

Special Series

Dietary Antioxidants, Cancer, and Atherosclerotic Heart Disease

DIANE L. TRIBBLE, PhD, MMSc, RD, *Berkeley, California, and*
ERICA FRANK, MD, MPH, *Atlanta, Georgia*

Highlights

- Considerable evidence indicates that oxidative processes contribute to the development of degenerative diseases, including cancer and atherosclerotic heart disease.
- Dietary antioxidants such as vitamins C and E and β -carotene are important components of the body's defense against pathophysiologic oxidation events and, on this basis, have been proposed to protect against disease.
- Claims for the health benefits of diets rich in fruits, whole grains, and vegetables, which are naturally antioxidant-rich, cholesterol-free, and fat-poor, appear well-founded given the consistency of epidemiologic observations to this effect.
- The routine practice of antioxidant supplementation cannot be advocated on a population-wide basis because few direct prospective data of a beneficial effect have been obtained.

Antioxidants, including vitamin C, vitamin E, and β -carotene, have long been proposed to be disease-preventing agents by health activists and, more recently, have been promoted as such by members of the medical and scientific establishment. The growing interest in antioxidants stems from increasing recognition of the potentially pervasive role of oxidation in the development of diseases such as cancer and heart disease and the possibility that antioxidants may counteract disease-promoting oxidation events.¹ We provide an overview of the theoretical basis for a preventive role of antioxidants, describe studies in which this role has been examined, and consider whether currently available evidence supports claims for the health benefits of antioxidant supplementation.

Oxidants and Disease

Partially reduced forms of oxygen including superoxide (O_2^-), hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2), the hydroxyl radical ($\bullet OH$), and organic counterparts such as lipid hydroper-

oxides are produced during aerobic metabolism. These agents are capable of reacting with and causing oxidative injury to macromolecules including nucleic acids, proteins, lipids, and carbohydrates and thus are referred to collectively as oxidants.¹⁻⁸ Some oxidants are free radicals, which are particularly reactive due to the presence of an unpaired electron, and are capable of initiating radical chain reactions that can cause rapid spread and amplification of oxidative injury in biologic systems. Because of the possible toxicity of oxidants, numerous counteractive antioxidants have evolved to intercept and effectively deactivate these agents. The antioxidant network is not fool-proof, however, and under certain conditions such as inflammation and xenobiotic metabolism, oxidants may be produced in amounts that exceed antioxidant capacity.

Oxidative injury is suggested to be a common disease mechanism, with disease end points differing according to the identity and tissue location of the injured substrate(s). Consistent with a pervasive role in disease, oxidants have been implicated in such diverse conditions as cancer, atherosclerotic heart disease, stroke, arthritis, cataract formation, Parkinson's disease, and drug toxicity.¹⁻⁸ Because of the prevalence and the human and financial consequences of cancer and heart disease in Western societies, however, we will focus on these conditions.

Cancer

Cancer evolves from a multistage process culminating in the development and spread of cell populations that are unresponsive to the normal controls over cell proliferation.⁸ DNA damage is an early event in carcinogenesis and occurs in essentially two steps: an initial DNA insult that is repairable, followed by permanent fixation of the injury, if DNA replicates before the injury is repaired.⁹⁻¹¹ Numerous types of modifications, including oxidation, are known to damage DNA.¹²⁻¹⁴ Oxidative DNA damage may lead to the formation of DNA-protein cross-links, alterations in the carbohydrate backbone, or direct modifications of the purine and pyrimidine bases.¹⁵ Oxidative

(Tribble DL, Frank E: Dietary antioxidants, cancer, and atherosclerotic heart disease. *West J Med* 1994; 161:605-613)

From the Department of Molecular and Nuclear Medicine, Life Sciences Division, Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory, University of California, Berkeley (Dr Tribble), and the Departments of Family and Preventive Medicine and Medicine, Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, Georgia (Dr Frank).

This work was supported in part by funds from the Tobacco-Related Disease Research Program (grant KT106) of the University of California and by a grant from the National Dairy Promotion and Research Board administered in cooperation with the National Dairy Council. This work was carried out at Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory through the US Department of Energy under contract No. DE-AC03-76SF00098.

Reprint requests to Diane L. Tribble, PhD, MMSc, RD, Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory, Donner, Rm 465, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720.

ABBREVIATIONS USED IN TEXT

LDL = low-density lipoprotein

RDA = recommended dietary allowance

injury to DNA bases, which can result in mutations, appears to be a common occurrence *in vivo*. Based on determinations of urinary levels of 8-hydroxydeoxyguanosine, researchers have estimated that steady-state levels of oxidatively modified bases are as high as 10^5 in humans, with approximately 10^4 formed every day.^{13,14} This occurrence rate carries with it a high likelihood that a substantial number will escape repair mechanisms and lead to a permanent change in the DNA base sequence upon replication. Oxidants also have been shown to stimulate cell proliferation^{14,16,17} and thereby may promote injury fixation. Malignant tumors may develop if resulting changes in the DNA lead to the activation or altered expression of oncogenes or the inactivation of tumor suppressor genes, or both.¹⁸⁻²¹

The promotion of preneoplastic changes in "initiated" cells, that is, cells with alterations in the DNA that can lead to cancer, and the progression of premalignant lesions also may involve oxidants.²²⁻²⁴ Because these phases occur over a much longer time frame than initiation, however, the specific events and role of oxidants are less well-defined. It is suggested that the oxidation-antioxidation potential may be particularly important in determining the "permissiveness" of the system for tumor development, in that an oxidizing environment may be more conducive for development.^{22,24}

Atherosclerosis

Atherosclerosis results from the accumulation of cholesterol and the proliferation of cellular elements in the arterial wall. Considerable evidence now indicates that oxidation increases the ability of low-density lipoproteins (LDLs) to promote cellular alterations that lead to atherosclerosis.^{6,7,25-29} Unlike native (nonoxidized) LDL, oxidized LDL is taken up at rapid rates by scavenger receptors on macrophages, leading to the formation of lipid-laden foam cells that form the basis of early fatty-streak lesions.^{26,27} In addition, oxidized LDL may recruit circulating monocytes to the region of the developing plaque²⁸⁻³⁰ and alter the behavior and viability of surrounding cells.³¹⁻³⁵

Growing chemical and immunologic evidence supports the existence of oxidatively modified LDL *in vivo*.³⁶⁻³⁹ Oxidized LDLs have been identified in atheromatous lesions,^{36,37} and autoantibodies against oxidized LDL (malondialdehyde-modified LDL) have been detected in serum from both rabbits and humans.^{38,39} Furthermore, in humans, the oxidized LDL autoantibody titer has been shown to be a predictor of subsequent carotid atherosclerosis progression.³⁹ A direct causal link between oxidized LDL and the development of atherosclerosis, however, has not been established.

Low-density lipoproteins can be oxidized *in vitro* by incubation with cells derived from the arterial wall,^{6,7,25,40,41}

and this is a plausible means of oxidation *in vivo*. Susceptibility to oxidation differs among LDL preparations and is suggested to contribute to differences in LDL atherogenicity.⁴²⁻⁴⁷ Lesion development may thus be a function of both levels of LDL, which is well-documented experimentally, and the tendency of these particles to undergo oxidative modification.⁵ In support of a role for the latter, LDL oxidative susceptibility was recently shown to be greater in patients with angiographically proven coronary artery disease.⁴⁷ The degree to which LDL oxidative susceptibility *in vitro* reflects the oxidative behavior of LDL *in vivo* has yet to be determined. Nonetheless, much research effort is now being directed toward identifying factors, including antioxidants, that influence LDL oxidative susceptibility.

Antioxidants as Disease-Preventing Agents

Aerobic organisms are protected from the toxic effects of reactive oxygen species by a multilevel antioxidant defense network that includes agents capable of preventing or reducing oxidant generation, agents that intercept oxidants before their interaction with other biologic components, and agents that repair oxidant-induced injury.⁴⁵ Dietary micronutrients are indispensable components of the antioxidant network and include vitamin C (ascorbic acid), vitamin E (comprising tocopherols and tocotrienols, of which α -tocopherol is the predominant and most active form), and β -carotene. Vitamin C serves as a potent radical-scavenging agent in the aqueous phase, whereas vitamin E and β -carotene intercept radicals within lipid environments. The critical importance of vitamin E derives from its role as the last line of defense against oxidative injury to lipids by preventing radical chain propagation.⁴⁶

Although not discussed in detail in this review, minerals such as selenium, copper, zinc, and manganese also function in the antioxidant network, primarily by acting as cofactors for enzymes with antioxidant activity.⁴⁸ Selenium may be particularly important in protecting the lipid environment against oxidative injury through its role as a cofactor for glutathione peroxidase, which catalyzes the reduction of lipid hydroperoxides.⁴⁸

As evidence has accumulated in support of a role for oxidative processes in disease, attention has focused on the possible preventive properties of antioxidants. Dietary antioxidants have received particular attention in this regard because exposure to these agents varies widely among populations and can be readily manipulated by dietary changes, supplementation, or both. A preventive role for these agents is supported by several lines of evidence. Epidemiologic studies have shown a relationship between antioxidant nutrition, as indicated by food consumption patterns or direct measures of tissue concentrations of these agents, and the risk of cancer and atherosclerotic heart disease in humans.⁴⁹⁻⁵⁹ In some cases, induced deficiencies of antioxidant micronutrients have been shown to promote,⁴⁹ while antioxidant supplementation has been shown to inhibit, carcinogenic and atherogenic processes in animals.^{49,60-67} In addition, detailed

biochemical-mechanistic studies in model systems have shown that antioxidants can directly modulate relevant pathophysiologic events *in vitro*.^{48,49,60,61,68-71} Notably missing, however, is direct evidence from randomized, placebo-controlled trials of the protective effects of antioxidants in human populations that are initially free of disease. This is considered to be the definitive test for putative antidisease agents. Several large-scale, long-term antioxidant intervention trials are currently either underway or in the planning stages⁷²⁻⁷⁷ and within the coming decade are expected to expand our knowledge of the preventive properties of antioxidants.

Cancer

Dietary habits have been implicated in as much as 60% of all environmentally linked cancers, although most estimates are closer to 30%.⁷⁸ Epidemiologic studies have consistently shown that a reduced intake of fruits and vegetables, which are rich in antioxidants (Table 1),⁷⁹⁻⁸¹ is a major risk factor for the development of cancers of the lungs, pancreas, liver, bowel, ovaries, endometrium, cervix, and prostate.^{52,53,56} Although this may partially reflect the higher fat consumption that often accompanies reduced fruit and vegetable intake,⁸² evidence suggests a direct protective effect of these food groups, and this has been attributed to the presence of specific micronutrients, including vitamin C and β -carotene. Consistent with this hypothesis, indices of vitamin C and β -carotene nutriture have been shown to be inversely associated with the risk of non-hormone-dependent cancers.^{51,53,57} Moreover, in cellular and organ systems and in animals, high levels of these agents have been shown to directly inhibit exposure-induced mutagenicity, malignant transformation, and tumor formation.^{50,60}

Antioxidant mechanisms have been suggested to underlie the putative anticancer properties of vitamin C and β -carotene. These agents have other properties that may be important in this regard, however. Vitamin C directly suppresses carcinogen formation, enhances immunocompetence, participates in collagen synthesis, and inhibits hyaluronidase activity (which contributes to the breakdown of a mucopolysaccharide that acts as an intracellular cement).^{50,51,83} The relative importance of these properties is unknown, but may vary depending on the tissue involved. β -Carotene is a precursor of vitamin A, which has well-known antitumor effects in animals, likely arising from its role in promoting cell differentiation, and could thus contribute to any observed preventive effects of β -carotene.^{8,60,82} Carotenoid pigments without vitamin A activity, such as canthaxanthin and phytoene, have been shown to reduce tumor formation in animals, however, and their relative protective effects appear to correspond with their abilities to quench reactive oxygen species.⁸²

In contrast to the findings for vitamin C and β -carotene, results from epidemiologic studies examining the relationship between dietary vitamin E and cancer risk have been equivocal.⁸⁴⁻⁸⁶ Several prospective studies have noted slightly lower plasma levels of this micronutrient in persons in whom cancers subsequently developed relative

to matched controls. In a recent large cohort study in Finland, for example, persons with low serum α -tocopherol concentrations had about a 1.5-fold greater risk of cancer developing, particularly gastrointestinal and uterine cancer, than those with higher levels.⁵⁴ These results are consistent with studies indicating that vitamin E inhibits exposure-induced cancers in animals.⁶²

In a recently published study, disease-protective effects of antioxidant supplements were observed in a large population (>30,000 adults) in China.⁸⁷ The authors noted a substantial lowering of all-cause mortality in persons given a supplement daily for five years of the antioxidant nutrients β -carotene, vitamin E, and selenium at doses ranging from one to two times the United States recommended dietary allowance (RDA). Reduced mortality was attributed to lower rates of cancer (13%), especially of stomach cancer (21%). In contrast, no effects were observed for supplementation with retinol and zinc, riboflavin and niacin, or vitamin C and molybdenum. Although this study supports the possible benefit of specific antioxidant micronutrients as chemopreventive agents, because this study population has one of the world's highest rates of esophageal-gastric cardia cancer, together with persistently low intakes of fruits and vegetables, the applicability of these results to other populations is unclear. The effects of dietary supplementation that reduce nutrient deficiencies, which appears to have been the case in this study, may be fundamentally different from the effects of larger doses in better nourished populations.

Contrary to a chemopreventive role, recent results from the α -Tocopherol, β -Carotene Cancer Prevention Study have raised the possibility that antioxidant supplementation may actually have adverse effects in certain populations.⁸⁸ In this randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled primary prevention trial, the effects on cancer incidence of long-term—5 to 8 years—daily supplementation with α -tocopherol (50 mg per day), β -carotene (20 mg per day), or a combination of the two were monitored in more than 29,000 Finnish male smokers.⁸⁸ No reduction in the incidence of lung cancer was observed among those receiving α -tocopherol, although there were fewer new cases of prostate cancer. Surprisingly, a higher incidence of lung cancer was noted in persons receiving β -carotene supplementation, and as a result of deaths from lung cancer and ischemic heart disease, total mortality was 8% higher. The effects of antioxidant supplementation in smokers may be different from those in nonsmoking populations. Moreover, antioxidants may be most effective as preventive agents early in the disease process, and thus may be of little value after long-term smoke exposure. The epidemiologic link between lung cancer and β -carotene is among the strongest, however, and given that smokers make up the bulk of victims of lung cancer, the results are of considerable importance in terms of the limitations of antioxidant supplements and the possibility of adverse effects.

Atherosclerosis

Cross-cultural comparisons have indicated a strong inverse relationship between levels of plasma vitamin E and

heart disease mortality in humans. A significant inverse association has been found between plasma vitamin E levels in population cohorts and heart disease mortality rates among 16 European communities.^{49,55} Lipid-standardized levels of plasma vitamin E and plasma vitamin E plus cholesterol were found to predict 62% and 79%, respectively, of the variance in heart disease mortality.⁵⁵ In contrast, only a modest nonsignificant association was observed for vitamins A and C. Although the results for vitamin E are intriguing, other interpopulation differences not measured may have a greater influence on disease risk.

Investigations within populations, including a number of case-control studies published over the past decade, generally have not supported an association between plasma vitamin E levels and heart disease risk. In a five-year prospective study associated with the Eastern Finland Heart Study, no difference was found in serum vitamin E concentrations between 92 victims of fatal myocardial infarction and controls matched for sex, age, smoking status, plasma cholesterol level, mean arterial pressure, and history of heart disease.⁶⁹ In the prospective Basal Study, mean cholesterol-standardized plasma vitamin E levels were not substantially lower for 67 persons who died of ischemic heart disease during the subsequent seven years than in survivors.⁸⁵ Notably, however, these studies involved measurements of antioxidants in single rather than multiple plasma specimens that had been stored long term, under conditions in which they may be unstable.

In a recently published analysis of data from more than 11,000 adults in the United States examined in the first National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES I), a significantly reduced risk of subsequent death from all causes, particularly coronary heart disease, was observed in persons reporting a high intake of vitamin C (more than 300 to 400 mg per day).⁵⁷ A reduced risk was especially apparent in men; those in the high-intake group had an increased life expectancy of more than six years relative to those consuming less than 50 mg per day. In contrast, no evidence of a protective effect of high vitamin C intake was found in a large-scale prospective study involving more than 40,000 men and 80,000 women, but a reduced risk of coronary artery deaths was found in men and women with higher intakes of vitamin E (100 IU per day or more for two years or more).^{58,59}

Although epidemiologic associations do not allow the assignment of cause and effect, some evidence for direct protective effects of antioxidants comes from animal experimentation. Induced deficiencies of vitamin C and vitamin E have been shown to result in atherosclerotic-like lesions.⁴⁹ Conversely, supplementation with these and other antioxidants has been found in some but not all cases to reduce lesion growth in hypercholesterolemic animals.^{49,63-67} The extent of protection ranges from slight to pronounced, with differences likely arising from variations in animal models, antioxidant identity and dose, study duration, and atherosclerosis assessment methods.

In several studies, the antiatherogenic effects of antioxidants have been shown to be greater when these agents are supplied in combination, such as vitamin E and selenium.⁶⁵

Although vitamins C and E also produce minor beneficial alterations in plasma lipid levels, their primary protective effects are suggested to arise from their antioxidant properties. In support of this, two studies have shown protection by vitamin E even in the absence of reductions in plasma cholesterol levels.^{63,64} Other effects of these nutrients also may be relevant, however. For example, differences were recently observed in the content of cell surface constituents in the endothelium of cholesterol-fed guinea pigs, depending on whether or not they also received vitamin E.⁶⁷

Lipophilic antioxidants potentially could be particularly effective as antiatherogenic agents by virtue of their localization within the LDL particle, where they directly inhibit LDL oxidation. The oxidative resistance of LDL has been shown to be greater when vitamin E levels are increased either *in vitro* or through dietary vitamin E supplementation.^{46,47,71,87} Whereas the addition of β -carotene *in vitro* has been shown to reduce LDL oxidative susceptibility,⁷⁰ β -carotene enrichment through dietary supplementation does not appear to have such effects.⁹⁰ In contrast to these antioxidants, vitamin C does not circulate within LDL, but may protect this lipoprotein by intercepting oxidants in the aqueous compartment and possibly by regenerating LDL-associated α -tocopherol (vitamin E) from the α -tocopheroxyl radical at the lipid-water interface. Although evidence of a tocopherol-sparing effect of vitamin C has been obtained for LDL *in vitro*,^{68,69} this property has not been demonstrated *in vivo*.

In addition to the direct inhibition of LDL oxidation, antioxidants may reduce cellular oxidation events involved in atherosclerosis. As an example of this, cells loaded with the lipophilic antioxidant drug probucol were shown to be much less effective in bringing about the oxidative modification of LDL.⁹¹ An elevated cellular antioxidant capacity also may reduce their sensitivity to the toxic effects of oxidized LDL.

Arguments for and Against Antioxidant Supplementation as a Disease-Preventing Measure

Given the increasing evidence of a role for oxidative processes in the development of chronic diseases and the possibility that specific antioxidants may protect against such processes, it has been suggested that increasing the intake of antioxidants through supplementation may prevent disease. Recommendations regarding micronutrient intake traditionally have been based on the concept of minimum requirements for avoiding deficiency symptoms.⁷⁹ Such constructs may be inappropriate when considering possibly protective dietary factors because levels that are adequate for preventing deficiency are not likely to provide for optimal tissue function, particularly in the presence of environmental stresses unique to modern society. As described earlier in this review, results from the

trial in Linxian, China, showed that low-dose supplementation with certain antioxidants reduced cancer mortality in an initially poorly nourished population,⁵⁷ and results of other studies raise the possibility that higher intakes of antioxidants may reduce the risk for chronic disease in the better-nourished population in the United States.⁵⁷⁻⁵⁹ In these studies, apparently “protective” levels of vitamin C or vitamin E—5 to 10 times the RDA—exceeded those attained through normal dietary intake alone.⁵⁸⁻⁶⁰

Opponents of antioxidant supplementation point out that there is little direct evidence of protective effects in humans. Furthermore, although the safety of vitamin supplements that contain 100% of the RDAs is well-accepted,⁹² the side effects of long-term supplementation with higher levels have not been examined prospectively.^{74,75} Few serious symptoms of toxicity for these nutrients have been described (Table 1), but this may simply reflect the lack of studies addressing this issue. In a recent report, low-dose α -tocopherol supplementation was found to preserve endothelial vasodilator function in cholesterol-fed rabbits, whereas high-dose supplementation was associated with endothelial dysfunction and enhanced intimal proliferation.⁹³ It is possible that this dichotomy in response to low- versus high-dose antioxidants also may occur in humans. Results of the study in Finnish male smokers raise the possibility that long-term β -carotene supplementation at levels 10 to 20 times higher than in the US diet may actually be deleterious.

Apart from these arguments, most of the epidemiologic studies indicating health benefits from increased antioxidant intake are based on the assessment of dietary patterns rather than direct measures of intake of these specific micronutrients. Nutrient-based recommendations derived from food intake patterns fail to consider the importance of nutrient interactions or the possibility that other as-yet-unrecognized factors may underlie associations between dietary antioxidant intake and disease risk. Fruits and vegetables also contain appreciable levels of

minerals, flavonoids, and indoles as well as carotenoids other than β -carotene, and these or other agents may have protective properties.⁵²

Indeed, before definitive recommendations can be made regarding the prophylactic use of antioxidants, the following questions need to be addressed in humans:

- Do antioxidant vitamins actually prevent or reduce the development of chronic diseases? If so, which antioxidants are most effective in preventing disease and at what doses?
- How do the possible benefits and optimal doses vary in relation to the nature and stage of the disease?
- Do antioxidants have a role in secondary prevention?
- Are there interactions among antioxidants or between antioxidants and other dietary factors, such as fat composition, that influence their effectiveness?
- How do genetic and environmental factors—cigarette smoke exposure, for example—influence antioxidant nutriture or effectiveness in preventing disease?
- Are toxic effects of long-term antioxidant supplementation observed at doses required for disease prevention?

A number of prospective trials addressing some of these questions in US populations are currently underway.⁷⁴⁻⁷⁷ Among these are the following:

- The Physicians’ Health Trial, a study involving 22,000 men taking either β -carotene or a placebo, which is scheduled for completion in 1996;
- The Women’s Health Study, an investigation of the preventive effects of β -carotene, vitamin E, and aspirin in women older than 50 years, which was begun in 1992; and
- The Carotene and Retinoid Efficacy Trial (CARET), a study examining the ability of β -carotene plus retinyl palmitate to reduce lung cancer incidence in 18,000 men and women who are either smokers or who

TABLE 1.—Natural Sources, Physiologically Relevant Doses, and Side Effects of Antioxidants in Humans

Antioxidant	Dietary Sources	Recommended Dietary Allowance*	Toxicity Level†	Reported Side Effects at High Doses‡	Contraindications‡
Vitamin C	Fruits (particularly citrus), green leafy vegetables, tomatoes, potatoes	Nonsmokers: 60 mg/day Smokers: 100 mg/day	Adverse effects observed at doses of ≥ 1 gram/day	Urinary oxalate excretion, kidney stone formation, increased iron absorption, gastrointestinal disorders	Renal insufficiency, iron overload
Vitamin E	Vegetable oils, whole grains, nuts and seeds, green leafy vegetables	Men: 15 IU/day Women: 12 IU/day	No consistent adverse effects with doses up to 3,000 IU/day for months	Fatigue and weakness, gastrointestinal disorders, breast soreness (women), bleeding	Subjects receiving vitamin K antagonists as anticoagulants
β -Carotene	Green leafy vegetables, yellow-orange fruits, and vegetables	None established‡	Appears to be nontoxic even when taken in large doses over years	Carotenodermia (presumed innocuous)	

*Values are for adults (≥ 19 years of age); taken from the National Research Council.⁷⁹

†From the National Research Council,⁷⁹ Sestili,⁸⁰ and Bendich and Machlin.⁸¹

‡The typical United States diet contains 1 to 2 mg/day, or 1,700 to 3,500 IU/day.

have a history of asbestos exposure.

Based on current knowledge and regard for scientific data as a basis for clinical decision making, advocating proper food selection with a high intake of fruits and vegetables should be the primary message of the health care community in response to the growing interest in antioxidants. This approach avoids the possibility of toxic side effects from supplements and emphasizes the role of the total diet in determining disease risk.⁷⁵ As few as 10% of Americans are estimated to consume five servings of fruits and vegetables per day.^{56,94} Thus, there is much room for beneficial changes in the eating habits of the US population.

Populations With Increased Antioxidant Needs

Special consideration should be given to persons likely to be at increased risk of inadequate antioxidant intakes due to genetic or environmental factors that may increase antioxidant requirements. Although still relatively unexplored, several specific factors affecting individual antioxidant requirements have been identified. The best-known example is cigarette smoke, which contains numerous oxidants and appears to increase endogenous oxidant production.⁹⁵⁻⁹⁹ Severe depletions of circulating antioxidants, presumably indicative of reduced body stores, are a measurable effect of the oxidant burden imposed by cigarette smoke. Reductions in plasma vitamin C levels as great as 75% have been reported in smokers compared with nonsmokers, depending on age, sex, and smoking history.¹⁰⁰⁻¹⁰⁵ Although reduced vitamin C consumption and bioavailability are possible contributors,^{106,107} increased vitamin C turnover is largely responsible for the poor vitamin C status of smokers.¹⁰⁸ Reductions in lipophilic antioxidants also have been reported, with results being more consistent for β -carotene than for vitamin E.¹⁰⁹⁻¹¹²

Recognizing the increased vitamin C requirements of smokers, the National Research Council recently increased the RDA from 60 to 100 mg per day for this subpopulation.⁹² Several studies, however, have suggested that even this may not be enough.¹⁰³⁻¹⁰⁵ We recently observed that plasma vitamin C concentrations were lower in heavy smokers (≥ 1 pack per day) reporting daily intakes of vitamin C greater than 250 mg as compared with nonsmokers reporting similarly high intakes. In the same study, we also observed lower plasma vitamin C levels in nonsmokers with regular exposure to environmental tobacco smoke than in nonsmokers without such exposure, even at dietary vitamin C intakes above the RDA for smokers. Thus, it appears likely that such intakes may not be adequate to maintain needed body stores in nonsmokers with exposure to tobacco smoke.¹⁰⁵

The increased antioxidant requirements and risk of disease associated with exposure to tobacco smoke and other environmental agents,^{77,113-115} including ultraviolet irradiation and certain food constituents such as nitrosamines, may justify recommendations for low-dose supplementation in persons with such exposure. Caution

is recommended, however, because recent results have suggested that higher dose supplementation, particularly with β -carotene, may be deleterious in long-term smokers.⁸⁸ Any recommendations for antioxidant supplementation should be coupled with recommendations for reducing exposures—smoking cessation, for example.

Conclusion

The compelling evidence of a role for oxidative processes in a variety of chronic diseases is likely to lead to increased research attention to the preventive properties of antioxidants, and the results of such studies may affect approaches for preventing and treating chronic diseases. Current evidence supports recommendations for diets containing food groups that are naturally high in antioxidants. Recommendations for increasing antioxidant intake through supplementation cannot be made on the basis of current scientific evidence, however, because to date most of the data supporting a protective effect in humans are epidemiologic, and some evidence of side effects has been presented. Nonetheless, because of the widespread availability of antioxidant supplements and the mass media's promotion of their consumption, many patients will make an independent decision to supplement. Physicians may choose not to discourage such practices, particularly in high-risk patients, but may wish to provide counsel regarding the preliminary nature of scientific data supporting the benefits and evidence of possible side effects of antioxidant supplementation (see the Page for Patients at the end of this article).

Acknowledgment

Ronald M. Krauss, MD, provided helpful comments during the preparation of this manuscript.

REFERENCES

1. Hooper C: Free radicals: Research on biochemical bad boys comes of age. *J Natl Inst Health Res* 1989; 1:101-106
2. Chance B, Sies H, Boveris A: Hydroperoxide metabolism in mammalian organs. *Physiol Rev* 1979; 59:527-605
3. Mason RP: Free-radical intermediates in the metabolism of toxic chemicals. *In* Pryor WA (Ed): *Free Radicals in Biology*, Vol 5. New York, NY, Academic Press, 1982, pp 161-222
4. Halliwell B: Oxidants and human disease: Some new concepts. *FASEB J* 1987; 1:358-364
5. Tribble DL, Aw TY, Jones DP: The pathophysiological significance of lipid peroxidation in oxidative cell injury. *Hepatology* 1989; 7:377-387
6. Steinberg D, Parthasarathy S, Carew TE, Khoo JC, Witztum JL: Beyond cholesterol—Modifications of LDL that increase its atherogenicity. *N Engl J Med* 1989; 320:915-924
7. Witztum JL, Steinberg D: Role of oxidized low density lipoprotein in atherosclerosis. *J Clin Invest* 1991; 88:1785-1792
8. Milner JA: Mechanisms for nutritional inhibition of carcinogenesis. *In* Moon TE, Micozzi MS (Eds): *Nutrition and Cancer Prevention: Investigating the Role of Micronutrients*. New York, NY, Marcel Dekker, 1989, pp 13-32
9. Ames BN, Gold LS: Too many rodent carcinogens: Mitogenesis increases mutagenesis. *Science* 1990; 249:970-971
10. Cohen SM, Ellwein LB: Cell proliferation in carcinogenesis. *Science* 1990; 249:1007-1011
11. Preston-Martin S, Pike MC, Ross RK, Jones PA, Henderson BE: Increased cell division as a cause of human cancer. *Cancer Res* 1990; 50:7415-7421
12. Shigenaga MK, Gimeno CJ, Ames BN: Urinary 8-hydroxy-2'-deoxyguanosine as a biological marker of in vivo oxidative DNA damage. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1989; 86:9697-9701
13. Park EM, Shigenaga MK, Degan P, et al: Assay of excised oxidative DNA lesions: Isolation of 8-oxoguanine and its nucleoside derivatives from biological fluids with a monoclonal antibody column. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1992; 89:3375-3379

14. Ames BN, Shigenaga MK: Oxidants are a major contributor to aging. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 1992; 663:85-96
15. Dizdaroglu M: Chemical determination of free radical-induced damage to DNA. *Free Radic Biol Med* 1991; 10:225-242
16. Burdon RH, Rice-Evans C: Free radicals and the regulation of mammalian cell proliferation. *Free Radic Res Commun* 1989; 6:345-358
17. Burdon RH, Gill V, Rice-Evans C: Cell proliferation and oxidative stress. *Free Radic Res Commun* 1989; 7:149-159
18. Sager R: Tumor suppressor genes: The puzzle and the promise. *Science* 1989; 246:1406-1412
19. Nigro JM, Baker SJ, Preisinger AC, et al: Mutations in the p53 gene occur in diverse tumor types. *Nature* 1989; 342:705-708
20. Stanbridge EJ: Identifying tumor suppressor genes in human colorectal cancer. *Science* 1990; 247:12-13
21. Fearon ER, Cho KR, Nigro JM, et al: Identification of a chromosome 18q gene that is altered in colorectal cancers. *Science* 1990; 247:49-56
22. Singh VN, Gaby SK: Premalignant lesions: Role of antioxidant vitamins and β -carotene in risk reduction and prevention of malignant transformation. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1991; 53:386S-390S
23. Burdon RH, Gill V, Rice-Evans C: Oxidative stress and tumor cell proliferation. *Free Radic Res Commun* 1990; 11:65-76
24. Schmidt K: Antioxidant vitamins and β -carotene: Effects on immunocompetence. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1991; 53(suppl):383S-385S
25. Berliner JA, Haberland ME: The role of oxidized low-density lipoprotein in atherogenesis. *Curr Opin Lipidol* 1993; 4:373-381
26. Steinbrecher UP, Witztum JL, Parthasarathy S, Steinberg D: Decrease in active amino groups during oxidation or endothelial cell modification of LDL: Correlation with changes in receptor-mediated catabolism. *Arteriosclerosis* 1987; 1:135-143
27. Steinbrecher UP: Oxidation of human low density lipoprotein results in derivatization of lysine residues of apolipoprotein B by lipid peroxide decomposition products. *J Biol Chem* 1987; 262:3603-3608
28. Quinn MT, Parthasarathy S, Fong LG, Steinberg D: Oxidatively modified lipoproteins: A potential role in recruitment and retention of monocyte/macrophages during atherogenesis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1987; 84:2995-2998
29. Quinn MT, Parthasarathy S, Steinberg D: Lysophosphatidylcholine: A chemotactic factor for human monocytes and its potential role in atherogenesis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1988; 85:2805-2809
30. Berliner JA, Territo MC, Sevanian A, et al: Minimally modified low-density lipoprotein stimulates monocyte endothelial interactions. *J Clin Invest* 1990; 85:1260-1266
31. Hessler JR, Robertson AL Jr, Chisolm GM: LDL: Cytotoxicity and its inhibition by HDL in human vascular smooth muscle and endothelial cells in culture. *Atherosclerosis* 1979; 32:213-229
32. Henriksen T, Evensen SA, Carlander B: Injury to human endothelial cells in culture induced by low density lipoproteins. *Scand J Clin Lab Invest* 1979; 39:361-367
33. Berliner JA, Territo MC, Sevanian A, et al: Minimally modified LDL stimulates monocyte endothelial interactions. *J Clin Invest* 1990; 85:1260-1266
34. Rajavashisth TB, Andalibi A, Territo MC, et al: Induction of endothelial cell expression of granulocyte and macrophage colony-stimulating factors by modified low-density lipoproteins. *Nature* 1990; 344:254-257
35. Laio F, Berliner JA, Mehrabian M, et al: Minimally modified low density lipoprotein is biologically active in vivo in mice. *J Clin Invest* 1991; 87:2253-2257
36. Haberland ME, Fong D, Cheng L: Malondialdehyde-altered protein occurs in atheroma of Watanabe heritable hyperlipidemic rabbits. *Science* 1988; 241:215-218
37. Yla-Herttuala S, Palinski W, Rosenfeld ME, et al: Evidence for the presence of oxidatively modified low density lipoprotein in atherosclerotic lesions of rabbits and man. *J Clin Invest* 1989; 84:1086-1095
38. Palinski W, Rosenfeld ME, Yla-Herttuala S, et al: Low density lipoprotein undergoes oxidative modification in vivo. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1989; 86:1372-1376
39. Salonen JT, Yla-Herttuala S, Yamamoto R, et al: Autoantibody against oxidized LDL and progression of carotid atherosclerosis. *Lancet* 1992; 339:883-887
40. Morel DW, DiCorleto PE, Chisolm GM: Endothelial and smooth muscle cells alter low density lipoprotein in vitro by free radical oxidation. *Arteriosclerosis* 1984; 4:357-364
41. Steinbrecher UP, Parthasarathy S, Leake DS, Witztum JL, Steinberg D: Modification of low density lipoprotein by endothelial cells involves lipid peroxidation and degradation of low density lipoprotein phospholipids. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1984; 81:3883-3887
42. Jialal I, Freeman DA, Grundy SM: Varying susceptibility of different low density lipoproteins to oxidative modification. *Arterioscler Thromb* 1991; 11:482-488
43. De Graaf J, Hak-Lemmers HLM, Hectors MPC, Demacker PNM, Hendriks JCM, Stalenhoef AFH: Enhanced susceptibility to in vitro oxidation of the dense low density lipoprotein subfraction in healthy subjects. *Arterioscler Thromb* 1991; 11:298-306
44. Tribble DL, Holl LG, Wood PD, Krauss RM: Variations in oxidative susceptibility among six low density lipoprotein subfractions of varying size and density. *Atherosclerosis* 1992; 93:189-199
45. Chait A, Brazg RL, Tribble DL, Krauss RM: Susceptibility of small, dense, low-density lipoproteins to oxidative modification in subjects with the atherogenic lipoprotein phenotype, pattern B. *Am J Med* 1993; 94:350-356
46. Esterbauer H, Gebicki J, Puhl H, Jürgens G: The role of lipid peroxidation and antioxidants in oxidative modification of LDL. *Free Radic Biol Med* 1992; 13:341-390
47. Cominacini L, Garbin U, Pastorino AM, et al: Predisposition to LDL oxidation in patients with and without angiographically established coronary artery disease. *Atherosclerosis* 1993; 99:63-70
48. Diplock AT: Antioxidant nutrients and disease prevention: An overview. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1991; 53(suppl):189S-193S
49. Gey KF: On the antioxidant hypothesis with regard to arteriosclerosis. *Bibl Nutr Dieta* 1986; 37:53-91
50. Block G, Menkes M: Ascorbic acid and cancer prevention. *In* Moon TE, Micozzi MS (Eds): *Nutrition and Cancer Prevention—Investigating the Role of Micronutrients*. New York, NY, Marcel Dekker, 1989, pp 341-388
51. Block G: Vitamin C and cancer prevention: The epidemiologic evidence. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1991; 53(suppl):270S-282S
52. Weisburger JH: Nutritional approach to cancer prevention with emphasis on vitamins, antioxidants, and carotenoids. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1991; 53:226S-237S
53. Zeigler RG: Vegetables, fruits, and carotenoids and the risk of cancer. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1991; 53(suppl):251S-259S
54. Knekt P, Aromaa A, Maatela J, et al: Vitamin E and cancer prevention. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1991; 53:283S-286S
55. Gey KF, Puska P, Jordan P: Inverse correlation between plasma vitamin E and mortality from ischemic heart disease in cross-cultural epidemiology. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1991; 53:326S-334S
56. Block G, Patterson B, Subar A: Fruits, vegetables, and cancer prevention: A review of the epidemiologic evidence. *Nutr Cancer* 1992; 18:1-29
57. Enstrom JE, Kanim LE, Klein MA: Vitamin C intake and mortality among a sample of the United States population. *Epidemiology* 1992; 3:194-202
58. Stampfer MJ, Hennekens CH, Manson JE, Colditz GA, Rosner B, Willett WC: Vitamin E consumption and the risk of coronary heart disease in women. *N Engl J Med* 1993; 328:1444-1449
59. Rimm EB, Stampfer MJ, Ascherio A, Giovannucci E, Colditz GA, Willett WC: Vitamin E consumption and the risk of coronary heart disease in men. *N Engl J Med* 1993; 328:1450-1456
60. Newberne PM, Schrager TF, Conner MW: Experimental evidence on the nutritional prevention of cancer. *In* Moon TE, Micozzi MS (Eds): *Nutrition and Cancer Prevention—Investigating the Role of Micronutrients*. New York, NY, Marcel Dekker, 1989, pp 33-82
61. Krinsky NI: Effects of carotenoids in cellular and animal systems. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1991; 53(suppl):238S-246S
62. Ip C, Horvath P: Synergistic effect of vitamin E and selenium in the chemoprevention of mammary carcinogenesis in rats. *Proc Am Assoc Cancer Res* 1983; 24:382-388
63. Donaldson WE: Atherosclerosis in cholesterol-fed Japanese quail: Evidence for amelioration by dietary vitamin E. *Poultry Sci* 1982; 61:2097-2102
64. Smith TL, Kummerow FA: Effect of dietary vitamin E on plasma lipids and atherogenesis in restricted ovulator chickens. *Atherosclerosis* 1989; 75:105-109
65. Wójcicki J, Rozewicka L, Barcew-Wiszniewska L, et al: Effect of selenium and vitamin E on the development of experimental atherosclerosis in rabbits. *Atherosclerosis* 1991; 87:9-16
66. Williams RJ, Motteram JM, Sharp CH, Gallagher PJ: Dietary vitamin E and the attenuation of early lesion development in modified Watanabe rabbits. *Atherosclerosis* 1992; 94:153-159
67. Qiao Y, Yokoyama M, Kameyama K, Asano G: Effect of vitamin E on vascular integrity in cholesterol-fed guinea pigs. *Arterioscler Thromb* 1993; 13:1885-1892
68. Jialal I, Vega GL, Grundy SM: Physiologic levels of ascorbate inhibit the oxidative modification of low density lipoprotein. *Atherosclerosis* 1990; 82:185-191
69. Sato K, Niki E, Shimasaki H: Free radical-mediated chain oxidation of low density lipoprotein and its synergistic inhibition by vitamin E and C. *Arch Biochem Biophys* 1990; 279:402-405
70. Jialal I, Norkus EP, Cristol L, Grundy SM: β -Carotene inhibits the oxidative modification of low-density lipoprotein. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 1991; 1086:134-138
71. Esterbauer H, Dieber-Rotheneder M, Striegl G, Waeg G: Role of vitamin E in preventing the oxidation of low-density lipoprotein. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1991; 53(suppl):314S-321S
72. Malone WF: Studies evaluating antioxidants and β -carotene as chemopreventives. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1991; 53(suppl):305S-313S
73. Smigel K: Dietary supplements reduce cancer deaths in China (news). *J Natl Cancer Inst* 1993; 85:1448-1450

74. Steinberg D: Antioxidant vitamins and coronary heart disease. *N Engl J Med* 1993; 328:1487-1489
75. Pierce C: Unresolved antioxidant question: Pills or plants? *Int Med News* 1993; 26:1,21-22
76. Gaziano JM, Manson JE, Ridker PM, Buring JE, Hennekens CH: β -Carotene therapy for chronic stable angina (Abstr). *Circulation* 1990; 82:III-202
77. Gaziano JM, Manson JE, Buring JE, Hennekens CH: Dietary antioxidants and cardiovascular disease. *Ann NY Acad Sci* 1992; 669:249-258
78. Doll R, Peto R: The causes of cancer: Quantitative estimates of avoidable risks of cancer. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 1981; 66:1191-1308
79. National Research Council: Recommended Dietary Allowances, 10th edition. Washington, DC, National Academic Press, 1989
80. Sestili MA: Possible adverse health effects of vitamin C and ascorbic acid. *Semin Oncol* 1983; 10:299-304
81. Bendich A, Machlin LJ: Safety of oral intake of vitamin E. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1988; 48:612-619
82. Mathews-Roth MM: β -Carotene, canthaxanthin, and phytoene. In Moon TE, Micozzi MS (Eds): *Nutrition and Cancer Prevention—Investigating the Role of Micronutrients*. New York, NY, Marcel Dekker, 1989, pp 273-290
83. Mirvish SS: Effects of vitamins C and E on *N*-nitroso compound formation, carcinogenesis, and cancer. *Cancer* 1986; 58:1842-1850
84. Rogers AE, Longnecker MP: Biology of disease—Dietary and nutritional influences on cancer: A review of epidemiologic and experimental data. *Lab Invest* 1988; 59:729-759
85. Gey KF, Brubacher GB, Stahelin HB: Plasma levels of antioxidant vitamins in relation to ischemic heart disease and cancer. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1987; 45:1368-1377
86. Comstock GW, Helzlsouer KJ, Bush TL: Prediagnostic serum levels of carotenoids and vitamin E as related to subsequent cancer in Washington County, Maryland. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1991; 53(suppl):260S-264S
87. Blot WJ, Li JY, Taylor PR, et al: Nutrition intervention trials in Linxian, China: Supplementation with specific vitamin/mineral combinations, cancer incidence, and disease-specific mortality in the general population. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 1993; 85:1483-1492
88. α -Tocopherol, β -Carotene Cancer Prevention Study Group: The effect of vitamin E and β -carotene on the incidence of lung cancer and other cancers in male smokers. *N Engl J Med* 1994; 330:1029-1035
89. Salonen JT, Salonen R, Penttila I, et al: Serum fatty acids, apolipoproteins, selenium and vitamin antioxidants and the risk of death from coronary artery disease. *Am J Cardiol* 1985; 56:226-231
90. Reaven PD, Khouw A, Beltz WF, Parthasarathy S, Witztum JL: Effect of dietary antioxidant combinations in humans—Protection of LDL by vitamin E but not by β -carotene. *Arterioscler Thromb* 1993; 13:590-600
91. Parthasarathy S: Evidence for an intracellular site of action of probucol in the prevention of oxidative modification of low density lipoprotein: Use of a new water-soluble probucol derivative. *J Clin Invest* 1992; 89:1618-1621
92. Bendich A: Safety issues regarding the use of vitamin supplements. *Ann NY Acad Sci* 1993; 669:300-312
93. Keaney JF Jr, Gaziano JM, Xu A, et al: Low-dose alpha-tocopherol improves and high-dose alpha-tocopherol worsens endothelial vasodilator function in cholesterol-fed rabbits. *J Clin Invest* 1994; 93:844-851
94. Patterson BH, Block G, Rosenberger WF, Pee D, Kahle LL: Fruits and vegetables in the American diet: Data from the NHANES II survey. *Am J Public Health* 1990; 80:1443-1449
95. Pryor WA, Prier DG, Church DF: Electron-spin resonance study of mainstream and sidestream cigarette smoke: Nature of the free radicals in gas-phase smoke and in cigarette tar. *Environ Health Perspect* 1983; 47:345-355
96. Church DF, Pryor WA: Free-radical chemistry of cigarette smoke and its toxicological implications. *Environ Health Perspect* 1985; 64:111-126
97. Anderson R, Theron AJ, Ras GJ: Regulation by the antioxidants ascorbate, cysteine, and dapsone of the increased extracellular and intracellular generation of reactive oxidants by activated phagocytes from cigarette smokers. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1987; 135:1027-1032
98. Davis WB, Pacht ER, Spatofora M, Martin WJ: Enhanced cytotoxic potential of alveolar macrophages from cigarette smokers. *J Lab Clin Med* 1988; 111:293-298
99. Imbriani M, Melotti A, Ghittori S: Methemoglobin and carboxyhemoglobin levels in smokers and nonsmokers. *G Ital Med Lav* 1987; 9:11-14
100. Calder JH, Curtis RH, Fore H: Comparison of vitamin C in plasma and leukocytes of smokers and nonsmokers (Letter). *Lancet* 1963; 1:556
101. Brook M, Grimshaw JJ: Vitamin C concentration of plasma and leukocytes as related to smoking habit, age, and sex of humans. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1968; 21:1254-1258
102. Smith JL, Hodges RE: Serum levels of vitamin C in relation to dietary and supplemental intake of this vitamin by smokers and nonsmokers. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1986; 39:124-132
103. Schectman G, Byrd JC, Gruchow HW: The influence of smoking on vitamin C status in adults. *Am J Public Health* 1989; 79:158-162
104. Schectman G, Byrd JC, Hoffmann R: Ascorbic acid requirements for smokers: Analysis of a population survey. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1991; 53:1466-1470
105. Tribble DL, Giuliano LJ, Fortmann SP: Reduced plasma ascorbic acid concentrations in nonsmokers regularly exposed to environmental tobacco smoke. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1993; 58:886-890
106. Subar AF, Harlan LC, Mattson ME: Food and nutrient intake differences between smokers and non-smokers in the US. *Am J Public Health* 1990; 80:1323-1329
107. Grunberg NE: The effects of nicotine and cigarette smoking on food consumption and taste preferences. *Addict Behav* 1982; 7:317-331
108. Kallner AB, Hartmann D, Hornig DH: On the requirements of ascorbic acid in man: Steady-state turnover and body pools in smokers. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1981; 34:1347-1355
109. Herbeth B, Chavance M, Musse N, Mejean L, Vernhes G: Dietary intake and other determinants of blood vitamins in an elderly population. *Eur J Clin Nutr* 1989; 43:175-186
110. Stryker WS, Kaplan LA, Stein EA, Stampfer MJ, Sober A, Willet WC: The relationship of diet, cigarette smoking, and alcohol consumption to plasma β -carotene and α -tocopherol levels. *Am J Epidemiol* 1989; 127:283-296
111. Russell-Briefel R, Bates MW, Kuller LH: The relationship of plasma carotenoids to health and biochemical factors in middle-aged men. *Am J Epidemiol* 1985; 122:741-749
112. Tangney CC, Stibolt TB Jr, Zheutlin L, Jacobs E, Hanley M: Comparison of vitamin E levels in plasma, bronchoalveolar lavage, and lung tissues of adult pulmonary patients. *J Am Coll Nutr* 1989; 8:203-214
113. Shindo Y, Witt E, Packer L: Antioxidant defense mechanisms in murine epidermis and dermis and their responses to ultraviolet light. *J Invest Dermatol* 1993; 100:260-265
114. Fuchs J, Huflejt M, Rothfuss L, Wilson D, Carcamo G, Packer L: Dermatologic antioxidant therapy may be warranted to prevent ultraviolet-induced skin damage. *Adv Exp Med Biol* 1990; 264:533-536
115. Kagan V, Witt E, Goldman R, Scita G, Packer L: Ultraviolet light-induced generation of vitamin E radicals and their recycling—A possible photosynthesizing effect of vitamin E in skin. *Free Radic Res Commun* 1992; 16:51-64