

# Ethiopia's high childhood undernutrition explained: analysis of the prevalence and key correlates based on recent nationally representative data

Tafere Gebreegziabher<sup>1,\*</sup> and Nigatu Regassa<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Food Science and Nutrition, Department of Health Sciences, Central Washington University, 400 E University Way, Ellensburg, WA 98926, USA; <sup>2</sup>College of Pharmacy and Nutrition, University of Saskatchewan, Saskatoon, SK, Canada

Submitted 1 October 2018; Final revision received 16 January 2019; Accepted 30 January 2019; First published online 21 March 2019

## Abstract

*Objective:* To examine the contribution of child, maternal and household factors in stunting, wasting and underweight among children under 5 years in Ethiopia.

*Design:* Quantitative cross-sectional design based on nationally representative data.

*Setting:* Urban and rural areas of Ethiopia.

*Participants:* Younger (0–24 months; *n* 4199) and older age groups (25–59 months; *n* 5497), giving a total of 9696 children.

*Results:* Among the younger age group, 29% were stunted, 14% were wasted and 19% were underweight; and among the older age group, the prevalence of stunting, wasting and underweight was 47, 8 and 28%, respectively. Being female, intake of multiple micronutrients, household having a piped source of drinking-water, high maternal BMI, higher household wealth and higher maternal education were associated with decreased odds of at least one form of undernutrition in both groups. On the other hand, children who were anaemic, had low birth weight, drank from a bottle, and children of stunted or wasted or working mothers were more likely to be stunted, wasted or underweight in both groups ( $P < 0.05$ ). While most predictors and/or risk factors followed a similar pattern across the two age groups, child factors had higher leverage in the younger than the older group across the three forms of undernutrition.

*Conclusions:* Multiple set of factors predicted childhood undernutrition in Ethiopia. The study underscores the importance of intervening in the first 1000 days through promoting maternal education, maternal–child health services, mother's nutrition and improving intrahousehold food distribution.

**Keywords**  
Stunting  
Wasting  
Underweight  
Undernutrition  
Risk factors  
Ethiopia

The ultimate aim of WHO, UNICEF, the World Bank as well as country-based institutions is to eradicate child malnutrition in all its forms<sup>(1)</sup>. However, although there is some promising progress in some countries, child undernutrition continues to be the most challenging and complex agenda globally, particularly in developing countries<sup>(2)</sup>. Undernutrition can be characterized by stunting, wasting and underweight. In 2017, 151 million children under 5 years of age were stunted and 51 million were wasted globally<sup>(1)</sup>. Children under 5 years old are the most vulnerable group to be affected by undernutrition<sup>(3)</sup>. Previous studies reported that child undernutrition is the outcome of various factors including, but not limited to, insufficient food intake, micronutrient deficiencies, infectious disease, and other sociodemographic and economic factors<sup>(2,4)</sup>.

Undernutrition generally impairs growth and development of children. Children who grow in settings with insufficient material and social resources are unlikely to achieve their full growth and development potential<sup>(2,5)</sup>. The effects of nutritional insult as well as other social and economic burdens in early childhood do not stop there. Consequences could range from increased susceptibility to infection, cognitive deficit and poor economic productivity to increased risk of death<sup>(6,7)</sup>.

Undernutrition is highly prevalent in Africa, particularly in sub-Saharan Africa, and is a major cause of childhood deaths from pneumonia, malaria, measles and diarrhoea<sup>(8,9)</sup>. In Ethiopia, child undernutrition continues to be a public health problem although some improvements have been reported recently<sup>(10,11)</sup>. Recent reports

indicated that the prevalence of stunting decreased from 58% in 2000 to 36–38% in 2016, the prevalence of underweight decreased from 40 to 24% in the same time period but the prevalence of wasting worsened from 6 to 10%<sup>(1,10)</sup>. The Ethiopian Government has allocated huge resources in the last couple of decades aiming at improving the poor nutritional status of children in the country and, in fact, significant changes have been observed. However, the rates are still unacceptably high by any standard. This calls for the need to understand the key predictors as a first step to devise strategies in reducing this most pressing public health problem. Most economics literature presupposes that the underlying determinant factor for improved nutrition is economic growth<sup>(12,13)</sup>. Studies from different parts of Ethiopia associate the high rate of undernutrition with both individual and household factors including, but not limited to, family size, maternal age, maternal education, food insecurity, breast-feeding patterns, area of residence, and other socio-economic and demographic factors<sup>(14–16)</sup>. Most of these findings were based on analyses made using children under 5 years as one group. However, nutritional status and growth patterns are different at different ages as a result of changes in care practices, feeding patterns, immunity, physical activity, income level and other related factors. To the best of our knowledge, no national-level study has been conducted so far based on separate analyses of undernutrition in two age groups of children in Ethiopia. The present study therefore examines the differential effects of three sets of predictors (household, maternal and child variables) on the nutritional status of younger (0–24 months) and older age groups (25–59 months).

## Data source and methodology

### Study context

Ethiopia is the second most populous country of Africa, next to Nigeria, with an annual population growth rate of about 2.6%. Over the last few decades, Ethiopia's economy has grown at an annual rate of approximately 10% but nearly a third of its population still lives below the poverty line<sup>(10)</sup>. Administratively, Ethiopia is a Federal Democratic Republic with nine autonomous Regional States, each divided into zones, districts and sub-districts/*kebeles*. Agriculture has been the main driver for the fast-growing Ethiopian economy and is also responsible for 85% of total employment<sup>(17)</sup>. Despite a remarkable decline in the last few years, child undernutrition has long been a major public health concern<sup>(10)</sup>.

### Data sources

We used the Ethiopian Demographic and Health Survey (DHS) for 2016. The 2016 survey is the fourth nationally representative sample survey carried out by the Central

Statistical Agency under the guidance of the Ministry of Health. DHS are cross-sectional data sets containing comparable household and individual information about sociodemographic characteristics and health indicators including child health and nutrition. A total of 9696 cases were used for the current analysis, a size of 4199 for children aged 0–24 months and 5497 for those aged 25–59 months. All children under age 5 years of age who were eligible for height and weight measurements were eligible for the DHS data collection.

### Ethics statement

The DHS follow well-defined standard protocols, data collection tools and procedures. Permission to use the data for the purposes of the present study was granted by ORC Macro International (USA) and the Central Statistics Authority (Ethiopia).

### Variables and measures

Child malnutrition was measured using height-for-age Z-score (HAZ), weight-for-age Z-score (WAZ) and weight-for-height Z-score (WHZ) based on a standard cut-off points proposed by the WHO<sup>(18)</sup>. Children were categorized into stunted (HAZ < -2), underweight (WAZ < -2) and wasted (WHZ < -2).

We used nineteen explanatory variables for stunting, wasting and underweight which were categorized into three broad sets of factors, namely child characteristics (six variables), maternal factors (six variables) and socio-demographic variables (seven variables). The variables were selected based on review of previous literature and model-fitting criteria. Information on parental education was measured as the reported number of years of maternal/paternal education and then allocated within conventional educational categories (e.g. no education, primary, secondary and post-secondary education). Household wealth was used as a proxy for household income and was estimated in the DHS with an asset-based index that combines information about ownership of consumer goods, housing quality, and water and sanitation facilities<sup>(19)</sup>. The entire sample of children under 5 years was ranked into successive quintiles from the first quintile (Q1 = the poorest households) to the fifth quintile (Q5 = the richest households)<sup>(19)</sup>. Antenatal care was measured based on the WHO standard definition which recommends pregnant women to have at least four antenatal visits for a safe delivery<sup>(20)</sup>. Mothers' BMI was computed using height and weight; BMI values of 18.5–24.9 kg/m<sup>2</sup> were defined as normal and BMI < 18.5 kg/m<sup>2</sup> was defined as underweight (mild, moderate and severe included). BMI = 25.0–29.9 kg/m<sup>2</sup> and BMI ≥ 30.0 kg/m<sup>2</sup> were defined as overweight and obese, respectively. The remaining explanatory variables were measured dichotomously using the table in the data.

### Statistical analysis

We analysed the data using the statistical software package IBM SPSS Statistics version 23. All analyses were weighted for the sampling probabilities. Descriptive analysis was used to examine the characteristics of the study sample. Multivariate logistic regression analyses were used to examine the associations between the explanatory variables listed above and the three outcome variables. Thus, three separate regression models were fitted using stepwise selection for each of the two age groups (0–24 months and 25–59 months). The inclusion of the explanatory variables in the regression analysis was partly guided by previous research and model-fitting procedures. Multicollinearity among the explanatory variables was checked using the variance inflation factor and those variables with variance inflation factor greater than 2.5 were removed. The Hosmer–Lemeshow test was used to check the model fit. OR of being undernourished were calculated with 95% CI for each factor in the logistic regression model. Statistical significance was declared if the *P* value was less than 0.05.

## Results

### Characteristics of study participants

Table 1 shows that nearly 51% of the younger age group were girls and 52% of the older age group were boys. About the same percentage (42 and 43%) of children in both age groups had average size at birth. Of the children, 39% of the younger and 36% of the older age group received a zinc tablet, and 41% of the younger and 48% of the older group received a vitamin A supplement in the six months preceding the survey. Diarrhoeal medical treatment was given to 52% of the younger and 44% of the older age group. Nearly 74% of the younger and 53% of the older age group had anaemia. The majority of the children in both groups ever had vaccination and were breast-feeding at the time of the interview. The mothers reported that 16.1% of the younger children and 15.3% of the older children were given no food other than breast milk in the first three days after delivery, and a nearly equal percentage of the children drank from a bottle with a nipple the day before the interview (Table 1). The children's prevalence of stunting, wasting and underweight is presented in Fig. 1. Of the children, both groups combined, 16% were severely stunted, 8% were severely wasted and 3% were severely underweight.

As shown in Table 2, there were no substantial differences in most socio-economic and demographic characteristics between the two groups. The majority of respondents in both groups were rural inhabitants. The mean age of mothers was 28 (SD 6.4) years in the younger group and 30 (SD 6.4) years in the older group of children. Most of the mothers experienced at least one teenage pregnancy as they gave their first birth before age 19

years. Of the mothers of the younger and older children, respectively 60 and 67% had no formal education. More than half of the mothers were in the poorer and poorest wealth categories. About 24% of the mothers were underweight (BMI < 18.5 kg/m<sup>2</sup>), 7.5% were overweight (BMI ≥ 25.0 kg/m<sup>2</sup>) and 2.2% were obese (BMI ≥ 30.0 kg/m<sup>2</sup>). The majority of the women delivered their most recent birth at home, and a significant proportion of them had antenatal care during pregnancy. Prevalence of anaemia among the women was 35%. Most households did not have access to a piped water source in or around their homestead (Table 2).

### Predictors of childhood undernutrition in Ethiopia

#### Child factors

As shown in Table 3, child's sex, birth size, anaemia, receiving multiple micronutrient powder, drinking from a bottle and source of drinking-water were associated with at least one of the three forms of childhood undernutrition. In the younger age group, the likelihood of stunting was lower for female children by 26% (OR = 0.74; 95% CI 0.63, 0.87). Female children also had lower likelihood of being wasted by 20% (OR = 0.80; 95% CI 0.65, 0.99) and underweight by 29% (OR = 0.71; 95% CI 0.59, 0.85). Consuming multiple micronutrients at a younger age was associated with a decrease in the odds of being stunted by 49% (OR = 0.51; 95% CI 0.27, 0.93), wasting by 38% (OR = 0.62; 95% CI 0.42, 0.92) and underweight by 12% (OR = 0.88; 95% CI 0.75, 1.03). Anaemic children were 1.54 (95% CI 1.19, 2.00) times more likely to be wasted and 1.30 (95% CI 1.05, 1.61) times more likely to be underweight. The likelihood of stunting, wasting and underweight was 1.71 (95% CI 1.37, 2.12), 2.13 (95% CI 1.61, 2.81) and 2.28 (95% CI 1.79, 2.91) times greater for low-birth-weight children than for children with normal birth weight. Children who drank from a bottle were 1.64 (95% CI 1.10, 2.50) times more likely to be stunted and 1.55 (95% CI 1.17, 2.01) times more likely to be underweight. Children living in households with a piped source of drinking-water were less likely to be stunted (OR = 0.46; 95% CI 0.22, 0.76), wasted (OR = 0.39; 95% CI 0.20, 0.57) or underweight (OR = 0.84; 95% CI 0.77, 1.01) in the younger age group and less likely to be underweight (OR = 0.49; 95% CI 0.35, 0.67) in the older age group.

In the older age group, female children were less likely to be underweight than male children. Anaemic children were 1.42 (95% CI 1.15, 1.76) times more likely to be stunted and 1.71 (95% CI 1.34, 2.17) times more likely to be underweight. Children with low and average perceived birth weight, compared with those having large birth weight, were more likely to be stunted and underweight. The odds of underweight were lower by 51% for children living in households having a piped drinking-water source (OR = 0.49; 95% CI 0.35, 0.67; Table 3).

**Table 1** Health, nutrition and demographic characteristics of children aged 0–24 months and 25–59 months. Demographic and Health Survey data, Ethiopia, 2016 (*n* 9696)


| Variable   | 0–24 months ( <i>n</i> 4199) |      | 25–59 months ( <i>n</i> 5497) |      |
|--|------------------------------|------|-------------------------------|------|
|  | <i>n</i>                     | %    | <i>n</i>                      | %    |
| Child sex  |                              |      |                               |      |
| Male   | 2068                         | 49.2 | 2872                          | 52.2 |
| Female   | 2131                         | 50.8 | 2625                          | 47.8 |
| Perceived size of child at birth                   |                              |      |                               |      |
| Large  | 1195                         | 28.8 | 1717                          | 31.5 |
| Average  | 1748                         | 42.1 | 2333                          | 42.9 |
| Small  | 1210                         | 29.1 | 1393                          | 25.6 |
| Given zinc tablets for diarrhoea treatment†        |                              |      |                               |      |
| No   | 383                          | 61.5 | 284                           | 64.1 |
| Yes  | 240                          | 38.5 | 159                           | 35.9 |
| Vitamin A supplement in the last 6 months          |                              |      |                               |      |
| No   | 2438                         | 59.0 | 2833                          | 52.3 |
| Yes  | 1692                         | 41.0 | 2585                          | 47.7 |
| Diarrhoea medical treatment‡                       |                              |      |                               |      |
| No   | 311                          | 49.4 | 250                           | 56.1 |
| Yes  | 319                          | 51.6 | 196                           | 43.9 |
| Anaemia level                                      |                              |      |                               |      |
| Severe (Hb < 7 g/dl)                               | 133                          | 4.7  | 178                           | 3.6  |
| Moderate (Hb = 7–9.9 g/dl)                         | 1249                         | 43.9 | 1282                          | 25.9 |
| Mild (Hb = 10–10.9 g/dl)                           | 710                          | 25.0 | 1139                          | 23.0 |
| Not anaemic (Hb ≥ 11 g/dl)                         | 751                          | 26.4 | 2353                          | 47.5 |
| Ever had vaccination                               |                              |      |                               |      |
| No   | 1023                         | 40.1 | 357                           | 28.2 |
| Yes  | 1530                         | 59.9 | 908                           | 71.8 |
| Given anything other than breast milk§             |                              |      |                               |      |
| No   | 3323                         | 83.9 | 2208                          | 84.7 |
| Yes  | 639                          | 16.1 | 399                           | 15.3 |
| Drank from bottle with nipple yesterday/last night |                              |      |                               |      |
| No   | 3420                         | 81.7 | 4626                          | 85.9 |
| Yes  | 767                          | 18.3 | 761                           | 14.1 |
| Currently breast-feeding                           |                              |      |                               |      |
| No   | 553                          | 13.2 | 2685                          | 48.8 |
| Yes  | 3646                         | 86.8 | 2812                          | 51.2 |

†Zinc tablet given any time since started diarrhoea.

‡Diarrhoea medical treatment given any time when child has diarrhoea.

§In the first three days after delivery, was the child given anything to drink other than breast milk?

||Currently breast-feeding refers to a 24 h period (yesterday and last night).



**Fig. 1** Prevalence of stunting, wasting and underweight, with their 95% confidence intervals represented by vertical bars, in children aged 0–24 months (■) and 25–59 months (□). Demographic and Health Survey data, Ethiopia, 2016 (*n* 9696)

#### Maternal health and nutrition factors

As shown in Table 4, maternal BMI, stature, source of drinking-water and antenatal care were significantly associated with at least one of the three forms of childhood undernutrition. In the younger group of children, the odds of stunting, wasting and underweight were

lower for those whose mothers had higher BMI ( $\geq 25.0$  kg/m<sup>2</sup>) by 75% (OR=0.25; 95% CI 0.11, 0.55), 80% (OR=0.20; 95% CI 0.04, 0.96) and 95% (OR=0.05; 95% CI 0.01, 0.41), respectively, compared with children whose mothers had lower BMI (BMI < 18.5 kg/m<sup>2</sup>). Among the younger children, the odds of stunting and wasting were higher by 2.21 (95% CI 1.52, 3.16) and 1.81 times (95% CI 1.16, 2.81), respectively, for those with short-stature mothers compared with children whose mothers had normal stature. The odds of stunting and underweight were lower by 32 and 43%, respectively, for children living in households with improved water sources (piped). Maternal antenatal care was another important predictor of stunting, where the likelihood of stunting decreased by 37% for the children of mothers who received at least four antenatal clinic visits during their last pregnancy. Among the older group of children, maternal BMI, stature and household source of drinking-water were significantly associated with at least one form of childhood undernutrition. Children of mothers with high and normal BMI, and those living in households

**Table 2** Health, demographic and socio-economic characteristics of mothers by age group of children. Demographic and Health Survey data, Ethiopia, 2016 (n 9696)

| Variable                               | 0–24 months (n 4199) |      | 25–59 months (n 5497) |      |
|--|----------------------|------|-----------------------|------|
|  | n                    | %    | n                     | %    |
| Type of residence                      |                      |      |                       |      |
| Urban                                  | 851                  | 20.3 | 974                   | 17.7 |
| Rural                                  | 3348                 | 79.7 | 4523                  | 82.3 |
| Mother's age (years)                   |                      |      |                       |      |
| 15–24                                  | 1297                 | 30.9 | 1009                  | 18.4 |
| 25–34                                  | 2100                 | 50.0 | 2972                  | 54.1 |
| 35–49                                  | 802                  | 19.1 | 1516                  | 27.6 |
| Mother's age at first birth (years)    |                      |      |                       |      |
| ≤ 19                                   | 2509                 | 59.7 | 3454                  | 62.8 |
| 20–29                                  | 1620                 | 38.6 | 1953                  | 35.5 |
| ≥ 30                                   | 70                   | 1.7  | 90                    | 1.6  |
| Education level                        |                      |      |                       |      |
| No education                           | 2511                 | 59.8 | 3689                  | 67.1 |
| Primary education                      | 1153                 | 27.5 | 1306                  | 23.8 |
| Secondary and higher                   | 535                  | 12.7 | 502                   | 9.1  |
| Wealth index                           |                      |      |                       |      |
| Poorest                                | 1482                 | 35.3 | 2095                  | 38.1 |
| Poorer                                 | 692                  | 16.5 | 941                   | 17.1 |
| Middle                                 | 590                  | 14.1 | 768                   | 14.0 |
| Richer                                 | 529                  | 12.6 | 660                   | 12.0 |
| Richest                                | 906                  | 21.6 | 1033                  | 18.8 |
| BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )               |                      |      |                       |      |
| < 18.5                                 | 940                  | 24.4 | 1238                  | 23.5 |
| 18.5–24.9                              | 2553                 | 65.8 | 3533                  | 67.0 |
| 25.0–29.9                              | 296                  | 7.7  | 385                   | 7.3  |
| ≥ 30.0                                 | 80                   | 2.1  | 116                   | 2.2  |
| Place of delivery                      |                      |      |                       |      |
| Home                                   | 2407                 | 57.3 | 4035                  | 73.4 |
| Health centre                          | 1792                 | 42.7 | 1462                  | 26.6 |
| Source of drinking-water               |                      |      |                       |      |
| Piped                                  | 1308                 | 31.4 | 1593                  | 29.0 |
| Not piped                              | 2891                 | 68.6 | 3904                  | 71.0 |
| Antenatal care (the most recent birth) |                      |      |                       |      |
| No                                     | 1072                 | 39.4 | 708                   | 40.6 |
| Yes (≥4 visits)                        | 1669                 | 60.6 | 1037                  | 59.4 |
| Anaemia level                          |                      |      |                       |      |
| Severe (Hb < 7 g/dl)                   | 66                   | 1.6  | 99                    | 1.9  |
| Moderate (Hb = 7–9.9 g/dl)             | 370                  | 9.3  | 547                   | 10.5 |
| Mild (Hb = 10–11.9 g/dl)               | 962                  | 24.2 | 1206                  | 23.2 |
| Not anaemic (Hb ≥ 12 g/dl)             | 2583                 | 64.9 | 3352                  | 64.4 |

with a piped source of drinking-water were less likely to become stunted and underweight (Table 4).

#### *Household socio-economic and demographic factors*

The analysis results in Table 5 show that maternal age, area of residence, wealth index, maternal education, parity and mother's work were significantly associated with at least one of the three forms of childhood undernutrition. In the regression model for the younger group of children, the odds of stunting were 1.59 times higher (95% CI 1.17, 2.16) for those with older mothers compared with children with younger mothers. Children living in rural areas were 34% less likely to become wasted (OR=0.66; 95% CI 0.47, 0.92). Similarly, the odds were lower for children living in wealthier households and/or having an educated mother. The odds of stunting and underweight were lower by 62% (OR=0.38; 95% CI 0.21, 0.69) and 58% (OR=0.42; 95% CI 0.22, 0.83),

respectively, for children with mothers having a higher education level. Children of mothers with primary and secondary education were also less likely to be wasted and underweight compared with children whose mothers had no education. Children having working mothers were 1.24 times more likely to be stunted and 1.19 times more likely to be underweight. The likelihood of wasting was 1.33 times greater (95% CI 1.03, 1.71) for children living with a larger number of siblings. We observed nearly similar patterns of predictors in the older age group of children except for a few variables. Maternal age was significantly associated with childhood wasting and underweight, and maternal age at first birth was associated with childhood stunting. Contrary to the results for the younger age group, those older children living in rural areas were 1.35 times more likely to be stunted and 1.69 times more likely to be wasted than their urban counterparts. The odds of stunting were higher for

**Table 3** Correlates of undernutrition among children aged 0–24 months and 25–59 months: child factors. Demographic and Health Survey data, Ethiopia, 2016 (n 9696)

|                          | 0–24 months (n 4199) |            |         |            |             |            | 25–59 months (n 5497) |            |         |            |             |            |
|--------------------------|----------------------|------------|---------|------------|-------------|------------|-----------------------|------------|---------|------------|-------------|------------|
|                          | Stunting             |            | Wasting |            | Underweight |            | Stunting              |            | Wasting |            | Underweight |            |
|                          | OR                   | 95 % CI    | OR      | 95 % CI    | OR          | 95 % CI    | OR                    | 95 % CI    | OR      | 95 % CI    | OR          | 95 % CI    |
| Sex                      |                      |            |         |            |             |            |                       |            |         |            |             |            |
| Male                     | 1.00                 | Ref.       | 1.00    | Ref.       | 1.00        | Ref.       | 1.00                  | Ref.       | 1.00    | Ref.       | 1.00        | Ref.       |
| Female                   | 0.74***              | 0.63, 0.87 | 0.80*   | 0.65, 0.99 | 0.71***     | 0.59, 0.85 | 0.88                  | 0.71, 1.08 | 0.75    | 0.53, 1.06 | 0.74*       | 0.59, 0.94 |
| Size at birth            |                      |            |         |            |             |            |                       |            |         |            |             |            |
| Large                    | 1.00                 | Ref.       | 1.00    | Ref.       | 1.00        | Ref.       | 1.00                  | Ref.       | 1.00    | Ref.       | 1.00        | Ref.       |
| Average                  | 1.22                 | 1.00, 1.49 | 1.40*   | 1.07, 1.83 | 1.50**      | 1.19, 1.89 | 1.34*                 | 1.05, 1.72 | 0.87    | 0.57, 1.32 | 1.33*       | 1.00, 1.77 |
| Small                    | 1.71***              | 1.37, 2.12 | 2.13*** | 1.61, 2.81 | 2.28***     | 1.79, 2.91 | 2.11***               | 1.60, 2.78 | 1.18    | 0.76, 1.82 | 2.25***     | 1.66, 3.04 |
| Multiple micronutrient†  |                      |            |         |            |             |            |                       |            |         |            |             |            |
| No                       | 1.00                 | Ref.       | 1.00    | Ref.       | 1.00        | Ref.       | 1.00                  | Ref.       | 1.00    | Ref.       | 1.00        | Ref.       |
| Yes                      | 0.51*                | 0.27, 0.93 | 0.62*   | 0.42, 0.92 | 0.88***     | 0.75, 1.03 | 0.90                  | 0.57, 1.41 | 1.76    | 0.94, 3.27 | 1.19        | 0.73, 1.93 |
| Anaemia‡                 |                      |            |         |            |             |            |                       |            |         |            |             |            |
| Not anaemic              | 1.00                 | Ref.       | 1.00    | Ref.       | 1.00        | Ref.       | 1.00                  | Ref.       | 1.00    | Ref.       | 1.00        | Ref.       |
| Anaemic                  | 1.13                 | 0.93, 1.36 | 1.54**  | 1.19, 2.00 | 1.30*       | 1.05, 1.61 | 1.42**                | 1.15, 1.76 | 1.20    | 0.84, 1.72 | 1.71***     | 1.34, 2.17 |
| Drank from bottle        |                      |            |         |            |             |            |                       |            |         |            |             |            |
| No                       | 1.00                 | Ref.       | 1.00    | Ref.       | 1.00        | Ref.       | 1.00                  | Ref.       | 1.00    | Ref.       | 1.00        | Ref.       |
| Yes                      | 1.64***              | 1.10, 2.50 | 1.03    | 0.80, 1.34 | 1.55*       | 1.17, 2.01 | 0.75                  | 0.56, 1.01 | 0.99    | 0.62, 1.61 | 0.81        | 0.58, 1.13 |
| Source of drinking-water |                      |            |         |            |             |            |                       |            |         |            |             |            |
| Piped                    | 0.46***              | 0.22, 0.76 | 0.39**  | 0.20, 0.57 | 0.84***     | 0.77, 1.01 | 1.20                  | 0.95, 1.51 | 1.09    | 0.74, 1.60 | 0.49**      | 0.35, 0.67 |
| Not piped                | 1.00                 | Ref.       | 1.00    | Ref.       | 1.00        | Ref.       | 1.00                  | Ref.       | 1.00    | Ref.       | 1.00        | Ref.       |

Ref., reference category.

\* $P < 0.05$ , \*\* $P < 0.01$ , \*\*\* $P < 0.001$ .

†Multiple micronutrient refers to intake of multiple micronutrient powder in the last 7 d.

‡Not anaemic, Hb  $\geq 11$  g/dl; anaemic, Hb  $< 11$  g/dl.**Table 4** Correlates of undernutrition among children aged 0–24 months and 25–59 months: maternal health and nutrition factors. Demographic and Health Survey data, Ethiopia, 2016 (n 9696)

|                          | 0–24 months (n 4199) |            |         |            |             |            | 25–59 months (n 5497) |            |         |            |             |            |
|--------------------------|----------------------|------------|---------|------------|-------------|------------|-----------------------|------------|---------|------------|-------------|------------|
|                          | Stunting             |            | Wasting |            | Underweight |            | Stunting              |            | Wasting |            | Underweight |            |
|                          | OR                   | 95 % CI    | OR      | 95 % CI    | OR          | 95 % CI    | OR                    | 95 % CI    | OR      | 95 % CI    | OR          | 95 % CI    |
| BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ) |                      |            |         |            |             |            |                       |            |         |            |             |            |
| < 18.5                   | 1.00                 | Ref.       | 1.00    | Ref.       | 1.00        | Ref.       | 1.00                  | Ref.       | 1.00    | Ref.       | 1.00        | Ref.       |
| 18.5–24.9                | 0.72                 | 0.49, 1.05 | 1.25    | 0.60, 2.57 | 0.75        | 0.42, 1.33 | 0.68                  | 0.38, 1.22 | 0.54    | 0.19, 1.57 | 0.43**      | 0.21, 0.77 |
| $\geq 25.0$              | 0.25**               | 0.11, 0.55 | 0.20*   | 0.04, 0.96 | 0.05**      | 0.01, 0.41 | 0.23**                | 0.10, 0.53 | 0.35    | 0.08, 1.55 | 0.07***     | 0.02, 0.26 |
| Iron supplement†         |                      |            |         |            |             |            |                       |            |         |            |             |            |
| No                       | 1.00                 | Ref.       | 1.00    | Ref.       | 1.00        | Ref.       | 1.00                  | Ref.       | 1.00    | Ref.       | 1.00        | Ref.       |
| Yes                      | 0.80                 | 0.69, 1.02 | 0.85    | 0.50, 1.42 | 0.73        | 0.47, 1.13 | 1.43                  | 0.99, 2.09 | 1.16    | 0.55, 2.47 | 1.56        | 0.97, 2.51 |
| Short stature (<150 cm)  |                      |            |         |            |             |            |                       |            |         |            |             |            |
| No                       | 1.00                 | Ref.       | 1.00    | Ref.       | 1.00        | Ref.       | 1.00                  | Ref.       | 1.00    | Ref.       | 1.00        | Ref.       |
| Yes                      | 2.21***              | 1.52, 3.16 | 0.69    | 0.41, 1.41 | 1.81**      | 1.16, 2.81 | 3.12***               | 2.02, 4.89 | 1.98    | 0.93, 4.23 | 2.48***     | 1.52, 4.04 |
| Source of drinking-water |                      |            |         |            |             |            |                       |            |         |            |             |            |
| Piped                    | 0.68*                | 0.47, 0.98 | 1.02    | 0.63, 1.67 | 0.57*       | 0.36, 0.90 | 0.64*                 | 0.44, 0.92 | 1.52    | 0.76, 3.03 | 0.68        | 0.43, 1.06 |
| Not piped                | 1.00                 | Ref.       | 1.00    | Ref.       | 1.00        | Ref.       | 1.00                  | Ref.       | 1.00    | Ref.       | 1.00        | Ref.       |
| Maternal anaemia‡        |                      |            |         |            |             |            |                       |            |         |            |             |            |
| Not anaemic              | 1.00                 | Ref.       | 1.00    | Ref.       | 1.00        | Ref.       | 1.00                  | Ref.       | 1.00    | Ref.       | 1.00        | Ref.       |
| Anaemic                  | 1.14                 | 0.94, 1.40 | 0.90    | 0.53, 1.53 | 0.81        | 0.51, 1.26 | 1.25                  | 0.82, 1.91 | 0.86    | 0.36, 2.02 | 0.97        | 0.58, 1.62 |
| Antenatal care           |                      |            |         |            |             |            |                       |            |         |            |             |            |
| No                       | 1.00                 | Ref.       | 1.00    | Ref.       | 1.00        | Ref.       | 1.00                  | Ref.       | 1.00    | Ref.       | 1.00        | Ref.       |
| Yes                      | 0.63**               | 0.46, 0.87 | 1.31    | 0.93, 1.81 | 0.95        | 0.68, 1.33 | 1.18                  | 0.66, 2.13 | 2.17    | 0.88, 5.39 | 0.95        | 0.44, 2.02 |

Ref., reference category.

\* $P < 0.05$ , \*\* $P < 0.01$ , \*\*\* $P < 0.001$ .

†Iron supplement refers to iron supplement during pregnancy.

‡Not anaemic, Hb  $\geq 12$  g/dl; anaemic, Hb  $< 12$  g/dl.

children of mothers with no education, children living in impoverished households and children having working mothers. Children living with a large number of siblings

were 1.67 times more likely to be wasted compared with those living with a smaller number of siblings (95 % CI 1.17, 2.38; Table 5).

**Table 5** Correlates of undernutrition among children aged 0–24 months and 25–59 months: household socio-economic and demographic factors. Demographic and Health Survey data, Ethiopia, 2016 (*n* 9696)

|                                     | 0–24 months ( <i>n</i> 4199) |            |         |            |             |            | 25–59 months ( <i>n</i> 5497) |            |         |            |             |            |
|-------------------------------------|------------------------------|------------|---------|------------|-------------|------------|-------------------------------|------------|---------|------------|-------------|------------|
|                                     | Stunting                     |            | Wasting |            | Underweight |            | Stunting                      |            | Wasting |            | Underweight |            |
|                                     | OR                           | 95% CI     | OR      | 95% CI     | OR          | 95% CI     | OR                            | 95% CI     | OR      | 95% CI     | OR          | 95% CI     |
| Mother's age (years)                |                              |            |         |            |             |            |                               |            |         |            |             |            |
| 15–24                               | 1.00                         | Ref.       | 1.00    | Ref.       | 1.00        | Ref.       | 1.00                          | Ref.       | 1.00    | Ref.       | 1.00        | Ref.       |
| 25–34                               | 1.12                         | 0.91, 1.4  | 0.78    | 0.60, 1.01 | 1.00        | 0.79, 1.26 | 0.92                          | 0.77, 1.09 | 0.64**  | 0.48, 0.86 | 0.84        | 0.69, 1.02 |
| ≥ 35                                | 1.59**                       | 1.17, 2.16 | 0.79    | 0.54, 1.15 | 1.21        | 0.86, 1.69 | 0.86                          | 0.68, 1.09 | 0.50**  | 0.33, 0.75 | 0.66**      | 0.51, 0.87 |
| Mother's age at first birth (years) |                              |            |         |            |             |            |                               |            |         |            |             |            |
| ≤ 19                                | 1.00                         | Ref.       | 1.00    | Ref.       | 1.00        | Ref.       | 1.00                          | Ref.       | 1.00    | Ref.       | 1.00        | Ref.       |
| 20–29                               | 0.90                         | 0.77, 1.06 | 0.95    | 0.77, 1.16 | 0.87        | 0.72, 1.04 | 0.87*                         | 0.76, 0.99 | 1.08    | 0.87, 1.36 | 0.93        | 0.81, 1.08 |
| ≥ 30                                | 1.09                         | 0.59, 2.04 | 1.75    | 0.85, 3.60 | 0.88        | 0.41, 1.89 | 1.00                          | 0.61, 1.66 | 1.10    | 0.42, 2.87 | 0.93        | 0.50, 1.73 |
| Area of residence                   |                              |            |         |            |             |            |                               |            |         |            |             |            |
| Urban                               | 1.00                         | Ref.       | 1.00    | Ref.       | 1.00        | Ref.       | 1.00                          | Ref.       | 1.00    | Ref.       | 1.00        | Ref.       |
| Rural                               | 1.30                         | 0.99, 1.69 | 0.66    | 0.47, 0.92 | 1.03        | 0.76, 1.40 | 1.35**                        | 1.10, 1.66 | 1.69*   | 1.15, 2.42 | 1.22        | 0.96, 1.55 |
| Wealth index                        |                              |            |         |            |             |            |                               |            |         |            |             |            |
| Poor                                | 1.00                         | Ref.       | 1.00    | Ref.       | 1.00        | Ref.       | 1.00                          | Ref.       | 1.00    | Ref.       | 1.00        | Ref.       |
| Average                             | 0.84                         | 0.67, 1.03 | 0.71*   | 0.54, 0.93 | 0.68**      | 0.53, 0.86 | 0.94                          | 0.80, 1.11 | 0.80    | 0.60, 1.06 | 0.82*       | 0.69, 0.98 |
| Rich                                | 0.79*                        | 0.63, 0.96 | 0.51*** | 0.39, 0.68 | 0.50***     | 0.39, 0.64 | 0.73***                       | 0.62, 0.85 | 0.54*** | 0.40, 0.74 | 0.51***     | 0.43, 0.62 |
| Maternal education                  |                              |            |         |            |             |            |                               |            |         |            |             |            |
| No education                        | 1.00                         | Ref.       | 1.00    | Ref.       | 1.00        | Ref.       | 1.00                          | Ref.       | 1.00    | Ref.       | 1.00        | Ref.       |
| Primary education                   | 1.05                         | 0.88, 1.27 | 0.80    | 0.63, 1.01 | 0.73**      | 0.59, 0.89 | 0.84*                         | 0.73, 0.97 | 0.86    | 0.67, 1.12 | 0.73***     | 0.62, 0.85 |
| Secondary education                 | 0.83                         | 0.59, 1.15 | 0.62*   | 0.40, 0.96 | 0.59**      | 0.40, 0.87 | 0.48***                       | 0.36, 0.66 | 0.93    | 0.55, 1.57 | 0.51***     | 0.35, 0.74 |
| Higher                              | 0.38**                       | 0.21, 0.69 | 0.69    | 0.37, 1.30 | 0.42*       | 0.22, 0.83 | 0.33***                       | 0.21, 0.51 | 0.58    | 0.24, 1.40 | 0.27***     | 0.14, 0.53 |
| Parity                              |                              |            |         |            |             |            |                               |            |         |            |             |            |
| 1–3                                 | 1.00                         | Ref.       | 1.00    | Ref.       | 1.00        | Ref.       | 1.00                          | Ref.       | 1.00    | Ref.       | 1.00        | Ref.       |
| 4–6                                 | 1.01                         | 0.82, 1.24 | 1.28    | 0.90, 1.83 | 1.16        | 0.92, 1.46 | 0.94                          | 0.80, 1.10 | 1.21    | 0.92, 1.58 | 1.07        | 0.90, 1.27 |
| 7                                   | 0.76                         | 0.57, 1.02 | 1.33*   | 1.03, 1.71 | 0.92        | 0.67, 1.26 | 0.92                          | 0.74, 1.13 | 1.67**  | 1.17, 2.38 | 1.05        | 0.92, 1.45 |
| Mother's working                    |                              |            |         |            |             |            |                               |            |         |            |             |            |
| No                                  | 1.00                         | Ref.       | 1.00    | Ref.       | 1.00        | Ref.       | 1.00                          | Ref.       | 1.00    | Ref.       | 1.00        | Ref.       |
| Yes                                 | 1.24**                       | 1.06, 1.44 | 0.98    | 0.81, 1.18 | 1.19*       | 1.01, 1.14 | 1.14*                         | 1.01, 1.27 | 0.89    | 0.70, 1.05 | 0.95        | 0.84, 1.08 |

Ref., reference category.

\**P*<0.05, \*\**P*<0.01, \*\*\**P*<0.001.

## Discussion

The present study demonstrated the prevalence and key predictors of malnutrition (stunting, wasting and underweight) among children under 5 years of age in Ethiopia based on nationally representative data for the year 2016. Our analysis revealed that prevalence of undernutrition among both older and younger age groups of children was unacceptably high by any standard. The figures are much higher compared with other comparable recent studies in sub-Saharan Africa. For instance, a study in Kenya reported that prevalence of stunting, wasting and underweight was 30, 8 and 13%, respectively<sup>(21)</sup>. While there was encouraging progress in reducing the prevalence of stunting over the past 5 years from 44% in 2011 to 38% in 2016, there was little change in the prevalence of underweight and in fact the country experienced a slight increase (1%) in the prevalence of wasting<sup>(10)</sup>.

The current analysis showed a wide range of socio-economic and demographic factors explaining the fairly high levels of undernutrition among both the younger and older children in Ethiopia. It appeared that the predictors and/or risk factors followed a similar pattern across the two age groups. However, child factors had higher

leverage in the younger group across the three forms of undernutrition compared with their effects on the outcome variables in the older children.

Our results showed that female children were less likely to be stunted, wasted or underweight across both age groups, which is in accordance with other studies conducted in Africa<sup>(21,22)</sup>. There is no ample evidence on sex differentials in nutritional status but some argue that males are more vulnerable to environmental stress than females in their early life, and this in itself could increase incidence of morbidity and mortality even in later life<sup>(23)</sup>. Others argue that the genetic difference between the two sexes favours female children to grow and survive better than males<sup>(24)</sup>. Moreover, the role of oestrogen and insulin-like growth factor (IGF-I) in human growth would probably be worth investigation<sup>(25,26)</sup>. From the cultural point of view, older females in most developing societies have the habit of staying at home while males usually engage in energy-requiring outdoor physical activities. In a few studies, it has been reported that caregiving practices to female and male children are different and it was assumed that this could be a factor contributing to the differences in nutritional status of the two sexes<sup>(21,27)</sup>.

Child birth weight was associated with the three forms of undernutrition in both age groups. Those with average and small birth weight were at higher odds of becoming undernourished. Several studies from low- and middle-income countries have reported that birth weight and length are positively associated with better growth<sup>(28,29)</sup>. Unless the condition is reversed by catch-up growth, it will continue to affect the growth, immunity and even cognitive development of the individual, even at adult age<sup>(30–32)</sup>. However, getting successful compensatory growth requires an improved living condition including good nutrition, good care practices and conducive environment. Children born in India and brought up in Sweden through adoption have shown significant catch-up growth as a result of improved living conditions<sup>(33–35)</sup>. Intervention studies evidenced that nutrition interventions during pregnancy and early childhood improved the nutritional status of children as well as adults<sup>(33,36,37)</sup>.

The present study showed the important role of anaemia on the nutritional status of children in Ethiopia. It is noted that the majority of the children were anaemic, particularly those in the young age group. Anaemia is characterized by a decline in the number of red blood cells, resulting in insufficient carrying capacity to meet physiological needs<sup>(38)</sup>. Iron deficiency is the most common cause globally, but anaemia can also be caused by other nutritional deficiencies such as vitamin B<sub>12</sub>, folate and vitamin A, as well as by inflammation and parasitic infection<sup>(38)</sup>. In infants and young children, anaemia may lead to delayed growth and has long-term effects on neurodevelopment and behaviour<sup>(39,40)</sup>. Evidence has shown that iron-deficiency anaemia alters growth by hindering energy metabolism and myelination<sup>(41)</sup>. Treatment of iron-deficiency anaemia with oral iron supplements showed a significant increase in weight velocity and decreased rate of stunting<sup>(42–44)</sup>. Other studies confirmed that correction of anaemia is associated with decreased incidence of diarrhoea, fever and respiratory tract infection<sup>(43,45)</sup>.

In our analysis, drinking from a bottle and utilizing water from an unprotected source were associated with stunting, wasting and underweight among the young age group. Similar results have been reported from Tanzania<sup>(46)</sup>. In places where hygiene and sanitation are poor, children are highly exposed to parasitic and bacterial infection followed by severe diarrhoea. Diarrhoea can have a detrimental impact on child growth<sup>(47)</sup>. In Ethiopia, unhygienic bottle-feeding practices and unsafe sources of drinking-water have been commonly reported causes of diarrhoea<sup>(48,49)</sup>. Our analysis showed that 16% of the children from both groups have drunk from a bottle the day prior to the data collection.

Receiving micronutrient powder resulted in lower odds of stunting, wasting and underweight in the younger children aged 0–24 months. It was reported that growth

hormone is highly regulated by nutrition<sup>(50)</sup> and some studies showed that micronutrient intake improves early growth particularly in preterm infants<sup>(51,52)</sup>. It has been suggested that supplementation with multiple micronutrients rather than a single nutrient is more effective for catch-up growth in preterm and term infants<sup>(53)</sup>. On the other hand, micronutrient deficiencies can alter growth<sup>(54)</sup>. Despite lack of a clear definition of individual micronutrients included in the multiple micronutrients in the current data, analyses show that some micronutrient deficiencies such as zinc, iron and vitamin A are associated with stunting, wasting and underweight<sup>(54,55)</sup>. For this reason, the WHO has recommended all severely malnourished infants and children should receive adequate vitamins and minerals<sup>(56)</sup>.

Maternal nutritional status was significantly associated with at least one form of undernutrition across the two child age groups. Having normal or high maternal BMI was associated with lower odds of stunting, wasting and underweight. This is consistent with findings from other parts of the world such as Brazil, Madagascar, Kenya and others<sup>(21,22,57)</sup>. This association could be explained by environmental and genetic factors. In developing countries, maternal BMI could be a proxy for food security status and overall well-being of households. In a poor environment where food availability is limited, nutritional status of household members could be affected in a similar way. Various studies have confirmed that maternal BMI is a major predictor of children's BMI and also has an intergenerational effect on the nutritional status of children<sup>(58–60)</sup>.

The effect of maternal genetic influence on the offspring's nutritional status in the first 1000 days, particularly in determining children's height, is substantial. Poor maternal nutrition, health care and other environmental conditions could cause detrimental effects in children from an early age as well as in adulthood<sup>(61)</sup>. In fact, it has been noted that maternal nutritional status in her own childhood could affect her offspring's body weight, linear growth as well as cognitive function<sup>(62–64)</sup>. Interestingly, in our analysis, children of mothers with short stature were more likely to be short and underweight but not wasted in both age groups. Maternal anaemia was not associated with any form of child undernutrition. However, attending maternal antenatal care was associated with lower odds of stunting.

Our findings showed that older mothers were more likely to have stunted children for the younger age group and less likely to have wasted and underweight children for the older age group. However, in a prospective study it was reported that children of older mothers have advantages in nutritional status over children of younger mothers<sup>(65)</sup>. Children residing in rural areas were less likely to be wasted at younger age and more likely to be stunted and wasted at later age. In rural areas, mothers work at home which gives them chance to exclusively



breast-feed their newborn baby, with breast milk being sufficient to meet the nutritional needs of the child during the first six months. Not only a nutritional need, breast milk protects the infant against infection and chronic diseases<sup>(66,67)</sup>. As the child starts to grow, however, meeting her/his increased nutritional needs requires money and knowledge for increased availability of foods and access to health care. Our analysis indicated that children residing in rich households and/or having educated mothers were less likely to be affected by any form of undernutrition. Other studies done in other countries reported similar results<sup>(21)</sup>. Having more persons in a household was positively associated with stunting and wasting across the age groups. In a resource-limited area, it is not surprising that the scarce amount of food available will not be enough to maintain healthy nutritional status of rapidly growing children and having an increased number of household members makes it worse.

### Strengths and limitations

The current study is helpful in increasing our understanding of child malnutrition in Ethiopia. The findings could prove useful on a national scale in assessing progress in the fight against child malnutrition and serve as an important resource for the planning, targeting, monitoring and evaluating future health promotion programmes. The present study also has some methodological limitations. Because the data were collected from mothers, most of whom had no education, some omission, under-reporting or improper reporting of important exposure variables (such as her age, age of the child) might have affected the analysis results. Also, the cross-sectional nature of the DHS data significantly limits analysis of causality between the explanatory and outcome variables presented.

### Conclusion and policy implications

In conclusion, our analysis demonstrated high prevalence of stunting, wasting and underweight in children under 5 years old in Ethiopia. This was worsened by a large proportion of the young children being anaemic. The study identified multiple set of factors (including child, maternal and household socio-economic and demographic factors) as key predictors of childhood undernutrition in Ethiopia. Child- and maternal-related factors appeared to have relatively higher weight in all the three forms of undernutrition in the younger age group compared with the older one. This could be due to a carryover effect of pregnancy that was reflected at the early stage of life and could continue to later age depending on measures taken to achieve catch-up growth. The positive and negative outcomes of this period are highly dependent not only on the child but also on the mother's health and nutritional status. Based on the evidence presented above, the

present study thus underscores the importance of taking various cost-effective preventive measures during the first 1000 days as this is the most critical period for child health and survival. The current study also recommends promotion of maternal education, improving mothers' economic status (especially through gainful employment opportunities), access to maternal-child health services, promoting increased food access to all family members, and improving intrahousehold food distribution and eating habits.

### Acknowledgements

*Acknowledgements:* The authors acknowledge ORC Macro for making the DHS data available. *Financial support:* This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors. *Conflict of interest:* None. *Authorship:* Both T.G. and N.R. were responsible for data analysis and writing this paper. *Ethics of human subject participation:* Not applicable.

### References

1. UNICEF, World Health Organization & International Bank for Reconstruction and Development/The World Bank (2018) *Levels and Trends in Child Malnutrition: Key Findings of the 2018 Edition*. Geneva: WHO.
2. Black RE, Victora CG, Walker SP *et al.* (2013) Maternal and child undernutrition and overweight in low-income and middle-income countries. *Lancet* **382**, 427–451.
3. Bryce J, Coitinho D, Darnton-Hill I *et al.* (2008) Maternal and child undernutrition: effective action at national level. *Lancet* **371**, 510–526.
4. Mshida HA, Kassim N, Mpolya E *et al.* (2018) Water, sanitation, and hygiene practices associated with nutritional status of under-five children in semi-pastoral communities Tanzania. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* **98**, 1242–1249.
5. Perkins JM, Kim R, Krishna A *et al.* (2017) Understanding the association between stunting and child development in low- and middle-income countries: next steps for research and intervention. *Soc Sci Med* **193**, 101–109.
6. Scharf RJ, Rogawski ET, Murray-Kolb LE *et al.* (2018) Early childhood growth and cognitive outcomes: findings from the MAL-ED study. *Mater Child Nutr* **14**, e12584.
7. McGovern ME, Krishna A, Aguayo VM *et al.* (2017) A review of the evidence linking child stunting to economic outcomes. *Int J Epidemiol* **46**, 1171–1191.
8. Akombi BJ, Agho KE, Merom D *et al.* (2017) Child malnutrition in sub-Saharan Africa: a meta-analysis of demographic and health surveys (2006–2016). *PLoS One* **12**, e0177338.
9. Caulfield LE, de Onis M, Blossner M *et al.* (2004) Undernutrition as an underlying cause of child deaths associated with diarrhea, pneumonia, malaria, and measles. *Am J Clin Nutr* **80**, 193–198.
10. Central Statistical Agency ICF (2016) *Ethiopian Demographic and Health Survey. 2016*. Addis Ababa and Rockville, MD: CSA and ICF.
11. Abdulahi A, Shab-Bidar S, Rezaei S *et al.* (2017) Nutritional status of under five children in Ethiopia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Ethiop J Health Sci* **27**, 175–188.

12. Vollmer S, Harttgen K, Subramanyam MA *et al.* (2014) Association between economic growth and early childhood undernutrition: evidence from 121 Demographic and Health Surveys from 36 low-income and middle-income countries. *Lancet Glob Health* **2**, e225–e234.
13. Ali D, Saha KK, Nguyen PH *et al.* (2013) Household food insecurity is associated with higher child undernutrition in Bangladesh, Ethiopia, and Vietnam, but the effect is not mediated by child dietary diversity. *J Nutr* **143**, 2015–2021.
14. Alemu ZA, Ahmed AA, Yalew AW *et al.* (2016) Non random distribution of child undernutrition in Ethiopia: spatial analysis from the 2011 Ethiopia demographic and health survey. *Int J Equity Health* **15**, 198.
15. Endris N, Asefa H, Dube L (2017) Prevalence of malnutrition and associated factors among children in rural Ethiopia. *Biomed Res Int* **2017**, 6587853.
16. Abebe Z, Zelalem Anlay D & Biadgo B (2017) High prevalence of undernutrition among children in Gondar town, Northwest Ethiopia: a community-based cross-sectional study. *Int J Pediatr* **2017**, 5367070.
17. International Fund for Agricultural Development (2016) *Participatory Small-Scale Irrigation Development Programme II (PASIDP II). Project Appraisal Document*. Rome: IFAD.
18. World Health Organization (2010) *Nutrition Landscape Information System (NLIS) Country Profile Indicators: Interpretation Guide*. Geneva: WHO.
19. Rustine O & Rojas G (2006) Guide to DHS Statistics. Demographic and Health Surveys Methodology, p. 61. [https://dhsprogram.com/pubs/pdf/DHSG1/Guide\\_to\\_DHS\\_Statistics\\_29Oct2012\\_DHSG1.pdf](https://dhsprogram.com/pubs/pdf/DHSG1/Guide_to_DHS_Statistics_29Oct2012_DHSG1.pdf) (accessed January 2019).
20. World Health Organization (2016) *WHO Recommendations on Antenatal Care for a Positive Pregnancy Experience*. Geneva: WHO.
21. Gewa CA & Yandell N (2012) Undernutrition among Kenyan children: contribution of child, maternal and household factors. *Public Health Nutr* **15**, 1029–1038.
22. Rakotomanana H, Gates GE, Hildebrand D *et al.* (2017) Determinants of stunting in children under 5 years in Madagascar. *Matern Child Nutr* **13**, e12409.
23. Wells JC (2000) Natural selection and sex differences in morbidity and mortality in early life. *J Theor Biol* **202**, 65–76.
24. Decaro JA, Decaro E & Worthman CM (2010) Sex differences in child nutritional and immunological status 5–9 years post contact in fringe highland Papua New Guinea. *Am J Hum Biol* **22**, 657–666.
25. Nilsson O, Weise M, Landman EB *et al.* (2014) Evidence that estrogen hastens epiphyseal fusion and cessation of longitudinal bone growth by irreversibly depleting the number of resting zone progenitor cells in female rabbits. *Endocrinology* **155**, 2892–2899.
26. Lofqvist C, Andersson E, Glander L *et al.* (2001) Reference values for IGF-I throughout childhood and adolescence: a model that accounts simultaneously for the effect of gender, age, and puberty. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* **86**, 5870–5876.
27. Begin F, Frongillo EA Jr & Delisle H (1999) Caregiver behaviors and resources influence child height-for-age in rural Chad. *J Nutr* **129**, 680–686.
28. Sachdev HS, Fall CH, Osmond C *et al.* (2005) Anthropometric indicators of body composition in young adults: relation to size at birth and serial measurements of body mass index in childhood in the New Delhi birth cohort. *Am J Clin Nutr* **82**, 456–466.
29. Adair LS (2007) Size at birth and growth trajectories to young adulthood. *Am J Hum Biol* **19**, 327–337.
30. Newsome CA, Shiell AW, Fall CH *et al.* (2003) Is birth weight related to later glucose and insulin metabolism? A systematic review. *Diabet Med* **20**, 339–348.
31. Haeffner LS, Barbieri MA, Rona RJ *et al.* (2002) The relative strength of weight and length at birth in contrast to social factors as determinants of height at 18 years in Brazil. *Ann Hum Biol* **29**, 627–640.
32. Gigante DP, Nazmi A, Lima RC *et al.* (2009) Epidemiology of early and late growth in height, leg and trunk length: findings from a birth cohort of Brazilian males. *Eur J Clin Nutr* **63**, 375–381.
33. Martorell R, Khan LK & Schroeder DG (1994) Reversibility of stunting: epidemiological findings in children from developing countries. *Eur J Clin Nutr* **48**, Suppl. 1, S45–S57.
34. Proos LA, Karlberg J, Hofvander Y *et al.* (1993) Pubertal linear growth of Indian girls adopted in Sweden. *Acta Paediatr* **82**, 641–644.
35. World Health Organization (2006) Assessment of differences in linear growth among populations in the WHO multicentre growth reference study. *Acta Paediatr* **450**, 56–65.
36. Bhutta ZA, Ahmed T, Black RE *et al.* (2008) What works? Interventions for maternal and child undernutrition and survival. *Lancet* **371**, 417–440.
37. Chaudhary SR, Govil S, Lala MK *et al.* (2018) Infant and young child feeding index and its association with nutritional status: a cross-sectional study of urban slums of Ahmedabad. *J Fam Community Med* **25**, 88–94.
38. World Health Organization (2011) *Haemoglobin Concentrations for the Diagnosis of Anaemia and Assessment of Severity. Vitamin and Mineral Nutrition Information System (VMNIS). WHO/NMH/NHD/MNM/11.1*. Geneva: WHO.
39. Lofzoff B (2007) Iron deficiency and child development. *Food Nutr Bull* **28**, 4 Suppl., S560–S571.
40. Shafir T, Angulo-Barroso R, Calatroni A *et al.* (2006) Effects of iron deficiency in infancy on patterns of motor development over time. *Hum Mov Sci* **25**, 821–838.
41. Soliman AT, De Sanctis V & Kalra S (2014) Anemia and growth. *Indian J Endocr Metab* **18**, S1–S5.
42. Aukett MA, Parks YA, Scott PH *et al.* (1986) Treatment with iron increases weight gain and psychomotor development. *Arch Dis Child* **61**, 849–857.
43. Angeles IT, Schultink WJ, Matulesi P *et al.* (1993) Decreased rate of stunting among anemic Indonesian preschool children through iron supplementation. *Am J Clin Nutr* **58**, 339–342.
44. Queiroz VA, Assis AM, Pinheiro SM *et al.* (2012) Predictors of linear growth in the first year of life of a prospective cohort of full term children with normal birth weight. *J Pediatr (Rio J)* **88**, 79–86.
45. Chwang LC, Soemantri AG, Pollitt E (1988) Iron supplementation and physical growth of rural Indonesian children. *Am J Clin Nutr* **47**, 496–501.
46. Chirande L, Charwe D, Mbwana H *et al.* (2015) Determinants of stunting and severe stunting among under-fives in Tanzania: evidence from the 2010 cross-sectional household survey. *BMC Pediatr* **15**, 165.
47. Richard SA, Black RE, Gilman RH *et al.* (2013) Diarrhea in early childhood: short-term association with weight and long-term association with length. *Am J Epidemiol* **178**, 1129–1138.
48. Eshete WB (2008) A stepwise regression analysis on under-five diarrhoeal morbidity prevalence in Nekemte town, western Ethiopia: maternal care giving and hygiene behavioral determinants. *East Afr J Public Health* **5**, 193–198.
49. Haile D & Biadgilign S (2015) Higher breastfeeding performance index is associated with lower risk of illness in infants under six months in Ethiopia. *Int Breastfeed J* **10**, 32.
50. Denny-Brown S, Stanley TL, Grinspoon SK *et al.* (2012) The association of macro- and micronutrient intake with growth hormone secretion. *Growth Horm IGF Res* **22**, 102–107.
51. Sjöström ES, Szymlek-Gay EA, Öhlund I *et al.* (2011) Micronutrient intakes affect early growth in extremely

- preterm infants: preliminary results from a Swedish cohort. *Pediatr Res* **70**, 70.
52. Stoltz Sjöstrom E, Ohlund I, Ahlsson F *et al.* (2013) Nutrient intakes independently affect growth in extremely preterm infants: results from a population-based study. *Acta Paediatr* **102**, 1067–1074.
  53. Cho JM, Kim JY & Yang HR (2018) Effects of oral zinc supplementation on zinc status and catch-up growth during the first 2 years of life in children with non-organic failure to thrive born preterm and at term. *Pediatr Neonatol*. Published online: 25 June 2018. doi: 10.1016/j.pedneo.2018.06.006.
  54. Rivera JA, Hotz C, Gonzalez-Cossio T *et al.* (2003) The effect of micronutrient deficiencies on child growth: a review of results from community-based supplementation trials. *J Nutr* **133**, 11 Suppl. 2, 4010S–4020S.
  55. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (2010) Nutrition country profiles, Pakistan. [http://www.fao.org/ag/agn/nutrition/pak\\_en.stm](http://www.fao.org/ag/agn/nutrition/pak_en.stm) (accessed on May 2018).
  56. World Health Organization (2013) *Guideline: Updates on the Management of Severe Acute Malnutrition in Infants and Children*. Geneva: WHO.
  57. Felisbino-Mendes MS, Villamor E & Velasquez-Melendez G (2014) Association of maternal and child nutritional status in Brazil: a population based cross-sectional study. *PLoS One* **9**, e87486.
  58. Lourenco BH, Villamor E, Augusto RA *et al.* (2015) Influence of early life factors on body mass index trajectory during childhood: a population-based longitudinal analysis in the Western Brazilian Amazon. *Matern Child Nutr* **11**, 240–252.
  59. Deierlein AL, Siega-Riz AM, Adair LS *et al.* (2011) Effects of pre-pregnancy body mass index and gestational weight gain on infant anthropometric outcomes. *J Pediatr* **158**, 221–226.
  60. Schack-Nielsen L, Michaelsen KF, Gamborg M *et al.* (2010) Gestational weight gain in relation to offspring body mass index and obesity from infancy through adulthood. *Int J Obes (Lond)* **34**, 67–74.
  61. Young MF & Nguyen PH (2018) Role of maternal pre-conception nutrition on offspring growth and risk of stunting across the first 1000 days in Vietnam: a prospective cohort study. *PLoS One* **13**, e0203201.
  62. Addo OY, Stein AD, Fall CH *et al.* (2015) Parental childhood growth and offspring birthweight: pooled analyses from four birth cohorts in low and middle income countries. *Am J Hum Biol* **27**, 99–105.
  63. Addo OY, Stein AD, Fall CH *et al.* (2013) Maternal height and child growth patterns. *J Pediatr* **163**, 549–554.
  64. Veena SR, Gale CR, Krishnaveni GV *et al.* (2016) Association between maternal nutritional status in pregnancy and offspring cognitive function during childhood and adolescence; a systematic review. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth* **16**, 220.
  65. Fall CH, Sachdev HS, Osmond C *et al.* (2015) Association between maternal age at childbirth and child and adult outcomes in the offspring: a prospective study in five low-income and middle-income countries (COHORTS collaboration). *Lancet Glob Health* **3**, e366–e377.
  66. Gertosio C, Meazza C, Pagani S *et al.* (2016) Breastfeeding and its gamut of benefits. *Minerva Pediatr* **68**, 201–212.
  67. Binns C, Lee M & Low WY (2016) The long-term public health benefits of breastfeeding. *Asia Pac J Public Health* **28**, 7–14.