

HHS Public Access

Author manuscript *Biochemistry*. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2023 July 10.

Published in final edited form as:

Biochemistry. 2023 February 21; 62(4): 912-922. doi:10.1021/acs.biochem.2c00639.

H-NOX Regulates Biofilm Formation in *Agrobacterium Vitis* in Response to NO

Dominique E. Williams[#],

Natasha, M. Nesbitt,

Sandhya Muralidharan,

Sajjad Hossain,

Elizabeth M. Boon*

Department of Chemistry and Institute of Chemical Biology and Drug Design, Stony Brook University, Stony Brook, NY, USA

Abstract

Transitions between motile and biofilm lifestyles are highly regulated and fundamental to microbial pathogenesis. H-NOX (heme-nitric oxide/oxygen-binding domain) is a key regulator of bacterial communal behaviors, such as biofilm formation. A predicted bi-functional cyclic di-GMP metabolizing enzyme, composed of diguanylate cyclase (DGC) and phosphodiesterase (PDE) domains (*avi_3097*), is annotated downstream of an *hnoX* gene in *Agrobacterium vitis* S4. Here, we demonstrate that *av*H-NOX is a nitric oxide (NO)-binding hemoprotein that binds to and regulates the activity of *avi_3097* (*av*HaCE; H-NOX-<u>a</u>ssociated <u>cyclic</u> di-GMP processing <u>enzyme</u>). Kinetic analysis of *av*HaCE indicates a ~4-fold increase in PDE activity in the presence of NO-bound *av*H-NOX. Biofilm analysis with crystal violet staining reveals that low concentrations of NO reduce biofilm growth in the wild-type *A. vitis* S4 strain, but the mutant *hnoX* strain has no NO phenotype, suggesting that H-NOX is responsible for the NO biofilm phenotype in *A. vitis*. Together these data indicate that *av*H-NOX enhances cyclic di-GMP degradation to reduce biofilm formation in response to NO in *A. vitis*.

Graphical Abstract



Address correspondence to Elizabeth M. Boon: elizabeth.boon@stonybrook.edu.

[#]Present address: Department of Chemistry, University of Richmond, Richmond, VA, USA

SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIALS

Supplemental material for this article may be found at https://doi.org/xxxxx. *av*H-NOX NO dissociation rate constant data and analysis (Figure S1); inorganic phosphate standard curve (Figure S2); H-NOX/HaCE co-immunoprecipitation (Figure S3); H-NOX multiple sequence alignment (Figure S4); avHaCE does not bind molecular oxygen (Figure S5); PDE activity analysis of *av*HaCE (Figure S6); A. vitis growth curve in the presence and absence of NO (Figure S7); static biofilm assays (Figure S8); modified Invitrogen EnzChekTM pyrophosphate assay method.

Keywords

nitric oxide; NO; cyclic di-GMP; c-di-CMP; biofilm; H-NOX; HaCE; Agrobacterium vitis

ACCESSION IDS

avHaCE: avi_3097; avH-NOX: avi_3098

INTRODUCTION

The transition between a planktonic, motile lifestyle and a biofilm, sessile lifestyle is a highly regulated process that is fundamental to microbial pathogenesis in both animal and plant hosts (1). Bacterial biofilms are bacterial communities encased in a self-secreted extracellular polymeric matrix and usually attached to a surface. They are estimated to be 100- to 1000-fold harder to kill with antibiotics than planktonic cells and responsible for up to 80% of chronic infections (2). Biofilm dispersal results in motile cells that can move on to colonize another host or surface, but also renders the motile cells more susceptible to antibiotics (3). Thus, the drivers of biofilm regulation are relevant targets for prevention and alleviation of biofilm-derived infections and diseases.

A well-studied regulatory protein, H-NOX (heme-nitric oxide/oxygen binding domain) has been shown to have an important role in regulating bacterial communal behaviors, such as biofilm formation and motility, symbiosis, and quorum sensing, by controlling the activity of stand-alone bacterial signaling proteins in response to nitric oxide (NO) (4, 5). NO is a diatomic gaseous signaling molecule in both eukaryotic and prokaryotic organisms (4, 6, 7). There is evidence for NO-mediated cyclic di-GMP regulation of bacterial communal behaviors (8–17), but the molecular basis of these signaling pathways is poorly understood.

The majority of predicted bacterial H-NOX proteins are associated with histidine kinases in two-component signaling pathways, but some are associated with diguanylate cyclases (DGC) and/or cyclic di-GMP phosphodiesterases (PDE). We have named H-NOXassociated cyclic di-GMP synthesis/hydrolysis enzymes HaCEs (8, 12). DGCs synthesize, and PDEs hydrolyze, the bacterial 2nd messenger bis-(3'-5')-cyclic dimeric guanosine monophosphate (cyclic di-GMP, c-di-GMP). Cyclic di-GMP is correlated with the motility-to-sessility transition in various bacterial species (18), including *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* (19, 20). At high intracellular levels, cyclic di-GMP promotes biofilm formation and low intracellular cyclic di-GMP levels contribute to biofilm dispersal. It affects motility by targeting pathways involved in the biosynthesis of flagella, pili, extracellular DNA, and polysaccharides (21).

NO/H-NOX regulation of HaCE domains and biofilm formation has been characterized in *Shewanella woodyi* (12) and *Legionella pneumophila* (10). In *L. pneumophila, lpg*HaCE is composed of an enzymatically active DGC domain and an inactive PDE domain; DGC activity is suppressed in response to NO-binding to H-NOX (10). In contrast, in *S. woodyi, sw*HaCE contains enzymatically active DGC and PDE domains, and in response to NO, DGC activity is suppressed and PDE activity activated (12). Although the details of the

mechanisms vary, both systems involve NO/H-NOX driven decreases in intracellular cyclic di-GMP concentration and biofilm formation. These observations are consistent with the NO-induced biofilm dispersal phenotype that has been exhibited in many bacterial species (8, 16, 17).

Thus, NO has previously been shown to affect biofilm development and dispersal in numerous species (8, 16, 17, 22) and H-NOX is a well-characterized NO sensing protein (4, 10–13, 15, 23–38), but NO/H-NOX/HaCE systems are not well understood. Here, to gain a deeper understanding of NO/H-NOX/HaCE signaling, we characterize a third system from *Agrobacterium vitis*, a plant pathogen responsible for the production of crown gall in grapes. We have previously shown that *avi_3097* from *Agrobacterium vitis* strain S4 is a HaCE containing enzymatically active PDE and DGC domains (39). In this study, we use biochemical and genetic characterization to show that *avi_3098* is an H-NOX protein that acts as a NO sensor and is responsible for the NO biofilm phenotype in *A. vitis*. Further, we found that H-NOX and HaCE directly interact and that NO-bound H-NOX upregulates the PDE activity of HaCE in *A. vitis*. The data presented here reveal a common molecular mechanism for NO signaling pathways involving H-NOX/HaCEs.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacterial strains, growth conditions, and material.

All reagents were purchased in their highest available purity.

All strains and plasmid used in this study are listed in Table 1. *Escherichia coli* DH5a and BL21(DE3)pLysS strains were routinely used for plasmid amplification and protein purification, and were routinely grown with appropriate antibiotics in Luria broth (LB) at 37°C and 250 rpm or on LB agar plates (10 g/L LB and 10 g/L bacto agar) at 37°C. Antibiotics were used at the following concentrations unless noted, 100 µg/ml ampicillin and 35 µg/mL chloramphenicol.

Agrobacterium vitis strains were routinely grown for 36 h at 25°C with agitation at 250 rpm in mannitol-glutamic acid: Luria-Bertani (MG/L) medium (2.5 g yeast extract, 5.0 g tryptone, 5.0 g NaCl, 5.0 g/L mannitol, 1.15 g L-glutamic acid, 250 mg KH₂PO₄, 100 mg MgSO4 • 7H₂O per liter, pH 7.0) supplemented with 1 mg/mL thiamine. For growth kinetics and biofilm experiments, subcultures in MG/L after 36 h were diluted 1:100 in modified AB minimal media (300 mg MgSO₄ • 7H₂O, 150 mg KCl, 10 mg CaCl₂ • 2H₂O, 3g K₂HPO₄, 1g KH₂PO₄, and 5g/L glucose per liter) supplemented with 10% mannitol. FeSO₄ • 7 H₂O (2.5 mg/L) was not included in the AB minimal medium used in our studies. For mutant (*hnoX*) and mutant complemented (*hnoX/phnoX*) *A. vitis* S4 strains, liquid media or plates were supplemented with kanamycin (50 µg/mL) for *hnoX* and kanamycin (50 µg/mL) and spectinomycin (100 µg/mL for liquid cultures or 400 µg/mL for plates) for *hnoX/phnoX*. Cultures of *A. vitis* were grown on either LB or AB agar plates at 25°C.

Construction of expression vectors for A. vitis HaCE and H-NOX.

Expression vector for HaCE WT (*avi*_3097) and H-NOX WT (*avi*_3098) in *A. vitis* was constructed for protein expression. The expression vectors for HaCE was constructed using

Page 4

a previously published method (39). In detail, *A. vitis* S4 genomic DNA was purified from cells using the Wizard[®] SV Genomic DNA Purification System (Promega). Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) was used to amplify HaCE and H-NOX from purified *A. vitis* S4 genomic DNA using phusion polymerase and the primers containing appropriate restriction sites (listed on Table 1). The PCR products were digested, cloned between the NdeI and XhoI sites of pet20b to generate a C-terminal or N-terminal hexahistidine (His₆)-tagged for *avi*_3097 or *avi*_3098, respectively, and then transformed into DH5 α cells and plated on LB agar plates containing ampicillin (50 µg/mL). The plasmid was isolated using a DNA extraction kit (Qiagen), and then sequenced at the Stony Brook DNA Sequencing Facility to confirm the wild type *avi*_3097 and *avi*_3098 genes in pet20b. For HaCE variants, QuikChange PCR-based site-directed mutagenesis was used to generate the double mutants, GGAAF variant (D158A and E159A) and AAL variant (E284A and V285A), from wild type *avi*_3097 plasmid in pET20b. Extracted DNA from DH5 α cells was sequenced at Stony Brook DNA Sequencing Facility.

Expression and purification of His₆-tagged avHaCE proteins.

Plasmid DNA harboring the gene encoding avi 3097 WT or variants were transformed into BL21(DE3)pLysS competent cells for protein over-expression, and purified using previously published methods (39). In brief, cultures were grown in 2XYT medium (16 g tryptone, 10 g yeast extract, 5 g NaCl per liter) at 37°C to an OD₆₀₀ of ~ 1.2. Then, protein expression was induced with isopropyl β -D-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG, 10 μ M), and then the induced cells were incubated at 18°C under agitation (250 rpm) for 16 h. Cells were harvested by centrifugation and then lysed by sonication in lysis buffer containing 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.4, 50 mM arginine, 50 mM glutamic acid, 200 mM NaCl, 500 µM EDTA, 5 mM β -mercaptoethanol, 10% glycerol and 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (PMSF). Cellular debris was removed by centrifugation (18,500 rpm for 1 h at 4 °C), and then the cleared lysate was loaded onto a Ni-NTA column equilibrated in buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 50 mM arginine, 50 mM glutamic acid, 200 mM NaCl, 500 µM EDTA, 5 mM β -mercaptoethanol, 10% glycerol). The column was washed with 10 column volumes of the same buffer, and then with buffer containing increasing amounts of imidazole. After washing, the protein was eluted with 250 mM imidazole, and the eluted protein was desalted using a PD-10 column (GE Healthcare Life Sciences) and stored at -80°C.

Expression and purification of GST-tagged WT avHaCE.

WT HaCE (avi_3097) containing GST at the N-terminus, was generated by QuikChange site-directed mutagenesis using a GST-tagged avGGAAF construct in vector pGEX-4T-2 (GE Healthcare) as the template. GST-tagged avHaCE was grown in Lennox L Broth (10 grams tryptone, 5 grams sodium chloride, 5 grams yeast extract per liter) to an OD₆₀₀ of ~0.6, after which expression was induced by the addition of 250 µM IPTG and allowed to proceed for 16 hours at 18 °C under agitation (250 rpm). Cells were harvested by centrifugation and then lysed in phosphate buffered saline (PBS, 8 g NaCl, 2 g KCl, 1.44 g Na₂HPO₄, 0.24 g KH₂PO₄ per liter, pH 7.4) containing 1% Triton X-100 and 1 mM PMSF. Cellular debris were removed as described above and the cleared lysate was loaded onto Glutathione Sepharose 4B resin and washed with 10 column volumes of PBS. GST-tagged

*av*HaCE was eluted from the column using 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0) containing 20 mM reduced L-glutathione. Fractions eluted from the column were analyzed by SDS-PAGE. The fractions containing the fused protein were pooled and concentrated, and then desalted, to remove glutathione, into 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0) buffer using a PD-10 column.

Protein concentration determination.

Protein concentrations were determined using the method of Bradford with bovine serum albumin (BSA) as the standard (43). Protein purity was assessed using SDS-PAGE with a 12.5% gel.

Pull-down assay to determine HaCE and H-NOX protein interactions.

Interactions between HaCE and H-NOX constructs were monitored using pull-down assays as described previously (12). In brief, His_6 -tagged H-NOX (2.5 μ M) was incubated with GST-tagged HaCE (or isolated HaCE domain) immobilized onto Glutathione Sepharose 4B beads overnight at 4 °C. The beads were thoroughly washed to remove unbound H-NOX and then subjected to SDS-PAGE and followed by Western analysis using polyclonal 6X anti-His tag antibody (Abcam). Each experiment was repeated at least three times.

Formation and UV-visible analysis of H-NOX complexes.

Purified H-NOX was incubated with 20 mM potassium ferricyanide at room temperature for 20 min to generate oxidized Fe(III)-heme. The protein was desalted using a PD-10 column equilibrated in 50 mM HEPES (pH 7.5) and 200 mM NaCl to remove excess potassium ferricyanide. The Fe(III)-H-NOX was exposed to an anaerobic environment using a COY Anaerobic Chamber (Coy Laboratory Products) to deoxygenate the protein. Oxygen-free Fe(III)-H-NOX was treated with 60 mM sodium dithionite (Na₂S₂O₄) at room temperature for 20 min to generate Fe(II) bound heme protein. The protein was again desalted as previously described, but under anaerobic conditions. NO-bound Fe(II)-H-NOX was formed by incubating the protein with the NO donor diethylammonium (Z)-1-(N,Ndiethylamino)diazen-1-ium-1,2-diolate (DEA-NONOate, Cayman Chemicals) dissolved in 10 mM sodium hydroxide and followed by desalting. Carbon monoxide(CO)-bound Fe(II)-H-NOX was generated by bubbling CO gas into the protein for 15 min in an airtight vial. Electronic absorption spectra of all complexes were measured on a Cary 100 UV-Vis spectrophotometer equipped with a constant temperature bath set to 25°C.

NO dissociation kinetics.

Electronic absorption spectra were measured on a Cary 100 UV-Vis spectrophotometer equipped with a constant temperature bath set to 20°C. H-NOX complexes were prepared in an anaerobic glove bag as described above. NO dissociation rate was measured as previously published (44). In detail, the absorption spectrum of NO bound Fe(II)-H-NOX was measured at various times in the presence of saturating CO and 60 mM Na₂S₂O₄. The absorbance change (Abs = Abs_t – Abs₀) was plotted versus wavelength (Fig. S1A in supplemental material). The difference between the maximum and minimum (Abs) was than plotted versus time (Fig. S1B in supplemental material), and NO dissociation rate (k_{off}) was determined by a nonlinear fit of the data using two phase exponential association

in GraphPad Prism version 4.03 for Windows (Graph Pad Software, San Diego, CA USA, www.graphpad.com). Standard deviation was determined from at least three independent trials.

Cyclase and PDE activity of avHaCE proteins in the absence of H-NOX.

Steady-state kinetics of cyclase activity were carried out using a modified Invitrogen EnzChekTM pyrophosphate assay kit; the method is described in the procedures below for *av*HaCE activity in the presence of H-NOX as well as the supplemental materials and in Liu et al. (12). For analysis of *av*HaCE cyclase activity in the absence of H-NOX, initial velocities of 250 nM AAL were measured with various concentrations of GTP (0–100 μ M) at 25 °C and pH 7.5 (50 mM TRIS-HCl buffer containing 5 mM MgCl₂ and 2 mM sodium azide). The steady-state kinetic parameters of the AAL variant for GTP were determined from fits of data to the Hill equation (Equation 1), where *K*' is the concentration of substrate ([S]) required to give half the maximal velocity (V_{max}) and n represents the number of substrate binding sites per molecule of enzyme.

$$v_0 = V_{\max}[\mathbf{S}]^n / (K' + [\mathbf{S}]^n) \tag{1}$$

Steady-state kinetics of PDE activity in the absence of H-NOX were determined by monitoring reactions of 15 nM GGAAF variant with various concentrations of cyclic di-GMP (0–20 µM). In detail, reactions were conducted at 25 °C at pH 7.5 in buffer containing 50 mM Tris-HCl and 5 mM MgCl₂. Aliquots were removed at various times points, between 0-50 min, and quenched by the addition of 10 µL of 0.1 M CaCl₂ (10 mM final concentration). Thereafter, the quenched reactions were treated with 10 μ L of calf-intestinal phosphatase (CIP from New England Biolabs, 10 units/mL) to convert with a 1:1 ratio, product pGpG into phosphate. Standards containing 0 to 30 µM of inorganic phosphate were also prepared in 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 5 mM MgCl₂, 10 mM CaCl₂ and 1 unit of CIP. The CIP treated reactions and phosphate standards were transferred to Falcon 96-well plates and incubated with 20 µL of SensoLyte® malachite green phosphate assay (AnaSpec) for 10 min at room temperature. Then the absorbance was read at 620 nm using a VICTOR[™] X multilabel plate reader (Perkin Elmer, Inc.). The absorbance readings were corrected by subtracting out absorbance background from protein only and substrate only controls. For the reactions, the pGpG product concentration was calculated from the linear plot of absorbance at 620 nM versus phosphate standard concentrations (Fig. S2). Thereafter, initial velocities (v_0) were determined by plotting the product concentration versus time, and then fitting with a linear regression equation (Equation 2).

$$[\text{product}] = v_0 t + C \tag{2}$$

The kinetic parameters of GGAAF for cyclic di-GMP were obtained from fits of data to Equation 3, the Michalis-Menten equation. All fits were generated using Origin software (OriginLab).

$$v_0 = V_{\max}[\mathbf{S}]/(K_{\mathrm{M}} + [\mathbf{S}]) \tag{3}$$

Cyclase and PDE activity of avHaCE proteins in the presence of H-NOX.

Cyclase and PDE activity assays were conducted on *av*HaCE variants in the presence of unligated or NO-bound Fe(II)-H-NOX. The AAL or GGAAF variant was pre-incubated with 10X H-NOX anaerobically for 10 min. Reactions were initiated by the addition of either GTP or cyclic di-GMP, and then initial velocities were determined using Invitrogen EnzChekTM assay kit with either pyrophosphatase or CIP to detect phosphate produced from the cleavage of pyrophosphate in the cyclase (AAL) reactions or cleavage of terminal phosphate from pGpG in the PDE (GGAAF) reactions. Phosphate from the resultant products (pGpG or pyrophosphate) was monitored at 360 nm as a function of time on a Cary 100 spectrophotometer. More details on this assay is described in the supplemental materials. The resulting initial velocities for cyclase and PDE reactions in the presence of H-NOX was normalized to the initial velocity of the corresponding enzyme only (without H-NOX) reactions.

Construction of H-NOX mutant strain.

To determine the effects of H-NOX on biofilm formation and bacterial growth, an H-NOX mutant (*hnoX*) was generated using a modified published procedure (45). In brief, *avi_3098* gene was amplified using primers containing SacI and XbaI restriction sites and *A. vitis* S4 genomic DNA. The PCR product was purified, digested with restriction enzymes SacI and XbaI, and then cloned into suicide vector pVIK165. The plasmid was then transformed into E. coli S17–1/ λpir by electroporation and plated on LB agar plates (50 µg/mL kanamycin). Thereafter, biparental mating was performed between *E. coli* S17–1/ λpir :pVIK165-*avi_3098* gene and *A vitis* S4 and then plated on potato dextrose agar plates supplemented with kanamycin (50 µg/mL). Mutation was confirmed using PCR.

Construction and complementation of plasmid.

For H-NOX complementation into hnoXA. vitis S4 strain (hnoX/phnoX), avi_3098 was amplified from *A. vitis* S4 genomic DNA using primers containing PstI and BamHI restriction sites (avi_3098 FWD comp and avi_3098 REV comp in Table 1). The PCR products were then ligated to pPZP201 vector using *Taq* DNA Ligase (New England Biolabs) and transformed into DH5 α cells, and then plated on LB agar plates containing spectinomycin (100 µg/mL). The positive colonies were isolated using a DNA extraction kit (Qiagen). The plasmid pPZP201- avi_3098 was confirmed by restriction digest and sequencing (Stony Brook DNA Sequencing Facility). For complementation, the plasmid pPZP201- avi_3098 was introduced into hnoX strain by electroporation. Transformants were selected on AB minimal medium plates containing kanamycin (100 µg/mL) and spectinomycin (400 µg/mL) and were verified by PCR.

Sessile biofilm and planktonic growth analysis of A. vitis strains.

Media used for biofilm studies and planktonic growth kinetics were supplemented with the following antibiotics, kanamycin (50 μ g/mL) for *hnoX* and kanamycin (50 μ g/mL) and

Page 8

spectinomycin (100 µg/mL) for *hnoX/phnoX*. Bacterial liquid cultures of *A. vitis* strains were grown in MG/L media for 36 h at 25°C. The subcultures were diluted 1:100 in AB media (10% mannitol; 2 mL for biofilms or 50 mL for planktonic growth) supplemented with and without 20 µM DETA NONOate. For planktonic growth analysis, the subcultures were grown at 25°C under agitation (250 rpm) and OD at 600 nm was recorded periodically for up to 72 h.

Crystal violet-binding assay was used for biofilm studies and performed using modified published procedures (46, 47). For biofilm quantitation, diluted *A. vitis* subcultures (200 μ L) were transferred into a 96-well polystyrene plate and incubated for 48 h at 25°C. After 48 h, 100 μ L was transferred to a 96-well plate to measure the OD at 600 nm using a VICTORTM X multilabel plate reader. The incubated plates were then rinsed three times with doubly distilled water and allowed to dry for ~ 2 h. The sessile bacteria were stained by the addition of 225 μ L of crystal violet to the wells and then incubated for 15 min at room temperature. After 15 min, crystal violet was discarded, and the stained biofilms were solubilized in 225 μ L of 30% acetic acid and incubated for 15 min at room temperature under light agitation. Thereafter, the solubilized solutions (100 μ L) were transferred to a 96-well plate and the absorbance was measured at 570 nm. The data are reported as crystal violet-stain biofilms at 570 nm divided by the OD of planktonic cells at 600 nm (CV/OD).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

We have previously reported that *avi_3097* in *A. vitis* strain S4 encodes an enzyme with catalytically active DGC and PDE domains, i.e. *av*HaCE (39). Directly upstream of *avi_3097*, an *hnoX* gene is encoded by *avi_3098*. It thus seemed reasonable to hypothesize that, as in *S. woodyi* (12) and *L. pneumphila* (10), NO binds to *av*H-NOX and regulates the cyclic di-GMP output of *av*HaCE and thus biofilm formation.

avH-NOX and avHaCE form a complex in vitro.

To help establish our hypothesis, we first performed a pull-down assay to establish if the co-cistronic proteins avi_3097 (avHaCE) and avi_3098 (avH-NOX) are binding partners (Fig. S3). Briefly, in the presence of glutathione-S-transferase (GST)-tagged avHaCE, hexahistidine (His₆)-tagged avH-NOX was pulled down, whereas GST alone was unable to pull down His₆-tagged avH-NOX. These data confirmed that avH-NOX and avHaCE are binding partners.

Ligand binding properties of H-NOX: avH-NOX is a NO sensor.

A sequence alignment comparing *av*H-NOX to other characterized H-NOX proteins from facultative anaerobes, as well as the H-NOX domains from mammalian soluble guanylyl cyclases (sGCs), shows a high degree of sequence homology (Fig. S4) and suggests that *avi_3098* is an H-NOX protein containing a histidine-ligated protoporphyrin IX hemoprotein that may detect and bind gaseous signaling molecules at the ferrous iron center. Therefore, since we propose that *av*H-NOX regulates the enzymatic activity of *av*HaCE via sensing NO, our first step was to confirm that it is a NO sensor. Therefore, the gene encoding the putative H-NOX from *A. vitis* S4 was cloned, and then purified as a mixture of Fe(II)-

and Fe(III)-bound heme. We then pursued characterization of the purified hemoprotein. Both thermodynamic (Fig. 1) and kinetic (Fig. S1) ligand binding properties of *av*H-NOX were evaluated with UV-vis spectroscopy. A comparison of the ligand binding properties of *av*H-NOX with other bacterial H-NOX proteins and sGC from bovine lung is summarized in Table 2.

To characterize the thermodynamic ligand-binding properties, the as-purified mixture of Fe(III)/Fe(II) *av*H-NOX was first oxidized with potassium ferricyanide to fully oxidize the sample, and then the sample was reduced with dithionite to form the 5-coordinate Fe(II)-unligated complex; the electronic spectrum of this complex has a characteristic Soret band at 429 nm. Incubation of Fe(II)-unligated *av*H-NOX with the NO donor diethylamine (DEA) NONOate results in a complex with a Soret band at 398 nm. This blue shift has been observed in many well-characterized 5-coordinate Fe(II)-NO hemoproteins (48, 50–52), including H-NOX proteins (12, 15, 35, 44, 48, 53), in which the proximal histidine heme ligand dissociates upon binding of NO. Likewise, exposure of the Fe(II)-unligated protein to CO gas results in formation of the 6-coordinate Fe(II)-CO complex with a characteristic Soret band at 422 nm. Like the other H-NOX family members, H-NOX from *A. vitis* does not appreciably bind molecular oxygen; we observe no shift in the absorption maxima of the Fe(II)-unligated H-NOX complex upon exposure to air (Fig. S5).

Evaluation of the NO dissociation rate constant revealed a k_{off} (NO) of $8.2 \pm 3 \times 10^{-4}$ s⁻¹ at 20°C (Fig. S1), which is very similar to the dissociation rate constants measured for other members of the H-NOX family (Table 2) (12, 15, 44, 49). Assuming a nearly diffusion-limited k_{on} of ~ 10⁸ M⁻¹ s⁻¹ at 20°C, as has been observed for other H-NOX proteins, the K_D(NO) for *av*H-NOX is in the picomolar range (12). From both experiments, we can conclude that *av*H-NOX has ligand binding properties consistent with a sensitive and selective NO sensor.

Steady-state kinetics of HaCE: avHaCE is phosphodiesterase dominant.

As mentioned above, *avi_3097 (av*HaCE), annotated just downstream of *av*H-NOX, was previously characterized as a bi-functional enzyme containing enzymatically active DGC (containing a GGDEF motif) and PDE (containing a EVL motif) domains (39). The DGC domain converts two molecules of guanosine 5'-triphosphate (GTP) to cyclic di-GMP and pyrophosphate, and the PDE domain, converts cyclic di-GMP to 5'-phosphoguanylyl-3',5'-guanosine (pGpG).

To determine if *av*HaCE activity is modulated by *av*H-NOX, we expressed and purified *av*HaCE wild-type and variants and analyzed the enzymatic activity of these enzymes with and without *av*H-NOX. To simplify our studies, we generated two *av*HaCE active site variants by site-directed mutagenesis to disrupt either DGC activity, to study PDE activity alone (the GGAAF variant is D158A/E159A *av*HaCE), or to disrupt PDE activity to study cyclase activity alone (the AAL variant is E284A/V285A *av*HaCE). We have previously shown that the *av*HaCE AAL variant has DGC activity when GTP is used as the substrate but does not catalyze the hydrolysis of cyclic di-GMP to form pGpG (39). Likewise, the *av*HaCE GGAAF variant lacks the ability to convert GTP to cyclic di-GMP, but catalyzes the linearization of cyclic di-GMP to pGpG.

Table 3 shows the steady-state kinetic parameters of the AAL and GGAAF variants of *av*HaCE. To assess PDE activity, we used a malachite green colorimetric assay to quantify inorganic phosphate released upon treatment of product 5'-pGpG with calf-intestinal phosphatase (CIP) (54). For the cyclase assay, we used a previously described modified Invitrogen EnzChekTM assay to quantify inorganic phosphate released upon treatment of the cyclase reaction product pyrophosphate with inorganic pyrophosphatase (12). Please refer to the Materials and Methods and supplemental materials for more details on the enzyme assays used in this study.

The initial velocity versus GTP concentration plot for the AAL variant is a sigmoidal curve, indicating cooperative behavior in the diguanylate cyclase domain (Fig. 2A), whereas the GGAAF variant obeys Michalis-Menten kinetics (Fig. 2B and Fig. S6). The K_M of the AAL variant for GTP was determined to be 17.0 ± 0.72 µM with a k_{cat}/K_M of (0.018 ± 0.001) × 10^{-1} µM⁻¹ s⁻¹ (Table 3). The value of n was determined to be 2.28 ± 0.117, indicating that there are at least two GTP binding sites in the diguanylate cyclase domain of the dimeric HaCE. The K_M of the GGAAF variant for GTP was determined to be 5.2 ± 0.9 µM with a k_{cat}/K_M of (0.068 ± 0.001) × 10^{-1} µM⁻¹ sec⁻¹ (Table 3). In comparison to other diguanylate cyclases, the catalytic efficiency of *av*HaCE is ~400-fold lower than WspR ($k_{cat}/K_M = 0.75$ s⁻¹ µM⁻¹, K_M = 5.97 ± 0.80 µM, $k_{cat} = 4.50 \pm 0.12$ s⁻¹) and ~8-fold lower than *sw*HaCE ($k_{cat}/K_M = 0.014 \pm 0.002$ sec⁻¹, K_M = 7.74 ± 0.90 µM, and $k_{cat} = 0.105 \pm 0.005$ s⁻¹) (12, 55).

In comparison to other phosphodiesterases, the catalytic efficiency of *av*HaCE is ~30-fold lower than that of RocR ($k_{cat}/K_M = 0.21 \pm 0.02 \ \mu M^{-1} s^{-1}$, $K_M = 3.2 \pm 0.3 \ \mu M$, and $k_{cat} =$ $0.67 \pm 0.03 s^{-1}$) and ~200-fold lower than *sw*HaCE ($k_{cat}/K_M = 1.16 \pm 0.20 \ \mu M^{-1} s^{-1}$, $K_M = 1.31 \pm 0.22 \ \mu M$, and $k_{cat} = 1.52 \pm 0.05 s^{-1}$) (12, 56). Within *av*HaCE, k_{cat} s of the cyclase and phosphodiesterase activities are similar, but the K_M for GTP binding to the cyclase domain is 3-fold greater than cyclic di-GMP binding to the phosphodiesterase domain. Thus, the comparison of catalytic efficiency values indicates that *av*HaCE is a PDE-dominant bifunctional enzyme with a ~4-fold more active phosphodiesterase domain compared to its cyclase domain in the absence of *av*H-NOX. This was also the case for the HaCE studied from *S. woodyi*, but in *sw*HaCE, the phosphodiesterase domain is overwhelmingly more active, by ~90-fold, in comparison to the cyclase domain (12). In contrast, in *L. pneumophila*, HaCE is cyclase dominant due to an inactive phosphodiesterase domain (10).

HaCE activity in the presence of H-NOX: NO-bound *av*H-NOX increases phosphodiesterase activity.

To understand how the H-NOX/HaCE system in *A. vitis* responds to NO to regulate cyclic di-GMP metabolism *in vivo*, we next evaluated how *av*HaCE activity is modulated by *av*H-NOX *in vitro* by measuring the cyclase and phosphodiesterase activities in the presence of Fe(II)-unligated or NO-bound *av*H-NOX. In both assays, the initial velocities are reported as the change in absorbance at 360 nm per minute ($Abs_{360nm} min^{-1}$) [the absorption maxima (360 nm) of the enzymatic conversion of 2-amino-6-mercapto-7-methylpurine ribonucleoside (MESG) to ribose 1-phosphate and 2-amino6-mercapto-7-methylpurine by

purine ribonucleoside phosphorylase (PNP)] using a modified Invitrogen $EnzChek^{TM}$ assay kit as described by Liu et al. (12) and in more detail in the supplemental materials.

We found that in the presence of 10X Fe(II)-unligated *av*H-NOX, both initial velocities for phosphodiesterase and cyclase activities were reduced by ~50% compared to the corresponding activities in the absence of H-NOX (Fig. 3). In the presence of 10X Fe(II)-NO-bound *av*H-NOX, however, the cyclase activity was unchanged relative to the cyclase activity in the presence of Fe(II)-unligated *av*H-NOX, while the phosphodiesterase activity increased 4-fold upon addition of NO (Fig. 3).

The details of the effect of H-NOX on cyclic di-GMP cyclase and phosphodiesterase activities in other H-NOX/HaCE systems has varied, but NO-bound H-NOX always results in lower cyclic di-GMP accumulations. In *L. pneumophila, lpg*HaCE cyclase activity is lower in the presence of Fe(II)-NO-bound H-NOX than in the presence of Fe(II)-unligated H-NOX, while the phosphodiesterase domain is incapable of turning over cyclic di-GMP, and thus insensitive to the ligation state of H-NOX (10). In *S. woodyi*, both cyclic di-GMP cyclase and phosphodiesterase activities are regulated by NO/H-NOX; the cyclase activity of *sw*HaCE is markedly enhanced in the presence of Fe(II)-H-NOX in comparison to the presence of Fe(II)-NO-bound H-NOX, while the phosphodiesterase activity of *sw*HaCE is markedly enhanced in the presence of Fe(II)-NO-bound H-NOX in comparison to Fe(II)-unligated H-NOX (12). Finally, in *A. vitis*, NO-bound H-NOX results in no significant change in cyclase activity, but an increase in phosphodiesterase activity.

Possible structural basis for differential regulation of HaCE activities by H-NOX.

It is possible that a PAS domain, present in *sw*HaCE, but not *av*HaCE, explains the differential regulation of HaCE cyclase activity by H-NOX. Although the mechanistic details of H-NOX regulation of HaCE are not well understood, there are some structural details available to guide our thinking. H-NOX has been shown to bind partner proteins (26, 36) via an N-terminal α -helical region (26, 31). NO binding induces H-NOX conformational changes including rotation of the H-NOX "signaling helix" (26, 28, 30, 33, 36), leading to increased binding interaction with its partner (26, 35, 36). A study focused on sGC, which is composed of a fused N-terminus H-NOX domain followed by a PAS domain and C-terminus cyclase, showed that the sGC PAS domain participates in the signal transfer mechanism by forming additional contacts with the H-NOX domain in response to NO binding, leading to increased C-terminal cyclase domain activity (57). Therefore, in S. woodyi, it is possible that the swHaCE PAS domain could introduce additional binding interactions within the H-NOX/HaCE regulatory complex, facilitating regulation of both the cyclic di-GMP cyclase and phosphodiesterase domains (see schematic in Fig. 4). Perhaps H-NOX generally regulates cyclase activity through PAS/cyclase domain contacts and regulates phosphodiesterase activity through direct interaction with the phosphodiesterase domain. It would follow, therefore, that avHaCE lacks a PAS domain, and thus also lacks H-NOX regulation of its cyclase domain.

To support our hypothesis that H-NOX interacts with PAS domains to regulate DGC activity in bacterial H-NOX/HaCE complexes, we performed H-NOX pull-down experiments using the isolated domains of partner HaCE proteins with both the *A. vitis* and *S. woodyi*

homologs. *av*HaCE, as well as the isolated DGC and PDE domains from *A. vitis* (*av*DGC and *av*PDE) were each cloned into a vector that expresses GST at the N-terminus of the HaCE construct, generating GST-tagged *av*HaCE constructs. The GST constructs were used to pull down his-tagged *av*H-NOX (Fig. 4). This experiment shows that *av*H-NOX interacts with the full length *av*HaCE and both *av*DGC and the *av*PDE domains (Fig. 4A and 4B). Therefore *av*H-NOX makes molecular contacts with both the DGC and PDE domains of *av*HaCE. Qualitatively, it is also notable that the interaction of *av*H-NOX with the *av*PDE domain is consistently stronger than with the *av*DGC domain, which is consistent with the trend we see for activity (Fig. 3).

We have previously shown that *sw*H-NOX interacts with full length *sw*HaCE (12). In that study we determined that *sw*H-NOX binds full length *sw*HaCE as a 2+2 dimer in both the Fe(II) and NO complexes, indicating NO does not trigger protein association or dissociation, although the K_{cb} of the interaction likely vary. In this study, to better understand the structural basis underlying the differences in regulation of HaCE by H-NOX, we sought to understand which domains of *sw*HaCE interact with *sw*H-NOX. Thus, we performed Histagged H-NOX pull-down experiments with the GST-tagged individual domains of *sw*HaCE, i.e., *sw*PAS, *sw*DGC and *sw*PDE. We found that *sw*H-NOX interacts with *sw*PAS domain and *sw*PDE, but not *sw*DGC (Fig. 4C). Again, qualitatively, the interaction of *sw*H-NOX with the *sw*PAS domain is stronger than with the *sw*PAS domain.

More research is required to fully understand the regulation of multiple enzyme active sites by H-NOX domains, but the data presented here suggest our hypothesis may be correct. Taken together, these results suggest that H-NOX regulation of cyclase domains is mediated through interaction with a PAS domain, in both bacterial DGCs and mammalian sGCs, while H-NOX regulation of PDEs is mediated by a direct H-NOX/PDE binding interface. This study provides some structural insight into how domain arrangement in HaCE influences the signal transfer mechanism in these NO regulatory systems.

Bacterial growth and biofilm formation: *av*H-NOX is responsible for NO regulation of biofilm formation in *A. vitis*.

We have shown that NO-bound *av*H-NOX increases the activity of the PDE domain *in vitro*, which should lead to decreased intracellular cyclic di-GMP levels and reduced biofilms *in vivo*. Thus, we propose that NO could act as a switch for the sessile-to-motile transition in *A. vitis* through NO/H-NOX/HaCE regulation of cyclic di-GMP concentration. To test this hypothesis in *A. vitis*, we constructed an *hnoX* deletion strain of *A. vitis* S4 using standard methods. Thereafter, static biofilm assay and planktonic growth curves were employed to elucidate the role of H-NOX in biofilm (48 h at 25°C) and planktonic growth (up to 72 h at 25°C) assays in the presence and absence of a NO donor. First, the concentration dependence of the NO donor diethylenetriamine (DETA) NONOate on *A. vitis* growth was determined at room temperature in AB minimal medium. We determined that 20 μ M or less NONOate is nontoxic to the *A. vitis* wild-type strain under the tested conditions (Fig. S7). The NO concentration in solution was estimated to be ~1000-fold less than the NONOate concentration. This estimate is based on a previous study that measured NO release from 200 μ M DETA NONOate, which had a relatively steady NO dissociation rate as the NO

concentration decreased from 80 nM to 60 nM after 24 h at 25°C in marine media broth (12). DETA NONOate from Cayman Chemicals has a half-life ($t_{1/2}$) of 56 h at 22–25°C.

As illustrated in figure 5, we employed a static biofilm assay using crystal violet staining to compare biofilm formation of wild-type and hnoX strains of A. vitis S4 after 48 h of growth in AB media in the presence and absence of 20 µM DETA NONOate (~20 nM NO). The CV-stained biofilm cells were normalized to the OD₆₀₀ readings of replicate cultures to account for differences in planktonic growth in the presence of NO (Fig. S8). The wild-type strain displayed a significant decrease in biofilm growth in the presence of NO, as expected, and consistent with the well-documented role of NO on biofilm formation in bacteria. Furthermore, similar to the S. woodyi H-NOX/HaCE system (12), hnoX deletion resulted in less biofilm than the wild-type strain, and no NO phenotype. Plasmid complementation of *hnoX* in the mutant background (*hnoX/phnoX*), however, restored wild-type biofilm growth and the NO response. The reduction in biofilm for the hnoX strain (Fig. 5) can be correlated to the phosphodiesterase-dominant HaCE activity we observed in the absence of H-NOX in our in vitro kinetic studies (Table 3 and Fig. 2). The results in A. vitis and S. woodyi are in contrast to L. pneumophila where the hnoX deletion strain displayed a hyperbiofilm phenotype, which was rationalized by an unregulated cyclase dominant *lpg*HaCE in the absence of H-NOX (10).

As illustrated in figure 6, we also monitored the planktonic growth of *A. vitis* S4 in AB media at 25°C in the presence and absence of NO. Results showed that *hnoX* growth was similar to WT growth, demonstrating that the absent *hnoX* gene does not present a delay in cell growth. Wild-type growth in the presence ~20 nM NO is like its growth in the absence of NO. However, for the *hnoX* strain, there is a marked impairment of cell growth when grown in the presence of NO, but complementation restores wild-type growth in the presence of NO.

These results implicate H-NOX in both biofilm and planktonic growth responses to the presence of NO at low concentrations. It does not seem likely that reactive nitrogen species are being generated at this NO concentration, even though these studies were carried out under aerobic conditions; indeed, we observe no impact on wild-type growth in the presence of 20 μ M DETA NONOate, and H-NOX has never before been implicated in a protective role. Nonetheless, it should be noted that *A. vitis* apparently lacks several common NO detoxifying enzymes, so it is possible that H-NOX plays a protective role in this bacterium. This is an observation that will require further study.

PERSPECTIVES AND CONCLUSIONS

Biofilm formation is a complex regulatory process that enhances plant pathogen infectivity (47, 58, 59). *A. vitis* S4 is a tumorigenic plant pathogen and the causative agent for crown gall disease in grapevines (60). As shown in a related tumorigenic pathogen, *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*, these pathogens first infect plants by forming biofilms on the plant roots (61), then planktonic cells can travel through the xylem to form crown gall tumors at wound sites above ground (60). This transition between motile and biofilm growth modes is, in part, regulated by alterations in intracellular cyclic di-GMP levels (18, 21).

As demonstrated here, cellular cyclic di-GMP levels may be modulated by NO; we have presented data that indicate low levels of NO can reduce biofilm formation by regulating the activity of an H-NOX/HaCE system in *A. vitis*. Thus, NO could perhaps be one of the mechanisms that control *A. vitis* infection process in crown gall disease. Indeed, NO has been implicated in virulence and enhanced survival of pathogens in plant hosts (62). Our model for the NO/H-NOX/HaCE system in *A. vitis* is illustrated in figure 7. Upon NO binding, *av*H-NOX upregulates the PDE domain of *av*HaCE (Fig. 3), which subsequently lowers intracellular cyclic di-GMP levels and leads to a reduction in biofilms (Fig. 4).

A. vitis could encounter exogenous NO numerous ways in its natural environment. Both denitrifying and nitrifying bacteria are present in the soil that produce NO as an intermediate through the reduction of nitrate to N_2 gas by denitrification or the oxidation of ammonium to nitrate by nitrification (63). The dismutation of nitrite at low pH is another process that may result in NO exposure (63). Finally, *A. vitis* may also experience exogenous NO produced by the host plant. It has been demonstrated that grapevines produce NO as a defense response against invading pathogens (62, 64–66).

In conclusion, our results show that H-NOX is important for biofilm formation and may play a role in protection against NO toxicity in *A. vitis*. Importantly, we characterized the third H-NOX/HaCE bacterial system that can sense NO to regulate cyclic di-GMP metabolism. Thus far, the reoccurring theme in these NO/H-NOX/HaCE regulatory pathways is NO-induced reduction in cyclic di-GMP output from HaCE, either due to the upregulation of phosphodiesterase activity and/or the downregulation of cyclase activity upon detection of NO-bound H-NOX. Future studies will be required to examine a possible protective role of H-NOX in *A. vitis*.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The *A. vitis* strains were constructed and gifted by the Burr Laboratory at Cornell University prior to Dr. Burr's retirement in 2017. We thank Desen Zheng and Supaporn Kaewnum for help with these strains. This work was supported by NIGMS, NIH IRACDA NY-CAPS K12GM102778 (D.E.W).

REFERENCES:

- ([1). Romling U, and Balsalobre C (2012) Biofilm infections, their resilience to therapy and innovative treatment strategies, J Intern Med 272, 541–561. [PubMed: 23025745]
- ([2). Ceri H, Olson ME, Stremick C, Read RR, Morck D, and Buret A (1999) The Calgary Biofilm Device: new technology for rapid determination of antibiotic susceptibilities of bacterial biofilms, Journal of clinical microbiology 37, 1771–1776. [PubMed: 10325322]
- ([3). Stewart PS, and Costerton JW (2001) Antibiotic resistance of bacteria in biofilms, Lancet 358, 135–138. [PubMed: 11463434]
- ([4). Cary SP, Winger JA, Derbyshire ER, and Marletta MA (2006) Nitric oxide signaling: no longer simply on or off, Trends Biochem Sci 31, 231–239. [PubMed: 16530415]
- ([5). Nisbett LM, and Boon EM (2016) Nitric Oxide Regulation of H-NOX Signaling Pathways in Bacteria, Biochemistry 55, 4873–4884. [PubMed: 27479081]

- ([6). Moncada S, Palmer RM, and Higgs EA (1991) Nitric oxide: physiology, pathophysiology, and pharmacology, Pharmacological Reviews 43, 109–142. [PubMed: 1852778]
- ([7). Shimizu T, Huang D, Yan F, Stranava M, Bartosova M, Fojtikova V, and Martinkova M (2015) Gaseous O2, NO, and CO in signal transduction: structure and function relationships of hemebased gas sensors and heme-redox sensors, Chem Rev 115, 6491–6533. [PubMed: 26021768]
- ([8). Arora DP, Hossain S, Xu Y, and Boon EM (2015) Nitric oxide regulation of bacterial biofilms, Biochemistry 54, 3717–3728. [PubMed: 25996573]
- ([9). Barraud N, Schleheck D, Klebensberger J, Webb JS, Hassett DJ, Rice SA, and Kjelleberg S (2009) Nitric oxide signaling in Pseudomonas aeruginosa biofilms mediates phosphodiesterase activity, decreased cyclic diguanosine-5'-monophosphate levels and enhanced dispersal, J Bacteriol 191, 7333–7342. [PubMed: 19801410]
- ([10). Carlson HK, Vance RE, and Marletta MA (2010) H-NOX regulation of c-di-GMP metabolism and biofilm formation in Legionella pneumophila, Molecular Microbiology 77, 930–942. [PubMed: 20572940]
- ([11). Henares BM, Higgins KE, and Boon EM (2012) Discovery of a Nitric Oxide Responsive Quorum Sensing Circuit in Vibrio harveyi, Acs Chem Biol 7, 1331–1336. [PubMed: 22606970]
- ([12). Liu N, Xu Y, Hossain S, Huang N, Coursolle D, Gralnick JA, and Boon EM (2012) Nitric oxide regulation of cyclic di-GMP synthesis and hydrolysis in Shewanella woodyi, Biochemistry 51, 2087–2099. [PubMed: 22360279]
- ([13). Plate L, and Marletta MA (2012) Nitric Oxide Modulates Bacterial Biofilm Formation through a Multicomponent Cyclic-di-GMP Signaling Network, Molecular Cell 46, 449–460. [PubMed: 22542454]
- ([14). Plate L, and Marletta MA (2013) Nitric oxide-sensing H-NOX proteins govern bacterial communal behavior, Trends Biochem Sci 38, 566–575. [PubMed: 24113192]
- ([15). Wang Y, Dufour YS, Carlson HK, Donohue TJ, Marletta MA, and Ruby EG (2010) H-NOXmediated nitric oxide sensing modulates symbiotic colonization by Vibrio fischeri, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 107, 8375–8380. [PubMed: 20404170]
- ([16). Barraud N, Hassett DJ, Hwang S-H, Rice SA, Kjelleberg S, and Webb JS (2006) Involvement of Nitric Oxide in Biofilm Dispersal of Pseudomonas aeruginosa, J. Bacteriol 188, 7344–7353. [PubMed: 17050922]
- ([17). Barraud N, Storey MV, Moore ZP, Webb JS, Rice SA, and Kjelleberg S (2009) Nitric oxidemediated dispersal in single- and multi-species biofilms of clinically and industrially relevant microorganisms, Microb Biotechnol 2, 370–378. [PubMed: 21261931]
- ([18). Romling U, Galperin MY, and Gomelsky M (2013) Cyclic di-GMP: the first 25 years of a universal bacterial second messenger, Microbiology and molecular biology reviews : MMBR 77, 1–52. [PubMed: 23471616]
- ([19). Xu J, Kim J, Koestler BJ, Choi JH, Waters CM, and Fuqua C (2013) Genetic analysis of Agrobacterium tumefaciens unipolar polysaccharide production reveals complex integrated control of the motile-to-sessile switch, Mol Microbiol 89, 929–948. [PubMed: 23829710]
- ([20). Feirer N, Xu J, Allen KD, Koestler BJ, Bruger EL, Waters CM, White RH, and Fuqua C (2015) A Pterin-Dependent Signaling Pathway Regulates a Dual-Function Diguanylate Cyclase-Phosphodiesterase Controlling Surface Attachment in Agrobacterium tumefaciens, mBio 6, e00156. [PubMed: 26126849]
- ([21). Hengge R (2009) Principles of c-di-GMP signalling in bacteria, Nat Rev Micro 7, 263–273.
- ([22). Hossain S, Nisbett LM, and Boon EM (2017) Discovery of Two Bacterial Nitric Oxide-Responsive Proteins and Their Roles in Bacterial Biofilm Regulation, Accounts of chemical research.
- ([23). Boon EM, Huang SH, and Marletta MA (2005) A molecular basis for NO selectivity in soluble guanylate cyclase, Nature chemical biology 1, 53–59. [PubMed: 16407994]
- ([24). Boon EM, and Marletta MA (2005) Ligand specificity of H-NOX domains: from sGC to bacterial NO sensors, J Inorg Biochem 99, 892–902. [PubMed: 15811506]
- ([25). Guo Y, Cooper MM, Bromberg R, and Marletta MA (2018) A Dual-H-NOX Signaling System in Saccharophagus degradans, Biochemistry 57, 6570–6580. [PubMed: 30398342]

- ([26). Guo Y, Iavarone AT, Cooper MM, and Marletta MA (2018) Mapping the H-NOX/HK Binding Interface in Vibrio cholerae by Hydrogen/Deuterium Exchange Mass Spectrometry, Biochemistry 57, 1779–1789. [PubMed: 29457883]
- ([27). Guo Y, and Marletta MA (2019) Structural Insight into H-NOX Gas Sensing and Cognate Signaling Protein Regulation, Chembiochem 20, 7–19. [PubMed: 30320963]
- ([28). Herzik MA Jr., Jonnalagadda R, Kuriyan J, and Marletta MA (2014) Structural insights into the role of iron-histidine bond cleavage in nitric oxide-induced activation of H-NOX gas sensor proteins, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 111, E4156–4164. [PubMed: 25253889]
- ([29). Hespen CW, Bruegger JJ, Guo Y, and Marletta MA (2018) Native Alanine Substitution in the Glycine Hinge Modulates Conformational Flexibility of Heme Nitric Oxide/Oxygen (H-NOX) Sensing Proteins, Acs Chem Biol 13, 1631–1639. [PubMed: 29757599]
- ([30). Hespen CW, Bruegger JJ, Phillips-Piro CM, and Marletta MA (2016) Structural and Functional Evidence Indicates Selective Oxygen Signaling in Caldanaerobacter subterraneus H-NOX, Acs Chem Biol 11, 2337–2346. [PubMed: 27328180]
- ([31). Lahiri T, Luan B, Raleigh DP, and Boon EM (2014) A structural basis for the regulation of an H-NOX-associated cyclic-di-GMP synthase/phosphodiesterase enzyme by nitric oxide-bound H-NOX, Biochemistry 53, 2126–2135. [PubMed: 24628400]
- ([32). Mukhopadyay R, Sudasinghe N, Schaub T, and Yukl ET (2016) Heme-independent Redox Sensing by the Heme-Nitric Oxide/Oxygen-binding Protein (H-NOX) from Vibrio cholerae, The Journal of biological chemistry 291, 17547–17556. [PubMed: 27358409]
- ([33). Muralidharan S, and Boon EM (2012) Heme flattening is sufficient for signal transduction in the H-NOX family, Journal of the American Chemical Society 134, 2044–2046. [PubMed: 22257139]
- ([34). Olea C, Boon EM, Pellicena P, Kuriyan J, and Marletta MA (2008) Probing the Function of Heme Distortion in the H-NOX Family, Acs Chem Biol 3, 703–710. [PubMed: 19032091]
- ([35). Price MS, Chao LY, and Marletta MA (2007) Shewanella oneidensis MR-1 H-NOX Regulation of a Histidine Kinase by Nitric Oxide[†], Biochemistry 46, 13677–13683. [PubMed: 17988156]
- ([36). Rao M, Herzik MA Jr., Iavarone AT, and Marletta MA (2017) Nitric Oxide-Induced Conformational Changes Govern H-NOX and Histidine Kinase Interaction and Regulation in Shewanella oneidensis, Biochemistry 56, 1274–1284. [PubMed: 28170222]
- ([37). Wu G, Liu W, Berka V, and Tsai AL (2013) The selectivity of Vibrio cholerae H-NOX for gaseous ligands follows the "sliding scale rule" hypothesis. Ligand interactions with both ferrous and ferric Vc H-NOX, Biochemistry 52, 9432–9446. [PubMed: 24351060]
- ([38). Wu G, Liu W, Berka V, and Tsai AL (2017) Gaseous ligand selectivity of the H-NOX sensor protein from Shewanella oneidensis and comparison to those of other bacterial H-NOXs and soluble guanylyl cyclase, Biochimie 140, 82–92. [PubMed: 28655588]
- ([39). Nesbitt NM, Arora DP, Johnson RA, and Boon EM (2015) Modification of a bi-functional diguanylate cyclase-phosphodiesterase to efficiently produce cyclic diguanylate monophosphate, Biotechnol Rep (Amst) 7, 30–37. [PubMed: 28626712]
- ([40). Simon R, Priefer U, and Pühler A (1983) A Broad Host Range Mobilization System for In Vivo Genetic Engineering: Transposon Mutagenesis in Gram Negative Bacteria, Bio/Technology 1, 784–791.
- ([41). Kalogeraki VS, and Winans SC (1997) Suicide plasmids containing promoterless reporter genes can simultaneously disrupt and create fusions to target genes of diverse bacteria, Gene 188, 69–75. [PubMed: 9099861]
- ([42). Hajdukiewicz P, Svab Z, and Maliga P (1994) The small, versatile pPZP family of Agrobacterium binary vectors for plant transformation., Plant Mol Biol. 25, 989–994. [PubMed: 7919218]
- ([43). Bradford M (1976) A rapid and sensitive method for the quantitation of microgram quantities of protein utilizing the principle of protein-dye binding., Anal Biochem 7, 248–254.
- ([44). Boon EM, Davis JH, Tran R, Karow DS, Huang SH, Pan D, Miazgowicz MM, Mathies RA, and Marletta MA (2006) Nitric oxide binding to prokaryotic homologs of the soluble guanylate

Author Manuscript

cyclase beta1 H-NOX domain, The Journal of biological chemistry 281, 21892–21902. [PubMed: 16728401]

- ([45). Hao G, and Burr TJ (2006) Regulation of long-chain N-acyl-homoserine lactones in Agrobacterium vitis, J Bacteriol 188, 2173–2183. [PubMed: 16513747]
- ([46). O'Toole GA (2011) Microtiter dish biofilm formation assay, Journal of visualized experiments : JoVE, e2437.
- ([47). Abarca-Grau AM, Penyalver R, López MM, and Marco-Noales E (2011) Pathogenic and nonpathogenic Agrobacterium tumefaciens, A. rhizogenes and A. vitis strains form biofilms on abiotic as well as on root surfaces., Plant Pathology 60, 416–425.
- ([48). Stone JR, and Marletta MA (1994) Soluble guanylate cyclase from bovine lung: activation with nitric oxide and carbon monoxide and spectral characterization of the ferrous and ferric states, Biochemistry 33, 5636–5640. [PubMed: 7910035]
- ([49). Kharitonov VG, Sharma VS, Magde D, and Koesling D (1997) Kinetics of nitric oxide dissociation from five- and six-coordinate nitrosyl hemes and heme proteins, including soluble guanylate cyclase, Biochemistry 36, 6814–6818. [PubMed: 9184164]
- ([50). Gerzer R, Bohme E, Hofmann F, and Schultz G (1981) Soluble guanylate cyclase purified from bovine lung contains heme and copper, FEBS letters 132, 71–74. [PubMed: 6117479]
- ([51). Reynolds MF, Parks RB, Burstyn JN, Shelver D, Thorsteinsson MV, Kerby RL, Roberts GP, Vogel KM, and Spiro TG (2000) Electronic absorption, EPR, and resonance raman spectroscopy of CooA, a CO-sensing transcription activator from R. rubrum, reveals a five-coordinate NOheme, Biochemistry 39, 388–396. [PubMed: 10631000]
- ([52). Stone JR, Sands RH, Dunham WR, and Marletta MA (1995) Electron paramagnetic resonance spectral evidence for the formation of a pentacoordinate nitrosyl-heme complex on soluble guanylate cyclase, Biochemical and biophysical research communications 207, 572–577. [PubMed: 7864845]
- ([53). Karow DS, Pan D, Tran R, Pellicena P, Presley A, Mathies RA, and Marletta MA (2004) Spectroscopic characterization of the soluble guanylate cyclase-like heme domains from Vibrio cholerae and Thermoanaerobacter tengcongensis, Biochemistry 43, 10203–10211. [PubMed: 15287748]
- ([54). Zhu S, Gan Z, Li Z, Liu Y, Yang X, Deng P, Xie Y, Yu M, Liao H, Zhao Y, Zhao L, and Liao F (2009) The measurement of cyclic nucleotide phosphodiesterase 4 activities via the quantification of inorganic phosphate with malachite green, Anal Chim Acta 636, 105–110. [PubMed: 19231363]
- ([55). De N, Navarro MV, Raghavan RV, and Sondermann H (2009) Determinants for the activation and autoinhibition of the diguanylate cyclase response regulator WspR, Journal of molecular biology 393, 619–633. [PubMed: 19695263]
- ([56). Rao F, Qi Y, Chong HS, Kotaka M, Li B, Li J, Lescar J, Tang K, and Liang ZX (2009) The functional role of a conserved loop in EAL domain-based cyclic di-GMP-specific phosphodiesterase, J Bacteriol 191, 4722–4731. [PubMed: 19376848]
- ([57). Underbakke ES, Iavarone AT, and Marletta MA (2013) Higher-order interactions bridge the nitric oxide receptor and catalytic domains of soluble guanylate cyclase, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 110, 6777–6782. [PubMed: 23572573]
- ([58). Danhorn T, and Fuqua C (2007) Biofilm formation by plant-associated bacteria, Annu Rev Microbiol 61, 401–422. [PubMed: 17506679]
- ([59). Morris CE, and Monier JM (2003) The ecological significance of biofilm formation by plantassociated bacteria, Annu Rev Phytopathol 41, 429–453. [PubMed: 12730399]
- ([60). Burr TJ, Bazzi C, Sule S, and Otten L (1998) Crown Gall of Grape: Biology of Agrobacterium vitis and the Development of Disease Control Strategies, Plant Dis 82, 1288–1297. [PubMed: 30845459]
- ([61). Matthysse AG, Marry M, Krall L, Kaye M, Ramey BE, Fuqua C, and White AR (2005) The effect of cellulose overproduction on binding and biofilm formation on roots by Agrobacterium tumefaciens, Mol Plant Microbe Interact 18, 1002–1010. [PubMed: 16167770]

- ([62). Arasimowicz-Jelonek M, and Floryszak-Wieczorek J (2014) Nitric oxide: an effective weapon of the plant or the pathogen?, Molecular plant pathology 15, 406–416. [PubMed: 24822271]
- ([63). Pilegaard K (2013) Processes regulating nitric oxide emissions from soils, Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci 368, 20130126. [PubMed: 23713124]
- ([64). Vandelle E, Poinssot B, Wendehenne D, Bentejac M, and Alain P (2006) Integrated signaling network involving calcium, nitric oxide, and active oxygen species but not mitogen-activated protein kinases in BcPG1-elicited grapevine defenses, Mol Plant Microbe Interact 19, 429–440. [PubMed: 16610746]
- ([65). Santolini J, Andre F, Jeandroz S, and Wendehenne D (2017) Nitric oxide synthase in plants: Where do we stand?, Nitric Oxide 63, 30–38. [PubMed: 27658319]
- ([66). Bellin D, Asai S, Delledonne M, and Yoshioka H (2013) Nitric oxide as a mediator for defense responses, Mol Plant Microbe Interact 26, 271–277. [PubMed: 23151172]



FIGURE 1.

Electronic absorption spectra of *av*H-NOX as the unligated Fe(II) complex (solid black line), the Fe(II)-CO complex (solid gray line), and the Fe(II)-NO complex (dashed black line) in 50 mM HEPES pH 7.5 buffer and 200 mM NaCl at room temperature. Insert shows the α/β region at wavelength range 500 – 600 nm.



FIGURE 2.

Steady-state kinetics of cyclase and phosphodiesterase activities of the AAL and GGAAF variants of *av*HaCE, respectively. Initial velocity measurements of the diguanylate cyclase activity of the AAL variant (250 nM) as a function of GTP concentration (0 μ M - 100 μ M) in 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5 buffer containing 5 mM MgCl₂ at 25°C (**A**) and phosphodiesterase activity of the GGAAF variant (15 nM) as a function of cyclic di-GMP concentration (0 μ M – 20 μ M) in 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5 buffer containing 5 mM MgCl₂ at 25°C (**B**). The data were fit to the Hill equation (plot **A**) or Michaelis–Menten equation (plot **B**), respectively. Error bars represent standard deviation from the mean determined from at least three independent trials.



FIGURE 3.

Initial velocities ($Abs_{360nm} min^{-1}$) determined using a modified Invitrogen EnzChekTM assay were plotted as a function of enzyme activity without and with *av*H-NOX as the Fe(II)-unligated (Fe(II)-*av*H-NOX) or Fe(II)-NO (NO-*av*H-NOX) complex. The initial velocity of the cyclase activity of the AAL variant (250 nM) with and without 2.5 μ M Fe(II)-*av*H-NOX or NO-*av*H-NOX is reported relative to the initial velocity of the cyclase activity of the absence of *av*H- NOX (white bars). The initial velocity of the phosphodiesterase activity of the GGAAF variant (50 nM) with and without 0.50 μ M Fe(II)-*av*H-NOX or NO-*av*H-NOX, is reported relative to the initial velocity of the phosphodiesterase activity of the GGAAF variant (50 nM) with and without 0.50 μ M Fe(II)-*av*H-NOX or NO-*av*H-NOX, is reported relative to the initial velocity of the phosphodiesterase activity of the GGAAF variant (50 nM) with and without 0.50 μ M Fe(II)-*av*H-NOX or NO-*av*H-NOX, is reported relative to the initial velocity of the phosphodiesterase activity of the GGAAF variant in the absence of *av*H- NOX (shaded bars). Errors bars represent the standard deviation from the mean of at least three independent trials. NS = not significant and * = *p* < 0.05 are for the comparison of AAL (white bars) or GGAAF (patterned bars) activities in the presence of NO-*av*H-NOX to those activities in the presence of Fe(II)-*av*H-NOX.



FIGURE 4.

Interaction of H-NOX with HaCE domains. The top panel in A, B, and C illustrates the protein loading of the bait protein (GST or GST-tagged HaCE or HaCE domains) present in the pull-down assay, detected by either anti-GST immunoblotting or ponceau protein staining. The bottom panel illustrates the amount of H-NOX pulled down by the GST-tagged bait protein and detected by anti-His immunoblotting. (A) *av*H-NOX interacts with the full-length GST tagged *av*HaCE (lane 2). (B) *av*H-NOX interacts with *av*PDE (lane 1) and *av*DGC (lane 2); domain interactions shown schematically in the bottom panel. (C) *sw*H-NOX interacts with *sw*PAS (lane 1) and with *sw*PDE (lane 3) but not with *sw*DGC (lane 2); domain interactions shown schematically in the bottom panel.



FIGURE 5.

Biofilms of wild-type, *hnoX*, and *hnoX*/p*hnoX* strains of *A. vitis* S4 grown in polystyrene plates for 48 h in AB media in the presence and absence of NO donor (20 μ M DETA NONOate) and quantified with crystal violet (CV) staining. CV measurements at 570 nm were normalized to OD readings of the cultures before staining with CV. Error bars represent the standard deviation from the mean of at least three independent trials, and within each trial, each biofilm condition was run a minimum of 6 times. * = p < 0.05.

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript



FIGURE 6.

Planktonic growth curves of wild-type, *hnoX*, and *hnoX*/phnoX strains of *A. vitis* S4 in AB minimal medium supplemented with or without 20 μ M DETA NONOate at 25°C. The OD₆₀₀ was measured up to 72 h. Error bars represent the standard deviation from the mean of at least three independent trials. * = p < 0.05 in comparison to *hnoX*/phnoX in the absence of NO.



FIGURE 7.

Schematic model for the H-NOX/HaCE system in *A. vitis.* H-NOX enhances the PDE activity of HaCE in response to NO leading to increased cyclic di-GMP degradation and reduced biofilm formation in *A. vitis.*

Table 1.

Bacterial strains, plasmid, and primers used in this study

Name	Description	Reference or source		
Agrobacterium vitis - Bacterial Strain				
S4	Wild type	T. J. Burr ^a		
hnoX	S4 avi_3098::pVIK165, Km ^R	T. J. Burr ^a		
hnoX/phnoX	hnoX mutant containing pPZP201-avi_3098, Km ^R , Sp ^R	T. J. Burr ^a		
Escherichia coli - Bacterial Strain				
BL21(DE3) pLysS	Expression strain, Cm ^R			
DH5a	Plasmid amplification			
S17–1/ λ pir	Host Strain for biparental mating	(40)		
Plasmid				
pET20b	Cloning vector, Amp ^R	Novagen		
pET20b-avi_3097 WT	pET20b carrying avi_3097 WT, Amp ^R	This work		
pET20b -avi_3098 WT	pET20b carrying avi_3098 WT, Amp ^R	This work		
pET20b-avi_3097 GGAAF	pET20b carrying avi_3097 GGAAF, Amp ^R	This work		
pET20b-avi_3097 AAL	pET20b carrying avi_3097 AAL, Amp ^R	(39)		
pVIK165	Suicide vector, Km ^R	(41)		
pPZP201	Broad-host range cloning vector, Sp ^R	(42)		
pPZP201-avi_3098 (phnoX)	pPZP201 carrying avi_3098, SP ^R	This work		
Complementation - Primers				
avi_3098 FWD comp	TAGAGGATCCATGAAAGGGATGGTTTTTACGGAAATG	This work		
avi_3098 REV comp	AGATCTGCAGTCACGATGCGCTCTCGGCGATC	This work		
Amplification of avH-NOX and avHaCE WT from Agrobacterium vitis S4 genomic DNA - Primers				
avi_3097 WT FWD	GGGCCCGCGCATATGGTAAACCAGCCATTTGAG	(39)		
avi_3097 WT REV	CCCGGGCGCCTCGAGAGGGCTCTTTCCAGCTGCAAGGCT	(39)		
avi_3098 WT FWD	GGGCCCGCGCATATGAAAGGGATGGTTTTTACG	This work		
avi_3098 WT REV	CCCGGGCGCCTCGAGCGATGCGCTCTCGGCGATCAC	This work		
Site-directed mutagenesis - Pa	imers			
avi_3097 GGAAF FWD	GTGGCACGGCTGGGTGGTGCCGCCTTTGCATTGATTCTCGAC	This work		
avi_3097 GGAAF REV	GTCGAGAATCAATGCAAAGGCGGCACCACCCAGCCGTGCCAC	This work		
avi_3097 AAL FWD	CGGATACTGGGGGTGGCCGCCCTGGCACGCTGGCAGCAT	(39)		
avi_3097 AAL REV	ATGCTGCCAGCGTGCCAGGGCGGCCACCCCAGTATCCG	(39)		

^aGift from Thomas Burr at Cornell University, Geneva, NY, USA

TABLE 2.

Ligand binding properties of H-NOX proteins from multiple bacterial species: electronic absorption maxima and NO dissociation rate constants

	λ_{\max} (nm) (α/β) (nm)			k-ss (NO)
H-NOX Proteins	Fe(II)	Fe(II)-CO	Fe(II)-NO	$(x10^{-4} s^{-1})$
av a	429 (567)	422 (542/571)	398 (544/567)	8.2 ± 3.0
_{SW} b	430 (ND)	423 (ND)	399 (ND)	15.2 ± 3.5
Lpg1 ^c	428 (557)	420 (540/566)	398 (540/571)	10.3 ± 1.4
sGC ^d	431 (555)	423 (541/567)	398 (537/572)	8.2 ± 2.0^{e}

^aThis study;

^bRef. (12);

^cRef. (44);

^dRef. (48);

^eRef. (49);

av = *A. vitis* H-NOX (*avi_3098*); *sw* = *S. woodyi* H-NOX (*swoo_2751*); *lpg1* = *L. pneumophila* H-NOX (lpg1056) Legionella pneumophila; **ND** = not determined

TABLE 3.

Steady-state kinetic parameters for AAL (DGC activity) and GGAAF (PDE activity) variants of AvHaCE

Enzyme	$k_{\rm cat}({ m s}^{-1})$	$K_M\left(\mu M\right)$	$\frac{k_{\text{cat}}}{\mathbf{K}_{M}} \left(\mathbf{s} - 1 \ \mathbf{\mu} \mathbf{M} - 1 \right) \mathbf{x} \ 10 - 1$
AAL	0.031 ± 0.001	17.0 ± 0.72	0.018 ± 0.001
GGAAF	0.036 ± 0.002	5.2 ± 0.9	0.068 ± 0.001