

G OPEN ACCESS

Citation: Moehlman AT, Kanfer G, Youle RJ (2023) Loss of *STING* in *parkin* mutant flies suppresses muscle defects and mitochondria damage. PLoS Genet 19(7): e1010828. https://doi.org/10.1371/ journal.pgen.1010828

Editor: Bingwei Lu, Stanford University School of Medicine, UNITED STATES

Received: January 30, 2023

Accepted: June 13, 2023

Published: July 13, 2023

Peer Review History: PLOS recognizes the benefits of transparency in the peer review process; therefore, we enable the publication of all of the content of peer review and author responses alongside final, published articles. The editorial history of this article is available here: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1010828

Copyright: This is an open access article, free of all copyright, and may be freely reproduced, distributed, transmitted, modified, built upon, or otherwise used by anyone for any lawful purpose. The work is made available under the <u>Creative</u> Commons CC0 public domain dedication.

Data Availability Statement: All relevant data are within the manuscript and its <u>Supporting</u> information files. For RNA-seq experiments, a complete processed dataset, including normalized counts, DESeq2 results and accompanying **RESEARCH ARTICLE**

Loss of *STING* in *parkin* mutant flies suppresses muscle defects and mitochondria damage

Andrew T. Moehlman^{1,2}, Gil Kanfer¹, Richard J. Youle¹*

1 Biochemistry Section, Surgical Neurology Branch, National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland, United States of America, **2** Postdoctoral Research Associate Training Program, National Institute of General Medical Sciences, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland, United States of America

* youler@ninds.nih.gov

Abstract

The early pathogenesis and underlying molecular causes of motor neuron degeneration in Parkinson's Disease (PD) remains unresolved. In the model organism Drosophila melanogaster, loss of the early-onset PD gene parkin (the ortholog of human PRKN) results in impaired climbing ability, damage to the indirect flight muscles, and mitochondrial fragmentation with swelling. These stressed mitochondria have been proposed to activate innate immune pathways through release of damage associated molecular patterns (DAMPs). Parkin-mediated mitophagy is hypothesized to suppress mitochondrial damage and subsequent activation of the cGAS/STING innate immunity pathway, but the relevance of this interaction in the fly remains unresolved. Using a combination of genetics, immunoassays, and RNA sequencing, we investigated a potential role for STING in the onset of parkin-null phenotypes. Our findings demonstrate that loss of Drosophila STING in flies rescues the thorax muscle defects and the climbing ability of *parkin^{-/-}* mutants. Loss of STING also suppresses the disrupted mitochondrial morphology in *parkin^{-/-}* flight muscles, suggesting unexpected feedback of STING on mitochondria integrity or activation of a compensatory mitochondrial pathway. In the animals lacking both parkin and sting, PINK1 is activated and cell death pathways are suppressed. These findings support a unique, non-canonical role for Drosophila STING in the cellular and organismal response to mitochondria stress.

Author summary

Neurodegenerative diseases such as Parkinson's Disease have been associated with excessive inflammation. The anti-viral immune regulators STING (STimulator of INterferon Genes) and NF-kB have been indicated in mammalian studies to respond to unmitigated mitochondria damage linked to mutations in quality control pathways such as the Parkinson's Disease-associated Pink1/Parkin pathway. In the well-characterized model system *Drosophila melanogaster* (fruit fly), mutants lacking either of these genes show physical deformities and movement defects caused by mitochondria damage and progressive neurodegeneration, yielding a powerful and efficient model to study the effects of blocking statistics can be found in <u>S2 Data File</u>. The original RNA-seq read files (in FASTQ format) are available at NCBI Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO, GSE232950). Additional raw data files and original R code used for data analysis are available on Figshare (https://figshare.com/projects/ Drosophila_STING_and_Parkin_Manuscript/ 157578).

Funding: This work was supported by the Intramural Research Program of the National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke, 1ZIANS003123-11 to R.J.Y. This work was supported in part by a NIGMS Postdoctoral Research Associate Training (PRAT) fellowship, FI2GM138078-01, to A.T.M. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

the conserved STING pathway. We find that loss of *STING* prevents multiple phenotypes of the *parkin* mutant fly, by multiple complementary approaches. Further, this suppression extends to decreasing the severity of the mitochondria dysfunction normally seen in *parkin* mutant animals. Finally, assays of gene expression in animals lacking both *STING* and *parkin* reveal a significant increase in anti-stress enzymes, which have been previously linked to suppressing degeneration in *parkin* fly models.

Introduction

Mutations in PINK1 and Parkin lead to early onset Parkinson's disease (PD). PINK1 is a kinase imported to mitochondria and degraded, unless shunted to the outer mitochondrial membrane when mitochondrial membrane potential is impaired [1-3]. Once stabilized on the outer mitochondrial membrane (OMM) PINK1 phosphorylates ubiquitin and the Parkin ubiquitin-like domain to recruit the E3 ligase Parkin to the mitochondria, which amplifies OMM protein ubiquitination [4–7]. This ubiquitination promotes recruitment of autophagy receptors and autophagy of damaged mitochondria [8-10]. Although the molecular mechanisms of PINK1 and Parkin are well-studied, how their absence leads to Parkinsonian phenotypes is less clear [11]. Mutations in PINK1 and Parkin do not lead to substantial or PD-related phenotypes in otherwise healthy mice [12,13]. However, Drosophila melanogaster mutants lacking either pink1 or parkin (park) have severe phenotypes [14–18]. Mutants in either park or pink1 lose flight muscle, undergo degeneration of certain dopaminergic neurons, and display locomotion and flight impairment. Notably, mitochondria in the indirect flight muscles are swollen and the elongated morphology is disrupted [14,18]. Depletion of mitochondria fusion genes or expression of genes regulating fission can rescue park^{-/-} phenotypes, supporting a role for mitochondrial dynamics in the pathophysiology of these mutant phenotypes [19-22].

Unhealthy mitochondria activate innate immune pathways through release of damage associated molecular patterns (DAMPs) such as mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) [23–25]. Human PD patients lacking PINK1 or Parkin exhibit increased inflammation and increased serum mtDNA [26], consistent with work showing that flies lacking Parkin express higher levels of genes implicated in oxidative stress and immune responses [27]. Parkin-dependent mitophagy has been proposed to limit mitochondrial DAMP release and subsequent activation of the cGAS/STING innate immune pathway, which was previously examined in *PRKN*^{-/-} mice models [28]. However, this study utilized stress paradigms, as unstressed mice do not exhibit PDlike phenotypes, unlike flies. Thus, we explored the potential role of STING in *parkin*-null flies. During our study, a report indicated that loss of STING did not rescue Drosophila *parkin* mutant defects [29], which contrasted with our contemporaneous preliminary data. Herein we compared different strains of *parkin* mutant alleles and conclude that loss of STING activity suppresses the thorax muscle involution and the climbing defects of *parkin*^{-/-} mutants. Surprisingly, loss of STING also improves the disrupted mitochondrial morphology in *parkin*^{-/-} flight muscles, suggesting unexpected feedback of *Drosophila* STING on mitochondrial homeostasis.

Results

STING is necessary for muscle degeneration and climbing defects in *parkin* flies

Thorax indention and bent wing phenotypes in *parkin* mutant flies are indicative of underlying indirect flight muscle (IFM) defects and attributed to mitochondria dysfunction inducing muscle apoptosis [14,19,30]. We generated flies harboring null alleles for *parkin* (*park*²⁵) [14] and *sting* (*sting*^{*ARG5*}) [31]. Analysis of these double knockout (DKO) flies demonstrated that loss of *sting* rescued both the thorax and wing phenotypes of the *parkin* mutant flies (Fig 1A–1C). We obtained the independently derived *park*¹ mutant and backcrossed this allele into the *sting*^{*ARG5*} mutant background [15]. These flies also demonstrated reduced penetrance of the *parkin* phenotypes (Fig 1A–1C). For both backgrounds, the status of the *sting* and *park*-null alleles were scored based on the presence or absence of the balancer chromosomes and fly genotypes were routinely confirmed using PCR (S1 Fig). Both *park*²⁵ and *park*¹ homozygous flies demonstrate climbing defects, due to muscle degeneration and, later, age-dependent loss of dopaminergic neurons [14,15,32]. Using the negative geotaxis assay (Fig 1D), flies homozygous for *sting*^{*ARG5*} were assayed for climbing ability in *parkin* wild-type, *park*²⁵, and *park*¹ backgrounds. Loss of *sting* alone had no effect on climbing ability in young (5–7 days-old) flies. For both *parkin* alleles, loss of *sting* suppressed the climbing defects of young *parkin* null adults (Fig 1D and S1 Video).

We confirmed the veracity of the $sting^{ARG5}$ knockout allele by crossing $sting^{ARG5}$ flies with flies containing a *sting* deficiency chromosome in the *park*²⁵ mutant background (S1E Fig). Resulting progeny harboring one copy of $sting^{ARG5}$ allele and the sting deletion displayed suppressed thorax and wing phenotypes in the homozygous *park*²⁵ mutation (S1F–S1H Fig). These results support a necessary role for STING in progression of muscle degeneration of *parkin*^{-/-} flies. We also observed that loss of *sting* in the *pink1*⁵ or *pink1*^{B9} hemizygous mutant background rescued the severity of the thorax phenotypes only partially and to a lesser extent than in *parkin*-null flies (Fig 1E–1G). Pink1 has been reported to have multiple Parkin-independent interactions [21,33–35], and loss of STING may not affect these pathways, resulting in only minor suppression of the *pink1* thoracic muscle phenotypes.

To investigate our results on *parkin* that diverge from Lee et al. [29], we acquired the $sting^{ARG5}$; $park^{25}$ line used in that study. We verified these animals with RT-qPCR and scored thorax and wing phenotypes in the homozygous $sting^{ARG5}$; $park^{25}$ flies (S2 Fig). This line of $sting^{ARG5}$; $park^{25}$ flies displayed minimal thorax indention phenotype but retained the $park^{25}$ bent wing phenotype (S2C Fig). One explanation for the divergent results compared to Lee et al. [29] could be differences in the genetic background. Therefore the gifted $sting^{ARG5}$; $park^{25}$ stock underwent eight generations of outcrossing to the w^{1118} stock followed by single-male fly crosses to a double balancer stock as described in detail in the Materials and Methods section. Resulting fly lines that retained the $sting^{ARG5}$ allele and the $park^{25}$ allele, as tested with PCR, were self-crossed to test the resulting homozygous progeny. In the outcrossed stocks, loss of sting suppressed both the thorax indentation and bent wings of the *parkin* flies, compared to $sting^{ARG5}/+(heterozygous)$; $park^{25}(homozygous)$ siblings (S2B and S2C Fig). Therefore, it appears that a yet-unknown background difference could contribute to the severity of the $park^{25}$ phenotypes in $sting^{ARG5}$ mutant flies.

STING influences the underlying mitochondria pathology in parkin flies

Defects in *parkin*-null flies include disrupted mitochondrial morphology in indirect flight muscles (IFM) [14–17]. This has been linked to dysfunctional mitochondrial dynamics attributed both to blocking of mitochondrial autophagy [36,37] and to disruption of mitochondria fusion and fission dynamics [20,38]. To assess the mitochondrial health in the *parkin*^{-/-} and *sting*^{-/-} flies, we examined the IFM-associated mitochondria in thoraces of young flies (3–5 days post-eclosion) using Alexa Fluor488-labeled streptavidin to visualize mitochondria (Fig 2) [39,40]. As previously reported, *park*²⁵ and *park*¹ mutants possess disrupted morphology, with interrupted mitochondrial networks and the appearance of large swollen



Fig 1. STING mediates flight muscle degeneration in *parkin*^{-/-} **flies.** (A) Representative images of the thoracic muscle indentation. The *sting*^{ARG5} allele crossed to either null *parkin* allele (yellow arrows) rescues the thoracic defects of *park*²⁵ and *park*¹ mutants (red arrow). All flies were generated in or crossed to a wild type w^{1118} stock (**B & C**) Quantification of the thoracic indentations (B) or the downward bent wing posture (C) in the indicated genotypes. In all graphs, bars represent the percentage of flies displaying the indicated phenotype, numbers within or juxtaposed to the bars indicate the number of flies scored per genotype (n), and the error bars represent the 95% confidence interval for the population proportion. (**D**) Scatter plots of quantifications for negative geotaxis assays in the indicated genotypes. Each data point represents the mean of at least 3 technical replicate assays with a group of 15 to 20 flies. Horizontal bars indicate the mean of 5 independent biological replicas per genotype. Error bars display the standard deviation. (**E**) Example images for *pink1*⁵, *pink1*⁵, *sting*^{ARG5} and *pink1*^{B9}; *sting*^{ARG5} male flies. Note that the loss of *sting* slightly affects the pink1-null phenotypes, in contrast to the strong level of suppression seen in *parkin* mutant combinations. (**F & G**) Quantification of the thorax indention and wing posture defect phenotypes in *pink1*^{-/y}, *sting*^{ARG5} flies. In all graphs, bars represent the percentage of flies displaying the indicated phenotype, numbers indicate the number of flies scored per genotype, and the error bars represent the 95% confidence interval for the population proportion. Significance was determined using Fisher's Exact Test for differences between population proportions. Significant p-values are indicated on the graphs.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1010828.g001

mitochondria aggregates (Fig 2C and 2E). These defects were substantially suppressed when the *sting*^{ARG5} allele was crossed to either of the *park-null* alleles (Fig 2D and 2F), whereas loss of *sting* alone had no mitochondrial disruption compared to controls (Fig 2A and 2B). Blinded scoring of the IFM mitochondria integrity in randomized examples of ten thoraces





Fisher's Test Summary

н

Group1	Group2	p-value	adj. p-value Sig?
w1118	park1	1.19E-04	TRUE
w1118	park25	1.08E-05	TRUE
w1118	stingRG5	1.00E+00	FALSE
w1118	stingRG5_park1	7.14E-04	TRUE
w1118	stingRG5_park25	3.10E-03	TRUE
stingRG5	park1	2.27E-04	TRUE
stingRG5	park25	2.17E-05	TRUE
stingRG5	stingRG5_park1	5.48E-03	FALSE
stingRG5	stingRG5_park25	1.98E-02	FALSE
park25	stingRG5_park1	1.58E-03	TRUE
park25	stingRG5_park25	1.09E-03	TRUE
park25	park1	1.00E+00	FALSE
park1	stingRG5_park1	3.14E-03	TRUE
park1	stingRG5_park25	7.95E-03	FALSE
stingRG5_park25	stingRG5_park1	1.00E+00	FALSE

Fig 2. Mitochondrial defects in *parkin*^{-/-} **flight muscles are suppressed by mutation of** *sting.* Representative micrographs from indirect flight muscle tissue in w^{1118} (**A**) and *sting*^{ARG5} (**B**) thoraces, and in flies homozygous for either of the *parkin*-null alleles (**C** and **E**). Loss of *sting* mitigates the swollen mitochondria defects in *park*²⁵ and *park*¹ muscles (**D** and **F**). Staining of mitochondria was performed with AlexaFluor488-conjugated streptavidin and actin bundles were visualized with iFluor647-conjugated phalloidin. Each image is a single 1µm confocal slice. All scale bars represent 10µM. Images were linearly adjusted for brightness and contrast to avoid obscuring morphology (**A**²–**F**²) 2X digital zoom of the corresponding mitochondria image, indicated with white dotted box. (**G**) Quantification of mitochondria morphology with blinded analysis from 10 examples per genotype, presented randomly. Data displays the percentage of thoraces in each category for each indicated genotype. (**H**) Summary of Fisher's Exact Test's from data presented in G. Key significant comparisons are highlighted in yellow. Adj p-value < 3.33E-03 was used for cutoff.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1010828.g002

per genotype reveals that although the mitochondria aggregation is partially suppressed, loss of *sting* does not completely restore mitochondria health (Fig 2G). These results suggest a role for STING function upstream or in parallel to the mitochondrial damage phenotypes in *parkin*^{-/-} flies.

Ubiquitous expression of STING reverts loss of STING but overexpression alone does not further exaggerate *parkin* mutant phenotypes

To test specificity for loss of *sting* in suppressing the *parkin^{-/-}* phenotypes, flies were generated to restore expression of STING in the *sting*^{$\Delta RG5};$ *park*^{<math>25} background. The *park*²⁵ allele was</sup> recombined with a pAttB-UAS-STING-V5 transgene and with the ubiquitous driver Daughterless-Gal4 (Da.Gal4). Overexpression of STING with Da.Gal4 in parkin wild-type animals had no effect on parkin-related thorax phenotypes or mitochondria morphology (Fig 3B and 3D). These two chromosomes were moved into the $sting^{\Delta RG5}$ mutant background, and then crossed together. The progeny expressing STING-V5 in a sting^{-/-} and parkin^{-/-} mutant background had high penetrance of thorax indentations and bent-down wings compared with sibling flies or progeny from a control cross to sting $^{\Delta RG5}$; park 25 with no Gal4 (Fig 3A-3C, 3G and 3H). The slightly increased proportion of bent wings and small disruptions in the mitochondria networks in the sting ARG5 ; park $^{25/25}$, UAS-STING flies are potentially due to "leaky" expression of the UAS-STING allele. Additionally, hs70-Gal4 driven expression of STING-V5 in park²⁵ mutant flies did not affect the severity of the thorax indentations or mitochondria morphology (Fig 3B and 3F). Together, these results indicate that STING is involved in development of muscle degeneration of *parkin^{-/-}* flies but increasing expression of STING is not sufficient to induce damage.

Apoptosis is reduced in *sting*; *parkin* flies, whereas phosphorylated Ub is elevated

Apoptotic nuclei appear in the IFM of *parkin* mutant flies shortly following enclosing and cell death persists throughout adulthood [14,17]. To test whether loss of STING protects flies from muscle apoptosis, thoraces from flies aged one-day post-eclosion were dissected and TUNEL staining was performed to detect apoptotic nuclei (Fig 4A). A high number of TUNEL-positive nuclei were observed in the *park*²⁵ mutant flies (Fig 4B). Loss of *sting* significantly suppressed the number of TUNEL-positive nuclei (Fig 4A and 4B), suggesting that STING is promoting apoptosis in the *parkin* mutant flies.

To assess whether activation of the PINK1/Parkin pathway was affected in STING-null flies, western blotting for phosphorylated-Serine65 of Ubiquitin was performed (Fig 4C). No change was detected in the amount of p-S65-Ub due to loss of *sting* alone (Fig 4D). Consistent with a previous report, *park*²⁵ mutants display a high amount of p-S65-Ub, attributed to high basal PINK1 activity and decreased capacity to degrade ubiquitinated proteins via the proteasome or mitophagy [41]. We confirmed this result and replicated this in *park*¹ mutants as well. Deletion of *sting* in either of the *parkin* mutant backgrounds further increased the amount of p-S65-Ub (Fig 4D). Western blots on protein samples isolated from dissected thoraxes, with the gut tract removed, confirmed that a similar increase in p-S65-Ub occurs in the thoracic wing muscle of *sting*^{ARG5}; *park*²⁵ mutants (S3A and S3B Fig). As it was unclear whether the rescued mitochondrial morphology and decreased cell death contributes to the increase in the relative amount of p-Ubiquitin, we tested these samples already normalized for total protein levels for the mitochondrial respiratory Complex V subunit ATP5 α (S3C Fig). ATP5 α levels were slightly lowered in *pink1* and both *parkin* mutants, and deletion of *sting* slightly increased amount of ATP5 α (S3C and S3D Fig). When p-Ubiquitin is normalized to the relative amount



Fig 3. Overexpression of STING reverts suppression of *park* phenotypes from deletion of *sting* without further increasing phenotype severity. (A) Examples of flies from crosses testing over-expression of a UAS-STING transgene. Re-expression of STING with the ubiquitous *daughterless*-Gal4 restored the *parkin* phenotypes in an otherwise *sting*^{-/-}; *park*^{-/-} background. (B & C) Quantification of thorax indentation and wing posture phenotypes in multiple UAS-STING overexpressing flies. Overexpression of UAS_Sting with da.Gal4 did not result in wing or thorax defects in wild-type flies (harboring two copies of parkin). Note that with the *hs70*-Gal4 driver, overexpression of Sting in *sting* wildtype but *parkin* mutant flies did not increase the severity or proportions of *parkin* mutant thorax defects. Error bars represent the 95% confidence interval for the population proportion and the numbers indicate number of flies scored. (D-H) Representative images of mitochondria morphology in IFM samples of the indicated genotypes. Samples were imaged and examined in a blinded manner. All scale bars represent 10µM and the images were linearly adjusted for brightness and contrast to avoid obscuring morphology.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1010828.g003

of mitochondria protein, the difference in *parkin* flies and the *sting*; *parkin* double mutant flies is less severe, although still increased, than observed in the un-normalized data (Figs 4D and S3E). Together these results demonstrate that deletion of STING does not suppress phosphorylation of Ubiquitin at Ser65, and that this Pink1-mediated pathway remains activated. We also assessed the levels of p62 (dm: *ref(2)p*, hs: *SQSTM*), a major autophagy receptor in flies, which has been implicated in regulation of *pink1/parkin*-dependent mitophagy [42] and overexpression of p62 suppresses mitochondria dysfunction in muscles associated with aging [43]. Western blotting against p62 reveals an increase in p62 in the rescued *sting*^{ARG5}; *park*²⁵ animals (S3F and S3G Fig), coinciding with the observed increase in pSer65-Ub and prevention of mitochondria turnover.



Fig 4. Loss of STING suppresses cell death without preventing Ubiquitin phosphorylation. (A) Example images of TUNEL staining on thoracic muscle from the indicated genotypes. Images are max projection stacks of 10 slices at 1 μ m step size. Scale bars represent 50 μ m. (B) Quantification of relative number of TUNEL-Positive Nuclei. Data is graphed as number of positive nuclei per μ m³ volume of muscle quantified from phalloidin staining. N = 3 biological replicas, Significance was determined based on the results of the Kruskal-Wallis test, with Dunn's multiple comparisons test. (C) Normalized protein lysates from 5 adults flies of the indicated genotypes were subjected to SDS-PAGE followed by Western blotting for pSer65 Ubiquitin. (D) Quantification of lanes from pSer65-Ub western blots. N = 3 biological replicas, Significance was determined based on the results of an 1-way ANOVA, followed by Bonferroni's multiple comparison's testing.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1010828.g004

Canonical STING signaling is not activated in young parkin flies

STING is reported to act upstream of the NF- κ B transcription factor Relish in Drosophila and regulate both anti-bacterial and anti-viral responsive genes [31,44–46]. We assayed STING-dependent response genes in *parkin* mutants and control flies and observed no aberrant activation of the STING-regulated anti-viral genes *srg2* (CG42825) and *srg3* (CG33926) in *parkin^{-/-}*

flies with RT-qPCR (S4A-S4D Fig). To test the hypothesis that decreased relish signaling is involved in the rescue of the *parkin* fly phenotype by deletion of *sting*, we generated fly lines with the $park^{25}$ and rel^{E20} null deletions recombined [47]. This combination of homozygous mutants results in lethality as among greater than 200 flies collected from three independent recombined lines, no homozygous park²⁵, rel^{E20} flies were observed (S4E Fig). We hypothesize that, since $park^{-1}$ flies are hypersensitive to bacteria propagation [48,49], the combination of defects from loss of rel result in synthetic lethality, possibly distinct from the role of sting-mediated immune responses in park^{-/-} flies. Further, an allele harboring null mutations of two cGAS-Like receptors, cGLR1^{ko} and cGLR2^{ko} [50] failed to completely replicate the loss of *sting* with regards to the *parkin¹* phenotypes (S4F Fig). In the *cGLR1^{ko}*, *cGLR2^{ko}*; *parkin¹* flies, only a minor decrease of the thorax phenotype penetrance was observed, and there was no effect on the severity of the wing posture defects. We then assayed levels of mtDNA, a putative, yet untested, cGLR-activating signal. From total column-purified DNA samples, the mtDNA copy number (normalized to nuclear DNA levels) was significantly lowered in *parkin* mutants, compared to the w^{1118} background controls. Loss of *sting* returned these mtDNA levels to approximately that of wild-type (S4G Fig). This supports the hypothesis that the disruption of mitochondrial homeostasis in *parkin* mutants is suppressed by deletion of *sting* (see also Fig 2). These findings and the evidence that loss of STING prevents the mitochondria morphology defects suggest that STING's role in the *parkin^{-/-}* flies may be separate from the reported function in anti-viral innate immunity.

Transcriptomes of $sting^{ARG5}$; $park^{25}$ flies implicate additional stressresponse and innate immune pathways

The unexpected result of the improved mitochondria morphology and the lack of an increase in STING-regulated expression of two anti-viral genes in the *park* mutant suggests more complex models for the suppression of *park* phenotypes when *sting* is mutated. Therefore, we performed RNA-sequencing to compare the transcriptomes of the sting^{ΔRG5}, park²⁵, and sting^{$\Delta RG5$}; park²⁵ mutant flies, using the shared background stock w¹¹¹⁸ as our wild-type control. Samples of total RNA from ten age-matched male flies (4-5 days post-eclosion) were prepared for RNA-sequencing. Following sequencing and preliminary analysis for quality control, at least two independent replicas per group were used for differential gene expression and gene set enrichment analysis. We verified that the expression levels of the STING-regulated genes srg2 (CG42825) and srg3 (CG33926) were significantly lower in both sting mutant groups and found that, in contrast, anti-viral srg1 is slightly increased in park²⁵ mutant flies and not in sting^{ARG5}; park²⁵ mutant flies (S4H Fig). Some of IMD/Relish mediated antimicrobial peptides, previously linked to STING activity following infection with Listeria monocytogenes [31], were shown to be elevated in the park mutants, which matches prior reports of AMP activity in *parkin* flies (S4H Fig). The most significantly upregulated GO term category in the *park* vs wildtype transcriptome comparison is antibacterial humoral response (Fig 5A). Thus, although it remains unclear if STING-mediated transcriptional responses are involved in the *parkin* fly phenotypes, if so, it would appear that antibacterial responses would be more important than anti-viral responses.

Compared with the wild-type controls, *parkin* mutant samples have consistently lower expression of genes involved in mitochondrial respiration (Fig 5A, left panel), and expression of these genes was rescued in the double mutants (Fig 5A, right panel). One significantly enriched gene set in the double mutant flies is genes involved in glutathione metabolic processes (GO:0006749, KEGG: N00904) (Figs 5A and 5B and S5B). Compared to wild-type controls, *parkin* flies also have increased heat-responsive and humoral immune-response genes



Fig 5. Transcriptomic profiling reveals a role for pro-survival and stress responsive genes in suppressing *parkin* **phenotypes.** (**A**) Gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) for RNA-sequencing results, comparing either (left) *parkin* to wild-type control, or (right) the *sting*^{ARG5}; *park*²⁵ double knockout to *parkin* mutants. Enriched Gene Ontology (GO) terms are displayed as a dot plot, separating biological processes (bp) from cellular component sets (CC). Count indicates number of genes in the GO set, and p-values represent the adjusted p-value using the BH method. Note that in the double knockout vs *parkin* set the only significantly enriched sets were activated (higher in the double mutant). (**B**). Ridgeplots of the results from GSEA with the KEGG classifications network. Graphs indicate the distribution of expression levels for significantly enriched KEGG sets for analysis of *parkin* compared to wild-type samples, and *sting*^{ARG5}; *park*²⁵ to *parkin* mutants. (**C**) Gene concept network after overrepresentation analysis (ORA) of the top differentially expressed genes between the *sting*^{ARG5}; *park*²⁵ and *parkin* sets. Significance was determined with an adjusted FDR cutoff of 0.05 and Log2FC cutoff of 2. (D) Heatmap plot indicating expression levels of the top differentially expressed genes between the *sting*^{ARC5}; *park*²⁵ double

knockout and *parkin* mutants, graphed by gene and gene family set. (E) Activity assays for GST conjugation from thorax lysates of 10 flies of the specified genotype. Data is shown as percent activity compared to the positive purified GST control. Results from four biological replicas. Significance was determined based on the results of 1-way ANOVA, followed by Bonferroni's multiple comparison's test.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1010828.g005

(Fig 5A, left panel). Examination of the highest enriched genes (Figs 5C and 5D and S5C) suggests that these changes come in part from higher expression of Turandot genes, a family of heat-response and oxidative stress-induced genes [51]. TotA and TotC are highly enriched in the sick *parkin* mutant flies, are significantly decreased in the double mutants, and lowest expressed in the two control groups (w^{1118} and *sting*^{-/-}) (Figs 5D, S5C and S5D).

Due to a previously established connection to *parkin* phenotypes, we hypothesized that increased GST activity could lessen the burden of toxic species in the *sting*^{$\Delta RG5$}; *park*²⁵ double mutant animals. The relative activity of GST enzymes in fly thorax protein extracts was investigated using a GST enzymatic assay. Loss of either *parkin* or *sting* had a slight nonsignificant increase in GST activity, however loss of both genes resulted in an increase of approximately 2-fold activity compared to the wild-type samples (Fig 5E). Given this relatively small increase in GST activity in the adult flies there may yet be additional undiscovered factors improving the health of these animals.

Discussion

Together, our findings support a non-canonical role for Drosophila STING in the pathogenesis of mitochondria dysfunction in *parkin*^{-/-} flies. Based on rescued mitochondria health and suppression of apoptosis, we propose that Drosophila STING is not responding solely to the presence of mitochondria-derived damage signals in the *parkin* mutants. These findings suggests that instead in flies there is an indirect role for STING or additional STING-induced genes in propagating upstream mitochondria-damage-induced signaling or indirectly promoting apoptosis. Additionally, there may also be a general dysregulation of autophagy or intraorganellar signaling in the STING-null mutants, as recent studies show that STING modulates autophagy [45,52,53] and lipid dependent starvation responses [54]. Boosting of autophagy through expression of ATG1 has also been shown to prevent mitochondria aggregation and rescue phenotypes of the *parkin*^{-/-} flies [38]. We have identified a significant increase in p62 levels in the double mutant animals, suggesting either a block in autophagic turnover, or increased expression of p62. Increased amounts of p62 promotes longevity in flies [42,43] and promotes pro-survival NRF2 (CnC in flies) activity through an inhibitory interaction with the NRF2 regulator KEAP1 [55,56].

Previous work demonstrates that Drosophila STING's function in innate immunity requires activation of the IMD (immune deficiency) pathway leading to increased Relish (NFκB) signaling and this activation is partly dependent on the Drosophila IKKβ homologue [44,46]. Supporting this requirement for IKK signaling, the Drosophila IKKε homologue has been demonstrated to interact genetically with *parkin* mutations, as loss of IKKε suppressed the *parkin* wing and thorax phenotypes [57]. IMD/Rel-induced AMPs were previously upregulated in a transcriptomic study of *parkin*^{-/-} mutants [27] and such AMPs are reported to promote neurodegeneration in aging animals [58]. A possible mechanism for our observations is that minor damage to mitochondria could signal through STING to induce antimicrobial gene expression that feeds back on mitochondria to cause unmitigable damage when Parkin is absent. The RNA-Seq analysis revealed a slightly increased expression of AMPs that are regulated by the canonical IMD/Rel pathway- including Attacin (*AttA*) and Diptericin (*DptA*)- or the MyD88/Toll pathway- Drosomycin (*Drs*) and Metchnikowin (*Mtk*)- in young *parkin*^{-/-} flies. However, as tested with qPCR (S4A–S4D Fig), and corroborated with RNA-seq results (S4H Fig), the expression levels of two anti-viral STING-regulated genes were not consistently increased in the adult *park*⁻²⁵ samples. Combination of a mutant allele lacking two cGAS-like Receptors *cGLR1* and *cGLR2* with the *parkin*¹ mutant animal has a slight, but significant suppression of the *parkin* mutant thorax indention penetrance, indicating that cGLR1/cGLR2 may be dispensable for the role of STING in the fly *parkin* phenotype. There exist additional cGAS-like-receptors and knockout of all these simultaneously in *parkin* flies would be intriguing, yet technically challenging [50,59,60]. Recent evidence suggests that *Drosophila* STING possesses additional functions independent of the canonical activation of NF-kB innate immune signaling genes, such as regulation of autophagy or metabolism related pathways [45,53,54].

RNA-sequencing results and previously published microarray data from sting mutants [54] supports that there are additional cellular pathways dysregulated in flies lacking *sting* besides immune-related genes. The observed increase in Glutathione S-transferase enzyme expression could convey cytoprotective antioxidant buffering to the double mutant flies. Elevated expression of Glutathione S-transferases [32,61,62], toxic metal responsive genes such as MTF-1 [63], and increased activity of the antioxidative stress KEAP1/NRF2 pathway -which regulates GST gene expression-have each been demonstrated to suppress muscle and/or climbing phenotypes in *parkin* or *pink1* mutant flies [32,61–64]. A previously published dataset (GEO accession #GSE167164) shows an upregulation in anti-toxin and antipesticide genes such as GstD1 and Cytochrome p450 family members in sting-/- mutants [54]. The transcriptomic analysis we performed did not reveal as strong of a change in these genes between the $sting^{\Delta RG5}$ mutant and the wildtype control, however, we did observe a significant increase of GstE1, GstE11, and GstD2 in the sting^{ARG5}; park²⁵ mutant samples. Furthermore, *sting* mutant flies were shown to have metabolic changes related to β -oxidation and lipid storage, which may influence mitochondria bioenergetics and promote antioxidant responses [54]. We propose that an increase in oxidative stress responses and GST activity could contribute to the improved outcomes of $sting^{ARG5}$; $park^{25}$ animals, however there may yet be additional signaling factors during the developmental larval and pupal stages.

Additionally, it remains unknown exactly why loss of *sting* fails to rescue *pink1* at the same degree observed in *parkin* mutant alleles. We hypothesize that Parkin-independent components are contributing to the muscle degeneration in *pink1* mutants, therefore loss of *sting* fails to suppress these phenotypes completely. A recent study on *pink1* mutant flies implicate a different DNA-recognition receptor, EYA, as contributing to the severity of some neuronal and gut-based *pink1* mutant phenotypes through regulation of Relish signaling [65]. This contribution may be similar or completely independent of STING's function in *parkin* pathology. Additionally, our observed differences in the amount of Ubiquitin phosphorylation could reflect increased amounts of Pink1 activity, the Ub substrates, or a decrease in deubiquinating enzymes. The molecular details of phosphorylated-Ub regulation remains of high interest to the *pink1/parkin* field [41,66], reviewed recently in [67].

In summary, loss of *sting* in flies suppresses the severe phenotypes of *parkin* mutants, through a mechanism(s) independent of the canonical role in innate immunity signaling. The candidate pathways supported by our data includes anti-oxidative stress responses and activation of cell death pathways. These underlying changes to the transcriptional landscape in *sting*^{-/-} flies necessitates further study to better understand the role of stress-responsive genes in mitigating mitochondrial and oxidative damage during fly development or disease.

Materials and methods

Experimental subject details

Publicly available fly stocks (details in Table 1) were acquired from Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center (BDSC, Bloomington, IN). Experimental genotypes (see S1 Table for all genotypes) were made using classical genetics, utilizing the balancer chromosomes from w^{1118} ; wg^{Sp-1}/CyO ; *MKRS/TM6b*, *hu* (BDSC stock #76357) when necessary. The null *sting*^{ARG5} allele was gifted from Dr. Alan Goodman, Washington State University and was previously described [31]. The *park*²⁵ allele was acquired from Dr. Alicia Pickrell, Virginia Tech University, and originally generated by Dr. Leo Pallanck, University of Washington [14]. All *park*²⁵ mutant animals were maintained as heterozygous over the *TM6b*, *Hu* balancer and routinely checked with PCR for presence of the deletion. A second stock of *sting*^{ARG5}; *park*²⁵/*TM6b*, *hu* flies were gifted to us from Dr. Alexander Whitworth. Male flies from these stocks were crossed to a w¹¹¹⁸ background, then outcrossed for 6 further generations. After each other generation, single male flies used in crosses were checked for PCR after the cross was seeded, and only the ones carrying the *park*²⁵ allele were selected. After 7 generations, single males were crossed to the double balanced stock for maintaining the outcrossed alleles, and again, PCR was used to confirm the presence of the *park*²⁵ allele.

pink1[5]/FM7 female flies were outcrossed to *w*[1118] males. After the first cross, freely recombining *pink1[5]/w*[1118] females were crossed to a *FM7/y*; CyO/+ male to ensure the X-chromosome *pink1[5]* allele was recovered. From there, multiple *pink1[5]/FM7*; +/CyO flies were crossed with the *sting*[*RG5*] allele to generate the *pink1[5]/FM7*; *sting*[*RG5]/CyO* candidate lines then PCR and phenotyping was used to confirm the *pink1* genotype. *pink1[B9]/FM7* females were crossed with a FM7; *sting*[*RG5]/CyO* male for two generations to generate *the pink1[B9]/FM7*; *sting*[*RG5]/CyO* stock. All flies used in experiments were raised on vials or bottles with Jazz Mix food (Thermo Fisher Scientific, P/N AS153), reconstituted in MilliQ water and prepared per recipe instructions. For experiments, flies were raised in a 25°C incubator on a standard L:D cycle with humidity control.

Generating new UAS-STING-V5 alleles

For generating the pUASTattB_UAS_STING insertion, the *sting* cDNA was PCR-amplified from LP14056 BDGP gold cDNA (DGRC stock #1064136, FlyBaseID: FBcl0189577, RRID: DGRC_1064136) and subcloned into a modified pUAST-attB (DGRC Stock #1419, RRID: DGRC_1419) with NEBuilder HiFi DNA Assembly Mix (New England Biolabs, P/N E2621). The C-terminal V5 sequence had been inserted with two annealed oligos ligated into the XhoI and XbaI sites on pUASTattB. After verifying with sequencing, plasmids were sent to BestGene (BestGene Inc. Chino Hills, CA) for injection services using 62E1 attP landing site flies, BDSC stock #9748. The Phi31C source was removed, and the mini-white positive progeny were used to established balanced lines. The UAS-STING allele was recombined with the *park*²⁵ allele, and then assayed with PCR genotyping and verified with outcross and observation of the *parkin* homozygous phenotype.

Wing muscle and thorax phenotyping

Flies were anesthetized with CO_2 and thoraces were examined under a dissecting microscope. Flies were scored for thorax shape and wing posture within the first 5 minutes of anesthesia. Blinding of genotypes to observer was performed when practical, including all of the initial assays involving the key genotypes in Fig 1.

Table 1. Materials and Critical Resources.

REAGENT or RESOURCE	SOURCE	IDENTIFIER
Antibodies	·	·
Phospho-Ubiquitin (Ser65) (E2J6T) Rabbit monoclonal Ab	Cell Signaling Technology	Cat #62802; RRID:AB_2799632
Anti-alpha-Tubulin Mouse Monoclonal Ab	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat #T6074; RRID:AB_477582
V5-Tag (D3H8Q) Rabbit monoclonal Antibody	Cell Signaling Technology	Cat #13202; RRID:AB_2687461
Anti ATP5α Mouse monoclonal Ab [15H4C4]	Abcam	Cat # ab14748; RRID: AB_301447
Anti p62/ref(2)p- Rabbit polyclonal	Gift from H. Kramer, UTSW.	N/A
IRDye 800CW Goat anti-Rabbit IgG	LI-COR Biosciences	Cat #926–32211; RRID: AB_621843
IRDye 680RD Goat anti-Mouse IgG	LI-COR Biosciences	Cat #926–68070; RRID: AB_10956588
Amersham ECL Donkey anti-Rabbit IgG, HRP-linked whole Ab	Cytiva	Cat #NA934; RRID:AB_772206
Amersham ECL Sheep anti-Mouse IgG, HRP-linked whole Ab	Cytiva	Cat #NA931; RRID:AB_772210
Bacterial and virus strains		
DH5alpha Competent Cells- Escherichia coli	New England Biosciences	Cat #C2987H
Chemicals, peptides, and recombinant proteins		
Alexa Fluor 488-Streptavidin	Jackson ImmunoResearch	Cat # 016-540-084
Phalloidin-iFluor 647 Conjugate	Cayman Chemical Company	Cat #20555
16% Paraformaldehyde Aqueous	Electron Microscopy Sciences	Cat #15710
Prolong Gold Antifade Solution	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat #P36930
Ponceau Red Total Protein Stain	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat #A40000279
Amersham ECL	Cytiva	Cat #RPN2232
SuperSignal Femto ECL	Thermo Scientific	Cat #34095
Tri Reagant	Zymo Research	Cat #R2050-1-200
cOmplete Protease Inhibitor cocktail	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat #4693159001
PageRuler Plus Prestained 10 250kDa Protein Ladder	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat #26620
Commercial assays		
Glutathione S- transferase Assay Kit	Cayman Chemical Company	Cat No. 703302
DirectZol	Zymo Research	Cat No. R2050
High Capacity Reverse Transcription Kit	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat #4368814
Applied Biosystems PowerUp SYBR Green Master Mix	Fisher Scientific	Cat #A25776
Itaq Universal Probes Supermix	Bio-Rad	Cat #1725130
Quick-DNA Miniprep Plus Kit	Zymo Research	Cat #D4068
Q5 High-Fidelity DNA Polymerase kit	New England Biosciences	Cat #E0555S
NEBuilder HiFi DNA Assembly Master Mix	New England Biosciences	Cat #E2621
TmT-Red Cell Death Detection Kit	Roche (Sigma-Aldrich)	Cat #12156792910
Experimental models: Organisms/strains		
D. melanogaster: w[1118]	Gift from Dr. Alicia Pickrell	FBID: FBal0018186
D. melanogaster: w[1118]; sting[ΔRG5]	Gift from Dr. Alan Goodman; Martin et. al. 2018 ³⁰	FBal0340353
D. melanogaster: w[1118]; sting[Δ RG5]; TM6B/TM3 Ser	This Study	N/A
D. melanogaster: w[1118]; P{GAL4-hsp70}; park[25]/TM6B	Gift from Dr. Alicia Pickrell; Sharraf et.al 2019 ⁵³ . <i>park</i> 25 originally reported in Green et.al. 2003 ¹³	FBID: FBal0146938
D. melanogaster: w[1118];; park[25]/TM6B	This paper	N/A
D. melanogaster: w[1118]; sting[Δ RG5]; park[25]/TM6B	This paper	N/A
D. melanogaster: w[1118]; sting[Δ RG5]; park[1]/TM6B	This paper	N/A

(Continued)

Table 1. (Continued)

REAGENT or RESOURCE	SOURCE	IDENTIFIER
D. melanogaster: w[1118];; park[1]/TM3	Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center, Cha et.al., 2005 ¹⁴	RRID: BDSC_34747 FBID: FBal0189571
D. melanogaster: y[1] w[1118];; P{mW+ UAS-Sting[WT]}	This Study, injections from BestGene, Camarillo, CA	AttP Source: RRID: BDSC_9748
D. melanogaster: w[1118]; sting[ΔRG5]; P{mW+ UAS-Sting[WT]}	This paper	N/A
D. melanogaster: w[1118]; sting[Δ RG5]; park[25] P{mW+ UAS-Sting[WT]}/ TM6B	This paper	N/A
D. melanogaster: w*;; P{GAL4-da.G32}UH1 Sb1/TM6B	Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center	RRID: BDSC_55851; FBID: FBst0055851;
D. melanogaster: w[1118]; sting[Δ RG5]; P{GAL4-da.G32}UH1 Sb1	This paper	N/A
D. melanogaster: w[1118]; sting[ΔRG5]; park[25] P{GAL4-da.G32}UH/ TM6B	This paper	N/A
<i>D. melanogaster</i> : w [*] ; sting[ΔRG5]/CyO; park[25]/TM6B	Gift from Dr. Alexander Whitworth; Lee et.al. 2020 ²⁸	N/A
D. melanogaster: relish[E20]	Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center	RRID:BDSC_55714
D. melanogaster: w*; CyO/Kr-lf; relish[E20] park25/ TM6B	This paper	N/A
D. melanogaster: cGLR1, cGLR2 double-KO	Gift from Jean-Luc-Imler.; Holleufer A, et.al. 2021	N/A
D. melanogaster: cGLR1+2 KO/CyO; park1/TM6B	This Paper	N/A
D. melanogaster: w[1118]; wg[Sp-1]/CyO; MKRS/TM6B, Tb[1]	Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center	RRID: BDSC_76357
D. melanogaster: w [*] pink1[5]/FM7i, P{ActGFP}JMR3	Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center	RRID: BDSC_9748; FBID: FBal0196293
D. melanogaster: w [*] pink1[5]/FM7i; sting[ΔRG5]	This paper	FBID: FBal0196293
D. melanogaster: w [*] pink1[B9]/FM7	Gift from Ed Giniger, NIH, Bethesda. Originally from Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center	RRID: BDSC_34749 FBID: FBal0193144
D. melanogaster: w [*] pink1[B9]/FM7 sting[\DeltaRG5]	This paper	FBID: FBal0193144
Oligonucleotides		
Park25FWD: GATTGGCAACACTGAAGC	Greene et.al. 2005 ²⁶	N/A
Park25REV: CTTTACCATCCCCCAATCAA	Greene et.al. 2005 ²⁶	N/A
StingGeno FWD: ATTGTAGCCACCGTGTT	This paper	N/A
StingGeno REV: ACGTAATCTTTGGAATCGTT	This paper	N/A
StingcDNA-UAS: ACTCTGAATAGGGAATTGGGAATTCATGGCAATCGCTAGCAACG	This paper	N/A
StingcDNA-UAS REV: GGGGATGGGCTTGCCGGTACCGTTGGAAATTTCGTCAATAGTTTTGGTTTTGTTT	This paper	N/A
SRG2(CG42825)qPCR_FWD: GCGTTTTTGGCCCTTATTATG	Goto, A. et.al. 2018 ³⁹	N/A
SRG2(CG42825)qPCR_REV: CTTTTGTAGCCGACGCAGTG	Goto, A. et.al. 2018 ³⁹	N/A
SRG3(CG33926)qPCR_FWD: GCGACCGTCATTGGATTGG	Goto, A. et.al. 2018 39	N/A
SRG3(CG33926)qPCR_REV: TGATGGTCCCGTTGATAGCC	Goto, A. et.al. 2018 ³⁹	N/A
Sting_qPCR_FWD: CCTGATTGTGGGATTCCTTCTC	This paper	N/A
Sting_qPCR_REV: CATATCCAGTAGAGCGGCATTT	This paper	N/A
Parkin_qPCR_FWD: CACTCGTTCATCGAGGAGATTC	This paper	N/A
Parkin_qPCR_REV: ACCTGCCTGTAGGACATACT	This paper	N/A
RpL32_qPCR_Fwd: ATGCTAAGCTGTCGCACAAA	Martin et. al. 2018 ³⁰	N/A
RpL32_qPCR_Rev: GTTCGATCCGTAACCGATGT	Martin et. al. 2018 ³⁰	N/A
mtDNA(CoI)FWD: 5'-TTCTACCTCCTGCTCTTTCTTTAC	Andreazza et.al. 2019 68	N/A
mtDNA(CoI)REV: 5'-CAGCGGATAGAGGTGGATAAA	Andreazza et.al. 2019 68	N/A
mtDNA(CoI)probe: 5'-FAM-AATGGAGCTGGGACAGGATGAACT-BHQ	Andreazza et.al. 2019 68	N/A
RpL32FWD: 5'-CACCGGAAACTCAATGGATACT	Andreazza et.al. 2019 68	N/A

(Continued)

Table 1. (Continued)

REAGENT or RESOURCE	SOURCE	IDENTIFIER
RpL32REV: 5'-CACACAAGGTGTCCCACTAAT	Andreazza et.al. 2019 ⁶⁸	N/A
RpL32probe: 5'-HEX-CCAAGAAGCTAGCCCAACCTGGTT-BHO	Andreazza et.al. 2019 68	N/A
Recombinant DNA		
pUAST-attB_dSTING_V5	This paper	N/A
STING cDNA- LP14056 BDGP gold cDNA	N/A	RRID: DGRC_1064136; FBID: FBcl0189577
pUAST-attB	N/A	RRID: DGRC_1419
Software and algorithms		·
Graphpad Prism v.9.4.0	GraphPad	https://www.graphpad.com/ updates
FIJI (FIJI is Just ImageJ)	Schindelin, J.et.al. 2012 ⁶⁴	https://imagej.net/software/fiji/
CFX Manager Software	Bio-Rad Instruments	https://www.bio-rad.com/en-us/ product/previous-qpcr- software-releases?ID= OO2BB34VY
R 4.2.2	R Project	https://cran-archive.r-project. org/bin/
RStudio v. 2022.07.2	RStudio (Posit)	https://posit.co/products/open- source/rstudio/
clusterProfiler v 4.6.0	Yu, G et.al. 2012 ⁶²	https://doi.org/doi:10.18129/B9. bioc.clusterProfiler
D. melanogaster gene ontology categories	FlyBase	Version
Other		·
Jazz Mix Fly Food	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat #AS153
0.45 μm Nitrocellulose Membrane	BioRad	Cat #1620115
SurePAGE 4–12% Bis-Tris gels	GenScript	Cat #M00653

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1010828.t001

Geotaxis assays

Male flies were collected at 0-1d post-eclosion and aged 5–7 days before testing. For testing, 15 to 20 flies were added to empty 10cm vials, labeled randomly, and a key was generated to preserve identity of tested stocks. The vials were placed in a plastic holder and the flies were manually tapped to the bottom of the vials. The flies were recorded for 20–30 seconds post disruption. Videos were scored using ImageJ to mark and annotate individual flies. Climbing Index was calculated as the percentage of flies in a vial that climbed greater than 6cm of the vial during the observed 20 seconds post disruption. Five independent trials, each with three technical repeats, were performed for a total of at least 75 total flies per genotype.

Immunohistochemistry

Flies (3–5 days old) were anesthetized with CO_2 and thoraces were dissected away from the head and abdomen in cold phosphate buffered saline (PBS). The hemithoraces were bisected along the median plane, using a pair of microscissors (Fine Science Tools P/N 15006–09). Hemithoraces were fixing in 4% paraformaldehyde (Electron Microscopy Sciences P/N 15710) for 20 minutes at room temperature. After fixation, tissues were washed twice in PBS and then incubated twice for 10 minutes each in PBS with 0.1% TritonX (PBST). Tissues were then blocked in 5% goat serum diluted in PBST for 30 minutes, then incubated in AlexaFluor488--conjugated streptavadin (Jackson ImmunoResearch P/N 016-540-084) and

iFluor647-conjugated phalloidin (Cayman Chemical Company P/N 20555) overnight at 4°C on a rotator. Samples were then washed three times with PBST and once with PBS. Thoraces and separated muscle pieces were then mounted directly on a 1.5 coverslip in Prolong Gold AntiFade (Thermo Fisher Scientific P/N P36930) media. Images of mitochondria morphology were acquired on a Zeiss LSM 880 Airyscan confocal with a 63X/1.4 objective Plan-Apochromat (Carl Zeiss) at 2X digital zoom and a 34-channel GAsP detector. Airyscan processing was performed in ZEN Black software (Zeiss). Images were analyzed in ImageJ and adjusted linearly for contrast and brightness.

Western blotting

For each genotype, 5 flies (3-5 days old) were anesthetized with CO₂ and thoraces were isolated. Thoraces were homogenized in RIPA buffer supplemented with cOmplete EDTA-free Protease Inhibitor (Sigma-Aldrich P/N 04693159001) and PhosSTOP- phosphatase inhibitor tablet (Roche P/N 04906845001), and then samples were incubated for 10 minutes on ice. Samples were centrifuged at 10,000xG to remove tissue remains. Protein levels were quantified using the Pierce BCA protein assay kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific P/N 23228). The protein samples were normalized and then reduced by adding Lithium Dodecyl Sulfate sample buffer (GenScript P/N M00676-250) and 0.1M DTT then heating for 5 minutes at 99°C. Protein samples were separated on 4-12% SurePAGE, Bis-Tris gels (GenScript P/N M00654) and transferred to .45µM nitrocellulose membrane (BioRad P/N 1620115). Transfer efficiency and total protein amount was visualized using Ponceau S Staining Solution (Thermo Fisher Scientific P/N A40000279). Total protein images for each blot were acquired with ChemiDoc Imaging System (Bio-Rad Laboratories). Membranes were blocked for one hour with 3% milk or with 3% bovine serum albumin (Fisher Scientific P/N BP1600) (for pS65-Ub) in Tris-Buffered Saline with 0.1% Tween-20 (TBST), and probed overnight with the indicated antibody: anti-pUb(Ser65) (1:1000, rabbit polyclonal CST P/N 62802S), anti-a-Tubulin (1:4000, mouse mAb clone B-1-5-2, Millipore Sigma, P/N T5168), anti p62/ref(2)p (purified rabbit polyclonal Ab, a gift from Dr. Helmut Kramer, UT Southwestern Medical Center), ATP5α (1:4000, mouse mAb- Abcam P/N: ab14748) or V5-Tag D3H8Q (1:1000, Rabbit mAb Cell Signaling Technologies P/N 13202). After overnight incubation, membranes were washed 3 times with TBST and incubated for 1 hour with appropriate secondary antibodies diluted 1:10,000 in TBST+3% milk: HRP-coupled Donkey-anti-Rabbit or Sheepanti-mouse (GE Healthcare Life Sciences), Goat Anti-Rabbit IgG IRDye 800CW-Conjugated (LI-COR Biosciences P/N 926-32211), or Goat Anti-Mouse IgG Antibody IRDye 680RD-Conjugated (LI-COR Biosciences P/N 926-68070). Blots were washed three times with TBST before imaging. For p65Ser-Ub detection, HRP-conjugated secondaries were incubated with SuperSignal Femto ECL (Thermo Scientific P/N 34095) for 3 minutes and imaged with ChemiDoc Imaging System (Bio-Rad Laboratories). All other primary antibodies were visualized with HRP-conjugated secondaries and incubation with AMersham ECL (Cytiva, P/N RPN2232). IR-conjugated secondaries were incubated simultaneously for one hour, and the blots were visualized on a LICOR Odyssey Fc multichannel imager after three washes with TBST. All images were processed, adjusted linearly for brightness and contrast, and analyzed for lane densitometry in ImageJ. Quantifications for pSer65-Ub, ATP5 α , and p62/ref(2)p were first normalized to the intensity of the lane's total stain. Concerning ATP5 α : differences in optimal exposure times between replicas led to the necessity to represent data as percent of wild-type control in each experiment replica (S3D Fig). pSer65 Ub quantifications were divided by the mean relative amount of $ATP5\alpha$ for each genotype to approximate the amount of pSer65 per mitochondria (S3E Fig).

RNA isolations and RT-qPCR

RNA from 5 male flies were isolated using the Direct-zol RNA Miniprep kit (Zymo Research P/N R2050). Briefly, samples were homogenized in 300µL of Tri Reagant (Zymo Research P/N R2050-1-200) and then processed using the Zymo instructions for tissue samples. On-column DNAse treatments were performed before eluting the samples in 50µL of DPEC treated RNAse-free H₂O, according to the Zymo Direct-zol kit protocol (DNaseI supplied with Direct-Zol kit). Sample were tested for quantity and purity with Nanodrop. 500ng of RNA samples were used for reverse transcriptase reactions, using the High-Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific P/N 4368814). cDNA samples were diluted 1:5 before using in qPCR reactions. qPCR was performed using indicated qPCR primers (primer sequences can be found in Table 1) with PowerUp SybrGreen Master Mix (Applied Biosystems P/N A25742) using a BioRad CFX384 Touch Real-Time PCR Thermocycler. Raw data was exported from BioRad Manager then analyzed using the ddCT method with Excel. CT values were normalized to the housekeeping gene *rpl32* (also referred to as *rp49*) and then normalized to the wild-type control sample. Data from two to three independent biological replicas with three technical replicas per sample are presented.

mtDNA copy number assays

Total DNA was extracted from 10 male flies with the Quick DNA Miniprep Plus kit from Zymogen, according to the provided instructions. Quantification of mtDNA was performed using a multiplex TaqMan assays using validated probes against the mitochondrial gene mt: CoI and the nuclear-encoded gene rpL32 for reference [68]. Approx. 7ng of template DNA was used for each reaction. Primer details can be found in Table 1. qPCR reactions were performed on the BioRad 384CX system according to information provided for iTaqMan Supermix (BioRad P/N 1725130) with annealing temps at 60°C. Data was analyzed using the following method in Microsoft Excel: 1. mtDNA and nucDNA CT values were averaged from triplicate reactions. 2. Mitochondrial DNA content was normalized to nuclear DNA in each sample using the following equations: $\Delta CT = (nucDNA CT-mtDNA CT)$ then relative mitochondrial DNA content = $2 \times 2^{\Delta}\Delta CT$. Replica biological samples were collected and isolated on separate days. Technical replicas were performed in each qPCR reaction run. Data is presented relative to the average wild-type (w1118) mitochondrial copy number for each biological set. For statistical analysis, a 1-way ANOVA and multiple comparison testing between each of the experimental genotypes.

GST assays

Age matched flies were collected and raised 4–5 days under standard conditions. For the assay, thoraces were dissected from ten flies per genotype/treatment/per replica were collected and immediately put on ice. The thorax samples were homogenized in GST assay sample buffer (100mM buffered potassium phosphate solution, pH 7.0, with 2mM EDTA). Samples were centrifuged at 10,000 x g for 15 minutes at 4°C and supernatants were assayed for protein concentration using a Pierce BCA protein assay kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific P/N 23228). Samples were normalized and diluted to a protein concentration of $2\mu g/\mu L$, and Glutathione S-transferase activity was measured using a GST Assay Kit (Caymen Chemicals p/n 703302). After initiating the reactions, A_{340} was measured every minute for ten minutes. Rates of change were calculated from the plots of A_{340} vs. time, the blank well absorbance was subtracted from each, and then the activity rate (A_{340} /min) was converted to estimated GST activity with the

formula:

GST Activity(nmol/min/ml) =
$$\Delta A_{340}$$
/min. X 0.00503 μM^{-1}

The resulting estimated activities for each technical replica (3 per biological sample) were averaged together. For each biological replica, the provided purified GST enzyme was used as a positive control, and the resulting activity for each sample is represented as percent activity compared to the purified control. Graphed data represent normalized results from four repeated experiments.

Apoptosis detection staining

Apoptosis assays were performed on 3–4 day old flies, using the In-Situ Cell Death Detection Kit, TMR red (Roche P/N 12156792910), according to the manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, fly thoraces were dissected and bisected in freshly prepared PBS. Hemi-thoraces were fixed for 20 minutes in 4% Paraformaldehyde in PBS, pH 7.4, freshly prepared. Tissues were washed first in PBS and then in permeabilization solution (0.1% Triton X100 in 0.1% sodium citrate, freshly prepared) for 15 minutes. Samples were incubated in the TUNEL detection solution in a humidified atmosphere for 60 min at 37°C in the dark. Tissues were then washed 3 times in PBST and blocked in 5% goat serum and 3% BSA diluted in PBST for 30 minutes, then incubated in iFluor647-conjugated phalloidin (Cayman Chemical Company P/N 20555) overnight at 4°C on a rotator. Samples were washed 3 times with PBST and once with PBS. Thoraces and separated muscle pieces were then mounted directly on a 1.5 coverslip in Prolong Gold Anti-Fade (Thermo Fisher Scientific P/N P36930) media. Images of thoraces were acquired on a Zeiss LSM 880 Airyscan confocal at 20X magnification.

Quantification was performed using an ImageJ macro. In brief, stacks of 10 confocal sliced were used for max intensity projections. For each projected image, thresholding was applied to detect the phalloidin-labeled actin The muscle area was measured and the thresholded region was saved as a R.O.I. The same R.O.I. was used to count for the number of TUNEL-positive stained nuclei. The number of nuclei was then divided by the total area, to approximate the number of nuclei per μm^2 . TUNEL experiments were repeated two times, and the presented data represents biological replicas of at least 10 thoraces per genotype.

RNA sequencing and analysis

Flies were collected upon eclosion and aged 4 days in identical conditions, no more than 20 animals per vial. RNA from 10 male flies, per genotype and replica, were isolated using the Direct-zol RNA Miniprep kit (Zymo Research P/N R2050). Briefly, samples were homogenized in 300μ L of Tri Reagant (Zymo Research P/N R2050-1-200) and then processed using the kit instructions for tissue samples. On-column DNAse treatments were performed before eluting the samples in 50μ L of DPEC treated RNAse-free H₂O.

RNA-Seq library preparation and next generation sequencing

RNA-Seq services were provided by Zymo Research Services, using their Total-RNA-Seq protocol. RNA quality was assessed with the Agilent TapeStation System. Total RNA-Seq libraries were constructed from 100ng of total RNA. rRNA depletion was performed according to standard protocol. Libraries were prepared using the Zymo-Seq RiboFree Total RNA Library Prep Kit (Cat # R3000) according to the manufacturer's instructions. RNA-Seq libraries were sequenced on an Illumina NovaSeq to a sequencing depth of at least 30 million read pairs (150 bp paired-end sequencing) per sample.

RNA-Seq data bioinformatics analysis

The Zymo Research RNA-Seq pipeline was originally adapted from nf-core/rnaseq pipeline v1.4.2 (https://github.com/nf-core/rnaseq). The pipelines were built using Nextflow (https:// www.nextflow.io/).2). Briefly, quality control of reads was carried out using FastQC v0.11.9 (http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc). Adapter and low-quality sequences were trimmed from raw reads using Trim Galore! v0.6.6 (https://www. bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/trim_galore). Trimmed reads were aligned to the reference genome using STAR v2.6.1d (https://github.com/alexdobin/STAR) [69]. BAM file filtering and indexing was carried out using SAMtools v1.9 (https://github.com/samtools/ samtools) [70]. RNAseq library quality control was implemented using RSeQC v4.0.0 (http:// rseqc.sourceforge.net/) and QualiMap v2.2.2-dev (http://gualimap.conesalab.org/)) [71,72]. Duplicate reads were marked using Picard tools v2.23.9 (http://broadinstitute.github.io/ picard/). Library complexity was estimated using Preseq v2.0.3 (https://github.com/ smithlabcode/preseq). Duplication rate quality control was performed using dupRadar v1.18.0 (https://bioconductor.org/packages/dupRadar/) [73]. Reads overlapping with exons were assigned to genes using featureCounts v2.0.1 (http://bioinf.wehi.edu.au/featureCounts/). Classification of rRNA genes/exons and their reads were based on annotations and RepeatMasker rRNA tracks from UCSC genome browser when applicable. Differential gene expression analysis was completed using DESeq2 v1.28.0 (https://bioconductor.org/packages/DESeq2/) [74]. Quality control and analysis results plots were visualized using MultiQC v1.9 (https://github. com/ewels/MultiQC) [75].

Further analysis and visualizations on the processed data were performed in R and Bioconductor. ClusterProfiler v.4.6 and Enrichplot v.1.19.0.01 were used for gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) and plotting [76,77]. Heatmaps with normalized counts of highly enriched genes (absolute value of Log2 fold change greater than 3 and adjusted p.value less than 0.005) were generated with pHeatmap v.1.0.12. For all plots, ggplot2 v.3.4 and ggrepel v.0.9.2 were used for annotation. For ClusterProfiler GSEA analysis, cutoffs were: minGSSize = 50, maxGS-Size = 250, and Benjamini-Hochberg adjusted p.value <0.05.

Quantification and statistical analysis

Quantitative data was recorded, transcribed, and maintained in Microsoft Excel. Data set descriptions, exploration, statistics, and graphing was performed in Graphpad Prism v.9.3. Detailed data sets and all statistical test details are provided in S1 Data File. Details including data descriptors, sample size (n), and specific statistical tests can be found in the figure legends. Proportions of fly populations were tested with the Wilson-Brown method to determine 95% confidence intervals. For categorical data, such as mitochondria morphology scores, a Fisher's exact test was used to test for statistical significance between genotypes. Other quantitative data was assessed for normality using the Shapiro-Wilk test. For normally-distributed data, pvalues were calculated using a one-way ANOVA test followed by Bonferroni's or Dunnett's multiple comparison tests. The Kruskal-Wallis test, with Dunn's multiple comparisons test, was used for non-parametric data sets. For multiple comparison tests, significance between groups was determined as adjusted p-value less than 0.05. For all experiments, no prior sample size estimation was performed. Sample sizes were determined from previous studies. For all experiments, the collection of subjects (flies) in each genotype was randomized, and no inclusion/exclusion was performed. When practical and necessary, blinding of genotypes to observer was performed. All data quantification was done in a blind or automated manner.

RStudio v.2022.07.2 running R v.4.2.2 was used for processing of RNA-seq data and generating the resulting plots. Details of the analysis pipeline are available in the previous description of RNA Seq Analysis. Generally, significance was determined after Benjamini-Hochberg correction and at a level of adjusted p.value< 0.05. For microscopy experiments, raw Airyscan confocal images were acquired and processed in Zen Black (Zeiss). Images were analyzed in FIJI/ImageJ2 v.2.3.0 [78] and quantification was finished in Microsoft Excel and graphed with Graphpad Prism. Images and figures were arranged in either Microsoft Powerpoint or Inkspace (https://inkscape.org).

Resource availability

All unique/stable reagents and animal stocks generated in this study are available from the lead contact and will be made available on request.

Supporting information

S1 Fig. Verification of *parkin* **and** *sting* **mutant alleles.** Related to Fig 1. (PDF)

S2 Fig. Analysis and validation of an independent *sting*^{Δ RG5};*park*²⁵ stock. Related to Fig 1. (PDF)

S3 Fig. Measurements of phosphorylated Ubiquitin and p62 from mutant thorax samples. Related to $\underline{Fig 4}$.

(PDF)

S4 Fig. Analysis of Sting-regulated innate immunity in *park* **mutants.** Related to Fig 5. (PDF)

S5 Fig. RNA-Seq experimental details and additional sample comparisons. Related to Fig 5.

(PDF)

S1 Table. All *D. melanogaster* genotypes, listed by figure. (DOCX)

S1 Video. Geotaxis Assay Example–Used to quantify climbing activity in flies. Related to Fig 1. Genotypes from left to right (note: vials were randomly assigned and blinded): D: $sting^{\Delta RG5}$; $park^1$, C: $sting^{\Delta RG5}$, B: $park^1$, A: $sting^{\Delta RG5}$; $park^{25}$, E: w^{1118} . (MOV)

S1 Data File. Data for Figure Graphs.xlsx—Includes all plotted data and statistics. (XLSX)

S2 Data File. Appended Results file for RNA Sequencing.xlsx—Related to Fig 5. (XLSX)

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Hong Xu (NHLBI, NIH), Rachel Cox (Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences), and members of the Youle lab for feedback on the project and manuscript. Fly stocks were graciously provided by Alexander Whitworth (University of Cambridge), Leo Pallanck (University of Washington), Alicia Pickrell (Virginia Tech), Ed Giniger (NINDS, NIH), Jean-Luc Imler (Université de Strasbourg) and Alan Goodman (Washington State University). Dr. Helmut Krämer (UT Southwestern Medical Center) provided the polyclonal p62 antibody and valuable technical advice. Stocks obtained from the Bloomington

Drosophila Stock Center (NIH P40OD018537) were used in this study. STING plasmids were obtained from the Drosophila Genomics Resource Center (NIH 2P40OD010949). The microscopy experiments were supported by the NINDS Intramural core Light Imaging Facility (LIF).

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Andrew T. Moehlman, Richard J. Youle.

Data curation: Andrew T. Moehlman, Gil Kanfer.

Formal analysis: Andrew T. Moehlman, Gil Kanfer.

Funding acquisition: Richard J. Youle.

Investigation: Andrew T. Moehlman.

Methodology: Andrew T. Moehlman.

Project administration: Richard J. Youle.

Resources: Richard J. Youle.

Software: Gil Kanfer.

Supervision: Richard J. Youle.

Validation: Andrew T. Moehlman.

Visualization: Andrew T. Moehlman.

Writing - original draft: Andrew T. Moehlman.

Writing - review & editing: Andrew T. Moehlman, Gil Kanfer, Richard J. Youle.

References

- Jin SM, Lazarou M, Wang C, Kane LA, Narendra DP, Youle RJ. Mitochondrial membrane potential regulates PINK1 import and proteolytic destabilization by PARL. J Cell Biol. 2010; 191: 933–942. https:// doi.org/10.1083/jcb.201008084 PMID: 21115803
- Matsuda N, Sato S, Shiba K, Okatsu K, Saisho K, Gautier CA, et al. PINK1 stabilized by mitochondrial depolarization recruits Parkin to damaged mitochondria and activates latent Parkin for mitophagy. J Cell Biol. 2010; 189: 211–221. https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.200910140 PMID: 20404107
- Narendra DP, Jin SM, Tanaka A, Suen DF, Gautier CA, Shen J, et al. PINK1 is selectively stabilized on impaired mitochondria to activate Parkin. PLoS Biol. 2010; 8. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio. 1000298 PMID: 20126261
- Trempe J-F, Sauvé V, Grenier K, Seirafi M, Tang MY, Ménade M, et al. Structure of Parkin Reveals Mechanisms for Ubiquitin Ligase Activation. Science. 2013; 340: 1451–1455. <u>https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1237908</u> PMID: 23661642
- Koyano F, Okatsu K, Kosako H, Tamura Y, Go E, Kimura M, et al. Ubiquitin is phosphorylated by PINK1 to activate parkin. Nature. 2014; 510: 162–166. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature13392 PMID: 24784582
- Kazlauskaite A, Kondapalli C, Gourlay R, Campbell DG, Ritorto MS, Hofmann K, et al. Accelerated publication: Parkin is activated by PINK1-dependent phosphorylation of ubiquitin at Ser65. Biochem J. 2014; 460: 127–139. https://doi.org/10.1042/bj20140334 PMID: 24660806
- Kane LA, Lazarou M, Fogel AI, Li Y, Yamano K, Sarraf SA, et al. PINK1 phosphorylates ubiquitin to activate parkin E3 ubiquitin ligase activity. J Cell Biol. 2014; 205: 143–153. <u>https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.201402104</u> PMID: 24751536
- Wong YC, Holzbaur ELF. Optineurin is an autophagy receptor for damaged mitochondria in parkinmediated mitophagy that is disrupted by an ALS-linked mutation. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2014; 111: E4439–E4448. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1405752111 PMID: 25294927
- Lazarou M, Sliter DA, Kane LA, Sarraf SA, Wang C, Burman JL, et al. The ubiquitin kinase PINK1 recruits autophagy receptors to induce mitophagy. Nature. 2015; 524: 309–314. https://doi.org/10. 1038/nature14893 PMID: 26266977

- Heo JM, Ordureau A, Paulo JA, Rinehart J, Harper JW. The PINK1-PARKIN Mitochondrial Ubiquitylation Pathway Drives a Program of OPTN/NDP52 Recruitment and TBK1 Activation to Promote Mitophagy. Mol Cell. 2015; 60: 7–20. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2015.08.016 PMID: 26365381
- Whitworth AJ, Pallanck LJ. PINK1/Parkin mitophagy and neurodegeneration-what do we really know in vivo? Curr Opin Genet Dev. 2017; 44: 47–53. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gde.2017.01.016</u> PMID: 28213158
- Kitada T, Tong Y, Gautier CA, Shen J. Absence of nigral degeneration in aged parkin/DJ-1/PINK1 triple knockout mice. J Neurochem. 2009; 111: 696–702. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-4159.2009.06350.x</u> PMID: 19694908
- Pickrell AM, Huang C-H, Kennedy SR, Ordureau A, Sideris DP, Hoekstra JG, et al. Endogenous Parkin Preserves Dopaminergic Substantia Nigral Neurons following Mitochondrial DNA Mutagenic Stress. Neuron. 2015; 87: 371–381. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2015.06.034 PMID: 26182419
- Greene JC, Whitworth AJ, Kuo I, Andrews LA, Feany MB, Pallanck LJ. Mitochondrial pathology and apoptotic muscle degeneration in Drosophila parkin mutants. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2003; 100: 4078–4083. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0737556100 PMID: 12642658
- Cha G-H, Kim S, Park J, Lee E, Kim M, Lee SB, et al. Parkin negatively regulates JNK pathway in the dopaminergic neurons of *Drosophila*. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2005; 102: 10345–10350. <u>https://doi.org/10. 1073/pnas.0500346102</u> PMID: 16002472
- Park J, Lee SB, Lee S, Kim Y, Song S, Kim S, et al. Mitochondrial dysfunction in Drosophila PINK1 mutants is complemented by parkin. Nature. 2006; 441: 1157–1161. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/</u> nature04788 PMID: 16672980
- Clark IE, Dodson MW, Jiang C, Cao JH, Huh JR, Seol JH, et al. Drosophila pink1 is required for mitochondrial function and interacts genetically with parkin. Nature. 2006; 441: 1162–1166. <u>https://doi.org/ 10.1038/nature04779 PMID: 16672981</u>
- Yang Y, Gehrke S, Imai Y, Huang Z, Ouyang Y, Wang JW, et al. Mitochondrial pathology and muscle and dopaminergic neuron degeneration caused by inactivation of Drosophila Pink1 is rescued by Parkin. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2006; 103: 10793–10798. <u>https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0602493103</u> PMID: 16818890
- Poole AC, Thomas RE, Andrews LA, McBride HM, Whitworth AJ, Pallanck LJ. The PINK1/Parkin pathway regulates mitochondrial morphology. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2008; 105: 1638–1643. <u>https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0709336105</u> PMID: 18230723
- Deng H, Dodson MW, Huang H, Guo M. The Parkinson's disease genes pink1 and parkin promote mitochondrial fission and/or inhibit fusion in Drosophila. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2008; 105: 14503–14508. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0803998105 PMID: 18799731
- Yun J, Puri R, Yang H, Lizzio MA, Wu C, Sheng ZH, et al. MUL1 acts in parallel to the PINK1/parkin pathway in regulating mitofusin and compensates for loss of PINK1/parkin. eLife. 2014; 2014. <u>https:// doi.org/10.7554/elife.01958.001</u> PMID: 24898855
- Ziviani E, Tao RN, Whitworth AJ. Drosophila Parkin requires PINK1 for mitochondrial translocation and ubiquitinates Mitofusin. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2010; 107: 5018–5023. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas. 0913485107 PMID: 20194754
- West AP, Khoury-Hanold W, Staron M, Tal MC, Pineda CM, Lang SM, et al. Mitochondrial DNA stress primes the antiviral innate immune response. Nature. 2015; 520: 553–557. https://doi.org/10.1038/ nature14156 PMID: 25642965
- Shimada K, Crother TR, Karlin J, Dagvadorj J, Chiba N, Chen S, et al. Oxidized Mitochondrial DNA Activates the NLRP3 Inflammasome during Apoptosis. Immunity. 2012; 36: 401–414. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2012.01.009 PMID: 22342844
- Zhang Q, Raoof M, Chen Y, Sumi Y, Sursal T, Junger W, et al. Circulating mitochondrial DAMPs cause inflammatory responses to injury. Nature. 2010; 464: 104–107. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/nature08780</u> PMID: 20203610
- Borsche M, König IR, Delcambre S, Petrucci S, Balck A, Brüggemann N, et al. Mitochondrial damageassociated inflammation highlights biomarkers in PRKN/PINK1 parkinsonism. Brain. 2020; 143: 3041– 3051. https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/awaa246 PMID: 33029617
- Greene JC, Whitworth AJ, Andrews LA, Parker TJ, Pallanck LJ. Genetic and genomic studies of Drosophila parkin mutants implicate oxidative stress and innate immune responses in pathogenesis. Hum Mol Genet. 2005; 14: 799–811. https://doi.org/10.1093/hmg/ddi074 PMID: 15689351
- Sliter DA, Martinez J, Hao L, Chen X, Sun N, Fischer TD, et al. Parkin and PINK1 mitigate STINGinduced inflammation. Nature. 2018; 561: 258–262. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-018-0448-9 PMID: 30135585

- Lee JJ, Andreazza S, Whitworth AJ. The STING pathway does not contribute to behavioural or mitochondrial phenotypes in Drosophila Pink1/parkin or mtDNA mutator models. Sci Rep. 2020; 10: 2693. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-59647-3 PMID: 32060339
- Pesah Y, Pham T, Burgess H, Middlebrooks B, Verstreken P, Zhou Y, et al. Drosophila parkin mutants have decreased mass and cell size and increased sensitivity to oxygen radical stress. Development. 2004; 131: 2183–2194. https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.01095 PMID: 15073152
- Martin M, Hiroyasu A, Guzman RM, Roberts SA, Goodman AG. Analysis of Drosophila STING Reveals an Evolutionarily Conserved Antimicrobial Function. Cell Rep. 2018; 23: 3537–3550.e6. <u>https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.celrep.2018.05.029</u> PMID: 29924997
- Whitworth AJ, Theodore DA, Greene JC, Beneš H, Wes PD, Pallanck LJ. Increased glutathione Stransferase activity rescues dopaminergic neuron loss in a Drosophila model of Parkinson's disease. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2005; 102: 8024–8029. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0501078102 PMID: 15911761
- Shen JL, Fortier TM, Wang R, Baehrecke EH. Vps13D functions in a Pink1-dependent and Parkin-independent mitophagy pathway. J Cell Biol. 2021; 220: e202104073. <u>https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.</u> 202104073 PMID: 34459871
- Imai Y, Kanao T, Sawada T, Kobayashi Y, Moriwaki Y, Ishida Y, et al. The Loss of PGAM5 Suppresses the Mitochondrial Degeneration Caused by Inactivation of PINK1 in Drosophila. PLOS Genet. 2010; 6: e1001229. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1001229 PMID: 21151955
- Pogson JH, Ivatt RM, Sanchez-Martinez A, Tufi R, Wilson E, Mortiboys H, et al. The Complex I Subunit NDUFA10 Selectively Rescues Drosophila pink1 Mutants through a Mechanism Independent of Mitophagy. PLoS Genet. 2014; 10: e1004815–e1004815. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1004815 PMID: 25412178
- Cornelissen T, Vilain S, Vints K, Gounko N, Verstreken P, Vandenberghe W. Deficiency of parkin and PINK1 impairs age-dependent mitophagy in Drosophila. eLife. 2018; 7: e35878. <u>https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.35878 PMID: 29809156</u>
- Kim YY, Um J, Yoon J, Kim H, Lee D, Lee YJ, et al. Assessment of mitophagy in mt-Keima Drosophila revealed an essential role of the PINK1-Parkin pathway in mitophagy induction in vivo. FASEB J. 2019; 33: fj201900073R. https://doi.org/10.1096/fj.201900073R PMID: 31120803
- Ma P, Yun J, Deng H, Guo M. Atg1 mediated autophagy suppresses tissue degeneration in pink1/parkin mutants by promoting mitochondrial fission in Drosophila. Mol Biol Cell. 2018; mbcE18040243. <u>https:// doi.org/10.1091/mbc.E18-04-0243 PMID: 30354903</u>
- Hollinshead M, Sanderson J, Vaux DJ. Anti-biotin Antibodies Offer Superior Organelle-specific Labeling of Mitochondria over Avidin or Streptavidin. J Histochem Cytochem. 1997; 45: 1053–1057. <u>https://doi.org/10.1177/002215549704500803</u> PMID: 9267466
- Yoon W, Hwang S-H, Lee S-H, Chung J. Drosophila ADCK1 is critical for maintaining mitochondrial structures and functions in the muscle. PLoS Genet. 2019; 15: e1008184. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1008184</u> PMID: 31125351
- Usher JL, Sanchez-Martinez A, Terriente-Felix A, Chen P, Lee JJ, Chen C, et al. Parkin drives PS65-UB turnover independently of canonical autophagy in *Drosophila*. EMBO Rep. 2022 [cited 5 Dec 2022]. https://doi.org/10.15252/embr.202153552 PMID: 36250243
- de Castro IP, Costa AC, Celardo I, Tufi R, Dinsdale D, Loh SHY, et al. Drosophila ref(2)P is required for the parkin-mediated suppression of mitochondrial dysfunction in pink1 mutants. Cell Death Dis. 2013; 4: e873–e873. https://doi.org/10.1038/cddis.2013.394 PMID: 24157867
- **43.** Aparicio R, Rana A, Walker DW. Upregulation of the Autophagy Adaptor p62/SQSTM1 Prolongs Health and Lifespan in Middle-Aged Drosophila. Cell Rep. 2019; 28: 1029–1040.e5. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. celrep.2019.06.070 PMID: 31340141
- 44. Goto A, Okado K, Martins N, Cai H, Barbier V, Lamiable O, et al. The Kinase IKKβ Regulates a STINGand NF-κB-Dependent Antiviral Response Pathway in Drosophila. Immunity. 2018; 49: 225–234.e4. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2018.07.013 PMID: 30119996
- Liu Y, Gordesky-Gold B, Leney-Greene M, Weinbren NL, Tudor M, Cherry S. Inflammation-Induced, STING-Dependent Autophagy Restricts Zika Virus Infection in the Drosophila Brain. Cell Host Microbe. 2018; 24: 57–68.e3. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2018.05.022 PMID: 29934091
- 46. Cai H, Holleufer A, Simonsen B, Schneider J, Lemoine A, Gad HH, et al. 2'3'-cGAMP triggers a STINGand NF-κB–dependent broad antiviral response in Drosophila. Sci Signal. 2020; 13: eabc4537. <u>https://</u> doi.org/10.1126/scisignal.abc4537 PMID: 33262294
- Hedengren M, BengtÅsling, Dushay MS, Ando I, Ekengren S, Wihlborg M, et al. Relish, a Central Factor in the Control of Humoral but Not Cellular Immunity in Drosophila. Mol Cell. 1999; 4: 827–837. https:// doi.org/10.1016/s1097-2765(00)80392-5 PMID: 10619029

- Manzanillo PS, Ayres JS, Watson RO, Collins AC, Souza G, Rae CS, et al. The ubiquitin ligase parkin mediates resistance to intracellular pathogens. Nature. 2013; 501: 512. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/</u> nature12566 PMID: 24005326
- Cho JH, Park JH, Chung CG, Shim HJ, Jeon KH, Yu SW, et al. Parkin-mediated responses against infection and wound involve TSPO-VDAC complex in Drosophila. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 2015; 463: 1–6. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2015.05.006 PMID: 25979357
- Holleufer A, Winther KG, Gad HH, Ai X, Chen Y, Li L, et al. Two cGAS-like receptors induce antiviral immunity in Drosophila. Nature. 2021; 1–7. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-03800-z PMID: 34261128
- Xu Y, Xie M, Xue J, Xiang L, Li Y, Xiao J, et al. EGCG ameliorates neuronal and behavioral defects by remodeling gut microbiota and TotM expression in Drosophila models of Parkinson's disease. FASEB J. 2020; 34: 5931–5950. https://doi.org/10.1096/fj.201903125RR PMID: 32157731
- Gui X, Yang H, Li T, Tan X, Shi P, Li M, et al. Autophagy induction via STING trafficking is a primordial function of the cGAS pathway. Nature. 2019; 567: 262–266. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1006-</u> 9 PMID: 30842662
- 53. Rong Y, Zhang S, Nandi N, Wu Z, Li L, Liu Y, et al. STING controls energy stress-induced autophagy and energy metabolism via STX17. J Cell Biol. 2022; 221: e202202060. https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb. 202202060 PMID: 35510944
- Akhmetova K, Balasov M, Chesnokov I. Drosophila STING protein has a role in lipid metabolism. eLife. 2021; 10: e67358. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.67358 PMID: 34467853
- 55. Komatsu M, Kurokawa H, Waguri S, Taguchi K, Kobayashi A, Ichimura Y, et al. The selective autophagy substrate p62 activates the stress responsive transcription factor Nrf2 through inactivation of Keap1. Nat Cell Biol. 2010; 12: 213–223. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncb2021 PMID: 20173742
- 56. Jain A, Rusten TE, Katheder N, Elvenes J, Bruun J-A, Sjøttem E, et al. p62/Sequestosome-1, Autophagy-related Gene 8, and Autophagy in Drosophila Are Regulated by Nuclear Factor Erythroid 2-related Factor 2 (NRF2), Independent of Transcription Factor TFEB*. J Biol Chem. 2015; 290: 14945–14962. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M115.656116 PMID: 25931115
- Sarraf SA, Sideris DP, Giagtzoglou N, Ni L, Kankel MW, Sen A, et al. PINK1/Parkin Influences Cell Cycle by Sequestering TBK1 at Damaged Mitochondria, Inhibiting Mitosis. Cell Rep. 2019; 29: 225– 235.e5. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2019.08.085 PMID: 31577952
- Shukla AK, Spurrier J, Kuzina I, Giniger E. Hyperactive Innate Immunity Causes Degeneration of Dopamine Neurons upon Altering Activity of Cdk5. Cell Rep. 2019; 26: 131–144.e4. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.</u> celrep.2018.12.025 PMID: 30605670
- 59. Slavik KM, Morehouse BR, Ragucci AE, Zhou W, Ai X, Chen Y, et al. cGAS-like receptors sense RNA and control 3'2'-cGAMP signaling in Drosophila. Nature. 2021; 1–8. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-03743-5</u> PMID: 34261127
- **60.** Yao Li, Kailey M. Slavik, Benjamin R. Morehouse, Carina C. de Oliveira Mann, Kepler Mears, Jingjing Liu, et al. cGLRs are a diverse family of pattern recognition receptors in animal innate immunity. bioRxiv. 2023; 2023.02.22.529553.
- Kim K, Kim S-H, Kim J, Kim H, Yim J. Glutathione S-Transferase Omega 1 Activity Is Sufficient to Suppress Neurodegeneration in a Drosophila Model of Parkinson Disease*. J Biol Chem. 2012; 287: 6628–6641. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M111.291179 PMID: 22219196
- Kim K, Yim J. Glutathione S-transferase omega suppresses the defective phenotypes caused by PINK1 loss-of-function in Drosophila. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 2013; 437: 615–619. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2013.07.011</u> PMID: 23867819
- Saini N, Georgiev O, Schaffner W. The parkin Mutant Phenotype in the Fly Is Largely Rescued by Metal-Responsive Transcription Factor (MTF-1). Mol Cell Biol. 2011; 31: 2151–2161. <u>https://doi.org/10.1128/MCB.05207-11 PMID: 21383066</u>
- Gumeni S, Papanagnou E-D, Manola MS, Trougakos IP. Nrf2 activation induces mitophagy and reverses Parkin/Pink1 knock down-mediated neuronal and muscle degeneration phenotypes. Cell Death Dis. 2021; 12: 671. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41419-021-03952-w PMID: 34218254
- Fedele G, Loh SHY, Celardo I, Leal NS, Lehmann S, Costa AC, et al. Suppression of intestinal dysfunction in a Drosophila model of Parkinson's disease is neuroprotective. Nat Aging. 2022; 2: 317–331. https://doi.org/10.1038/s43587-022-00194-z PMID: 37117744
- 66. Martinez Aitor, Alvaro Sanchez-Martinez Jake T. Pickering, Twyning Madeleine J., Ana Terriente-Felix Po-Lin Chen, et al. Mitochondrial CISD1/Cisd accumulation blocks mitophagy and genetic or pharmacological inhibition rescues neurodegenerative phenotypes in Pink1/parkin models. bioRxiv. 2023; 2023.05.14.540700. https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.05.14.540700
- Trempe J-F, Gehring K. Structural Mechanisms of Mitochondrial Quality Control Mediated by PINK1 and Parkin. J Mol Biol. 2023; 168090. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2023.168090 PMID: 37054910

- Andreazza S, Samstag CL, Sanchez-Martinez A, Fernandez-Vizarra E, Gomez-Duran A, Lee JJ, et al. Mitochondrially-targeted APOBEC1 is a potent mtDNA mutator affecting mitochondrial function and organismal fitness in Drosophila. Nat Commun. 2019; 10: 3280–3280. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-10857-y PMID: 31337756
- Dobin A, Davis CA, Schlesinger F, Drenkow J, Zaleski C, Jha S, et al. STAR: ultrafast universal RNAseq aligner. Bioinforma Oxf Engl. 2013; 29: 15–21. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bts635</u> PMID: 23104886
- 70. Danecek P, Bonfield JK, Liddle J, Marshall J, Ohan V, Pollard MO, et al. Twelve years of SAMtools and BCFtools. GigaScience. 2021; 10: giab008. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/gigascience/giab008</u> PMID: 33590861
- Wang L, Wang S, Li W. RSeQC: quality control of RNA-seq experiments. Bioinforma Oxf Engl. 2012; 28: 2184–2185. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bts356 PMID: 22743226
- 72. García-Alcalde F, Okonechnikov K, Carbonell J, Cruz LM, Götz S, Tarazona S, et al. Qualimap: evaluating next-generation sequencing alignment data. Bioinforma Oxf Engl. 2012; 28: 2678–2679. https:// doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bts503 PMID: 22914218
- 73. Sayols S, Scherzinger D, Klein H. dupRadar: a Bioconductor package for the assessment of PCR artifacts in RNA-Seq data. BMC Bioinformatics. 2016; 17: 428. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12859-016-1276-2 PMID: 27769170
- 74. Love MI, Huber W, Anders S. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. Genome Biol. 2014; 15: 550. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-014-0550-8</u> PMID: 25516281
- 75. Ewels P, Magnusson M, Lundin S, Käller M. MultiQC: summarize analysis results for multiple tools and samples in a single report. Bioinforma Oxf Engl. 2016; 32: 3047–3048. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/</u> bioinformatics/btw354 PMID: 27312411
- 76. Yu G, Wang L-G, Han Y, He Q-Y. clusterProfiler: an R Package for Comparing Biological Themes Among Gene Clusters. OMICS J Integr Biol. 2012; 16: 284–287. https://doi.org/10.1089/omi.2011.0118 PMID: 22455463
- 77. Wu T, Hu E, Xu S, Chen M, Guo P, Dai Z, et al. clusterProfiler 4.0: A universal enrichment tool for interpreting omics data. Innov Camb Mass. 2021; 2: 100141. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.xinn.2021.100141</u> PMID: 34557778
- 78. Schindelin J, Arganda-Carreras I, Frise E, Kaynig V, Longair M, Pietzsch T, et al. Fiji: an open-source platform for biological-image analysis. Nat Methods. 2012; 9: 676–682. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth. 2019 PMID: 22743772