

Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Affective Disorders Reports



journal homepage: www.sciencedirect.com/journal/journal-of-affective-disorders-reports

Research Paper

Assessment of burnout syndrome and associated factors among medical students during the COVID-19 pandemic

Sofía Jezzini-Martinez^{a,#}, Javier Humberto Martinez-Garza^{a,#}, Alejandro Quiroga-Garza^a, Xavier Gerardo Quiroz-Perales^b, Lourdes Gil-Flores^a, David de la Fuente-Villarreal^a, Yolanda Salinas-Alvarez^a, Katia Guzman-Avilan^a, Rodrigo Enrique Elizondo-Omaña^{a,*}, Santos Guzman-Lopez^{a,*}

^a Department of Human Anatomy, School of Medicine, Autonomous University of Nuevo Leon, Monterrey, Mexico
 ^b Department of Psychiatry, Hospital Universitario José E. González, Autonomous University of Nuevo Leon, Monterrey, Mexico

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Burnout syndrome Medical students Emotional exhaustion Academic efficacy Covid-19 pandemic

ABSTRACT

Background: Overwhelming requirements, a high degree of work, and prolonged exposure to emotionally demanding circumstances in work and life settings can lead to burnout syndrome. The purpose of the study is to assess burnout syndrome and its associated factors among medical students during the COVID-19 pandemic.

Methods: A cross-sectional, prospective, descriptive study was conducted in a Mexican medical school during the last week of the spring semester of 2021 using the Maslach Burnout Inventory-Student Survey (MBI-SS) and an associated factors survey.

Results: Based on the MBI-SS definition, most students (54.2%, n = 332) had burnout symptoms and high emotional exhaustion (79.6%, n = 448), high cynicism (57.3%, n = 351), and low academic effectiveness (36.4%, n = 223). After adjusting the associated factors, a significant correlation between the school year and the presence of burnout was identified (OR 1.127, 95% CI [1.023–1.241], p<0.05). Regarding the current pandemic, the death of a family member by COVID-19 also put students at risk of developing burnout (OR 1.598*, 95% CI [1.080–2.363, p<0.05]).

Limitations: The main limitation of this study was the lack of a control group (before the pandemic); therefore, the high prevalence of burnout can only be hypothesized due to the pandemic but cannot be objectively evidenced. A prospective study after the pandemic is needed to resolve this question.

Conclusion: The coronavirus pandemic represents a challenge to the academic and psychological stability of students. It essential to continue assessing burnout levels in medical students and the general population to treat them in time and improve mental health.

1. Introduction

Overwhelming requirements, a high degree of work, and prolonged exposure to emotionally demanding circumstances in work and life settings can lead to burnout syndrome. This condition is based on three dimensions: emotional exhaustion, depersonalization, and low personal accomplishment (Maslach et al., 1996; Thomas, 2004). The Maslach Burnout Inventory (MBI) is a validated and frequently used tool to measure this syndrome. A general version, the MBI-General Survey (MBI-GS), was developed, in which the burnout components are conceptualized in broader terms. It has also been adapted for use in students, the MBI-Student Survey (MBI-SS), which consists of three subscales to evaluate the three dimensions of burnout: emotional exhaustion, cynicism, and academic efficacy (Hu and Schaufeli, 2009; Schaufeli et al., 2002; Galán et al., 2011).

Burnout among students refers to feeling exhausted due to study demands (emotional exhaustion), having a detached attitude toward one's study (cynicism), and feeling incompetent as a student (low academic efficacy) (Schaufeli et al., 2002; Bresó Esteve et al., 2003; Salanova et al., 2005). Compared to the general population, medical

* Corresponding authors.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jadr.2023.100616

Received 31 January 2023; Received in revised form 26 April 2023; Accepted 15 June 2023 Available online 21 June 2023

E-mail addresses: rodrigo.elizondoomn@uanl.edu.mx (R.E. Elizondo-Omaña), santos.guzmanlp@uanl.edu.mx (S. Guzman-Lopez).

[#] These authors contributed equally in this work.

^{2666-9153/© 2023} The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

students have a higher prevalence of emotional exhaustion, depersonalization, and burnout (Dyrbye et al., 2014). Burnout prevalence among medical students is near or exceeds 50% (West et al., 2016; Dyrbye et al., 2008, 2011; Jezzini-Martinez et al., 2022; Saucedo-Uribe, et al., 2022). First-year students present the lowest frequency of burnout. According to previous literature, the prevalence increases as students advance through medical school (Galán et al., 2011; Meo et al., 2020).

Several studies have shown that having at least one burnout symptom can negatively affect medical students interfering with the teaching/learning process, causing drowsiness, fatigue, eating disorders, migraine, emotional instability, and even illicit drug use (Boni et al., 2018; Ashton and Kamali, 1995; Arora et al., 2016). An association between burnout, depression (Chiu et al., 2015; Njim et al., 2019), and anxiety (Talih et al., 2016) has also been described. Additionally, recent depressive episodes correlate with a higher prevalence of severe burnout (Njim et al., 2019; Ahola et al., 2005), with anxiety as a significant predictor (Talih et al., 2016).

The risk of infection from COVID-19 forced the implementation of isolation policies to minimize the spread of the disease (Usher et al., 2020). The quarantine conditions closed universities and suspended face-to-face teaching and learning sessions, causing stress, changes in learning behaviors, deterioration in work performance and study, worsening mental health, and increased depression in medical students (Meo et al., 2020; Zis et al., 2021; Debowska et al., 2020). This study aims to assess burnout syndrome and its associated factors among medical students during the COVID-19 pandemic.

2. Methods

2.1. Design, setting, and participants

A cross-sectional study was conducted to establish the prevalence and associated factors of burnout among medical students during the COVID-19 pandemic. An online survey was sent to all the students in a Mexican medical school with a 7-year program during the last week of the spring semester of 2021. The Institutional Review Board of the University previously approved the survey and study with registration number AH20–0003.

Through their institutional email, an individual link was provided to access the survey and answer anonymously. Students enrolled during the spring semester of 2021 were included. Those who were suspended, or not active students were excluded. Incomplete surveys were eliminated. Demographic data included age, sex, school year, and grade point average (GPA).

2.2. Assessment instrument

To evaluate burnout syndrome, we applied the MBI-SS. This instrument consists of 15 questions corresponding to the evaluation of emotional exhaustion, cynicism, and academic efficacy (Hederich-Martínez and Caballero-Domínguez, 2016; Hu and Schaufeli, 2009). The scores describe the frequency with which the student felt identified with each expression, ranging from 0 (never) to 6 (always). Results from the three domains were classified as emotional exhaustion, low (0-9), moderate (10–14), or high (>14); cynicism, low (0–1), moderate (2–6), or high (>6); and academic effectiveness, low (<22), moderate (23-27), or high (>28). An associated factors survey was applied in the last part of the study to survey their confidence in medical knowledge, fear of academic failure, concern for their professional future, family support and pressure, frequency of exercise, substance use, previously diagnosed psychiatric disorder, economic crisis, clinical rotations, fear of getting infected with COVID-19, and the death of a family member during the pandemic due to COVID-19.

Due to the anonymity of the survey, all participants who had bidimensional burnout (high emotional exhaustion and high cynicism) were provided with the results of their burnout risk and were given contact information for psychological support. The medical school has several student mental healthcare programs provided by the University through the Department of Psychiatry of the University Hospital for easy access to all enrolled students.

2.3. Data analysis

Responses from all questionnaires were registered in a database using Microsoft Excel 2020 for Mac, version 16.43 (Microsoft Corp., Redmond, WA). These were analyzed using SPSS statistical package, version 25.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY). Quantitative variables were summarized in central tendency and dispersion measures, and qualitative variables in frequencies and percentages. Student's t-test was used to compare quantitative variables. Associations in qualitative variables were tested using Pearson's Chi-Squared test, calculating the odds ratio (OR) and associated 95% confidence intervals (CI) to measure the degree of association. Variables with a p-value <0.05 in the univariate analysis were included in the multivariate. A statistical threshold of <0.05 was used throughout. A logistic regression model was performed with predetermined covariables. The reverse conditional method was used to introduce the covariates into the model. Model 1 is a "total effect model" that includes the variables that directly intervene in the relationship between burnout and associated factors. Model 2 is partially adjusted, and Model 3 is fully adjusted.

3. Results

A total of 613 medical students participated; of these, 62.3% (n = 382) were women. The demographic data showed that 72.8% (n = 446) of the students were between 20 and 25 years with participation from all the academic years. The most prevalent GPA (69.3%, n = 425) was between 80 and 90, and 18.1% (n = 111) had a previously diagnosed psychiatric disorder (Table 1).

Based on the MBI-SS definition, the majority of the sample had burnout symptoms (54.2%, n = 332). Results showed 79.6% (n = 448)

Tal	ole	1

Total	
<i>N</i> = 613 (%)	
Age	
<20	155 (25.3)
20–25	446 (72.8)
>25	12 (2.0)
Sex	
Men	231 (37.7)
Women	382 (62.3)
School Year	
1st-year	103 (16.8)
2nd-year	102 (16.6)
3rd-year	104 (17.0)
4th-year	126 (20.6)
5th-year	85 (13.9)
6th-year	42 (6.9)
7th-year (Social-Service)	51 (8.3)
Average grade	
<70	14 (2.3)
70–80	95 (15.5)
80–90	425 (69.3)
>90	79 (12.9)
Psychiatric disorders	
None	502 (81.9)
Major depressive disorder	59 (9.6)
General anxiety disorder	64 (10.4)
Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder	14 (2.2)
Borderline personality disorder	8 (1.3)
Post-traumatic stress disorder	6 (0.9)
Bipolar disorder ll	6 (0.9)
Others	5 (0.8)

Psychiatric disorders: 41 students had 2 or more psychiatric disorders.

scored high on emotional exhaustion, 57.3% (n = 351) high on cynicism, and 36.4% (n = 223) low on academic effectiveness. Female students presented a higher incidence of burnout (60.2% vs 44.2%, p = 0.00), emotional exhaustion (79.6% vs 72.7%, p = 0.003) and increased cynicism (62.3% vs 48.5%, p = 0003) than men who were statistically more prevalent towards lower academic effectiveness (p = 0.017) (Table 2). According to the domain, severity, and school year, the students who presented higher burnout levels (66.7%, n = 26) and cynicism (66.7%, n = 28) were enrolled in the 6th-year. The highest emotional exhaustion was in 3rd-year students (87.5%, n = 91), and the lowest academic efficacy was seen in first-year students (45.6%, n = 47) (Table 2).

The correlation of associated factors between the burnout and the non-burnout categories was significantly different in almost all factors, except clinical rotations during COVID-19, exercise, and fear of being infected with COVID-19 (Table 3). In questions regarding the influence of the pandemic in burnout, only the death of a family member during the pandemic (63.4% vs. 36.6%, p = 0.006), and experiencing an economic crisis in the family due to the pandemic (56.0% vs. 44.0%, p =0.01) were significant (Table 3).

After adjusting the associated factors, we found a significant correlation between the school year and the presence of burnout (OR 1.127, 95% CI [1.023–1.241], p<0.05). Women were almost one time more likely to develop burnout than men (OR 1.902, 95% CI [1.341-2.698], p<0.001), and the students who smoked were one time more likely than those who did not (OR 2.117, 95% CI [1.177-3.806], p<0.05). Regarding the current pandemic, the death of a family member by COVID-19 also placed the students at risk of developing burnout (OR 1.598*, 95% CI [1.080–2.363, p<0.05] (Table 4).

4. Discussion

4.1. Main findings

A high prevalence of burnout had been previously identified; therefore, the objective was to determine the possible associated factors, family factors, free time, drug use, and COVID-19 pandemic-related factors (Jezzini-Martinez et al., 2022). This study demonstrated a steady increase in burnout in relation to the academic year, with a spike in the

third-year. This was comparable to the prevalence among sixth-year students, which may be due to the difficulty of the courses and the transition from basic sciences to clinical rotations during third-year. This study's findings show that females are more likely to experience burnout symptoms reporting higher levels of emotional exhaustion and cynicism than male students. Inconsistencies exist among studies regarding sex differences in burnout, however, a systematic review reported that female medical students are at a higher risk for mental health conditions, and identified female sex as one of the major risk factors for developing burnout syndrome (Peng et al., 2023). It is complex to identify the reasons for these differences. However, this may be due to women being more prone to mental distress, even before the pandemic; nevertheless, gender bias and discrimination could also influence (Barners et al., 2019; Chesak et al., 2020; Jezzini-Martínez et al., 2021).

4.2. Associated factors

A lack of trust in medical knowledge, fear of academic failure, concern for the professional future, family pressure, not having enough leisure time, experiencing a family economic crisis, and abuse of substances were associated with burnout syndrome among medical students. The death of a family member by COVID-19 was related to the risk of suffering from the syndrome. However, the fear of becoming or being already infected and performing clinical rotations during the pandemic did not result in a significant difference between burnout and nonburnout participants. Previous studies have shown that poor lifestyle and health behaviors as well as high levels of burnout are prevalent among medical students. However, few have associated burnout with health behaviors (Howie et al., 2022; Nteveros et al., 2020). Smoking has been reported as an issue in university settings, but it is not typically reported as a risk factor to develop burnout (Cecil et al., 2014). Our findings show that students who smoke are more likely to have burnout. Likewise, substance abuse is another lifestyle behavior common among medical students, which our results associate with burnout.

4.3. Burnout among healthcare workers during the pandemic

The first studies evaluating burnout during the pandemic were on health workers and reflected that they are a population at risk. During

Table 2

Domain	Level	Total	Male	Female	p-value	1st- year n = 103	2nd- year <i>n</i> = 102	3rd- year <i>n</i> = 104	4th- year <i>n</i> = 126	5th- year <i>n</i> = 85	6th- year <i>n</i> = 42	7th- year n = 51	p-value
Emotional	Low	52(8.5)	29	23(6.0)	0.003*	17	6(5.9)	1(1.0)	17	5(5.9)	5(11.9)	1(2.0)	0.000*
exnaustion	ModerateHign	73 (11.9) 488	(12.6) 34 (14.7)	39(10.2) 488 (79.6)		(16.5) 16 (15.5)	12 (11.8) 84	12 (11.5) 91	(13.5) 11(8.7) 98	10 (11.8) 70	1(2.4) 36 (85.7)	(21.6) 39	
		(79.6)	168 (72.7)			79 (68.0)	(82.4)	(87.5)	(77.8)	(82.4)		(76.5)	
Cynicism	Low Moderate High	58(9.5) 204 (33.3) 351 (57.3)	25 (10.8) 94 (40.7) 112 (48.5)	33(8.6) 110 (28.8) 239 (62.3)	0.003*	22 (21.4) 32 (31.1) 49 (47.6)	12 (11.8) 43 (42.2) 47 (46.1)	7(6.7) 31 (29.8) 66 (63.5)	8(6.3) 41 (32.5) 77 (61.1)	6(7.1) 28 (32.9) 51 (60.0)	1(2.4) 13 (31.0) 28 (66.7)	2(3.9) 16 (31.4) 33 (64.7)	0.001*
Academic efficacy	Low Moderate High	223 (36.4) 213 (34.7) 177 (28.9)	74 (32.0) 75 (32.5) 82 (35.5)	149 (39.0) 138 (36.1) 95(25.9)	0.017*	47 (45.6) 38 (36.9) 18 (17.5)	38 (37.3) 41 (40.2) 23 (22.5)	44 (42.3) 38 (36.2) 22 (21.2)	40 (31.7) 46 (36.5) 40 (31.7)	25 (29.4) 21 (24.7) 39 (45.9)	12 (28.6) 13 (31.0) 17 (40.5)	17 (33.3) 16 (31.4) 18 (35.3)	0.003*
Burnout	No Yes	281 (45.8) 332 (54.2)	129 (55.8) 102 (44.2)	152 (39.8) 230 (60.2)	0.000*	58 (56.3) 45 (43.7)	55 (53.9) 47 (46.1)	40 (38.5) 64 (61.5)	53 (42.1) 73 (57.9)	38 (44.7) 47 (55.3)	16 (38.1) 26 (61.9)	21 (41.2) 30 (58.8)	0.068

All values expressed in number of participants and percentages between parenthesis (%). Female gender is the first comparator. School year is the second comparator. *Statistically significant with a p-value of <0.05. Burnout two-dimensional (Defined as high emotional exhaustion and cynicism).

Table 3

Categorization of burnout and non-burnout by associated factors.

Associated factors	Non-	Burnout	р-
	burnout		value
Confidence in medical knowledge	184(59.7)	124	0.000
		(40.3)	*
Clinical rotations during COVID-19	27(40.3)	40(59.7)	0.335
Fear of academic failure	254(43.9)	324	0.000
		(56.1)	*
Concern for the professional future	261(44.1)	331	0.000
		(55.9)	*
Family pressure	100(37.0)	170	0.000
		(63.0)	*
Family support	272(47.2)	304	0.023
	104(50.5)	(52.8)	
Enough leisure time	194(50.7)	189	0.002
Denotion and in the last		(49.3)	
Practice exercise regularly	20(20.0)	47(61.0)	
Never Almost never	50(39.0)	47(61.0)	
Aunost never	102(46.2)	120	0.060
Some times	103(40.2)	(53.8)	0.000
Almost avery day	68(51.1)	(55.8)	
Fuero day	22(62.0)	13(37.1)	
Cigarette smoking	22(02.9)	13(37.1)	
Novar	241(47.6)	265	
1VCVC/	241(47.0)	(52.4)	
Almost never	20(52.6)	18(47.4)	0.045
Some times	10(25.6)	29(74.4)	*
Almost every day	7(35.0)	13(65.0)	
Every day	3(30.0)	7(70.0)	
Alcohol consumption			
Never	118(55.9)	93(44.1)	0.001
Almost never	64(44.1)	81(55.9)	*
Some times	99(39.6)	151	
		(60.4)	
Almost every day	0(0.0)	6(100.0)	
Every day	0(0.0)	1(100.0)	
Drug use (marijuana, LSD, cocaine)	4(22.2)	14(77.8)	0.041
			*
Previously diagnosed psychiatric disorder	41(36.9)	70(63.1)	0.037
			*
Family experiencing an economic crisis			
Not sure	1(50.0)	1(50.0)	
No	209(49.3)	215	
		(50.7)	0.01*
Yes, since the COVID-19 pandemic	51(44.0)	65(56.0)	
Yes, since before the COVID-19 pandemic	20(28.2)	51(71.8)	
Fear of getting infected with COVID-19	00(51.0)	04(40.0)	
Not afraid and nave not been injected	88(51.2)	84(48.8)	
Not ufraid and aiready got infected	32(48.5) 121(42.2)	34(51.5) 170	0.29
Ajruia una nuve not been infectea	131(42.3)	1/9	0.28
Afraid and already got infected	30(46.2)	(37.7)	
Death of a family member by COVID 10	59(36.6)	102	0.006
Death of a family includer by COVID-19	57(30.0)	(63.4)	*
		(30.1)	

Statistically significant with a p-value of <0.05.

the pandemic, it took only a few months to acknowledge the importance of the physician's work, putting their well-being and coexistence with their families at risk to treat the sick. Wu et al. explored the prevalence of burnout amongst medical staff in China. Only 23% of physicians felt more burnout during the COVID-19 pandemic compared with before. One quarter (25%) of the participants felt increased emotional exhaustion and depersonalization, with almost half reporting decreased personal accomplishment (Wu et al., 2020). In contrast, Guisti et al. surveyed healthcare professionals working in Northern Italy and reported that more than two-thirds of the participants had moderate to severe levels of emotional exhaustion and reduced personal accomplishment, with a 76% prevalence of burnout (Kannampallil et al., 2020; Giusti et al., 2020; Amanullah and Ramesh Shankar, 2020).

4.4. Burnout among medical students during the pandemic

It is important to evaluate burnout among medical students considering the high demands and pressures of the career and academic load. High prevalences of burnout have already been reported and may worsen with the added factors of the COVID-19 pandemic (West et al., 2016; Dyrbye et al., 2008, 2011). Due to the pandemic, an exclusive online academic program became a novel and non-optional environment for students around the globe, creating new challenges for students and educators, causing stress, fear, and uncertainty (Muñoz-Leija et al., 2020; Krebs et al., 2021).

A comparison of pre- and post-pandemic burnout syndrome made by Zis et al., 2021, reported that the overall burnout prevalence among medical students did not differ significantly between the two periods (pre-COVID-19 18.1% vs. COVID-19 18.2%). The burnout prevalence dropped significantly in year 4 (pre-COVID-19 40.7% vs. COVID-19 16.7%, p = 0.011), whereas it increased significantly in year 6 (pre--COVID-19 27.6% vs. COVID-19 50%, p = 0.01) (Zis et al., 2021). However, the overall burnout prevalence reported by Zis et al., 2021 is much lower than the results from this study (18.2% vs. 54.2%), and associated factors were not measured. These differences could be due to the differences between academic courses, online teaching methods, the number of COVID-19 cases, death toll, hospital saturation, or even cultural differences. A study made among Kazakhastan medical students reported a decrease in burnout levels between traditional learning (pre-pandemic) and online learning (during the pandemic) (27.6% vs. 16.7%), concluding that online learning had a positive impact on the mental health of students (Bolatov et al., 2020).

The pandemic became a world problem without warning, forcing everyone to adopt changes to their everyday lives, and implementing social distancing as a health initiative. Facing this represents a challenge to students' academic and psychological stability due to the changes it can cause in daily life, interpersonal interaction, learning methods, and mental health.

5. Limitations and future directions

The main limitation of this study was the lack of a control group (before the pandemic); therefore, the high prevalence of burnout can only be hypothesized due to the pandemic but cannot be objectively evidenced. A prospective study after the pandemic is needed to resolve this question. The online-learning method should be evaluated deeply and considered as a possible associated factor, as well as the implications it may have for future generations (Krebs et al., 2021). Although the survey was made available to students through their institutional emails and social media, the response was low, with 613 participants in a school with over 7000 students.

Although the University already has psychological support programs available to all students through mental health programs on request, these may present a challenge. The programs were designed for face-toface interaction with trained psychologists, and when necessary, a psychiatrist. Mental health programs need to achieve greater dissemination and adapt to new social distancing standards. It is also essential to continue assessing burnout levels in medical students and the general population to treat them in time and improve mental health.

In conclusion, the results of this study demonstrate the significance of studying burnout prevalence and associated factors in diverse academic institutions, particularly in the context of medical education, and further emphasize the need to devise and implement comprehensive strategies aimed at improving the psychological well-being of future physicians.

Funding

No external funding was provided for this research.

Table 4

Risk to develop burnout according to associated factors.

Variable School Year	Model 1 OR 1.127*	95%CI 1.023–1.	M C 241 1	Model 2 DR 180*	95%CI 1.064–1.309	Model 3 OR 1.194**	95%CI 1.074–1.327
Gender	1.902**	1.341–2.	698 1	519*	1.051–2.195	1.449	0.993–2.11
Death of a family member	er by COVID-19	1.598*	1.080-2.363	1.645*	1.092-2.478	1.612*	1.067-2.437
Cigarette smoking	rette smoking		1.177-3.806	1.976*	1.072-3.644	1.871	0.992-3.530
Concern for the professional future		20.067*	2.632-152.987	23.664*	3.042–184.066	20.861*	2.645-164.515
Family Pressure		1.921**	1.365–2.705	1.764*	1.235-2520	1.620*	1.127-2.327
Enough leisure time				0.619*	0.425-0.902	0.648*	0.443-0.948
Confidence in medical k	nowledge			0.318**	0.222-0.455	0.334**	0.233-0.481
Fear of academic failure						2.009	0.818-4.935
Family Support						0.544	0.237-1.253
Practice exercise regular	ly					0.773	0.531-1.124
Drug use (marijuana, LS	D, cocaine)					2.452	0.631-9.520

Reverse conditional method for introducing the covariates into the model was used. Model 1 is a "total effect model" which includes the variables that directly intervene with the relationship between the presence of burnout and associated factors. * p<0.05.

** p < 0.001. Model 2 is partially adjusted, and Model 3 is fully adjusted.

Ethical considerations

The study was approved by the Ethics and Research Committees of the Hospital Universitario "Dr. Jose Eleuterio Gonzalez" with registration number AH20–0003.

Declaration of Competing Interest

No potential competing interest was reported by the authors.

References

- Ahola, K., Honkonen, T., Isometsä, E., Kalimo, R., Nykyri, E., Aromaa, A., Lönnqvist, J., 2005. The relationship between job-related burnout and depressive disorders–results from the Finnish Health 2000 Study. J. Affect. Disord. 88 (1), 55–62.
- Amanullah, S., Ramesh Shankar, R., 2020. The impact of COVID-19 on physician burnout globally: a review. Healthcare (Basel, Switzerland) 8 (4), 421.
- Arora, A., Kannan, S., Gowri, S., Choudhary, S., Sudarasanan, S., Khosla, P.P., 2016. Substance abuse amongst the medical graduate students in a developing country. Indian J. Med. Res. 143 (1), 101–103.
- Ashton, C.H., Kamali, F., 1995. Personality, lifestyles, alcohol and drug consumption in a sample of British medical students. Med. Educ. 29 (3), 187–192.
- Barnes, K.L., McGuire, L., Dunivan, G., Sussman, A.L., McKee, R., 2019. Gender bias experiences of female surgical trainees. J. Surg. Educ. 76 (6), e1–e14.
- Bolatov, A.K., Seisembekov, T.Z., Askarova, A.Z., Baikanova, R.K., Smailova, D.S., Fabbro, E., 2020. Online-Learning due to COVID-19 improved mental health among medical students. Med. Sci. Educ. 1–10.
- Boni, R., Paiva, C.E., de Oliveira, M.A., Lucchetti, G., Fregnani, J., Paiva, B., 2018. Burnout among medical students during the first years of undergraduate school: prevalence and associated factors. PLoS One 13 (3), e0191746.
- Bresó Esteve, E., Llorens, S., & Salanova, M. (2003). Creencias de eficacia académica y engagement en estudiantes universitarios.
- Cecil, J., McHale, C., Hart, J., Laidlaw, A., 2014. Behaviour and burnout in medical students. Med. Educ. Online 19, 25209.
- Chesak, S.S., Cutshall, S., Anderson, A., Pulos, B., Moeschler, S., Bhagra, A., 2020. Burnout among women physicians: a call to action. Curr. Cardiol. Rep. 22 (7), 1–9.
- Chiu, L.Y., Stewart, K., Woo, C., Yatham, L.N., Lam, R.W., 2015. The relationship between burnout and depressive symptoms in patients with depressive disorders. J. Affect. Disord. 172, 361–366.
- Debowska, A., Horeczy, B., Boduszek, D., Dolinski, D., 2020. A repeated cross-sectional survey assessing university students' stress, depression, anxiety, and suicidality in the early stages of the COVID-19 pandemic in Poland. Psychol. Med. 1–4. Advance online publication.
- Dyrbye, L.N., Thomas, M.R., Massie, F.S., Power, D.V., Eacker, A., Harper, W., Durning, S., Moutier, C., Szydlo, D.W., Novotny, P.J., Sloan, J.A., Shanafelt, T.D., 2008. Burnout and suicidal ideation among U.S. medical students. Ann. Intern. Med. 149 (5), 334–341.
- Dyrbye, L.N., West, C.P., Satele, D., Boone, S., Tan, L., Sloan, J., Shanafelt, T.D., 2014. Burnout among U.S. medical students, residents, and early career physicians relative to the general U.S. population. Acad. Med. 89 (3), 443–451.

- Galán, F., Sanmartín, A., Polo, J., Giner, L., 2011. Burnout risk in medical students in Spain using the maslach burnout inventory-student survey. Int. Arch. Occup. Environ. Health 84 (4), 453–459.
- Giusti, E.M., Pedroli, E., D'Aniello, G.E., Stramba Badiale, C., Pietrabissa, G., Manna, C., Stramba Badiale, M., Riva, G., Castelnuovo, G., Molinari, E., 2020. The psychological impact of the COVID-19 outbreak on health professionals: a cross-sectional study. Front. Psychol. 11, 1684.
- Hederich-Martínez, C., Caballero-Domínguez, C.C., 2016. Validación del cuestionario Maslach Burnout Inventory-Student Survey (MBI-SS) en contexto académico colombiano. CES Psicología 9 (1), 1–15.
- Howie, E.K., Cannady, N., Messias, E.L., McNatt, A., Walter, C.S., 2022. Associations between physical activity, sleep, and self-reported health with burnout of medical students, faculty and staff in an academic health center. Sport Sci. Health 1–9.
- Hu, Q., Schaufeli, W.B., 2009. The factorial validity of the Maslach Burnout Inventory-Student Survey in China. Psychol. Rep. 105 (2), 394–408.
- Jezzini-Martinez, S., Gonzalez-Cruz, D.C., 2021. Gender bias and stereotypes in medicine: a medical student experience. IJMS 9 (4), 310–311.
- Kannampallil, T.G., Goss, C.W., Evanoff, B.A., Strickland, J.R., McAlister, R.P., Duncan, J., 2020. Exposure to COVID-19 patients increases physician trainee stress and burnout. PLoS One 15 (8), e0237301.
- Maslach, C., Jackson, S.E., Leiter, M.P., 1996. MBI: Maslach burnout Inventory. CPP, Incorporated, Sunnyvale, CA.
- Meo, S.A., Abukhalaf, A.A., Alomar, A.A., Sattar, K., Klonoff, D.C., 2020. COVID-19 pandemic: impact of quarantine on medical students' mental wellbeing and learning behaviors. Pak. J. Med. Sci. 36 (COVID19–S4), S43–S48.
- Muñoz-Leija, M.A., Zarate-Garza, P.P., Jacobo-Baca, G., Quiroga-Garza, A., Salinas-Alvarez, Y., Martinez-Garza, J.H., Guzmán-López, S., 2020. Modifications to the delivery of a gross anatomy course during the COVID-19 pandemic at a Mexican medical school. Eur. J. Anatomy 24 (6), 507–512.
- Nteveros, A., Kyprianou, M., Artemiadis, A., Charalampous, A., Christoforaki, K., Cheilidis, S., Zis, P., 2020. Burnout among medical students in Cyprus: a crosssectional study. PLoS One 15 (11), e0241335.
- Njim, T., Mbanga, C.M., Tindong, M., Fonkou, S., Makebe, H., Toukam, L., Fondungallah, J., Fondong, A., Mulango, I., Kika, B., 2019. Burnout as a correlate of depression among medical students in Cameroon: a cross-sectional study. BMJ Open 9 (5), e027709.
- Peng, P., Hao, Y., Liu, Y., Chen, S., Wang, Y., Yang, Q., Liu, T., 2023. The prevalence and risk factors of mental problems in medical students during COVID-19 pandemic: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J. Affect. Disord. 321, 167–181.
- Salanova, M., Bresó, E., Schaufeli, W.B., 2005. Hacia un modelo espiral de las creencias de eficacia en el estudio del burnout y del engagement. Ansiedad y estrés 11.
- Schaufeli, W.B., Martinez, I.M., Pinto, A.M., Salanova, M., Bakker, A.B., 2002. Burnout and engagement in university students: a cross-national study. J. Cross. Cult. Psychol. 33 (5), 464–481.
- Talih, F., Warakian, R., Ajaltouni, J., Shehab, A.A., Tamim, H., 2016. Correlates of depression and burnout among residents in a lebanese academic medical center: a cross-sectional study. Acad. Psychiatry 40 (1), 38–45.
- Thomas, N.K., 2004. Resident burnout. JAMA 292 (23), 2880–2889.
- Usher, K., Bhullar, N., Jackson, D., 2020. Life in the pandemic: social isolation and mental health. J. Clin. Nurs. 29 (15–16), 2756–2757.
- West, C.P., Dyrbye, L.N., Erwin, P.J., Shanafelt, T.D., 2016. Interventions to prevent and reduce physician burnout: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Lancet 388 (10057), 2272–2281.

S. Jezzini-Martinez et al.

Journal of Affective Disorders Reports 14 (2023) 100616

West, C.P., Shanafelt, T.D., Kolars, J.C., 2011. Quality of life, burnout, educational debt, and medical knowledge among internal medicine residents. JAMA 306 (9), 952–960.
Wu, Y., Wang, J., Luo, C., Hu, S., Lin, X., Anderson, A.E., Bruera, E., Yang, X., Wei, S., Qian, Y., 2020. A comparison of burnout frequency among oncology physicians and

nurses working on the frontline and usual wards during the COVID-19 epidemic in Wuhan, China. J. Pain Symptom Manage. 60 (1), e60–e65.

Viriali, China, S. Fam Oympton, Mangel. 50 (1), 600-605.
Zis, P., Artemiadis, A., Bargiotas, P., Nteveros, A., Hadjigeorgiou, G.M., 2021. Medical studies during the COVID-19 pandemic: the impact of digital learning on medical students' burnout and mental health. Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health 18 (1), 349.