Review Article



Recent advances in membrane mimetics for membrane protein research

John William Young

Department of Chemistry, Kavli Institute for Nanoscience Discovery, Dorothy Crowfoot Hodgkin Building, University of Oxford, South Parks Rd, Oxford OX1 3QU, U.K. Correspondence: John William Young (john.young@chem.ox.ac.uk)

Membrane proteins are a highly relevant class of biological molecules and comprise ~60% of current drug targets. Before being analyzed by structural, biochemical, and biophysical methods, membrane proteins must first be extracted from cellular membranes – often using detergents. Detergent-extracted membrane proteins are amenable to analysis by structural, biochemical, and biophysical techniques. In certain cases, however, detergents can disturb native protein conformations and/or biological activity. This has led to the development of membrane mimetics, which stabilize membrane proteins in a native membrane-like environment that is water-soluble and detergent-free. This review provides an overview of recent developments in the membrane mimetic field, with a focus on nanodiscs, Saposin lipid nanoparticles (SapNPs), peptidiscs, and SMA lipid particles (SMALPs) — and highlights their utility for supporting biophysical, biochemical, and structural characterization of membrane proteins and complexes.

Introduction

Integral membrane proteins are among the most therapeutically relevant biological molecules and control many of the essential processes of life including energy production, nutrient import, cell-cell signaling, and protein translocation [1-3]. Their importance for maintaining cellular homeostasis is highlighted by the fact that membrane proteins comprise ~60% of current drug targets [4].

Before being analysed by most structural or biochemical methods, membrane proteins must first be extracted from the hydrophobic lipid bilayer. This is typically done using detergents, which solubilize the lipid bilayer and maintain membrane proteins in a soluble state by shielding their hydrophobic surfaces from water [5,6]. Detergent-solubilized membrane proteins are amenable to structural analysis by methods such as X-ray crystallography and single-particle cryo-electron microscopy (Cryo-EM) [7–12]. They are also amenable to native mass spectrometry (nMS) and receptor–ligand binding assays [13–17]. However, even the mildest detergents can disrupt native protein conformations, or else strip away functionally important phospholipid and/or protein interactors [15,18–21]. Extensive efforts have been directed towards developing new classes of detergents to minimize these dissociating and delipidating effects [22–24]. The reader is referred to the following excellent reviews for more details on advances in detergent chemistry [25,26].

To minimize these detergent effects, or else bypass them altogether, a major priority for the membrane protein research field has been the development of 'membrane mimetics' which can stabilize membrane proteins in a native membrane-like environment that is detergent-free and fully watersoluble [3]. This review aims to provide an overview of the latest developments in the membrane mimetic field, particularly focussing on nanodiscs, Saposin lipid nanoparticles (SapNPs), peptidiscs, and SMA lipid particles (SMALPs). Other widely used membrane mimetics, notably including liposomes, are not discussed in detail here due to space considerations but have been extensively described elsewhere [27,28].

Received: 22 April 2023 Revised: 8 June 2023 Accepted: 14 June 2023

Version of Record published: 22 June 2023



Nanodiscs

The most well established and widely used membrane mimetic system covered in this review is the nanodisc, which was first described by the Sligar laboratory in 2002 [29]. Nanodiscs are small, water-soluble patches of lipid bilayer containing a membrane protein of interest encircled by two copies of an ApoA1-derived membrane scaffold protein (MSP) [29,30]. In the last two decades, they have been widely used as a platform to facilitate structural, biochemical, and biophysical analysis of membrane proteins and complexes [31,32]. In the standard workflow for membrane protein reconstitution into nanodiscs, a detergent-purified target protein is incubated with detergent-solubilized phospholipids and MSPs (Figure 1). To promote disc formation, the detergent is gradually removed by dialysis or by incubation with BioBeads, and the nanodisc-reconstituted target protein is further purified by size-exclusion chromatography (SEC) in detergent-free buffer to remove aggregates and separate the reconstituted target protein from 'empty' lipid-only discs and/or excess MSPs [33,34]. Several key parameters must be optimized to ensure successful reconstitution of a target protein into homogenous nanodisc particles. First and foremost is the length of the scaffold protein included in the reconstitution mixture. Various MSP constructs - containing multiple ApoA1-derived alpha-helices - have been developed, allowing the user to precisely control the diameter of the nanodisc particle [35]. These MSPs produce nanodiscs with diameters varying between 8 and 16 nm [3,30,35]. More recently, researchers have also introduced covalently circularized MSPs, using either Sortase or SpyCatcher technology to form a covalent bond between the N- and C-termini of an MSP [36,37]. Nanodiscs formed using these circularized scaffolds have greater homogeneity compared with those formed using a 'conventional' linear MSP [36,38]. Importantly, the circularized MSP technology enables formation of much larger nanodiscs, with diameters of up to 50 nm [36,37]. This latter feature is potentially critical for reconstituting large multi-subunit membrane protein complexes, which may be too large to be accommodated by a shorter, linear MSP [38]. Conveniently, all these MSP variants can be obtained with high yield and purity after expression in Escherichia coli (E. coli) [30,35,37]. This feature enables researchers to rapidly screen different reconstitution scaffolds with only minimal cost.

Because phospholipids can strongly influence the activity of the reconstituted target protein, a second parameter requiring optimization is the identity and number of phospholipids to include in the reconstitution mixture. Depending on the research question, researchers may add pure synthetic phospholipids during reconstitution, or else a more complex lipid extract [39–41]. The ratio of target protein to phospholipids and MSPs needed for successful reconstitution into homogenous particles must be carefully optimized and can be difficult to predict — researchers often need to screen several different mixtures by 'trial and error' to find the best conditions. This process can be time-intensive and consumes large amounts of valuable membrane protein sample. Reconstitution conditions can be screened using techniques including non-denaturing gel electrophoresis, SEC, light scattering coupled to SEC (SEC-MALS), negative stain electron microscopy (NS-EM), and mass photometry (MP) [42–45]. Once optimal conditions are found, the preparation can be scaled up for downstream structural and/or biochemical analysis.

In recent years nanodiscs have emerged as a robust platform for supporting high-resolution structural characterization of membrane proteins and complexes, particularly by single-particle Cryo-EM. Following recent advances in Cryo-EM imaging technology, which have enabled a 'resolution revolution', there has been a dramatic increase in the number of high-resolution structures of nanodisc-embedded membrane proteins and complexes deposited in the PDB [3]. Nanodiscs can be applied to characterize membrane proteins expressed in prokaryotes and eukaryotes; notable recent structures include various transporters, ion channels, and G-protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs) [41,46–48]. Many of these structures have revealed new insights into membrane protein structure and function which were not previously evident from studies performed in detergent micelles — such as revealing the binding sites for specific lipids which modulate protein function or revealing a substrate binding site [48–50].

Beyond high-resolution structural characterization of membrane proteins, nanodiscs have been used to characterize binding of soluble protein and small-molecule ligands onto membrane protein receptors. The watersoluble environment provided by the nanodisc is invaluable for characterizing these binding events in the absence of detergents [33,44,51–54]. 'Empty' lipid-only nanodiscs have also been used to monitor binding of peripheral membrane proteins onto membrane lipids [40,55]. This application makes nanodiscs particularly useful in the context of peripheral membrane proteins involved in signaling pathways, as their activity is often influenced by specific phospholipids [56]. In another recent development, the Marty laboratory recently showed that lipid-only nanodiscs can be used together with nMS to study membrane binding of antimicrobial peptides [39]. This latest application may be especially impactful for developing novel antibiotics. One potential





Figure 1. Flowchart depicting the nanodisc reconstitution workflow.

Biological membranes containing the membrane protein of interest are solubilized in mild detergent, and the target protein is purified. Multiple steps are often required to maximize purity: most often an affinity purification, followed by SEC. Once the target protein is sufficiently pure, researchers screen for ideal reconstitution conditions — typically trying different lengths of membrane scaffold protein (MSP — depicted in blue), and varying amounts of phospholipids (depicted in orange). Reconstitution quality is assessed by SEC and by negative stain EM. The resulting nanodisc particles are amenable to a wide range of downstream applications, from high-resolution structural characterization by Cryo-EM to antibody discovery.

caveat to note, however, is that nanodiscs are unable to mimic membrane curvature, nor lipid asymmetry in cellular membranes — both of which can modulate binding of peripheral membrane proteins. Researchers should be aware of this potential shortcoming when using lipid nanodiscs to study binding of soluble effector proteins onto cellular membranes.

Despite its impressive track record in enabling structural and biophysical characterization of membrane proteins, a major shortcoming of the nanodisc workflow is that the membrane protein of interest must be fully purified in detergent — which often requires multiple chromatography steps — prior to nanodisc



reconstitution. Since prolonged exposure to detergents can strip away functionally important annular lipids and disrupt labile, multi-subunit protein complexes [18,21], the classical nanodisc workflow is not always suitable for characterizing fragile, detergent-sensitive membrane protein assemblies. In the sections below, I will outline recent developments with alternative membrane mimetic systems which minimize exposure of the target protein or complex to detergents. These alternatives to the classical nanodisc may be more suitable for characterization of detergent-sensitive multi-subunit membrane protein complexes.

Salipro

Introduced in 2016 by Jens Frauenfeld and coworkers, the Salipro membrane mimetic system is based on the mammalian lipid-binding protein Saposin A (SapA), which can be produced in high yield and purity following expression in *E. coli* [57]. Saposin Lipid Nanoparticles — sometimes termed 'SapNPs' — consistent of a target membrane protein embedded in a small patch of lipid bilayer surrounded by multiple copies of SapA [57,58]. The standard workflow for membrane protein reconstitution into SapNPs resembles that for an MSP nanodisc (Figure 2): a detergent-purified membrane protein of interest is incubated with a pre-determined ratio of phospholipids and SapA scaffold protein. Following detergent removal by dialysis or incubation with BioBeads, downstream purification by SEC in detergent-free buffer is routinely performed to separate SapNPs containing the protein of interest from 'empty' lipid-only SapNPs and excess SapA [57–59].

A distinct advantage of SapNPs over nanodiscs is that SapA is a flexible scaffold and can adapt to the size of the target membrane protein, adjusting to transmembrane regions of varying sizes. This simplifies the reconstitution optimization process by eliminating the need to screen different polypeptide scaffolds of varying lengths [59]. However, the ratio of phospholipids and SapA to target protein in the reconstitution mixture of interest must still be carefully tuned to ensure formation of homogenous SapNPs [58]. As with nanodiscs, the quality of membrane protein reconstitution into SapNPs can be verified using methods including SEC, light scattering coupled to SEC (SEC-MALS), mass photometry (MP), and NS-EM [57,59,60].

SapNPs are amenable to high-resolution structural characterization by single-particle Cryo-EM. Notable examples of membrane proteins whose structures were determined using the Salipro system include the bacterial membrane proteins MsbA, AcrB, and BamABCDE [61-63]; and the eukaryotic 5HT3A serotonin receptor, the ion channel ASOR, and the nicotinic acetylcholine receptor [64-66].

Owing to the flexibility of the SapA scaffold, the Salipro system represents an attractive alternative to the classical MSP nanodisc. As with nanodiscs; however, a shortcoming of the established SapNP reconstitution workflow is that the membrane protein of interest must be fully purified in detergent prior to reconstitution. Is it possible to reconstitute membrane proteins into SapNPs while avoiding extensive exposure of the target protein to detergents? In an exciting new development, researchers at Salipro Biotech — a company commercializing the Salipro technology — recently introduced a method called 'DirectMX', which enables reconstitution of membrane proteins into SapNPs directly from cellular membranes (Figure 2) [67]. For membrane protein reconstitution by DirectMX, membranes containing an affinity-tagged protein of interest are solubilized with a mild detergent, such as digitonin. Reconstitution into SapNPs is achieved by diluting the solubilized material in a large volume excess of aqueous buffer containing pure SapA. Following a brief incubation, the reconstituted target protein is purified by affinity chromatography in detergent-free conditions [60,67].

Very encouragingly, membrane proteins purified by this method appear homogenous when analysed by SEC and NS-EM [67]. In a recent study on the mammalian PANX1 channel, Drulyte et al. [60] further show that SapNPs prepared by DirectMX are amenable to high-resolution structural characterization by Cryo-EM, as well as ligand binding assays by SPR. While DirectMX was introduced very recently and has so far been employed on only a handful of targets, the method appears very promising for stabilization, purification, and downstream characterization of detergent-sensitive membrane protein assemblies. In particular, DirectMX may be useful for purification and reconstitution of human membrane proteins which are directly implicated in health and disease — including GPCRs and ion channels [67]. While highly therapeutically relevant, these proteins can be unstable in detergent solution and are thus difficult to obtain in a water-soluble and biologically active format for downstream small molecule and/or antibody discovery experiments [46,67]. Since the target protein is exposed to detergent for only a short time with DirectMX, this method may be widely applicable for preparing challenging human membrane protein targets in a format that is suitable for downstream drug discovery experiments — including screening for small molecule binders and for therapeutic antibodies. The field of antibody discovery against membrane protein targets is growing rapidly and is a very promising area for development of new therapeutics [68,69].





Figure 2. Flowchart depicting two Salipro reconstitution strategies.

Biological membranes containing the membrane protein of interest are solubilized in mild detergent. In the most widely used 'classical' approach (shown in the right flow-path), the target protein is first purified in detergent, then researchers screen for ideal reconstitution conditions — typically adding an excess of SapA scaffold protein (depicted in red), and varying amounts of phospholipids (depicted in orange). In the recently developed 'DirectMX' approach (left flow-path), an excess of SapA is added to the detergent-solubilized membranes, and reconstitution is achieved by dilution with aqueous buffer. The reconstituted protein, along with any tightly bound annular lipids (shown in gray) is then affinity purified in detergent-free conditions.

Peptidiscs

A second recently developed alternative to the nanodisc method is the peptidisc, which was introduced by the Duong laboratory in 2018 [42]. Peptidisc reconstitution is based on a 37-amino acid amphipathic ApoA1-mimetic peptide termed 'NSP' (nanodisc scaffold peptide) [70]. To increase the water-solubility of the peptide scaffold, Carlson et al. reversed the amino acid sequence of NSP, generating the peptide 'NSP_r' (nanodisc scaffold peptide, reversed) — often termed the 'peptidisc' peptide [20,42].

Recent work has shown that peptidiscs can be used to reconstitute membrane proteins with varying sizes and topologies from both eukaryotic and bacterial sources and appears to require only minimal optimization for a given target protein [42,71–73]. Membrane proteins reconstituted into peptidiscs are amenable to high-resolution structural analysis by electron microscopy, as well as a variety of biophysical and biochemical analyses — including ATPase activity assays, and receptor-ligand interaction studies using techniques including biolayer interferometry (BLI) [20,71–75].



The procedure for membrane protein reconstitution into peptidiscs appears more streamlined compared with either nanodiscs or Salipro and does not require addition of specific phospholipids. The peptidisc scaffold itself is highly flexible and adapts to the size of the transmembrane regions of the target protein [20,42]. In a typical experiment, reconstitution is achieved during affinity purification using a protocol termed 'on-beads' reconstitution [20,42,74]. Here, the detergent-solubilized target protein is bound to affinity resin, and contaminants are removed by washing with detergent-containing buffer. The resin is then incubated with a large volume excess of detergent-free buffer supplemented with concentrated peptidisc peptide. As the detergent concentration is diluted well below the critical micellar concentration (CMC), multiple copies of the peptidisc peptide can self-assemble around the hydrophobic transmembrane region of the target protein and any co-purifying annular lipids, forming homogenous peptidisc particles (Figure 3). After a brief wash step to remove excess peptidisc peptides, the reconstituted membrane protein is eluted in detergent-free buffer [20,42,74]. The quality of the reconstituted material can then be verified by SEC and NS-EM [20,42].

In this author's experience, the 'on-beads' reconstitution approach routinely yields highly homogenous, biologically active peptidisc-encapsulated membrane proteins. In certain cases, however, this strategy can result in extensive aggregation of the protein sample. In these cases, peptidisc reconstitution can be achieved by incubating the detergent-purified membrane protein with an excess of the peptidisc scaffold, then fractionating the mixture in detergent-free conditions by either SEC or density gradient centrifugation [20,42,72].

Like Salipro, recent work has shown that peptidiscs can be used to reconstitute membrane proteins immediately after their extraction from the lipid bilayer with mild detergents [18,76,77]. This feature can be very beneficial for stabilizing and purifying multi-subunit protein complexes which would otherwise dissociate upon prolonged detergent exposure [21]. Biological membranes containing an affinity-tagged protein of interest are solubilized with a mild detergent before addition of an excess of peptidisc peptides (Figure 3). The detergent concentration is immediately diluted below the CMC by addition of aqueous buffer, and the sample is concentrated to remove excess detergent micelles. Multiple rounds of the dilution/concentration steps results in formation of a water-soluble membrane protein library, or a 'peptidisc library'. Following library formation, the affinity-tagged target protein can then be purified in detergent-free conditions [18,21]. While proteins purified in this manner are water-soluble [18,21,76], no high-resolution structural data has yet been reported for a membrane protein or complex purified from a peptidisc library. It should also be noted that although the 'peptidisc library' approach for membrane protein characterization has been extensively applied towards bacterial membrane proteins [21,76,77], it has yet to be applied to eukaryotic membrane proteins.

SMALPs

SMALPs — often termed 'Native Nanodiscs' or 'Lipodisqs' — are based on an amphipathic styrene maleic acid (SMA) copolymer [78,79]. SMALPs have attracted considerable interest because the SMA polymer — unlike nanodiscs, saposins, and peptidiscs — can extract membrane proteins directly from the lipid bilayer in the absence of detergents, encapsulating them into discoidal, water-soluble particles (Figure 4) [5,78,80,81]. Thus, the SMALPs system is the only membrane mimetic that is truly 'detergent-free'. Following the initial extraction step, a tagged protein of interest is affinity purified in detergent-free conditions. A subsequent SEC step is routinely performed to remove aggregates and/or stubborn protein contaminants [78,82]. Interactions between the target protein and its surrounding lipid environment — which are often critical for protein function — are preserved upon extraction with SMA and during the subsequent purification steps [80,82–85].

SMALPs have been successfully applied to purify and characterize integral membrane proteins from both bacterial and eukaryotic systems. SMALP-reconstituted membrane proteins are amenable to high-resolution structural characterization by Cryo-EM, as well as receptor–ligand binding assays and activity assays [82,85–87].

While SMALPs have been successfully used for structural, biophysical, and biochemical analysis of membrane proteins in recent years, it is also important to note some limitations to the technique. The solubilization efficiency of the polymer is often lower than for commonly used detergents [88]. This low solubilization efficiency is especially problematic in the context of eukaryotic membrane proteins, which are often present at low levels [3]. Due to the negative charge on the polymer, SMA can interfere with binding of a tag onto affinity resin, particularly during metal affinity chromatography [84]. An additional notable shortcoming is that the polymer is prone to precipitation in the presence of divalent cations including Mg^{2+} and Ca^{2+} [88]. Since these divalent cations are essential in numerous biochemical assays such as ATPase assays, this drawback can seriously complicate biochemical analysis of purified membrane transporters, such as members of the ABC transporter family [3,6]. While several new polymer derivatives have been developed





Figure 3. Flowchart depicting two Peptidisc reconstitution strategies.

Biological membranes containing the membrane protein of interest are solubilized in mild detergent. In the classical approach (shown in the right flow-path), the target protein is bound to affinity resin in detergent. Peptidiscs are then substituted for detergent during the washing steps. After washing in detergent-free buffer to remove excess peptides, the reconstituted target protein — along with tightly bound annular lipids (shown in gray) is eluted in detergent-free buffer. In the alternative 'Peptidisc Library' approach (left flow-path), an excess of Peptidisc peptides (shown in purple) is added to the detergent-solubilized membranes, and reconstitution is achieved by dilution with aqueous buffer. The reconstituted protein, along with any tightly bound annular lipids (shown in gray) is then affinity purified in detergent-free conditions.

recently with reduced sensitivity to divalent cations, this represents an ongoing area of development in the SMALPs research field.

Summary and conclusion

Membrane proteins play critical roles in many cellular processes, and their mis-function or mis-regulation is linked to many debilitating human disorders, including cystic fibrosis, heart disease, and loss of vision [89–91].





Figure 4. Flowchart depicting the SMALPs reconstitution workflow.

Biological membranes containing the membrane protein of interest are solubilized using a SMA polymer, and the target protein is purified in detergent-free conditions. Multiple steps are often required to maximize purity: most often an affinity purification, followed by SEC. Once the target protein is sufficiently pure, it can be used in a variety of downstream applications, some of which are listed here.

However, membrane proteins are challenging to study because they are embedded in cellular membranes. Before being analysed by most structural and biophysical methods membrane proteins must first be extracted — or 'solubilized' — from the hydrophobic interior of the membrane, often using detergents. Although highly effective for solubilizing biological membranes, detergents do not faithfully mimic a lipid-rich native membrane environment and can have adverse effects on membrane protein structure and function [15].

To facilitate the study of membrane proteins in the absence of detergents, researchers have developed 'membrane mimetic' tools to stabilize membrane proteins in the absence of detergents by shielding their hydrophobic transmembrane regions from the aqueous environment [5,6,32]. The most established membrane mimetics are based on either proteins (nanodiscs and Salipro), short peptides (peptidiscs), or synthetic polymers (SMALPs). With different mimetics available, how do researchers decide which one is most suitable for their research question? Researchers routinely screen multiple options by 'trial-and-error' to determine which gives the best results [42,75].

Nanodiscs are the most established and widely used membrane mimetic, but protein reconstitution into nanodiscs can sometimes be challenging since many parameters must be optimized to ensure formation of homogenous particles. The Salipro and peptidisc systems offer more streamlined reconstitution procedures, with fewer parameters requiring optimization. However, a shortcoming shared by nanodiscs, Salipro, and peptidisc is that the membrane protein of interest must first be exposed to detergents prior to reconstitution. Despite its shortcomings, SMALPs (or 'Lipodisqs') remain the only membrane mimetic that can extract membrane proteins from cellular membranes in the absence of detergents.



Perspectives

- Importance of the field: Membrane proteins are involved in many essential cellular processes and are important drug targets. However, they are more difficult to study than soluble proteins because they are embedded in hydrophobic cellular membranes. Membrane mimetics are useful tools for stabilizing membrane proteins outside their native environment in a watersoluble format that is compatible with downstream structural and biochemical analysis.
- Summary of current thinking: Several membrane mimetic systems have been developed in the last two decades. While these systems share some common properties, each has unique advantages and disadvantages. Researchers working in the membrane protein field should consider screening different membrane mimetics to determine which one works best for their membrane protein/complex of interest.
- Future directions: Membrane mimetics provide a robust platform for facilitating high-resolution structural characterization of membrane proteins and complexes, particularly by Cryo-EM. In the context of human health and disease, membrane mimetics may also be useful in the pharmaceutical industry for stabilizing medically relevant membrane proteins such as ion channels and GPCRs prior to screening for small molecule binders and/or antibody binders [68].

Competing interests

The author declares that there are no competing interests associated with this manuscript.

Funding

The author is supported by a postdoctoral fellowship from the Canadian Institutes of Health Research (MFE-181888).

Open Access

Open access for this article was enabled by the participation of University of Oxford in an all-inclusive *Read & Publish* agreement with Portland Press and the Biochemical Society under a transformative agreement with JISC.

Acknowledgements

I thank Dr. Jani Reddy Bolla (Department of Biology, University of Oxford) for critical reading of this manuscript. I apologize to colleagues whose work was not included due to space considerations.

Abbreviations

CMC, critical micellar concentration; Cryo-EM, cryo-electron microscopy; MP, mass photometry; MSP, membrane scaffold protein; nMS, native mass spectrometry; NS-EM, negative stain electron microscopy; NSP, nanodisc scaffold peptide; NSP_r, nanodisc scaffold peptide, reversed; SapNPs, Saposin lipid nanoparticles; SEC, size-exclusion chromatography; SMA, styrene maleic acid; SMALPs, SMA lipid particles.

References

- 1 Levental, I. and Lyman, E. (2023) Regulation of membrane protein structure and function by their lipid nano-environment. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 24, 107–122 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41580-022-00524-4
- 2 Piper, S.J., Johnson, R.M., Wootten, D. and Sexton, P.M. (2022) Membranes under the magnetic lens: a dive into the diverse world of membrane protein structures using Cryo-EM. *Chem. Rev.* **122**, 13989–14017 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemrev.1c00837
- 3 Autzen, H.E., Julius, D. and Cheng, Y. (2019) Membrane mimetic systems in CryoEM: keeping membrane proteins in their native environment. *Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol.* **58**, 259–268 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbi.2019.05.022
- 4 Overington, J.P., Al-Lazikani, B. and Hopkins, A.L. (2006) How many drug targets are there? *Nat. Rev. Drug Discov.* **5**, 993–996 https://doi.org/10. 1038/nrd2199



- 5 Unger, L., Ronco-Campaña, A., Kitchen, P., Bill, R.M. and Rothnie, A.J. (2021) Biological insights from SMA-extracted proteins. *Biochem. Soc. Trans.* **49**, 1349–1359 https://doi.org/10.1042/BST20201067
- 6 Ratkeviciute, G., Cooper, B.F. and Knowles, T.J. (2021) Methods for the solubilisation of membrane proteins: the micelle-aneous world of membrane protein solubilisation. *Biochem. Soc. Trans.* **49**, 1763–1777 https://doi.org/10.1042/BST20210181
- 7 Bolla, J.R., Agasid, M.T., Mehmood, S. and Robinson, C.V. (2019) Membrane protein-lipid interactions probed using mass spectrometry. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* **88**, 85–111 https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-biochem-013118-111508
- 8 Tsukazaki, T., Mori, H., Echizen, Y., Ishitani, R., Fukai, S., Tanaka, T. et al. (2011) Structure and function of a membrane component SecDF that enhances protein export. *Nature* **474**, 235–238 https://doi.org/10.1038/nature09980
- 9 Tanaka, Y., Sugano, Y., Takemoto, M., Mori, T., Furukawa, A., Kusakizako, T. et al. (2015) Crystal structures of SecYEG in lipidic cubic phase elucidate a precise resting and a peptide-bound state. *Cell Rep.* 13, 1561–1568 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2015.10.025
- 10 Wu, X., Cabanos, C. and Rapoport, T.A. (2019) Structure of the post-translational protein translocation machinery of the ER membrane. *Nature* **566**, 136–139 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-018-0856-x
- 11 Su, C.C., Klenotic, P.A., Bolla, J.R., Purdy, G.E., Robinson, C.V. and Yu, E.W. (2019) Mmpl3 is a lipid transporter that binds trehalose monomycolate and phosphatidylethanolamine. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **116**, 11241–11246 https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1901346116
- 12 Sobti, M., Walshe, J.L., Wu, D., Ishmukhametov, R., Zeng, Y.C., Robinson, C.V. et al. (2020) Cryo-EM structures provide insight into how *E. coli* F₁F₀ ATP synthase accommodates symmetry mismatch. *Nat. Commun.* **11**, 2615 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-16387-2
- 13 Fiorentino, F., Bolla, J.R., Mehmood, S. and Robinson, C.V. (2019) The different effects of substrates and nucleotides on the complex formation of ABC transporters. *Structure* 27, 651–659.e3 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.str.2019.01.010
- 14 Bolla, J.R., Corey, R.A., Sahin, C., Gault, J., Hummer, A., Hopper, J.T.S. et al. (2020) A mass-spectrometry-based approach to distinguish annular and specific lipid binding to membrane proteins. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **59**, 3523–3528 https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201914411
- 15 Marty, M.T., Hoi, K.K. and Robinson, C.V. (2016) Interfacing membrane mimetics with mass spectrometry. Acc. Chem. Res. 49, 2459–2467 https://doi. org/10.1021/acs.accounts.6b00379
- 16 Bechara, C., Nöll, A., Morgner, N., Degiacomi, M.T., Tampé, R. and Robinson, C.V. (2015) A subset of annular lipids is linked to the flippase activity of an ABC transporter. *Nat. Chem.* **7**, 255–262 https://doi.org/10.1038/nchem.2172
- 17 Bolla, J.R., Sauer, J.B., Wu, D., Mehmood, S., Allison, T.M. and Robinson, C.V. (2018) Direct observation of the influence of cardiolipin and antibiotics on lipid II binding to MurJ. *Nat. Chem.* **10**, 363–371 https://doi.org/10.1038/nchem.2919
- 18 Carlson, M.L., Stacey, R.G., Young, J.W., Wason, I.S., Zhao, Z., Rattray, D.G. et al. (2019) Profiling the *E. coli* membrane interactome captured in peptidisc libraries. *Elife* 8. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.46615
- 19 Marty, M.T., Hoi, K.K., Gault, J. and Robinson, C.V. (2016) Probing the lipid annular belt by gas-phase dissociation of membrane proteins in nanodiscs. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 55, 550–554 https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201508289
- 20 Angiulli, G., Dhupar, H.S., Suzuki, H., Wason, I.S., Van Hoa F, D. and Walz, T. (2020) New approach for membrane protein reconstitution into peptidiscs and basis for their adaptability to different proteins. *Elife* 9. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.53530
- 21 Young, J.W., Wason, I.S., Zhao, Z., Kim, S., Aoki, H., Phanse, S. et al. (2022) Development of a method combining peptidiscs and proteomics to identify, stabilize, and purify a detergent-sensitive membrane protein assembly. *J. Proteome Res.* 21, 1748–1758 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs. iproteome.2c00129
- 22 Urner, L.H., Liko, I., Yen, H.Y., Hoi, K.K., Bolla, J.R., Gault, J. et al. (2020) Modular detergents tailor the purification and structural analysis of membrane proteins including G-protein coupled receptors. *Nat. Commun.* **11**, 564 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-14424-8
- 23 Cho, K.H., Husri, M., Amin, A., Gotfryd, K., Lee, H.J., Go, J. et al. (2015) Maltose neopentyl glycol-3 (MNG-3) analogues for membrane protein study. *Analyst* 140, 3157–3163 https://doi.org/10.1039/c5an00240k
- 24 Lee, H.J., Ehsan, M., Zhang, X., Katsube, S., Munk, C.F., Wang, H. et al. (2022) Development of 1,3-acetonedicarboxylate-derived glucoside amphiphiles (ACAs) for membrane protein study. *Chem. Sci.* 13, 5750–5759 https://doi.org/10.1039/d2sc00539e
- 25 Lee, H.J., Lee, H.S., Yoon, T., Byrne, B. and Chae, P.S. (2022) Impact of novel detergents on membrane protein studies. *Chem* **8**, 980–1013 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chempr.2022.02.007
- 26 Urner, L.H. (2022) Advances in membrane mimetics and mass spectrometry for understanding membrane structure and function. *Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol.* **69**, 102157 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbpa.2022.102157
- 27 Shen, H.H., Lithgow, T. and Martin, L. (2013) Reconstitution of membrane proteins into model membranes: seeking better ways to retain protein activities. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 14, 1589–1607 https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms14011589
- 28 Verchère, A., Broutin, I. and Picard, M. (2017) Reconstitution of membrane proteins in liposomes. *Methods Mol. Biol.* 1635, 259–282 https://doi.org/ 10.1007/978-1-4939-7151-0_14
- 29 Bayburt, T., Grinkova, Y. and Sligar, S. (2002) Self-assembly of discoidal phospholipid bilayer nanoparticles with membrane scaffold proteins. *Nano Lett.* 2, 853–856 https://doi.org/10.1021/nl025623k
- 30 Denisov, I., Grinkova, Y., Lazarides, A. and Sligar, S.G. (2004) Directed self-assembly of monodisperse phospholipid bilayer nanodiscs with controlled size. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **126**, 3477–3487 https://doi.org/10.1021/ja0393574
- 31 Denisov, I.G. and Sligar, S.G. (2016) Nanodiscs for structural and functional studies of membrane proteins. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* 23, 481–486 https://doi.org/10.1038/nsmb.3195
- 32 Sligar, S.G. and Denisov, I.G. (2021) Nanodiscs: a toolkit for membrane protein science. *Protein Sci.* **30**, 297–315 https://doi.org/10.1002/pro.3994
- 33 Alami, M., Dalal, K., Lelj-Garolla, B., Sligar, S.G. and Duong, F. (2007) Nanodiscs unravel the interaction between the SecYEG channel and its cytosolic partner SecA. EMBO J. 26, 1995–2004 https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.emboj.7601661
- 34 Bao, H. and Duong, F. (2012) Discovery of an auto-regulation mechanism for the maltose ABC transporter MalFGK2. *PLoS One* **7**, e34836 https://doi. org/10.1371/journal.pone.0034836
- 35 Grinkova, Y.V., Denisov, I.G. and Sligar, S.G. (2010) Engineering extended membrane scaffold proteins for self-assembly of soluble nanoscale lipid bilayers. *Protein Eng. Des. Sel.* 23, 843–848 https://doi.org/10.1093/protein/gzq060
- 36 Nasr, M.L., Baptista, D., Strauss, M., Sun, Z.J., Grigoriu, S., Huser, S. et al. (2017) Covalently circularized nanodiscs for studying membrane proteins and viral entry. *Nat. Methods* 14, 49–52 https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.4079



- 37 Zhang, S., Ren, Q., Novick, S.J., Strutzenberg, T.S., Griffin, P.R. and Bao, H. (2021) One-step construction of circularized nanodiscs using SpyCatcher-SpyTag. Nat. Commun. 12, 5451 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-25737-7
- 38 Padmanabha Das, K.M., Shih, W.M., Wagner, G. and Nasr, M.L. (2020) Large nanodiscs: a potential game changer in structural biology of membrane protein complexes and virus entry. Front. Bioeng. Biotechnol. 8, 539 https://doi.org/10.3389/fbioe.2020.00539
- 39 Kostelic, M.M., Zak, C.K., Jayasekera, H.S. and Marty, M.T. (2021) Assembly of model membrane nanodiscs for native mass spectrometry. *Anal. Chem.* 93, 5972–5979 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.analchem.1c00735
- 40 Zhang, X.X., Young, J.W., Foster, L.J. and Duong, F. (2021) Nanodisc-based proteomics identify Caj1 as an Hsp40 with affinity for phosphatidic acid lipids. J. Proteome Res. 20, 4831–4839 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jproteome.1c00503
- 41 Coudray, N., Isom, G.L., MacRae, M.R., Saiduddin, M.N., Bhabha, G. and Ekiert, D.C. (2020) Structure of bacterial phospholipid transporter MIaFEDB with substrate bound. *Elife* **9**. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.62518
- 42 Carlson, M.L., Young, J.W., Zhao, Z., Fabre, L., Jun, D., Li, J. et al. (2018) The Peptidisc, a simple method for stabilizing membrane proteins in detergent-free solution. *Elife* 7. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.34085
- 43 Bao, H., Duong, F. and Chan, C.S. (2012) A step-by-step method for the reconstitution of an ABC transporter into nanodisc lipid particles. *J. Vis. Exp.* **66**, e3910 https://doi.org/10.3791/3910
- 44 Bao, H., Dalal, K., Wang, V., Rouiller, I. and Duong, F. (2013) The maltose ABC transporter: action of membrane lipids on the transporter stability, coupling and ATPase activity. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **1828**, 1723–1730 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbamem.2013.03.024
- 45 Olerinyova, A., Sonn-Segev, A., Gault, J., Eichmann, C., Schimpf, J., Kopf, A.H. et al. (2021) Mass photometry of membrane proteins. *Chem* 7, 224–236 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chempr.2020.11.011
- 46 Zhang, M., Gui, M., Wang, Z.F., Gorgulla, C., Yu, J.J., Wu, H. et al. (2021) Cryo-EM structure of an activated GPCR-G protein complex in lipid nanodiscs. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* 28, 258–267 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41594-020-00554-6
- 47 Reid, M.S., Kern, D.M. and Brohawn, S.G. (2020) Cryo-EM structure of the potassium-chloride cotransporter KCC4 in lipid nanodiscs. *Elife* 9. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.52505
- 48 Gao, Y., Cao, E., Julius, D. and Cheng, Y. (2016) TRPV1 structures in nanodiscs reveal mechanisms of ligand and lipid action. *Nature* **534**, 347–351 https://doi.org/10.1038/nature17964
- 49 Zhang, Y., Daday, C., Gu, R.X., Cox, C.D., Martinac, B., de Groot, B.L. et al. (2021) Visualization of the mechanosensitive ion channel MscS under membrane tension. *Nature* 590, 509–514 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-03196-w
- 50 Mi, W., Li, Y., Yoon, S.H., Ernst, R.K., Walz, T. and Liao, M. (2017) Structural basis of MsbA-mediated lipopolysaccharide transport. Nature 549, 233–237 https://doi.org/10.1038/nature23649
- 51 Dalal, K., Nguyen, N., Alami, M., Tan, J., Moraes, T.F., Lee, W.C. et al. (2009) Structure, binding, and activity of Syd, a SecY-interacting protein. J. Biol. Chem. 284, 7897–7902 https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M808305200
- 52 Mills, A., Le, H.T., Coulton, J.W. and Duong, F. (2014) Fhua interactions in a detergent-free nanodisc environment. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **1838**, 364–371 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbamem.2013.09.022
- 53 Mills, A., Le, H.T. and Duong, F. (2016) TonB-dependent ligand trapping in the BtuB transporter. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **1858**, 3105–3112 https://doi. org/10.1016/j.bbamem.2016.09.019
- 54 Zhang, X.X., Chan, C.S., Bao, H., Fang, Y., Foster, L.J. and Duong, F. (2012) Nanodiscs and SILAC-based mass spectrometry to identify a membrane protein interactome. *J. Proteome Res.* **11**, 1454–1459 https://doi.org/10.1021/pr200846y
- 55 Borch, J., Roepstorff, P. and Møller-Jensen, J. (2011) Nanodisc-based co-immunoprecipitation for mass spectrometric identification of membrane-interacting proteins. *Mol. Cell. Proteom.* **10**, 0110.006775 https://doi.org/10.1074/mcp.0110.006775
- 56 Kobashigawa, Y., Harada, K., Yoshida, N., Ogura, K. and Inagaki, F. (2011) Phosphoinositide-incorporated lipid-protein nanodiscs: a tool for studying protein-lipid interactions. *Anal. Biochem.* **410**, 77–83 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ab.2010.11.021
- 57 Frauenfeld, J., Löving, R., Armache, J.P., Sonnen, A.F., Guettou, F., Moberg, P. et al. (2016) A saposin-lipoprotein nanoparticle system for membrane proteins. *Nat. Methods* **13**, 345–351 https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.3801
- 58 Flayhan, A., Mertens, H.D.T., Ural-Blimke, Y., Martinez Molledo, M., Svergun, D.I. and Löw, C. (2018) Saposin lipid nanoparticles: a highly versatile and modular tool for membrane protein research. *Structure* **26**, 345–355.e5 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.str.2018.01.007
- 59 Lyons, J., Boggild, A., Nissen, P. and Frauenfeld, J. (2017) Saposin-lipoprotein scaffolds for structure determination of membrane transporters. *Methods Enzymol.* **594**, 89–99
- 60 Drulyte, I., Gutgsell, A.R., Lloris-Garcerá, P., Liss, M., Geschwindner, S., Radjainia, M. et al. (2023) Direct cell extraction of membrane proteins for structure-function analysis. *Sci. Rep.* 13, 1420 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-023-28455-w
- 61 Kehlenbeck, D.M., Traore, D.A.K., Josts, I., Sander, S., Moulin, M., Haertlein, M. et al. (2022) Cryo-EM structure of MsbA in saposin-lipid nanoparticles (Salipro) provides insights into nucleotide coordination. *FEBS J.* **289**, 2959–2970 https://doi.org/10.1111/febs.16327
- 62 Xiao, L., Han, L., Li, B., Zhang, M., Zhou, H., Luo, Q. et al. (2021) Structures of the β-barrel assembly machine recognizing outer membrane protein substrates. *FASEB J.* **35**, e21207 https://doi.org/10.1096/fj.202001443RR
- 63 Du, D., Neuberger, A., Orr, M.W., Newman, C.E., Hsu, P.C., Samsudin, F. et al. (2020) Interactions of a bacterial RND transporter with a transmembrane small protein in a lipid environment. *Structure* 28, 625–634.e6 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.str.2020.03.013
- 64 Wang, C., Polovitskaya, M.M., Delgado, B.D., Jentsch, T.J. and Long, S.B. (2022) Gating choreography and mechanism of the human proton-activated chloride channel ASOR. *Sci. Adv.* **8**, eabm3942 https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.abm3942
- 65 Rahman, M.M., Basta, T., Teng, J., Lee, M., Worrell, B.T., Stowell, M.H.B. et al. (2022) Structural mechanism of muscle nicotinic receptor desensitization and block by curare. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* **29**, 386–394 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41594-022-00737-3
- 66 Zhang, Y., Dijkman, P.M., Zou, R., Zandl-Lang, M., Sanchez, R.M., Eckhardt-Strelau, L. et al. (2021) Asymmetric opening of the homopentameric 5-HT. *Nat. Commun.* **12**, 1074 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-21016-7
- 67 Lloris-Garcerá, P., Klinter, S., Chen, L., Skynner, M.J., Löving, R. and Frauenfeld, J. (2020) DirectMX one-step reconstitution of membrane proteins from crude cell membranes into salipro nanoparticles. *Front. Bioeng. Biotechnol.* **8**, 215 https://doi.org/10.3389/fbioe.2020.00215
- 68 Dodd, R., Schofield, D.J., Wilkinson, T. and Britton, Z.T. (2020) Generating therapeutic monoclonal antibodies to complex multi-spanning membrane targets: overcoming the antigen challenge and enabling discovery strategies. *Methods* **180**, 111–126 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ymeth.2020.05.006



- 69 Dodd, R.B., Wilkinson, T. and Schofield, D.J. (2018) Therapeutic monoclonal antibodies to complex membrane protein targets: antigen generation and antibody discovery strategies. *BioDrugs* **32**, 339–355 https://doi.org/10.1007/s40259-018-0289-y
- 70 Kariyazono, H., Nadai, R., Miyajima, R., Takechi-Haraya, Y., Baba, T., Shigenaga, A. et al. (2016) Formation of stable nanodiscs by bihelical apolipoprotein A-I mimetic peptide. J. Pept. Sci. 22, 116–122 https://doi.org/10.1002/psc.2847
- 71 Kuo, Y.C., Chen, H., Shang, G., Uchikawa, E., Tian, H., Bai, X.C. et al. (2020) Cryo-EM structure of the PlexinC1/A39R complex reveals inter-domain interactions critical for ligand-induced activation. *Nat. Commun.* **11**, 1953 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-15862-0
- 72 Harris, A., Wagner, M., Du, D., Raschka, S., Nentwig, L.M., Gohlke, H. et al. (2021) Structure and efflux mechanism of the yeast pleiotropic drug resistance transporter Pdr5. *Nat. Commun.* 12, 5254 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-25574-8
- 73 Demmer, J.K., Phillips, B.P., Uhrig, O.L., Filloux, A., Allsopp, L.P., Bublitz, M. et al. (2022) Structure of ATP synthase from ESKAPE pathogen. *Sci. Adv.* 8, eabl5966 https://doi.org/10.1126/sciady.abl5966
- 74 Saville, J.W., Troman, L. and Van Hoa F, D. (2019) Peptiquick, a one-step incorporation of membrane proteins into biotinylated peptidiscs for streamlined protein binding assays. *J. Vis. Exp.* **153**. https://doi.org/10.3791/60661
- 75 Zeytuni, N., Dickey, S.W., Hu, J., Chou, H.T., Worrall, L.J., Alexander, J.A.N. et al. (2020) Structural insight into the. *Sci. Adv.* **6**. https://doi.org/10. 1126/sciadv.abb8219
- 76 Young, J.W., Wason, I.S., Zhao, Z., Rattray, D.G., Foster, L.J. and Van Hoa F, D. (2020) His-tagged peptidiscs enable affinity purification of the membrane proteome for downstream mass spectrometry analysis. J. Proteome Res. 19, 2553–2562 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jproteome.0c00022
- 77 Young, J.W., Zhao, Z., Wason, I.S. and van Hoa F, D. (2023) A dual detergent strategy to capture a bacterial outer membrane proteome in peptidiscs for characterization by mass spectrometry and binding assays. J. Proteome Res. 22, 1537–1545 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jproteome.2c00560
- 78 Dörr, J.M., Koorengevel, M.C., Schäfer, M., Prokofyev, A.V., Scheidelaar, S., van der Cruijsen, E.A. et al. (2014) Detergent-free isolation, characterization, and functional reconstitution of a tetrameric K+ channel: the power of native nanodiscs. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **111**, 18607–18612 https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1416205112
- 79 Sahu, I.D., Dixit, G., Reynolds, W.D., Kaplevatsky, R., Harding, B.D., Jaycox, C.K. et al. (2020) Characterization of the human KCNQ1 voltage sensing domain (VSD) in lipodisq nanoparticles for electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) spectroscopic studies of membrane proteins. J. Phys. Chem. B 124, 2331–2342 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcb.9b11506
- 80 Prabudiansyah, I., Kusters, I., Caforio, A. and Driessen, A.J. (2015) Characterization of the annular lipid shell of the Sec translocon. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1848, 2050–2056 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbamem.2015.06.024
- 81 Zhang, R., Sahu, I.D., Bali, A.P., Dabney-Smith, C. and Lorigan, G.A. (2017) Characterization of the structure of lipodisq nanoparticles in the presence of KCNE1 by dynamic light scattering and transmission electron microscopy. *Chem. Phys. Lipids* **203**, 19–23 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemphyslip. 2016.12.003
- 82 Postis, V., Rawson, S., Mitchell, J.K., Lee, S.C., Parslow, R.A., Dafforn, T.R. et al. (2015) The use of SMALPs as a novel membrane protein scaffold for structure study by negative stain electron microscopy. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **1848**, 496–501 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbamem.2014.10.018
- 83 Ayub, H., Clare, M., Milic, I., Chmel, N.P., Böning, H., Devitt, A. et al. (2020) CD81 extracted in SMALP nanodiscs comprises two distinct protein populations within a lipid environment enriched with negatively charged headgroups. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta Biomembr.* **1862**, 183419 https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.bbamem.2020.183419
- 84 Swainsbury, D.J.K., Hawkings, F.R., Martin, E.C., Musiał, S., Salisbury, J.H., Jackson, P.J. et al. (2023) Cryo-EM structure of the four-subunit. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 120, e2217922120 https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2217922120
- 85 Kumar, P., Cymes, G.D. and Grosman, C. (2021) Structure and function at the lipid-protein interface of a pentameric ligand-gated ion channel. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **118**. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2100164118
- 86 Parmar, M., Rawson, S., Scarff, C.A., Goldman, A., Dafforn, T.R., Muench, S.P. et al. (2018) Using a SMALP platform to determine a sub-nm single particle cryo-EM membrane protein structure. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta Biomembr.* **1860**, 378–383 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbamem.2017.10.005
- 87 Yoder, N. and Gouaux, E. (2020) The His-Gly motif of acid-sensing ion channels resides in a reentrant 'loop' implicated in gating and ion selectivity. *Elife* 9. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.56527
- 88 Oluwole, A.O., Danielczak, B., Meister, A., Babalola, J.O., Vargas, C. and Keller, S. (2017) Solubilization of membrane proteins into functional lipid-bilayer nanodiscs using a diisobutylene/maleic acid copolymer. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* 56, 1919–1924 https://doi.org/10.1002/anie. 201610778
- 89 Cant, N., Pollock, N. and Ford, R.C. (2014) CFTR structure and cystic fibrosis. Int. J. Biochem. Cell Biol. 52, 15–25 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocel. 2014.02.004
- 90 Scortecci, J.F., Molday, L.L., Curtis, S.B., Garces, F.A., Panwar, P., Van Petegem, F. et al. (2021) Cryo-EM structures of the ABCA4 importer reveal mechanisms underlying substrate binding and Stargardt disease. *Nat. Commun.* 12, 5902 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-26161-7
- 91 Benitah, J.P., Perrier, R., Mercadier, J.J., Pereira, L. and Gómez, A.M. (2021) Ryr2 and calcium release in heart failure. *Front. Physiol.* **12**, 734210 https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2021.734210