# Prenatal vitamin D supplementation and infant vitamin D status in Bangladesh

Nandita Perumal<sup>1,2</sup>, Abdullah Al Mahmud<sup>3</sup>, Abdullah H Baqui<sup>3,4</sup> and Daniel E Roth<sup>1,5,6,\*</sup> <sup>1</sup>Centre for Global Child Health, The Hospital for Sick Children, 686 Bay Street, Toronto, ON, Canada, M5G 0A4: <sup>2</sup>Department of Epidemiology, Dalla Lana School of Public Health, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada: <sup>3</sup>Centre for Child and Adolescent Health, International Centre for Diarrhoeal Disease Research, Bangladesh (icddr,b), Mohakhali, Dhaka, Bangladesh: <sup>4</sup>International Center for Maternal and Newborn Health, Department of International Health, Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, Baltimore, MD, USA: <sup>5</sup>Division of Paediatric Medicine and Research Institute, The Hospital for Sick Children, 686 Bay Street, Toronto, ON, Canada, M5G 0A4: <sup>6</sup>Departments of Paediatrics and Nutritional Sciences, University of Toronto, 1 King's College Circle, Toronto, ON, Canada, M5S 1A8

Submitted 11 March 2015: Final revision received 4 September 2015: Accepted 29 September 2015: First published online 6 November 2015

### Abstract

*Objective:* To determine the effect of prenatal maternal vitamin D supplementation on infant vitamin D status in a tropical region where vitamin D supplementation is not routine.

*Design:* A prospective observational follow-up of a randomized trial. *Setting:* Maternal–child health facility in Dhaka, Bangladesh (23°N).

*Subjects:* Infants born to pregnant women (*n* 160) randomized to receive 875  $\mu$ g (35 000 IU) cholecalciferol (vitamin D<sub>3</sub>) per week (VD) or placebo (PL) during the third trimester were followed from birth until 6 months of age (*n* 115). Infant serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D concentration (25(OH)D) was measured at <1, 2, 4 and 6 months of age.

*Results:* Mean infant 25(OH)D was higher in the VD *v*. PL group at <1 month of age (mean (sD): 80 (20) nmol/l *v*. 22 (18) nmol/l; P < 0.001), but the difference was attenuated by 2 months (52 (19) nmol/l *v*. 40 (23) nmol/l; P = 0.05). Groups were similar at 4 months (P = 0.40) and 6 months (n 72; P = 0.26). In the PL group, mean infant 25(OH)D increased to 78 (95 % CI 67, 88) nmol/l by 6 months of age (n 34). 25(OH)D was higher with infant formula-feeding and higher in summer *v*. winter. *Conclusions:* Prenatal third-trimester vitamin D supplementation (875 µg (35 000 IU)/ week) significantly ameliorated infant vitamin D status during the neonatal period when the risk of vitamin D deficiency is greatest. Further research is warranted to determine factors that contribute to the rise in 25(OH)D during the first 6 months of life among breast-fed infants in this setting.

Keywords Cholecalciferol 25-Hydroxyvitamin D Bangladesh Developing countries Infant Paediatrics Pregnancy Nutrition

Severe vitamin D deficiency during early infancy is associated with impaired Ca metabolism that may lead to rickets or symptomatic hypocalcaemia<sup>(1)</sup>. Emerging evidence suggests that mild-to-moderate vitamin D deficits in infancy may cause adverse longer-term health outcomes<sup>(2,3)</sup>. In countries at northerly latitudes (e.g. Canada, USA, UK), fortification of infant formula and recommendations in favour of routine vitamin D supplementation of breast-fed infants are mandated to prevent rickets<sup>(4–8)</sup>. In countries closer to the equator, where cutaneous vitamin D synthesis is expected year-round, infant vitamin D status may be adequate in the absence of supplementation<sup>(7,8)</sup>. However, poor vitamin D status marked by low serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D concentrations (25(OH)D) has been

\*Corresponding author: Email daniel.roth@sickkids.ca

observed among pregnant women and young infants in India<sup>(9,10)</sup>, Bangladesh<sup>(11,12)</sup> and Pakistan<sup>(13,14)</sup>, leading to calls for routine vitamin D supplementation for breast-fed infants in South Asia<sup>(15)</sup>.

Maternal vitamin D status is believed to influence infant status, particularly during the first 2 months of life<sup>(16)</sup>. Prenatal maternal vitamin D supplementation is therefore a potential strategy to promote early infant vitamin D sufficiency<sup>(14)</sup>. However, no previous randomized trials have established the effect of maternal prenatal vitamin D intake on infant vitamin D status beyond the first week of life<sup>(17,18)</sup> or independent of infant supplementation<sup>(19)</sup>. In a randomized double-blind placebo-controlled trial of prenatal vitamin D supplementation (875 µg (35 000 IU)/week) in Dhaka, Bangladesh<sup>(3,12)</sup>, infants were followed prospectively without prescribed postnatal vitamin D supplementation according to practices typical of tropical regions. In the present study, we aimed to: (i) assess the effect of prenatal maternal vitamin D supplementation on infant 25(OH)D up to 6 months of age; and (ii) describe the association of postnatal factors with the rise in infant 25(OH)D from birth to 6 months of age, which has been observed in multiple settings<sup>(8,19–22)</sup>.

### Methods

The Antenatal Vitamin D in Dhaka (AViDD) trial (23°N) was previously described in detail<sup>(3,12)</sup>. Briefly, 160 pregnant Bangladeshi women between 18 and 35 years of age, with no known pregnancy-related complications, were randomized, using a computer-generated randomization list with 1:1 allocation, at 26 to 29 weeks' gestation to receive 875 µg (35 000 IU) cholecalciferol (vitamin D<sub>3</sub>) per week (VD) or placebo (PL) until delivery. Safety and dosing considerations for vitamin D supplementation in pregnancy have been described previously<sup>(12,23)</sup>. Infants were enrolled in a prospective observational follow-up. The study included data from birth (October 2010 to April 2011) to 6 months of age (March to September 2011); however, infant blood specimen collection began in December 2010. In accordance with standards of care in Bangladesh, neither mothers nor infants were provided with vitamin D supplementation in the postpartum/ postnatal period. Written consent was obtained at the time of trial enrolment and again at the first postnatal visit. The AViDD trial was registered at ClinicalTrials.gov (NCT01126528).

#### Data collection

Maternal characteristics and prenatal dietary intake were assessed at weekly prenatal home visits. Infant health status, feeding patterns and swaddling practices were based on maternal report and ascertained using standardized questionnaires at 1, 4, 8 or 16 weeks (randomly assigned) and 24 weeks of age. Infant venous blood collection was scheduled at 3 or 5 d, 8 or 16 weeks and 24 weeks of age (total of three scheduled blood samples). Maternal blood collection was scheduled infant seportum in conjunction with the scheduled infant sample. Serum 25(OH)D was measured by HPLC-tandem MS (LC-MS/MS) at The Hospital for Sick Children in Toronto, as previously described<sup>(12)</sup>. Vitamin D status was based on the following conventional 25(OH)D cut-off points: 30, 50 and 80 nmol/l.

### Statistical analysis

The primary outcome was infant 25(OH)D up to 6 months of age using all available data (referred to as the 'full

sample' analysis) using an intent-to-treat approach. To address aim #1, we conducted cross-sectional comparisons of infant and maternal 25(OH)D in the VD and PL groups within specific age ranges, using bootstrapped linear regressions. Bootstrapping was used to obtain more reliable estimates of the standard errors in the small cross-sectional samples. The  $\chi^2$  test or Fisher's exact test was used to compare the proportions of infants/mothers in vitamin D status categories. Sensitivity analyses limited to infants with at least three postnatal 25(OH)D measurements yielded the same inferences as analysis of the full data set (not shown). Longitudinal analyses to describe the association between infant 25(OH)D with age in the VD and PL groups were performed using piecewise linear regression splines with knots at 2 and 4 months of age (corresponding to the blood collection schedule) to accommodate the non-linear pattern of change in the VD group. To account for within-person correlation of repeated measures, generalized estimating equations (GEE) with robust standard errors and exchangeable correlation were used. We also explored associations between infant 25(OH)D and either maternal 25(OH)D concentration at delivery (a marker of maternal prenatal 25(OH)D status) or cord 25(OH)D (an indicator of fetal vitamin D stores), to extend the findings of the VD-PL group comparisons. Associations between postpartum maternal 25(OH)D and infant vitamin D status were analysed in a similar fashion, but in a smaller subgroup for whom data were available (n 98).

To address aim #2 (identification of postnatal factors associated with infant 25(OH)D from birth to 6 months of age), we analysed a subset of infant 25(OH)D data that we considered to be relatively unaffected by maternal vitamin D supplementation (referred to as the 'subset' analysis); this included all PL data plus VD data at 4 and 6 months of age (102 infants; 187 observations; see online supplementary material, Supplemental Fig. 1). Using the entire data set would have required the use of three-way interaction terms among the covariate of interest, age and supplementation group, thereby adding unnecessary complexity to the model at the expense of interpretability and parsimony. We focused on the following hypothesized postnatal determinants of infant vitamin D status: age; season (calendar day on which serum was collected, as a continuous variable); maternal postpartum 25(OH)D; and infant dietary patterns. Exclusive breast-feeding and infant formula use were included as time-varying covariates. Animal-source complementary foods were infrequently consumed at <6 months and thus not analysed.

We considered potential confounders (maternal height, parity, gravidity, maternal age and maternal education level) and tested for interaction between age and other postnatal determinants of infant 25(OH)D (see online supplementary material, Supplemental Fig. 2). For analyses addressing aim #2 ('subset' analyses), we performed linear regression with infant 25(OH)D as the outcome variable, using GEE to account for within-infant correlation

	Placeb	o† ( <i>n</i> 55)	All	( <i>n</i> 60)	Subset (n 47)			
Characteristic	% or Mean	<i>n, n/N</i> or <sub>SD</sub>	% or Mean	<i>n, n/N</i> or <sub>SD</sub>	% or Mean	<i>n</i> , <i>n/N</i> or <sub>SD</sub>	P§	<i>P</i>
Sex, % and n								
Females	51	28	56	34	53	25	0.36	0.54
Males	49	27	43	26	47	22		
Gestational age at birth, % and n								
Preterm (34 to <37 weeks)	24	13	12	7	15	7	0.33	0.09
Term (≥37 weeks)	76	42	88	53	85	40		
Season at birth, % and n								
October–November	24	13	32	19	23	11	0.04	0.46
December-February	56	31	45	27	49	23		
March–April	20	11	23	14	28	13		
Infant anthropometry, mean and sp			_0	••	_0			
Birth weight (kg)	2.8	0.4	2.9	0.5	2.9	0.5	1.00	0.71
Length at birth (cm)	48.1	2.2	48.5	1.8	48.5	1.9	0.78	0.28
Initiated breast-feeding within 1 h of birth. % and n	95	52	95	57	94	44	0.35	0.91
Exclusively breast-fed¶ tt % and n		02	00	0.	• •		0.00	
1 month visit	76	39/51	75	39/52	77	30/39	0.58	0.86
2 months visit	56	14/25	60	18/30	58	11/19	0.76	0.77
4 months visit	66	19/29	55	16/29	55	16/29	1.00	0.42
6 months visit	28	14/50	36	18/50	36	15/42	1.00	0.30
Formula use 1 tt % and n	20	14/00	00	10/30	00	10/42	1.00	0.00
1 month visit	18	9/51	17	9/52	13	5/30	0.20	0.96
2 monthe visit	24	6/25	23	7/30	21	4/10	0.70	0.05
1 months visit	24	7/20	20	7/20	21	7/20	1.00	1.00
6 months visit	24 19	24/50	40	21/50	40	17/40	0.71	0.55
Animal course feeds 88 % and n/N	40	24/50	42	21/50	40	17/42	0.71	0.55
1 month visit	Δ	0/51	0	0/52	0	0/20		
2 monthe visit	0	0/01	7	0/52	11	0/39	0 50	0 10
2 months visit	0	0/25	7	2/30	7	2/19	1.00	0.15
4 months visit	10	0/29	16	2/29	10	2/29	1.00	1 00
o months visit	01	8/50	10	8/50	19	8/42	0.32	1.00
Usually of always swaddled outside the nome, % a			00		07	07/00	1 00	1 00
i month visit	98	50/51	98	50/51	97	37/38	1.00	1.00
2 months visit	68	17/25	70	21/30	/4	14/19	0.69	0.87
4 months visit	24	7/29	31	9/29	31	9/29	1.00	0.56
6 months visit	6	3/50	4	2/50	2	1/42	0.30	0.65
Expressed preference to expose infant to sunlight,	% and <i>n</i> /	N		47/50	00	04/07	0.50	0.70
	92	47/51	94	47/50	92	34/37	0.56	0.72
2 months visit	64	16/25	60	18/30	58	11/19	1.00	0.76
4 months visit	59	17/29	/9	23/29	/9	23/29	1.00	0.09
6 months visit	90	45/50	68	34/50	67	28/42	1.00	0.01

†There was one set of twins in the placebo group, hence, there were fifty-five infants born to fifty-four mothers in the placebo group.

‡Vitamin D 'all' group included data for all infants with any 25-hydroxyvitamin D (25(OH)D) available in the first 6 months of life. The 'subset' excluded data for thirteen infants who did not contribute 25(OH)D data at or beyond 4 months.

P values for differences between 'all' and 'subset' vitamin D groups were based on  $\chi^2$  and Fisher's exact tests for proportions or linear regression for differences in means.

IIP values for differences between placebo and 'all' vitamin D groups were based on  $\chi^2$  and Fisher's exact tests for proportions or linear regression for differences in means.

(168 to 203 d), 9 Specified postnatal visits: 1 month (2 to 40 d), 2 months (46 to 81 d), 4 months (84 to 138 d) and 6 months (168 to 203 d).

++Exclusive breast-feeding was defined as reported breast-feeding in the past 24 h (yes/no) and a lack of formula or complementary foods previously ever reportedly fed to the infant.

<sup>‡‡</sup>Data on recent formula use (yes/no) was available for *n* 98 (*n* 47 in the placebo group and *n* 51 in the vitamin D group). Infant 'formula' type often unspecified by caregiver; may include powdered fortified milk beverages not intended for infants.

§\$Reported infant consumption of animal-source foods (yes/no), including fish, animal milk (other than 'infant formula'), egg, meat or liver.

of 25(OH)D at different ages. The association between infant 25(OH)D and age was essentially linear, hence spline functions were not required. In a forward-selection model building process, explanatory variables were included in the multivariable GEE model if they were associated with the dependent variable with a *P* value <0.20 in age-adjusted analyses. To explore whether the age-related rise in 25(OH)D was attributable to exogenous vitamin D intake, we also performed stratified analyses in which we compared the 25(OH)D–age slope in the context of exclusive breast-feeding (v. not exclusive breast-feeding) or reported recent formula use (v. no recent formula use) using the 'subset' analysis sample. Significance was assessed at a two-sided  $\alpha$  level <0.05. All analyses were conducted using the statistical software package Stata versions 12.1 and 13.1.

Table 2	Infant	vitamin I	D status	(mean	serum	25-hydr	oxyvitam	in D	concentrati	on (2	25(OH)D)	and	vitamin D	categories)	during	the t	first
6 month	ns of life	, by sup	plementa	tion gro	oup. Ant	enatal \	/itamin [	) in E	Dhaka (AViD	D) tr	ial (23°N)	, Bar	ngladesh				

		Placebo					
Serum 25(OH)D	Mean or %	sd or <i>n</i> 95 % Cl		Mean or %	sd or n	95 % CI	<i>P</i> †
<1 month‡,§,   (nmol/l)		n 34			n 30		
Average	22.0	17.6	16.2, 27.8	80.1	20.3	73.6, 86.6	<0.001
<30 nmol/l	79	27	62, 90	0	0	<u> </u>	<0.001
30–49 nmol/l	18	6	8, 35	3	1	0, 22	
50–79 nmol/l	3	1	0, 20	60	18	41, 77	
≥80 nmol/l	0	0	_	37	11	21, 56	
2 months‡,¶ (nmol/l)		n 23			n 25	,	
Average	40.2	23.2	30.1, 50.2	51·8	18.6	44·1, 59·5	0.05
<30 nmol/l	39	9	21, 61	16	4	6, 38	0.23
30–49 nmol/l	22	5	9, 45	28	7	13, 50	
50–79 nmol/l	30	7	14, 54	52	13	32, 72	
≥80 nmol/l	9	2	2, 31	4	1	0, 26	
4 months‡,¶ (nmol/l)		n 25	,		n 29	,	
Average	61.2	29.3	49.1, 73.3	66.9	19.5	59.4, 74.3	0.40
<30 nmol/l	16	4	6, 38	0	0	<u> </u>	0.10
30–49 nmol/l	16	4	6, 38	28	8	14, 48	
50–79 nmol/l	48	12	28, 68	41	12	24, 61	
≥80 nmol/l	20	5	8, 42	31	9	16, 51	
6 months‡, II (nmol/I)		n 34	·		n 38	·	
Average	77.5	29.1	67·1, 87·6	70.4	24.3	62.4, 78.4	0.26
<30 nmol/l	6	2	1, 22	5	2	1, 20	0.82
30–49 nmol/l	15	5	6, 32	16	6	7, 32	
50–79 nmol/l	32	11	18, 50	42	16	27, 59	
≥80 nmol/l	47	16	30, 64	37	14	23, 54	

+P values from linear regression with bootstrapped standard errors using 10 000 repetitions for comparisons of continuous variables and  $\chi^2$  test or Fischer's exact test for proportions.

‡Serum 25(OH)D presented as mean and sp in the first line labelled 'Average' and as %, n and 95 % CI for categories. The number of measurements in each age category varied as not all infants contributed data at every time point.

§For infants with 25(OH)D measured at <1 month, sixty-seven measurements were within 1 week of birth, eight were measured within 2 weeks and five infant 25(OH)D values were measured within 30 d.

IIRepeat 25(OH)D measurements (up to three) were available for three infants in the placebo group and seven infants in the vitamin D group. Generalized estimating equations (GEE) with exchangeable correlation and robust standard errors were used account for repeated observations when assessing betweengroup differences (placebo group, thirty-eight observations; vitamin D group, forty observations). An average of multiple 25(OH)D data points was used for categorization of vitamin D status.

[Infant age range at postnatal visits: 2 months (54 to 81 d), 4 months (84 to 138 d) and 6 months (168 to 203 d).

### Results

Of 160 women enrolled in the AViDD trial, 114 (71%) had at least one infant 25(OH)D value available during the 6 months postnatal study period (115 infants, including one set of twins: n 55 PL; n 60 VD). PL and VD groups were similar with respect to birth anthropometry, infant feeding and care practices (Table 1) and maternal characteristics (see online supplementary material, Supplemental Table 1). Characteristics of infants in the 'subset' sample were similar to the 'full sample' (Table 1). There were no significant differences between infants included in the present study and those lost to follow-up (data not shown).

# Effect of prenatal vitamin D supplementation on infant and maternal 25-bydroxyvitamin D

In primary cross-sectional analyses ('full sample' analyses), infant mean 25(OH)D differed significantly between VD and PL groups at <1 month of age but was similar thereafter (Table 2). In the PL group, infant 25(OH)D increased significantly with age (Fig. 1) and the proportion of infants considered vitamin D deficient (<30 nmol/l) declined from the neonatal period to 6 months (Table 2). The rate of change in infant 25(OH)D with age was not statistically significantly different between VD and PL beyond 2 months of age (Fig. 1). Prenatal vitamin D supplementation significantly increased maternal 25(OH)D at delivery (VD (n 58) v. PL (n 48), mean (sD): 134 (31) nmol/l v. 37 (18) nmol/l; P < 0.001), as reported previously for the complete AViDD trial cohort<sup>(12)</sup>. Maternal 25(OH)D at 2 months postpartum remained significantly higher in the VD (mean (sD): 62 (15) nmol/l; n 27) v. PL group (mean (sD): 38 (16) nmol/l; P < 0.001; n 22); yet the difference was attenuated by 4 months postpartum (VD (n 27) v. PL (n 28), mean (sD): 55 (16) nmol/l v. 47 (16) nmol/l; P = 0.06).

Maternal 25(OH)D at delivery was associated with infant 25(OH)D up to 2 months of age, but not thereafter (Fig. 2A). Cord 25(OH)D significantly predicted infant 25(OH)D only at <1 month of age (Fig. 2B). Maternal postpartum 25(OH)D was associated with concurrent infant 25(OH)D at 2 months (0.47 (95% CI 0.16, 0.78) nmol/l; P = 0.003; n 48) and 4 months of age (0.44 (95% CI 0.03, 0.84) nmol/l; P = 0.035; n 50). Adjusting for maternal postpartum 25(OH)D substantially attenuated the association



**Fig. 1** Association between infant 25-hydroxyvitamin D concentration (25(OH)D) and postnatal age during the first 6 months (*n* 115; 252 observations) by supplementation group:  $\circ$ , placebo, observed; - - - -, placebo, predicted; ×, vitamin D, observed; - -, vitamin D, predicted. Among infants in the placebo group, mean change in infant 25(OH)D was 8-6 (95 % Cl 3-4, 13-8) nmol/l per month in the first 2 months of age, as compared with a significant decrease among infants in the vitamin D group (-16-3 (95 % Cl -22-1, -10-6) nmol/l per month; *P*<0.001). Antenatal Vitamin D in Dhaka (AViDD) trial (23°N), Bangladesh

between maternal delivery and infant 25(OH)D at 2 months of age (unadjusted association = 0.15 (95 % CI 0.02, 0.27) nmol/l (P = 0.02; n 45) v. adjusted association = 0.03 (95 % CI -0.01, 0.02) nmol/l (P = 0.74; n 45)).

# Association of postnatal factors with infant 25-bydroxyvitamin D

Infant 25(OH)D was strongly associated with age in longitudinal 'subset' analyses (Table 3). Infant 25(OH)D also demonstrated a linear association with calendar day of serum collection from mid-December to September of the following year (data not shown). This association was partially confounded by infant age (Table 3; online supplementary material, Supplemental Fig. 3A); however, when data were stratified by age categories, there was evidence of a seasonby-age interaction, whereby 25(OH)D increased by 5.2 (95 % CI 2.0, 8.5) nmol/l per month (P = 0.002) between January and August among 4-month-olds, but there were no significant calendar day-25(OH)D associations in the other age strata (online supplementary material, Supplemental Fig. 3B). Overall, mean infant 25(OH)D was higher with formula-feeding (v. no reported formula use) and lower with exclusive breast-feeding, but the latter association was confounded by age (Table 3). Formula use was significantly associated with higher infant 25(OH)D with adjustment for age (Table 3). However, the rate of rise (i.e. slope) of 25(OH)D with age appeared similar in the context of formula use and exclusive breast-feeding (online supplementary material, Supplemental Fig. 4); and there was no significant statistical interaction between formula use (or exclusive breast-feeding) and age (Table 3).

### Discussion

High-dose maternal vitamin D supplementation (875 µg (35000 IU)/week) during the third trimester of pregnancy significantly ameliorated vitamin D status among infants in the first month of life, but the effect was attenuated by 2 months of age. The between-group mean difference of ~12 nmol/l at 2 months was not statistically significant (P=0.05) but could be clinically meaningful (~0.6 sp units difference). We are therefore cautious not to interpret this as evidence of a lack of difference given that the power to detect a statistically significant difference of ~12 nmol/l was only 49% (post hoc power calculation). Vitamin D status was more convincingly similar among infants in the PL and VD groups by 4 months of age and thus we can reasonably conclude that a residual effect of prenatal vitamin D supplementation on infant postnatal vitamin D status may persist up to 2 months but is absent by 4 months postnatal age.

These findings from a randomized placebo-controlled trial provide strong evidence of the critical influence of maternal prenatal vitamin D status on infant vitamin D status during the neonatal period. Previous knowledge about this relationship was based on observational data<sup>(24,25)</sup>. For example, 25(OH)D in unsupplemented infants born to vitamin D-replete mothers in Finland decreased from ~50 nmol/l at birth to <25 nmol/l by 8 weeks of age<sup>(25)</sup>, a finding that has been interpreted as evidence that the prenatal contribution to infant vitamin D status is limited to the first 2 months of life<sup>(16)</sup>, consistent with the present trial. Previous trials of maternal supplementation with 10 µg (400 IU) vitamin D daily starting in





Fig. 2 Association between infant 25-hydroxyvitamin D concentration (25(OH)D) and maternal 25(OH)D at delivery (A) and cord 25(OH)D (B) by infant age: --, <1 month, predicted; -----, 2 months, predicted; -. 4 months. predicted; 6 months, predicted. (A) Prenatal maternal 25(OH)D (n 107; 234 observations) was significantly associated with infant 25(OH)D within the first 2 months of infancy, but not at 4 and 6 months of age. (B) Cord 25(OH)D (n 109; 238 observations) was significantly associated with infant 25(OH)D at <1 month of age, but not in older age strata. Antenatal Vitamin D in Dhaka (AViDD) trial (23°N), Bangladesh

week 12 of pregnancy until delivery<sup>(17)</sup> or a bolus dose of 2500  $\mu$ g (100 000 IU) vitamin D in month 6 or 7 of pregnancy<sup>(18)</sup> showed significant increases in infant 25(OH)D; however, infant follow-up in those studies was limited to the first week of life. Other trials have conflated the effects of pre- and postnatal maternal/infant vitamin D supplementation<sup>(19,26–28)</sup>. Therefore, the present findings are new evidence of robust but short-term postnatal effects of prenatal supplementation on infant vitamin D status.

The age-associated increase in 25(OH)D during early infancy in the present longitudinal study in Dhaka corroborates our previous findings in a cross-sectional study in a rural region of Bangladesh<sup>(11)</sup>. Longitudinal studies in several countries (Korea, United Arab Emirates, southern and northern USA, New Zealand) have demonstrated a similar pattern of an early increase in 25(OH)D among breast-fed infants not receiving vitamin D supplements<sup>(8,19–21,29)</sup>. Increases in infant 25(OH)D in the first few months of life may be attributed to fortified infant formula or vitamin D supplementation (10 µg (400 IU)/d). In Canada and Finland, infant vitamin D supplementation initiated in the early postnatal period has been shown to gradually increase 25(OH)D levels among breast-fed infants within the first 6 months of life<sup>(30,31)</sup>. Notably, the increase in 25(OH)D in Canadian infants receiving 10  $\mu$ g (400 IU)/d, to an attained mean 25(OH)D of ~75 nmol/1<sup>(30)</sup>, approximately mirrored the pattern observed in the Bangladeshi infants in the present study, who were not receiving supplements.

We explored several potential postnatal determinants of infant vitamin D status to explain the rise in infant 25(OH)D from birth to 6 months. There was a significant increase in 25(OH)D from winter to summer, but this did not adequately explain the age-related rise in infant 25(OH)D. The strong correlation between age and calendar day in the present study (due to births of enrolled infants occurring during only part of the calendar year), however, limited our ability to fully separate the effects of age and season. Specker et al. attributed the age-associated rise in 25(OH)D to higher UV exposure in older infants<sup>(32)</sup>. Although deliberately exposing infants to sunlight, a custom that is often practised in South Asia<sup>(33,34)</sup>, may have contributed to cutaneous synthesis of vitamin D, self-reported sun exposure-related practices explained little variance in infant 25(OH)D in age-adjusted analyses in the present study.

As expected, 25(OH)D was consistently higher among infants who had recently received formula (assumed to be vitamin D-fortified). However, we had anticipated that formula use would lead to a progressive increase in 25(OH)D over time relative to exclusive breast-feeding (i.e. divergence of the 25(OH)D-age slopes). Instead, we observed that the rate of rise in infant 25(OH)D with age was similar among infants who were exclusively breast-fed and those who had received formula, suggesting that the increase in infant 25(OH)D with age was not completely attributable to vitamin D-fortified formula or complementary foods alone. This finding suggests that the effect of formula-feeding on 25(OH)D was apparent very early in infancy (i.e. by about 2 months of age) and that other factors contributing to the ongoing rise in 25(OH)D up to 6 months of age were similarly operational among infants who did and did not receive formula. Interestingly, we found that the association between prenatal maternal and infant 2-month 25(OH)D was nearly completely accounted for by maternal postpartum 25(OH)D at 2 months. Moreover, cord 25(OH)D was not associated with infant 25(OH)D beyond the first month of life. These findings suggest that the postnatal effect (to about 2 months of age) of prenatal supplementation may have been partly mediated by postpartum maternal transfer of vitamin D to the infant via lactation (in this nearly universally breast-fed population), rather than via prenatal endowment of fetal vitamin D stores. Continuation of maternal vitamin D supplementation in the postpartum period may therefore have an important role in influencing infant vitamin D status beyond 2 months of  $age^{(35)}$ .

Table 3 Associations between infant 25-hydroxyvitamin D concentration (25(OH)D) and postnatal characteristics during the first 6 months of life (n 102)†. Antenatal Vitamin D in Dhaka (AViDD) trial (23°N), Bangladesh

	Una	djusted‡	Age-	adjusted‡,§	Multivariable model‡,§,II		
Variable	Estimate	95 % CI	Estimate	95 % CI	Estimate	95 % CI	
Infant age (per 30 d)	9.6***	8.4, 10.8	8.0***	5·4, 10·6§§	6.0***	3.5, 8.6	
Calendar day (per 30 d)	8.2***	6.8, 9.6	1.7	-0.9, 4.4	5.1**	1.5, 8.7	
Exclusively breast-fed¶						-	
(Ref.: Not exclusively breast-fed)	- 26.1***	<i>–</i> 34·2, <i>–</i> 18·0	<i>−</i> 6·1	– 14.7, 2.5	-	_	
Formula use¶							
(Ref.: No formula use)	30.2***	21.5, 39	13.4**	3.8, 23.0	13.7**	4.0, 23.3	
Swaddling¶,††						-	
Never/rarely	Ref.		Ref.				
Sometimes	<i>–</i> 11·9*	<i>–</i> 22·5, <i>–</i> 1·3	2.3	– 7·0, 11·6	-	_	
Always/usually	- 37.4***	- 45·1, -29·6	<i>−</i> 5·1	- 16.8, 6.6	-	_	
Infant exposure to sunlight¶, ++, ++							
Usually avoids sun	Ref.						
No specific habit	5.7	– 11·8, 23·2	_	_	-	_	
Prefers sun exposure	11.4	- 5.3, 28.0	_	_	-	_	
Highest level of education							
Primary school (<8 years)	Ref.						
High school incomplete ( $\geq 8$ to <12 years)	<i>–</i> 8·1	– 18.9, 2.6	_	_	-	_	
High school complete (≥12 years)	6.0	- 8.2, 20.2	_	_	-	_	
Maternal age (years)	-0.3	– 1.5, 0.9	_	_	-	_	
Gravidity, no. of pregnancies	0.2	-6.5, 7.0	_	_	-	_	
Parity, no. of deliveries	1.0	- 5.7, 7.7	_	_	-	_	
Maternal height (cm)	0.7	-0.1, 1.5	-	_	-	_	

Ref., reference category. \*P<0.05, \*\*P<0.01, \*\*\*P<0.001.

+See Methods ('Statistical analysis' subsection) for details regarding the subset of observations considered to be uninfluenced by prenatal maternal vitamin D supplementation

‡Generalized estimating equations (GEE) were used to account for within-subject correlations in all models. Effect estimates are β coefficients (95 % CI) and can be interpreted as the mean difference in 25(OH)D given a one unit increase in the explanatory variable. §Infant age was treated as a continuous variable, scaled by 30 d.

IIMultivariable model includes an age-by-calendar day continuous interaction term (-0.79 (95 % CI -1.5, -0.1) nmol/l; P<0.05), in addition to the covariates presented. Formula use-by-age interaction term was not statistically significant and not included in the final model.

In 101; 177 observations. Data on exclusive breast-feeding, formula use, swaddling and sunlight exposure practices were missing for ten observations.

††Swaddling practice and sunlight exposure preference did not significantly modify the association between calendar day and 25(OH)D (data not shown). ##Reported maternal preference with respect to the deliberate exposure of the infant to sunlight (n 101; 175 observations).

§§Adjusted for calendar day (n 102; 187 observations).

IIIAdjustment for formula use did not attenuate the association between age and infant 25(OH)D (8.8 (95 % CI 0.7, 10.2) nmol/l per 30 d; P<0.001).

The findings of the present study must be interpreted in the context of its limitations. As described above, the small sample size limited the power to detect significant associations that may otherwise have been apparent given a larger study sample. The generalizability of the findings regarding the age-related rise in 25(OH)D and potential explanatory factors may be limited to communities with similar sun exposure practices, dietary patterns and environmental conditions. The rise in infant 25(OH)D with age was not fully explained by the postnatal factors that we analysed, suggesting other unidentified variables may be important. For example, we did not collect ancillary biochemical or physiological data (e.g. hepatic or renal function) that may have indicated whether organ maturation contributed to changes in infant 25(OH)D with age. Lifestyle and environmental determinants of UV exposure likely contributed to maternal and infant vitamin D status in the postpartum period; however, precisely quantifying those factors was beyond the scope of the study. Measurement error associated with indicators used to measure postnatal maternal and infant characteristics, such as sunlight exposure, may have attenuated associations with infant 25(OH)D. Sparse

data at  $\leq 2$  months of age and imprecision in ascertainment of infant feeding practices likely limited our ability to clearly characterize the early effect of formula consumption on infant 25(OH)D. It is also possible that the maternal decision regarding formula-feeding was a surrogate marker of other unmeasured characteristics that influenced vitamin D status from early in infancy. We had limited data about specific complementary foods that were introduced; however, overall, there was minimal use of complementary foods before 6 months of age and vitamin D-fortified foods other than formula are infrequently consumed in lower-income households in Bangladesh. An important limitation was that we did not consider C3-epi-25(OH)D separately from total 25(OH)D in this analysis; the C3-epimer of 25(OH)D may have a unique physiological role, especially in early infancy when it is relatively abundant<sup>(36)</sup>.

### Conclusion

The present study confirmed the influence of maternal prenatal vitamin D intake on early infant vitamin D status. Prenatal vitamin D supplementation is an efficacious strategy for promoting infant vitamin D sufficiency in the first month of life when the risk of deficiency is highest. Unsupplemented breast-fed infants born to vitamin D-deficient mothers in Bangladesh attained 25(OH)D concentrations by 6 months of age that were comparable to those of vitamin D-supplemented infants at northern latitudes. These findings suggest that in considering the potential benefits of postnatal infant vitamin D supplementation in settings such as Bangladesh, the increase in average 25(OH)D with age in the apparent absence of infant vitamin D supplements should be acknowledged. Future research should aim to address the effect of prenatal maternal vitamin D supplementation on health outcomes in a larger and more diverse cohort of infants with longer duration of follow-up and more comprehensive data collection related to factors that may explain the postnatal dynamics of vitamin D status.

### Acknowledgements

Acknowledgements: The authors appreciate the efforts of staff and collaborating investigators at the International Centre for Diarrheal Disease Research, Bangladesh (icddr, b) and Shimantik in Dhaka, including Drs Shams El Arifeen and Rubhana Raqib; and thank Khosrow Adeli, Warren Walsh and Mehrdad Yazdanpanah (The Hospital for Sick Children, Toronto, Canada) for measurement of 25(OH)D concentrations. Financial support: The intervention trial was funded by The Thrasher Fund (Salt Lake City, UT, USA) and the follow-up study was funded by the Department of Paediatrics, The Hospital for Sick Children. N.P. was supported through a studentship by the Research and Training Competition (Restracomp), The Hospital for Sick Children Foundation Student Scholarship Program. The funders had no role in the design, analysis or writing of this article. Conflict of interest: None. Authorship: D.E.R., A.A.M. and A.H.B. designed the AViDD trial; A.A.M. supervised data collection; N.P. and D.E.R. performed the data analyses and prepared the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript. Ethics of buman subject participation: This study was conducted according to the guidelines laid down in the Declaration of Helsinki and all procedures involving human subjects/ patients were approved by the research ethics boards of icddr,b, the Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health (Baltimore, MD, USA) and The Hospital for Sick Children. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

### Supplementary material

To view supplementary material for this article, please visit http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S1368980015003092

#### References

- Toaima FH & Ansari KA (2009) Nineteen cases of symptomatic neonatal hypocalcemia secondary to vitamin D deficiency: a 2-year study. *J Trop Pediatr* 56, 108–110.
- Zipitis CS & Akobeng AK (2008) Vitamin D supplementation in early childhood and risk of type 1 diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Arch Dis Child* **93**, 512–517.
- 3. Roth DE, Perumal N, Al Mahmud A *et al.* (2013) Maternal vitamin D<sub>3</sub> supplementation during the third trimester of pregnancy: effects on infant growth in a longitudinal follow-up study in Bangladesh. *J Pediatr* **163**, 1605–1611.
- 4. Institute of Medicine (2010) *Dietary Reference Ranges* for Calcium and Vitamin D. Washington, DC: National Academies Press.
- Health Canada, Canadian Paediatric Society, Dietitians of Canada & Breastfeeding Committee for Canada (2014) Nutrition for healthy term infants: Recommendations from six to 24 months. http://www.hc-sc.gc.ca/fn-an/nutrition/infantnourisson/recom/index-eng.php#a3 (accessed October 2015).
- 6. Scientific Advisory Committee on Nutrition (2007) Update on Vitamin D: Position Statement by the Scientific Advisory Committee on Vitamin D. London: Food Standards Agency and Department of Health.
- Nguema-Asseko B, Ganga-Zandzou PS, Ovono F *et al.* (2005) Vitamin D status in Gabonese children. *Arch Pediatr* 12, 1587–1590.
- Ponnapakkam T, Bradford E & Gensure R (2010) A treatment trial of vitamin D supplementation in breast-fed infants: universal supplementation is not necessary for rickets prevention in southern Louisiana. *Clin Pediatr* 49, 1053–1060.
- Jain V, Gupta N, Kalaivani M *et al.* (2011) Vitamin D deficiency in healthy breastfed term infants at 3 months & their mothers in India: seasonal variation & determinants. *Indian J Med Res* 133, 267–273.
- Kumar GT, Sachdev HS, Chellani H *et al.* (2011) Effect of weekly vitamin D supplements on mortality, morbidity, and growth of low birthweight term infants in India up to age 6 months: randomised controlled trial. *BMJ* 342, d2975.
- 11. Roth DE, Shah MR, Black RE *et al.* (2010) Vitamin D status of infants in northeastern rural Bangladesh: preliminary observations and a review of potential determinants. *J Health Popul Nutr* **28**, 458–469.
- 12. Roth DE, Al Mahmud A, Raqib R *et al.* (2013) Randomized placebo-controlled trial of high-dose prenatal third-trimester vitamin  $D_3$  supplementation in Bangladesh: the AViDD trial. *Nutr J* **12**, 47.
- Atiq M, Suria A, Nizami SQ *et al.* (1998) Maternal vitamin-D deficiency in Pakistan. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand* 77, 970–973.
- 14. Hossain N, Khanani R, Hussain-Kanani F *et al.* (2011) High prevalence of vitamin D deficiency in Pakistani mothers and their newborns. *Int J Gynecol Obstet* **112**, 229–233.
- Balasubramanian S (2011) Vitamin D deficiency in breastfed infants & the need for routine vitamin D supplementation. *Indian J Med Res* 133, 250–252.
- Salle BL, Delvin EE, Lapillonne A *et al.* (2000) Perinatal metabolism of vitamin D. *Am J Clin Nutr* **71**, 5 Suppl., 1317S–1324S.
- 17. Cockburn F, Belton NR, Purvis RJ *et al.* (1980) Maternal vitamin D intake and mineral metabolism in mothers and their newborn infants. *Br Med J* **281**, 11–14.
- Zeghoud F, Garabedian M, Jardel A *et al.* (1988) Single oral administration of vitamin D<sub>3</sub> (100,000 IU) to pregnant women. Effects on calcium and vitamin D status of their newborns. *J Gynecol Obstet Biol Reprod (Paris)* 17, 1099–1105.
- Grant CC, Stewart AW, Scragg R *et al.* (2014) Vitamin D during pregnancy and infancy and infant serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D concentration. *Pediatrics* 133, e143–e153.

- Ziegler EE, Hollis BW, Nelson SE *et al.* (2006) Vitamin D deficiency in breastfed infants in Iowa. *Pediatrics* **118**, 603–610.
- Narchi H, Kochiyil J, Zayed R *et al.* (2011) Longitudinal study of vitamin D status in the 1st 6 months of life. *Ann Trop Paediatr* **31**, 225–230.
- 22. Amukele TK, Soko D, Katundu P *et al.* (2013) Vitamin D levels in Malawian infants from birth to 24 months. *Arch Dis Child* **98**, 180–183.
- 23. Roth DE, Al Mahmud A, Raqib R *et al.* (2013) Pharmacokinetics of high-dose weekly oral vitamin  $D_3$  supplementation during the third trimester of pregnancy in Dhaka, Bangladesh. *Nutrients* **5**, 788–810.
- Hoogenboezem T, Degenhart HJ, De Muinck Keizer-Schrama SMPF *et al.* (1989) Vitamin D metabolism in breast-fed infants and their mothers. *Pediatr Res* 25, 623–628.
- Birkbeck JA & Scott HF (1980) 25-Hydroxycholecalciferol serum levels in breast-fed infants. Arch Dis Child 55, 691–695.
- Ala-Houhala M, Koskinen T, Terho A *et al.* (1986) Maternal compared with infant vitamin D supplementation. *Arch Dis Child* 61, 1159–1163.
- Ala-Houhala M (1985) 25-Hydroxyvitamin D levels during breast-feeding with or without maternal or infantile supplementation of vitamin D. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr* 4, 220–226.
- 28. VioStreym S, Kristine Moller U, Rejnmark L et al. (2013) Maternal and infant vitamin D status during the first

9 months of infant life – a cohort study. *Eur J Clin Nutr* **67**, 1022–1028.

- 29. Kim M, Na B, No S *et al.* (2010) Nutritional status of vitamin D and the effect of vitamin D supplementation in Korean breast-fed infants. *J Korean Med Sci* **25**, 83–89.
- Gallo S, Comeau K, Vanstone C *et al.* (2013) Effect of different dosages of oral vitamin D supplementation on vitamin D status in healthy, breastfed infants: a randomized trial. *JAMA* **309**, 1785–1792.
- Holmlund-Suila E, Viljakainen H, Hytinantti T *et al.* (2012) High-dose vitamin D intervention in infants: effects on vitamin D status, calcium homeostasis, and bone strength. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 97, 4139–4147.
- 32. Specker BL, Valanis B, Hertzberg V *et al.* (1985) Sunshine exposure and serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D concentrations in exclusively breast-fed infants. *J Pediatr* **107**, 372–376.
- Balasubramanian S & Ganesh R (2008) Vitamin D deficiency in exclusively breast-fed infants. *Indian J Med Res* 127, 250–255.
- 34. Winch PJ, Alam MA, Akther A *et al.* (2005) Local understandings of vulnerability and protection during the neonatal period in Sylhet district, Bangladesh: a qualitative study. *Lancet* **366**, 478–485.
- 35. Taylor SN, Wagner CL & Hollis BW (2009) Vitamin D deficiency in pregnancy and lactation and health consequences. *Clin Rev Bone Min Metab* **7**, 42–51.
- Bailey D, Veljkovic K, Yazdanpanah M *et al.* (2013) Analytical measurement and clinical relevance of vitamin D<sub>3</sub> C3-epimer. *Clin Biochem* 46, 190–196.