Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Reza Alizadeh-Navaei, Mazandaran University of Medical Sciences, Iran

REVIEWED BY

Kamendra Kumar, Georgetown University, United States Ekaterina Gubareva, N.N. Petrov National Medical Research Center of Oncology, Russia Koraljka Gall Troselj, Rudjer Boskovic Institute, Croatia

*CORRESPONDENCE Justyna Dorf ⊠justyna.dorf@umb.edu.pl

RECEIVED 28 April 2023 ACCEPTED 23 June 2023 PUBLISHED 12 July 2023

CITATION

Dorf J, Pryczynicz A, Matowicka-Karna J, Zaręba K, Żukowski P, Zalewska A and Maciejczyk M (2023) Could circulating biomarkers of nitrosative stress and protein glycoxidation be useful in patients with gastric cancer? *Front. Oncol.* 13:1213802. doi: 10.3389/fonc 2023.1213802

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Dorf, Pryczynicz, Matowicka-Karna, Zaręba, Żukowski, Zalewska and Maciejczyk. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Could circulating biomarkers of nitrosative stress and protein glycoxidation be useful in patients with gastric cancer?

Justyna Dorf^{1*}, Anna Pryczynicz², Joanna Matowicka-Karna¹, Konrad Zaręba³, Piotr Żukowski⁴, Anna Zalewska⁵ and Mateusz Maciejczyk⁶

¹Department of Clinical Laboratory Diagnostics, Medical University of Bialystok, Bialystok, Poland, ²Department of General Pathomorphology, Medical University of Bialystok, Bialystok, Poland, ³2nd Clinical Department of General and Gastroenterological Surgery, Medical University of Bialystok, Bialystok, Poland, ⁴Department of Restorative Dentistry, Croydon University Hospital, Croydon, United Kingdom, ⁵Independent Laboratory of Experimental Dentistry, Medical University of Bialystok, Bialystok, Poland, ⁶Department of Hygiene, Epidemiology and Ergonomics, Medical University of Bialystok, Bialystok, Poland

Background: Nitrosative stress leads to protein glycoxidation, but both processes may be strongly related to the cancer development. Therefore, the aim of this study was to assess the nitrosative stress and protein glycoxidation products in patients with gastric cancer in comparison with healthy controls. We are also the first to evaluate the diagnostic utility of nitrosative stress and protein glycoxidation markers in gastric cancer patients in respect to histopathological classifications (TNM, Lauren's and Goseki's classification) and histopathological parameters such as histological type, histological differentiation grade, presence of vascular or neural invasion, desmoplasia and *Helicobacter pylori* infection.

Methods: The study included 50 patients with gastric cancer and 50 healthy controls matched for sex and age. Nitrosative stress parameters and protein glycoxidation products were measured colorimetrically/fluorometrically in plasma or serum samples. Student's t-test or Mann-Whitney U-test were used for statistical analysis.

Results: NO, S-nitrosothiols, nitrotyrosine, kynurenine, N-formylkynurenine, dityrosine, AGE and Amadori products were significantly increased whereas tryptophan fluorescence was decreased in patients with gastric cancer compared to the healthy control. Nitrosative stress and glycoxidation products may be useful in diagnosis of gastric cancer because they differentiate patients with gastric cancer from healthy individuals with high sensitivity and specificity. Some of the determined parameters are characterised by high AUC value in differentiation of GC patients according to the histopathological parameters.

Conclusions: Gastric cancer is associated with enhanced circulating nitrosative stress and protein glycation. Although further research on a tissue model is needed, plasma/serum biomarkers may be dependent on tumour size, histological type, tumour invasion depth, presence of lymph node and distant metastasis, vascular and neural invasion and Helicobacter pylori infection. Thus,

circulating biomarkers of nitrosative stress/protein glycoxidation may have potential diagnostic significance in gastric cancer patients.

KEYWORDS

gastric cancer, reactive nitrogen species, nitric oxide, glycoxidation, nitrosative and oxidative stress

Introduction

Gastrointestinal tumours still remain one of the most common and aggressive types of neoplasms. The incidence of GI malignancies in 2018 among all cancers was 26.3% whereas percentage of mortality was 35.4% (1). Researchers from the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) predict that the annual burden of gastric cancer will increase by about 63% of new cases and 66% of deaths by 2040 compared with 2020 (2). This may be associated with an increase in the incidence of this cancer in young people under 40 (3). Gastric cancer in young people still is a challenge because it is characterised by a highly aggressive growth pattern and a more advanced stage at diagnosis. Hence, early detection and treatment of gastric cancer may not only prevent further progression of an invasive cancer but also significantly reduce the percentage of patients mortality. Therefore, it is important to understand the biology of gastric cancer and explore new diagnostic biomarkers which could enable its early detection.

Numerous studies have identified Helicobacter pylori as the major risk factor in gastric carcinogenesis. Helicobacter pylori may synthesise several virulence factors which enable immune escape, colonise and induce disease (lipopolysaccharides (LPS), flagellum, VacA, BabA, and DupA) (4). These virulence factors may influence the balance between cell proliferation and apoptosis, which is an important element for the occurrence and development of GC. It has been discovered that CagA is linked to cell adhesion and proliferation in gastric epithelial cells, as well as oxidative and nitrosative stress development (4). Patients with Helicobacter pylori infection are characterised by increased expression of NADPH oxidase NOX and inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS) which are enzymes responsible for reactive oxygen (ROS) and nitrogen (RNS) species production (5). Production of ROS and RNS in gastric cells may be stimulated not only by presence of Helicobacter pylori but also exposure of gastric mucosa to environmental factors such as ultraviolet (UV) radiation, ingestion of nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), cigarette smoking, alcohol consumption and many other exogenous agents (6). These factors can trigger inflammation by activating the epithelial cells, polymorphonuclear neutrophils and macrophages to generate inflammatory cytokines and other mediators that further contribute to oxidative and nitrosative stress. The most reactive nitrogen species is nitric oxide (NO). The effects of NO in malignant transformation are broad and include cellular transformation, formation of neoplastic lesions or initiation and regulation of the metastatic cascade and angiogenesis (7, 8). NO reacts with its intracellular environment to form other reactive metabolites including peroxynitrite, nitrite, nitrate, S-nitrosothiols and nitrotyrosine that induce genotoxic effects leading to DNA damage (9). Uncontrolled production of ROS and RNS and an ineffective antioxidant barrier may lead to oxidative damages to cellular components (10). Proteins are very sensitive to oxidation and glycation processes, and their combinational effect is often called glycoxidation (11). Glycoxidation causes protein denaturation, fragmentation, aggregation, and alteration/loss of biological function which may result in activation of multiple signalling pathways e.g. Nf-KB, initiation of inflammatory processes or apoptosis. One of most common biomarkers of glycoxidation are Amadori products and AGE which act by binding to RAGE (AGE-specific receptor). Research performed on breast cancer cell lines and patients with breast and ovarian cancer demonstrated that AGE-RAGE complex promotes tumour development, migration and metastasis (12-14). Tryptophan and its metabolites such as N-formylkynurenine, kynurenine and dityrosine are also considered as oxidation products. Studies on cell lines demonstrated that imbalances in tryptophan metabolism can have an important role in cancer, promoting tumour progression by suppressing antitumour immune responses and increasing the malignant properties of cancer cells (15). Moreover, tryptophan metabolites can potently promote cancer cell motility and metastasis (15).

However, there are no studies focusing on the glycoxidation products and their role in gastric carcinogenesis. A thorough understanding of the pathogenesis of gastric cancer may help to develop new therapeutic strategies or diagnostic methods, in order to detect the disease early on. In the available literature, there are only very few studies on the nitrosative stress and protein glycoxidation in patients with gastric cancer. Therefore, this casecontrol study aims to evaluate serum and plasma levels of nitrosative stress biomarkers (nitric oxide, nitrosothiols, nitrotyrosine) and protein glycoxidation products (tryptophan, kynurenine, N-formylkynurenine, dityrosine, Amadori products and advanced glycation end products (AGEs)) in 50 patients with gastric cancer in comparison with healthy controls. We also assess the diagnostic utility of these parameters using receiver operating characteristic (ROC) in respect to histopathological parameters such as TNM, Lauren's and Goseki's classifications, histological type and histological differentiation grade of the tumour, presence of Helicobacter pylori infection as well as presence of vascular or neural invasion and desmoplasia. We also evaluated a correlation

between nitrosative stress parameters and proteins glycoxidation products and some chosen laboratory test results.

Materials and methods

Ethical statement

The study was approved by the Bioethics Committee of the Medical University of Bialystok, Poland (permission number APK-002/238/2022). The study was conducted in accordance with the World Medical Association Declaration of Helsinki for ethical principles for medical research involving human subjects. All patients gave their informed consent to participate in the study.

Study group

The study group consisted of 50 patients who were treated surgically due to gastric cancer in the 2nd Clinical Department of General and Gastroenterological Surgery at the Medical University of Bialystok Clinical Hospital in 2017-2020. The patients qualified for the research were patients diagnosed with cancer at any stage who had not received radio- or chemotherapy prior to surgery; patients without squamous cell carcinoma and other non-epithelial neoplasms, metastases of other neoplasms to the stomach, acute inflammatory diseases, autoimmune and infectious diseases (HIV/ AIDS, hepatitis, Crohn's and Hashimoto's disease, ulcerative colitis, rheumatoid arthritis and psoriasis), cardiovascular and metabolic diseases, such as osteoporosis, type 1 diabetes, mucopolysaccharidosis and gout, digestive, respiratory or genitourinary systems diseases. Additionally, smokers and patients taking drugs (glucocorticosteroids, non-steroidal antiinflammatory drugs, antibiotics, vitamins, and dietary supplements) for the three months before the surgery were excluded from the study. Lack of complete medical documentation was also an exclusion criterion.

The time from cancer diagnosis to the surgical resection of the tumour ranged from two days to four weeks. The study material was taken from all patients before surgery.

Control group

The control group included 50 healthy patients (selected by sex and age to match the study group) attending follow-up visits at the Department of Restorative Dentistry at the Medical University of Bialystok from January 2018 to January 2020. To the control group were qualified patients with normal results of complete blood count (CBC) and biochemical blood tests (AST, ALT, Na+, K+, creatinine).

Histopathological analysis

In a routine histopathological examination we evaluated the histological type of tumour, histopathological grade (G) according

to the World Health Organization (WHO) guidelines (16), depth of tumour invasion (pT), presence of lymph node metastasis (pN) and distant metastasis (pM) according to the TNM classification standard of the Union for International Cancer Control (17), type of cancer according to Lauren (18) and Goseki (19) classification, vascular and neural invasion and degree of desmoplasia. Moreover, *Helicobacter pylori* infection was assessed in Giemsastained preparations.

Tissue sections taken during the surgery were fixed in 10% buffered formalin solution and embedded in paraffin at a temperature of 56°C. The paraffin blocks were then sliced with a microtome (Microm H340) into approximately 4 μ m-thick slides and stained with hematoxylin and eosin. The obtained sections were reviewed by two independent pathologists on a microscope Olympus CX22 under 200× and 400× magnification.

Blood collection

All samples were collected from patients in a fasting state both with gastric cancer and healthy individuals who did not perform intense physical exercise twenty-four hours prior to blood sampling. Blood was collected into K3-EDTA and clotting activator tube (S-Monovette SARSTEDT) and centrifuged at 4000 rpm for 10 minutes at 4°C (MPW 351, MPW Med. Instruments, Warsaw, Poland) to separate plasma or serum from erythrocytes. The top layer (plasma or serum) was then taken and was protected against oxidation (10 μ l of 0.5M BHT/1 ml of serum/plasma) and stored at -80°C until the final analysis. Until redox determinations, all samples were stored at -80°C for no longer than six months.

Redox assays

All reagents (unless otherwise stated) were analytical grade and purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Nümbrecht (Germany) or Sigma-Aldrich Saint Louis (MO, USA). The 96-well microplate reader BioTek Synergy H1 (Winooski, VT, USA) was used to measure absorbance/fluorescence. All assays were performed in duplicate samples and standardised to 1 mg of the total protein. The total protein content was determined spectrophotometrically (Thermo Scientific PIERCE BCA Protein Assay; Rockford, IL, USA).

Nitrosative stress

Nitric oxide (NO)

Nitric oxide (NO) concentration was evaluated indirectly through determination of its stable decomposition products NO₂⁻ and NO₃⁻ using the Griess reaction. Briefly, 100 μ L of samples was incubated at 37°C for 15 min (500 rpm) with 100 μ L of freshly prepared Griess reagent (1% sulfanilamide and 0.1% NEDA · 2 HCl (N-(1-naphthyl)-ethylenediamine dihydrochloride in 2.5% metaphocphoric acid). The absorbance of 96-well microplates was analysed at 490 nm. The NO level was calculated from the calibration curve for NaNO₂ (20, 21).

S-nitrosothiols

The concentration of S-nitrosothiols was analysed spectrophotometrically using the Griess's reaction with Cu²⁺ ions (22). Briefly, 10 μ L of samples was incubated at 37°C for 20 min (500 rpm) with 190 μ L of freshly prepared modified Griess reagent (1% sulfanilamide, 0.1% mM NEDA \cdot 2 HCl(N-(1-naphthyl)-ethylenediamine dihydrochloride and 5% CuCl₂ in phosphate buffered saline, pH 7.4). The absorbance was assessed at 490 nm with the use of extinction coefficient ϵ =11 500 M⁻¹cm⁻¹.

Nitrotyrosine

The concentration of nitrotyrosine was determined spectrophotometrically by ELISA method. Commercial diagnostic kit (Immundiagnostik AG; Bensheim, Germany) was used according to the manufacturer's instructions.

Protein glycoxidation products

Tryptophan, kynurenine, N-formylkynurenine, dityrosine

To evaluate the protein glycoxidation rate, the characteristic fluorescence emission and excitation at 295/340 nm (tryptophan), 365/480 nm (kynurenine), 325/434 nm (N-formylkynurenine), and 330/415 nm (dityrosine) was measured. Prior to the determination, samples were diluted in 0.1 M sulphuric acid (1:5, v/v) (23–25). Next, the characteristic fluorescence was measured in 200 μ L of diluted samples applied on 96-well black-bottom microplates. The results were expressed in arbitrary fluorescence units (AFU)/mg protein.

Amadori products

The content of the Amadori product was determined colorimetrically using nitro blue tetrazolium (NBT) assay (25). Briefly, 100 μ L of samples was incubated at 37°C for 2 h (500 rpm) with 100 μ L of NBT reagent (250 μ M NBT in 0.1 M carbonate buffer, pH 10.35). The absorbance of 96-well microplates was measured at 525 nm with the use of monoformazan extinction coefficient (12,640 M⁻¹cm⁻¹).

Advanced glycation end products

The content of plasma advanced glycation end products (AGE) was measured spectrofluorimetrically. The characteristic fluorescence of pyraline, pentosidine, furyl-furanyl-imidazole (FFI), and carboxymethyl lysine (CML) was assessed at 350/440 nm by measuring AGE specific fluorescence (26). Prior to the determination, samples were diluted in 0.1 M sulphuric acid (1:5, v/v) (20, 21). Next, characteristic fluorescence was measured in 200 μ L of diluted samples applied on 96-well black-bottom microplates.

Statistical analysis

The statistical significance level was established at p < 0.05. The normality of the distribution was evaluated using the Shapiro–Wilk test, while homogeneity of variance used the Levene test. In order to compare

the differences between two-group with large number of variables multivariate permutational test has been performed. Then, to compare differences between two independent groups with the lack of normal distribution, the Mann-Whitney U test was used. The results were presented as median (minimum-maximum). The relationship between the assessed redox biomarkers and clinicopathological parameters was assessed using the Spearman rank correlation. In order to identify factors that determine the levels of redox biomarkers, we performed multiple regression analyses. Histological differentiation grade, pT, pN, and pM were included as independent variables; 95% confidence intervals (CI) were noted along with regression parameters. Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) analysis was used to assess the diagnostic utility of the redox biomarkers. AUC (area under the curve) and optimal cut-off values were determined for each parameter, ensuring high sensitivity and specificity.

The number of subjects was based on our previous experiment involving 15 patients (online ClinCalc software). The variables used for the sample size calculations were concentrations of NO and AGE. The level of significance was set at 0.05 and power of study was 0.9. The ClinCalc sample size calculator provided the sample size for one group. The minimum number of patients was 38.

Statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism 9.0 (GraphPad Software, La Jolla, USA) and Past 4.13 (Øyvind Hammer).

Results

Characteristics of the study group

The study included 50 patients (33 male, 17 female) with gastric cancer and 50 healthy people (28 male, 22 female) at age 40-85. 28% of patients with gastric cancer had a tumour with a diameter ≤ 5 cm, whereas 72% had a tumour of a diameter greater than 5 cm. 68% of patients had adenocarcinoma whereas 32% had adenocarcinoma mucinosum. G2 grade of the tumour was observed in 40% of patients whereas G3 was present in 60%. Helicobacter pylori infection was confirmed in 36% of patients. 40% of patients had a pT1 or pT2 stage of tumour and 60% had pT3 and pT4 stage. 76% of patients had lymph node (N1+N2) and 34% had distant metastasis (M1+M2). 76% of patients had a vascular invasion and 72% of patients had neural invasion. Small desmoplasia was present in 70% of patients and diffuse in 30%. Diffuse type of gastric cancer was observed in 58% of patients whereas intestinal type in 42%. I and II type of GC according to Goseki classification was present in 50% of patients and III and IV in 50% of patients. 80% of patients had a normal level of CEA (<5.0 ng/ml) and CA72-4 (<6.9 U/ml) and 75.5% had a normal level of CA19-9 (<35 U/ml). Detailed patient characteristics are summarised in Table 1.

Comparison of nitrosative stress and glycoxidation products between patients with gastric cancer and healthy controls

In order to evaluate nitrosative stress we analysed concentrations of NO, S-nitrosothiols and nitrotyrosine. Concentrations of NO, S-

TABLE 1 Characteristics of study group.

Parameter	n (%)							
Age								
<60	10 (20.0%)							
>60	40 (80.0%)							
Sex								
male	33 (66.0%)							
female	17 (34.0%)							
Tumour's diameter								
≤5cm	14 (28.0%)							
>5cm	36 (72.0%)							
Tumor location								
Upper 1/3	17 (34.0%)							
Middle 1/3	15 (30.0%)							
Lower 1/3	10 (20.0%)							
Whole stomach	8 (16.0%)							
Histological type								
adenocarcinoma	34 (68.0%)							
adenocarcinoma mucinosum	16 (32.0%)							
Histological differentiation grade								
moderately differentiated	20 (40.0%)							
poorly differentiated	30 (60.0%)							
Helicobacter pylori								
absent	32 (64.0%)							
present	18 (36.0%)							
Depth of invasion								
T1+T2	20 (40.0%)							
T3+T4	30 (60.0%)							
Lymph node metastasis								
N0	12 (24.0%)							
N1+N2	38 (76.0%)							
Distant metastasis								
M0	33 (66.0%)							
M1+M2	17 (34.0%)							
Vascular invasion								
absent	12 (24.0%)							
present	38 (76.0%)							
Neural invasion								
absent	14 (28.0%)							
present	36 (72.0%)							

(Continued)

TABLE 1 Continued

Parameter	n (%)					
Desmoplasia						
small	35 (70.0%)					
diffuse	15 (30.0%)					
Lauren's classification						
intestinal	21 (42.0%)					
diffuse	29 (58.0%)					
Goseki classification						
I+II	25 (50.0%)					
III+IV	25 (50.0%)					
CEA level (ng/ml)						
0-5.0	40 (80.0%)					
>5.0	10 (20.0%)					
CA 19-9 (U/ml)						
0-35	39 (75.5%)					
>35	11 (24.5%)					
CA 72-4 (U/ml)						
0 - 6.9	40 (80.0%)					
>6.9	10 (20.0%)					
IL-6 (pg/ml)						
0-7.0	7 (14.0%)					
>7.0	43 (86.0%)					

CEA, carcinoembryonic antigen, CA19-9, carbohydrate antigen 19-9; CA72-4, carbohydrate antigen 72-4; IL-6, interleukin 6.

nitrosothiols and nitrotyrosine were considerably higher in patients with gastric cancer than in healthy controls (p<0.0001, p<0.0001, p<0.0001) (Figures 1A-C). We also assessed concentrations of tryptophan, kynurenine, N-formylkynurenine, dityrosine, Amadori products and AGE which are protein glycoxidation products. Generally, the fluorescence of kynurenine, N-formylkynurenine, dityrosine, Amadori products, AGE were significantly higher (p<0.0001, p<0.0001, p<0.0001, p<0.0001, p<0.0001) whereas tryptophan level was significantly lower (p<0.0001) in patients with gastric cancer in comparison to the healthy control (Figures 2A-F).

Comparison of nitrosative stress and glycoxidation products between women and men with gastric cancer

We also compared concentrations of NO, S-nitrosothiols and nitrotyrosine as well as concentrations of tryptophan, kynurenine, Nformylkynurenine, dityrosine, Amadori products and AGE between groups of women and men with gastric cancer but we didn't observe statistically significant differences (Supplementary File 1).



Comparison of nitrosative stress in groups of patients with gastric cancer according the chosen histopathological parameters

We demonstrated increased NO and nitrotyrosine concentrations in a group of patients with gastric cancer with a tumour diameter >5 cm (p<0.05, p<0.05) (Figures 3A, B). NO level was also significantly higher in patients with adenocarcinoma mucinosum and lower in patients with Helicobacter pylori infection (p<0.0001, p<0.05) (Figures 3E, H). S-nitrosothiols were increased in patients with poorly differentiated tumours in comparison with moderately differentiated tumours (p<0.05) (Figure 3G). There was a statistically significant increase in nitrotyrosine concentration in patients with T3+T4 stages (p<0.05), in patients with lymph node (p<0.01) and distant metastasis (p<0.05) (Figures 4B, D, I). S-nitrosothiols were considerably higher in patients with lymph node and distant metastasis than in patients without metastasis (p<0.05, p<0.01) (Figures 4E, J). Nitrotyrosine level was significantly higher in patients with diffuse type of GC and in patients



FIGURE 2

Comparison of glycoxidation products – tryptophan (A), kynurenine (B), N-formylkynurenine (C), dityrosine (D), Amadori products (E) and AGE (F) between patients with gastric cancer and the control group. The data are presented as median (minimum - maximum). ****p<0.0001. AGE, advanced glycation end products; GC, gastric cancer.



with vascular infiltration (p<0.05, p<0.05) (Figures 5B, K). We also observed an increase of NO level in patients with diffuse type of GC compared to intestinal type of GC (p<0.01) as well as in patients in III and IV stages according to Goseki classification compared to I and II stages (p<0.05) (Figures 5A, C, E).

Comparison of glycoxidation products in groups of patients with gastric cancer according the chosen histopathological parameters

Amadori products were considerably higher in patients with a tumour diameter >5cm (p<0.01) as well as in patients with adenocarcinoma mucinosum (p<0.05) (Figures 3C, D). We observed statistically significant higher tryptophan level in patients in G2 stage compared to G3 (<0.05) (Figure 3F). Kynurenine and N-formylkynurenine were significantly increased in patients with *Helicobacter pylori* infection in comparison to

patients without infection (p<0.05, p<0.05) (Figures 3I, J). In patients with tumours in T3+T4 stages, Amadori products were statistically significant increased (p<0.05) whereas tryptophan level was decreased (p<0.05) compared to patients with tumours in T1 +T2 stages (Figures 4A, C). We also observed statistically significant differences in tryptophan and N-formylkynurenine concentration between patients with and without lymph node metastasis (p<0.01, p<0.05) (Figures 4F, G). The fluorescence of Amadori products and dityrosine were considerably higher (p<0.05, p<0.05) whereas tryptophan was considerably lower (p<0.01) in patients with distant metastasis compared to those without metastasis (Figures 4H, K, L). We observed statistically significant higher levels of Amadori products, kynurenine and N-formylkynurenine (p<0.05, p<0.05, p<0.05) whereas tryptophan was lower (p<0.05) in patients in III and IV stages according to Goseki classification compared to I and II stages (Figures 5D, F-I). Interestingly, tryptophan level was also considerably higher in patients without vascular and neural infiltration compared to those without infiltration (p<0.05, 0<0.05) (Figures 5J, L).



nitrotyrosine (D), S-nitrosothiols (E), tryptophan (F) and N-formylkynurenine (G) between groups of patients without and with lymph node metastasis; Amadori products (H), nitrotyrosine (I), S-nitrosothiols (J), tryptophan (K) and dityrosine (L) between groups of patients without and with distant metastasis. The data are presented as median (minimum - maximum). *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01.

ROC analysis

In order to evaluate the diagnostic value of nitrosative stress and glycoxidation biomarkers in the diagnostics of gastric cancer we performed a ROC analysis (Tables 2-5). We showed high diagnostic values of all determined redox parameters in identification of patients with gastric cancer from healthy controls (Table 2). NO (AUC=0.75), nitrotyrosine (AUC=0.75) and Amadori products (AUC=0.78) may be useful in differentiation of patients according to the tumour size (Table 3). Amadori products (AUC=0.72) and NO (AUC=0.87) proved to be helpful in differentiation of patients with adenocarcinoma from adenocarcinoma mucinosum (Table 3). We showed high diagnostic values of S-nitrosothiols (AUC=0.72) and tryptophan (AUC=0.71) in recognition of patients with moderately differentiated (G2) from poorly differentiated tumours (G3) (Table 3). We also demonstrated very high diagnostic values of NO (AUC=0.76), kynurenine (AUC=0.74) and Nformylkynurenine (AUC=0.75) in differentiation of groups of patients with gastric cancer with present and absent Helicobacter pylori infection (Table 3). Amadori products (AUC=0.73), nitrotyrosine (AUC=0.73) and tryptophan (AUC=0.72) proved to be helpful in differentiation of patients with cancer at stages pT1 +pT2 from patients with tumours at stages pT3+pT4 (Table 4). Parameters like nitrotyrosine (AUC=0.79), S-nitrosothiols (AUC=0.69), tryptophan (AUC=0.83) and N-formylkynurenine (AUC=0.68) may be valuable to help to diagnose patients with lymph node metastasis as oppose to those without lymph node metastasis (Table 4). Amadori products (AUC=0.76), nitrotyrosine (AUC=0.70), S-nitrosothiols (AUC=0.76), tryptophan (AUC=0.76) and dityrosine (AUC=0.73) showed a high diagnostic value in differentiation of patients with present and absent distant metastasis (Table 4). We also demonstrated a high diagnostic value of nitrotyrosine (AUC=0.68) and NO (AUC=0.76) in differentiation of diffuse type from intestinal type of gastric cancer according to Lauren classification and Amadori products (AUC=0.72), NO (AUC=0.71), S-nitrosothiols (AUC=0.73), AGE (AUC=0.76), tryptophan (AUC=0.73), kynurenine (AUC=0.69) and N-formylkynurenine (AUC=0.75) in differentiation of I+II



FIGURE 5

Comparison of NO (A) and nitrotyrosine (B) concentration between groups of patients with intestinal and diffuse types of gastric cancer according to Lauren classification; NO (C), Amadori products (D), S-nitrosothiols (E), AGE (F), tryptophan (G), kynurenine (H) and N-formylkynurenine (I) between I +II and III+IV types of gastric cancer according to Goseki classification; tryptophan (J) between groups of patients with absent and present vascular invasion, nitrotyrosine (K) and tryptophan (L) between groups of patients with absent neural invasion. NO, nitric oxide; AGE, advanced glycation end products. The data are presented as median (minimum - maximum). *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01.

TABLE 2 ROC analysis of nitrosative stress parameters and protein glycooxidation products between patients with gastric cancer and the healthy controls.

Parameter	AUC	p-value	Cut-off	Sensitivity (%)	Specificity (%)	95% confidence interval	
Nitrosative stress							
NO	0.9160	< 0.0001	> 0.5417	88.89	81.48	0.8433 to 0.9888	
S-nitrosothiols	0.9659	< 0.0001	> 0.4381	95.45	95.83	0.9167 to 1.000	
Nitrotyrosine	1.000	< 0.0001	> 401.3	100.0	100.0	1.000 to 1.000	
Protein glycooxidation products							
Tryptophan	0.8651	< 0.0001	> 17.64	80.95	80.00	0.7794 to 0.9507	
Kynurenine	0.8833	< 0.0001	> 982.9	86.05	84.00	0.7970 to 0.9695	
N-formylkynurenine	0.8300	< 0.0001	> 727.5	73.81	74.00	0.7400 to 0.9200	
Dityrosine	0.9995	< 0.0001	> 735.8	100.0	98.00	0.9980 to 1.000	
Amadori products	1.000	< 0.0001	> 16.19	100.0	100.0	1.000 to 1.000	
AGE	1.000	< 0.0001	> 333.9	100.0	100.0	1.000 to 1.000	

AUC, area under curve; AGE, advanced glycation end products; NO, nitric oxide.

TABLE 3 Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) analysis in gastric cancer patients for different tumour size, histological type, histological differentiation grade and Helicobacter pylori infection.

Parameter	AUC	p-value	Cut-off	Sensitivity (%)	Specificity (%)	95% confidence interval	
Tumour size							
NO	0.7510	0.0194	> 0.6859	65.22	72.73	0.5733 to 0.9287	
Nitrotyrosine	0.7489	0.0225	> 1032	66.67	63.64	0.5633 to 0.9346	
Amadori products	0.7861	0.0091	> 34.14	69.23	75.00	0.6192 to 0.9529	
Histological type							
Amadori products	0.7164	0.0410	> 34.03	64.00	63.64	0.5414 to 0.8914	
NO	0.8737	< 0.0001	> 0.7832	78.95	75.00	0.7657 to 0.9816	
			Histological of	differentiation grade			
S-nitrosothiols	0.7229	0.0224	> 74.39	64.00	64.29	0.5645 to 0.8812	
Tryptophan	0.7143	0.0273	> 20.66	66.67	67.85	0.5455 to 0.8831	
Helicobacter pylori infection							
NO	0.7596	0.0276	> 1.400	61.54	66.67	0.5610 to 0.9582	
Kynurenine	0.7403	0.0428	> 1674	72.73	71.43	0.5417 to 0.9388	
N-formylkynurenine	0.7500	0.0339	> 1001	61.54	66.67	0.5550 to 0.9450	

AUC, area under curve; NO, nitric oxide.

TABLE 4 Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) analysis in gastric cancer patients for different depth of tumour invasion, lymph node and distant metastasis.

Parameter	AUC	p-value	Cut-off	Sensitivity (%)	Specificity (%)	95% confidence interval	
Depth of tumour invasion							
Amadori products	0.7308	0.0256	> 34.14	69.23	66.67	0.5604 to 0.9012	
Nitrotyrosine	0.7346	0.0207	> 885.7	74.07	75.00	0.5701 to 0.8990	
Tryptophan	0.7246	0.0206	> 20.81	60.87	66.67	0.5638 to 0.8855	
			Presence of ly	mph node metastasis			
Nitrotyrosine	0.7937	0.0088	> 857.1	71.43	77.78	0.6405 to 0.9468	
S-nitrosothiols	0.6909	0.0414	> 74.39	60.00	54.55	0.4920 to 0.8898	
Tryptophan	0.8255	0.0021	> 20.51	72.00	72.73	0.6831 to 0.9678	
N-formylkynurenine	0.6853	0.082	> 863.8	65.38	63.64	0.4927 to 0.8780	
Presence of distant metastasis							
Amadori products	0.7566	0.0157	> 30.78	66.67	63.64	0.5783 to 0.9369	
Nitrotyrosine	0.7038	0.0322	> 1177	62.50	60.87	0.5397 to 0.8679	
S-nitrosothiols	0.7571	0.0075	> 75.26	68.75	72.73	0.5950 to 0.9192	
Tryptophan	0.7628	0.0091	> 21.39	69.23	66.67	0.6099 to 0.9157	
Dityrosine	0.7267	0.0222	> 1313	65.22	64.29	0.5629 to 0.8905	

AUC, area under curve.

types from III+IV types of gastric cancer according to Goseki classification (Table 5). Particular attention should be paid to tryptophan for which AUC in the presence of vascular invasion was 0.80 and nitrotyrosine and tryptophan with AUC=0.78, AUC=0.73 in the presence of neural invasion (Table 5).

Discussion

Oxidative/nitrosative stress is associated with the development of gastrointestinal cancers including colorectal cancer (27–30), liver (31), oesophagus (32), and pancreatic cancers (33). However, the exact role

Parameter	AUC	p-value	Cut-off	Sensitivity (%)	Specificity (%)	95% confidence interval	
Lauren classification							
Nitrotyrosine	0.6863	0.0499	> 1195	64.71	66.67	0.5056 to 0.8670	
NO	0.7647	0.0067	> 0.7183	63.16	58.82	0.6092 to 0.9202	
			Goseki	classification			
Amadori products	0.7235	0.0314	> 32.08	66.67	64.71	0.5462 to 0.9008	
NO	0.7070	0.0407	> 0.7957	57.89	60.00	0.5316 to 0.8824	
S-nitrosothiols	0.7330	0.0211	> 74.39	61.90	57.14	0.5560 to 0.9100	
AGE	0.7582	0.0091	> 123.1	66.67	64.71	0.5985 to 0.9179	
Tryptophan	0.7276	0.0199	> 20.68	63.16	64.71	0.5581 to 0.8970	
Kynurenine	0.6974	0.0469	> 1476	68.42	62.50	0.5192 to 0.8755	
N-formylkynurenine	0.7461	0.0118	> 928.5	63.16	64.71	0.5873 to 0.9049	
Vascular invasion							
Tryptophan	0.8000	0.0334	> 19.86	70.97	80.00	0.6102 to 0.9898	
Neural invasion							
Nitrotyrosine	0.7865	0.0277	> 817.0	68.75	66.67	0.6195 to 0.9534	
Tryptophan	0.7333	0.0474	> 19.86	70.00	71.43	0.5401 to 0.9266	

TABLE 5 Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) analysis in gastric cancer patients for type of GC according to Lauren and Goseki classifications and vascular and neural invasion.

AUC, area under curve; AGE, advanced glycation end products; NO, nitric oxide.

of ROS/RNS in gastric carcinogenesis is still unclear and unexplained. In our previous published paper, we demonstrated that colorectal cancer is strongly associated with enhanced oxidative stress and increased nitrosative damages to proteins and DNA. These parameters differ between cancerous and non-cancerous tissue as well as tumours located in the right- and left side of the colon. We showed that assessment of nitrosative stress parameters could be helpful for evaluating the progression and differentiation of the tumour location. We also showed that redox parameters may be associated with histological type of the tumour and may influence tumour invasion depth, presence of lymph node and distant metastasis, vascular and neural invasion, inflammatory invasion, and tumour budding, which are part of the tumour microenvironment. These parameters are considered as independent adverse prognostic factors in patients with primary operable colorectal cancer (29). Therefore, we decided to assess the nitrosative stress and glycoxidation products in patients with gastric cancer. We observed increased levels of NO, nitrotyrosine, S-nitrosothiols, kynurenine, N-formylkynurenine, dityrosine, AGE and Amadori products and decreased concentration of tryptophan in GC patients in comparison with the control group. We observed significantly differences in nitrosative stress and glycoxidation products according to tumour size, histological type and histological differentiation grade of the tumour, depth of tumour invasion, presence of lymph node and distant metastasis, presence of Helicobacter pylori infection, Lauren and Goseki classification, as well as presence of vascular or neural invasion and desmoplasia. Some of the determined parameters showed high diagnostic value in diagnosis of gastric cancer as well as differentiation of patients according to histopathological parameters.

Nitrosative stress in patients with gastric cancer

The gastric mucosa is a barrier protecting deeper tissues from the gastric juice and external oxidants such as ethanol, cigarette smoking or nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs. Exposure of gastric mucosa to environmental factors may result in enhanced production of ROS/RNS, which consequently induces oxidative and nitrosative stress. Redox imbalance in the stomach may be caused by decreased activity of antioxidant enzymes - catalase, glutathione peroxidase, glutathione reductase and reduced glutathione, which we demonstrated in our previous papers (27, 28). Among the RNS, the major player is nitric oxide which is produced by nitric oxide synthases (NOS) from L-arginine and oxygen (34). Studies performed on cell lines demonstrated that NO may have dual effects in cancer - may promote tumour growth and proliferation. On the other hand NO presents with tumouricidal effects however there is lack of data of its activity in cancer patients (7). NO action depends on its location and concentration. At lower concentrations, NO supports cancer development, while at high concentrations are cytotoxic to cancerous cells and induces apoptosis by forming peroxynitrite (35). Therefore it is not surprising that we observed significantly higher NO concentration in patients with gastric cancer. We also observed a significantly lower NO level in GC patients with Helicobacter pylori infection compared to those without infection. Helicobacter pylori may produce a large amount of superoxide anion to inhibit the bactericidal effects of nitric oxide generated by inflammatory cells in the stomach (36). The decrease in NO concentration in GC patients with Helicobacter

pylori infection may be associated with effective scavenging of NO (37). In these patients, NO production may be suppressed by H. pylori which induces apoptosis of macrophages (36). NO levels were increased in patients with diffuse type of GC according to Lauren classification and in III+IV type of GC according to Goseki classification. These patients present with a worse overall survival rate than intestinal and I and II types of gastric cancer (38, 39).

Enhanced production of NO and other RNS may result in posttranslational modifications of proteins such as S-nitrosylation, glutathionylation and tyrosine nitration. S-nitrosylation is defined as a selective covalent post-translational modification that adds a nitrosyl group to the crucial thiol/sulfhydryl of cysteine to form an S-nitrosothiol (RSNO) derivative. The S-nitrosylation mechanism shows a protective action in different systems, preventing some critical protein thiols from further irreversible modifications by reactive oxygen species. However, the S-nitrosothiols produced in this reaction can alter the cellular function of several proteins (34). Dysregulated S-nitrosylation may take part in malignant transformation through genomic instability, cell proliferation, apoptosis inhibition, angiogenesis, and metabolic reprogramming (40). In our study we observed significantly increased levels of Snitrosothiols in gastric cancer patients. S-nitrosothiols were also increased in patients in G3 stage as well as in patients with lymph node and distant metastasis. Similar observations were made by Ehrenfald et al. in breast cancer (41). They concluded that Snitrosylation promotes tumour cell epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EMT) and facilitates migration and invasion by promoting adhesion to the endothelium and intravasation and extravasation (41). Increased values of S-nitrosothiols were observed in III+IV types of gastric cancer according to Goseki classification in comparison with I+II. It may be suggested that Snitrosylation may be associated with development of a more aggressive type of cancer. This was confirmed in studies conducted in patients with breast cancer, which showed that increased S-nitrosylation leads to the activation of Ets-1 caused by MAPK-dependent phosphorylation and results in development of more aggressive breast cancer phenotype [41]. Moreover, the latest reports indicate that anti-cancer strategies should reduce Snitrosylation. This can be achieved by using NOS inhibitors, NO scavengers, or denitrosylase mimetics (40).

As mentioned above, RNS may also induce a two-step reaction of tyrosine nitration (42). The presence of nitrotyrosine in proteins indicates a high intensity of nitrosative modifications of proteins that favour pro-oxidant processes (43). In addition, nitration of tyrosine residues is considered as a biomarker for ONOO, which is generated by the reaction of NO with O2⁻. This is due to the fact that ONOO⁻ is generally more reactive and toxic than NO. Moreover, ONOO- is a powerful biooxidant and reacts directly with sulfhydryls, ironsulphur, and zinc-thiolate groups and participates in oxidation and hydroxylation reactions (44). Protein nitration occurs under physiological conditions and is responsible for regulation of multiple biological processes such as energy metabolism, signal transduction, enzyme inactivation, protein degradation, mitochondrial dysfunction, immunogenic response, apoptosis, and cell death (45). In our work we observed higher levels of nitrotyrosine in gastric cancer patients compared to the healthy control. It indicates

that excessive or incorrect nitration and accumulation of nitromodified proteins like nitrotyrosine may lead to the dysregulation of metabolic, regulatory, and antioxidant pathways which result in cancer development (46). We also observed higher nitrotyrosine concentration in patients with tumour's diameter >5 cm, in patients with tumours in T3 and T4 stage, in patients with lymph node and distant metastasis as well as in patients with diffuse type of gastric cancer which present with neural invasion. It can be concluded that higher nitrotyrosine levels are associated with development of penetrating deeper layers and more aggressive types of cancer, as well as metastasis and neural invasion.

Protein glycation and glycoxidation

Overproduction of reactive oxygen and nitrogen species also result in oxidation and glycation of proteins, and combination of these processes is often called glycoxidation. In our work we observed decreased levels of tryptophan and increased levels of N-formylkynurenine, kynurenine, dityrosine, Amadori products and AGE in patients with gastric cancer in comparison with the healthy control. It is known that kynurenine and Nformylkynurenine formation depends on IDO (indoleamine 2,3dioxygenase) activity - an enzyme responsible for tryptophan conversion to N-formylkynurenine. Increased activity of IDO results in the tryptophan depletion and consequently accumulation of its metabolites (N-formylkynurenine, kynurenine) which may lead to a strong inhibitory effect on the development of immune responses (47). It may be caused by enhanced activation of the enzyme and intensified tryptophan consumption by cancer cells. Recent studies performed on tumour models showed that IDO inhibition and thereby decreased formation of tryptophan metabolites could significantly enhance the antitumour activity of various chemotherapeutic and immunotherapeutic agents (48). Choi et al. (49) also observed significant differences in the level of tryptophan and its metabolites between patients with gastric cancer and control group, both in serum and gastric juice and they suggest that these biomarkers might be used to monitor gastric cancer. Tryptophan levels were lower in patients with tumours in G3 stage, T3 and T4, with lymph node and distant metastasis as well as in patients with neural and vascular invasion whereas kynurenine and Nformylkynurenine were increased in patients with lymph node metastasis. Dityrosine levels was higher in patients with distant metastasis. These observations may indicate the rapid catabolism of tryptophan in more advanced tumours, with a possible accumulation of subsequent products - N-formylkynurenine and kynurenine. Clinical studies have demonstrated that tryptophan metabolites promote tumour progression through modulation of the immunosuppressive microenvironment by multiple mechanisms (15). Studies performed on lung and esophageal cancers showed that aberrant tryptophan metabolism is associated with TNM stage and the degree of malignancy of tumours, which further affects prognosis (50).

We also observed increased concentration of N-formylkynurenine and kynurenine in GC patients with

Helicobacter pylori infection. It seems that increased levels of Nformylkynurenine and kynurenine may be caused by enhancement of the IDO activity. In the immune response mechanism of infection, IDO activity is considered to be the first-line of defence against invading cells and may mediate an antimicrobial effect. Moreover, in the first phase of infection, IDO-mediated tryptophan depletion has mainly an antibacterial effect while in the later phase, it is an inhibitor of T-cell growth (51). Thus the presence of *Helicobacter pylori* may enhance the immune tolerance against cancer cells (47). Summarising, significantly higher kynurenine and reduced NO levels in patients with gastric cancer with *Helicobacter pylori* infection suggest that *Helicobacter pylori* may support immune tolerance leading to carcinogenesis.

Protein glycation products are Amadori products and advanced glycation end products (AGE). AGE have a strong affinity for the receptor for advanced glycation end-products (RAGE). Binding AGE to the RAGE may promote an initiation and activation of downstream signalling pathways including nuclear factor kappa B (NF-KB), p38 mitogen-activated protein kinesis (MAPK) or tumour necrosis factor- α (TNF- α). They take part in stimulating cellproliferating growth factors and suppress endogenous autoregulatory functions leading to inflammation, localised tissue damage and cancer development (52). It has been discovered that upregulation of RAGE expression is associated with poor clinicopathological characteristics and poor overall survival as well as with invasion and metastasis indicating that RAGE may contribute to the malignant potential of GC (53, 54). Higher levels of AGE and Amadori products in patients with gastric cancer may be caused by anaerobic metabolism of glucose in cancer cells. Tumours present with an increased glucose uptake and a high rate of glycolysis (55). In our study, AGE and Amadori products were increased in III+IV types of gastric cancer according to Goseki classification. Amadori products were also higher in patients with tumour's diameter >5 cm, with adenocarcinoma mucinosum and in T3 and T4 stage and present distant metastasis. This is not surprising because glycooxidative-modified proteins may form aggregates resistant to degradation by proteolytic enzymes. This favour the accumulation of modified proteins in cells and result in a gradual loss of their structure and biological function (27). Thus, it seems that the development of advanced cancer is associated with disturbances in the protein degradation process and their enhanced accumulation. Studies performed on renal cell carcinoma demonstrated that AGE via NF-KB activation may favour tumour cell proliferation, apoptosis inhibition, increases the tumour angiogenesis ability and the potential of tumour cell invasion and metastasis (56).

Limitations

It has to be mentioned that there are limitations regarding our study. We had no information about the diet and physical activity of patients, therefore these factors were not included in the study. Although the sample size was quantified statistically, the study group was relatively small. Therefore, further studies on a larger group of gastric cancer patients are needed. The concentration of redox parameters was assessed only in blood samples (serum/ plasma), giving our results an approximate value. Their level should also be assessed in postoperative tumour tissues and evaluation of blood-tissue correlations would then be advisable. Because we measured only the selected nitrosative stress/protein glycation biomarkers, we cannot fully explain the redox impairment in patients with gastric cancer. Additionally, we did not assess the concentration of kynurenine pathway metabolites, but only their fluorescence, which is also a strong limitation of our study. However, our work also has evident strengths. These include the careful selection of a study and control group without comorbidities. In addition, we were the first to assess the diagnostic utility of nitrosative stress/protein glycation biomarkers in respect to histopathological parameters, presence of Helicobacter pylori infection as well as presence of vascular or neural invasion and desmoplasia.

Summary

To summarise, we have shown that the levels of circulating nitrosative stress markers (NO, S-nitrosothiols, nitrotyrosine) and glycoxidation products (kynurenine, N-formylkynurenine, dityrosine, AGE, Amadori products) were significantly increased in patients with gastric cancer. Although further research on a tissue model is needed, our results suggested that nitrosative stress and protein glycoxidation may play a significant role in gastric cancer development. We also showed that the levels of NO, kynurenine and N-formylkynurenine differ significantly between gastric cancer patients with and without Helicobacter pylori infection. Therefore, the next step is to determine the causal relationship between Helicobacter pylori and nitrosative stress/protein glycation in the development of gastric cancer. Most determined redox biomarkers differentiate with high sensitivity and specificity patients with gastric cancer from a healthy population.

This study may be the first step to a further, more advanced analysis using molecular methods concentrating on the assessment of the diagnostic utility of redox markers in a larger group of gastric cancer patients. It also seems advisable to evaluate the association between the intensity of nitrosative stress and the survival of patients with gastric cancer.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary Material. Further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

Ethics statement

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by Bioethics Committee of the Medical University of Bialystok, Poland (permission number APK-002/238/2022). The patients/participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

Author contributions

Conceptualization, JD; Methodology, JD and MM; Software, JD, MM, and PŻ; Validation, JD, MM, and PŻ; Formal Analysis, JD, MM, and AP; Investigation, JD, MM, and AZ; Resources, KZ and AP; Data Curation, JD and MM; Writing – Original Draft Preparation, JD; Writing – Review and Editing, JD, MM, AZ, and JM-K; Visualization, JD and AP; Supervision, JD and MM; Project Administration, JD and MM; Funding Acquisition, JD, MM, and JM-K. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Funding

The study was supported with grants from the Medical University of Bialystok, Poland (grant numbers: SUB/1/DN/22/001/2209; SUB/1/DN/22/005/2209). JD and JM-K received research support from the Medical University of Bialystok, Poland.

References

1. Arnold M, Abnet CC, Neale RE, Vignat J, Giovannucci EL, McGlynn KA, et al. Global burden of 5 major types of gastrointestinal cancer. *Gastroenterology* (2020) 159:335–349.e15. doi: 10.1053/j.gastro.2020.02.068

2. Morgan E, Arnold M, Camargo MC, Gini A, Kunzmann AT, Matsuda T, et al. The current and future incidence and mortality of gastric cancer in 185 countries, 2020–40: a population-based modelling study. *eClinicalMedicine* (2022) 47:101404. doi: 10.1016/j.eclinm.2022.101404

3. Zaręba KP, Zińczuk J, Dawidziuk T, Pryczynicz A, Guzińska-Ustymowicz K, Kędra B, et al. Stomach cancer in young people-a diagnostic and therapeutic problem. Rev (2019) 14:283–5. doi: 10.5114/pg.2019.90254

4. Han L, Shu X, Wang J. Helicobacter pylori-mediated oxidative stress and gastric diseases: a review. *Front Microbiol* (2022) 13:811258/BIBTEX. doi: 10.3389/FMICB.2022.811258/BIBTEX

5. Butcher LD, den Hartog G, Ernst PB, Crowe SE. Oxidative stress resulting from helicobacter pylori infection contributes to gastric carcinogenesis. *Cell Mol Gastroenterol Hepatol* (2017) 3:316. doi: 10.1016/J.JCMGH.2017.02.002

6. Bhattacharyya A, Chattopadhyay R, Mitra S, Crowe SE. Oxidative stress: an essential factor in the pathogenesis of gastrointestinal mucosal diseases. *Physiol Rev* (2014) 94:329–54. doi: 10.1152/PHYSREV.00040.2012

7. Korde Choudhari S, Chaudhary M, Bagde S, Gadbail AR, Joshi V. Nitric oxide and cancer: a review. World J Surg Oncol (2013) 11:118. doi: 10.1186/1477-7819-11-118

8. Hulin JA, Gubareva EA, Jarzebska N, Rodionov RN, Mangoni AA, Tommasi S. Inhibition of dimethylarginine dimethylaminohydrolase (DDAH) enzymes as an emerging therapeutic strategy to target angiogenesis and vasculogenic mimicry in cancer. *Front Oncol* (2020) 9:1455. doi: 10.3389/FONC.2019.01455

9. Mishra D, Patel V, Banerjee D. Nitric oxide and s-nitrosylation in cancers: emphasis on breast cancer. *Breast Cancer (Auckl)* (2020) 14:1178223419882688. doi: 10.1177/1178223419882688

10. Kehm R, Baldensperger T, Raupbach J, Höhn A. Protein oxidation - formation mechanisms. *detection relevance as Biomarkers Hum Dis* (2021) 42:101901. doi: 10.1016/j.redox.2021.101901

11. Choromańska B, Myśliwiec P, Łuba M, Wojskowicz P, Myśliwiec H, Choromańska K, et al. Bariatric surgery normalizes protein glycoxidation and nitrosative stress in morbidly obese patients. *Antioxidants* (2020) 9(11):1087. doi: 10.3390/antiox9111087

12. Sharaf H, Matou-Nasri S, Wang Q, Rabhan Z, Al-Eidi H, Al Abdulrahman A, et al. Advanced glycation endproducts increase proliferation, migration and invasion of the breast cancer cell line MDA-MB-231. *Biochim Biophys Acta* (2015) 1852:429–41. doi: 10.1016/J.BBADIS.2014.12.009

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fonc.2023.1213802/ full#supplementary-material

13. Nankali M, Karimi J, Goodarzi MT, Saidijam M, Khodadadi I, Razavi ANE, et al. Increased expression of the receptor for advanced glycation end-products (RAGE) is associated with advanced breast cancer stage. *Oncol Res Treat* (2016) 39:622–8. doi: 10.1159/000449326

14. Rahimi F, Karimi J, Goodarzi MT, Saidijam M, Khodadadi I, Razavi ANE, et al. Overexpression of receptor for advanced glycation end products (RAGE) in ovarian cancer. *Cancer biomark* (2017) 18:61–8. doi: 10.3233/CBM-160674

15. Platten M, Nollen EAA, Röhrig UF, Fallarino F, Opitz CA. Tryptophan metabolism as a common therapeutic target in cancer, neurodegeneration and beyond. *Nat Rev Drug Discovery 2019 185* (2019) 18:379–401. doi: 10.1038/s41573-019-0016-5

16. Bosman F, Carneiro F, Hruban R, Theise N. WHO classification of tumours of the digestive system, Forth Edition. France: IARC (2010). doi: 10.1017/CBO9781107415324.004.

17. Amin MB. AJCC cancer staging system, 8th edition. Am Jt Commitee Cancer (2017).

18. Lauren P. The two histological main types of gastric carcinoma: diffuse and socalled intestinal-type carcinoma. *Acta Pathol Microbiol Scand* (1965) 64:31-49. doi: 10.1111/APM.1965.64.1.31

19. Goseki N, Takizawa T, Koike M, Komagome Hospital M, Takizawa M Koike JT. Differences in the mode of the extension of gastric cancer classified by histological type: new histological classification of gastric carcinoma. *Gut* (1992) 33:606. doi: 10.1136/GUT.33.5.606

20. Choromańska B, Myśliwiec P, Kozłowski T, Łuba M, Wojskowicz P, Dadan J, et al. Antioxidant barrier and oxidative damage to proteins, lipids, and DNA/RNA in adrenal tumor patients. *Oxid Med Cell Longev* (2021) 2021:5543531. doi: 10.1155/2021/5543531

21. Grisham MB, Johnson GG, Lancaster JR. Quantitation of nitrate and nitrite in extracellular fluids. *Methods Enzymol* (1996) 268:237–46. doi: 10.1016/s0076-6879(96) 68026-4

22. Wink DA, Kim S, Coffin D, Cook JC, Vodovotz Y, Chistodoulou D, et al. Detection of s-nitrosothiols by fluorometric and colorimetric methods. *Methods Enzymol* (1999) 301:201–11. doi: 10.1016/S0076-6879(99)01083-6

23. Klimiuk A, Maciejczyk M, Choromańska M, Fejfer K, Waszkiewicz N, Zalewska A. Salivary redox biomarkers in different stages of dementia severity. *J Clin Med* (2019) 8:840. doi: 10.3390/jcm8060840

24. Borys J, Maciejczyk M, Kretowski AJ, Antonowicz B, Ratajczak-Wrona W, Jabłońska E, et al. The redox balance in erythrocytes, plasma, and periosteum of

patients with titanium fixation of the jaw. Front Physiol (2017) 8:386. doi: 10.3389/ fphys.2017.00386

25. Johnson R, Baker J. Assay of serum fructosamine: internal vs external standardization. *Clin Chem* (1987) 33(10):1955–6. doi: 10.1093/clinchem/33.10.1955

26. Kalousová M, Škrha J, Zima T. Advanced glycation end-products and advanced oxidation protein products in patients with diabetes mellitus. *Physiol Res* (2002) 51 (6):597–604. doi: 10.1159/000066956

27. Maciejczyk Zińczuk, Romaniuk Zaręba, Kędra M, Pryczynicz Z, Guzińska-Ustymowicz. M-K. Antioxidant barrier, redox status, and oxidative damage to biomolecules in patients with colorectal cancer. can malondialdehyde and catalase be markers of colorectal cancer advancement? *Biomolecules* (2019) 9:637. doi: 10.3390/biom9100637

28. Zińczuk J, Maciejczyk M, Zaręba K, Pryczynicz A, Dymicka-Piekarska V, Kamińska J, et al. Pro-oxidant enzymes, redox balance and oxidative damage to proteins, lipids and DNA in colorectal cancer tissue. is oxidative stress dependent on tumour budding and inflammatory infiltration? *Cancers (Basel)* (2020) 12(6):1636. doi: 10.3390/cancers12061636

29. Zińczuk J, Zaręba K, Kamińska J, Koper-Lenkiewicz OM, Dymicka-Piekarska V, Pryczynicz A, et al. Association of tumour microenvironment with protein glycooxidation, DNA damage, and nitrosative stress in colorectal cancer. *Cancer Manag Res* (2021) 13:6329–48. doi: 10.2147/CMAR.S314940

30. Dorf J, Zaręba K, Matowicka-Karna J, Pryczynicz A, Guzińska-Ustymowicz K, Zalewska A, et al. May the nitrosative and carbonyl stress promote inflammation in patients with colorectal cancer? *J Inflammation Res* (2022) 15:4585–600. doi: 10.2147/JIR.S374387

31. Bin CS, Liu HT, Chen SY, Lin PT, Lai CY, Huang YC. Changes of oxidative stress, glutathione, and its dependent antioxidant enzyme activities in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma before and after tumor resection. *PloS One* (2017) 12(1): e0170016. doi: 10.1371/JOURNAL.PONE.0170016

32. Kubo N, Morita M, Nakashima Y, Kitao H, Egashira A, Saeki H, et al. Oxidative DNA damage in human esophageal cancer: clinicopathological analysis of 8-hydroxydeoxyguanosine and its repair enzyme. *Dis esophagus Off J Int Soc Dis Esophagus* (2014) 27:285–93. doi: 10.1111/DOTE.12107

33. Martinez-Useros J, Li W, Cabeza-Morales M, Garcia-Foncillas J. Oxidative stress: a new target for pancreatic cancer prognosis and treatment. *J Clin Med* (2017) 6 (3):29. doi: 10.3390/JCM6030029

34. Martínez MC, Andriantsitohaina R. Reactive nitrogen species: molecular mechanisms and potential significance in health and disease. *Antioxid Redox Signal* (2009) 11:669–702. doi: 10.1089/ARS.2007.1993

35. Vahora H, Khan MA, Alalami U, Hussain A. The potential role of nitric oxide in halting cancer progression through chemoprevention. *J Cancer Prev* (2016) 21:1–12. doi: 10.15430/JCP.2016.21.1.1

36. Handa O, Naito Y, Yoshikawa T. Redox biology and gastric carcinogenesis: the role of helicobacter pylori. *Redox Rep* (2011) 16:1–7. doi: 10.1179/174329211X12968219310756

37. Gobert AP, McGee DJ, Akhtar M, Mendz GL, Newton JC, Cheng Y, et al. Helicobacter pylori arginase inhibits nitric oxide production by eukaryotic cells: a strategy for bacterial survival. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U.S.A.* (2001) 98:13844. doi: 10.1073/ PNAS.241443798

38. Tanaka H, Yoshii M, Imai T, Tamura T, Toyokawa T, Muguruma K, et al. Clinical significance of coexisting histological diffuse type in stage ii/iii gastric cancer. *Mol Clin Oncol* (2021) 15:1–9. doi: 10.3892/MCO.2021.2397/HTML

39. Martin IG, Dixon MF, Sue-Ling H, R Axon AT, Johnston D. Goseki histological grading of gastric cancer is an important predictor of outcome. *Gut* (1994) 35:758–63. doi: 10.1136/gut.35.6.758

40. Sharma V, Fernando V, Letson J, Walia Y, Zheng X, Fackelman D, et al. Snitrosylation in tumor microenvironment. *Int J Mol Sci* (2021) 22:4600. doi: 10.3390/ IJMS22094600

41. Ehrenfeld P, Cordova F, Duran WN, Sanchez FA. S-nitrosylation and its role in breast cancer angiogenesis and metastasis. *Nitric Oxide Biol Chem* (2019) 87:52–9. doi: 10.1016/J.NIOX.2019.03.002

42. Radi R. Nitric oxide, oxidants, and protein tyrosine nitration. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U.S.A.* (2004) 101:4003–8. doi: 10.1073/PNAS.0307446101

43. Bartesaghi S, Radi R. Fundamentals on the biochemistry of peroxynitrite and protein tyrosine nitration. *Redox Biol* (2018) 14:618–25. doi: 10.1016/j.redox. 2017.09.009

44. Peluffo G, Radi R. Biochemistry of protein tyrosine nitration in cardiovascular pathology. *Cardiovasc Res* (2007) 75:291–302. doi: 10.1016/J.CARDIORES.2007.04.024

45. Zhan X, Huang Y, Qian S. Protein tyrosine nitration in lung cancer: current research status and future perspectives. *Curr Med Chem* (2018) 25:3435–54. doi: 10.2174/0929867325666180221140745

46. Sabadashka M, Nagalievska M, Sybirna N. Tyrosine nitration as a key event of signal transduction that regulates functional state of the cell. *Cell Biol Int* (2021) 45:481–97. doi: 10.1002/CBIN.11301

47. Engin AB, Karahalil B, Karakaya AE, Engin A. Helicobacter pylori and serum kynurenine-tryptophan ratio in patients with colorectal cancer. *World J Gastroenterol* (2015) 21:3636–43. doi: 10.3748/WJG.V21.I12.3636

48. Liu X, Newton R, Friedman S, Scherle P. Indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase, an emerging target for anti-cancer therapy. *Curr Cancer Drug Targets* (2009) 9:938–52. doi: 10.2174/156800909790192374

49. Choi JM, Park WS, Song KY, Lee HJ, Jung BH. Development of simultaneous analysis of tryptophan metabolites in serum and gastric juice - an investigation towards establishing a biomarker test for gastric cancer diagnosis. *BioMed Chromatogr* (2016) 30:1963–74. doi: 10.1002/BMC.3773

50. Han LX, Yue. ZX. Role of tryptophan metabolism in cancers and therapeutic implications. *Biochimie* (2021) 182:131–9. doi: 10.1016/J.BIOCHI.2021.01.005

51. Müller A, Heseler K, Schmidt SK, Spekker K, MacKenzie CR, Däubener W. The missing link between indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase mediated antibacterial and immunoregulatory effects. *J Cell Mol Med* (2009) 13:1125–35. doi: 10.1111/J.1582-4934.2008.00542.X

52. Ahmad S, Khan MY, Rafi Z, Khan H, Siddiqui Z, Rehman S, et al. Oxidation, glycation and glycoxidation-the vicious cycle and lung cancer. *Semin Cancer Biol* (2018) 49:29–36. doi: 10.1016/J.SEMCANCER.2017.10.005

53. Wang D, Li T, Ye G, Shen Z, Hu Y, Mou T, et al. Overexpression of the receptor for advanced glycation endproducts (RAGE) is associated with poor prognosis in gastric cancer. *PloS One* (2015) 10(4):e0122697. doi: 10.1371/JOURNAL.PONE. 0122697

54. Kuniyasu H, Oue N, Wakikawa A, Shigeishi H, Matsutani N, Kuraoka K, et al. Expression of receptors for advanced glycation end-products (RAGE) is closely associated with the invasive and metastatic activity of gastric cancer. *J Pathol* (2002) 196:163–70. doi: 10.1002/PATH.1031

55. Schröter D, Höhn A. Role of advanced glycation end products in carcinogenesis and their therapeutic implications. *Curr Pharm Des* (2018) 24:5245–51. doi: 10.2174/1381612825666190130145549

56. Tang D, Tao D, Fang Y, Deng C, Xu Q, Zhou J. TNF-alpha promotes invasion and metastasis *via* NF-kappa b pathway in oral squamous cell carcinoma. *Med Sci Monit Basic Res* (2017) 23:141–9. doi: 10.12659/MSMBR.903910