

$A_2B_{n-1}Pb_nI_{3n+1}$ (A = BA, PEA; B = MA; $n = 1, 2$): Engineering Quantum-Well Crystals for High Mass Density and Fast Scintillators

Md Abdul Kuddus Sheikh, Dominik Kowal, Muhammad Haris Mahyuddin, Roberto Cala', Etienne Auffray, Marcin Eugeniusz Witkowski, Michal Makowski, Winicjusz Drozdowski, Hong Wang, Christophe Dujardin, Daniele Cortecchia,* and Muhammad Danang Birowosuto*



Cite This: *J. Phys. Chem. C* 2023, 127, 10737–10747



Read Online

ACCESS |



Metrics & More



Article Recommendations



Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: Quantum-well (QW) hybrid organic–inorganic perovskite (HOIP) crystals, e.g., A_2PbX_4 (A = BA, PEA; X = Br, I), demonstrated significant potentials as scintillating materials for wide energy radiation detection compared to their individual three-dimensional (3D) counterparts, e.g., $BPbX_3$ (B = MA). Inserting 3D into QW structures resulted in new structures, namely $A_2BPb_2X_7$ perovskite crystals, and they may have promising optical and scintillation properties toward higher mass density and fast timing scintillators. In this article, we investigate the crystal structure as well as optical and scintillation properties of iodide-based QW HOIP crystals, A_2PbI_4 and $A_2MAPb_2I_7$. A_2PbI_4 crystals exhibit green and red emission with the fastest PL decay time <1 ns, while $A_2MAPb_2I_7$ crystals exhibit a high mass density of >3.0 g/cm³ and tunable smaller bandgaps <2.1 eV resulting from quantum and dielectric confinement. We observe that A_2PbI_4 and $PEA_2MAPb_2I_7$ show emission under X- and γ -ray excitations. We further observe that some QW HOIP iodide scintillators exhibit shorter radiation absorption lengths (~3 cm at 511 keV) and faster scintillation decay time components (~0.5 ns) compared to those of QW HOIP bromide scintillators. Finally, we investigate the light yields of iodide-based QW HOIP crystals at 10 K (~10 photons/keV), while at room temperature they still show pulse height spectra with light yields between 1 and 2 photons/keV, which is still >5 times lower than those for bromides. The lower light yields can be the drawbacks of iodide-based QW HOIP scintillators, but the promising high mass density and decay time results of our study can provide the right pathway for further improvements toward fast-timing applications.



INTRODUCTION

Hybrid organic–inorganic perovskite (HOIP) crystals have attracted significant attention due to their remarkable properties, including long carrier diffusion length, low defect density, possibly high absorption coefficient, medium exciton binding energy, and tunable bandgap.^{1–7} Such properties make them promising materials for next-generation optoelectronic applications.^{8–13} In addition, the presence of the heavy lead (Pb) element is favorable to the absorption of high-energy X-rays and γ -rays. Despite their widespread applications and below-oven-furnace temperature processability, commercialization of these devices is hindered by their poor environmental stability. Quantum-well (QW) HOIP crystals, A_2PbX_4 ,¹⁴ have shown remarkable environmental and thermal stability compared to their three-dimensional (3D) counterparts, $BPbX_3$ (B = methylammonium (MA)), while preserving significant optical and scintillation properties toward targeted applications.^{14–18} These materials consist of inorganic perovskite slabs intercalated with bulky organic cations that act as spacers between these layers, adopting the crystal structure of the

Ruddlesden–Popper (RP) type. In particular, QW HOIP crystals, as direct bandgap materials, have demonstrated high potentialities as scintillating materials for fast timing applications in security, medical diagnosis, industrial sectors, high-energy physics, and materials sciences.^{19–22} They exhibit high light yields >10 photons/keV and short scintillation decay times <15 ns, leading to good coincidence timing resolutions (CTR) < 150 ps.^{21,23,10,8} However, the absorption lengths of typical QW HOIP are >2 times longer than that of the commercial CsI:Tl scintillators,²⁴ making the crystals less attractive for high-energy excitation applications, such as time-of-flight positron emission tomography (TOF-PET), which is operating at 511 keV.²⁰ In addition, so far QW HOIP

Received: February 6, 2023

Revised: April 17, 2023

Published: April 26, 2023



scintillators have been reached with bromide of (Br) variants with 3 eV bandgaps (e.g., phenethylammonium lead bromide $(\text{PEA})_2\text{PbBr}_4$ and *n*-butylammonium lead bromide $(\text{BA})_2\text{PbBr}_4$), still possess scintillation light yields about half from 66 photons/keV of commercial CsI:Tl scintillator.²⁰ To improve the yields, one can look to the lower bandgap materials such as 3D HOIP or iodide (I) crystals with 2 eV bandgaps. They may provide higher light yields although so far the light yields were recorded at low temperature.¹⁹ To achieve better absorption lengths and light yields, one should get higher density materials and smaller bandgaps, respectively.²⁰ For the faster decay time, one has to search iodide (I⁻) crystals of QW HOIP, as most crystals exhibit decay times of <2 ns but lower light yield.¹⁶ This requires a modulation of the crystals properties between those of QW and 3D HOIP structures, which can be synthetically achieved exploiting the versatility of the RP compositions $\text{A}_2\text{B}_{n-1}\text{Pb}_n\text{I}_{3n+1}$ (where *n* is an integer). Such materials allow the full control of the optoelectronic properties either by compositional engineering or by structural modulation exploiting different levels of quantum and dielectric confinement in materials with different dimensionalities (*n*). Among the numerous reported perovskite-based optoelectronic devices, including solar cells,^{7,25–27} field-effect transistors (FETs),²⁸ light-emitting diodes (LEDs),^{29,30} and photodetectors,³¹ many are based on powders and thin films. Particularly, $\text{PEA}_2\text{MA}_{n-1}\text{Pb}_n\text{I}_{3n+1}$ compounds, which exhibit multiple quantum-well structures, are extensively investigated for application light-emitting diodes (LEDs) due to their excellent photoluminescence (PL) properties. However, there have been hitherto no reports available on the $\text{A}_2\text{B}_{n-1}\text{Pb}_n\text{I}_{3n+1}$ materials utilized as scintillators.

In this article, we synthesize four different RP iodide-based QW HOIP crystals with *n* = 1, 2 as *n* is the number of 3D structures sandwiched between QW layers. We investigate the crystal structure, optical, and scintillation properties of $(\text{PEA})_2\text{PbI}_4$, $(\text{BA})_2\text{PbI}_4$, and the corresponding *n* = 2 RP phases $(\text{PEA})_2\text{MAPb}_2\text{I}_7$ and $(\text{BA})_2\text{MAPb}_2\text{I}_7$. $(\text{PEA})_2\text{PbI}_4$ was previously discussed by our group in a short report by comparing different methods in crystal fabrications for light yield optimization.³² Here we present the global trend in optical and scintillation properties with other unreported properties from other three iodide structures. We show that $(\text{BA})_2\text{PbI}_4$ and $(\text{PEA})_2\text{PbI}_4$ crystals exhibit green and red emission with the fastest PL decay time. We further find that *n* = 2 layered perovskite iodide scintillators exhibit a mass density of >3.0 g/cm³ and tunable rather small bandgaps <2.1 eV resulting from quantum and dielectric confinement due to the dimensional reduction of the perovskite spacer layers compared to 3D structures. Among these, we observe that only $(\text{PEA})_2\text{MAPb}_2\text{I}_7$ shows emission under X- and γ -ray excitations. From all these iodide crystals, we find light yields at room temperature (RT) (1–2 photons/keV) considerably lower than those of $(\text{BA})_2\text{PbBr}_4$ and $(\text{PEA})_2\text{PbBr}_4$ (10–40 photons/keV), while at 10 K, the light yields are comparable (~10 photons/keV).¹⁶ Thus, applications at low temperature are envisaged when a shorter radiation absorption length (~3 cm at 511 keV) and a faster decay time component (~0.5 ns) are foreseen. Such results may provide a new pathway for further improvements of these materials toward fast-timing applications.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials. Methylammonium chloride (MACl), 50% aqueous H_3PO_2 , lead oxide (PbO, 99.999%), phenethylamine (99%), *n*-butylamine (>99%), 57% stabilized hydroiodic acid (HI), lead iodide (PbI_2 , 98%), dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO, anhydrous), *n*-butylammonium bromide ($(\text{BA})\text{Br}$, $\geq 98\%$), phenethylammonium bromide ($(\text{PEA})\text{Br}$, $\geq 98\%$), and lead bromide (PbBr_2 , $\geq 98\%$) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich.

Synthesis of QW HOIP Crystals. The QW A_2PbI_4 crystals were synthesized using a method previously reported by Kowal et al.³² The QW A_2PbBr_4 crystals were synthesized using a modified version of the previously reported method.^{14,33} A 3 M precursor solution was prepared by dissolving $(\text{BA})\text{Br}$ or $(\text{PEA})\text{Br}$ and PbBr_2 in stoichiometric amounts in DMSO under stirring at 100 °C for 2 h. The crystal precipitate was then washed with hexane and dried under vacuum for future characterization. For $\text{A}_2\text{MAPb}_2\text{I}_7$ crystals, PbO (223.2 mg) and MACl (200 mg for PEA and 33.8 mg for BA) powders were dissolved in aqueous HI solution (2 mL for PEA and 1 mL for BA) with the addition of 50% aqueous H_3PO_2 (0.17 mL). Separately, 88.2 μL of PEA and 69.4 μL of BA were neutralized with 0.5 mL of HI 57% w/w, respectively, causing the precipitation of a white solid that redissolved upon heating. The PEA or BA solution was added to the PbO/MACl solution, and the mixture heated at 150 °C under magnetic stirring on a hot plate. The solution was transferred in an oven where it was kept for 24 h, and during this time the temperature decreased from 100 to 20 °C, allowing the growth of red crystals for PEA-based and dark red crystals for BA-based $\text{A}_2\text{MAPb}_2\text{I}_7$, respectively. These were collected by filtration and dried at 100 °C under vacuum. The obtained perovskite crystals were stored in the glovebox under an inert atmosphere.

X-ray Diffraction. A Bruker D8 Advance AXS diffractometer was used for measuring powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) spectra of the synthesized compounds.³² The device used Cu K α radiation with 1.5418 Å wavelength. Measurements were conducted at RT, under Bragg–Brentano geometry, 5 s/step scanning velocity, and 0.02° step size. FullProf Suite software was then used to analyze the acquired data.

PL, TRPL, and Absorption. For PL measurements the samples were excited with the use of picosecond laser diode with repetition rate 30 MHz, 375 and 532 nm peak wavelengths (Master Oscillator Fiber Amplifier, PicoQuant GmbH, Berlin, Germany), pulse duration 50 ps, and 10 mW average power. A microscopic objective with numerical aperture (NA) 0.4 and magnification 20 \times (Nikon Corporation, Tokyo, Japan) was used for excitation focusing and signal collection. The filtered PL signal was acquired by a high-sensitivity visible light spectrometer (Ocean Optics, Orlando, FL). For TRPL measurements, the repetition rate was reduced to 10 MHz, and the PL signal, selected by bandpass filter 532 \pm 25 nm, was coupled to a single-photon avalanche photodiode (APD). The timing response was analyzed by time-correlated single-photon counting electronics (Hydra-Harp 400, PicoQuant, Germany). A tungsten halogen light source (Ocean Optics LS-1) and same visible light spectrometer as for the PL experiments were used to measure the absorption of the samples in the transmission mode. All measurements were conducted at RT.

RL, TL, and Afterglow Curves. The X-ray excitation was provided by an Inel XRG3500 X-ray generator Cu-anode tube (45 kV/10 mA). For recording the optical signal, we used an Acton Research Corporation SpectraPro-500i monochromator, a Hamamatsu R928 photomultiplier tube (PMT), and an APD Cryogenic Inc. closed-cycle helium cooler. The crystals were exposed to X-ray radiation for 10 min, and the afterglow curve was recorded at temperature of 10 K. Then, TL glow curves were measured from 10 to 350 K by increasing the temperature, with 0.14 K/s heating rate. Finally, the RL signal was measured from 350 to 10 K by cooling the sample back. The measurement started from the highest temperature as to avoid thermal release of charge carriers which could possibly contribute to the emission yield.

Pulse Height and Scintillation Decay Measurements. For source of the γ -rays, a ^{137}Cs (662 keV, 210 kBq) radioisotope was used, and the converted photons were detected by a PMT (Hamamatsu R878) with 1.25 kV applied voltage. The output was integrated with a charge-sensitive preamplifier (Canberra 2005), and then it fed a spectroscopic amplifier (Canberra 2022) with a shaping time of 2 μs and a TUKAN-8K-USB multichannel analyzer. In the pulse height spectrum, the position of the photopeak was compared with the position of the mean value of the single electron response to obtain the photoelectron yield. The actual light yield for the radiation conversion in photons per MeV was obtained by taking into consideration the spectral matching of the sample luminescence to the PMT characteristics. Scintillation decay measurements were performed by the delayed coincidence single photon counting method.¹⁶ A ^{137}Cs radioactive source, two Hamamatsu photomultiplier tubes (R1104 and R928 for “starts” and “stops”, respectively), a Canberra 2145 time to-amplitude converter, and a TUKAN-8K-USB multichannel analyzer were used.

DFT Calculations. The Kohn–Sham formulation³⁴ as implemented in the Vienna Ab initio Simulation Package (VASP)³⁵ was used for the DFT calculations. The projector augmented wave (PAW) method³⁶ was used to describe the interaction between ion cores and electrons. The details of the simulation setup and the parameters used were the same as reported in ref 32.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Photographs of $(\text{PEA})_2\text{PbI}_4$ and $(\text{PEA})_2\text{MAPb}_2\text{I}_7$ crystals excited by a 375 nm laser beam are displayed in Figures 1a,b, and their crystal structures are shown in Figures 1c,d, respectively. The triclinic $(\text{PEA})_2\text{PbI}_4$ and orthorhombic $(\text{BA})_2\text{PbI}_4$ belong to the class of two-dimensional (2D) A_2PbX_4 (A = PEA, BA; X = Br, I) HOIP and consist of the stack of $\langle 100 \rangle$ -oriented perovskite inorganic layers, forming a 2D Pb–X octahedra network in alternation with the organic sheets of PEA and BA cations as displayed in Figures 1c,e. Schematically, 2D HOIP crystals exhibit a layered structure,^{37,16} like QW, with inorganic $[\text{PbI}_6]^{4-}$ octahedra sheets separated by another layer of organic ammonium cations. The ball and stick structures of the $n = 2$ compounds $\text{A}_2\text{MAPb}_2\text{I}_7$ (A = PEA, BA) are shown in Figures 1d,f, where all diagrams include the $\{\text{PbI}_6\}$ octahedral units. Moreover, PEA^+ and MA^+ organic cations were so disordered that the benzene ring and MA^+ cation could be hardly distinguished. Significant disorder exists in the interlayer cations of $(\text{BA})_2\text{MAPb}_2\text{I}_7$ crystal, particularly for the CH_3CH_2- tail of butylammonium (the




Figure 1. Photographs of (a) $(\text{PEA})_2\text{PbI}_4$ and (b) $(\text{PEA})_2\text{MAPb}_2\text{I}_7$ crystals under 375 nm laser excitation. The insets correspond to red emission at the 532 nm excitation wavelength. Schematic crystal structures of (c) $(\text{PEA})_2\text{PbI}_4$, (d) $(\text{PEA})_2\text{MAPb}_2\text{I}_7$, (e) $(\text{BA})_2\text{PbI}_4$, and (f) $(\text{BA})_2\text{MAPb}_2\text{I}_7$ perovskite.

ligand head, $\text{NH}_3\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2-$ is relatively stable), causing the atoms to move and destabilize the refinement.³⁷

Powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns of ground perovskite crystals are shown in Figure 2a. The prominent low-angle diffraction peaks of A_2PbX_4 are indicative of their (002) preferential orientation, while the preferential orientation of $\text{A}_2\text{MAPb}_2\text{I}_7$ (A = PEA, BA) occurs in the lattice planes of (2–14) and (111), respectively, as displayed in Figure 2a. The XRD patterns of the four crystals were analyzed with the Rietveld refinement method using the FullProf software,^{38–41} and the results are shown in Table 1. Diffractograms of $(\text{PEA})_2\text{PbI}_4$, $(\text{PEA})_2\text{MAPb}_2\text{I}_7$, $(\text{BA})_2\text{PbI}_4$, and $(\text{BA})_2\text{MAPb}_2\text{I}_7$ including photographs of the corresponding crystals are shown in Figure S1. The triclinic phase was found with $P\bar{1}$ space group for $(\text{PEA})_2\text{PbI}_4$ and $P1$ for $(\text{PEA})_2\text{MAPb}_2\text{I}_7$. The $(\text{PEA})_2\text{MAPb}_2\text{I}_7$ single crystals mostly represented a triclinic lattice structure at RT.^{40,41} On the other hand, the orthorhombic phase can be found with primitive centrosymmetric $Pbca$ space group for $(\text{BA})_2\text{PbI}_4$ ⁴² and $C2m$ space group for $(\text{BA})_2\text{MAPb}_2\text{I}_7$.^{42,37,43} Due to the larger size of




Figure 2. (a) X-ray diffraction pattern of the crystals. (b) Absorption lengths l calculated for X-ray and γ -ray spectral region. Inset corresponds to the magnified X-ray spectral region at 50 keV and γ -ray spectral region at 511 keV. Band structure, total (black) and projected (color) DOS, of (c) $(\text{PEA})_2\text{PbI}_4$, (d) $(\text{PEA})_2\text{MAPb}_2\text{I}_7$, (e) $(\text{BA})_2\text{PbI}_4$, and (f) $(\text{BA})_2\text{MAPb}_2\text{I}_7$ crystals. The Pb p, PEA p, BA p, MA p, and I p are represented by blue, orange, pink, green, and red lines, respectively.

iodide than bromide, the $(\text{PEA})_2\text{PbI}_4$ crystal shows 209.60 \AA^3 and the $(\text{BA})_2\text{PbI}_4$ crystal shows 219.14 \AA^3 larger volume compared to their corresponding bromide crystal. The volume for $(\text{PEA})_2\text{MAPb}_2\text{I}_7$ crystal is 378.95 \AA^3 larger than the $(\text{BA})_2\text{MAPb}_2\text{I}_7$ crystal, which is due to the larger size of PEA compared to the BA cation.

Figure 2b presents the calculated absorption lengths⁴⁴ for photon energies up to 511 keV, and the insets correspond to the magnified X-ray spectral region at 50 keV and γ -ray spectral region at 511 keV. The mass density (ρ) of $(\text{PEA})_2\text{PbI}_4$, $(\text{PEA})_2\text{MAPb}_2\text{I}_7$, $(\text{BA})_2\text{PbI}_4$, and $(\text{BA})_2\text{MAPb}_2\text{I}_7$ crystals are calculated as 2.59, 3.00, 2.73, and 3.14 g cm^{-3} , respectively.^{32,45} Iodide (I^-) HOIP crystals have higher ρ than $(\text{PEA})_2\text{PbBr}_4$ (2.28 g cm^{-3}) or $(\text{BA})_2\text{PbBr}_4$ (2.36 g cm^{-3}) crystals, respectively.⁴⁶ The larger atomic size of iodide compared to bromide shows high mass density. In our works, ρ values of $(\text{PEA})_2\text{MAPb}_2\text{I}_7$ and $(\text{BA})_2\text{MAPb}_2\text{I}_7$ crystals are similar in comparison to the reported 3.00 g cm^{-3} ⁴⁰ and 3.16 g cm^{-3} ,³⁷ respectively. As a result, all absorption lengths (l) at 50 keV of those iodide crystals are at least 50% shorter than those of bromides (l of $(\text{BA})_2\text{PbBr}_4$ is 0.099 cm).⁴⁶ However, those l values become at least 5% shorter at 511 keV.⁴⁶ The

$(\text{BA})_2\text{MAPb}_2\text{I}_7$ has the shortest absorption length of all the studied crystals as can be seen from Figure 2b. At 50 keV, which is widely used in X-ray imaging, l of $(\text{PEA})_2\text{PbI}_4$ is 0.050 cm, 16% longer than l of $(\text{BA})_2\text{PbI}_4$ of 0.042 cm, and for $(\text{PEA})_2\text{MAPb}_2\text{I}_7$ is 0.039 cm, just 11% longer than l of $(\text{BA})_2\text{MAPb}_2\text{I}_7$ of 0.035 cm. The effect of the PEA cation on the density leads to a 20% longer l for A_2PbI_4 and 6% shorter l for $\text{A}_2\text{MAPb}_2\text{I}_7$ compared to their BA cation crystals at 511 keV, and the results are summarized in Table 1. For TOF PET, longer l values of $(\text{PEA})_2\text{PbI}_4$ among the four crystals are still 44% longer at 50 keV and 50% longer at 511 keV than that of a commercial scintillator, CsI:TI.^{20,24}

The ab initio components for the scintillation efficiencies can be studied through their band structures. Therefore, we employ the density functional theory (DFT) method to calculate the density of states (DOS) and determine the optical bandgap (E_g). The band structures with their total (black) and projected (color) DOS of the studied perovskite crystals are shown in Figures 2c–f. From the calculations, the band structures of all iodide-based QW HOIPS show direct bandgap characteristics.

Table 1. Summary of the Crystal Data, Structure Refinements, Bandgaps, and Other Parameters for (PEA)₂PbI₄, (PEA)₂MAPb₂I₇, (BA)₂PbI₄, and (BA)₂MAPb₂I₇ Crystals at 298 K^a

	(PEA) ₂ PbI ₄	(PEA) ₂ MAPb ₂ I ₇	(BA) ₂ PbI ₄	(BA) ₂ MAPb ₂ I ₇
empirical formula	C ₁₆ H ₂₄ N ₂ PbI ₄	C ₁₇ H ₃₀ N ₃ Pb ₂ I ₇	C ₈ H ₂₄ N ₂ PbI ₄	C ₉ H ₃₀ N ₃ Pb ₂ I ₇
formula weight	959.17	1579.12	862.90	1483.04
crystal system	triclinic	triclinic	orthorhombic	orthorhombic
space group	<i>P</i> $\bar{1}$	<i>P</i> 1	<i>Pbca</i>	<i>Cc2m</i>
<i>a</i> (Å)	8.5835	8.8015	8.2950	8.9785
<i>b</i> (Å)	8.6833	8.8130	9.2310	39.4140
<i>c</i> (Å)	33.2053	45.7276	27.6290	8.8524
α (deg)	85.1511	97.0364	90.0000	90.0000
β (deg)	85.1281	93.9577	90.0000	90.0000
γ (deg)	90.3921	90.1823	90.0000	90.0000
<i>V</i> (Å ³)	2456.8819	3511.6297	2115.5841	3132.6751
<i>Z</i>	4	4	4	4
ρ (g/cm ³)	2.59	3.00	2.73	3.14
<i>E</i> _g ^{abs} (eV)	2.35	2.05	2.41	1.97
<i>E</i> _g ^{cal} (eV)	2.13	2.10	2.24	1.80
<i>l</i> at 50 keV (cm)	0.050	0.039	0.042	0.035
<i>l</i> at 511 keV (cm)	3.93	3.22	3.59	3.02
PL peak (eV)	2.35	2.15	2.39	2.13

^aThe term absorption length is denoted as *l*.

We obtained the calculated bandgaps (*E*_g^{cal}) of 2.13, 2.10, 2.24, and 1.80 eV for (PEA)₂PbI₄, (PEA)₂MAPb₂I₇, (BA)₂PbI₄, and (BA)₂MAPb₂I₇, respectively; the former of (PEA)₂PbI₄ shows similar reported values of 2.13 eV,^{40,32} the (BA)₂PbI₄ shows similar reported values of 2.28 eV,⁴⁷ and the latter of (PEA)₂MAPb₂I₇ shows smaller than reported values of 2.31 eV.⁴⁸ To compare *E*_g^{cal}, we performed absorption measurements while their spectra and their corresponding bandgap fitting curves with Elliot formalism⁴⁹ are shown in Figure 3a and Figure S2, respectively. On one hand, we obtained small differences of 0.22 and 0.31 eV between *E*_g^{cal} and calculated Elliot fit bandgaps (*E*_g^{abs}) of 2.35 and 2.41 eV for (PEA)₂PbI₄ and (BA)₂PbI₄, respectively. On the other hand, we obtained 2.05 and 1.97 eV calculated from Elliot fit for (PEA)₂MAPb₂I₇ and (BA)₂MAPb₂I₇ crystals, respectively. The bandgap of 2.05 eV for the (PEA)₂MAPb₂I₇ crystal is larger compared to reported one of 1.86 eV,⁴⁰ and the bandgap of 1.97 eV for the crystal is coherent with the reported value of 1.99 eV.²⁷

PL measurements were performed on bulk crystals of (PEA)₂PbI₄, (PEA)₂MAPb₂I₇, (BA)₂PbI₄, and (BA)₂MAPb₂I₇ (Figure 3b).⁴⁹ In addition, absorption and PL spectra excited at 375 nm with a logarithmic scale of *y*-axis recorded at RT, decay curves, and photographs of the corresponding (PEA)₂PbBr₄ and (BA)₂PbBr₄ crystals are shown in Figure S3. PL spectra recorded at RT in Figures 3b,c exhibit two different emission origins depending on the excitation wavelength. On one hand, exciting the samples with 375 nm wavelength produces an emission band at 532 nm (green) for (PEA)₂PbI₄ and (BA)₂PbI₄ and 577 nm (yellow) for (PEA)₂MAPb₂I₇ and (BA)₂MAPb₂I₇ crystals which is much more intense than the band at 620 nm (red). On the other hand, when using the longer wavelength excitation of 532 nm, only one red emission band is observed at 620 nm (red) for (BA)₂PbI₄ and a broad band at 660 nm (red) for (PEA)₂PbI₄. For (PEA)₂MAPb₂I₇ crystals, the red emission at 620 nm at its bandgap energy (2.0 eV) with an appreciable PL emission broad band at 748 nm can be originated from the edges of the exfoliated layers of perovskite crystal, as reported by Blancon et

al.⁵⁰ The broadband of the PEA₂PbI₄ crystal at 660 nm is possibly due to the radiative path of electron capture at a positive iodide vacancy with a subsequent hole capture.⁵¹ There is no emission band observed for the (BA)₂MAPb₂I₇ crystal at 532 nm excitation wavelength. The green emission band has a full width at half-maximum (FWHM) equal to 19 nm for (PEA)₂PbI₄ and (BA)₂PbI₄ and 22 nm for (PEA)₂MAPb₂I₇ and (BA)₂MAPb₂I₇ while the red band is broad (110 nm) for (PEA)₂PbI₄ and narrow (32 nm) for (BA)₂PbI₄ and (PEA)₂MAPb₂I₇. The PL characteristics are observed for (PEA)₂PbI₄ and (PEA)₂MAPb₂I₇ crystals since they were synthesized using PbI₂ precursor and additionally treated with PEAI.³⁸ The origin of the green emission band is the characteristic excitonic emission from inorganic PbI₂ layers while the red emission is associated with the in-plane iodide vacancy causing surface states.³⁸

We probe the spectral origin of the emitting states observed using PL and time-resolved PL (TRPL) spectroscopy. The TRPL decay curves of perovskite crystals were fitted by exponential decay functions which are shown in Figures 4a–d. Most decay times in iodide QW HOIP crystals are faster than those in bromide QW HOIP crystals (see Figure S3), as they can affect the scintillation decay times. Such <1 ns fast decay components of iodide QW HOIP crystals were also observed in previous observations of QW HOIPs.^{16,22,32} The microsecond decay components were also observed under two-photon excitation.⁵² To do the analysis, we also present RT decay curves excited at 532 nm monitoring 620 nm emission in Figure S4. The decay components for the 532 nm emission band of the (PEA)₂PbI₄ crystal are 0.1, 0.5, and 4.1 ns, and they are associated with free-exciton emission. The average lifetime value $\tau_{\text{avg}}^{\text{PL}}$ of 1.0 ns is similar to those reported in ref 38. In the same crystal, the decay components at 620 nm emission are 3.6 and 37.7 ns, while $\tau_{\text{avg}}^{\text{PL}}$ is 36.6 ns. This is 36.6 times slower than $\tau_{\text{avg}}^{\text{PL}}$ at 532 nm (see Figure S4). The fastest decay time of 0.3 ns was observed at 532 nm and 0.4 ns at 620 nm for the (BA)₂PbI₄ crystal, which are about 4 and 99 times faster than $\tau_{\text{avg}}^{\text{PL}}$ at 532 and 620 nm of the (PEA)₂PbI₄ crystal, respectively. On the other hand, the decay components for the




Figure 3. (a) Absorption and PL spectra of $(\text{PEA})_2\text{PbI}_4$, $(\text{PEA})_2\text{MAPb}_2\text{I}_7$, $(\text{BA})_2\text{PbI}_4$, and $(\text{BA})_2\text{MAPb}_2\text{I}_7$ perovskites recorded at room temperature (RT) and excited at (b) 375 nm and (c) 532 nm.

$(\text{PEA})_2\text{MAPb}_2\text{I}_7$ crystal of 0.6 and 1.4 ns correspond to the exciton emission as the decay curve was measured for the 577 nm emission band and $\tau_{\text{avg}}^{\text{PL}}$ of 0.9 ns, while the decay components at the 620 nm emission peak position are 0.4 and 7.2 ns, and $\tau_{\text{avg}}^{\text{PL}}$ is 4.5 ns, which is 5 times slower than $\tau_{\text{avg}}^{\text{PL}}$ at 577 nm emission. The fast decay components for the $(\text{BA})_2\text{MAPb}_2\text{I}_7$ crystal of 0.3 and 0.8 ns correspond to the exciton emission as the decay curve was measured for the 577 nm emission band only, and $\tau_{\text{avg}}^{\text{PL}}$ of 0.5 ns is observed. Although for $(\text{PEA})_2\text{PbI}_4$ and $(\text{PEA})_2\text{MAPb}_2\text{I}_7$ crystals the decay times at 577 nm emission are fast, the presence of the emission for respective emission bands at 660 and 748 nm in Figure 3c can make the scintillation decay curves slower as the TRPL monitoring 620 nm emission exhibits slower decay components >8 ns (see Figure S4). As expected from the absence of the long wavelength band in the $(\text{BA})_2\text{PbI}_4$ crystal in Figure 3c, the decay time at 620 nm also yields a similar value as that at 532 nm (see Figures 4c and S4c for comparison).

The radioluminescence (RL) spectra at RT in Figure 5a are dominated by the red broadband emission, which resembles the red emission band in PL spectra excited with 532 nm wavelength in Figure 3a for $(\text{PEA})_2\text{PbI}_4$ and $(\text{PEA})_2\text{MAPb}_2\text{I}_7$ crystals. On one hand, the red surface defect emission (630–665 nm) dominates against the green exciton emission (520–545 nm) for $(\text{PEA})_2\text{PbI}_4$ and $(\text{PEA})_2\text{MAPb}_2\text{I}_7$ crystals as seen in their RL spectra at RT. This is due to self-absorption as it was observed in other previous QW HOIP crystals.^{16,53} On the other hand, the green surface defect emission (560 nm) strongly dominates the red emission (700 nm) for the $(\text{BA})_2\text{PbI}_4$ crystal, and there is no emission excited by X-rays for the $(\text{BA})_2\text{MAPb}_2\text{I}_7$ crystal. However, the $(\text{PEA})_2\text{PbI}_4$ crystal shows higher self-absorption compared to the $(\text{BA})_2\text{PbI}_4$ crystal, and overall the self-absorption of the $(\text{PEA})_2\text{MAPb}_2\text{I}_7$ crystal is much more stronger among all the crystals due to presence of MAPbI_3 impurities.⁴⁸ Afterglow decays recorded after 10 min of X-ray irradiation at the 10 K curve of $(\text{PEA})_2\text{PbI}_4$, $(\text{PEA})_2\text{MAPb}_2\text{I}_7$, $(\text{BA})_2\text{PbI}_4$, and $(\text{BA})_2\text{MAPb}_2\text{I}_7$ crystals are shown in Figure 5b. The details of afterglow decay components parameters are reported in Table S1. The afterglow decay components for $(\text{PEA})_2\text{PbI}_4$ are 4.9 s (10%), 33.1 s (32%), and 364.3 s (58%), and the average value of afterglow times $\tau_{\text{avg}}^{\text{afterglow}}$ is 224.1 s, while for the $(\text{BA})_2\text{PbI}_4$ crystal they are 0.2 s (2%), 15.7 s (26%), and 166.5 s (72%) with a $\tau_{\text{avg}}^{\text{afterglow}}$ of 87.4 s. On the other hand, the afterglow decay components for $(\text{PEA})_2\text{MAPb}_2\text{I}_7$ crystal are 9.6 s (1%), 121.6 s (4%), and 4560.1 s (95%), with $\tau_{\text{avg}}^{\text{afterglow}}$ of 4336.5 s, while for the $(\text{BA})_2\text{MAPb}_2\text{I}_7$ crystal are 6.5 s (35%), and 66.5 s (65%), with $\tau_{\text{avg}}^{\text{afterglow}}$ of 45.4 s. The fastest afterglow is observed for $(\text{BA})_2\text{PbI}_4$, which is 5 times faster than $(\text{PEA})_2\text{PbI}_4$. The afterglow for A_2PbI_4 crystals is faster than that observed in $\text{A}_2\text{BPb}_2\text{I}_7$ crystals due to low trap density. The presence of traps is directly related to the chain length of the organic cation.⁵⁴ We examine the presence of trap states in the investigated scintillators by performing thermoluminescence (TL) measurements. TL is the phenomenon of afterglow with temperature of a previously exposed materials by high-energy radiation. Originally the thermally activated afterglow is due to the phonon-assisted release of trapped charge carriers with temperature, leading to radiative recombination.^{16,33}

TL spectra and the corresponding fits are shown in Figures 5c–f, and TL parameters are given in Table S2 for $(\text{PEA})_2\text{PbI}_4$, $(\text{PEA})_2\text{MAPb}_2\text{I}_7$, $(\text{BA})_2\text{PbI}_4$, and $(\text{BA})_2\text{MAPb}_2\text{I}_7$. All samples show several glow peaks as the temperature of the sample is raised, indicating the presence of traps in the crystals. Unfortunately, those traps are mostly deeper, and they have more trapped charge carriers than those in $(\text{PEA})_2\text{PbBr}_4$ and $(\text{BA})_2\text{PbBr}_4$.⁴⁶ The glow peaks for the $(\text{PEA})_2\text{PbI}_4$ crystal are observed at temperatures 47, 101, and 144 K with trap densities of 1.2×10^4 , 1.3×10^4 , and 2.1×10^3 , respectively, as shown in Figure 5c. Significant and several glow peaks with less noisy result for $(\text{PEA})_2\text{MAPb}_2\text{I}_7$ crystal are observed due to the high intensity and more traps as summarized in Table S2, including a deep trap over a long temperature range (up to 200 K), as shown in Figure 5d. On the other hand, negligible glow peaks are observed at temperatures 54 and 127 K with trap density of 2.9×10^3 and 1.5×10^4 , respectively, for the $(\text{PEA})_2\text{PbI}_4$ crystal as shown in Figure 5e and at 39 and 78 K with trap densities of 1.1×10^4 and 5.5×10^3 , respectively, as shown in Figure 5f. $\text{A}_2\text{MAPb}_2\text{I}_7$ HOIP crystals and especially for the $(\text{PEA})_2\text{MAPb}_2\text{I}_7$ crystal show more traps due to the presence of MAPbI_3 impurities⁴⁸ which has strong traps.⁵⁵ In




Figure 4. TRPL decay curve excited at 375 nm monitoring 532 nm emission of (a) $(\text{PEA})_2\text{PbI}_4$ and (c) $(\text{BA})_2\text{PbI}_4$ and 577 nm emission of (b) $(\text{PEA})_2\text{MAPb}_2\text{I}_7$ and (d) $(\text{BA})_2\text{MAPb}_2\text{I}_7$ crystals. The fits are shown with red lines while the insets correspond to longer time scales.




Figure 5. (a) RL spectra at RT. (b) Afterglow decay curves at 10 K of $(\text{PEA})_2\text{PbI}_4$, $(\text{PEA})_2\text{MAPb}_2\text{I}_7$, $(\text{BA})_2\text{PbI}_4$, and $(\text{BA})_2\text{MAPb}_2\text{I}_7$ crystals. Afterglow parts were recorded after 10 min of X-ray irradiation. TL spectra and corresponding fits of (c) $(\text{PEA})_2\text{PbI}_4$, (d) $(\text{PEA})_2\text{MAPb}_2\text{I}_7$, (e) $(\text{BA})_2\text{PbI}_4$, and (f) $(\text{BA})_2\text{MAPb}_2\text{I}_7$ crystals.

addition, the $(\text{PEA})_2\text{MAPb}_2\text{I}_7$ crystal has traps over a wide range of temperature from 30 to 250 K.

Light yield is an important property of a scintillator, i.e., the efficiency of the scintillator to convert the energy of absorbed X- and γ -rays into visible photons.²⁰ RL measurements were used to determine the comparative values of light yields for perovskite scintillators.^{19,56} The γ -ray pulse height method gives quantitative values for the light yield and also provides information on the energy resolution of the scintillator. We note that the pulse height method is integrated for light yields faster than 2 μs while the RL comparison is integrated over $\sim 1\text{s}$ longer time. In our current analysis, the light yield is the comparison of the photopeak signals in the pulse height spectra at certain energy of γ -ray radiation with the scintillator single electron response.³³ Figure 6a present the results for pulse height spectra recorded under γ -ray excitation. The pulse height spectrum in Figure 6a for $(\text{PEA})_2\text{PbI}_4$, $(\text{BA})_2\text{PbI}_4$, and $(\text{PEA})_2\text{MAPb}_2\text{I}_7$ crystals exhibit structures of Compton scattering and photoelectric peak; however, the obtained energy resolution value at 662 keV from the ^{137}Cs source of γ -ray excitation is above 32%, which is still far from beating the best energy resolution for a lithium-doped $(\text{PEA})_2\text{PbBr}_4$ of 7.7% at the same energy.¹⁶ Figure 6b shows the temperature-dependent normalized light yield under 45 keV X-ray excitation.

Based on the integration of the RL intensities at each temperature, the temperature-dependent light yield was calculated. Under X-ray excitation, large amounts of charge carriers are involved, leading to the large possibility of trapping.¹⁶ As shown in Figure S5, the temperature-dependent RL 2D maps of some HOIP crystals illustrate their different patterns. Because of the negative thermal quenching behaviors,




Figure 6. (a) Integrated pulse height in the logarithmic scale. (b) Temperature-dependent light yield from 10 to 350 K under 45 keV X-ray excitation of (PEA)₂PbI₄, (PEA)₂MAPb₂I₇, (BA)₂PbI₄, and (BA)₂MAPb₂I₇ crystals. (c) Scintillation decay curves of (PEA)₂PbI₄, (PEA)₂MAPb₂I₇, and (BA)₂PbI₄. For the γ -ray source a ¹³⁷Cs emitting at 662 keV was used.

bromide HOIP crystals have maximum light yields at temperatures close to RT,¹⁶ while iodide HOIP crystals suffer from the regular thermal quenching, so their light yields at RT is not as high as those at low temperatures. At 10 K, all samples exhibit the maximum light yields which then gradually decrease by temperature raising. By comparing the organic cation of A₂PbI₄ or A₂MAPb₂I₇ HOIP crystals with the same iodide, for instance, (PEA)₂PbI₄ and (BA)₂PbI₄ or (PEA)₂MAPb₂I₇ and

(BA)₂MAPb₂I₇, it is evident that PEA cation ones have higher light yields. To discuss the difference, the light yield (LY), expressed in photons/keV, is given by the relation

$$LY \propto (S \times Q) / E_g \quad (1)$$

where *S* denotes the electron–hole transport efficiency to the exciton recombination and *Q* signifies the luminescence quantum efficiency of the exciton. There are also losses during the transport of the light in the detector due to internal scattering and reabsorption, so the actual light yield of the scintillator might be less than expected, depending on the geometry of the scintillator.²⁰ The light yields of all QW HOIPS are summarized in Table S3. (BA)₂PbI₄ has the highest light yield of 2 photons/keV among all crystals while (PEA)₂PbI₄ has 1 photon/keV at RT. The light yield of (BA)₂PbI₄ has improved at both RT and 10 K compared to the reported¹⁶ one as shown in Table S3 due to the different fabrication method. Because (BA)₂PbI₄ has a slightly larger *E_g* of 0.1 eV, we expect that the light yield is to be smaller, but it appears that (BA)₂PbI₄ has a larger *Q* and/or *S* than (PEA)₂PbI₄. This can be seen in the trend between (BA)₂PbBr₄ and (PEA)₂PbBr₄.^{53,33} For (PEA)₂MAPb₂I₇, the light yield is slightly improved than that of (PEA)₂PbI₄ because the bandgap is smaller (2.10 eV). The smallest bandgap for (BA)₂MAPb₂I₇ is 1.80 eV and it shows a very small light yield at RT (see also Figure S5) as there is a strong quenching due to the bandgap being too small.⁵⁷ The maximum light yield of (PEA)₂PbBr₄ crystal at RT is 10 photons/keV,^{58,59} larger than the iodide-based QW HOIP crystal scintillators. On one hand, the light yield for the (PEA)₂MAPb₂I₇ crystal is 1.4 photons/keV at RT, which is still low compared to the (BA)₂PbI₄ HOIP scintillator of 2 photons/keV. On the other hand, the (PEA)₂MAPb₂I₇ scintillator has a peak position in the pulse height spectrum which is slightly higher than the position in that of the (PEA)₂PbI₄ scintillator meaning higher light yield. Because the light yields at RT were observed in three crystals, the delay distribution and the coincidence timing resolution (CTR) measurement results for (PEA)₂PbI₄, (BA)₂PbI₄, and (PEA)₂MAPb₂I₇ crystals are shown in ref 32 and Figure S6, respectively. We obtain CTR values of 138 ± 5, 149 ± 10, and 207 ± 14 ps for (PEA)₂PbI₄, (BA)₂PbI₄, and (PEA)₂MAPb₂I₇ crystals, respectively, and all still have similar values. They still can be 2 times improved by increasing the light yield at low temperature, making them similar to or slightly better than those of bromide crystals.³² The light yield stability measured from the pulse height spectra of the (PEA)₂MAPb₂I₇ crystal for 6 h and the derived values of the light yield were plotted with the normalized values at the initial time as shown in Figure S7, showing that the hygroscopicity is not as notorious as other iodides, e.g., LaI₃:Ce³⁺.⁵⁷ We determine the decay at high energies by investigating the γ -ray excited scintillation decay curves recorded under γ -ray excitation at 662 keV presented in Figure 6c while we report the exponential fitting parameters for the decay curves in Table S4. From the decay curves in Figure 6c, we can immediately see that (BA)₂PbI₄ scintillator leads to a faster decay compared to the (PEA)₂PbI₄ scintillator, while the decay of (PEA)₂MAPb₂I₇ is the slowest. The QW HOIP samples show average decay times of 190 and 111 ns for (PEA)₂PbI₄ and (BA)₂PbI₄, respectively. The fastest decay components of the QW HOIP crystals are 0.5 and 0.4 ns for (PEA)₂PbI₄ and (BA)₂PbI₄, respectively. Such ultrafast decay components can be linked to TRPL decay curves in Figures 4 and S4, and they are not observed in (PEA)₂PbBr₄ and

(BA)₂PbBr₄.⁴⁶ The (PEA)₂MAPb₂I₇ perovskite shows an average decay time of 112 ns and decay components of 9.3 ns (37%), 43 ns (23%), and 249 ns (39%). The fast component (<1 ns) is not observed for the (PEA)₂MAPb₂I₇ perovskite due to the different scintillation mechanism, dominant slow exciton, and absence of free exciton emission in RL at RT. It is possible that the average lifetimes <200 ns observed are due to the higher presence of traps that lead to nonradiative processes and thus lead to a faster decay of the luminescence.³³

CONCLUSIONS

In summary, we investigated the effects of PEA and BA cation on the crystal structure as well as optical and scintillation properties of both QW HOIP A₂PbI₄ and A₂MAPb₂I₇ crystals based on the XRD analysis, absorption, PL, TRPL, temperature-dependent RL, and pulse height measurements. Based on the XRD results, we calculated the band structure and density of states using DFT analysis. From the PL measurement, we observed that A₂PbI₄ crystals exhibit green and red emission with fastest PL decay time. Overall, we observed that (BA)₂MAPb₂I₇ scintillator exhibits the highest mass density, and smaller bandgap due to the increased thickness of the inorganic slabs. We conducted temperature-dependent RL measurements to explore the effects of temperature on the scintillation properties of the perovskites, including the effect on the afterglow. We observed comparable light yields for all iodide crystals at 10 K (~10 photons/keV), while light yields at RT (between 1 and 2 photons/keV) are still much lower compared to QW HOIP bromide crystals. The biggest advantages of QW HOIP iodide scintillators compared to their bromide counterparts are shorter radiation (X- and γ -ray) absorption lengths (between 0.035 and 0.050 cm at 50 keV), faster decay time components (between 0.3 and 1.0 ns), and comparable light yields (between 7.5 and 10 photons/keV) at low temperature. Furthermore, our study of optical and scintillation properties of both A₂PbI₄ and A₂MAPb₂I₇ crystals provides insight for further improvements on the radiation absorptions and emission rates toward high sensitivity and fast radiation detection applications.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at <https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.jpcc.3c00824>.

Rietveld refinement of crystal XRD diffractograms, absorption spectra with Elliot fits, TRPL recorded at 532 nm excitation monitoring 620 nm emission, RL spectra mapping at different temperatures, CTR results, and light yield stability of iodide-based QW HOIPS; PL and absorption spectra of (PEA)₂PbBr₄ and (BA)₂PbBr₄ (PDF)

Crystallographic data for (PEA)₂PbI₄ crystal (CIF)

Crystallographic data for (PEA)₂MAPb₂I₇ crystal (CIF)

Crystallographic data for (BA)₂PbI₄ crystal (CIF)

Crystallographic data for (BA)₂MAPb₂I₇ crystal (CIF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Authors

Daniele Cortecchia – Dipartimento di Chimica Industriale “Toso Montanari”, Università di Bologna, 40136 Bologna,

Italy; orcid.org/0000-0001-8623-9191;

Email: daniele.cortecchia2@unibo.it

Muhammad Danang Birowosuto – Łukasiewicz Research Network-PORT Polish Center for Technology Development, Wrocław 54-066, Poland; orcid.org/0000-0002-9997-6841; Email: muhammad.birowosuto@port.lukasiewicz.gov.pl

Authors

Md Abdul Kuddus Sheikh – Łukasiewicz Research Network-PORT Polish Center for Technology Development, Wrocław 54-066, Poland; orcid.org/0000-0001-5681-9953

Dominik Kowal – Łukasiewicz Research Network-PORT Polish Center for Technology Development, Wrocław 54-066, Poland; orcid.org/0000-0001-9424-0416

Muhammad Haris Mahyuddin – Research Group of Advanced Functional Materials and Research Center for Nanoscience and Nanotechnology, Institut Teknologi Bandung, Bandung 40132, Indonesia; orcid.org/0000-0002-8017-7847

Roberto Cala’ – Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Milano-Bicocca, Milan 20126, Italy; CERN, 1211 Meyrin, Switzerland

Etiennette Auffray – CERN, 1211 Meyrin, Switzerland

Marcin Eugeniusz Witkowski – Institute of Physics, Faculty of Physics, Astronomy, and Informatics, Nicolaus Copernicus University in Toruń, 87-100 Toruń, Poland; orcid.org/0000-0001-6282-8610

Michał Makowski – Institute of Physics, Faculty of Physics, Astronomy, and Informatics, Nicolaus Copernicus University in Toruń, 87-100 Toruń, Poland; orcid.org/0000-0001-7758-2326

Winicjusz Drozdowski – Institute of Physics, Faculty of Physics, Astronomy, and Informatics, Nicolaus Copernicus University in Toruń, 87-100 Toruń, Poland; orcid.org/0000-0002-6207-4801

Hong Wang – School of Electrical and Electronic Engineering, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore 639798, Singapore

Christophe Dujardin – Institut Lumière Matière, UMR5306, Université Claude Bernard Lyon1 and CNRS Lyon, 69622 Lyon, France; orcid.org/0000-0002-0205-9837

Complete contact information is available at: <https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.jpcc.3c00824>

Author Contributions

M.A.K.S.: methodology, formal analysis, investigation, writing-original draft, writing-review and editing; D.K., M.H.M., and R.C.: formal analysis, investigation, writing-review and editing; E.A., M.E.W., M.M., W.D., and C.D.: resources, formal analysis, writing-review and editing; D.C.: conceptualization, formal analysis, investigation, project administration, funding acquisition, writing-review and editing; H.W.: resources, writing-review and editing; M.D.B.: conceptualization, supervision, formal analysis, resources, writing-review and editing, project administration, funding acquisition.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work has been performed in the framework of the Crystal Clear Collaboration. D.C. acknowledges the support by the

ERC Starting Grant project SUPER under Grant Agreement No. 101040681. M.H.M., H.W., and M.D.B. acknowledge research funding from the Institut Teknologi Bandung under the “Riset ITB 2023” scheme (Grant 308/IT1.B07.1/TA.01/2023), Singapore Ministry of Education Academic Research Fund Tier 1 (RG149/19), and the starting funding from Lukaszewicz Research Network-PORT, respectively.

ABBREVIATIONS

HOIP, hybrid organic–inorganic perovskites; QW, quantum well; 2D, two-dimensional; 3D, three-dimensional; PEA, phenethylammonium; BA, butylammonium; RT, room temperature; XRD, X-ray diffraction; DOS, density of states; DFT, density functional theory; PL, photoluminescence; TRPL, time-resolved PL; RL, radioluminescence; TL, thermoluminescence; CTR, coincidence time resolution.

REFERENCES

- (1) Kojima, A.; Teshima, K.; Shirai, Y.; Miyasaka, T. Organometal halide perovskites as visible-light sensitizers for photovoltaic cells. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, *131* (17), 6050–6051.
- (2) Li, P.; Zhang, Y.; Liang, C.; Xing, G.; Liu, X.; Li, F.; Liu, X.; Hu, X.; Shao, G.; Song, Y. Phase pure 2D perovskite for high-performance 2D-3D heterostructured perovskite solar cells. *Adv. Mater.* **2018**, *30*, 1805323.
- (3) Zuo, C. T.; Bolink, H. J.; Han, H. W.; Huang, J. S.; Cahen, D.; Ding, L. M. Advances in perovskite solar cells. *Adv. Sci.* **2016**, *3*, 1500324.
- (4) Kim, H.-S.; Hagfeldt, A.; Park, N.-G. Morphological and compositional progress in halide perovskite solar cells. *Chem. Commun.* **2019**, *55*, 1192–1200.
- (5) Wang, P.; Wu, Y.; Cai, B.; Ma, Q.; Zheng, X.; Zhang, W. H. Solution-processable perovskite solar cells toward commercialization: progress and challenges. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **2019**, *29*, 1807661.
- (6) Chin, X. Y.; Cortecchia, D.; Yin, J.; Bruno, A.; Soci, C. Lead iodide perovskite light-emitting field-effect transistor. *Nat. Commun.* **2015**, *6*, 7383.
- (7) Smith, I. C.; Hoke, E. T.; Solis-Ibarra, D.; McGehee, M. D.; Karunadasa, H. I. A layered hybrid perovskite solar-cell absorber with enhanced moisture stability. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2014**, *53* (42), 11232–11235.
- (8) Mao, L.; Wu, Y.; Stoumpos, C. C.; Wasielewski, M. R.; Kanatzidis, M. G. White-light emission and structural distortion in new corrugated two-dimensional lead bromide perovskites. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2017**, *139* (14), 5210–5215.
- (9) Correa-Baena, J.-P.; Abate, A.; Saliba, M.; Tress, W.; Jesper Jacobsson, T.; Gratzel, M.; Hagfeldt, A. The rapid evolution of highly efficient perovskite solar cells. *Energy Environ. Sci.* **2017**, *10*, 710–727.
- (10) Tsai, H.; Nie, W.; Blancon, J.-C.; Stoumpos, C. C.; Soe, C. M. M.; Yoo, J.; Crochet, J.; Tretiak, S.; Even, J.; Sadhanala, A.; et al. Stable light-emitting diodes using phase-pure ruddlesden–popper layered perovskites. *Adv. Mater.* **2018**, *30*, 1704217.
- (11) Sutherland, B. R.; Sargent, E. H. Perovskite photonic sources. *Nat. Photonics* **2016**, *10*, 295–302.
- (12) Wang, H.; Kim, D. H. Perovskite-based photodetectors: materials and devices. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2017**, *46*, 5204–5236.
- (13) Fu, Y.; Zhu, H.; Chen, J.; Hautzinger, M. P.; Zhu, X.-Y.; Jin, S. Metal halide perovskite nanostructures for optoelectronic applications and the study of physical properties. *Nat. Rev. Mater.* **2019**, *4*, 169–188.
- (14) Xie, A.; Hettiarachchi, C.; Maddalena, F.; Witkowski, M. E.; Makowski, M.; Drozdowski, W.; Arramel, W.; Wee, A. T. S.; Springham, S. V.; Vuong, P. Q.; et al. Lithium-doped two-dimensional perovskite scintillator for wide-range radiation detection. *Commun. Mater.* **2020**, *1* (1), 37.
- (15) Kumar, S.; Jagielski, J.; Yakunin, S.; Rice, P.; Chiu, Y.-C.; Wang, M.; Nedelcu, G.; Kim, Y.; Lin, S.; Santos, E. J. G.; et al. Efficient blue electroluminescence using quantum-confined two-dimensional perovskites. *ACS Nano* **2016**, *10* (10), 9720–9729.
- (16) Xie, A.; Maddalena, F.; Witkowski, M. E.; Makowski, M.; Mahler, B.; Drozdowski, W.; Springham, S. V.; Coquet, P.; Dujardin, C.; Birowosuto, M. D.; et al. Library of two-dimensional hybrid lead halide perovskite scintillator crystals. *Chem. Mater.* **2020**, *32* (19), 8530–8539.
- (17) Wibowo, A.; Sheikh, M. A. K.; Diguna, L. J.; Ananda, M. B.; Marsudi, M. A.; Arramel, A.; Zeng, S.; Wong, L. J.; Birowosuto, M. D. Development and challenges in perovskite scintillators for high resolution imaging, and timing applications. *Commun. Mater.* **2023**, DOI: 10.1038/s43246-023-00348-5.
- (18) Yang, Y.; Gao, F.; Gao, S.; Wei, S.-H. Origin of the stability of two-dimensional perovskites: a first-principles study. *J. Mater. Chem. A* **2018**, *6*, 14949–14955.
- (19) Birowosuto, M. D.; Cortecchia, D.; Drozdowski, W.; Brylew, K.; Lachmanski, W.; Bruno, A.; Soci, C. X-ray scintillation in lead halide perovskite crystals. *Sci. Rep.* **2016**, *6* (1), 37254.
- (20) Maddalena, F.; Tjahjana, L.; Xie, A.; Arramel, Zeng, S.; Wang, H.; Coquet, P.; Drozdowski, W.; Dujardin, C.; Dang, C. Inorganic, organic, and perovskite halides with nanotechnology for high–light yield X- and γ -ray scintillators. *Crystals* **2019**, *9* (88), 88.
- (21) Kawano, N.; Koshimizu, M.; Horiai, A.; Nishikido, F.; Haruki, R.; Kishimoto, S.; Shibuya, K.; Fujimoto, Y.; Yanagida, T.; Asai, K. Effect of organic moieties on the scintillation properties of organic–inorganic layered perovskite-type compounds. *Jpn. J. Appl. Phys.* **2016**, *55*, 110309.
- (22) Shibuya, K.; Koshimizu, M.; Takeoka, Y.; Asai, K. Scintillation properties of $(C_6H_{13}NH_3)_2PbI_4$: exciton luminescence of an organic/inorganic multiple quantum well structure compound induced by 2.0 MeV protons. *Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. B* **2002**, *194* (2), 207–212.
- (23) Kishimoto, S.; Shibuya, K.; Nishikido, F.; Koshimizu, M.; Haruki, R.; Yoda, Y. Subnanosecond time resolved X-ray measurements using an organic-inorganic perovskite scintillator. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **2008**, *93* (26), 261901.
- (24) Dujardin, C.; Auffray, E.; Bourret-Courchesne, E.; Dorenbos, P.; Lecoq, P.; Nikl, M.; Vasil'ev, A. N.; Yoshikawa, A.; Zhu, R. Y. Needs, trends, and advances in inorganic scintillators. *IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci.* **2018**, *65* (8), 1977–1997.
- (25) Tsai, H.; Nie, W.; Blancon, J.-C.; Stoumpos, C. C.; Asadpour, R.; Harutyunyan, B.; Neukirch, A. J.; Verduzco, R.; Crochet, J. J.; Tretiak, S.; Pedesseau, L.; Even, J.; Alam, M. A.; Gupta, G.; Lou, J.; Ajayan, P. M.; Bedzyk, M. J.; Kanatzidis, M. G.; Mohite, A. D. High-efficiency two-dimensional Ruddlesden–Popper perovskite solar cells. *Nature* **2016**, *536*, 312–316.
- (26) Xiao, Z.; Meng, W.; Wang, J.; Mitzi, D. B.; Yan, Y. Searching for promising new perovskite-based photovoltaic absorbers: the importance of electronic dimensionality. *Mater. Horiz.* **2017**, *4*, 206–216.
- (27) Soe, C. M. M.; Stoumpos, C. C.; Kepenekian, M.; Traore, B.; Tsai, H.; Nie, W.; Wang, B.; Katan, C.; Seshadri, R.; Mohite, A. D.; Even, J.; Marks, T. J.; Kanatzidis, M. G. New type of 2D perovskites with alternating cations in the interlayer space, $(C(NH_2)_3)-(CH_3NH_3)_nPb_nI_{3n+1}$: structure, properties, and photovoltaic performance. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2017**, *139* (45), 16297–16309.
- (28) Kagan, C. R.; Mitzi, D. B.; Dimitrakopoulos, C. D. D. B. M. a. C. D. Organic-inorganic hybrid materials as semiconducting channels in thin-film field-effect transistors. *Science* **1999**, *286*, 945.
- (29) Wu, X.; Trinh, M. T.; Niesner, D.; Zhu, H.; Norman, Z.; Owen, J. S.; Yaffe, O.; Kudisch, B. J.; Zhu, X.-Y. Trap states in lead iodide perovskites. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2015**, *137* (5), 2089–2096.
- (30) Yuan, M.; Quan, L. N.; Comin, R.; Walters, G.; Sabatini, R.; Voznyy, O.; Hoogland, S.; Zhao, Y.; Beauregard, E. M.; Kanjanaboos, P.; Lu, Z.; Kim, D. H.; Sargent, E. H. Perovskite energy funnels for efficient light-emitting diodes. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* **2016**, *11*, 872.
- (31) Wong, J.; Yang, K. 2D hybrid halide perovskites: synthesis, properties, and applications. *Sol. RRL* **2021**, *5* (1), 2000395.
- (32) Kowal, D.; Makowski, M.; Witkowski, M. E.; Cala, R.; Sheikh, Md A. K.; Mahyuddin, M. H.; Auffray, E.; Drozdowski, W.;

- Cortecchia, D.; Birowosuto, M. PEA₂PbI₄: Fast two-dimensional lead iodide perovskite scintillator with green and red emission. *Mater. Today Chem.* **2023**, DOI: 10.1016/j.mtchem.2023.101455.
- (33) Maddalena, F.; Xie, A.; Arramel; Witkowski, M. E.; Makowski, M.; Mahler, B.; Drozdowski, W.; Mariyappan, T.; Springham, S. V.; Coquet, P.; et al. Effect of commensurate lithium doping on the scintillation of two-dimensional perovskite crystals. *J. Mater. Chem. C* **2021**, *9*, 2504–2512.
- (34) Kohn, W.; Sham, L. J. Self-consistent equations including exchange and correlation effects. *Phys. Rev.* **1965**, *140*, A1133–A1138.
- (35) Kresse, G.; Furthmüller, J. Efficiency of ab-initio total energy calculations for metals and semiconductors using a plane-wave basis set. *Comput. Mater. Sci.* **1996**, *6* (1), 15–50.
- (36) Blöchl, P. E. Projector augmented-wave method. *Phys. Rev. B* **1994**, *50*, 17953–17979.
- (37) Stoumpos, C. C.; Cao, D. H.; Clark, D. J.; Young, J.; Rondinelli, J. M.; Jang, J. I.; Hupp, J. T.; Kanatzidis, M. G. Ruddlesden-popper hybrid lead iodide perovskite 2D homologous semiconductors. *Chem. Mater.* **2016**, *28*, 2852–2867.
- (38) Yin, J.; Naphade, R.; Arzaluz, L. G.; Brédas, J.-L.; Bakr, O. M.; Mohammed, O. M. Modulation of broadband emissions in two dimensional <100>-oriented ruddlesden-popper hybrid perovskites. *ACS Energy Lett.* **2020**, *5*, 2149–2155.
- (39) Du, K.-Z.; Tu, Q.; Zhang, X.; Han, Q.; Liu, J.; Zauscher, S.; Mitzi, D. B. Two-dimensional lead(ii) halide-based hybrid perovskites templated by acene alkylamines: crystal structures, optical properties, and piezoelectricity. *Inorg. Chem.* **2017**, *56*, 9291–9302.
- (40) Song, J.; Dang, Y.; Liu, X. L.; Tao, X. Layered hybrid lead perovskite single crystals: phase transformations and tunable optical properties. *CrytEngComm* **2020**, *22*, 6310–6315.
- (41) Calabrese, J.; Jones, N. L.; Harlow, R. L.; Herron, N.; Thorn, D. L.; Wang, Y. Preparation and characterization of layered lead halide compounds. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1991**, *113* (6), 2328–2330.
- (42) Mitzi, D. B. Synthesis, crystal structure, and optical and thermal properties of (C₄H₉NH₃)₂MI₄ (M = Ge, Sn, Pb). *Chem. Mater.* **1996**, *8* (3), 791–800.
- (43) Billing, D. G.; Lemmerer, A. Synthesis, characterization and phase transitions in the inorganic-organic layered perovskite-type hybrids [(C_nH_{2n+1}NH₃)₂PbI₄], n = 4, 5 and 6. *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B: Struct. Sci.* **2007**, *63*, 735–747.
- (44) NIST X-ray attenuation calculator. <https://physics.nist.gov/PhysRefData/FFast/html/form.html> (accessed 2023-01-20).
- (45) Sheikh, Md A. K.; Kowal, D.; Mahyuddin, M. H.; Onggo, D.; Maddalena, F.; Dang, C.; Cala', R.; Auffray, E.; Witkowski, M. E.; Makowski, M.; et al. Solution-processable A₂XY₄ (A = PEA, BA, X = Pb, Sn, Cu, Mn, Y = Cl, Br, I) crystals for high light yield and ultrafast scintillators. *IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci.* **2023**, *1*.
- (46) Maddalena, F.; Mahyuddin, M. H.; Kowal, D.; Witkowski, M. E.; Makowski, M.; Sheikh, M. A. K.; Mahato, S.; Jędrzejewski, R.; Drozdowski, W.; Dujardin, C.; et al. Lattice expansion in rubidium doped hybrid organic-inorganic perovskite crystals resulting smaller-bandgap and higher-light-yield scintillators. *Inorg. Chem.* **2023** (under review).
- (47) Yuan, Y.; Liu, X.-F.; Ma, X.; Wang, X.; Li, X.; Xiao, J.; Li, X.; Zhang, H.-L.; Wang, L. Large band gap narrowing and prolonged carrier lifetime of (C₄H₉NH₃)₂PbI₄ under high pressure. *Adv. Sci.* **2019**, *6*, 1900240.
- (48) Peng, W.; Yin, J.; Ho, K.-T.; Ouellette, O.; De Bastiani, M.; Murali, B.; El Tall, O.; Shen, C.; Miao, X.; Pan, J.; Alarousu, E.; He, J.-H.; Ooi, B. S.; Mohammed, O. F.; Sargent, E.; Bakr, O. M. Ultralow delf-doping in two-dimensional hybrid perovskite single crystals. *Nano Lett.* **2017**, *17*, 4759.
- (49) Elliott, R. J. Theory of the effect of spin-orbit coupling on magnetic resonance in some semiconductors. *Phys. Rev.* **1954**, *96* (2), 266–279.
- (50) Blancon, J.-C.; Tsai, H.; Nie, W.; Stoumpos, C. C.; Pedesseau, L.; Katan, C.; Kepenekian, M.; Soe, C. M. M.; Appavoo, K.; Sfeir, M. Y.; et al. Extremely efficient internal exciton dissociation through edge states in layered 2D perovskite. *Science* **2017**, *355* (6331), 1288–1292.
- (51) Kahmann, S.; Meggiolaro, D.; Gregori, L.; Tekelenburg, E. K.; Pitaro, M.; Stranks, S. D.; De Angelis, F.; Loi, M. A. The origin of broad emission in <100> two dimensional perovskites: extrinsic vs intrinsic processes. *ACS Energy Lett.* **2022**, *7*, 4232–4241.
- (52) Fang, H.-H.; Yang, J.; Adjokatse, S.; Tekelenburg, E.; Kamminga, M. E.; Duim, H.; Ye, J.; Blake, G. R.; Even, J.; Loi, M. A. Band-edge exciton fine structure and exciton recombination dynamics in single crystals of layered hybrid perovskites. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **2020**, *30*, 1907979.
- (53) Diguna, L. J.; Jonathan, L.; Mahyuddin, M. H.; Arramel; Maddalena, F.; Mulyani, I.; Onggo, D.; Bachiri, A.; Witkowski, M. E.; Makowski, M.; et al. BA₂XBr₄ (X = Pb, Cu, Sn): from lead to lead-free halide perovskite scintillators. *Mater. Adv.* **2022**, *3* (12), 5087–5095.
- (54) Hardhienata, H.; Ahmad, F.; Aminah, M.; Onggo, D.; Diguna, L. J.; Birowosuto, M. D.; Witkowski, M. E.; Makowski, M.; Drozdowski, W. Optical and X-ray scintillation properties of X₂MnCl₄ (X = PEA, PPA) perovskite crystals. *J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys.* **2020**, *53* (45), 455303.
- (55) Gordillo, G.; Otálora, C. A.; Ramirez, A. A. A study of trap and recombination centers in MAPbI₃ perovskites. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2016**, *18*, 32862–32867.
- (56) Zhai, W.; Ge, C.; Fang, X.; Zhang, K.; Tian, C.; Yuan, K.; Sun, S.; Li, Y.; Chen, W.; Ran, G. Acetone vapour-assisted growth of 2D single-crystalline organic lead halide perovskite microplates and their temperature-enhanced photoluminescence. *RSC Adv.* **2018**, *8*, 14527–14531.
- (57) Bessiere, A.; Dorenbos, P.; van Eijk, C. W. E.; Kramer, K. W.; Gudel, H. U.; de Mello Donega, C.; Meijerink, A. Luminescence and scintillation properties of the small band gap compound LaI₃:Ce³⁺. *Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A* **2005**, *537* (1–2), 22–26.
- (58) van Blaaderen, J. J.; Maddalena, F.; Dang, C.; Birowosuto, M. D.; Dorenbos, P. Temperature dependent scintillation properties and mechanisms of (PEA)₂PbBr₄ single crystals. *J. Mater. Chem. C* **2022**, *10*, 11598–11606.
- (59) Cala', R.; Frank, I.; Pagano, F.; Maddalena, F.; Dang, C.; Birowosuto, M. D.; Auffray, E. Sub-100-ps time resolution from undoped and Li-doped two-dimensional perovskite scintillators. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **2022**, *120* (24), 241901.