Open access Letter



Response to "NLRC5 germline variants and their potential role in eliciting an immune response in patients with cancer treated with immune checkpoint inhibitors" by Xiang-Yu Meng

Lilach Aizenbud,¹ David A Schoenfeld ¹, Jasmine I Caulfield,¹ Jacqueline E Mann,¹ Matthew R Austin,¹ Ana Luisa Perdigoto,² Kevan C Herold,^{3,4} Harriet M Kluger ¹, Ana Luisa Perdigoto,² Kevan C Herold,^{3,4}

To cite: Aizenbud L, Schoenfeld DA, Caulfield JI, et al. Response to "NLRC5 germline variants and their potential role in eliciting an immune response in patients with cancer treated with immune checkpoint inhibitors" by Xiang-Yu Meng. Journal for ImmunoTherapy of Cancer 2023;11:e007397. doi:10.1136/ jitc-2023-007397

Accepted 01 June 2023



- ► http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/ jitc-2022-006570
- http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/ jitc-2023-007255



© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2023. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.

For numbered affiliations see end of article.

Correspondence to

Dr Harriet M Kluger; Harriet.Kluger@Yale.edu To the Editor:

We thank Dr Meng for the thoughtful letter further elaborating on the possible significance of NLRC5 polymorphisms in the development of immune checkpoint inhibitor-induced diabetes mellitus (ICI-DM) and other immune-related adverse events (irAEs). Dr Meng further highlights a potential role of NLRC5 expression or polymorphisms as a biomarker for predicting response to immunotherapy, based on work by Yoshihama *et al.*¹

Despite several protein structure tools predicting a benign effect of the NLRC5 variant identified (Pro191Leu), as detailed in our study² and by Dr Meng, we agree that the mutant gene may still play a causal role in ICI-DM. We were encouraged by the significant association between the NLRC5 gene variant and NLRC5 expression in the blood identified in the eQTLGen database and highlighted by Dr Meng. While we did not see differences in NLRC5 messenger RNA levels in tumors of patients with ICI-DM with the presence of the variant, we did not compare expression in other organs or the blood. As the identified NLRC5 mutation was germline, other tissue-specific effects outside of the tumor, including on NLRC5 transcription levels, could indeed be contributing to ICI-DM and should be explored further. We also think it is important for future efforts to remain cognizant of the fact that there are likely unique mechanisms leading to the development of ICI-DM that differ from type 1 diabetes mellitus (T1DM). It is unclear if the identified NLRC5 mutation is relevant to T1DM as well, despite Dr Meng's thought-provoking

summary statistics based on Mendelian randomization analyses, as our analysis and others performed by Dr Meng failed to show enrichment of NLRC5 Pro191Leu variants among individuals with T1DM. Ultimately, careful genotype–phenotype and functional studies are needed to establish the impact of the NLRC5 Pro191Leu variant, which our group has started to perform.

It is well established that *NLRC5* is expressed in various tissue types, including in other organ systems where irAEs typically manifest, raising the possibility that the identified *NLRC5* variant may contribute to the pathogenesis of other irAEs. We are currently exploring the incidence of *NLRC5* mutations in other irAEs in a larger cohort of patients undergoing treatment with ICIs. Of note, we have identified additional patients with ICI-DM with the *NLRC5* mutation, further supporting our initial findings.

Regarding the potential of NLRC5 as a predictive biomarker of tumor response to ICIs, there are emerging reports connecting NLRC5 to treatment response for various cancers,3 4 with multiple studies suggesting that the mechanism is based on tumor evasion and mediated by human leukocyte antigens (HLA) expression.⁵ Additionally, the correlation between irAEs and favorable response to ICIs has been widely demonstrated, and Jiang et al recently suggested that HLA alterations are the link between the two. While our initial cohort size of 13 patients limited our ability to connect the NLRC5 germline variant with response to ICIs, we are performing this analysis in the larger cohort of ICI-treated patients we are currently investigating.



In conclusion, we appreciate the additional analysis and comments provided by Dr Meng related to our study, and believe they support further efforts to better understand the role of NLRC5 in irAEs and response to ICIs, some of which are underway in our group.

Author affiliations

¹Department of Medicine (Medical Oncology), Yale University School of Medicine, New Haven, Connecticut, USA

²Department of Medicine (Endocrinology and Metabolism), Yale University School of Medicine, New Haven, Connecticut, USA

³Department of Medicine, Yale University School of Medicine, New Haven, Connecticut, USA

⁴Department of Immunobiology, Yale School of Medicine, New Haven, Connecticut, USA

Contributors LA, DAS and HMK wrote the manuscript. JIC, JEM, MRA, ALP and KCH reviewed, edited and provided scientific and/or clinical insights.

Funding This work was supported by the Yale SPORE in Skin Cancer, 1 P50 CA121974-01 (to HMK) and NIH grant R01 CA227472 (to KCH and HMK) and K12 CA215110 (supporting ALP).

Competing interests HMK reported Institutional Research Grants from Merck, Bristol-Myers Squibb and Apexigen. HMK reports Personal Fees (past 3 years) from lovance, Celldex, Merck, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Clinigen, Shionogi, Chemocentryx, Calithera, Signatero, Gigagen, Gl reviewers, Seranova, Pliant Therapeutics, Esai. Other authors did not report conflicts.

Patient consent for publication Not applicable.

Ethics approval Not applicable.

Provenance and peer review Commissioned; internally peer reviewed.

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/.

ORCID iDs

David A Schoenfeld http://orcid.org/0000-0002-5202-3744 Harriet M Kluger http://orcid.org/0000-0002-4932-9873

REFERENCES

- 1 Yoshihama S, Cho SX, Yeung J, et al. NIrc5/CITA expression correlates with efficient response to Checkpoint blockade Immunotherapy. Sci Rep 2021:11:3258.
- 2 Caulfield JI, Aizenbud L, Perdigoto AL, et al. Germline genetic variants are associated with development of insulin-dependent diabetes in cancer patients treated with immune Checkpoint inhibitors. J Immunother Cancer 2023;11:e006570.
- 3 Santharam MA, Shukla A, Levesque D, et al. NIrc5-CIITA fusion protein as an effective inducer of MHC-I expression and antitumor immunity. Int J Mol Sci 2023;24:7206.
- 4 Thompson JC, Davis C, Deshpande C, et al. Gene signature of antigen processing and presentation machinery predicts response to Checkpoint blockade in non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) and Melanoma. J Immunother Cancer 2020;8:e000974.
- 5 Rasmussen M, Durhuus JA, Nilbert M, et al. Response to immune Checkpoint inhibitors is affected by Deregulations in the antigen presentation machinery: A systematic review and meta-analysis. J Clin Med 2022:12:329.
- 5 Jiang N, Yu Y, Wu D, et al. HLA and tumour Immunology: immune escape, Immunotherapy and immune-related adverse events. J Cancer Res Clin Oncol 2023;149:737–47.