RESEARCH ARTICLE

FRS2-independent GRB2 interaction with FGFR2 is not required for embryonic development

James F. Clark and Philippe Soriano*

ABSTRACT

FGF activation is known to engage canonical signals, including ERK/ MAPK and PI3K/AKT, through various effectors including FRS2 and GRB2. Fgfr2FCPG/FCPG mutants that abrogate canonical intracellular signaling exhibit a range of mild phenotypes but are viable, in contrast to embryonic lethal Fgfr2−/[−] mutants. GRB2 has been reported to interact with FGFR2 through a non-traditional mechanism, by binding to the C-terminus of FGFR2 independently of FRS2 recruitment. To investigate whether this interaction provides functionality beyond canonical signaling, we generated mutant mice harboring a Cterminal truncation (T). We found that $Fgfr2^{7/7}$ mice are viable and have no distinguishable phenotype, indicating that GRB2 binding to the C-terminal end of FGFR2 is not required for development or adult homeostasis. We further introduced the T mutation on the sensitized FCPG background but found that Fgfr2FCPGT/FCPGT mutants did not exhibit significantly more severe phenotypes. We therefore conclude that, although GRB2 can bind to FGFR2 independently of FRS2, this binding does not have a critical role in development or homeostasis.

KEY WORDS: FGF, FGFR2, GRB2, Signaling, Development

INTRODUCTION

Fibroblast growth factor (FGF) signaling plays an integral role in development, driving numerous cellular processes including proliferation, differentiation and cellular adhesion [\(Clark and Soriano,](#page-4-0) [2022](#page-4-0); [Ornitz and Itoh, 2022; Ray et al., 2020](#page-4-0); [Ray and Soriano, 2023\)](#page-4-0). FGFs are a family of secreted proteins that bind to and activate their cognate FGF receptors (FGFRs), which are receptor tyrosine kinases (RTKs). The mammalian FGF signaling family consists of 15 canonical FGF ligands and four canonical FGFRs [\(Ornitz and Itoh,](#page-4-0) [2015](#page-4-0), [2022\)](#page-4-0). Upon activation, FGFRs recruit multiple effectors to engage downstream intracellular signaling pathways, including ERK/ MAPK and PI3K/AKT ([Brewer et al., 2016](#page-4-0)).

Both *Fgfr1* and *Fgfr2* are necessary for early development [\(Ciruna](#page-4-0) [and Rossant, 2001](#page-4-0); [Deng et al., 1994](#page-4-0); [Yamaguchi et al., 1994; Yu](#page-4-0) [et al., 2003](#page-4-0)). Deletion of Fgfr1 results in embryonic lethality at periimplantation, while deletion of Fgfr2 results in lethality at midgestation on a 129S4 genetic background [\(Brewer et al., 2015](#page-4-0); [Kurowski et al.,](#page-4-0) [2019](#page-4-0); [Molotkov et al., 2017\)](#page-4-0). We have previously investigated how these FGFRs engage signaling to drive developmental processes by

Department of Cell, Developmental, and Regenerative Biology, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY 10029, USA.

*Author for correspondence [\(philippe.soriano@mssm.edu\)](mailto:philippe.soriano@mssm.edu)

J.F.C., [0000-0002-8659-6348;](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-8659-6348) P.S., [0000-0002-0427-926X](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-0427-926X)

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License [\(https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0\)](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium provided that the original work is properly attributed.

introducing point mutations that ablate the recruitment of specific effectors. Interestingly, the most severe combinatorial $Fgfr1$ and $Fgfr2$ alleles, $FCPG$ (which eliminate binding of FRS2, CRK, SHB/PLC γ , GRB14), prevent the activation of all downstream canonical signals, but do not recapitulate the null alleles. Homozygous $Fgfr1^{FCP\tilde{G}FCP\tilde{G}}$ embryos develop until at least embryonic day (E)10.5, while $Fgfr2^{FCPG/FCPG}$ mice are viable. The large disparity between the FCPG and null alleles for both receptors indicates that partial functionality remains in both the $Fgfr1^{FCPG}$ and $Fgfr2^{FCPG}$ alleles [\(Brewer et al., 2015](#page-4-0); [Ray et al., 2020](#page-4-0); [Ray and Soriano, 2023](#page-4-0)).

Growth factor receptor-bound protein 2 (GRB2) is a cytosolic adaptor protein that plays a significant role in mediating downstream RTK activity. It consists of two SH3 domains and an SH2 domain. The SH2 domain of GRB2 specifically binds to phosphotyrosine residues on activated RTKs, while the SH3 domain binds to proline-rich regions on other signaling proteins such as Son of Sevenless (SOS). GRB2 recruits SOS to the plasma membrane, where it interacts with the small GTPase RAS, leading to the activation of downstream kinases in the MAPK pathway ([Lowenstein et al., 1992; Rozakis-Adcock et al.,](#page-4-0) [1993](#page-4-0)). Canonically, GRB2 is recruited to FGFRs via the adaptor protein FGF receptor substrate 2 (FRS2) ([Kouhara et al., 1997](#page-4-0)).

It has also been shown that GRB2 can bind directly to the Cterminus of FGFR2, prior to ligand-dependent FGFR2 activation. This interaction regulates the phosphorylation state of FGFR2 by modulating the interaction of FGFR2 and the phosphatase SHP2, independently of the GRB2-dependent activation of ERK/MAPK via FRS2. Deletion of the ten terminal amino acids of FGFR2 was shown to abolish GRB2 recruitment to the intracellular domain of FGFR2, identifying the site of interaction ([Ahmed et al., 2010, 2013](#page-4-0); [Lin et al.,](#page-4-0) [2012](#page-4-0)). To determine whether this novel function of GRB2 is involved in the residual activity of the $Fgfr2^{FCPG}$ allele in vivo, we generated mice harboring a deletion of the last ten amino acids of FGFR2 to prevent the recruitment of GRB2. On its own, the truncation (T) does not have a significant effect on development. When combined with a previous signaling allele $(Fgfr2^{FCP\tilde{G}})$, we find that homozygous $Fgfr2^{FCPGT/FC\widetilde{PGT}}$ mice display the same phenotypes as $Fgfr2^{FCPG/FCPG}$ mice, with little difference between the two. We conclude that the direct interaction between FGFR2 and GRB2 via the C-terminus does not have a required role in development.

RESULTS

GRB2 has been reported to bind directly to FGFR2, interacting with phosphorylated Y812 and the last ten amino acids of the C-terminus. To determine whether GRB2 binds to our signaling mutant allele, Fgfr2^{FCPG}, we overexpressed Fgfr2^{FCPG-3xFlag} in NIH3T3 cells. Using immunoprecipitation, we found that GRB2 was bound to FGFR2^{FCPG-3xFlag} even in the absence of FRS2 binding [\(Fig. 1](#page-1-0)A). Additionally, we found that GRB2 binds to wild-type FGFR2WT-3xFlag in unstimulated conditions, as previously reported [\(Ahmed et al., 2010\)](#page-4-0).

We next examined whether this interaction influences FGFR2 Received 23 March 2023; Accepted 30 June 2023 function function during development. To impede GRB2 binding, we

introduced an early stop codon at the Fgfr2 locus via two-cell homologous recombination (2C-HR)-CRISPR [\(Gu et al., 2018\)](#page-4-0), truncating the ten C-terminal amino acids (Fig. 1B,C). Heterozygous $Fgfr2^{+/FCPG}$ sperm was used to fertilize wild-type 129S4 oocytes; the fertilized zygotes were cultured until the two-cell stage, after which they were injected with Cas9:sgRNA complexes and single-stranded oligodeoxynucleotide (ssODN) template and then transplanted into foster mothers. Of 23 offspring recovered, four were $Fgfr2^{+}T$, two were $Fgfr2^{+/FCPG}$ and one was $Fgfr2^{T/FCPGT}$, a 30% editing efficiency. Founders were then backcrossed to 129S4 animals to remove any potential off-target mutations.

homozygotes with no reductions in survival, litter size or rearing capabilities, and adult mice appeared indistinguishable from wildtype 129S4 animals (data not shown). Additionally, $Fgfr2^{TT}$ embryonic fibroblasts treated with FGF1 showed no significant change in either FGFR2 or ERK activation compared to wild type [\(Fig. S1C,D](https://journals.biologists.com/bio/article-lookup/DOI/10.1242/bio.059942)). We therefore decided to examine the T mutation in the sensitized context of our previously described $Fgfr2^{FCPG}$ allele [\(Ray et al., 2020](#page-4-0)).

A novel combinatorial allele, $Fgfr2^{FCPGT}$, was obtained from the same 2C-HR-CRISPR experiment used to produce the $Fgfr2^T$ allele. The $Fgfr2^{FCPGT}$ allele harbors the new T mutation alongside the F, C, P and G mutations that prevent the binding of FRS2, CRK, PLCγ and GRB14, respectively (Fig. 1B,C). Two independent lines

On its own, the C-terminus truncation (T) displays no overt phenotypes. $Fgfr2^{T/T}$ animals were able to be maintained as

Fgfr2T GACCCGATGCCATACGAGCCTTGTCTGCCTCAGTGACCATGGATAAACGGCAGTGTTAAAACATGA Fgfr2FCPGT GACCCGATGCCATACGAGCCTTGTCTGCCTCAGTGACCATGGATAAACGGCAGTGTTAAAACATGA D P M P Y E P C L P Q *

D E18.5 **Observed Observed Expected** E18.5 **Expected Total** 87 **Total** 62 Fgfr2^{FCPG/FCPG} Fgfr2^{FCPGT/FCPGT} 22 21.75 18 15.5 P₄ P₄ Total 646 **Total** 362 Fgfr2^{FCPG/FCPG} Fgfr2^{FCPGT/FCPGT} 102 161.5 23 90.5 **Survival** 63.2% **Survival** 25.4%

Fig. 1. A novel Fgfr2^{FCPGT} allele prevents direct binding of GRB2. (A) GRB2 is bound to FGFR2 in the absence of FRS2 in NIH3T3 cells expressing Fgfr2^{FCPG-3xFlag}. GRB2 is also bound to wild-type Fgfr2^{WT-3xFlag} during starvation conditions. The top two rows depict whole-cell lysates; the bottom two rows depict elution following immunoprecipitation (IP) with anti-Flag magnetic beads. Gel image is cropped to highlight changes. Full gel image is available in [Fig. S1](https://journals.biologists.com/bio/article-lookup/DOI/10.1242/bio.059942). IB, immunoblotting. (B) Using 2C-HR-CRISPR, both Fgfr2^T and Fgfr2^{FCPGT} alleles were created to analyze the effects of GRB2 binding to the C-terminus of FGFR2. The most severe combinatorial allele, Fgfr2^{FCPGT}, carries mutations to prevent the binding of FRS2, CRK, PLCγ and GRB14, in addition to the C-terminus truncation. (C) Sequencing of the C-terminus of Fgfr2 alleles. Fgfr2^T and Fgfr2^{FCPGT} both contain an early stop codon ("*) in place of Y812 (red). An NcoI cut site (CCATGG; yellow) was also introduced after the stop codon to facilitate genotype differentiation. Raw sequencing data are available in [Datasets 1](https://journals.biologists.com/bio/article-lookup/DOI/10.1242/bio.059942) (Fgfr2^T sequence) and [2](https://journals.biologists.com/bio/article-lookup/DOI/10.1242/bio.059942) (Fgfr2^{FCPGT} sequence). (D) Both Fgfr2^{FCPG/FCPG} and Fgfr2^{FCPGT/FCPGT} exhibit partial perinatal lethality; however, Fgfr2^{FCPGT/FCPGT} does have a greater reduction in survival (P<0.001; Chi-square test). Data for Fgfr2^{FCPG/FCPG} are from [Ray et al. \(2020\).](#page-4-0)

of mice, derived from two founders carrying the $Fgfr2^{FCPGT}$ allele, were initially analyzed to ensure consistency. Homozygous animals were recoverable and maintained from both $Fgfr2^{FCPGT}$ lines. Both $Fgfr2^{FCPG/FCPG}$ and $Fgfr2^{FCPGT/FCPGT}$ embryos were recovered in expected ratios at E18.5 ([Fig. 1](#page-1-0)D), indicating that introduction of the C-terminal truncation in the sensitized $Fgfr2^{FCPG}$ background does not reveal the embryonic lethality seen in Fgfr2 null embryos. As previously reported ([Ray et al., 2020\)](#page-4-0), $\overline{F}gfr2^{FCPG/FCPG}$ mice exhibited partial perinatal lethality, with ∼60% surviving beyond postnatal day (P)4. $Fgfr2^{FCPGT/FCPGT}$ mice also displayed partial lethality, but to a greater degree, with only ∼36% surviving to adulthood, a significant reduction $(P<0.001)$ compared to $Fgfr2^{FCPG/FCPG}$ animals ([Fig. 1](#page-1-0)D).

During adulthood, both $\overrightarrow{Fgfr2}^{FCPG/FCPG}$ and $\overrightarrow{Fgfr2}^{FCPGT/FCPGT}$ animals displayed similar phenotypes. Homozygous Fgfr2^{FCPGT/FCPGT} mice were physically smaller than their wild-type or heterozygous littermates in both length [\(Fig. 2A](#page-4-0)) and weight [\(Fig. 2B](#page-4-0)). Fgfr2FCPGT/FCPGT mutants began to exhibit periocular lesions around P15-P21 ([Fig. 2](#page-4-0)C). This phenotype is also found in Fgfr2^{FCPG/FCPG} mice and has been associated with defects in development of the lacrimal gland [\(Ray et al., 2020](#page-4-0)). Additionally, both $Fgfr2^{FCPGT/FCPGT}$ and $Fgfr2^{FCPG/FCPG}$ mice showed partial penetrance of mild caudal vertebra defects, as evidenced by the presence of a kink in the tail [\(Fig. 2](#page-4-0)D).

Like Fgfr2^{FCPG/FCPG} mutants, homozygous Fgfr2^{FCPGT/FCPGT} mice can survive to adulthood and breed, albeit not as robustly as their heterozygous or wild-type littermates. As mentioned above, $Fgfr2^{FCPGT/FCPGT}$ mutants exhibited a reduced neonatal survival rate [\(Fig. 1](#page-1-0)D). Dead P0 pups that were recoverable lacked a milk spot, indicating failure to suckle. $Fgfr2^{FCPG/FCPG}$, as well as hemizygous Fgfr2^{F/−} and Fgfr2^{FCPG/−}, were previously reported to have difficulty suckling, which might be due to cranial nerve defects [\(Ray et al.,](#page-4-0) [2020](#page-4-0)). The trigeminal ganglion is a large nerve group that controls multiple motor functions in the face. During early development, the third branch of the trigeminal migrates into the first pharyngeal arch (PA) prior to E10.5, innervating the mandible, and is involved in suckling in neonates ([Maynard et al., 2020\)](#page-4-0). Reduced migration into the first PA was previously observed in $Fgfr2^{FCPG/-}$ embryos at E10.5 [\(Ray et al., 2020\)](#page-4-0). We observed a similar phenotype in $Fgfr2^{FCPGT}$ mutants, as both $Fgfr2^{FCPG/-}$ and $Fgfr2^{FCPG7/-}$ embryos displayed reduced migration into the first PA [\(Fig. 2E](#page-4-0)), albeit with no significant differences between the two mutants ([Fig. 2](#page-4-0)E′). A reduction in the ability to nurse is a probable cause for the partial neonatal lethality, as well as the reduced size of surviving mutants, as they would be outcompeted by their wild-type and heterozygous littermates.

DISCUSSION

There remains a large disparity between the Fgfr2[−] and Fgfr2^{FCPG} alleles. We created the $Fgfr2^{FCPGT}$ allele to probe residual functions of FGFR2. On its own, the $Fgfr2^T$ allele appears to have no overt effects on embryonic or postnatal development, adult homeostasis or reproduction. When combined with the previous signaling mutations, the *Fgfr2^{FCPGT}* allele appears strikingly similar to the $Fgfr2^{FCPG}$ allele. The only significant difference observed between the two alleles was in neonatal survival. This finding suggests that the FGFR2 C-terminus, likely through GRB2 binding, contributes some activity to overall FGFR2 function, although this contribution must be minor as there was no difference seen in embryonic development. An alternative explanation is that the difference observed may be due to gradual genetic drift or changes in facility conditions over time, as the $Fgfr2^{FCPGT}$ data were collected in an independent, more recent cohort than the previously published $Fgfr2^{FCP}\hat{G}$ data. As we did not see

differences in any other phenotypes, the developmental impact of Fgfr2 function seems to be negligible between the $Fgfr2^{FCPG}$ and $Fgfr2^{FCPGT}$ alleles. Questions remain as to how the $Fgfr2^{FCPGT}$ allele is able to maintain functionality in a manner sufficient for survival, while the *Fgfr2*[−] allele is lethal at midgestation. Further experimentation is needed to identify the unknown mechanisms by which $Fgfr2^{FCPGT}$ is compatible with life, without engaging canonical downstream signaling.

MATERIALS AND METHODS Animal husbandry

All animal experimentation was conducted according to protocols approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai (LA11-00243). Mice were kept in a dedicated animal vivarium with veterinarian support. They were housed on a 13 h-11 h light-dark cycle and had access to food and water ad libitum.

Mouse models and mutant generation

Fgfr2^{tm1.1Sor}, referred to as Fgfr2⁻, and Fgfr2^{tm8.1Sor}, referred to as $Fgfr2^{FCPG}$, mice were previously described ([Ray et al., 2020\)](#page-4-0). The novel strains in this study, $Fgfr2^{em#Sor}/Mmucd[*]$, referred to as $Fgfr2^T$, and 129S4-Fgfr2^{tm8.1Sor em1Sor}/Mmucd*, referred to as $Fgfr2^{FCPGT}$, will be available through the Mutant Mouse Resource and Research Centers (MMRRC) repository (RRID: MMRRC_071313-UCD and RRID: MMRRC_071314- UCD, respectively).

 $Fgfr2^T$ and $Fgfr2^{FCPGT}$ mice were generated by 2C-HR-CRISPR, as previously described ([Gu et al., 2018](#page-4-0)). Briefly, heterozygous $Fgfr2^{+/FCPG}$ sperm was used to fertilize wild-type 129S4 oocytes, which were allowed to develop to the two-cell stage. Each blastomere was injected with preformed CAS9:sgRNA complexes and ssODN donor template. Edited embryos were subsequently injected into foster mothers, and both $Fgfr2^T$ and $Fgfr2^{FCPGT}$ alleles were recovered. Heterozygous $Fgfr2^{+/T}$ and $Fgfr2^{+/FCPGT}$ animals were backcrossed to 129S4 at least six generations prior to analysis to remove any off-target editing. All mice were maintained on a 129S4 coisogenic background. To differentiate the $Fgfr2^{WT}$ and the $Fgfr2^T$ or $Fgfr2^{FCPGT}$ alleles, oligonucleotides T_for_primer and T_rev_primer were used to amplify a 653 bp fragment containing the mutation. PCR was followed by NcoI restriction digest to cleave the novel cut site induced alongside the T mutation ([Fig. 1C](#page-1-0)).

Oligonucleotides

Oligonucleotides used in this study are listed in [Table S1](https://journals.biologists.com/bio/article-lookup/DOI/10.1242/bio.059942).

Immunohistochemistry

E10.5 embryos were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS at 4°C, rinsed in PBS, then permeabilized in PBS with 0.5% Triton X-100 for 24 h at 4°C. Neurofilament immunodetection was performed as previously described ([Ray et al., 2020](#page-4-0)). Primary anti-neurofilament antibody (Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank, 2H3) was used at a 1:20 dilution, and anti-mouse horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated secondary antibody (Jackson ImmunoResearch, 115-035-003) was used at 1:1000 dilution. Signal was developed using an ImmPACT DAB Substrate Kit (Vector Laboratories, SK-4105). Photographs were taken using a Nikon SMZ-U dissecting scope fitted with a Jenoptik ProgRes C5 camera.

Coimmunoprecipitation and western blot analysis

NIH3T3 fibroblasts were transfected with either pcDNA3.1-Fgfr2c-Wt-3xFlag or pcDNA3.1-Fgfr2c-FCPG-3xFlag via electroporation, and stable lines were selected using the Neomycin resistance cassette co-expressed in the vector. Individual colonies were picked and cultured, and overexpression was verified by reverse transcription quantitative PCR and western blot analysis. Cells were maintained in Dulbecco's modified Eagle medium (DMEM; Gibco, 11965118) supplemented with 10% HyClone FetalClone III (FCIII) serum (Cytivia, SH30109), 0.5× Penicillin/Streptomycin (Gibco, 15140122), 1× Glutamine (Gibco, 25030081) and 500 μg/ml G418 (Gold Biotechnology, G-418). Prior to collection, cells were starved overnight for

Biology Open

Biology

Open

18 h in DMEM containing 0.1% FCIII, then treated with 50 ng/ml FGF1 for 15 min. Cells were collected and lysed in NP-40/Digitonin lysis buffer containing protease and phosphatase inhibitors (Pierce, A32961) for 30 min at 4°C. Then, 500 µg of lysate was incubated with either anti-Flag M2

antibodies conjugated to magnetic beads (Millipore Sigma, M8823) or Pierce Protein A/G magnetic beads (Pierce, 88802) coupled with mouse anti-IgG [Cell Signaling Technology (CST), 33469] overnight for 18 h at 4°C. Beads were collected and washed three times at 4°C followed by

Fig. 2. FCPG and FCPGT animals display similar phenotypes during adulthood and development. (A-D) Homozygous Fgfr2FCPGTIFCPGT animals are viable but exhibit multiple phenotypes, including reduced size (A), reduced weight (B), lacrimal gland impairment (C) and kinked tails (D) compared to heterozygous littermates. The line graph represents average weight and the shaded area represents s.d. (E) Both Fgfr2FCPG/FCPG and $Fgfr2^{FCPGT/FCPGT}$ homozygous embryos displayed reduced migration of the trigeminal ganglion into the first pharyngeal arch, which is exacerbated in hemizygous embryos. However, there is no significant difference between the FCPG and FCPGT alleles. Images depict E10.5 embryos stained with anti-neurofilament. Arrowheads indicate the trigeminal ganglion migration into the first pharyngeal arch. Scale bars: 1 mm. (E′) Comparison of migration ratios between hemizygous Fgfr2^{+/-}, Fgfr2^{FCPG/-} and Fgfr2^{FCPGT/-} E10.5 embryos. ns, not significant (one-way ANOVA). The violin plot represents the median value on the dashed line; the dotted lines and tails represent quartiles. n=5 for each genotype.

elution of bound protein using 4× Laemmli buffer heated to 95°C for 10 min. Binding of proteins was examined via western blot analysis using anti-FRS2 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, sc-8318) or anti-GRB2 (CST, 36344) used at 1:1000 dilution and anti-rabbit HRP-conjugated secondary (Jackson ImmunoResearch, 111-035-003) used at 1:10,000 dilution. Signal was developed using Immobilon Western (Millipore Sigma, WBKLS) and imaged using a ChemiDock MP (Bio-Rad) imaging system.

To examine activation of FGFR2 and ERK, primary embryonic fibroblasts were cultured. Briefly, E12.5 embryos were eviscerated, and the dorsal epithelial tissue was collected, trypsinized and plated in a six-well dish. Cells were grown for two passages in DMEM (Gibco, 11965118) supplemented with 10% FCIII serum (Cytivia, SH30109), 0.5× Penicillin/ Streptomycin (Gibco, 15140122), $1 \times$ Glutamine (Gibco, 25030081) and 500 μg/ml G418 (Gold Biotechnology, G-418). Prior to collection, cells were starved overnight for 18 h in DMEM containing 0.1% FCIII, then treated with 50 ng/ml FGF1 for 15 min. Cells were then collected and lysed in 4× Laemmli buffer heated to 95°C for 10 min. Binding of proteins was examined via western blot analysis using anti-FGFR2 (CST, 23328), antipFGFR (CST, 3471), anti-ERK (CST, 4695) and anti-pERK (CST, 4370) used at 1:1000 dilution, and anti-rabbit HRP-conjugated secondary (Jackson ImmunoResearch, 111-035-003) used at 1:10,000 dilution. Signal was developed using Immobilon Western (Millipore Sigma, WBKLS) and imaged using a ChemiDock MP (Bio-Rad) imaging system.

Statistical analysis

Statistical significance of neonatal survival was calculated using standard Chi-square analysis, with observed genotype frequencies compared to expected Mendelian frequencies. Chi-square analysis was also used to compare the observed genotype frequencies between the $Fgfr2^{FCPG}$ and $Fgfr2^{FCPGT}$ homozygous mutants.

The cranial nerve migration ratio was determined by dividing the length of the third branch of the trigeminal ganglion nerve by the length of the cranial nerve. Values were then normalized to the average ratio of $Fgfr2^{+\prime}$ embryos. Statistical significance was calculated using one-way ANOVA with Bonferroni's multiple comparisons test.

Acknowledgements

We thank Leah Naraine for assistance with genotyping and cell culture, and Colin Dinsmore for discussions and comments on the manuscript. We thank Kevin Kelley and the Mouse Transgenic Core for their help in creating the novel Fgfr2 alleles used in this work.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing or financial interests.

Author contributions

Conceptualization: P.S.; Methodology: J.F.C., P.S.; Software: J.F.C., P.S.; Validation: J.F.C., P.S.; Formal analysis: J.F.C., P.S.; Investigation: J.F.C., P.S.; Resources: P.S.; Data curation: J.F.C., P.S.; Writing - original draft: J.F.C.; Writing review & editing: J.F.C., P.S.; Visualization: J.F.C., P.S.; Supervision: P.S.; Project administration: P.S.; Funding acquisition: J.F.C., P.S.

Funding

This work was supported by F32 DE029387 (J.F.C.) and R01 DE022778 (P.S.) from the National Institute of Dental and Craniofacial Research. Open Access funding provided by National Institute of Dental and Craniofacial Research (RO1 DE022778). Deposited in PMC for immediate release.

Data availability

All relevant data can be found within the article and its [supplementary information](https://journals.biologists.com/bio/article-lookup/DOI/10.1242/bio.059942).

References

- [Ahmed, Z., George, R., Lin, C. C., Suen, K. M., Levitt, J. A., Suhling, K. and](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cellsig.2009.08.011) Ladbury, J. E. [\(2010\). Direct binding of Grb2 SH3 domain to FGFR2 regulates](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cellsig.2009.08.011) SHP2 function. Cell. Signal. 22[, 23-33. doi:10.1016/j.cellsig.2009.08.011](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cellsig.2009.08.011)
- [Ahmed, Z., Lin, C. C., Suen, K. M., Melo, F. A., Levitt, J. A., Suhling, K. and](https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.201204106) Ladbury, J. E. [\(2013\). Grb2 controls phosphorylation of FGFR2 by inhibiting](https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.201204106) [receptor kinase and Shp2 phosphatase activity.](https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.201204106) J. Cell Biol. 200, 493-504. doi:10. [1083/jcb.201204106](https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.201204106)
- Brewer, J. R., Mazot, P. and Soriano, P. [\(2016\). Genetic insights into the mechanisms](https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.277137.115) of Fgf signaling. Genes Dev. 30[, 751-771. doi:10.1101/gad.277137.115](https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.277137.115)
- [Brewer, J. R., Molotkov, A., Mazot, P., Hoch, R. V. and Soriano, P.](https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.264994.115) (2015). Fgfr1 [regulates development through the combinatorial use of signaling proteins.](https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.264994.115) Genes Dev. 29[, 1863-1874. doi:10.1101/gad.264994.115](https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.264994.115)
- Ciruna, B. and Rossant, J. [\(2001\). FGF signaling regulates mesoderm cell fate](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1534-5807(01)00017-X) [specification and morphogenetic movement at the primitive streak.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1534-5807(01)00017-X) Dev. Cell 1, [37-49. doi:10.1016/S1534-5807\(01\)00017-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1534-5807(01)00017-X)
- Clark, J. F. and Soriano, P. M. [\(2022\). Pulling back the curtain: The hidden](https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.ctdb.2021.12.001) [functions of receptor tyrosine kinases in development.](https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.ctdb.2021.12.001) Curr. Top. Dev. Biol. 149, [123-152. doi:10.1016/bs.ctdb.2021.12.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.ctdb.2021.12.001)
- [Deng, C. X., Wynshaw-Boris, A., Shen, M. M., Daugherty, C., Ornitz, D. M. and](https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.8.24.3045) Leder, P. [\(1994\). Murine FGFR-1 is required for early postimplantation growth and](https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.8.24.3045) axial organization. Genes Dev. 8[, 3045-3057. doi:10.1101/gad.8.24.3045](https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.8.24.3045)
- Gu, B., Posfai, E. and Rossant, J. [\(2018\). Efficient generation of targeted large](https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.4166) [insertions by microinjection into two-cell-stage mouse embryos.](https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.4166) Nat. Biotechnol. 36[, 632-637. doi:10.1038/nbt.4166](https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.4166)
- [Kouhara, H., Hadari, Y. R., Spivak-Kroizman, T., Schilling, J., Bar-Sagi, D., Lax,](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0092-8674(00)80252-4) I. and Schlessinger, J. [\(1997\). A lipid-anchored Grb2-binding protein that links](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0092-8674(00)80252-4) [FGF-receptor activation to the Ras/MAPK signaling pathway.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0092-8674(00)80252-4) Cell 89, 693-702. [doi:10.1016/S0092-8674\(00\)80252-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0092-8674(00)80252-4)
- [Kurowski, A., Molotkov, A. and Soriano, P.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ydbio.2018.12.008) (2019). FGFR1 regulates [trophectoderm development and facilitates blastocyst implantation.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ydbio.2018.12.008) Dev. Biol. 446[, 94-101. doi:10.1016/j.ydbio.2018.12.008](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ydbio.2018.12.008)
- [Lin, C. C., Melo, F. A., Ghosh, R., Suen, K. M., Stagg, L. J., Kirkpatrick, J., Arold,](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2012.04.033) S. T., Ahmed, Z. and Ladbury, J. E. [\(2012\). Inhibition of basal FGF receptor](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2012.04.033) signaling by dimeric Grb2. Cell 149[, 1514-1524. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2012.04.033](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2012.04.033)
- [Lowenstein, E. J., Daly, R. J., Batzer, A. G., Li, W., Margolis, B., Lammers, R.,](https://doi.org/10.1016/0092-8674(92)90167-B) [Ullrich, A., Skolnik, E. Y., Bar-Sagi, D. and Schlessinger, J.](https://doi.org/10.1016/0092-8674(92)90167-B) (1992). The SH2 [and SH3 domain-containing protein GRB2 links receptor tyrosine kinases to ras](https://doi.org/10.1016/0092-8674(92)90167-B) signaling. Cell 70[, 431-442. doi:10.1016/0092-8674\(92\)90167-B](https://doi.org/10.1016/0092-8674(92)90167-B)
- [Maynard, T. M., Zohn, I. E., Moody, S. A. and Lamantia, A. S.](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-neuro-100419-100636) (2020). Suckling, [Feeding, and Swallowing: Behaviors, Circuits, and Targets for Neurodevelopmental](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-neuro-100419-100636) Pathology. Annu. Rev. Neurosci. 43[, 315-336. doi:10.1146/annurev-neuro-100419-](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-neuro-100419-100636) [100636](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-neuro-100419-100636)
- [Molotkov, A., Mazot, P., Brewer, J. R., Cinalli, R. M. and Soriano, P.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2017.05.004) (2017). [Distinct requirements for FGFR1 and FGFR2 in primitive endoderm development](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2017.05.004) and exit from pluripotency. Dev. Cell 41[, 511-526.e514. doi:10.1016/j.devcel.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2017.05.004) [2017.05.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2017.05.004)
- Ornitz, D. M. and Itoh, N. [\(2015\). The Fibroblast Growth Factor signaling pathway.](https://doi.org/10.1002/wdev.176) Wiley Interdiscip Rev. Dev. Biol. 4[, 215-266. doi:10.1002/wdev.176](https://doi.org/10.1002/wdev.176)
- Ornitz, D. M. and Itoh, N. [\(2022\). New developments in the biology of fibroblast](https://doi.org/10.1002/wsbm.1549) growth factors. WIREs Mech. Dis. 14[, e1549. doi:10.1002/wsbm.1549](https://doi.org/10.1002/wsbm.1549)
- Ray, A. T. and Soriano, P. [\(2023\). FGF signaling regulates salivary gland branching](https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.201293) [morphogenesis by modulating cell adhesion.](https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.201293) Development 150, dev201293. [doi:10.1242/dev.201293](https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.201293)
- [Ray, A. T., Mazot, P., Brewer, J. R., Catela, C., Dinsmore, C. J. and Soriano, P.](https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.342956.120) [\(2020\). FGF signaling regulates development by processes beyond canonical](https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.342956.120) pathways. Genes Dev. 34[, 1735-1752. doi:10.1101/gad.342956.120](https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.342956.120)
- [Rozakis-Adcock, M., Fernley, R., Wade, J., Pawson, T. and Bowtell, D.](https://doi.org/10.1038/363083a0) (1993). [The SH2 and SH3 domains of mammalian Grb2 couple the EGF receptor to the](https://doi.org/10.1038/363083a0) Ras activator mSos1. Nature 363[, 83-85. doi:10.1038/363083a0](https://doi.org/10.1038/363083a0)
- [Yamaguchi, T. P., Harpal, K., Henkemeyer, M. and Rossant, J.](https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.8.24.3032) (1994). fgfr-1 is [required for embryonic growth and mesodermal patterning during mouse](https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.8.24.3032) gastrulation. Genes Dev. 8[, 3032-3044. doi:10.1101/gad.8.24.3032](https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.8.24.3032)
- [Yu, K., Xu, J., Liu, Z., Sosic, D., Shao, J., Olson, E. N., Towler, D. A. and Ornitz,](https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.00491) D. M. [\(2003\). Conditional inactivation of FGF receptor 2 reveals an essential role](https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.00491) [for FGF signaling in the regulation of osteoblast function and bone growth.](https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.00491) Development 130[, 3063-3074. doi:10.1242/dev.00491](https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.00491)