodes a Putative Transcription Factor of AP2/EREBP Family in *Arabidopsis* Affects Growth and Development of Transgenic Plants. *PLoS ONE* **2013**, *8*, e78635. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0078635)
- <span id="page-19-8"></span>76. Liu, C.; Zhang, T. Expansion and Stress Responses of the AP2/EREBP Superfamily in Cotton. *BMC Genomics* **2017**, *18*, 118. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-017-3517-9) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28143399)
- <span id="page-19-9"></span>77. Thornburg, T.E.; Liu, J.; Li, Q.; Xue, H.; Wang, G.; Li, L.; Fontana, J.E.; Davis, K.E.; Liu, W.; Zhang, B.; et al. Potassium Deficiency Significantly Affected Plant Growth and Development as Well as MicroRNA-Mediated Mechanism in Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Front. Plant Sci.* **2020**, *11*, 1219. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2020.01219)
- <span id="page-19-10"></span>78. Sun, J.; Yang, L.; Yang, X.; Wei, J.; Li, L.; Guo, E.; Kong, Y. Using Spectral Reflectance to Estimate the Leaf Chlorophyll Content of Maize Inoculated with Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi Under Water Stress. *Front. Plant Sci.* **2021**, *12*, 646173. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2021.646173)
- <span id="page-19-11"></span>79. Zhang, Y.; Li, Y.; Han, B.; Liu, A.; Xu, W. Integrated Lipidomic and Transcriptomic Analysis Reveals Triacylglycerol Accumulation in Castor Bean Seedlings under Heat Stress. *Ind. Crops Prod.* **2022**, *180*, 114702. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2022.114702)
- <span id="page-19-12"></span>80. Eklund, D.M.; Edqvist, J. Localization of Nonspecific Lipid Transfer Proteins Correlate with Programmed Cell Death Responses during Endosperm Degradation in *Euphorbia lagascae* Seedlings. *Plant Physiol.* **2003**, *132*, 1249–1259. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.103.020875)
- <span id="page-19-13"></span>81. Wu, J.; Sun, Y.; Zhao, Y.; Zhang, J.; Luo, L.; Li, M.; Wang, J.; Yu, H.; Liu, G.; Yang, L.; et al. Deficient Plastidic Fatty Acid Synthesis Triggers Cell Death by Modulating Mitochondrial Reactive Oxygen Species. *Cell Res.* **2015**, *25*, 621–633. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1038/cr.2015.46)
- <span id="page-19-14"></span>82. Wagoner, J.A.; Sun, T.; Lin, L.; Hanson, M.R. Cytidine Deaminase Motifs within the DYW Domain of Two Pentatricopeptide Repeat-Containing Proteins Are Required for Site-Specific Chloroplast RNA Editing. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2015**, *290*, 2957–2968. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M114.622084) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25512379)
- <span id="page-19-15"></span>83. Zhang, J.; Xiao, J.; Li, Y.; Su, B.; Xu, H.; Shan, X.; Song, C.; Xie, J.; Li, R. PDM3, a Pentatricopeptide Repeat-Containing Protein, Affects Chloroplast Development. *J. Exp. Bot.* **2017**, *68*, 5615–5627. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erx360) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29053825)

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.