

G OPEN ACCESS

Citation: Shi K, Liu X-L, Guo Q, Zhang Y-Q, Fan S-T, Dai L, et al. (2023) TMEM41A overexpression correlates with poor prognosis and immune alterations in patients with endometrial carcinoma. PLoS ONE 18(7): e0285817. https://doi.org/ 10.1371/journal.pone.0285817

Editor: Andrea Giannini, Sapienza University of Rome: Universita degli Studi di Roma La Sapienza, ITALY

Received: March 22, 2023

Accepted: April 28, 2023

Published: July 21, 2023

Copyright: © 2023 Shi et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the <u>Creative</u> <u>Commons Attribution License</u>, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: The research results were available from the databases of TCGA (https:// cistrome.shinyapps.io/timer) and TIMER (https:// cistrome.shinyapps.io/timer).

Competing interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

RESEARCH ARTICLE

TMEM41A overexpression correlates with poor prognosis and immune alterations in patients with endometrial carcinoma

Ke Shi¹°, Xiao-Li Liu²°, Qiang Guo³°, Yun-Qiang Zhang¹, Si-Tong Fan⁴, Ling Dai⁵*, Ni Jiang⁵*, Dan Li₀⁶*

Department of Thoracic Surgery, Beilun District People's Hospital of Ningbo, Ningbo City, China,
Department of Ultrasound, The People's Hospital of Jianyang City, Jianyang City, China, 3 Department of Cardiothoracic Surgery, Taihe Hospital, Hubei Medical University, Shiyan City, China, 4 Department of Infectious Disease, Beilun District People's Hospital of Ningbo, Ningbo City, China, 5 Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Women and Children's Hospital of Chongqing Medical University, Chongqing City, China, 6 Department of Oncology, Taihe Hospital, Hubei Medical University, Shiyan City, China

These authors contributed equally to this work.

* LQ949661259@163.com (DL); 570591447@qq.com (LD); 630018821@qq.com (NJ)

Abstract

Background

Expression levels of transmembrane protein 41A (*TMEM41A*) are related to the progression of malignant tumors. However, the association between *TMEM41A* expression and endometrial carcinoma (EC) remains unclear. This study aims to identify the roles of *TMEM41A* expression in the prognosis of patients with EC and its correlation with EC progression.

Methods

The *TMEM41A* expression and its correlation with the survival of patients with EC were assessed. Cox regression analysis was used to identify the prognostic factors, while nomograms were used to examine the association between the prognostic factors and the survival of patients with EC. Finally, the link between *TMEM41A* level and immune microenvironment and RNA modifications was investigated in EC.

Results

TMEM41A was overexpressed in EC. *TMEM41A* overexpression could diagnose the EC and evaluate the poor prognosis of patients. Overexpression of *TMEM41A* was associated with clinical stage, age, weight, histological subtype, tumor grade, and survival status of patients with EC. Clinical stage, age, tumor grade, radiotherapy, and *TMEM41A* overexpression were factors of poor prognosis in patients with EC. The nomograms revealed the correlation between the *TMEM41A* level and survival time of patients with EC at 1, 3, and 5 years. Furthermore, *TMEM41A* overexpression was significantly correlated with the level of the stromal score, immune score, estimate score, NK CD56 bright cells, iDC, NK cells, eosinophils, pDC, T cells, TReg, cytotoxic cells, mast cells, Th17 cells, neutrophils, aDC,

NK CD56 dim cells, TFH, Th2 cells, CD8 T cells, macrophages, immune cell markers, and RNA modifications.

Conclusions

TMEM41A is overexpressed in EC tissues and is associated with the prognosis, immune microenvironment, and RNA modification. Our preliminary studies indicate that overexpression of *TMEM41A* can potentially serve as a biomarker for EC treatment.

1. Introduction

Endometrial carcinoma (EC) is a common type of malignant epithelial tumor in women, with 10%-15% of patients with EC being diagnosed at an advanced stage, leading to unfavorable prognosis [1, 2]. While surgery and adjuvant treatment can improve the survival time of early patients with EC, there is no effective treatment for those with advanced cancer [1]. Over the years, several genes with crucial biological functions have emerged as research hotspots [3–5]. For instance, the combination of PARP inhibitor and PD-1/PD-L1 checkpoint inhibitor could improve the survival time of patients with cancer [3]. Therefore, identifying novel therapeutic targets and early diagnostic markers is critical in cancer.

Recent studies have shown the association between transmembrane protein 41A (*TMEM41A*) and the occurrences and developments of gastrointestinal tumors [6, 7], with significantly higher expression levels of SREBF pathway regulator in golgi 1 (*SPRING1*) in colorectal cancer than in adjacent normal tissues. *In vitro* and *in vivo* studies found that *SPRING1* could enhance the growth of colorectal cancer cells, which was related to the increased expression of *TMEM41A* [6]. *TMEM41A* was strongly expressed in gastric cancer and linked to lymph node metastasis, distant metastasis, late stage, and poor prognosis. Inhibition of *TMEM41A* expression could reduce gastric cancer cell migration and metastasis by inhibiting the epithelial-mesenchymal transformation (EMT) process and autophagy [7]. Collectively, these preliminary findings indicate that *TMEM41A* is crucial in promoting cell growth and migration as an oncogene.

The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) database provides transcriptome data of normal and cancer tissues of patients with cancer [8–10], which has been extensively analyzed in various studies [11–13]. For example, the down-regulation of B cell translocation gene 1 (*BTG1*) expression was related to poor prognosis, degree of invasion, and FIGO stage in patients with EC. Overexpression of *BTG1* inhibits proliferation, migration, and invasion as well as promotes apoptosis of EC cells, which was related to the EMT process [13]. The correlation between *TMEM41A* expression and EC remains unclear. Therefore, this study aims to comprehensively analyze the roles and possible mechanisms of *TMEM41A* in EC and to identify potential candidate molecules for cancer treatment.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Data acquisition and identification of TMEM41A expression

The transcriptome data of patients with cancer were obtained from the TCGA (https://portal. gdc.cancer.gov/repository) database in the FPKM file format. Among them, 35 cases were normal tissue, and 554 were cancer tissue samples. Additionally, 23 normal tissue and 23 cancer tissue samples were paired. The expression levels of *TMEM41A* in EC were analyzed by expression analysis.

2.2. Diagnostic evaluation and prognostic analysis of TMEM41A

Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) analysis on TCGA FPKM data to determine the area under the curve (AUC) and identify its diagnostic value in normal and EC tissues. The data of *TMEM41A* expression was combined with the prognosis data, and the missing data were excluded. After classifying the samples according to the median expression of *TMEM41A*, the association between changes in *TMEM41A* expression and the poor prognosis of patients with EC was identified using survival analysis.

2.3. Clinical characteristics analysis

The clinical characteristics of patients with EC were obtained from the TCGA database. These data included the clinical stage, age, weight, histological subtype, tumor grade, and survival status, which were used to group the samples, and *TMEM41A* expression in these groups was analyzed. Moreover, the median expression of *TMEM41A* was used to divide the samples into high- and low- expression groups to explore the correlation between *TMEM41A* levels and clinical characteristics of patients with EC.

2.4. Survival analysis in subgroups of patients with cancer

The clinical stage (I, II, III, and IV), weight, height, BMI, and tumor grade of patients with EC were analyzed. The patients were divided into high- and low-expression groups to explore the link between *TMEM41A* levels and overall survival (OS), disease-specific survival (DSS), and disease progression in patients with EC.

2.5. Establishment of *TMEM41A*-related nomograms based on the Cox method

The clinical data of patients with EC patients and *TMEM41A* expression in cancer tissues from the TCGA database were matched. The risk indicators of poor prognosis were filtered using the univariate Cox regression analysis with P < 0.05 as the screening criteria. Subsequently, multivariate Cox regression analysis was performed, and its results were used to construct the *TMEM41A*-related nomograms.

2.6. Analysis of immune microenvironment

Single-sample gene set enrichment analysis (ssGSEA) and ESTIMATE algorithms were used to evaluate the levels of immune microenvironment components in EC tissues. Correlation analysis was used to investigate the association between *TMEM41A* levels and stromal score, dendritic cell (DC), Tcm, immune score, Tgd, B cells, estimate score, Th1 cells, T helper cells, Tem, CD8 T cells, NK CD56dim cells, TFH, Th2 cells, macrophages, cytotoxic cells, Th17 cells, neutrophils, aDC, T cells, TReg, mast cells, eosinophils, pDC, NK cells, iDC, and NK CD56bright cells.

2.7. Correlation between TMEM41A expression and immune cell markers

The expression data of immune cell markers and *TMEM41A* in 554 EC tissues were obtained, and their correlation was investigated using the Spearman correlation analysis.

2.8. TIMER database

The TIMER (https://cistrome.shinyapps.io/timer) database was a cancer immune-related database. The relationship between *TMEM41A* expression and cancer immune cells was analyzed in the gene module of the TIMER database, and the link between *TMEM41A* expression and cancer immune cell copy number was analyzed in the somatic copy number alteration (SCNA) module of the TIMER database.

2.9. Association between the expression levels of *TMEM41A* and RNA modification

The *TMEM41A* was input into the RM2Target online database to obtain the *TMEM41A*related RNA modification genes, which were restricted according to the artificial filtering standard of species. The expression data of RNA modification genes and *TMEM41A* in 554 EC tissues were obtained, and their association was investigated using Spearman correlation analysis.

2.10. Statistical analysis

Wilcoxon rank sum test and chi-square test were used to detect the expression levels of *TMEM41A* in EC. The ROC and survival analyses examined the link between *TMEM41A* expression and EC diagnosis and patient survival time. Spearman correlation analysis assessed the correlation between *TMEM41A* expression and immune microenvironment and RNA modification genes. A *P*-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

3. Results

3.1. Increased expression of *TMEM41A* is valuable in the diagnosis and prediction of poor prognosis in patients with EC

The expression analysis based on the TCGA data revealed that *TMEM41A* was overexpressed both in non-paired (Fig 1A) and paired EC tissues (Fig 1B). Furthermore, ROC analysis demonstrated that the area under the curve of *TMEM41A* was 0.667, indicating that *TMEM41A* had a diagnostic value for EC (Fig 1C). Finally, survival analysis showed that enhanced *TMEM41A* expression was associated with shorter OS, DSS, and progression-free interval (PFI) among patients with EC (Fig 1D–1F).

3.2. *TMEM41A* level correlates with clinicopathological features of patients with EC

Our results highlighted a significant correlation between *TMEM41A* expression and various clinicopathological features in patients with EC (Fig 2). Specifically, *TMEM41A* was strongly expressed in cancer tissues from patients with clinical stage II, III, and IV EC compared with those of clinical stage I (Fig 2A–2C). Furthermore, *TMEM41A* was strongly expressed in cancer tissues of patients above 60 (Fig 2D) and those with a weight greater than 80 kg (Fig 2E). Moreover, *TMEM41A* was strongly expressed in mixed and serous subtype patients, compared with endometrioid subtype. In addition, *TMEM41A* was strongly expressed in serous patient carcinoma tissues compared to patients with mixed subtypes (Fig 2F–2H). *TMEM41A* was strongly expressed in cancer tissues of G3 patients compared with the G2 patients (Fig 2I–2K). Notably, *TMEM41A* expression levels were significantly enhanced in cancer tissues from deceased patients compared to those from living patients (Fig 2L–2N). Statistical analyses revealed that



Fig 1. Increased *TMEM41A* expression correlates to the diagnosis and poor prognosis in EC. (A) *TMEM41A* expression in unpaired tissues. (B) *TMEM41A* expression in paired tissues. (C) The area under the curve of *TMEM41A* in EC. (D-F) *TMEM41A* overexpression correlates to the poor prognosis in EC. EC, endometrial cancer.

high- and low-*TMEM41A* expression was associated with clinical stage, PFI, race, age, DSS, weight, OS, histologic type, histologic grade, and menopausal status in patients with EC (Table 1).

3.3. *TMEM41A* overexpression associates with the poor prognosis of patients with EC in subgroup analysis

The overexpression of *TMEM41A* was significantly associated with poor survival and progression in patients with EC grouped by clinical stage, age, weight, and others (Figs 3–5). Specifically, *TMEM41A* overexpression was associated with shorter OS (Fig 3) in patients with EC with stages I, I-II, I-III, and III, body weight ≤ 80 kg, or > 80kg, height, BMI, G2-3 grade, endometrioid, tumor invasion, age ≥ 60 years, hormone therapy (no), radiotherapy (no), diabetes (yes or no). Similarly, *TMEM41A* overexpression was associated with shorter DSS in patients with EC with stages I, I-II, I-III, II-III, II-III, II-III, II-IV, and III, weight ≤ 80 or > 80kg, height, BMI, G2-3 grade, endometrioid, tumor invasion, age > 60 years, hormone therapy (no), radiotherapy (no), radiotherapy (no), diabetes (yes or no) (Fig 4). Furthermore, *TMEM41A* overexpression was



Fig 2. *TMEM41A* expression correlates with the clinical indicators in EC. (A-N) Correlation analysis between *TMEM41A* expression and clinical stage (A-C), age (D), weight (E); histological type (F-H), histologic grade (I-K), OS event (L), DSS event (M), and PFI event (N). EC, endometrial cancer; OS, overall survival; DSS, disease-specific survival; PFI, progression-free interval.

associated with shorter PFI in EC patients with stages I, I-II, I-III, II-III, II-IV, III, and III-IV, weight > 80kg, height > 160cm, BMI, G2-3 grade, G3 grade, endometrioid, tumor invasion, age > 60 years, hormone therapy (no), radiotherapy (no), and diabetes (yes or no) (Fig 5).

| Characteristic | Low TMEM41A expression | High TMEM41A expression | Р |
|---------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|---------|
| N | 276 | 276 | |
| Clinical stage | | | < 0.001 |
| Stage I | 197 (35.7%) | 145 (26.3%) | |
| Stage II | 21 (3.8%) | 30 (5.4%) | |
| Stage III | 52 (9.4%) | 78 (14.1%) | |
| Stage IV | 6 (1.1%) | 23 (4.2%) | |
| Race | | | 0.029 |
| Asian | 13 (2.6%) | 7 (1.4%) | |
| Black or African American | 44 (8.7%) | 64 (12.6%) | |
| White | 203 (40%) | 176 (34.7%) | |
| Age | | | < 0.001 |
| < = 60 | 125 (22.8%) | 81 (14.8%) | |
| >60 | 151 (27.5%) | 192 (35%) | |
| Weight | | | 0.001 |
| < = 80 | 103 (19.5%) | 140 (26.5%) | |
| >80 | 162 (30.7%) | 123 (23.3%) | |
| Height | | | 0.369 |
| < = 160 | 120 (22.9%) | 127 (24.3%) | |
| >160 | 146 (27.9%) | 130 (24.9%) | |
| BMI | | | 0.095 |
| < = 30 | 98 (18.9%) | 114 (22%) | |
| >30 | 166 (32%) | 141 (27.2%) | |
| Histological type | | | < 0.001 |
| Endometrioid | 254 (46%) | 156 (28.3%) | |
| Mixed | 7 (1.3%) | 17 (3.1%) | |
| Serous | 15 (2.7%) | 103 (18.7%) | |
| Histologic grade | | | < 0.001 |
| Gl | 79 (14.6%) | 19 (3.5%) | |
| G2 | 83 (15.3%) | 37 (6.8%) | |
| G3 | 111 (20.5%) | 212 (39.2%) | |
| Tumor invasion (%) | | | 0.412 |
| <50 | 141 (29.7%) | 118 (24.9%) | |
| > = 50 | 108 (22.8%) | 107 (22.6%) | |
| Menopause status | | | 0.011 |
| Pre | 24 (4.7%) | 11 (2.2%) | |
| Peri | 12 (2.4%) | 5 (1%) | |
| Post | 215 (42.5%) | 239 (47.2%) | |
| Hormones therapy | | | 0.776 |
| No | 154 (44.8%) | 143 (41.6%) | |
| Yes | 26 (7.6%) | 21 (6.1%) | |
| Diabetes | | | 0.870 |
| No | 166 (36.8%) | 162 (35.9%) | |
| Yes | 64 (14.2%) | 59 (13.1%) | |
| Radiation therapy | | | 0.067 |
| No | 155 (29.4%) | 124 (23.5%) | |
| Yes | 117 (22.2%) | 131 (24.9%) | |
| OS event | | | < 0.001 |
| | | | |

Table 1. TMEM41A expression associates with clinical indicators in patients with EC.

(Continued)

Table 1. (Continued)

| Characteristic | Low TMEM41A expression | High TMEM41A expression | Р |
|----------------|------------------------|-------------------------|---------|
| Alive | 247 (44.7%) | 211 (38.2%) | |
| Dead | 29 (5.3%) | 65 (11.8%) | |
| DSS event | | | < 0.001 |
| Alive | 260 (47.3%) | 227 (41.3%) | |
| Dead | 16 (2.9%) | 47 (8.5%) | |
| PFI event | | | < 0.001 |
| Alive | 231 (41.8%) | 192 (34.8%) | |
| Dead | 45 (8.2%) | 84 (15.2%) | |

EC, endometrial cancer.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0285817.t001

3.4. Construction of TMEM41A-related nomograms

Univariate Cox regression analysis revealed that clinical stage, age, histological grade, radiotherapy, and *TMEM41A* overexpression were risk factors for shorter OS in patients with EC (<u>Table 2</u>). Similarly, clinical stage and *TMEM41A* overexpression were risk factors for shorter DSS in patients with EC (<u>Table 3</u>). Moreover, clinical stage, histologic grade, and *TMEM41A* overexpression were risk factors for shorter PFI in patients with EC (<u>Table 4</u>). We further showed the association between adverse factors in patients with EC and patient prognosis to predict patient survival time via nomogram (Figs 6–8).

3.5. *TMEM41A* overexpression associates with the EC immune microenvironment

TMEM41A overexpression was associated with stromal, immune, and estimate scores of cancer samples (Fig 9A–9C). Furthermore, stromal, immune, and estimate scores significantly differed between the high- and low-*TMEM41A* expression groups (Fig 9D–9F). In EC tissues of the TCGA database, *TMEM41A* overexpression correlated with the levels of macrophages, CD8⁺ T cells, TFH, Th2 cells, aDC, cytotoxic cells, eosinophils, iDC, mast cells, neutrophils, NK CD56bright cells, NK CD56dim cells, NK cells, pDC, T cells, Th17 cells, and TReg (Fig 10 and Table 5). The expression levels of 24 immune cell types in the high- and low-*TMEM41A* expression groups are presented in Fig 11. Additionally, we found that *TMEM41A* overexpression correlated with the copy numbers of CD8⁺ T cells, macrophage, and neutrophil levels (S1 Fig), and with the copy numbers of CD8⁺ T cell, neutrophil, and DC in the TIMER database (S2 Fig). Finally, *TMEM41A* overexpression was also significantly associated with the levels of immune cell markers, including *CD8A*, *CD3D*, *CD3E*, *CD2*, *CSF1R*, *IL10*, *IRF5*, *ITGAM*, and *CCR7* (Fig 12).

3.6. TMEM41A expression correlates with the RNA modification

In the RM2Target database, *ALKBH3*, *ALKBH5*, *ALYREF*, *ELAVL1*, *FMR1*, *FTO*, *HNRNPC*, *HNRNPA2B1*, *IGF2BP1*, *IGF2BP3*, *METTL1*, *METTL14*, *METTL16*, *METTL3*, *METTL5*, *PCIF1*, *RBMX*, *VIRMA*, *WDR4*, *WTAP*, *YBX1*, *YTHDC1*, *YTHDF1*, *YTHDF2*, and *YTHDF3* were found to be involved in regulating *TMEM41A*. Additionally, we found a significant correlation between the expression levels of *TMEM41A* and *ALKBH3*, *ALYREF*, *FMR1*, *FTO*, *HNRNPC*, *IGF2BP1*, *IGF2BP3*, *METTL1*, *METTL5*, *PCIF1*, *RBMX*, *VIRMA*, *WTAP*, *YBX1*, *YTHDF1*, *YTHDF2*, *YTHDF3*, *YTHDC1*, *ALKBH5*, *HNRNPA2B1*, and others using Spearman analysis (Table 6).





https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0285817.g003



https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0285817.g004





https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0285817.g005

| Characteristics | Total (N) | HR (95% CI) | Р |
|-------------------|-----------|-----------------------|---------|
| Clinical stage | 551 | | |
| Stage I | 341 | Reference | |
| Stage II | 51 | 1.751 (0.840-3.653) | 0.135 |
| Stage III | 130 | 3.078 (1.907-4.968) | < 0.001 |
| Stage IV | 29 | 8.065 (4.488–14.495) | < 0.001 |
| Age | 549 | | |
| < = 60 | 206 | Reference | |
| >60 | 343 | 1.847 (1.160–2.940) | 0.010 |
| Weight | 527 | | |
| < = 80 | 242 | Reference | |
| >80 | 285 | 1.060 (0.699–1.607) | 0.784 |
| Height | 522 | | |
| < = 160 | 246 | Reference | |
| >160 | 276 | 1.153 (0.758–1.753) | 0.507 |
| BMI | 518 | | |
| < = 30 | 211 | Reference | |
| >30 | 307 | 0.967 (0.636–1.470) | 0.876 |
| Histologic grade | 540 | | |
| G1 | 98 | Reference | |
| G2 | 120 | 7.117 (1.617–31.326) | 0.009 |
| G3 | 322 | 13.241 (3.247-53.993) | <0.001 |
| Radiation therapy | 527 | | |
| No | 279 | Reference | |
| Yes | 248 | 0.594 (0.385–0.915) | 0.018 |
| TMEM41A | 551 | | |
| Low | 276 | Reference | |
| High | 275 | 2.446 (1.578-3.792) | <0.001 |

Table 2. Factors associated with poor OS in EC.

EC, endometrial cancer; OS, overall survival.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0285817.t002

4. Discussion

In recent years, there has been growing interest in identifying new biomarkers for EC. Several studies have focused on identifying and characterizing the roles of novel oncogenes in EC progression and prognosis [14–17]. For instance, OTU deubiquitinase, ubiquitin aldehyde binding 2 (*OTUB2*) expression was significantly enhanced in EC, which was associated with poor prognosis of cancer patients. Overexpression of *OTUB2* could promote glycolysis and induce proliferation, migration, and invasion of EC cells, while inhibition of the PKM2/PI3K/AKT signaling pathway significantly reversed the oncogenic effects of OTUB2 overexpression on EC cells [15]. Similarly, ubiquitin-specific peptidase 5 (*USP5*) was significantly upregulated in EC tissues, whereas its inhibition could reduce the migration and proliferation ability of EC cells and induce cell cycle arrest and apoptosis. These effects of *USP5* overexpression were associated with excessive activation of the mTOR/4EBP1 signaling pathway [16]. These results suggested that targeting these biomarkers could offer potential therapeutic benefits for patients with EC. *TMEM41A* is a newly discovered oncogene [6, 7]. Studies have shown that the *SPRING1* could enhance colorectal cancer cell growth by promoting *TMEM41A* expression [6]. *TMEM41A* is also associated with lymph node metastasis, distant metastasis, and poor

| Characteristics | Total (N) | HR (95% CI) | Р |
|-------------------|-----------|---------------------------|--------|
| Clinical stage | 549 | | |
| Stage I | 340 | Reference | |
| Stage II | 50 | 1.785 (0.592–5.382) | 0.304 |
| Stage III | 130 | 5.935 (3.160-11.145) | <0.001 |
| Stage IV | 29 | 16.815 (8.274–34.173) | <0.001 |
| Age | 547 | | |
| < = 60 | 206 | Reference | |
| >60 | 341 | 1.215 (0.724–2.042) | 0.461 |
| Weight | 525 | | |
| < = 80 | 241 | Reference | |
| >80 | 284 | 0.912 (0.551–1.510) | 0.721 |
| Height | 520 | | |
| < = 160 | 244 | Reference | |
| >160 | 276 | 0.886 (0.533-1.472) | 0.640 |
| BMI | 516 | | |
| < = 30 | 210 | Reference | |
| >30 | 306 | 0.948 (0.569–1.581) | 0.839 |
| Histologic grade | 538 | | |
| G1 | 98 | Reference | |
| G2 | 120 | 34365084.838 (0.000-Inf) | 0.995 |
| G3 | 320 | 134915449.923 (0.000-Inf) | 0.994 |
| Radiation therapy | 525 | | |
| No | 277 | Reference | |
| Yes | 248 | 0.599 (0.351–1.021) | 0.060 |
| TMEM41A | 549 | | |
| Low | 276 | Reference | |
| High | 273 | 3.174 (1.799–5.599) | <0.001 |

Table 3. Factors associated with poor DSS in EC.

EC, endometrial cancer; DSS, disease-specific survival.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0285817.t003

prognosis in patients with gastric cancer. Inhibition of *TMEM41A* expression could delay gastric cancer cell metastasis by regulating EMT and autophagy [7]. In this study, we found that *TMEM41A* was overexpressed in EC and was a potential diagnostic and prognostic marker for the disease. Our comprehensive analysis revealed that *TMEM41A* overexpression was associated with shorter OS, clinical stage, age, DSS, weight, histological subtype, PFI, tumor grade, race, and menopausal status in patients with EC. Our preliminary findings indicated that *TMEM41A* played an oncogenic role in EC, which is consistent with previous reports, and indicates that *TMEM41A* could be a valuable biomarker for predicting EC prognosis and guiding personalized treatment strategies.

Nomograms have emerged as an increasingly popular method for predicting the prognosis of patients with cancer [18–20]. For example, Wang et al. constructed a nomogram consisting of histological subtype, tumor grade, depth of invasion, cervical involvement, parametrial involvement, and HGB level to accurately predict the risk of lymph node metastasis in patients with EC [19]. Similarly, Li and Yue identified age, race, marital status, FIGO stage, grade, and metastasis as important prognostic factors using univariate Cox regression analysis. The risk factor-related nomogram had predictive power and was associated with the prognosis of patients with EC [20]. In this study, we identified clinical stage, age, histological grade,

| Characteristics | Total (N) | HR (95% CI) | Р |
|-------------------|-----------|----------------------|---------|
| Clinical stage | 551 | | |
| Stage I | 341 | Reference | |
| Stage II | 51 | 1.016 (0.502–2.058) | 0.965 |
| Stage III | 130 | 2.581 (1.740-3.827) | < 0.001 |
| Stage IV | 29 | 6.832 (4.081–11.437) | < 0.001 |
| Age | 549 | | |
| < = 60 | 206 | Reference | |
| >60 | 343 | 1.353 (0.934–1.961) | 0.110 |
| Weight | 527 | | |
| < = 80 | 242 | Reference | |
| >80 | 285 | 1.035 (0.727–1.473) | 0.848 |
| Height | 522 | | |
| < = 160 | 246 | Reference | |
| >160 | 276 | 1.016 (0.713–1.450) | 0.929 |
| BMI | 518 | | |
| < = 30 | 211 | Reference | |
| >30 | 307 | 1.046 (0.730-1.500) | 0.805 |
| Histologic grade | 540 | | |
| G1 | 98 | Reference | |
| G2 | 120 | 2.156 (1.015-4.580) | 0.046 |
| G3 | 322 | 3.281 (1.708-6.300) | < 0.001 |
| Radiation therapy | 527 | | |
| No | 279 | Reference | |
| Yes | 248 | 1.095 (0.771–1.556) | 0.613 |
| TMEM41A | 551 | | |
| Low | 276 | Reference | |
| High | 275 | 1.974 (1.374–2.836) | <0.001 |

Table 4. Factors associated with poor PFI in EC.

EC, endometrial cancer; PFI, progression-free interval.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0285817.t004



Fig 6. TMEM41A-related nomogram in OS of EC patients. EC, endometrial cancer; OS, overall survival.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0285817.g006



Fig 7. TMEM41A-related nomogram in DSS of EC patients. EC, endometrial cancer; DSS, disease-specific survival.

radiotherapy, and *TMEM41A* overexpression as risk factors for shorter OS in patients with EC. Moreover, clinical stage and *TMEM41A* overexpression were risk factors for poor DSS in patients with EC, while clinical stage, histological grade, and *TMEM41A* overexpression were risk factors for shorter PFI in patients with EC. The risk factors-related prognostic nomograms predicted the survival time of patients with EC, providing novel tools for evaluating the prognosis of patients with endometrial cancer.

Immunotherapy has emerged as a promising strategy to improve the prognosis of cancer patients [21–23]. For example, patients with esophageal squamous cell carcinoma treated with an anti-programmed death 1 (*PD-1*) inhibitor plus chemotherapy reported a median OS of 15.3 months and a median progression-free survival (PFS) of 6.9 months, compared with 12.0 months and 5.6 months in the chemotherapy group. This study demonstrated that adding anti-*PD-1* therapy to chemotherapy significantly improves survival in patients with advanced or metastatic esophageal squamous cell carcinoma [22]. Therefore, we explored the association



https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0285817.g008



Fig 9. TMEM41A expression correlates with the EC stromal, immune, and estimate scores. (A-C) Correlation analysis. (D-F) Expression analysis. EC, endometrial cancer.

between TMEM41A expression and components of the immune microenvironment in EC. We found that TMEM41A overexpression was correlated with EC stromal, immune, and estimate scores and was linked with tumor purity, immune cells (such as the macrophages, CD8 T cells, and TFH), and immune cell markers (such as the CD8A, CD3D, and CD3E). In addition, TMEM41A expression was significantly correlated with the levels of several RNA modification genes, including ALKBH3, ALYREF, FMR1, FTO, HNRNPC, IGF2BP1, IGF2BP3, METTL1, METTL5, PCIF1, RBMX, VIRMA, WTAP, YBX1, YTHDF1, YTHDF2, YTHDF3, YTHDC1, ALKBH5, and HNRNPA2B1. RNA modification genes play important regulatory roles in cancer [24-27]. For instance, FTO, the m6A modification gene, was highly expressed in metastatic EC tissues, and promoted EC metastasis and invasion by activating the Wnt signaling pathway through the demethylation of HOXB13 mRNA, which eliminated recognition of m6A modification via the YTHDF2 protein [27]. Additionally, the expression level of lncRNA FENDRR was decreased in endometrioid endometrial carcinoma (EEC), while the FENDRR m6A methylation level was significantly increased. YTHDF2 mediated the degradation of FENDRR and promoted cancer cell proliferation by increasing SOX4 expression in EEC [23]. Our findings demonstrated that TMEM41A was associated with immune microenvironment and RNA modification, further suggesting that TMEM41A may play a critical role in EC.

Traditionally, local invasion and histological characteristics have been used as risk factors for the prognosis of patients with EC. However, in recent years, molecular and genomic maps have emerged as promising tools to predict the prognosis of patients with EC, helping in identifying those with low, medium, and high recurrence risks [17, 28–30]. Our results revealed



Fig 10. *TMEM41A* expression correlates with the EC immune cells. (A-F) Correlation analysis of *TMEM41A* expression with various immune cell types, including NK CD56 bright cells (A), iDC (B), NK cells (C), pDC (D), T cells (E), and Eosinophils (F). EC, endometrial cancer.

| Table 5. (| Overexpression | of TMEM41A | associates with | the EC immune cells. |
|------------|----------------|------------|-----------------|----------------------|
|------------|----------------|------------|-----------------|----------------------|

| Target molecule | Immune cells | Correlation coefficient | Р |
|-----------------|---------------------|-------------------------|--------|
| TMEM41A | aDC | 0.160 | <0.001 |
| TMEM41A | B cells | 0.030 | 0.475 |
| TMEM41A | CD8 T cells | -0.110 | 0.009 |
| TMEM41A | Cytotoxic cells | -0.186 | <0.001 |
| TMEM41A | DC | -0.001 | 0.983 |
| TMEM41A | Eosinophils | -0.229 | <0.001 |
| TMEM41A | iDC | -0.272 | <0.001 |
| TMEM41A | Macrophages | 0.099 | 0.021 |
| TMEM41A | Mast cells | -0.185 | <0.001 |
| TMEM41A | Neutrophils | -0.164 | <0.001 |
| TMEM41A | NK CD56bright cells | -0.324 | <0.001 |
| TMEM41A | NK CD56dim cells | -0.144 | <0.001 |
| TMEM41A | NK cells | -0.235 | <0.001 |
| TMEM41A | pDC | -0.217 | <0.001 |
| TMEM41A | T cells | -0.214 | <0.001 |
| TMEM41A | T helper cells | -0.040 | 0.351 |
| TMEM41A | Tcm | 0.033 | 0.445 |
| TMEM41A | Tem | -0.057 | 0.181 |

(Continued)

| Target molecule | Immune cells | Correlation coefficient | Р |
|-----------------|--------------|-------------------------|---------|
| TMEM41A | TFH | -0.133 | 0.002 |
| TMEM41A | Tgd | 0.067 | 0.118 |
| TMEM41A | Th1 cells | 0.032 | 0.455 |
| TMEM41A | Th17 cells | -0.178 | < 0.001 |
| TMEM41A | Th2 cells | 0.131 | 0.002 |
| TMEM41A | TReg | -0.208 | < 0.001 |
| | | | |

Table 5. (Continued)

EC, endometrial cancer.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0285817.t005

that *TMEM41A* was upregulated in EC and that its increased expression was related to poor prognosis, immune status, and RNA modification in patients with EC. This study provides new biomarkers for evaluating the prognosis of patients with EC and has the following advantages and disadvantages. We analyzed a large number of tissue samples and prognostic data, ensuring the reliability of our findings. In addition, this study is the first to report the association between *TMEM41A* expression and EC progression, providing new directions for future



https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0285817.g011



| Table 6. | TMEM41A ex | pression co | rrelates with | the gene | levels of RNA | modification. |
|----------|------------|-------------|---------------|----------|---------------|---------------|
|----------|------------|-------------|---------------|----------|---------------|---------------|

| Target molecule | Gene | Correlation coefficient | Р |
|-----------------|-----------|-------------------------|---------|
| TMEM41A | METTL3 | 0.001 | 0.983 |
| TMEM41A | METTL16 | -0.017 | 0.692 |
| TMEM41A | ELAVL1 | 0.034 | 0.419 |
| TMEM41A | WDR4 | 0.057 | 0.178 |
| TMEM41A | METTL14 | 0.072 | 0.092 |
| TMEM41A | YTHDC1 | 0.105 | 0.013 |
| TMEM41A | ALKBH5 | -0.117 | 0.006 |
| TMEM41A | HNRNPA2B1 | 0.116 | 0.006 |
| TMEM41A | ALKBH3 | 0.301 | <0.001 |
| TMEM41A | ALYREF | 0.256 | <0.001 |
| TMEM41A | FMR1 | 0.335 | <0.001 |
| TMEM41A | FTO | -0.221 | <0.001 |
| TMEM41A | HNRNPC | 0.155 | <0.001 |
| TMEM41A | IGF2BP1 | 0.463 | <0.001 |
| TMEM41A | IGF2BP3 | 0.267 | <0.001 |
| TMEM41A | METTL1 | 0.215 | <0.001 |
| TMEM41A | METTL5 | 0.295 | <0.001 |
| TMEM41A | PCIF1 | 0.221 | <0.001 |
| TMEM41A | RBMX | 0.198 | <0.001 |
| TMEM41A | VIRMA | 0.384 | <0.001 |
| TMEM41A | WTAP | 0.283 | <0.001 |
| TMEM41A | YBX1 | 0.232 | <0.001 |
| TMEM41A | YTHDF1 | 0.342 | <0.001 |
| TMEM41A | YTHDF2 | 0.143 | < 0.001 |
| TMEM41A | YTHDF3 | 0.248 | <0.001 |

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0285817.t006

research. However, this study lacked basic research to confirm the current results. Our future studies would focus on collecting cancer tissues and normal adjacent tissues from patients with EC to verify the expression of *TMEM41A* and explore its impact on the prognosis of patients with EC. Moreover, the effects of inhibiting *TMEM41A* on the growth and migration of EC cells should be investigated. Additionally, radiomic features are crucial in the screening, diagnosis, and prognosis of patients with EC [30]. Therefore, future studies should combine *TMEM41A* expression and radiomic features to evaluate the prognosis of patients with EC.

5. Conclusions

In conclusion, our study demonstrates that *TMEM41A* exhibits strong expression in EC tissues. *TMEM41A* could serve as a diagnostic marker and evaluate the poor prognosis of patients with EC. Moreover, the overexpression of *TMEM41A* is associated with poor prognosis, stromal score, immune score, estimate score, immune cells, cell markers, and RNA modifications. These findings suggest that TMEM41A may serve as a potential biomarker for EC treatment.

Supporting information

S1 Fig. *TMEM41A* expression correlates with EC immunity. EC, endometrial cancer. (JPG)

S2 Fig. *TMEM41A* expression correlates with the immune cell copy number in EC. EC, endometrial cancer. (IPG)

Acknowledgments

We thank TCGA and TIMER databases for providing the data available for this study.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Xiao-Li Liu, Ni Jiang, Dan Li.

Data curation: Ke Shi, Xiao-Li Liu, Qiang Guo, Yun-Qiang Zhang, Ling Dai.

Formal analysis: Qiang Guo.

Funding acquisition: Dan Li.

Investigation: Ke Shi.

Methodology: Xiao-Li Liu.

Resources: Yun-Qiang Zhang, Dan Li.

Validation: Qiang Guo, Si-Tong Fan.

Visualization: Ni Jiang.

Writing - original draft: Ke Shi, Xiao-Li Liu, Qiang Guo, Yun-Qiang Zhang.

Writing – review & editing: Si-Tong Fan, Ni Jiang, Dan Li.

References

 Wang C, Yin Y, Sun Z, Wang Y, Li F, Wang Y, et al. ATAD2 Upregulation Promotes Tumor Growth and Angiogenesis in Endometrial Cancer and Is Associated with Its Immune Infiltration. Dis Markers. 2022; 2022: 2334338. https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/2334338 PMID: 36479043.

- Song Y, Zhou G, Song E, Zhan L, Wang Q, Song H, et al. TRIM44 Promotes Endometrial Carcinoma Progression by Activating the FRS2 Signalling Pathway. Evid Based Complement Alternat Med. 2022; 2022: 6235771. https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/6235771 PMID: 36387361.
- Post CCB, Westermann AM, Bosse T, Creutzberg CL, Kroep JR. PARP and PD-1/PD-L1 checkpoint inhibition in recurrent or metastatic endometrial cancer. Crit Rev Oncol Hematol. 2020; 152: 102973. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.critrevonc.2020.102973 PMID: 32497971.
- Li D, Li K, Zhang W, Yang KW, Mu DA, Jiang GJ, et al. The m6A/m5C/m1A Regulated Gene Signature Predicts the Prognosis and Correlates With the Immune Status of Hepatocellular Carcinoma. Front Immunol. 2022; 13: 918140. https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2022.918140 PMID: 35833147.
- Peng M, Fan S, Li J, Zhou X, Liao Q, Tang F, et al. Programmed death-ligand 1 signaling and expression are reversible by lycopene via PI3K/AKT and Raf/MEK/ERK pathways in tongue squamous cell carcinoma. Genes Nutr. 2022; 17(1): 3. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12263-022-00705-y PMID: 35164673.
- Tao Y, Luo J, Zhu H, Chu Y, Pei L. Chromosome 12 Open Reading Frame 49 Promotes Tumor Growth and Predicts Poor Prognosis in Colorectal Cancer. Dig Dis Sci. 2022; undefined: undefined. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10620-022-07751-x</u> PMID: 36348128.
- Lin B, Xue Y, Qi C, Chen X, Mao W. Expression of transmembrane protein 41A is associated with metastasis via the modulation of E-cadherin in radically resected gastric cancer. Mol Med Rep. 2018; 18(3): 2963–2972. https://doi.org/10.3892/mmr.2018.9241 PMID: 30015937.
- Guo Q, Liu XL, Liu HS, Luo XY, Yuan Y, Ji YM, et al. The Risk Model Based on the Three Oxidative Stress-Related Genes Evaluates the Prognosis of LAC Patients. Oxid Med Cell Longev. 2022; 2022: 4022896. https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/4022896 PMID: 35783192; PMCID.
- Lin Z, Huang L, Li S, Gu J, Cui X, Zhou Y. Pan-cancer analysis of genomic properties and clinical outcome associated with tumor tertiary lymphoid structure. Sci Rep. 2020; 10(1): 21530. https://doi.org/ 10.1038/s41598-020-78560-3 PMID: 33299035.
- Zhao YY, Xiang QM, Chen JL, Zhang L, Zheng WL, et al. SLC25A25-AS1 over-expression could be predicted the dismal prognosis and was related to the immune microenvironment in prostate cancer. Front Oncol. 2022; 12: 990247. https://doi.org/10.3389/fonc.2022.990247 PMID: 36338724.
- Xu Q, Ge Q, Zhou Y, Yang B, Yang Q, Jiang S, et al. MELK promotes Endometrial carcinoma progression via activating mTOR signaling pathway. EBioMedicine. 2020; 51: 102609. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ebiom.2019.102609</u> Epub 2020 Jan 6. PMID: 31915116.
- Guo Q, Wu CY, Jiang N, Tong S, Wan JH, Xiao XY, et al. Downregulation of T-cell cytotoxic marker IL18R1 promotes cancer proliferation and migration and is associated with dismal prognosis and immunity in lung squamous cell carcinoma. Front Immunol. 2022; 13: 986447. <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/</u> fimmu.2022.986447 PMID: 36544782.
- Li Y, Huo J, He J, Zhang Y, Ma X. BTG1 inhibits malignancy as a novel prognosis signature in endometrial carcinoma. Cancer Cell Int. 2020; 20: 490. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s12935-020-01591-3</u> PMID: 33041670.
- Hu A, Wang Y, Tian J, Chen Z, Chen R, Han X, et al. Pan-cancer analysis reveals DDX21 as a potential biomarker for the prognosis of multiple tumor types. Front Oncol. 2022; 12: 947054. <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fonc.2022.947054</u> PMID: 36505822.
- Zhang Q, Zhang J, Yao A, Tian X, Han Z, Yuan Y, et al. OTUB2 promotes the progression of endometrial cancer by regulating the PKM2-mediated PI3K/AKT signaling pathway. Cell Biol Int. 2023; 47 (2):428–438. https://doi.org/10.1002/cbin.11950 PMID: 36316812.
- Li Y, Zhou J. USP5 Promotes Uterine Corpus Endometrial Carcinoma Cell Growth and Migration via mTOR/4EBP1 Activation. Cancer Manag Res. 2021; 13: 3913–3924. https://doi.org/10.2147/CMAR. S290467 PMID: 34012297.
- Di Donato V, Giannini A, Bogani G. Recent Advances in Endometrial Cancer Management. J Clin Med. 2023; 12(6): 2241. https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm12062241 PMID: 36983243.
- Wu J, Zhang H, Li L, Hu M, Chen L, Xu B, et al. A nomogram for predicting overall survival in patients with low-grade endometrial stromal sarcoma: A population-based analysis. Cancer Commun (Lond). 2020; 40(7): 301–312. https://doi.org/10.1002/cac2.12067 PMID: 32558385.
- Wang Z, Zhang S, Ma Y, Li W, Tian J, Liu T. A nomogram prediction model for lymph node metastasis in endometrial cancer patients. BMC Cancer. 2021; 21(1): 748. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12885-021-08466-4 PMID: 34187416.
- Li R, Yue Q. A nomogram for predicting overall survival in patients with endometrial carcinoma: A SEER-based study. Int J Gynaecol Obstet. 2022; undefined: undefined. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/ijgo.</u> 14580 PMID: 36394432.

- Doroshow DB, Bhalla S, Beasley MB, Sholl LM, Kerr KM, Gnjatic S, et al. PD-L1 as a biomarker of response to immune-checkpoint inhibitors. Nat Rev Clin Oncol. 2021; 18(6): 345–362. https://doi.org/ 10.1038/s41571-021-00473-5 PMID: 33580222.
- Luo H, Lu J, Bai Y, Mao T, Wang J, Fan Q, et al. Effect of Camrelizumab vs Placebo Added to Chemotherapy on Survival and Progression-Free Survival in Patients With Advanced or Metastatic Esophageal Squamous Cell Carcinoma: The ESCORT-1st Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA. 2021; 326(10): 916– 925. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2021.12836 PMID: 34519801.
- Tawbi HA, Schadendorf D, Lipson EJ, Ascierto PA, Matamala L, Castillo Gutiérrez E, et al. Relatlimab and Nivolumab versus Nivolumab in Untreated Advanced Melanoma. N Engl J Med. 2022; 386(1): 24– 34. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa2109970 PMID: 34986285.
- Shen J, Feng XP, Hu RB, Wang H, Wang YL, Qian JH, et al. N-methyladenosine reader YTHDF2-mediated long noncoding RNA FENDRR degradation promotes cell proliferation in endometrial carcinoma. Lab Invest. 2021; 101(6): 775–784. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41374-021-00543-3 PMID: 33692441.
- Li Q, Wang C, Dong W, Su Y, Ma Z. WTAP facilitates progression of endometrial cancer via CAV-1/NFκB axis. Cell Biol Int. 2021; 45(6): 1269–1277. https://doi.org/10.1002/cbin.11570 PMID: 33559954.
- Zhang L, Wan Y, Zhang Z, Jiang Y, Gu Z, Ma X, et al. IGF2BP1 overexpression stabilizes PEG10 mRNA in an m6A-dependent manner and promotes endometrial cancer progression. Theranostics. 2021; 11(3): 1100–1114. https://doi.org/10.7150/thno.49345 PMID: 33391523.
- Zhang L, Wan Y, Zhang Z, Jiang Y, Lang J, Cheng W, et al. FTO demethylates m6A modifications in HOXB13 mRNA and promotes endometrial cancer metastasis by activating the WNT signalling pathway. RNA Biol. 2021; 18(9): 1265–1278. https://doi.org/10.1080/15476286.2020.1841458 PMID: 33103587.
- Cuccu I, D'Oria O, Sgamba L, De Angelis E, Golia D'Augè T, Turetta C, et al. Role of Genomic and Molecular Biology in the Modulation of the Treatment of Endometrial Cancer: Narrative Review and Perspectives. Healthcare (Basel). 2023; 11(4): 571. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/healthcare11040571</u> PMID: 36833105.
- Golia D'Augè T, Cuccu I, Santangelo G, Muzii L, Giannini A, Bogani G, et al. Novel Insights into Molecular Mechanisms of Endometrial Diseases. Biomolecules. 2023; 13(3): 499. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/biom13030499</u> PMID: 36979434.
- Bogani G, Chiappa V, Lopez S, Salvatore C, Interlenghi M, D'Oria O, et al. Radiomics and Molecular Classification in Endometrial Cancer (The ROME Study): A Step Forward to a Simplified Precision Medicine. Healthcare (Basel). 2022; 10(12): 2464. https://doi.org/10.3390/healthcare10122464 PMID: 36553988.