Animal models of inflammatory bowel disease: novel experiments for revealing pathogenesis of colitis, fibrosis, and colitis-associated colon cancer

Chan Hyung Lee[1,2,3*], Seong-Joon Koh[1,2,3*], Zaher A Radi[4], Aida Habtezion[5]

[1]Department of Internal Medicine and Liver Research Institute, [2]Laboratory of Intestinal Mucosa and Skin Immunology, and [3]Seoul National University Inflammatory Bowel Disease Research Network (SIRN), Seoul National University College of Medicine, Seoul, Korea; [4]Drug Safety Research and Development, Pfizer Worldwide Research, Development and Medical, Cambridge, MA; [5]Worldwide Medical and Safety, Pfizer Inc., New York, NY, USA

Inflammatory bowel disease (IBD), comprising Crohn's disease and ulcerative colitis, is a lifelong disease that manifests with chronic intestinal inflammation, sequential fibrosis, and an increased risk of colitis-associated colon cancer (CAC). The combined effects of genetic, immunological, environmental, and microbial factors render it difficult to determine the specific mechanism underlying the induction and perpetuation of IBD. Various animal models of IBD have contributed enormously to the understanding of IBD pathogenesis in terms of genomics, transcriptomics, proteomics, microbiome, and drug development of novel therapeutics. Although comprehensive research on IBD has been enabled by advanced technologies, such as genetically engineered models, there is a great need to develop relevant *in vivo* models of colitis and fibrosis. Here, we review 4 categories of animal models of acute and chronic intestinal inflammation, fibrosis, and CAC: chemically induced, genetically engineered, T cell transfer, and spontaneous gene mutation models. (**Intest Res 2023;21:295-305**)

Key Words: Inflammatory bowel disease; Animal model; Colitis; Intestinal fibrosis; Colitis-associated colon cancer

INTRODUCTION

Inflammatory bowel diseases (IBDs), including Crohn's disease (CD) and ulcerative colitis (UC), are traditionally regarded as diseases in Westernized nations affecting over 1.5 and 2 million people in the United States of America and Europe, respectively.[1,2] However, at the turn of the 21st century, the epidemiology of IBD is changing worldwide, due to rapid socio-economic development.[3] Epidemiological reports suggest that the incidence of IBD is rising rapidly in South America, Asia, and Africa.[4] It has become a global economic concern in terms of resource utilization and healthcare costs.[5] IBDs, symptomatically characterized by chronic diarrhea, abdominal pain, gastrointestinal bleeding, and weight loss, are idiopathic chronic and relapsing immunoinflammatory disorders of the gastrointestinal tract. Patients with IBDs show various disease courses owing to chronic inflammation, resulting in fibrosis and colitis-associated colon cancer (CAC).

The etiology of IBD remains unclear, although numerous studies have attempted to reveal the factors underlying the pathogenesis of IBDs over the last three decades when experimental models of intestinal inflammation that resemble IBD have become popular.[6,7] However, the accumulation of epidemiologic, genetic, and clinical studies in patients with IBD, as

well as animal IBD models, suggests that a complex combination of causative factors such as genetic, environmental, microbial, and immunologic factors are related to the initiation and perpetuation of intestinal inflammation.[8,9] Owing to multifactorial etiology and heterogeneous phenotypic symptomatology, there is no single translatable experimental animal model that entirely represents human IBD pathophysiology and related complications, such as fibrosis and CAC. In addition, there is a need to develop relevant *in vivo* models of IBD to translate the preclinical effects of novel drugs into clinical treatment options.

Recently, numerous murine models of colitis have been developed and implemented. Each murine model has its strength in unraveling the pathogenesis of colonic inflammation, fibrosis, or CAC. However, these models have limitations, such as a self-limiting nature and marked variability in colitis development. Therefore, it is imperative to select an appropriate animal model and standardize experimental design. In this review, we provide an update on animal models of IBDs, highlighting each method in terms of acute/chronic colitis, intestinal fibrosis, and CAC research.

CHEMICALLY-INDUCED MODELS

In 1957, an experimental colitis model was first devised using crystalline egg albumin, which sensitizes rabbits with dilute formalin.[10] Since then, many other types of chemically-induced colitis models have been developed, mainly in rats, mimicking several key immunological and gut histopathological features of human IBDs.[11] These models could be divided into those that disrupt the gut mucosal/epithelial barrier and/or that involve hapten-induced hypersensitivity reactions. In addition to the types of models, each chemically-induced model can be used to investigate intestinal fibrosis and inflammation-associated carcinogenesis, as summarized in Table 1.

Advantages: The primary advantage of these models is the ease of induction, reproducibility, rapidity, and capability of using healthy wild-type mice as well as genetically engineered mice. Thus, they have been widely adopted to identify the key factors underlying intestinal inflammation and cytokines dysregulation. Moreover, compared with genetically engineered models, these models can avoid developmental defects in laboratory animals by genetic modification or high variability in penetrance and severity of colitis between animals.

Limitations: Inducing self-limiting inflammation rather than a chronic phase remains a limitation that cannot fully describe

the complex pathogenesis of IBDs in humans. Several reports attempted to overcome this limitation of the acute inflammatory phase as modification of dosages and cycles allows eventual relapsing and chronic forms of intestinal inflammation and fibrosis.[12,13] With the standardized experimental design, careful selection among diverse chemically-induced models are suggested to ensure translational value.

1. Disruption of Mucosal/Epithelial Barrier
1) DSS-Induced Murine Models for Acute and Chronic Colitis

Dextran sulfate sodium (DSS) is a water-soluble chemical. The colitogenic potential depends on its molecular mass, which ranges from 5 to 1,400 kDa.[14] Of note, 40 to 50 kDa is known as the appropriate molecular weight for colitis induction. The DSS solution is typically prepared on the administration day with a magnetic stirrer to ensure complete dissolution, with the DSS concentration varying between 3% and 5%. Before administration of the DSS solution, the mice are weighed. After administering the DSS solution via drinking water, researchers should monitor the body weight, stool consistency, hematochezia, and activity of the mice. The duration of DSS administration can be determined according to body weight and disease activity index (DAI) assessed by weight loss, stool consistency, and hematochezia for colitis.

Oral administration of DSS causes chemical damage to the epithelial monolayer of intestinal tissue, which affects the immune response and causes mucosal barrier dysfunction. The DSS-induced murine model exhibits human UC-like histopathology mainly in distal colon segments, such as colon and cecum shortening, erosions, ulcers, crypt loss, and neutrophil infiltration, as well as weight loss, occult blood in feces, and bloody diarrhea. Importantly, T and B cells are minimally required for the induction of intestinal inflammation in this model, as shown in a study with strains characterized by the absence of adaptive immunity, such as severe combined immunodeficiency and Rag$^{-/-}$ mice.[15,16] Although the mechanism differs substantially from that of colitis in human IBD, it is worth analyzing the innate immune system in the development of colitis and regeneration of injured gut epithelium.

Owing to its self-limiting properties, this model is appropriate for revealing key cellular events and cytokines in acute inflammatory settings. However, a chronic DSS colitis model has been successfully established. Several studies have revealed that oral administration of DSS via the drinking water of BALB/c mice and Swiss–Webster mice causes chronic coli-

tis after multiple cycles of DSS.[17,18] In addition, dysregulation of T helper 1 (Th1)/T helper 2 (Th2) balance has been shown over time in chronic DSS colitis.[19]

2) A DSS-Induced Murine Model for Fibrosis

The DSS-induced fibrosis model could be driven by gut wound-healing responses developed immediately after an acute epithelial injury in the study with FVB-N wild-type mice and C57BL6 procollagen α1(I)-GFP reporter mice.[20] Furthermore, inducing chronic inflammation with several cycles of DSS reportedly triggers fibrosis.[21] In certain strains, a substantial amount of fibrosis has been observed. However, the relationship between the DSS-induced model and fibrosis in hu-

man CD remains controversial. There is insufficient evidence to suggest that chemical damage results in fibrotic complications in patients diagnosed with CD.

3) DSS with Chemical Carcinogens

Compared to the administration of DSS alone, colorectal cancer following colitis can be rapidly initiated by adding colon carcinogens, such as heterocyclic amines, aromatic amines, alkylnitrosamide compounds, 1,2-dimethylhydrazine, and azoxymethane (AOM).[22] Each compound has its own advantages and limitations according to its effects on histogenesis, cell proliferation kinetics, genetics, and environmental traits. As one of the most commonly used colonic carcinogen, AOM

Table 1. Synopsis of Chemically-Induced Models in Aspects of Colitis, Fibrosis and Colitis-Associated Colon Cancer

Model	Colitis	Fibrosis	Colitic cancer	Reference
DSS induced	• Most convenient and reproducible model of colitis • Acute and chronic colitis could be induced by adjusting dosage and cycles of administration	• Fibrosis could be driven by gut wound healing responses after epithelial injury in several murine strains	• DSS with azoxymethane is most widely used model inducing colitic cancer due to its rapidity and high penetrance	15-18, 20-23
Acetic acid induced	• Primarily acute colitis is induced by chemical injury and chronic colitis could be generated in dose and duration dependendent manner • Mimicking some features of UC with involvement of distal colon	• Despite very low incidence rate, fibrosis has been reported	• There is no definite study revealing acetic acid as appropriate model for inducing colitic cancer	24-26
Carrageenan	• Acute and chronic colitis could be induced resembling UC histopathologically	• Weak evidence of causing intestinal fibrosis	• Several studies demonstrate the association of colitic cancer • Applying to colitic cancer model is difficult due to its length of time	27-30
Peroxynitrite	• Intestinal transmural inflammation is accompanied by increment of nitric oxide and myeloperoxidase production	• Luminal narrowing and intestinal stenosis were detected in one study but further experiments are required to support this model for intestinal fibrosis	• There is no definite report suggesting peroxynitrite as appropriate model for developing colitic cancer	12, 31
TNBS induced	• Colitis can be induced by haptenization in forms of acute and chronic inflammation resembling human CD • The susceptibility against TNBS induced colitis depends on types of transgenic mice	• One of the most commonly used animal model for studying intestinal fibrosis	• Several reports support that regular maintenance of TNBS could induce intestinal adenocarcinoma in mice	32-35
Oxazolone induced	• Intrarectal administration cause severe colitis in distal half of the colon which mimics human UC	• Weak evidence of causing intestinal fibrosis	• Oxazolone-induced colitis model has a limitation for investigating tumorigenesis due to its acute form of inflammation • Colitic cancer was developed using oxazolone-induced chronic model with azoxymethane in BALB/c mice	13, 36, 37

DSS, dextran sulfate sodium; UC, ulcerative colitis; CD, Crohn's disease; TNBS, 2,4,6-trinitrobenzene sulfonic acid.

resembles human colorectal cancer in terms of histopathological and proteomic features, and frequent mutations in K-Ras and β-catenin.[38-40] Resulting in the rapid development of intestinal tumors per mouse within 10 weeks, a combination of DSS with AOM is practically suitable for the colitic cancer model in IBDs.[23] Beyond dose dependency, sensitivities to the DSS with AOM administration reportedly vary in different murine strains. In a study of BALB/c, C3H/HeN, C57BL/6N, and DBA/2N strains, BALB/c mice showed the highest tumor frequency (100%) after a single AOM injection (10 mg/kg) and 1% DSS for 4 days.[41] In another investigation with weekly injections of AOM (15 mg/kg), BDIX/OrlIco mice showed a higher incidence (75%–100%) of tumor development compared to F344/NHsd and WAG/Rij strains.[42]

4) Other Types of Mucosal-Disrupting Agents for Inflammation and CAC

In addition to DSS, many other chemicals, such as acetic acid, ethanol, carrageenan, and peroxynitrite, have been introduced to IBD animal models with improved methodology. In 1978, acetic acid was reported as a chemical substance that induces colonic epithelial injury followed by inflammatory cell infiltration.[24,25] Acute colitis was induced by chemical injury instilled via the rectum. Notably, although it has a low incidence rate, chronic inflammation and fibrosis can also be generated in a dose- and time-dependent manner.[26] Ethanol has been widely used as a breaking mucosal barrier that enables the haptenizing agent to induce a hypersensitivity immune response. Carrageenan is a highly sulfated hydrophilic colloid that induces acute and chronic colitis, characterized by mucosal ulceration, gland distortion, and crypt abscess resembling human UC. Activation of B-cell lymphoma 10 and nuclear factor kappa B signal transduction pathways and the involvement of Toll-like receptor 4, interleukin (IL)-6, and IL-8 have been shown in this colitis model.[27,28] In addition, carrageenan could reduce certain anti-inflammatory bacteria in a murine model.[43] Several reports have demonstrated an association between degraded carrageenan in the diet and colonic tumor occurrence. However, these studies took a long time to generate colon tumors, rendering this model difficult to utilize in experimental colitis models.[29,30] Promoting lipid peroxidation and sulfhydryl oxidation, peroxynitrite experimentally causes transmural inflammation and fibrosis. In a murine model, luminal narrowing and intestinal stenosis were detected on days 7 and 21, respectively, after enteral peroxynitrite administration.[31]

2. Hapten-Induced Hypersensitivity Reaction

1) TNBS/DNBS in Ethanol for Inflammation, Fibrosis, and CAC

2,4,6-Trinitrobenzene sulfonic acid (TNBS) and dinitrobenzene sulfonic acid (DNBS) are contact-sensitizing agents that cause T cell-mediated transmural colitis that resembles CD in humans following the intrarectal administration of ethanol. Once administered, ethanol primarily damages the intestinal mucosa and allows TNBS and DNBS to penetrate the bowel wall. Subsequently, the haptenization of colonic or microbiota-derived proteins causes the generation of trinitrophenyl-specific B cells and Th1 -type responses. A single dose of rectal administration results in an acute inflammatory reaction by activating Th1-related cytokines. It has been reported that infiltration of inflammatory cells in colon tissue occurs within 2 hours. In addition, the delayed-type hypersensitivity reaction can be driven by the second dose of TNBS approximately 6 days post-induction.[32] The concentration of TNBS varies among reports, and the DAI and body weight should be measured daily. Generally, mice are euthanized after 3 days of rectal enema based on weight and DAI.

Weekly rectal enema of TNBS, which takes approximately 45 to 49 days, induces chronic colitis characterized by increased IL-17 and IL-23 levels.[33] The susceptibility toward TNBS-induced colitis significantly depends on the type of transgenic mice. It has been reported that SJL/J and BALB/c mice are susceptible to TNBS whereas C57BL/6 and 10 mice are resistant.[34] Owing to the susceptibility, SJL/J strain has mainly been used in the TNBS colitis model. It is also known that adenocarcinoma can be induced by TNBS. CAC developed in the group administered TNBS twice a week after induction. Dysplasia and carcinoma were detected at 5 weeks.[35]

The TNBS-induced model is used most commonly for studying intestinal fibrosis during the early or late course of the disease. Repetition and escalation of TNBS dosage over 6 weeks could induce fibrosis in the chronic colitis model. Several studies have shown an increased amount of collagen in colon tissue and architectural intestinal fibrosis by Masson's trichrome tissue staining in BALB/c mice. Transforming growth factor (TGF)-β1 is regarded as a crucial factor that powerfully drives fibrosis in the gut as well as in essentially all other organs.[44] It has been revealed that Th2 cytokines and IL-13-induced TGF-β1 productions are predominantly increased in the late stage of inflammation, whereas IL-12p70 and interferon-γ concentrations increase in the early stage.[45] In addition, TNBS-induced fibrogenesis is also nuclear factor kappa B activation-

dependent.[46] The advantage of the TNBS model for studying intestinal fibrosis is the ease of the experimental execution and the presence of certain features of CD. However, this model should be chosen carefully, considering the location of inflammation and fibrosis that might be restricted in the distal colon due to rectal administration of enema of TNBS and the potential for results variability among murine strains used.

2) Oxazolone in Ethanol

Oxazolone is a classical haptenating agent with a colitogenic potential. It has been used to induce acute and chronic colitis in murine models. Treatment with oxazolone on days 1 and 8 is the usual protocol for the acute colitis model, while administration on days 1, 6, 20, and 34 resulted in chronic colitis. Unlike the TNBS/DNBS-induced colitis model, intrarectal administration of ethanol causes severe colitis in the distal half of the colon, mimicking human UC rather than CD. It has been known that the C57BL/6 strain is resistant to oxazolone-induced colitis while SJL/J and C57BL/10 mice are highly susceptible. Histologically, it is characterized by damage to the mucosa and submucosa with mixed cell (neutrophil, macrophage, and lymphocyte) infiltration and has the feature of IL-4-driven Th2-type colitis rather than IL-12-driven colitis. Through lymphocyte activation and IL-9 production, the IL-4R signaling pathway plays a key role in this model. In addition, the administration of anti-IL-4 agents prevents oxazolone-induced colitis. Compared to TNBS, oxazolone also induces a TGF-β response, limiting the extent and duration of colitis.[36] This model has a limitation in investigating tumorigenesis due to its acute nature. However, CAC can be induced in a chronic model using BALB/c mice.[37]

GENETICALLY ENGINEERED MODELS

In 1993, spontaneous colitis in knockout (KO) mice was discovered, including IL-2 KO, IL-10 KO, and T cell receptor (TCR) KO mice.[47] Contemporary gene mutation models that induce colitis and/or ileitis spontaneously include over 60 different kinds of KO mouse models, as shown in Table 2. Several types of genetically engineered models can be distinguished by these precise methods and the extent of their influence. The conventional model of KO mice is modified to lack a specific gene in all cell types, including all other organs, such as the IL-10 KO model.[48] To overcome this limitation, technology that allows modification of particular genotypes only in cells of the targeted organ was invented in the 1990s and the 2000s,

Table 2. Knockout Mouse Models for Inflammatory Bowel Disease

Conventional KO	Cell specific KO	Inducible KO
Ahr KO (ROR+/-)	C1galt1/C3Gnt KO	AGR2 iKO
ATF4 KO	CD4-PDK1 KO	C1galt1 iKO
A20 KO	CD4-TSC1 KO	Enteric glia iKO
Bach2 KO	CD4-Uhrf1 KO	Epi-FASyn iKO
Cbl-b KO	CD4-A, DAR1 KO	SHIP iKO
Cgamma KO	CD11-Cnb1 KO	STAT3 iKO
CRF2-4 KO	CX3CR1-IL10Rα KO	TAK1 iKO
Gαi2 KO	DC-TGFR II KO	
GPX1/2 double KO	DC-β8 KO	
IL1Rα KO	epi-AP1B KO	
IL2 KO	epi-Casp8 KO	
IL2Rα KO	epi-C1galt1 KO	
IL2Rβ KO	epi-IKK1/IKK2 dKO	
IL2 KO x β2-MG KO	epi-IRE1α KO	
IL10 KO	epi-NEMO KO	
Itch KO	epi-PTPN11 KO	
K8 KO	epi-RBPJ KO	
Lcn2/IL10 KO	epi-RelA KO	
Mdr1α KO	epi-TAK1 KO	
MHC class-II KO	epi-XBP1 KO	
Muc2 KO	hema-CD51 KO	
Nr2f6 KO	IEC-Cosmc KO	
Rhbdf2 KO	MΦ-STAT3 KO	
SHIP KO	Tcell-Blimp-1 KO	
TCRα KO	T cell-Pggt1b KO	
TCRβ KO	Treg-GARP KO	
TGFβ KO	Treg-IL10 KO	
TLR5 KO	Treg-Tbet/GATA3 dKO	
WASP KO	Thumus-Atg5 KO	

Ahr, aryl hydrocarbon receptor; AGR2, anterior gradient protein 2 homolog; AP1B, adaptor protein 1B; ATF4, activating transcription factor; Bach2, broad complex-tramtrack-bric a brac and Cap'n'collar homology 2; Blimp, B-lymphocyte-induced maturation protein; Bach2, BTB domain and CNC homolog 2; C1galt1, core 1 synthase, glycoprotein-N-acetylgalactosamine 3-beta-galactosyltransferase 1; Casp8, caspase 8; CD4, CD4 positive T cells; Cbl-b, Casitas B cell lymphoma b; CRF, corticotropin-releasing factor; CX3CR1, CX3C motif chemokine receptor 1; DC, dendritic cells; epi, epithelial cells; GATA3, GATA binding protein 3; GARP, glycoprotein-A repetitions predominant protein; GPX1/2, glutathione peroxidases 1 and 2; IEC, intraepithelial lymphocytes; IKK, I kappa B kinase; iKO, inducible knockout; IL, Interleukin; IRE, inositol-requiring enzyme; Itch, itchy E3 ubiquitin protein ligase; KO, knockout; Lcn2, lipocalin-2; MΦ, macrophages; Mdr1α, multidrug resistance protein 1α; MHC, major histocompatibility complex; Muc2, mucin 2; NEMO, NF-kappa-B essential modulator; Nr2f6, nuclear receptor subfamily 2; group F, member 6; PDK1, 3-phosphoinositide-dependent protein kinase-1; Pggt1b, protein geranylgeranyl transferase type 1 subunit beta; PTPN11, tyrosine-protein phosphatase non-receptor type 11; Rhbdf2, rhomboid 5 homolog 2; ROR, RAR-related orphan receptor; SHIP, SH-2 containing inositol 5' polyphosphatase; STAT, signal transducer and activator of transcription; TAK1, transforming growth factor-beta-activated kinase 1; TCR, T cell receptor; TGFβ, transforming growth factor beta; TLR, Toll-like receptor; Treg, regulatory T cell; TSC1, tuberous sclerosis complex 1; Uhrf1, ubiquitin-like, containing PHD and RING finger domains, 1; WASP, Wiskott-Aldrich syndrome protein.

referred to as cell-specific or conditional KO models. Using the Cre-lox recombination system, it is possible to make a deletion of genes and its inversion or complete inactivation. Furthermore, technologies such as reversibly controlling expression, overexpressing by introducing genes of interest in all cells or specific types of cells, and interfering with overexpressed non-functional proteins with a target factor, have been developed. In addition to selecting methods for genetically engineered models, it is crucial to decide which genes to target. Genotypic factors that influence epithelial barrier function, T and/or B-cell regulation, and signal transduction for inflammation should be selected appropriately for each IBD model.

Advantages: These novel technologies allow researchers to analyze the genetic influence on the development of colitis that mimics IBDs. Overexpression and deficiency of specific genes in animal models could generate knowledge of particular functions and mechanisms of interesting substances in intestinal inflammation, fibrosis, and CAC. Moreover, examining pathogenic or regulatory factors is also possible during the development of chronic colitis in genetically confined murine models.

Limitations: Genetically engineered models cannot be a complete surrogate for human IBD, which has diverse risk factors beyond the genetic background. In addition, considerable variability in the development of intestinal inflammation can exist between facilities because of different microbial environments. There is also the limitation of prolonged disease development time compared with other animal models.

1. Genetically Engineered Models of Chronic Colitis

IL-10 is a regulatory cytokine that plays key roles in immunosuppression and inflammation. Deletion of the IL-10 gene causes genetically engineered mice to spontaneously develop intestinal inflammation after 3 months of age.[49] In addition, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs such as piroxicam and sulindac have been recently used to accelerate and synchronize the onset of colitis.[50] Spontaneous and unremitting inflammation is driven by a Th1 T cell response that causes infiltration of lymphocytes, macrophages, and neutrophils in the colon. It has been revealed that the enteric microbiome plays a crucial role in immune system activation in IL-10 KO mice. In several reports, mild colitis occurred under specific pathogen-free conditions, and colitis was not induced under germ-free conditions.[51,52]

2. Genetically Engineered Models of Fibrosis

It has been reported that intestinal fibrosis could be induced in a model of IL-10 deficiency and overexpression of TGF-β1 and monocyte chemoattractant protein 1 (MCP-1). In the late phase of colitis, the extracellular matrix accumulates in the damaged intestine with profibrotic cytokine levels such as IL-13.[21,53] TGF-β1 is a key cytokine in the development of colon fibrosis in IBD. Bioactive TGF-β1 can be transferred by an adenoviral vector, which leads to the development of submucosal fibrosis. Genetic ablation of TGF-β1 results in a fatal outcome 5 weeks after birth, suggesting that the TGF-β1-suppressing method for anti-fibrogenesis seems to be dangerous. A murine model of TGF-β1 overexpression is valuable for studying intestinal fibrosis. MCP-1 is a well-known cytokine that causes fibrosis in other organs. In the colon targeting murine model, intramural delivery of the adenoviral vector encoding murine MCP-1 induced collagen accumulation and transmural inflammatory cell infiltration between days 3 and 21.[54]

3. Genetically Engineered Models of CAC

Several experiments have suggested that KO and transgenic murine models can unveil the concepts and details of the pathogenesis of chronic inflammation toward colon cancer. Transfection with a dominant negative N-cadherin mutant causes porous intestinal epithelial cells, resulting in chronic colitis and neoplasia. Sadlack et al.[55] found that IL-10/β2-microglobulin double-KO mice develop intestinal carcinoma after modest colitis with a long life span. In addition, deficiencies in TCR-related genes can cause spontaneous chronic colitis, dysplasia, and adenocarcinoma. It has been reported that spontaneous adenocarcinoma of the large intestine develops in the TCR-β chain and transformation-related protein 53 double-KO mouse strain.[56,57]

T CELL TRANSFER MODEL

Numerous experimental studies have suggested that T cell-dependent models of IBD may be more appropriate for human chronic colitis than self-limiting models of erosive colitis because of the critical role of the dysregulated immune response. In 1990, a novel method using a T cell transfer system was introduced, contributing tremendous efforts to accumulate knowledge of regulatory T cells.[58,59] While the onset and severity of colitis are relatively variable in genetically engineered models such as IL-10 KO mouse model, the T cell transfer model of chronic colitis could meet the need to precisely synchronize the onset and severity of inflammation. Small and large intestinal inflammation is induced after 5 to 10 weeks by

transferring naïve CD4^{+} T cells (CD4^{+}CD45RB^{high} T cells) into syngeneic recipients that lack both T and B lymphocytes, such as RAG1 KO, RAG2 KO, and severe combined immunodeficiency mice.[60] In contrast, transferring mature CD4^{+} T cells (CD4^{+}CD45RB^{low} T cells) with or without naïve CD4^{+} T cells into recipient mice could not induce colitis.[61,62] The T cell transfer model of colitis is caused by Th1 responses related to interferon-γ and tumor necrosis factor α presenting as transmural inflammation, crypt abscesses, epithelial cell hyperplasia, and erosions. In addition to pathogenic CD4^{+} T cells, CD3εTg26- and hsp60-specific CD8 T cells have also been reported to trigger colitis via adoptive transfer.[63,64]

Advantages: Adoptive T cell transfer animal model closely reflects the pathophysiology of IBD, especially T cell migration to the intestine. These models are capable of revealing the earliest immunological mechanism and role of regulatory T cells in the induction and perpetuation phases of colitis. Furthermore, the T cell transfer system has been used to demonstrate the effects of pharmaceutical agents on induced fibrosis.[65]

Limitations: These models are relatively difficult to establish because of their complex experimental process and time-consuming nature. Owing to the use of immunodeficient mice, integrated knowledge of the generation of colitis around complex factors could not be obtained.

SPONTANEOUS GENE MUTATION MODELS

Without any genetically modifying intervention or exogenous manipulation, few mouse strains exhibit spontaneous colitis resembling human IBDs. The SAMP1/YitFc strain develops spontaneous colitis at 10 to 20 weeks of age.[66,67] Showing 100% penetrance in all animals, mice aged 30 to 40 weeks typically develop persistent severe ileitis. Characterized by affecting the terminal ileum and segmental transmural inflammation, this model resembles CD. Moreover, perianal fistula and intestinal stricture formation were observed in some mice in this model. Interestingly, it has been revealed that the SAMP1/YitFc strain cannot generate colitis in germ-free conditions.[68] Due to the low breeding rate and commercial unavailability of SAMP1/YitFc mice, significant limitations persist for researchers to perform large-scale experiments. In addition to the SAMP1/YitFc mouse model of colitis, the C3H/HeJBir strain also develops spontaneous colitis owing to the absence of Toll-like receptor 4. Increased reaction of CD4^{+} T cells and B cells toward bacterial antigens results in transmural colitis at 3 to 4 weeks of age.[69,70]

BACTERIA-INDUCED MODELS

Considering the important role of the microbiome in the initiation and perpetuation of chronic colitis in IL-10 KO murine models, several studies have introduced animal models infected with specific or complex microbiomes into novel experiments. Injection of fecal suspension or selected bacteria, such as *Lactobacillus* ssp., *Enterobacter aerogenes, Streptococcus viridans, Clostridium ramosum, Bacteroides fragilis,* and *Bacteroides uniformis* into the colonic wall of rats was performed. Chronic colitis and intestinal fibrosis occurred in all the groups, with increased amounts of collagen and high levels of TGF-β1. Moreover, these models showed an increased proportion of bowel strictures in infected rats.[71] Oral administration of *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium after 24 hours of streptomycin treatment in mice resulted in edema, ulceration, and even transmural intestinal inflammation. Furthermore, fibrosis was observed after 7 days of infection with deposition of type I collagen.[72] Those experiments provided important insight and potential for investigation of the bacterial contribution to IBD in aspects of chronic colitis and fibrosis.

SELECTION OF ANIMAL MODELS AND STUDY DESIGN

Currently, several animal models of disease are available for IBD research. It is quintessential to select the relevant mouse model because each murine model has a different type of intestinal inflammation in the small and large bowels. However, there are no standardized methods for selecting a single appropriate model to obtain a reasonable answer to the scientific question. Instead, some strategies can be suggested to determine a suitable model. It is inevitable to be concerned about the costs and experimental facilities before making decisions regarding particular animal models. For example, novel genetic engineering techniques such as the Cre-lox recombination system are comparably more expensive than experiments using chemicals. Moreover, preclinical studies utilizing germ-free conditions require certified facilities and skilled researchers. In affordable and available circumstances, it is recommended to consider each characteristic of the individual model in terms of anatomy, evoked nature, dominant pathological response, and the ultimate purpose of the experiment and hypothesis being tested. As CD and UC can affect different anatomical regions, such as the small intestine, colon, and rectum, regional differences should be considered in selecting models.

Acute colitis induced by chemicals such as acetic acid and oxazolone administered via the rectum results in inflammation of the distal colon which is not suitable for studies on CD. In addition, the acute or chronic nature of intestinal inflammation and prevalent pathological responses, such as innate or adaptive immune responses, could be crucial factors to be considered. Finally, a model that fits well with the ultimate purpose must be carefully chosen, including studies of transmural inflammation, fibrosis, and colitis-associated cancer.

CONCLUSION

With the development of experimental methods utilizing animal models, tremendous knowledge of IBD has been gained in terms of pathogenesis, treatment, and prognosis. Nevertheless, it remains a challenge to translate preclinical results into new drug development. Although intestinal fibrosis and malignancy are the main complications of IBD, pathogenic factors have not been fully revealed or used as therapeutic targets. Currently, the only available treatment for fibrostenotic and malignant complications is surgery. To overcome these issues, preclinical studies should be performed using relevant animal models with appropriate designs. In addition, numerous studies can be conducted, including genomics, transcriptomics, proteomics, and the microbiome based on animal models. Integration with emerging technologies such as organoids and humanized mouse models can help overcome previous limitations of preclinical studies. In order to control the inherent constraints of preclinical studies, it is also recommended to design experimental studies in the combination of animal research and human studies. These will lead to the development of new therapeutic agents for fibrosis and prevention of CAC, eventually helping improve clinical outcomes in patients with IBD.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Funding Source

Conflict of Interest
Radi ZA and Habtezion A are employees of Pfizer Inc. No potential conflict of interest relevant to this article was reported.

Data Availability Statement
Not applicable.

Author Contributions
Conceptualization: Koh SJ. Data curation: Lee CH. Investigation: Lee CH. Resources: Lee CH, Koh SJ. Supervision: Radi ZA, Habtezion A. Visualization: Lee CH. Writing - original draft: Lee CH, Koh SJ. Writing - review & editing: Lee CH, Koh SJ, Radi ZA, Habtezion A. Approval of final manuscript: all authors.

ORCID
Lee CH   https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2257-0085
Koh SJ   https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8001-8777
Radi ZA   https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5849-5200
Habtezion A   https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4473-006X

REFERENCES

1. Zhao M, Gönczi L, Lakatos PL, Burisch J. The burden of inflammatory bowel disease in Europe in 2020. J Crohns Colitis 2021; 15:1573-1587.

2. Ng SC, Shi HY, Hamidi N, et al. Worldwide incidence and prevalence of inflammatory bowel disease in the 21st century: a systematic review of population-based studies. Lancet 2017; 390:2769-2778.

3. Kaplan GG, Ng SC. Understanding and preventing the global increase of inflammatory bowel disease. Gastroenterology 2017;152:313-321.

4. Frolkis A, Dieleman LA, Barkema HW, et al. Environment and the inflammatory bowel diseases. Can J Gastroenterol 2013; 27:e18-e24.

5. Beard JA, Franco DL, Click BH. The burden of cost in inflammatory bowel disease: a medical economic perspective and the future of value-based care. Curr Gastroenterol Rep 2020; 22:6.

6. Low D, Nguyen DD, Mizoguchi E. Animal models of ulcerative colitis and their application in drug research. Drug Des Devel Ther 2013;7:1341-1357.

7. Mizoguchi A, Takeuchi T, Himuro H, Okada T, Mizoguchi E. Genetically engineered mouse models for studying inflammatory bowel disease. J Pathol 2016;238:205-219.

8. Guan Q. A comprehensive review and update on the pathogenesis of inflammatory bowel disease. J Immunol Res 2019; 2019:7247238.

9. Nishida A, Inoue R, Inatomi O, Bamba S, Naito Y, Andoh A. Gut microbiota in the pathogenesis of inflammatory bowel disease. Clin J Gastroenterol 2018;11:1-10.

10. Kirsner JB, Elchlepp JG, Goldgraber MB, Ablaza J, Ford H. Production of an experimental ulcerative "colitis" in rabbits. Arch Pathol 1959;68:392-408.

11. Baydi Z, Limami Y, Khalki L, et al. An update of research animal models of inflammatory bowel disease. ScientificWorldJournal 2021;2021:7479540.

12. Rieder F, Kessler S, Sans M, Fiocchi C. Animal models of intestinal fibrosis: new tools for the understanding of pathogenesis and therapy of human disease. Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol 2012;303:G786-G801.

13. Wirtz S, Popp V, Kindermann M, et al. Chemically induced mouse models of acute and chronic intestinal inflammation. Nat Protoc 2017;12:1295-1309.

14. Chassaing B, Aitken JD, Malleshappa M, Vijay-Kumar M. Dextran sulfate sodium (DSS)-induced colitis in mice. Curr Protoc Immunol 2014;104:15.25.1-15.25.14.

15. Dieleman LA, Ridwan BU, Tennyson GS, Beagley KW, Bucy RP, Elson CO. Dextran sulfate sodium-induced colitis occurs in severe combined immunodeficient mice. Gastroenterology 1994;107:1643-1652.

16. Krieglstein CF, Cerwinka WH, Sprague AG, et al. Collagen-binding integrin alpha1beta1 regulates intestinal inflammation in experimental colitis. J Clin Invest 2002;110:1773-1782.

17. Cooper HS, Murthy SN, Shah RS, Sedergran DJ. Clinicopathologic study of dextran sulfate sodium experimental murine colitis. Lab Invest 1993;69:238-249.

18. Okayasu I, Hatakeyama S, Yamada M, Ohkusa T, Inagaki Y, Nakaya R. A novel method in the induction of reliable experimental acute and chronic ulcerative colitis in mice. Gastroenterology 1990;98:694-702.

19. Dieleman LA, Palmen MJ, Akol H, et al. Chronic experimental colitis induced by dextran sulphate sodium (DSS) is characterized by Th1 and Th2 cytokines. Clin Exp Immunol 1998;114: 385-391.

20. Ding S, Walton KL, Blue RE, McNaughton K, Magness ST, Lund PK. Mucosal healing and fibrosis after acute or chronic inflammation in wild type FVB-N mice and C57BL6 procollagen α1(I)-promoter-GFP reporter mice. PLoS One 2012;7: e42568.

21. Lund PK, Zuniga CC. Intestinal fibrosis in human and experimental inflammatory bowel disease. Curr Opin Gastroenterol 2001;17:318-323.

22. De Robertis M, Massi E, Poeta ML, et al. The AOM/DSS murine model for the study of colon carcinogenesis: from pathways to diagnosis and therapy studies. J Carcinog 2011;10:9.

23. Neufert C, Becker C, Neurath MF. An inducible mouse model of colon carcinogenesis for the analysis of sporadic and inflammation-driven tumor progression. Nat Protoc 2007;2: 1998-2004.

24. Dieleman LA, Elson CO, Tennyson GS, Beagley KW. Kinetics of cytokine expression during healing of acute colitis in mice. Am J Physiol 1996;271(1 Pt 1):G130-G136.

25. MacPherson BR, Pfeiffer CJ. Experimental production of diffuse colitis in rats. Digestion 1978;17:135-150.

26. Bahrami G, Malekshahi H, Miraghaee S, Madani H, Babaei A. Improving animal model of induced colitis by acetic acid in terms of fibrosis and inflammation incidence in the colon. J Invest Surg 2022;35:214-222.

27. Martino JV, Van Limbergen J, Cahill LE. The role of carrageenan and carboxymethylcellulose in the development of intestinal inflammation. Front Pediatr 2017;5:96.

28. Tobacman JK. Review of harmful gastrointestinal effects of carrageenan in animal experiments. Environ Health Perspect 2001;109:983-994.

29. Ashi KW, Inagaki T, Fujimoto Y, Fukuda Y. Induction by degraded carrageenan of colorectal tumors in rats. Cancer Lett 1978;4:171-176.

30. Oohashi Y, Ishioka T, Wakabayashi K, Kuwabara N. A study on carcinogenesis induced by degraded carrageenan arising from squamous metaplasia of the rat colorectum. Cancer Lett 1981;14:267-272.

31. Rachmilewitz D, Stamler JS, Karmeli F, et al. Peroxynitrite-induced rat colitis: a new model of colonic inflammation. Gastroenterology 1993;105:1681-1688.

32. Arita M, Yoshida M, Hong S, et al. Resolvin E1, an endogenous lipid mediator derived from omega-3 eicosapentaenoic acid, protects against 2,4,6-trinitrobenzene sulfonic acid-induced colitis. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2005;102:7671-7676.

33. Fichtner-Feigl S, Fuss IJ, Preiss JC, Strober W, Kitani A. Treatment of murine Th1- and Th2-mediated inflammatory bowel disease with NF-kappa B decoy oligonucleotides. J Clin Invest 2005;115:3057-3071.

34. Kawada M, Arihiro A, Mizoguchi E. Insights from advances in research of chemically induced experimental models of human inflammatory bowel disease. World J Gastroenterol 2007; 13:5581-5593.

35. Santiago C, Pagán B, Isidro AA, Appleyard CB. Prolonged chronic inflammation progresses to dysplasia in a novel rat model of colitis-associated colon cancer. Cancer Res 2007;67: 10766-10773.

36. Boirivant M, Fuss IJ, Chu A, Strober W. Oxazolone colitis: a murine model of T helper cell type 2 colitis treatable with antibodies to interleukin 4. J Exp Med 1998;188:1929-1939.

37. Schiechl G, Bauer B, Fuss I, et al. Tumor development in murine ulcerative colitis depends on MyD88 signaling of colonic F4/80+CD11b(high)Gr1(low) macrophages. J Clin Invest 2011;121:1692-1708.

38. Maltzman T, Whittington J, Driggers L, Stephens J, Ahnen D. AOM-induced mouse colon tumors do not express full-length APC protein. Carcinogenesis 1997;18:2435-2439.

39. Takahashi M, Nakatsugi S, Sugimura T, Wakabayashi K. Frequent mutations of the beta-catenin gene in mouse colon tumors induced by azoxymethane. Carcinogenesis 2000;21: 1117-1120.

40. Yasui Y, Tanaka T. Protein expression analysis of inflammation-related colon carcinogenesis. J Carcinog 2009;8:10.

41. Suzuki R, Kohno H, Sugie S, Nakagama H, Tanaka T. Strain differences in the susceptibility to azoxymethane and dextran sodium sulfate-induced colon carcinogenesis in mice. Carcinogenesis 2006;27:162-169.

42. Kobaek-Larsen M, Thorup I, Diederichsen A, Fenger C, Hoitinga MR. Review of colorectal cancer and its metastases in rodent models: comparative aspects with those in humans. Comp Med 2000;50:16-26.

43. Shang Q, Sun W, Shan X, et al. Carrageenan-induced colitis is associated with decreased population of anti-inflammatory bacterium, Akkermansia muciniphila, in the gut microbiota of C57BL/6J mice. Toxicol Lett 2017;279:87-95.

44. Babyatsky MW, Rossiter G, Podolsky DK. Expression of transforming growth factors alpha and beta in colonic mucosa in inflammatory bowel disease. Gastroenterology 1996;110:975-984.

45. Fichtner-Feigl S, Fuss IJ, Young CA, et al. Induction of IL-13 triggers TGF-beta1-dependent tissue fibrosis in chronic 2,4,6-trinitrobenzene sulfonic acid colitis. J Immunol 2007; 178:5859-5870.

46. Wu F, Chakravarti S. Differential expression of inflammatory and fibrogenic genes and their regulation by NF-kappaB inhibition in a mouse model of chronic colitis. J Immunol 2007; 179:6988-7000.

47. Mombaerts P, Mizoguchi E, Grusby MJ, Glimcher LH, Bhan AK, Tonegawa S. Spontaneous development of inflammatory bowel disease in T cell receptor mutant mice. Cell 1993;75: 274-282.

48. Kühn R, Löhler J, Rennick D, Rajewsky K, Müller W. Interleukin-10-deficient mice develop chronic enterocolitis. Cell 1993; 75:263-274.

49. Anderson CA, Boucher G, Lees CW, et al. Meta-analysis identifies 29 additional ulcerative colitis risk loci, increasing the number of confirmed associations to 47. Nat Genet 2011;43: 246-252.

50. Mas-Orea X, Sebert M, Benamar M, et al. Peripheral opioid receptor blockade enhances epithelial damage in piroxicam-accelerated colitis in IL-10-deficient mice. Int J Mol Sci 2021; 22:7387.

51. Matharu KS, Mizoguchi E, Cotoner CA, et al. Toll-like receptor 4-mediated regulation of spontaneous Helicobacter-dependent colitis in IL-10-deficient mice. Gastroenterology 2009; 137:1380-1390.

52. Sellon RK, Tonkonogy S, Schultz M, et al. Resident enteric bacteria are necessary for development of spontaneous colitis and immune system activation in interleukin-10-deficient mice. Infect Immun 1998;66:5224-5231.

53. Spencer DM, Veldman GM, Banerjee S, Willis J, Levine AD. Distinct inflammatory mechanisms mediate early versus late colitis in mice. Gastroenterology 2002;122:94-105.

54. Motomura Y, Khan WI, El-Sharkawy RT, et al. Induction of a fibrogenic response in mouse colon by overexpression of monocyte chemoattractant protein 1. Gut 2006;55:662-670.

55. Sadlack B, Merz H, Schorle H, Schimpl A, Feller AC, Horak I. Ulcerative colitis-like disease in mice with a disrupted interleukin-2 gene. Cell 1993;75:253-261.

56. Funabashi H, Uchida K, Kado S, Matsuoka Y, Ohwaki M. Establishment of a Tcrb and Trp53 genes deficient mouse strain as an animal model for spontaneous colorectal cancer. Exp Anim 2001;50:41-47.

57. Kado S, Uchida K, Funabashi H, et al. Intestinal microflora are necessary for development of spontaneous adenocarcinoma of the large intestine in T-cell receptor beta chain and p53 double-knockout mice. Cancer Res 2001;61:2395-2398.

58. Powrie F, Leach MW, Mauze S, Menon S, Caddle LB, Coffman RL. Inhibition of Th1 responses prevents inflammatory bowel disease in scid mice reconstituted with CD45RBhi CD4+ T cells. Immunity 1994;1:553-562.

59. Powrie F, Mason D. OX-22high CD4+ T cells induce wasting disease with multiple organ pathology: prevention by the OX-22low subset. J Exp Med 1990;172:1701-1708.

60. Powrie F. T cells in inflammatory bowel disease: protective

and pathogenic roles. Immunity 1995;3:171-174.

61. Morrissey PJ, Charrier K, Braddy S, Liggitt D, Watson JD. CD4+ T cells that express high levels of CD45RB induce wasting disease when transferred into congenic severe combined immunodeficient mice. Disease development is prevented by cotransfer of purified CD4+ T cells. J Exp Med 1993;178:237-244.

62. Powrie F, Leach MW, Mauze S, Caddle LB, Coffman RL. Phenotypically distinct subsets of CD4+ T cells induce or protect from chronic intestinal inflammation in C. B-17 scid mice. Int Immunol 1993;5:1461-1471.

63. Holländer GA, Simpson SJ, Mizoguchi E, et al. Severe colitis in mice with aberrant thymic selection. Immunity 1995;3:27-38.

64. Steinhoff U, Brinkmann V, Klemm U, et al. Autoimmune intestinal pathology induced by hsp60-specific CD8 T cells. Immunity 1999;11:349-358.

65. Li H, Song J, Niu G, et al. TL1A blocking ameliorates intestinal fibrosis in the T cell transfer model of chronic colitis in mice. Pathol Res Pract 2018;214:217-227.

66. Matsumoto S, Okabe Y, Setoyama H, et al. Inflammatory bowel disease-like enteritis and caecitis in a senescence accelerated mouse P1/Yit strain. Gut 1998;43:71-78.

67. Pizarro TT, Arseneau KO, Cominelli F. Lessons from genetically engineered animal models XI: novel mouse models to study pathogenic mechanisms of Crohn's disease. Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol 2000;278:G665-G669.

68. Rivera-Nieves J, Bamias G, Vidrich A, et al. Emergence of perianal fistulizing disease in the SAMP1/YitFc mouse, a spontaneous model of chronic ileitis. Gastroenterology 2003;124:972-982.

69. Brandwein SL, McCabe RP, Cong Y, et al. Spontaneously colitic C3H/HeJBir mice demonstrate selective antibody reactivity to antigens of the enteric bacterial flora. J Immunol 1997;159:44-52.

70. Sundberg JP, Elson CO, Bedigian H, Birkenmeier EH. Spontaneous, heritable colitis in a new substrain of C3H/HeJ mice. Gastroenterology 1994;107:1726-1735.

71. Mourelle M, Salas A, Guarner F, Crespo E, García-Lafuente A, Malagelada JR. Stimulation of transforming growth factor beta1 by enteric bacteria in the pathogenesis of rat intestinal fibrosis. Gastroenterology 1998;114:519-526.

72. Grassl GA, Valdez Y, Bergstrom KS, Vallance BA, Finlay BB. Chronic enteric salmonella infection in mice leads to severe and persistent intestinal fibrosis. Gastroenterology 2008;134:768-780.