

MDPI

Article

Beliefs and Intention to Organ Donation in Saudi Arabia: An Online Cross-Sectional Survey

Sami Alobaidi D

Department of Internal Medicine, University of Jeddah, Jeddah 21493, Saudi Arabia; salobaidi@uj.edu.sa

Abstract: Objective: Despite concerted and directed efforts to increase organ donation, the gap between the need for organs for transplantation and the lack of donors has been increasing globally. Studies have shown that donor rates in countries in the Middle East, such as Saudi Arabia, are particularly low despite a relatively advanced healthcare system and supportive government policies. There are multiple psychosocial, cultural, religious, and structural factors, that influence the increase in the organ donation rate, and some of them may be unique to a country such as Saudi Arabia. The theory of planned behaviour (TPB) is an important theory utilised to study how various types of attitudes, beliefs, and norms, influence organ donation intention and practice. In this study, we aimed to explore the normative, behavioural, and control beliefs, among residents of Saudi Arabia. Methods: The current study was a cross-sectional online survey performed from 6 June 2021 to 31 December 2021, using a questionnaire prepared in GoogleTM form among the residents of Saudi Arabia. The questionnaire asked demographic factors and questions to explore the normative, behavioural, and control beliefs, regarding organ donation. Results: This study received 1245 valid responses. Among the study participants, only 19.6% were willing to register as an Organ/Tissue donors. The intention for organ donation showed a statistically significant positive association with beliefs that organ donation is a good thing (123.51, df 4, p < 0.001), could save somebody's life (81.38, df 4, p < 0.001), could have a positive impact on life after death (114, df 4, p < 0.001), and provision of better social support to family (of the deceased) can increase organ donation (68.43, df 4, p < 0.001). Those who expressed normative beliefs that their intention to donate organs strongly depend upon their family's lack of objection to allowing the donation of organs at the time of death (190.76, df 4, p < 0.001), their knowledge about the organ transplantation process (179.35, df 4, p < 0.001), their knowledge about the viewpoint of their religion regarding organ donation (120.345, df 4, p < 0.001), and their knowledge about the registration facilities (241.64, df 4, p < 0.001), were more willing for donating their organs. Perception of worry about the likelihood of getting lesser care from doctors in an emergency if registered as an organ donor (OR = 4.25, 95% CI 1.57-11.51), beliefs that provision of better social support to family (of the deceased) can increase organ donation (OR = 10.49, 95% CI 1.56-70.43), and concern for the emotions of their family members while organ are being taken (OR = 4.37, CI 1.57-12.23), were the strongest predictors influencing a definite intention for organ donation. Conclusion: This study found that most of the components under normative and behavioural beliefs showed positive correlation with a definite intention for organ donation, whereas most of the components under control beliefs showed negative correlation with a definite intention for organ donation, among Saudi population. Based on the study results, there is a need to promote awareness regarding the organ donation process, especially the religious permissibility of organ donation, among general public in order to promote organ donation.

Keywords: beliefs; intention; organ donation; theory of planned behaviour; Saudi Arabia

check for updates

Citation: Alobaidi, S. Beliefs and Intention to Organ Donation in Saudi Arabia: An Online Cross-Sectional Survey. *Healthcare* 2023, 11, 1716. https://doi.org/10.3390/ healthcare11121716

Academic Editor: Gilbert Ramirez

Received: 24 April 2023 Revised: 6 June 2023 Accepted: 9 June 2023 Published: 12 June 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the author. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

1. Introduction

Organ transplantation is an important and successful treatment strategy to save the lives of patients with end-stage organ diseases [1]. Due to the effectiveness of this treatment

Healthcare 2023, 11, 1716 2 of 10

method in reducing morbidity and mortality, and its role in improving the quality of life of the recipients, organ donation and transplantation are increasing globally [2]. However, despite concerted and directed efforts to increase organ donation, the gap between the need for organs for transplantation and the lack of donors has been increasing globally [1,3]. In order to achieve self-sufficiency in organ transplantation as envisioned by The World Health Organization (WHO), each country needs to plan, organise, and supervise transplantation practices by utilising resources available locally [2].

The first organ transplantation (renal transplantation from a living donor) was conducted in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia (KSA) in 1979. The Saudi Centre for OrganTransplantation (SCOT) was established in 1985 to supervise all organ transplantation programs at the national level, and deceased donor transplantation also started in the same year. By the year 2016, there were twelve kidneys, three livers, two pancreases, two lungs, and one cardiac transplant program throughout KSA [2]. However, with the ever-increasing demand for transplantation considering the higher prevalence (9892 per 100,000 affected) of chronic kidney diseases (CKD), organ shortage has become the major barrier to the expansion of organ transplantation programs in KSA [4]. Studies have shown that donor rates in countries in the Middle East, such as Saudi Arabia, are particularly low, despite a relatively advanced healthcare system and supportive government policies [5]. Around 1396 living donors (living donor rate: 41 per million population (PMP)) and 118 deceased organ donors (deceased donor rate: 3.5 PMP) were registered in KSA in 2022 [6]. Though both the living donor rate and the deceased donor rate are gradually increasing in KSA, the magnitude of the increase is significantly lower for deceased organ donation.

There are multiple psychosocial, cultural, religious, and structural factors, that influence the increase in the organ donation rate, and some of them may be unique to a country such as KSA [7]. It is prudent to understand the factors influencing the decision-making of organ donation in order to effectively respond to the challenge of the ever-increasing gap between the demand and availability of organs for transplant in KSA. Previous studies have utilised the theory of planned behaviour (TPB) in order to explore how various types of attitudes, beliefs, and norms, influence organ donation intention and practice [8]. According to the TPB model, an individual's behaviour is a consequence of his or her behavioural intention, which is a consequence of three closely related constructs; attitude towards the behaviour, subjective norm, and perceived behavioural control. Various studies exploring the TPB model in organ donation found a linear positive correlation, with stronger attitudes, subjective norms, and PBC, leading to higher behavioural intention to donate organs and organ donation practice. A recent review regarding the application of the TPB model on organ donation behaviour demonstrated that TPB-based interventions carry the potential to improve the organ donation rate [9]. However, the moderating role of each TPB construct in influencing organ donation decisions can vary in different cultures. Studies exploring organ donation intention from multiple countries across the world utilising the TPB model showed varied findings. Some studies documented that all three constructs (attitude, subjective norm, and perceived behavioural control) significantly influence organ donation decisions in some countries, whereas another set of studies showed the superiority of one of the constructs over others in influencing organ donation decision-making [10–12]. Moreover, studies also reported culture-specific factors mediating the role of TPB constructs in influencing organ donation decision-making [12,13]. Religious views regarding organ donation can significantly influence the intention to organ donation among the population of KSA. Hence, considering the conflicting study findings and the significant role of cultural beliefs, it is important to explore the organ donation-decision making process and behaviour in every culture using the TPB model.

There are only a few studies exploring the organ donation decision-making process and behaviour in countries in the Middle East. A recent survey study among a sample of 1044 adults from Qatar using the TPB model found that behavioural and normative beliefs were significant positive predictors of the intention to donate organs, and control beliefs were found to be negatively associated with the organ donation intention [13]. To the best of

Healthcare 2023, 11, 1716 3 of 10

our knowledge, there are no studies exploring the organ donation decision-making process and behaviour using a TPB model from KSA. To fill this gap, we conducted a large-scale online survey using a questionnaire based on the TPB model to explore the predictors of organ donation intention among the population of KSA. The aim of the present study was to explore the beliefs and intention toward organ donation in KSA and the influence of the TPB model on organ donation decision-making.

2. Methodology

2.1. Study Design and Participants

This study was an online cross sectional survey study using a questionnaire prepared in GoogleTM form conducted among the residents of KSA from 6 June 2021 to 31 December 2021. The study protocol was approved by the institutional ethical committee with approval code UJ-REC-020.

The questionnaire was adapted from a study investigating the beliefs and intention for organ donation in Qatar [13]. The purpose of the study was provided in the initial part of the questionnaire and participants could progress to the study questionnaire only after explicit agreement about participation in the study.

2.1.1. Instruments

The study questionnaire consisted of three sections. The first part of the study questionnaire asked about the socio-demographic variables such as age, gender, nationality, religion, marital status, education, occupation, average monthly income, and location. The second part of the study questionnaire enquired about general awareness of organ donation. The last part of the study questionnaire was based on the TPB model. In order to investigate the study participants' normative, behavioural, and control beliefs regarding organ donation, TPB constructs were added to the study questionnaire. A five-point scale (strongly agree, agree, neutral, disagree, and strongly disagree) was used to rate participants' agreement with statements.

2.1.2. Statistical Analysis

The Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA, version 26.0 for Windows) was used to analyse the data. Descriptive statistics were utilised to analyse socio-demographic variables. The association between intention to organ donation and beliefs was tested using chi-square tests. The predictors of definite intention to organ donation were analysed using binary logistic regression, where the intention to organ donation (1—definite intention to organ donation, 0—does not intend to organ donation) was defined as the dependent variable. In order to calculate odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI), the forward LR method of regression was utilised. The level of statistical significance was maintained at p < 0.05.

3. Results

Sociodemographic details were summarised in Table 1.

Table 1. Sociodemographic and general enquiry description on organ donation in Saudi Arabia.

Variable		N (%)
Gender		
	Female	673 (54.1%)
	Male	572 (45.9%)
Nationality		
	Saudi	1117 (89.7%)
	Non-Saudi	128 (10.3%)
Religion		
	Muslim	1220 (98%)
	Christian	9 (0.7%)
	Others	16 (1.3%)

Healthcare 2023, 11, 1716 4 of 10

Table 1. Cont.

Variable		N (%)	
Marital Status			
	Married	622 (50%)	
	Single	575 (46.2%)	
	Widowed	48 (3.9%)	
Education			
	High school and below	158 (12.7%)	
	Diploma and Bachelor	820 (65.9%)	
	Masters or PhD	267 (21.4%)	
Monthly income			
	< 5000	496 (39.9%)	
	5001–10,000	231 (18.6%)	
	10,001–15,000	210 (16.9%)	
	>15,000	307 (24.7%)	
Location			
	Central	163 (13.1%)	
	Western	845 (67.9%)	
	Eastern	125 (10%)	
	Northern	50 (4%)	
	Southern	62 (5%)	
Heard of organ donation			
	No	17 (1.4%)	
	Yes	1228 (98.6%)	
Attended organ donation campaign (N = 1228)			
• "	No	1125 (91.6%)	
	Yes	103 (8.4%)	
Have donated blood			
	No	759 (61%)	
	Yes	486 (39%)	
Have donated organ/tissue			
	No	1230 (98.8%)	
	Yes	15 (1.2%)	

4. Behavioural Beliefs

The majority of the study participants (79.7%) agreed that organ donation could have a positive impact on life after death and they will be rewarded by God for such an act. Around 79.4% of the study participants agreed that organ donation is a good thing and should be promoted, and 91.6% agreed that organ donation could save somebody's life. Only 24.9% of the study participants agreed with the statement that patients registered for organ donation receive lesser care from doctors in case of an emergency, and only 28.6% agreed that the organ retrieval process after death may cause body disfigurement. Finally, 68.2% agreed that provision of better social support to families (of the deceased), regardless of whether they donate or not, can increase organ donation.

4.1. Normative Beliefs

Around 51.7% of the study population expressed their wish to take the opinion of others before registering as an organ donor in Saudi Arabia, mainly from family members. A total of 61.4% agreed that they would be more willing to register as organ donors provided their family members have no objection. A total of 68.6% and 70.1% agreed that their willingness for organ donation would be more if they have more knowledge about organ donation and if they know more about the viewpoint of their religion regarding organ donation, respectively.

4.2. Control Beliefs

Among the study respondents, only 11.6% agreed that they may find many opportunities to register as organ donors in KSA, and only 22.8% agreed that they may get answers

Healthcare **2023**, 11, 1716 5 of 10

to all their questions while registering. A total of 44.3% of the study participants agreed that they are healthy to donate organs. Only 24% agreed that operation procedure related to organ donation is encouraging, and 21.9% disagreed with the statement that organ donation might leave you weak and disabled. More than half of the study participants (64.1%) expressed their trust in the healthcare system in KSA. Around 56.7% also agreed that they are concerned with the emotions of their family members related to organ donation.

4.3. Intentions to Donate Organs

Among the study participants, only 19.6% were willing to register as an Organ/Tissue donor in KSA. A total of 26.6% agreed that they will consider organ donation after a discussion with a religious leader, and 43.9% agreed that they will consider donating an organ more seriously if they are approached by a trusted organization.

The intention for organ donation showed a statistically significant positive association with behavioural beliefs that organ donation is a good thing (123.51, df 4, p < 0.001), could save somebody's life (81.38, df 4, p < 0.001), could have a positive impact on life after death (114, df 4, p < 0.001), and provision of better social support to family (of the deceased) can increase organ donation (68.43, df 4, p < 0.001). Moreover, the belief that patients registered for organ donation receive lesser care from doctors in case of an emergency (30.06, df 4, p < 0.001), organ retrieval process after death may cause body disfigurement (15.88, df 4, p = 0.003), showed a statistically significant negative association with a definitive intention for organ donation.

The chi squire test also showed that those who expressed normative beliefs that their intention to donate an organ strongly depend upon their family's no objection for allowing the donation of an organ at the time of death (190.76, df 4, p < 0.001), their knowledge about organ transplantation process (179.35, df 4, p < 0.001), their knowledge about the viewpoint of their religion regarding organ donation (120.345, df 4, p < 0.001), and their knowledge about the registration facilities (241.64, df 4, p < 0.001), were more willing for donating their organs, Those who expressed the need for opinion of others before registering as an organ donor (11.66, df 1, p = 0.001) were less likely to donate their organs.

The chi squire test also showed that those expressed control beliefs that they were healthy to donate (29.02, df 4, p < 0.001), have no age limitation for donating their organs (30.87, df 4, p < 0.001), and organ registration as a time-consuming process (10.04, df 4, p = 0.040), where more willing for donating their organs. On the other hand, those who expressed control the beliefs that operation procedure for procuring organs was discouraging (31.26, df 4, p < 0.001), organ donation might leave them weak and disabled (36.22, df 4, p < 0.001), and concern for the emotions of their family members while organ are being taken (51.86, df 5, p < 0.001), were less likely to donate their organs.

In logistic regression analysis, perception of worry about the likelihood of getting lesser care from doctors in an emergency if registered as an organ donor (OR = 4.25, 95% CI 1.57–11.51), beliefs that provision of better social support to family (of the deceased) can increase organ donation (OR = 10.49, 95% CI 1.56–70.43), and concern for the emotions of their family members while the organ is being taken (OR = 4.37, 95% CI 1.57–12.23), were the strongest predictors influencing a definite intention for organ donation.

The association between intention to organ donation and beliefs were summarised in Table 2.

Variable		Total Responses	Organ Donation Intention		p Value
			Definitely Yes	No/Not Decided	
Behavioural Beliefs					
Organ donation is a good thing					
	Strongly agree	416 (45.1%)	146 (80.7%)	270 (36.4%)	
	Agree	263 (28.5%)	32 (17.7%)	231 (31.2%)	
	Neutral	211 (22.9%)	3 (1.7%)	208 (28.1%)	< 0.001
	Disagree	18 (2%)	0	18 (2.4%)	
	Strongly disagree	14 (1.5%)	0	14 (1.9%)	

Healthcare **2023**, 11, 1716 6 of 10

Table 2. Cont.

Variable		Total Responses	Organ Dona	Organ Donation Intention	
· washes		10 th 1100 p 0110 co	Definitely Yes	No/Not Decided	p Value
Organ donation could save			<i>y</i> = 55		
somebody's life					
·	Strongly agree	553 (60%)	161 (89%)	392 (52.9%)	
	Agree	267 (29%)	20 (11%)	247 (33.3%)	
	Neutral	86 (9.3%)	0	86 (11.6%)	<0.001
	Disagree	8 (0.9%)	0	8 (1.1%)	
	Strongly disagree	8 (0.9%)	0	8 (1.1%)	
Organ donation could have a positive impact on life after death	8,	- (,	-	- ()	
impact on me arter actual	Strongly agree	435 (47.2%)	149 (82.3%)	286 (38.6%)	
	Agree	241 (26.1%)	22 (12.2%)	219 (29.6%)	
	Neutral	196 (21.3%)	8 (4.4%)	188 (25.4%)	< 0.001
	Disagree	27 (2.9%)	2 (1.1%)	25 (3.4%)	<0.001
	Strongly disagree	23 (2.5%)	0	23 (3.1%)	
Provision of better social support to the family (of the deceased) can increase organ donation	Strongly disagree	23 (2.376)	Ü	23 (3.170)	
	Strongly agree	241 (26.1%)	89 (49.2%)	152 (20.5%)	
	Agree	347 (37.6%)	58 (32%)	289 (39%)	
	Neutral	270 (29.3%)	28 (15.5%)	242 (32.7%)	< 0.001
	Disagree	34 (3.7%)	1 (0.6%)	33 (4.5%)	<0.001
	Strongly disagree	30 (3.3%)	5 (2.8%)	25 (3.4%)	
Patients registered for organ donation receive lesser care from doctors in case of an emergency	Strongly disagree	00 (0.070)	3 (2.070)	20 (0.170)	
Ŭ,	Strongly agree	101 (11%)	37 (20.4%)	64 (8.6%)	
	Agree	136 (14.8%)	17 (9.4%)	119 (16.1%)	
	Neutral	342 (37.1%)	50 (27.6%)	292 (39.4%)	< 0.001
	Disagree	169 (18.3%)	38 (21%)	131 (17.7%)	10.001
	Strongly disagree	174 (18.9%)	39 (21.5%)	135 (18.2%)	
Organ retrieval process after death may cause body disfigurement	0.1101.6-7 1.101.6-00	2. 2 (20.7.7)	(100 (20.271)	
	Strongly agree	80 (8.7%)	12 (6.6%)	68 (9.2%)	
	Agree	197 (21.4%)	29 (16%)	168 (22.7%)	
	Neutral	370 (40.1%)	67 (37%)	303 (40.9%)	0.003
	Disagree	182 (19.7%)	43 (23.8%)	139 (18.8%)	0.000
	Strongly disagree	93 (10.1%)	30 (16.6%)	63 (10.1%)	
Normative Beliefs		(-0,-)	(2010)1)	(2012/1)	
I would be more willing to register as an organ donor if I know that my family would have no objection to allowing donation of					
organ at the time of death					
	Strongly agree	239 (25.9%)	115 (63.5%)	124 (16.7%)	
	Agree	243 (26.4%)	48 (26.55)	195 (26.3%)	
	Neutral	273 (29.6%)	14 (7.7%)	259 (35.0%)	< 0.001
	Disagree	87 (9.4%)	2 (1.1%)	85 (9.4%)	VO.001
	Strongly disagree	80 (8.7%)	2 (1.1%)	78 (10.5%)	
I know more about organ transplantation process	0,				
	Strongly agree	246 (26.7%)	116 (64.1%)	130 (17.5%)	
	Agree	315 (34.2%)	52 (28.7%)	263 (35.5%)	<0.001
	Neutral	236 (25.6%)	11 (6.1%)	225 (30.4%)	
	Disagree	73 (7.9%)	1 (0.6%)	72 (9.7%)	
	Strongly disagree	52 (5.6%)	1 (0.6%)	51 (6.9%)	
I know more about the viewpoint of their religion regarding organ donation					

Healthcare **2023**, 11, 1716 7 of 10

Table 2. Cont.

Variable		Total Responses	Organ Donation Intention		p Value
		•	Definitely Yes	No/Not Decided	,
	Strongly agree	310 (33.6%)	120 (66.3%)	190 (25.6%)	
	Agree	285 (30.9%)	45 (24.9%)	240 (32.4%)	
	Neutral	229 (24.8%)	13 (7.2%)	216 (29.1%)	< 0.001
	Disagree	58 (6.3%)	0 (0.0%)	58 (7.8%)	
	Strongly disagree	40 (4.3%)	3 (1.7%)	37 (5.0%)	
I know how to I could register	0, 0	, ,	, ,	,	
O	Strongly agree	227 (24.6%)	121 (66.9%)	106 (14.3%)	<0.001
	Agree	255 (27.7%)	47 (26.0%)	208 (28.1%)	
	Neutral	297 (32.2%)	12 (6.6%)	285 (38.5%)	
	Disagree	84 (9.1%)	1 (0.6%)	83 (11.2%)	
	Strongly disagree	59 (6.4%)	0 (0.0%)	59 (8.0%)	
Control Beliefs	0,				
They are not healthy to donate					
·	Strongly agree	78 (8.5%)	9 (5.0%)	69 (9.3%)	
	Agree	187 (20.3%)	32 (17.7%)	155 (20.9%)	
	Neutral	305 (33.1%)	42 (23.2%)	263 (35.5%)	< 0.001
	Disagree	235 (25.5%)	59 (32.6%)	176 (23.8%)	
	Strongly disagree	117 (12.7%)	39 (21.5%)	78 (10.5%)	
Your age is not fit for donating your organ	,				
C	Strongly agree	41 (4.4%)	6 (3.3%)	35 (4.7%)	
	Agree	97 (10.5%)	15 (8.3%)	82 (11.1%)	
	Neutral	297 (32.2%)	38 (21.0%)	259 (35.0%)	< 0.001
	Disagree	323 (35.0%)	67 (37.0%)	256 (34.5%)	
	Strongly disagree	164 (17.8%)	55 (30.4%)	109 (14.7%)	
Organ donor registration can be a	0,7 0	, ,	, ,		
time-consuming process					
Ü.	Strongly agree	34 (3.7%)	12 (6.6%)	22 (3.0%)	
	Agree	126 (13.7%)	31 (17.1%)	95 (12.8%)	
	Neutral	418 (45.3%)	69 (38.1%)	349 (47.1%)	0.040
	Disagree	231 (25.1%)	45 (24.9%)	186 (25.1%)	
	Strongly disagree	113 (12.3%)	24 (13.3%)	89 (12.0%)	
Operation procedure for procuring organs is discouraging	0,				
	Strongly agree	164 (17.8%)	22 (12.2%)	142 (19.2%)	
	Agree	300 (32.5%)	58 (32.0%)	242 (32.7%)	
	Neutral	284 (30.8%)	44 (24.3%)	240 (32.4%)	< 0.001
	Disagree	112 (12.1%)	31 (17.1%)	81 (10.9%)	
	Strongly disagree	62 (6.7%)	26 (14.4%)	36 (4.9%)	
You are worried that organ donation might leave you weak and disabled	0,7	, ,	,	, ,	
,	Strongly agree	191 (20.7%)	29 (16.0%)	162 (21.9%)	
	Agree	358 (38.8%)	63 (34.8%)	295 (39.8%)	
	Neutral	211 (22.9%)	30 (16.6%)	181 (24.4%)	< 0.001
	Disagree	106 (11.5%)	39 (29.5%)	67 (9.0%)	V0.001
	Strongly disagree	56 (6.1%)	20 (11.0%)	36 (4.9%)	
Emotions of your family members while organs are being taken make you feel concerned	0,7	,	, ,	,	
	Strongly agree	238 (25.8%)	27 (14.9%)	211 (28.5%)	
	Agree	321 (34.8%)	61 (33.7%)	260 (35.1%)	<0.001
	Neutral	196 (21.3%)	29 (16.0%)	167 (22.5%)	
	Disagree	91 (9.9%)	32 (17.7%)	59 (8.0%)	
	Strongly disagree	65 (7.0%)	28 (15.5%)	37 (5.0%)	
	0,7 0	, ,	, ,	, ,	

Healthcare 2023, 11, 1716 8 of 10

5. Discussion

The present study evaluated the intention towards donating organs among the population of KSA using the TPB model, and found that normative, behavioural, and control beliefs significantly influence organ donation decision-making among the Saudi population. Similar results were also reported in a recent study from Qatar where investigators explored beliefs and intentions to organ donation using the TPB model among 1044 adults utilising a household survey design [13]. Another study evaluating organ donation intentions in Americans and Koreans also highlighted the significant influence of TPB components while taking organ donation decisions [14]. The current study also found that most of the components under normative and behavioural beliefs showed a statistically significant positive correlation with a definite intention for organ donation, whereas most of the components under control beliefs showed a statistically significant negative correlation with a definite intention for organ donation, which is consistent with previous study results. The logistic regression results also failed to show any component of control beliefs predicting organ donation intention. The overall results indicate that normative and behavioural beliefs significantly influence organ donation intention among the Saudi population, and control beliefs had a relatively less significant role of control beliefs in predicting organ donation, which is consistent with the existing literature [8,13].

Globally policies for collecting organs for transplantation and advocacy systems for encouraging organ donation were founded on the paradigm of altruistic behaviour [15]. Organ donation is an ultimate example of an altruistic act where altruistic individuals create a norm of volunteering for organ donation leading to social solidarity [16]. In this study, around 79.4% and 91.6% of the participants agreed with the altruistic beliefs that organ donation is a good thing and should be promoted, and organ donation could save somebody's life, respectively. Moreover, this study also found a statistically significant positive correlation between altruistic beliefs and definite intention for organ donation. Similar results were also found in a recent study from Qatar where 95% of individuals agreed with altruistic beliefs toward organ donation [13]. This study's results indicates that the Saudi population is generally altruistic with respect to organ donation, and policies aiming at creating opportunities for individuals for altruism in the form of registering for organ donation may promote social solidarity.

One of the major issues facing organ donation projects in the middle eastern countries is the lack of growth in the rate of deceased organ donation when compared to voluntary organ donation [2]. Studies had shown that providing support to grieving families during a painful time of loss could promote deceased organ donation [17]. Around 68.2% of the study participants also agreed with this idea that the provision of better social support to family (of the deceased), regardless of whether they donate or not, can increase organ donation. A similar study from Qatar also reported that 63.7% of the study participants agreed with the above idea [13]. Based on the existing literature and the current study findings, it is prudent to create opportunities to support organ donors and their families in order to promote organ donation. Recently, the King Abdulaziz Medal of Third Class was awarded to 200 Saudi citizens by King Salman as appreciation for their registration in a government organ donation program [18]. Moreover, the Tawakkalna application, in cooperation with the Saudi Center for Organ Transplantation (SCOT), also awarded three types of medals—gold, silver and bronze—to organ donors, in appreciation of their sacrifices for patients suffering from organ failures [19]. All these recent developments indicate that the government of KSA is aware of the importance of providing support to donors. However, there is a need to create organ procurement organizations, similar to the Gift of Life Donor Program (GLDP) in Philadelphia, to work closely with grieving families in order to support them and encourage them toward deceased organ donation [17].

The influence of Islamic religious beliefs on organ donation decision-making in KSA is not encouraging based on previously published literature. A cross-sectional study among a random sample of 948 Saudi citizens between 20–60 years of age showed that 27.5% feared that the act of organ donation contradicted their religious beliefs [20]. In another

Healthcare 2023, 11, 1716 9 of 10

recent study, around 39.29% of the study participants who opposed organ donations suggested religious beliefs as the reason for opposing organ donations [21]. Even though, the Islamic Jurisprudence Assembly Council in Saudi Arabia approved deceased and live donation in a landmark decision in 1988, the overall data indicates a lack of awareness of the permissibility of organ donation in the religion of Islam in the Saudi population [22]. Similar to past studies, around 20.3% of the study participants in this study disagreed with the statement that organ donation could have a positive impact on life after death and they will be rewarded by God for such an act. Around 70.1% agreed that their willingness for organ donation would increase if they know more about the viewpoint of their religion regarding organ donation, and around 26.6% agreed that they will consider organ donation after discussion with a religious leader. The study results showed the significant impact of religious beliefs in decision-making for organ donation, as well as the role of religious leaders in influencing beliefs and intention to donate organs. There is a need to increase awareness about Islamic religious viewpoint regarding organ donation among the Saudi population, preferably by involving religious figures in awareness campaigns.

This study also found that some of the behavioural, normative, and control beliefs also showed a significant negative correlation with a definite intention to organ donation. There is a need to target such beliefs such as the perception of lesser medical care in emergencies after registering for organ donation, organ donation cause body disfigurement and might leave them weak and disabled, effectively through awareness campaigns and educational programs in order to improve the rate of organ donation in Saudi population.

The study results should be read keeping in mind a few limitations. The design of this study was an online survey in which responses were collected after distributing questionnaires on social media platforms. Such study designs often carry the risk of selection bias. Moreover, the characteristics of the study population and the overrepresentation of the western region limit the generalisability of the study results.

6. Conclusions

This study found that most of the components under normative and behavioural beliefs showed a positive correlation with a definite intention for organ donation, whereas most of the components under control beliefs showed a negative correlation with a definite intention for organ donation, among the Saudi population. Based on the study results, there is a need to promote awareness regarding the organ donation process, especially the religious permissibility of organ donation, among the general public in order to promote organ donation.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki, and approved by the Institutional Ethics Committee of University of Jeddah (protocol code UJ-REC-020, and 05/30/2021).

Informed Consent Statement: Informed consent was obtained from all subjects involved in the study.

Data Availability Statement: Data is available on request.

Conflicts of Interest: The author declares no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Umair, S.; Ho, J.-A.; Ng, S.S.I.; Basha, N.K. Moderating Role of Religiosity and the Determinants to Attitude, Willingness to Donate and Willingness to Communicate Posthumous Organ Donation Decisions among University Students in Pakistan. *OMEGA-J. Death Dying* **2021**. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 2. Shaheen, F.A. Organ Transplantation in Saudi Arabia. Transplantation 2016, 100, 1387–1389. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Ralph, A.F.; Alyami, A.; Allen, R.D.; Howard, K.; Craig, J.C.; Chadban, S.J.; Irving, M.; Tong, A. Attitudes and beliefs about deceased organ donation in the Arabic-speaking community in Australia: A focus group study. *BMJ Open* **2016**, *6*, e010138. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 4. Assiry, A.; Alshahrani, S.; Banji, D.; Banji, O.J.F.; Syed, N.K.; Alqahtani, S.S. Public Awareness of Chronic Kidney Disease in Jazan Province, Saudi Arabia-A Cross-Sectional Survey. *Healthcare* 2022, 10, 1377. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

Healthcare 2023, 11, 1716 10 of 10

5. Abdulrazeq, F.; Matsumoto, M.M.; Zourob, M.; Al-Dobai, A.; Zeyad, K.; Marwan, N.; Qeshta, A.; Aleyani, O. Barriers in knowledge and attitudes regarding organ donation among Urban Jordanian population. *Saudi J. Kidney Dis. Transpl.* **2020**, *31*, 624–638. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 6. Country Database. International Registry in Organ Donation and Transplantation (IRODaT). 2017. Available online: http://www.irodat.org/ (accessed on 26 February 2023).
- 7. Pauli, J.; Basso, K.; Ruffatto, J. The influence of beliefs on organ donation intention. *Int. J. Pharm. Healthc. Mark.* **2017**, *11*, 291–308. [CrossRef]
- 8. Ajzen, I. The theory of planned behavior. Organ Behav. Hum. Decis. Process 1991, 50, 179–211. [CrossRef]
- 9. Latifi, M.; Pauli, J.; Dehghani, S.; Nejad, M.S. Application of theory of planned behavior on organ donation behavior: A systematic review. *Saudi J. Kidney Dis. Transpl.* **2021**, 32, 1201–1213. [PubMed]
- 10. Hyde, M.K.; White, K.M. Exploring donation decisions: Beliefs and preferences for organ donation in Australia. *Death Stud.* **2010**, 34, 172–185. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 11. Brug, J.; Vugt, M.V.; Borne, B.V.; Brouwers, A.; Hooff, H.V. Predictors of willingness to register as an organ donor among Dutch adolescents. *Psychol. Health* **2000**, *15*, 357–368. [CrossRef]
- 12. Bresnahan, M.; Lee, S.Y.; Smith, S.W.; Shearman, S.; Nebashi, R.; Park, C.Y.; Yoo, J. A theory of planned behavior study of college students' intention to register as organ donors in Japan, Korea, and the United States. *Health Commun.* **2007**, 21, 201–211. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 13. El-Menyar, A.; Al-Thani, H.; Mehta, T.; Varughese, B.; Al-Maslamani, Y.; Mekkodathil, A.A.; Singh, R. Beliefs and Intention to Organ Donation: A Household Survey. *Int. J. Appl. Basic. Med. Res.* **2020**, *10*, 122–127. [PubMed]
- 14. Yun, D.; Park, H.S. Culture and the theory of planned behaviour: Organ donation intentions in Americans and Koreans. *J. Pac. Rim Psychol.* **2010**, *4*, 130–137. [CrossRef]
- 15. Hafeeq, B.; Uvais, N.A.; Aziz, F. Altruistic Attitudes among the Relatives of Patients with Chronic Kidney Disease: A Cross-sectional Study. *Saudi J. Kidney Dis. Transpl.* **2021**, 32, 268–270. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 16. Dopelt, K.; Siton, L.; Harrison, T.; Davidovitch, N. Revisiting the Relationship between Altruism and Organ Donation: Insights from Israel. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **2022**, *19*, 7404. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 17. Timar, J.; Bleil, M.; Daly, T.; Koomar, S.; Hasz, R.; Nathan, H. Successful strategies to increase organ donation: The Gift of Life Donor Program Philadelphia model. *Indian J. Thorac. Cardiovasc. Surg.* **2021**, *37* (Suppl. S3), 380–394. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 18. King Salman Awards 200 Saudi Organ Donors with King Abdulaziz Medal of Third Class. Arab News. Available online: https://www.arabnews.com/node/2149066/saudi-arabia (accessed on 24 August 2022).
- 19. Tawakkalna Awards Medals to Organ Donors. Saudi Gazette. Available online: https://saudigazette.com.sa/article/608283 (accessed on 29 June 2021).
- 20. Alam, A.A. Public opinion on organ donation in Saudi Arabia. Saudi J. Kidney Dis. Transpl. 2007, 18, 54–59. [PubMed]
- 21. Somaili, M.; Masmali, A.; Haqawi, I.; Al-Hulaibi, M.; AlHabji, A.A.; Salami, A.; Ageel, A.A.; Sultan, Y.; Alhazemi, A.; Moharg, F.; et al. Knowledge and Attitude Toward Organ Donation Among the Adult Population in Jazan, Saudi Arabia. *Cureus* 2022, 14, e27002. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 22. Oliver, M.; Woywodt, A.; Ahmed, A.; Saif, I. Organ donation, transplantation and religion. *Nephrol. Dial. Transplant.* **2011**, 26, 437–444. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.