# **DNA sequence analysis of the photosynthesis region of Rhodobacter sphaeroides 2.4.1<sup>T</sup>**

# **M. Choudhary and Samuel Kaplan\***

Department of Microbiology and Molecular Genetics, University of Texas Medical School, Houston, TX 77030, USA

Received November 8, 1999; Revised and Accepted December 27, 1999 DDBJ/EMBL/GenBank accession no. AF195122

# **ABSTRACT**

**This paper describes the DNA sequence of the photosynthesis region of Rhodobacter sphaeroides 2.4.1T. The photosynthesis gene cluster is located within a ~73 kb AseI genomic DNA fragment containing the puf, puhA, cycA and puc operons. A total of 65 open reading frames (ORFs) have been identified, of which 61 showed significant similarity to genes/proteins of other organisms while only four did not reveal any significant sequence similarity to any gene/protein sequences in the database. The data were compared with the corresponding genes/ORFs from a different strain of R.sphaeroides and Rhodobacter capsulatus, a close relative of R.sphaeroides. A detailed analysis of the gene organization in the photosynthesis region revealed a similar gene order in both species with some notable differences located to the pucBAC-cycA region. In addition, photosynthesis gene regulatory protein (PpsR, FNR, IHF) binding motifs in upstream sequences of a number of photosynthesis genes have been identified and shown to differ between these two species. The difference in gene organization relative to pucBAC and cycA suggests that this region originated independently of the photosynthesis gene cluster of R.sphaeroides.**

# **INTRODUCTION**

*Rhodobacter sphaeroides 2.4.1*<sup>T</sup> is an extremely versatile facultative photoheterotroph belonging to the  $\alpha$ -3 subgroup of the *Proteobacteria* (1). *Rhodobacter sphaeroides*is metabolically flexible and can grow aerobically, anaerobically with DMSO, photosynthetically in the light under anaerobic conditions and also fermentatively. Because of this multiplicity of growth modes there has been considerable interest in studying the regulation of photosynthesis gene expression (2–4) in *R.sphaeroides* and its close relative, *Rhodobacter capsulatus*. In the past, most of the essential genes involved in photosynthesis from both species have been identified and mapped to a single photosynthesis gene cluster (PGC) (5,6). An ~46 kb DNA region in *R.capsulatus* containing most of the photosynthesis genes has been sequenced (7), and DNA sequencing of the same region of *R.sphaeroides* has recently been

undertaken in our laboratory (8–13, this study) as well as elsewhere (14–16). Recently an ~41 kb DNA sequence has been reported from a different strain of *R.sphaeroides* (16).

In this paper we present DNA sequence analysis of a contiguous ~67 kb DNA region comprising an expanded photosynthesis region of *R.sphaeroides* 2.4.1. Sixty-five open reading frames (ORFs) of 300 bp or longer were identified of which 61 exhibited strong matches to genes/*orfs* of related organisms, and only four ORFs do not show any significant homologies in the current database. In order to determine whether *R.sphaeroides* and *R.capsulatus* conserve the same linkage arrangement in the photosynthesis region, the sequence data obtained in this study as well as from another strain of *R.sphaeroides* (16) were compared with the sequence of the photosynthesis gene cluster from *R.capsulatus* (7).

The PGC contains many genes involved in bacteriochlorophyll biosynthesis (*bch*), carotenoid biosynthesis (*crt*), light harvesting polypeptides (*puc* and *puf*), reaction center proteins (*puhA*, *pufLM*) and their regulators, *ppsR*, *tspO* and *ppaA* (M.Gomelsky and S.Kaplan, unpublished). *Rhodobacter sphaeroides* and *R.capsulatus* have a similar genetic organization in most of the photosynthesis region, but they differ in their genetic organization around *pucBAC* and *cycA*. Importantly, both species differ in the locations of many of their upstream regulatory sequences. The data presented here suggest that while conservation of the main PGC between these two species is maintained, possibly due to similar functional constraints which could impose limits on the genetic rearrangement in this region, this is not true for the region encompassing *pucBAC* and *cycA.* These differences in the context in which *pucBAC* and *cycA* are found suggests that these genes were not an integral part of the 'original' photosynthesis unit, and may have originated independently of the PGC.

## **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

### **Sequencing strategy**

The entire photosynthesis region spans somewhat more than five overlapping cosmids (pUI8711, pUI8714, pUI8626, pUI8461 and pUI8487) which have previously been identified from an ordered chromosome-specific cosmid collection (Choudhary and Kaplan, unpublished data). Cosmid inserts were digested with *Bam*HI, *Eco*RI and *Pst*I, and resulting DNA fragments were subcloned into a pBluescript vector (17). Cosmid and plasmid templates were prepared using Prep-A-Gene or

\*To whom correspondence should be addressed. Tel: +1 713 500 5502; Fax: +1 713 500 5499; Email: skaplan@utmmg.med.uth.tmc.edu



# PHOTOSYNTHESIS GENE CLUSTER

**Figure 1.** Physical and genetic map of the photosynthesis gene cluster of *R.sphaeroides* 2.4.1. The number of *orfs* is shown from left to right. The arrows show the likely direction of the transcription of genes/orfs.

Quantum prep kit (Bio-Rad Laboratories) as described elsewhere (18). Plasmid subclones were sequenced from both ends using the universal T3 and Ext'-7 primers. Many of the photosynthesis genes and their regulators have previously been sequenced in our laboratory, and these sequences have been submitted earlier to the GenBank. All of these sequence ends were further used as anchors to fill in the remaining gaps using primer walking (19). The sequence data for this study was generated by the dideoxy termination method using a fluorescent based sequencing gel (Models 373 and 377, Applied Biosystems).

## **Sequence analysis**

In a typical sequencing run ~600 nt were obtained. All sequence chromatograms were visually examined and ambiguous nucleotides were edited. Sequence files were then assembled using the Genetics Computer Group and Staden software packages. From the sequence data, all six possible reading frames were screened with the DNA strider program. The direction of transcription of the genes is based on starting codons ATG or GTG preceded by a putative Shine–Dalgarno sequence and alignment with the *R.capsulatus* photosynthesis gene cluster. For searching the DNA and protein databases we used the BLAST program (20) and the BLAST server at the National Center of Biotechnology Information (NCBI, Bethesda, MD).

# **Nucleotide sequence accession numbers**

The complete DNA sequence of the photosynthesis gene region of *R.sphaeroides* 2.4.1 was deposited into GenBank (NCBI) with the accession number AF195122. The DNA sequence is also available on our *R.sphaeroides* genome database (RsGDB) which can be accessed at http://www-mmg.med.uth. tmc.edu/sphaeroides/ (21).

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The complete photosynthesis region of *R.sphaeroides* 2.4.1 is contained within five overlapping cosmids. DNA sequencing of these cosmid inserts resulted in a single contiguous 66 280 nt sequence. The percentage G+C composition of this region was determined to be 68.6%. Figure 1 shows the physical and genetic map of the photosynthesis region of *R.sphaeroides 2.4.1* and Table 1 summarizes the description of the ORFs, sizes of the polypeptides, their degree of amino acid similarity to their homologs and the name of the species to which they strongly match. We have identified a total of 65 ORFs of which 61 reveal strong database matches and only four have no homologies to any entry in the database (see Table 1).

The sequence of *R.sphaeroides* 2.4.1 differs from the sequence of *R.sphaeroides* NCIB 8253 (16) at several locations mostly in nucleotide substitutions and these small changes may be due to strain differences. However, the gene organization in this region (*puhA*–*puf*) in both strains of *R.sphaeroides* remains identical. The *cycA*–*pucBAC* region has not been completely sequenced from *R.sphaeroides* NCIB 8253 and therefore is not available for sequence comparison over this whole region. The overall gene organization of the main PGC of *R.sphaeroides* is also similar to that of the closely related bacterium, *R.capsulatus*. A total of 41 genes/*orfs* (*orf*25–*orf*65, from left to right) of this region exhibit similar gene-linkage relationships in both species. All of these genes encode structural and regulatory functions: for example, genes encoding bacteriochlorophyll biosynthesis (*bch*), carotenoid biosynthesis (*crt*), light harvesting complexes I (*puf*), reaction center protein (*puhA*, *pufLM*) and regulatory proteins (*ppsR*,





*ppaA*, *tspO*). Most of these genes, if not all, are required for optimal photosynthetic growth of both organisms. In *R.sphaeroides*, the *crt* biosynthesis genes are clustered and are flanked by *bch* biosynthesis genes as in *R.capsulatus* (14). The *bch*

#### **Table 1.** Description of ORFs **Table 1.** *Continued*



1The organisms shown had the strongest match after excluding *R.sphaeroides*. In the case of *pufK*, no other matches were found significant to any other species.

biosynthesis genes are further surrounded by genes encoding reaction center proteins (*puhA* and the *puf operon*).

The gene organization in the *pucBAC*-*cycA* region in *R.sphaeroides* differs from those of *R.capsualtus*. All predicted Orfs in this region except *orf4* and *orf23* do not show strong matches to *orfs* surrounding these same genes in *R.capsulatus* (see Table 1), instead these Orfs strongly match to those of other organisms such as *Sinorhizobium meliloti*, *Ralstonia eutropha*, *Brucella melitensis*, *Synechocystis*, *Paracoccus denitrificans*, *Rhodovulum sulfidophilum* and Erythrobacter sp. The majority of these genes/*orfs* comprising the *pucBAC*–*cycA* region encode for several metabolic functions unrelated to photosynthesis such as, transport, urea metabolism and other regulators (see Table 1). It is surprising that six of the 24 Orfs in this region show strongest matches to *Synechocystis*, a member of the cyanobacteria, which is not considered closely related to *R.sphaeroides*. Also, within this region, four Orfs did not show any significant homologies in the current database.

There is only the *puc* operon in the *pucBAC*–*cycA* region which encodes for light harvesting complex II and is required for optimal photosynthetic growth in both species. *cycA* which encodes for the cytochrome  $c_2$  apoprotein is also required for photosynthetic growth, but only in *R.sphaeroides* (8). On the contrary, *R.capsulatus* lacking cyt  $c_2$  is reported to be able to grow photosynthetically and it is therefore not essential for photosynthesis (22). Further investigation is required as to whether the newly identified ORFs of unknown functions in this region are actually involved in photosynthetic growth of this bacterium.

Sequences surrounding *pucBAC* from these two species exhibit remarkable differences in their gene organization. In *R.capsulatus*, the *puc* operon is located outside of the main PGC and its exact location is not yet apparent (23). In *R.sphaeroides*, the *puc* operon is located ~20 kb apart from the main PGC and the gene organization surrounding the *pucBAC* region in *R.sphaeroides* differs from that of *R.capsulatus*. In



### **Table 2.** PpsR, FnrL and IHF binding sites in the PGC

<sup>1</sup>Nucleotide locations are relatively positioned from the start codon of the gene. + and – are designated for nucleotide position downstream from the start site in the coding sequence and in the upstream sequence of the gene, respectively. Some of the PpsR sites are listed twice. In *R.sphaeroides*: +623 in the *bchM* gene is the same as –26 upstream of *orf479*; –221 upstream of *bchF* is the same as –82 upstream of *ppaA*; +993 in the *ppsR* is the same as –533 upstream of *bchE*; –94 upstream of *crtA* is the same as –49 upstream of *crtI*; –82 upstream of *crtD* is the same as –73 upstream of *crtI*; +491 in the *bchZ* is the same as –965 upstream of *pufQ*. In *R.capsulatus*: –82 upstream of *bchN* is the same as +414 in the *bchF*; –21 upstream of *crtA* is the same as –120 upstream of *crtI*; –68 upstream of *crtD* is the same as –52 upstream of *crtE*; +2534 in the *bchH* is the same as –1066 upstream of *bchL*; +494 in the *bchZ* is the same as in –964 upstream of *pufQ*; +473 in the *pufL* is the same as –352 upstream of *pufM*.

*R.capsulatus*, *pucBACDE* exists in a single operon (23,24) whereas in *R.sphaeroides pucDE* has not been observed (9)*.* The only available DNA sequence  $\sim$  200 bp upstream and  $\sim$  50 bp downstream of *pucBAC* from *R.capsulatus* shows no homology to the corresponding region of *R.sphaeroides.* In addition, the data from the ongoing genome sequencing project

of *R.capsulatus* shows no sequence conservation outside the pucBAC region. Similarly, the sequence around *cycA* in these two species are quite different. The upstream and downstream sequences of *cycA in R.sphaeroides* (8) do not strongly match the corresponding region of *R.capsulatus* (22).

While the main PGC from *R.sphaeroides* and *R.capsulatus* reveal a great degree of similarity in genetic-linkage relationships, regulatory differences between these two species as listed in Table 2 are found in upstream sequences of a number of genes in this cluster. In *R.sphaeroides*, PpsR binding sites  $(TGT-N_{12})$ ACA) are present upstream of eight genes, including genes for Bchl biosynthesis (*bchF*, *bchE*, *bchG*, *bchC*), Crt biosynthesis (*crtA*, *crtI*, *crtD*, *crtE*), light harvesting complexes (*pucC*, *pucBA*) and also a regulator (*ppaA*). Additionally, PpsR binding motifs are also present within the coding sequences of *bchM*, *bchG*, *bchZ* and *ppsR*. *Rhodobacter capsualtus* contains the same dyad symmetry upstream of *bchF*, *bchN*, *bchE*, *bchC*, *crtA*, *crtI*, *crtD* and *pucBAC*, and also within the coding sequences of *orf162b*, *bchH*, *bchF*, *bchZ* and *pufL*. A number of PpsR binding sites located within the coding sequence of one gene are positioned in the upstream or regulatory region of yet another gene (see Table 2). The localization of this motif in the upstream sequences of photosynthesis genes was designated as a repressor binding site which probably results in the control of expression of these genes. Furthermore, PpsR has been shown to repress *puc* and *bchF* gene expression in *R.sphaeroides* (12), and was also shown to be expressed at approximately constant levels regardless of growth conditions (25). Therefore it is conceivable that, under aerobic growth conditions, the ppsR repressor binds to its motifs regardless of whether they are positioned upstream of, or within, the coding regions of genes. The genes involved in photosynthesis appear to be clustered into many transcriptional units, which suggests that the regulation of the first gene in the transcriptional unit may help ensure repression of these genes and/or downstream genes.

The presence of the truncated FNR consensus binding sequence (TTGXX-N<sub>4</sub>-XXCAA) upstream of *pucBAC* and *bchE* in *R.sphaeroides* has been reported earlier (25), and it appears to be absent from upstream sequences of the corresponding genes of *R.capsulatus*. This is further suggested by the fact that an *fnrL* mutation in *R.sphaeroides* will not grow photosynthetically while in *R.capsulatus* there is no effect on photosynthetic growth (26). It has been recently shown in our laboratory that FnrL is required for the induction of *bchE* expression in response to lowering of the oxygen tension (J.I.Oh, J.Eraso and S.Kaplan, unpublished). In addition, the IHF binding motif is also present in the upstream sequence of *pucBAC* in both species, *R.sphaeroides* and *R.capsulatus* (26– 28). There are three IHF binding regions (–215 to –200, –160 to –120 and –95 to 80 relative to the transcriptional start site) also found in the upstream sequence of the *puf* operon of *R.capsulatus* as suggested by *in vitro* foot-print analysis and gel retardation assay (27); however, there is no significant sequence similarity among these three regions. On the other hand, the IHF motif is absent in the corresponding sequence of *R.sphaeroides*. All these presumptive regulatory sites discussed above and presented in Table 2 are located at approximately the same location in both strains of *R.sphaeroides.*

Although different rates of sequence divergence could explain the regulatory differences that these two species possess, it could not alone account for the difference in gene arrangements around *pucBAC* and *cycA*. *Rhodobacter sphaeroides* and *R.capsulatus* were also found to be different in many characteristics: for example, presence of two chromosomes (29,30) and extensive gene duplications between the two chromosomes (31–35) in *R.sphaeroides* which is not the case in *R.capsulatus*. Detailed genome analysis of *R.sphaeroides* 2.4.1 have been undertaken in our laboratory, and ultimately the DNA sequence comparison with the *R.capsulatus* genome will further address the issues of their genome structure and evolution.

# **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

We would like to thank Dr Agnes Puskas for DNA sequencing in the DNA Core facility, Department of Microbiology and Molecular Genetics, University of Texas Medical school at Houston. We would also like to thank Dr Soufian Ouchane and Dr Mark Gomelsky for their suggestions on this manuscript. Also, we would like to thank Dr Chris Mechanzie for restoring our WWW server. This work was supported by grant #GM 55481 to S.K.

## **REFERENCES**

- 1. Woese,C.R., Stackebrandt,E., Weisburg,W.G., Paster,B.J., Madigan,M.T., Fowler,V.J., Hahn,C.M., Blanz,P., Gupta,R., Nealson,K.H. and Fox,G.E. (1984) *Syst. Appl. Microbiol.*, **5**, 315–326.
- 2. Zeilstra-Ryalls,J., Gomelsky,M., Eraso,J.M., Yeliseev,A., O'gara,J. and Kaplan,S. (1998) *J. Bacteriol.*, **180**, 2801–2809.
- 3. Bauer,C. and Bird,T.H. (1996) *Cell*, **85**, 5–8.
- 4. Gregor,J. and Klug,G. (1999) *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.*, **179**, 1–9.
- 5. Zsebo,K.M. and Hearst,J.E. (1984) *Cell*, **37**, 937–947.
- 6. Coomber,S.A., Chaudhri,M., Connor,A., Britton,G. and Hunter,C.N. (1990) *Mol. Microbiol.*, **4**, 977–989.
- 7. Alberti,M., Burke,D.H. and Hearst,J.E. (1995) *Structure and Sequence of the Photosynthesis Gene Cluster. Anoxygenic Bacteria.* Kluwer Academic, The Netherlands, pp. 1083–1106.
- 8. Donohue,T.J., McEwan,A.G. and Kaplan,S. (1986) *J. Bacteriol.*, **168**, 962–972.
- 9. Kiley,P. and Kaplan,S. (1987) *J. Bacteriol.*, **169**, 3268–3275.
- 10. Gong,L., Lee,J.K. and Kaplan,S. (1994) *J. Bacteriol.*, **176**, 2946–2961.
- 11. Gomelsky,M. and Kaplan,S. (1995) *J. Bacteriol.*, **177**, 4609–4618.
- 12. Gomelsky,M. and Kaplan,S. (1995) *J. Bacteriol.*, **177**, 1634–1637.
- 13. Yeliseev,A.A. and Kaplan,S. (1995) *J. Biol. Chem.*, **270**, 21167–21175
- 14. McGlynn,P. and Hunter,C.N. (1993) *Mol. Gen. Genet.*, **236**, 227–234.
- 15. Lang,H.P., Cogdell,R.J., Takaichi,S. and Hunter,C.N. (1995) *J. Bacteriol.*, **177**, 2064–2073.
- 16. Naylor,G.W., Gibson,L.C.D., Chaudri,M., Addlesee,H.A., Lang,H.P., McGlynn,P. and Hunter,C.N. (1999) *Molecular Biology and Biotechnology* (Accession no. AJ010302). University of Sheffield, Sheffield, UK, in press.
- 17. Sambrook,J., Fritsch,E.F. and Maniatis,T. (1989) *Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual*. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, NY.
- 18. Choudhary,M., Mackenzie,C., Nreng,K., Sodergren,E., Weinstock,G.W. and Kaplan,S. (1997) *Microbiology*, **143**, 3085–3099.
- 19. Mouncey,N.J., Choudhary,M. and Kaplan,S. (1997) *J. Bacteriol.*, **179**, 7617–7624.
- 20. Altschul,S.F., Gish,W., Miller,W., Myers,E.W. and Lipman,D.J. (1990) *J. Mol. Biol.*, **215**, 403–410.
- 21. Choudhary,M., Mackenzie,C., Mouncey,N.J. and Kaplan,S. (1999) *Nucleic Acids Res.*, **27**, 61–62.
- 22. Daldal,F., Cheng,S., Applebaum,J., Davidson,E. and Prince,R. (1986) *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA*, **83**, 2012–2016.
- 23. Tichy,H.-V., Albien,K.-U., Gad'on,N. and Drews,G. (1991) *EMBO J.*, **10**, 2949–2955.
- 24. Youvan,D.C. and Ismail,S. (1985) *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA*, **82**, 58–62.
- 25. Gomelsky,M. and Kaplan,S. (1997) *J. Bacteriol.*, **179**, 128–134.
- 26. Zeilstra-Ryalls,J.H., Gabbert,K., Mouncey,N.J., Kaplan,S. and Kranz,R.G. (1997) *J. Bacteriol.*, **179**, 7264–7273.
- 27. Jager,K.M. and Klug,G. (1998) *Mol. Gen. Genet.*, **258**, 297–305.
- 28. Lee,J.K., Wang,S., Eraso,J., Gardener,J. and Kaplan,S. (1993) *J. Biol. Chem.*, **268**, 24491–24497.
- 29. Suwanto,A. and Kaplan,S. (1989) *J. Bacteriol.*, **171**, 5850–5859.
- 30. Suwanto,A. and Kaplan,S. (1992) *J. Bacteriol.*, **174**, 1135–1145.
- 31. Dryden,S. and Kaplan,S. (1990) *Nucleic Acids Res.*, **18**, 7267–7277.
- 32. Hallenbeck,P.L., Lerchen,R., Hessler,P. and Kaplan,S. (1990) *J. Bacteriol.*, **172**, 1736–1748.
- 33. Hallenbeck,P.L., Lerchen,R., Hessler,P. and Kaplan,S. (1990) *J. Bacteriol.*, **172**, 1749–1761.
- 34. Neidle,E. and Kaplan,S. (1992) *J. Bacteriol.*, **174**, 6444–6454.
- 35. Neidle,E. and Kaplan,S. (1993) *J. Bacteriol.*, **175**, 2292–2303.

# **DNA sequence analysis of the photosynthesis region of Rhodobacter sphaeroides 2.4.1<sup>T</sup>**

# **M. Choudhary and Samuel Kaplan\***

Department of Microbiology and Molecular Genetics, University of Texas Medical School, Houston, TX 77030, USA

Received November 8, 1999; Revised and Accepted December 27, 1999 DDBJ/EMBL/GenBank accession no. AF195122

# **ABSTRACT**

**This paper describes the DNA sequence of the photosynthesis region of Rhodobacter sphaeroides 2.4.1T. The photosynthesis gene cluster is located within a ~73 kb AseI genomic DNA fragment containing the puf, puhA, cycA and puc operons. A total of 65 open reading frames (ORFs) have been identified, of which 61 showed significant similarity to genes/proteins of other organisms while only four did not reveal any significant sequence similarity to any gene/protein sequences in the database. The data were compared with the corresponding genes/ORFs from a different strain of R.sphaeroides and Rhodobacter capsulatus, a close relative of R.sphaeroides. A detailed analysis of the gene organization in the photosynthesis region revealed a similar gene order in both species with some notable differences located to the pucBAC-cycA region. In addition, photosynthesis gene regulatory protein (PpsR, FNR, IHF) binding motifs in upstream sequences of a number of photosynthesis genes have been identified and shown to differ between these two species. The difference in gene organization relative to pucBAC and cycA suggests that this region originated independently of the photosynthesis gene cluster of R.sphaeroides.**

# **INTRODUCTION**

*Rhodobacter sphaeroides 2.4.1*<sup>T</sup> is an extremely versatile facultative photoheterotroph belonging to the  $\alpha$ -3 subgroup of the *Proteobacteria* (1). *Rhodobacter sphaeroides*is metabolically flexible and can grow aerobically, anaerobically with DMSO, photosynthetically in the light under anaerobic conditions and also fermentatively. Because of this multiplicity of growth modes there has been considerable interest in studying the regulation of photosynthesis gene expression (2–4) in *R.sphaeroides* and its close relative, *Rhodobacter capsulatus*. In the past, most of the essential genes involved in photosynthesis from both species have been identified and mapped to a single photosynthesis gene cluster (PGC) (5,6). An ~46 kb DNA region in *R.capsulatus* containing most of the photosynthesis genes has been sequenced (7), and DNA sequencing of the same region of *R.sphaeroides* has recently been

undertaken in our laboratory (8–13, this study) as well as elsewhere (14–16). Recently an ~41 kb DNA sequence has been reported from a different strain of *R.sphaeroides* (16).

In this paper we present DNA sequence analysis of a contiguous ~67 kb DNA region comprising an expanded photosynthesis region of *R.sphaeroides* 2.4.1. Sixty-five open reading frames (ORFs) of 300 bp or longer were identified of which 61 exhibited strong matches to genes/*orfs* of related organisms, and only four ORFs do not show any significant homologies in the current database. In order to determine whether *R.sphaeroides* and *R.capsulatus* conserve the same linkage arrangement in the photosynthesis region, the sequence data obtained in this study as well as from another strain of *R.sphaeroides* (16) were compared with the sequence of the photosynthesis gene cluster from *R.capsulatus* (7).

The PGC contains many genes involved in bacteriochlorophyll biosynthesis (*bch*), carotenoid biosynthesis (*crt*), light harvesting polypeptides (*puc* and *puf*), reaction center proteins (*puhA*, *pufLM*) and their regulators, *ppsR*, *tspO* and *ppaA* (M.Gomelsky and S.Kaplan, unpublished). *Rhodobacter sphaeroides* and *R.capsulatus* have a similar genetic organization in most of the photosynthesis region, but they differ in their genetic organization around *pucBAC* and *cycA*. Importantly, both species differ in the locations of many of their upstream regulatory sequences. The data presented here suggest that while conservation of the main PGC between these two species is maintained, possibly due to similar functional constraints which could impose limits on the genetic rearrangement in this region, this is not true for the region encompassing *pucBAC* and *cycA.* These differences in the context in which *pucBAC* and *cycA* are found suggests that these genes were not an integral part of the 'original' photosynthesis unit, and may have originated independently of the PGC.

## **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

### **Sequencing strategy**

The entire photosynthesis region spans somewhat more than five overlapping cosmids (pUI8711, pUI8714, pUI8626, pUI8461 and pUI8487) which have previously been identified from an ordered chromosome-specific cosmid collection (Choudhary and Kaplan, unpublished data). Cosmid inserts were digested with *Bam*HI, *Eco*RI and *Pst*I, and resulting DNA fragments were subcloned into a pBluescript vector (17). Cosmid and plasmid templates were prepared using Prep-A-Gene or

\*To whom correspondence should be addressed. Tel: +1 713 500 5502; Fax: +1 713 500 5499; Email: skaplan@utmmg.med.uth.tmc.edu



# PHOTOSYNTHESIS GENE CLUSTER

**Figure 1.** Physical and genetic map of the photosynthesis gene cluster of *R.sphaeroides* 2.4.1. The number of *orfs* is shown from left to right. The arrows show the likely direction of the transcription of genes/orfs.

Quantum prep kit (Bio-Rad Laboratories) as described elsewhere (18). Plasmid subclones were sequenced from both ends using the universal T3 and Ext'-7 primers. Many of the photosynthesis genes and their regulators have previously been sequenced in our laboratory, and these sequences have been submitted earlier to the GenBank. All of these sequence ends were further used as anchors to fill in the remaining gaps using primer walking (19). The sequence data for this study was generated by the dideoxy termination method using a fluorescent based sequencing gel (Models 373 and 377, Applied Biosystems).

## **Sequence analysis**

In a typical sequencing run ~600 nt were obtained. All sequence chromatograms were visually examined and ambiguous nucleotides were edited. Sequence files were then assembled using the Genetics Computer Group and Staden software packages. From the sequence data, all six possible reading frames were screened with the DNA strider program. The direction of transcription of the genes is based on starting codons ATG or GTG preceded by a putative Shine–Dalgarno sequence and alignment with the *R.capsulatus* photosynthesis gene cluster. For searching the DNA and protein databases we used the BLAST program (20) and the BLAST server at the National Center of Biotechnology Information (NCBI, Bethesda, MD).

# **Nucleotide sequence accession numbers**

The complete DNA sequence of the photosynthesis gene region of *R.sphaeroides* 2.4.1 was deposited into GenBank (NCBI) with the accession number AF195122. The DNA sequence is also available on our *R.sphaeroides* genome database (RsGDB) which can be accessed at http://www-mmg.med.uth. tmc.edu/sphaeroides/ (21).

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The complete photosynthesis region of *R.sphaeroides* 2.4.1 is contained within five overlapping cosmids. DNA sequencing of these cosmid inserts resulted in a single contiguous 66 280 nt sequence. The percentage G+C composition of this region was determined to be 68.6%. Figure 1 shows the physical and genetic map of the photosynthesis region of *R.sphaeroides 2.4.1* and Table 1 summarizes the description of the ORFs, sizes of the polypeptides, their degree of amino acid similarity to their homologs and the name of the species to which they strongly match. We have identified a total of 65 ORFs of which 61 reveal strong database matches and only four have no homologies to any entry in the database (see Table 1).

The sequence of *R.sphaeroides* 2.4.1 differs from the sequence of *R.sphaeroides* NCIB 8253 (16) at several locations mostly in nucleotide substitutions and these small changes may be due to strain differences. However, the gene organization in this region (*puhA*–*puf*) in both strains of *R.sphaeroides* remains identical. The *cycA*–*pucBAC* region has not been completely sequenced from *R.sphaeroides* NCIB 8253 and therefore is not available for sequence comparison over this whole region. The overall gene organization of the main PGC of *R.sphaeroides* is also similar to that of the closely related bacterium, *R.capsulatus*. A total of 41 genes/*orfs* (*orf*25–*orf*65, from left to right) of this region exhibit similar gene-linkage relationships in both species. All of these genes encode structural and regulatory functions: for example, genes encoding bacteriochlorophyll biosynthesis (*bch*), carotenoid biosynthesis (*crt*), light harvesting complexes I (*puf*), reaction center protein (*puhA*, *pufLM*) and regulatory proteins (*ppsR*,





*ppaA*, *tspO*). Most of these genes, if not all, are required for optimal photosynthetic growth of both organisms. In *R.sphaeroides*, the *crt* biosynthesis genes are clustered and are flanked by *bch* biosynthesis genes as in *R.capsulatus* (14). The *bch*

#### **Table 1.** Description of ORFs **Table 1.** *Continued*



1The organisms shown had the strongest match after excluding *R.sphaeroides*. In the case of *pufK*, no other matches were found significant to any other species.

biosynthesis genes are further surrounded by genes encoding reaction center proteins (*puhA* and the *puf operon*).

The gene organization in the *pucBAC*-*cycA* region in *R.sphaeroides* differs from those of *R.capsualtus*. All predicted Orfs in this region except *orf4* and *orf23* do not show strong matches to *orfs* surrounding these same genes in *R.capsulatus* (see Table 1), instead these Orfs strongly match to those of other organisms such as *Sinorhizobium meliloti*, *Ralstonia eutropha*, *Brucella melitensis*, *Synechocystis*, *Paracoccus denitrificans*, *Rhodovulum sulfidophilum* and Erythrobacter sp. The majority of these genes/*orfs* comprising the *pucBAC*–*cycA* region encode for several metabolic functions unrelated to photosynthesis such as, transport, urea metabolism and other regulators (see Table 1). It is surprising that six of the 24 Orfs in this region show strongest matches to *Synechocystis*, a member of the cyanobacteria, which is not considered closely related to *R.sphaeroides*. Also, within this region, four Orfs did not show any significant homologies in the current database.

There is only the *puc* operon in the *pucBAC*–*cycA* region which encodes for light harvesting complex II and is required for optimal photosynthetic growth in both species. *cycA* which encodes for the cytochrome  $c_2$  apoprotein is also required for photosynthetic growth, but only in *R.sphaeroides* (8). On the contrary, *R.capsulatus* lacking cyt  $c_2$  is reported to be able to grow photosynthetically and it is therefore not essential for photosynthesis (22). Further investigation is required as to whether the newly identified ORFs of unknown functions in this region are actually involved in photosynthetic growth of this bacterium.

Sequences surrounding *pucBAC* from these two species exhibit remarkable differences in their gene organization. In *R.capsulatus*, the *puc* operon is located outside of the main PGC and its exact location is not yet apparent (23). In *R.sphaeroides*, the *puc* operon is located ~20 kb apart from the main PGC and the gene organization surrounding the *pucBAC* region in *R.sphaeroides* differs from that of *R.capsulatus*. In



### **Table 2.** PpsR, FnrL and IHF binding sites in the PGC

<sup>1</sup>Nucleotide locations are relatively positioned from the start codon of the gene. + and – are designated for nucleotide position downstream from the start site in the coding sequence and in the upstream sequence of the gene, respectively. Some of the PpsR sites are listed twice. In *R.sphaeroides*: +623 in the *bchM* gene is the same as –26 upstream of *orf479*; –221 upstream of *bchF* is the same as –82 upstream of *ppaA*; +993 in the *ppsR* is the same as –533 upstream of *bchE*; –94 upstream of *crtA* is the same as –49 upstream of *crtI*; –82 upstream of *crtD* is the same as –73 upstream of *crtI*; +491 in the *bchZ* is the same as –965 upstream of *pufQ*. In *R.capsulatus*: –82 upstream of *bchN* is the same as +414 in the *bchF*; –21 upstream of *crtA* is the same as –120 upstream of *crtI*; –68 upstream of *crtD* is the same as –52 upstream of *crtE*; +2534 in the *bchH* is the same as –1066 upstream of *bchL*; +494 in the *bchZ* is the same as in –964 upstream of *pufQ*; +473 in the *pufL* is the same as –352 upstream of *pufM*.

*R.capsulatus*, *pucBACDE* exists in a single operon (23,24) whereas in *R.sphaeroides pucDE* has not been observed (9)*.* The only available DNA sequence  $\sim$  200 bp upstream and  $\sim$  50 bp downstream of *pucBAC* from *R.capsulatus* shows no homology to the corresponding region of *R.sphaeroides.* In addition, the data from the ongoing genome sequencing project

of *R.capsulatus* shows no sequence conservation outside the pucBAC region. Similarly, the sequence around *cycA* in these two species are quite different. The upstream and downstream sequences of *cycA in R.sphaeroides* (8) do not strongly match the corresponding region of *R.capsulatus* (22).

While the main PGC from *R.sphaeroides* and *R.capsulatus* reveal a great degree of similarity in genetic-linkage relationships, regulatory differences between these two species as listed in Table 2 are found in upstream sequences of a number of genes in this cluster. In *R.sphaeroides*, PpsR binding sites  $(TGT-N_{12})$ ACA) are present upstream of eight genes, including genes for Bchl biosynthesis (*bchF*, *bchE*, *bchG*, *bchC*), Crt biosynthesis (*crtA*, *crtI*, *crtD*, *crtE*), light harvesting complexes (*pucC*, *pucBA*) and also a regulator (*ppaA*). Additionally, PpsR binding motifs are also present within the coding sequences of *bchM*, *bchG*, *bchZ* and *ppsR*. *Rhodobacter capsualtus* contains the same dyad symmetry upstream of *bchF*, *bchN*, *bchE*, *bchC*, *crtA*, *crtI*, *crtD* and *pucBAC*, and also within the coding sequences of *orf162b*, *bchH*, *bchF*, *bchZ* and *pufL*. A number of PpsR binding sites located within the coding sequence of one gene are positioned in the upstream or regulatory region of yet another gene (see Table 2). The localization of this motif in the upstream sequences of photosynthesis genes was designated as a repressor binding site which probably results in the control of expression of these genes. Furthermore, PpsR has been shown to repress *puc* and *bchF* gene expression in *R.sphaeroides* (12), and was also shown to be expressed at approximately constant levels regardless of growth conditions (25). Therefore it is conceivable that, under aerobic growth conditions, the ppsR repressor binds to its motifs regardless of whether they are positioned upstream of, or within, the coding regions of genes. The genes involved in photosynthesis appear to be clustered into many transcriptional units, which suggests that the regulation of the first gene in the transcriptional unit may help ensure repression of these genes and/or downstream genes.

The presence of the truncated FNR consensus binding sequence (TTGXX-N<sub>4</sub>-XXCAA) upstream of *pucBAC* and *bchE* in *R.sphaeroides* has been reported earlier (25), and it appears to be absent from upstream sequences of the corresponding genes of *R.capsulatus*. This is further suggested by the fact that an *fnrL* mutation in *R.sphaeroides* will not grow photosynthetically while in *R.capsulatus* there is no effect on photosynthetic growth (26). It has been recently shown in our laboratory that FnrL is required for the induction of *bchE* expression in response to lowering of the oxygen tension (J.I.Oh, J.Eraso and S.Kaplan, unpublished). In addition, the IHF binding motif is also present in the upstream sequence of *pucBAC* in both species, *R.sphaeroides* and *R.capsulatus* (26– 28). There are three IHF binding regions (–215 to –200, –160 to –120 and –95 to 80 relative to the transcriptional start site) also found in the upstream sequence of the *puf* operon of *R.capsulatus* as suggested by *in vitro* foot-print analysis and gel retardation assay (27); however, there is no significant sequence similarity among these three regions. On the other hand, the IHF motif is absent in the corresponding sequence of *R.sphaeroides*. All these presumptive regulatory sites discussed above and presented in Table 2 are located at approximately the same location in both strains of *R.sphaeroides.*

Although different rates of sequence divergence could explain the regulatory differences that these two species possess, it could not alone account for the difference in gene arrangements around *pucBAC* and *cycA*. *Rhodobacter sphaeroides* and *R.capsulatus* were also found to be different in many characteristics: for example, presence of two chromosomes (29,30) and extensive gene duplications between the two chromosomes (31–35) in *R.sphaeroides* which is not the case in *R.capsulatus*. Detailed genome analysis of *R.sphaeroides* 2.4.1 have been undertaken in our laboratory, and ultimately the DNA sequence comparison with the *R.capsulatus* genome will further address the issues of their genome structure and evolution.

# **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

We would like to thank Dr Agnes Puskas for DNA sequencing in the DNA Core facility, Department of Microbiology and Molecular Genetics, University of Texas Medical school at Houston. We would also like to thank Dr Soufian Ouchane and Dr Mark Gomelsky for their suggestions on this manuscript. Also, we would like to thank Dr Chris Mechanzie for restoring our WWW server. This work was supported by grant #GM 55481 to S.K.

## **REFERENCES**

- 1. Woese,C.R., Stackebrandt,E., Weisburg,W.G., Paster,B.J., Madigan,M.T., Fowler,V.J., Hahn,C.M., Blanz,P., Gupta,R., Nealson,K.H. and Fox,G.E. (1984) *Syst. Appl. Microbiol.*, **5**, 315–326.
- 2. Zeilstra-Ryalls,J., Gomelsky,M., Eraso,J.M., Yeliseev,A., O'gara,J. and Kaplan,S. (1998) *J. Bacteriol.*, **180**, 2801–2809.
- 3. Bauer,C. and Bird,T.H. (1996) *Cell*, **85**, 5–8.
- 4. Gregor,J. and Klug,G. (1999) *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.*, **179**, 1–9.
- 5. Zsebo,K.M. and Hearst,J.E. (1984) *Cell*, **37**, 937–947.
- 6. Coomber,S.A., Chaudhri,M., Connor,A., Britton,G. and Hunter,C.N. (1990) *Mol. Microbiol.*, **4**, 977–989.
- 7. Alberti,M., Burke,D.H. and Hearst,J.E. (1995) *Structure and Sequence of the Photosynthesis Gene Cluster. Anoxygenic Bacteria.* Kluwer Academic, The Netherlands, pp. 1083–1106.
- 8. Donohue,T.J., McEwan,A.G. and Kaplan,S. (1986) *J. Bacteriol.*, **168**, 962–972.
- 9. Kiley,P. and Kaplan,S. (1987) *J. Bacteriol.*, **169**, 3268–3275.
- 10. Gong,L., Lee,J.K. and Kaplan,S. (1994) *J. Bacteriol.*, **176**, 2946–2961.
- 11. Gomelsky,M. and Kaplan,S. (1995) *J. Bacteriol.*, **177**, 4609–4618.
- 12. Gomelsky,M. and Kaplan,S. (1995) *J. Bacteriol.*, **177**, 1634–1637.
- 13. Yeliseev,A.A. and Kaplan,S. (1995) *J. Biol. Chem.*, **270**, 21167–21175
- 14. McGlynn,P. and Hunter,C.N. (1993) *Mol. Gen. Genet.*, **236**, 227–234.
- 15. Lang,H.P., Cogdell,R.J., Takaichi,S. and Hunter,C.N. (1995) *J. Bacteriol.*, **177**, 2064–2073.
- 16. Naylor,G.W., Gibson,L.C.D., Chaudri,M., Addlesee,H.A., Lang,H.P., McGlynn,P. and Hunter,C.N. (1999) *Molecular Biology and Biotechnology* (Accession no. AJ010302). University of Sheffield, Sheffield, UK, in press.
- 17. Sambrook,J., Fritsch,E.F. and Maniatis,T. (1989) *Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual*. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, NY.
- 18. Choudhary,M., Mackenzie,C., Nreng,K., Sodergren,E., Weinstock,G.W. and Kaplan,S. (1997) *Microbiology*, **143**, 3085–3099.
- 19. Mouncey,N.J., Choudhary,M. and Kaplan,S. (1997) *J. Bacteriol.*, **179**, 7617–7624.
- 20. Altschul,S.F., Gish,W., Miller,W., Myers,E.W. and Lipman,D.J. (1990) *J. Mol. Biol.*, **215**, 403–410.
- 21. Choudhary,M., Mackenzie,C., Mouncey,N.J. and Kaplan,S. (1999) *Nucleic Acids Res.*, **27**, 61–62.
- 22. Daldal,F., Cheng,S., Applebaum,J., Davidson,E. and Prince,R. (1986) *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA*, **83**, 2012–2016.
- 23. Tichy,H.-V., Albien,K.-U., Gad'on,N. and Drews,G. (1991) *EMBO J.*, **10**, 2949–2955.
- 24. Youvan,D.C. and Ismail,S. (1985) *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA*, **82**, 58–62.
- 25. Gomelsky,M. and Kaplan,S. (1997) *J. Bacteriol.*, **179**, 128–134.
- 26. Zeilstra-Ryalls,J.H., Gabbert,K., Mouncey,N.J., Kaplan,S. and Kranz,R.G. (1997) *J. Bacteriol.*, **179**, 7264–7273.
- 27. Jager,K.M. and Klug,G. (1998) *Mol. Gen. Genet.*, **258**, 297–305.
- 28. Lee,J.K., Wang,S., Eraso,J., Gardener,J. and Kaplan,S. (1993) *J. Biol. Chem.*, **268**, 24491–24497.
- 29. Suwanto,A. and Kaplan,S. (1989) *J. Bacteriol.*, **171**, 5850–5859.
- 30. Suwanto,A. and Kaplan,S. (1992) *J. Bacteriol.*, **174**, 1135–1145.
- 31. Dryden,S. and Kaplan,S. (1990) *Nucleic Acids Res.*, **18**, 7267–7277.
- 32. Hallenbeck,P.L., Lerchen,R., Hessler,P. and Kaplan,S. (1990) *J. Bacteriol.*, **172**, 1736–1748.
- 33. Hallenbeck,P.L., Lerchen,R., Hessler,P. and Kaplan,S. (1990) *J. Bacteriol.*, **172**, 1749–1761.
- 34. Neidle,E. and Kaplan,S. (1992) *J. Bacteriol.*, **174**, 6444–6454.
- 35. Neidle,E. and Kaplan,S. (1993) *J. Bacteriol.*, **175**, 2292–2303.