



HHS Public Access

Author manuscript

Arch Neurol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2023 August 14.

Published in final edited form as:

Arch Neurol. 2009 January ; 66(1): 85–91. doi:10.1001/archneurol.2008.526.

Protean Phenotypic Features of the A3243G Mitochondrial DNA Mutation

Petra Kaufmann, MD, MSc,

Departments of Neurology, Columbia University Medical Center

Kristin Engelstad, BS,

Departments of Neurology, Columbia University Medical Center

Ying Wei, PhD,

Biostatistics, Columbia University Medical Center

Romana Kulikova, MD,

Departments of Neurology, Pediatrics, Columbia University Medical Center

Maryam Oskoui, MD,

The Montreal Neurological Institute, Montreal, Quebec, Canada

Vanessa Battista, MS, CPNP,

Departments of Neurology, Columbia University Medical Center

Dorcas Y. Koenigsberger, MSN, CPNP,

Departments of Neurology, Columbia University Medical Center

Juan M. Pascual, MD, PhD,

Departments of Neurology, Physiology, and Pediatrics, University of Texas Southwestern, Dallas

Mary Sano, PhD,

Departments of Psychiatry, Mount Sinai School of Medicine, New York, New York

Michio Hirano, MD,

Departments of Neurology, Columbia University Medical Center

Salvatore DiMauro, MD,

Departments of Neurology, Columbia University Medical Center

Dikoma C. Shungu, PhD,

Correspondence: Petra Kaufmann, MD, MSc, The Neurological Institute, Columbia University, 710 W 168th St, New York, NY 10032 (pk88@columbia.edu).

Author Contributions: *Study concept and design:* Sano, Shungu, and De Vivo. *Acquisition of data:* Engelstad, Kulikova, Oskoui, Battista, Pascual, DiMauro, and De Vivo. *Analysis and interpretation of data:* Kaufmann, Engelstad, Wei, Battista, Koenigsberger, Sano, Hirano, Shungu, Mao, and De Vivo. *Drafting of the manuscript:* Kaufmann, Engelstad, Wei, Battista, Hirano, Shungu, and De Vivo. *Critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content:* Kulikova, Oskoui, Koenigsberger, Pascual, Sano, Hirano, DiMauro, Shungu, Mao, and De Vivo. *Statistical analysis:* Wei, Shungu, and Mao. *Obtained funding:* Kaufmann and De Vivo. *Administrative, technical, and material support:* Oskoui, Koenigsberger, Pascual, Shungu, and De Vivo. *Study supervision:* Sano, DiMauro, and De Vivo.

Additional Contributions: The authors thank Sarah Jhung, MPH, for data entry. We thank the Clinical and Translational Science Awards and their staff at Columbia University for their excellent support. We are grateful to all patients and families who have generously contributed their time to this research effort.

Financial Disclosure: None reported.

Radiology, Cornell University, New York, New York

Xiangling Mao, MS,

Darryl C. De Vivo, MD

Departments of Neurology, Pediatrics, Columbia University Medical Center

Abstract

Objective: To describe the spectrum of clinical symptoms, signs, and laboratory features associated with A3243G, a mitochondrial DNA point mutation that affects multiple organs with varying severity, making the diagnosis and treatment of these patients complex.

Design: Cohort study.

Setting: Columbia University Medical Center.

Participants: A cohort of 123 matrilineal relatives from 45 families, including 45 fully symptomatic patients with mitochondrial myopathy, encephalomyopathy, lactic acidosis, and stroke-like episodes (syndrome), 78 carrier relatives, and 30 controls.

Main Outcome Measures: Data gathered from standardized medical history questionnaires, neurological and ophthalmological examination forms, and laboratory tests. We compared data between 3 groups.

Results: Mutation carriers' clinical and laboratory results frequently had many abnormalities. In addition to neurological symptoms, they often had cardiac, endocrine, gastrointestinal, and psychiatric symptoms.

Conclusions: The A3243G mutation carriers have multiple medical problems, suggesting that the A3243G mutation should be considered as an etiological factor in patients with multisystem clinical presentations or a family history compatible with matrilineal inheritance. Because some medical problems affecting A3243G mutation carriers are treatable, early detection and proactive management may mitigate the burden of morbidity.

Mitochondrial Myopathy, encephalomyopathy, lactic acidosis, and stroke-like episodes (syndrome) (MELAS) is a devastating multisystem syndrome characterized by progressive encephalopathy and strokelike episodes leading to disability and early death.¹ It is most commonly associated with the mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) A-to-G point mutation at nucleotide 3243.²⁻⁵ However, many carriers of the A3243G mutation do not develop the full MELAS phenotype. Instead, they may be asymptomatic or express a wide spectrum of clinical symptoms that suggest multiorgan involvement but vary in clinical severity from mild to severe involvement, although they do not develop the strokelike episodes typical of MELAS.^{6,7} The clinical manifestations of the A3243G mutation are probably underrecognized and the mutation appears to be more prevalent than previously thought.⁸ Although this phenotypic variability is incompletely understood, it is certainly due, at least in part, to heteroplasmy, with varying proportions of mutant and wild-type mtDNA molecules in different tissues.⁹ The clinical features of the A3243G mutation have thus far been described in small series^{6,10,11} or in retrospective studies based on clinical databases.^{12,13} We hypothesize that multiple organ systems are affected in A3243G carriers to a greater degree than previously reported. Here, we describe the phenotypic

spectrum associated with the A3243G mutation based on a cohort of 123 carriers of the mutation from 45 families using standardized questionnaires and examination scores.¹⁴ This information will be useful to clinicians in diagnosing and treating patients with multisystem manifestations due to the A3243G mutation.

METHODS

STUDY POPULATION

We included all A3243G mutation carriers and their matrilineal relatives who were followed up in an observational study at Columbia University Medical Center. Patrilineal relatives or relatives by marriage were used as controls. Based on their clinical phenotype, subjects (n= 153) were divided into 3 groups. Individuals harboring the A3243G mutation were classified as patients with fully symptomatic MELAS when there was clear evidence of focal brain involvement in addition to lactic acidosis (ie, all subjects in this group have, by definition, a history of strokelike episodes, focal seizures, or both). Matrilineal carrier relatives without focal seizures or strokes were grouped as carrier relatives. Family members by marriage or friends were recruited as control subjects. The 3 groups are therefore: (1) fully symptomatic patients with MELAS (n= 45; patients), (2) asymptomatic or symptomatic relatives who represent obligate carriers by pedigree analysis (n= 78; carrier relatives), and (3) noncarrier controls, ie, patrilineal relatives or relatives by marriage (n= 30; controls).

Subjects were self-referred or referred by their physicians. To recruit subjects, we disseminated information on relevant Web sites and at meetings of patient voluntary organizations. We recruited subjects from 45 families, 44 residing in the United States and 1 residing in South America.

STUDY DESIGN

In a cross-sectional design, we conducted a comprehensive medical evaluation that included the following elements¹: neurological examination as semiquantitatively rated with the Columbia Neurological Score, a medical history questionnaire, a neuropsychological screening, a Karnofsky score (assessing daily living functional abilities), and laboratory measures including venous lactate, venous pyruvate, blood glucose, hemoglobin A_{1c}, a lipid panel, a thyroid function panel, a liver function panel, a complete blood cell count, a basic metabolic panel, β -hydroxybutyrate, and urine organic acids.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF STUDY PROCEDURES

Clinical Outcomes

Columbia Neurological Examination Score.: A neurologist used a semiquantitative tool to score the following physical examination domains: height, weight, and head circumference; general medical examination; funduscopic examination; cranial nerves; stance and gait; involuntary movements; sensation; cerebellar function; muscle bulk, tone, and strength; tendon reflexes; Babinski sign; and other findings. Results of these domains were scored as normal or abnormal and summarized with the Columbia Neurological Score, ranging from 0 to 76, with 76 considered normal. We have previously shown that the instrument has good interrater reliability and correlates with other measures of disease severity.¹⁴

Medical History.: A trained interviewer (M.S. or K.E.) conducted structured in-person interviews to obtain information on subjects' developmental, medical, familial, social, educational, and behavioral histories. This questionnaire was developed by the investigators based on their clinical observations in patients with mitochondrial disorders and was designed to provide a comprehensive survey of the medical and neuropsychiatric problems associated with the A3243G mutation. For subjects with cognitive impairment (determined by neuropsychological testing), a caregiver was included in the interview to provide information. If the subject was severely impaired or unable to participate in the interview, the caregiver was interviewed as a proxy. A series of questions was asked in simple terms to obtain yes or no answers. Information on the following areas was obtained: (1) health issues during the newborn period, 12 questions; (2) developmental milestone accomplishments in speech and motor skills, 19 questions; (3) educational milestones, 11 questions; (4) basic medical history including a review of all major body systems, 21 questions; (5) surgery and hospitalizations, 4 questions; (6) alcohol and other drug use, 8 questions; (7) psychiatric history, including depression, hallucinations, delusions, suicide attempts, other manifestations, and hospitalization or treatment for these disorders, 22 questions; (8) exercise intolerance, 3 questions; (9) neurological symptoms, including headaches, migraines, strokes, seizures, loss of consciousness, clumsiness, memory problems, and myoclonus, 22 questions; (10) women's health, including onset and cessation of menstruation, pregnancy-related issues, and gynecological problems, 11 questions; and (11) behavioral issues, 12 questions.

Neuropsychological Testing.: Cognitive function was assessed using a brief global mental status examination as a screen. This modified Mini-Mental State examination was used for subjects aged 18 years and older only.^{15,16} Depending on the total score (maximum, 57), a categorical score was assigned (0, highest possible score, total score 50–57; 1, total score 30–49; 2, total score <30). We used the Karnofsky score, an established semiquantitative scale, to evaluate daily living functional abilities.¹⁷

Laboratory Outcomes—All laboratory tests were performed in the hospital laboratory according to standard procedures and evaluated against reference ranges derived from a control population. The presence of the mtDNA A3243G mutation was confirmed in DNA extracted from leukocytes according to standard procedures, as previously described.¹⁸

Multislice Proton Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopic Imaging—These data, including lateral ventricular lactate levels, were recorded using previously described methods.^{14,19}

SETTING

All evaluations took place at a single site, the Columbia University Medical Center in New York, New York. Participants traveled to the study site from locations across the United States, with the exception of one family who came from South America.

DATA ANALYSIS

For categorical measurements, we used the Mantel-Haenszel χ^2 test to determine the statistical significance of differences between groups.²⁰ For continuous measurements, we used the Kruskal-Wallis test.²¹ Comparisons between individual groups were performed using Bonferroni correction to adjust for multiple comparisons.

RESULTS

SUBJECTS

The 45 patients with MELAS had a mean (SD) age of 29 (14) years (range, 4–60 years) and 51% were men. The 78 carrier relatives had a mean (SD) age of 38 (17) years and 29% were men. The control subjects had a mean (SD) age of 49 (14) years and 73% were men. The mean (SD) proportion of mutant mitochondrial DNA in the blood was 27% (22%) (range, 1%–88%) in the patients with MELAS and 11% (15%) (range, 0%–62%) in the carrier relatives.

CLINICAL FEATURES

Neurological Symptoms—Patients with MELAS invariably had multiple and severe neurological symptoms including strokes, seizures, and cognitive impairment. However, carrier relatives also frequently had neurological symptoms, and they had migraine headaches more commonly than control subjects. Other common neurological symptoms reported by carriers included limb weakness, loss of sensation, difficulty with balance, clumsiness, and myoclonic jerks (Table 1).

Nonneurological Symptoms—Carriers of the A3243G mitochondrial mutation experienced a wide spectrum of symptoms related to multiple organs and varying in severity. These same symptoms were often severe in the patients (Table 2).

Birth weights were not different between groups; however, perinatal difficulties, motor and speech delays, and difficulty chewing or swallowing were more frequently reported by patients with MELAS and carrier relatives than by control subjects. Similarly, both patients with MELAS and carrier relatives were more likely to have attended special education classes. Statistically significant differences between patients and carrier relatives were seen for perinatal difficulties and motor delay (Table 2) compared with control subjects.

Exercise intolerance was the most common symptom in patients (93%) and the second most common concern in carrier relatives (38%); in contrast, it was reported by only 20% of controls.

Hearing impairment was common in patients (77%), with 49% using hearing aids and 4% using cochlear implants. Hearing loss in carrier relatives (35%) was more common than in controls (28%). Carrier relatives used hearing aids more frequently than control subjects (14% vs 4%). Gastrointestinal disturbance was the most common symptom in carrier relatives (43%), whereas it was the third most common symptom in patients with MELAS (64%). A plethora of gastrointestinal tract problems were reported including food allergies, intolerance to certain foods, nausea, vomiting, constipation, bloating, diarrhea,

cramping, swallowing difficulties, and bowel obstruction. Diabetes was significantly more common in patients with MELAS and carriers than in control subjects. Heart disease, frequent infections, breathing difficulty, and delayed puberty were more common in patients with MELAS and carriers than in controls, but the difference did not reach statistical significance. Daily living functional abilities, as measured with the Karnofsky score, were significantly lower not only in patients with MELAS, but also in carrier relatives compared with control subjects ($P < .001$).

Neurobehavioral Features—Cognitive problems were frequent, with relatively early onset in patients with MELAS while carrier relatives experienced very mild and less frequent difficulties (Table 3). Patients performed poorly on the Modified Mini-Mental Status examination (mean [SD], 1.32 [0.76]) and carriers showed mildly reduced performance (mean [SD], 0.24 [0.47]) compared with controls (mean [SD], 0.14 [0.35]). Patients with MELAS have a high prevalence of psychiatric symptoms including hallucinations, depression, and delusions. The burden of neurobehavioral symptoms was increased not only in patients with MELAS, but also in carrier relatives (Table 3). The most common behavioral symptoms reported by carrier relatives were low frustration threshold and distractibility. Depression was reported by approximately one-third of all carriers, compared with 17% in the control group.

Physical Examination Features—Physical examination showed that both patients with MELAS and their carrier relatives differed from controls in their anthropometric characteristics. Patients with MELAS and carrier relatives were more likely than controls to be underweight, of short stature, and have a small head circumference (Table 4). Patients with MELAS and carrier relatives had significantly lower overall Colombia Neurological Scores than carrier relatives (mean [SD], 57.1 [9.7] and 69.6 [6.7] compared with control scores of 72.6 [3.2]). While patients were significantly different from control subjects in all domains, carrier relatives had significantly more abnormal results than controls in 4 domains: (1) general physical examination, (2) funduscopy, (3) cranial nerve examination, and (4) cerebellar testing.

NEUROIMAGING AND LABORATORY FEATURES

The magnetic resonance spectroscopy ventricular lactate is significantly different in patients with MELAS and carrier relatives when compared with control subjects (Table 5). Hemoglobin, urine creatinine, and triiodothyronine (T_4) were significantly different in patients with MELAS and carriers when compared with control subjects. Serum creatinine, aspartate aminotransferase, alanine aminotransferase, triglycerides, and thyroxine (T_3) were significantly different in patients than controls (Table 5).

COMMENT

We studied 123 subjects with the A3243G mtDNA mutation and 30 controls using a comprehensive battery of prespecified clinical and laboratory measures.

Recent epidemiological evidence suggests a population prevalence for the A3243G mutation of 236 to 100 000 in whites, indicating that this genetic defect is underrecognized.⁸

Identifying which patients to screen for the A3243G mutation can be challenging, and our study provides comprehensive data on the phenotypes associated with this mutation not only in patients with fully symptomatic MELAS but also in carrier relatives, in comparison with nonmatrilateral relatives. To our knowledge, ours is the largest study of the clinical features associated with the A3243G mutation.

By definition, patients with MELAS have focal central nervous system involvement, characteristically stroke-like episodes punctuating their disease course. However, most of them also suffer from a plethora of additional neurological and psychiatric problems, including seizures, memory loss, headaches, gait imbalance, hallucinations, depression, and behavioral difficulties, some of which are amenable to symptomatic treatment.

It is important to recognize that not only patients with MELAS but also their maternal relatives carrying the A3243G mutation without the full MELAS phenotype have a high prevalence of neurological and medical problems including hearing loss, diabetes, exercise intolerance, gastrointestinal disorders, depression, short stature, and a history of educational difficulties. In our cohort, more than 60% of carrier relatives had symptoms suggestive of mitochondrial disease in 2 or more organ systems. Many of these features had been recognized in A3243G carriers before,⁷ but our study better defines their frequency and identifies a previously underestimated high prevalence of diabetes mellitus, gastrointestinal problems, and neurobehavioral issues.

A limitation of our study is that we recruited controls who were patrilineal relatives or relatives by marriage. As a result, they are older than the patients with MELAS. However, an older group would be expected to have a higher prevalence of medical problems, so that this limitation would not have resulted in false-positive conclusions.

In summary, our results suggest that mitochondrial dysfunction due to the A3243G mutation should be suspected in patients with multisystem clinical presentations that include subtle signs often associated with the A3243G mutation, especially exercise intolerance, hearing loss, gastrointestinal problems, and diabetes. These results are important because the A3243G mutation is probably underrecognized in the community. Better knowledge of the frequency of the clinical features associated with this mutation should lead to enhanced genetic screening, which can in turn accelerate diagnosis and avoid unnecessary tests. These results also have important implications for known A3243G carriers by improving our ability to proactively care for these patients and to recognize medical problems in a proactive fashion.

Funding/Support:

This study was supported by grant PO1-HD32062 from the National Institute of Child Health and Human Development (Drs Kaufmann, DiMauro, and De Vivo); Clinical and Translational Science Award 1 UL1 RR024156; an Irving Research Scholar Award (Dr Kaufmann); the Marriott Mitochondrial Disorder Clinical Research Fund (Drs Hirano and DiMauro); and the Colleen Giblin Foundation (Dr Shungu).

REFERENCES

1. Ciafaloni E, Ricci E, Shanske S, et al. MELAS: clinical features, biochemistry, and molecular genetics. *Ann Neurol.* 1992;31(4):391–398. [PubMed: 1586140]
2. Hirano M, Pavlakis SG. Mitochondrial myopathy, encephalopathy, lactic acidosis, and stroke-like episodes (MELAS): current concepts. *J Child Neurol.* 1994;9(1):4–13. [PubMed: 8151079]
3. Goto Y, Nonaka I, Horai S. A new mtDNA mutation associated with mitochondrial myopathy, encephalopathy, lactic acidosis and stroke-like episodes (MELAS). *Biochim Biophys Acta.* 1991;1097(3):238–240. [PubMed: 1932147]
4. De Vivo DC, DiMauro S. Mitochondrial defects of brain and muscle. *Biol Neonate.* 1990;58(suppl 1):54–69.
5. De Vivo DC. The expanding clinical spectrum of mitochondrial diseases. *Brain Dev.* 1993;15(1):1–22. [PubMed: 8338207]
6. Damian MS, Seibel P, Reichmann H, et al. Clinical spectrum of the MELAS mutation in a large pedigree. *Acta Neurol Scand.* 1995;92(5):409–415. [PubMed: 8610496]
7. Majamaa-Voltti KA, Winqvist S, Remes AM, et al. A 3-year clinical follow-up of adult patients with 3243A>G in mitochondrial DNA. *Neurology.* 2006;66(10):1470–1475. [PubMed: 16717204]
8. Manwaring N, Jones MM, Wang JJ, et al. Population prevalence of the MELAS A3243G mutation. *Mitochondrion.* 2007;7(3):230–233. [PubMed: 17300999]
9. Ciafaloni E, Ricci E, Servidei S, et al. Widespread tissue distribution of a tRNA^{Leu} (UUR) mutation in the mitochondrial DNA of a patient with MELAS syndrome. *Neurology.* 1991;41(10):1663–1664. [PubMed: 1922812]
10. Morovvati S, Nakagawa M, Sato Y, Hamada K, Higuchi I, Osame M. Phenotypes and mitochondrial DNA substitutions in families with A3243G mutation. *Acta Neurol Scand.* 2002;106(2):104–108. [PubMed: 12100370]
11. Huang CC, Kuo HC, Chu CC, Liou CW, Ma YS, Wei YH. Clinical phenotype, prognosis and mitochondrial DNA mutation load in mitochondrial encephalomyopathies. *J Biomed Sci.* 2002;9(6 pt 1):527–533. [PubMed: 12372990]
12. Chinnery PF, Howell N, Lightowers RN, Turnbull DM. Molecular pathology of MELAS and MERRF: the relationship between mutation load and clinical phenotypes. *Brain.* 1997;120(pt 10):1713–1721. [PubMed: 9365365]
13. Chinnery PF, Howell N, Lightowers RN, Turnbull DM. MELAS and MERRF: the relationship between maternal mutation load and the frequency of clinically affected offspring. *Brain.* 1998;121(pt 10):1889–1894. [PubMed: 9798744]
14. Kaufmann P, Shungu DC, Sano MC, et al. Cerebral lactic acidosis correlates with neurological impairment in MELAS. *Neurology.* 2004;62(8):1297–1302. [PubMed: 15111665]
15. MacKenzie DM, Copp P, Shaw RJ, Goodwin GM. Brief cognitive screening of the elderly: a comparison of the Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE), Abbreviated Mental Test (AMT) and Mental Status Questionnaire (MSQ). *Psychol Med.* 1996;26(2):427–430. [PubMed: 8685299]
16. Folstein MF, Folstein SE, McHugh PR. “Mini-mental state”: a practical method for grading the cognitive state of patients for the clinician. *J Psychiatr Res.* 1975;12(3):189–198. [PubMed: 1202204]
17. Karnofsky KA, Burchenal JH. The clinical evaluation of chemotherapeutic agents in cancer. In: MacLeod CM, ed. *Evaluation of Chemotherapeutic Agents.* New York, NY: Columbia University Press; 1949:196.
18. Kaufmann P, Koga Y, Shanske S, et al. Mitochondrial DNA and RNA processing in MELAS. *Ann Neurol.* 1996;40(2):172–180. [PubMed: 8773598]
19. Duyn JH, Gillen J, Sobering G, van Zijl PC, Moonen CT. Multisection proton MR spectroscopic imaging of the brain. *Radiology.* 1993;188(1):277–282. [PubMed: 8511313]
20. Mantel N, Haenszel W. Statistical aspects of the analysis of data from retrospective studies of disease. *J Natl Cancer Inst.* 1959;22(4):719–748. [PubMed: 13655060]
21. Kruskal WH, Wallis WA. Use of ranks on one criterion variance analysis. *J Am Stat Assoc.* 1952;47(260):583–621.

Proportion of Subjects by Group Who Responded Affirmatively to a Structured Medical History Questionnaire

Table 1.

Symptom	Percentage (Mean [SD])			P Value ^a
	Patients With MELAS	Carrier Relatives	Controls	
Headache	91	58	52	<.001 ^{b,c}
Balance difficulty	81	20	4	<.001 ^{b,c}
Age at onset of migraines, y	77 (19.3 [10.0])	32 (21.9 [12.3])	16 (21.3 [10.4])	<.001 ^{b,d}
Limb weakness	71	22	4	<.001 ^{b,c,d}
Age at onset of clumsiness, y	62 (19 [14])	23 (37 [17])	4	<.001 ^{b,c,d}
Age at onset of myoclonus, y	50 (24 [17])	15 (33 [15])	0	<.001 ^{b,c,d}
Loss of sensation	48	19	4	<.001 ^{b,d}

Abbreviation: MELAS, mitochondrial myopathy, encephalopathy, lactic acidosis, and stroke-like episodes (syndrome).

^aThe χ^2 test was used to evaluate the heterogeneity across the 3 groups.

^bSignificant difference between patients and carrier relatives.

^cSignificant difference between carrier relatives and controls.

^dSignificant difference between patients and controls.

Nonneurological Symptoms and Daily Living Functional Abilities

Table 2.

Variable	Percentage			P Value ^d
	Patients With MELAS	Carrier Relatives	Controls	
Developmental symptoms				
Mean (SD) birth weight, kg	3.1 (0.6)	3.2 (0.7)	3.3 (0.5)	.5
Perinatal difficulties	44	30	13	.03 ^{b,c}
Childhood motor delay	47	18	0	<.001 ^{b,c,d}
Speech delay	25	12	9	.11
Reading/learning difficulties	33	11	8	.006 ^{c,d}
Special education classes	49	15	4	<.001 ^{c,d}
Difficulty chewing/swallowing or excessive drooling	10	7	0	.32
Systemic symptoms				
Exercise intolerance	93	38	20	<.001 ^{c,d}
Loss of hearing	77	35	28	<.001 ^{c,d}
GI disturbance	64	43	16	<.001 ^{b,c,d}
Growth failure	52	21	12	<.001 ^{c,d}
Hearing aid	49	14	4	<.001 ^{c,d}
Hirsutism	42	13	0	<.001 ^{c,d}
Ptosis	36	10	0	<.001 ^{c,d}
Diabetes	33	22	4	.03 ^{b,c,d}
Night blindness	28	12	4	.02 ^{c,d}
Mean (SD) Karnofsky score	63.3 (18.9)	93.7 (9.7)	100	<.001 ^{b,c,d}

Abbreviations: GI, gastrointestinal; MELAS, mitochondrial myopathy, encephalopathy, lactic acidosis, and stroke-like episodes (syndrome).

^aThe χ^2 test was used to evaluate the heterogeneity across the 3 groups.

^bSignificant difference between carrier relatives and controls.

^cSignificant difference between patients and controls.

g Significant difference between patients and carrier relatives.

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Psychiatric History, Behavioral, and Cognitive Problems

Table 3.

	Percentage (Mean [SD])			P Value ^d
	MELAS Patients	Carrier Relatives	Controls	
Cognitive symptoms/signs				
Age at onset of memory problems, y	71 (26 [15])	16 (46 [11])	8 (34 [0])	<.001 ^{b,d}
Mean (SD) MMS score	1.32 (0.76)	0.24 (0.47)	0.14 (0.35)	<.0001 ^{b,d}
Psychiatric history				
Age at onset of hallucinations, y	37 (27.4 [13.1])	6 (42.0 [15.6])	0	<.001 ^{b,d}
Age at onset of depression, y	32 (27.4 [12.4])	32 (30.1 [18.8])	17 (36.0 [19.8])	.33
Alcohol abuse	25	23	50	.15
Age at onset of drug treatment, y	25 (40.0 [7.7])	23 (36.2 [15.5])	10.00	.37
Age at onset of delusions, y	18 (22.8 [15])	4 (42 [0])	0	.01 ^{b,d}
Suicide attempt	10	4	5	.43
Illicit drug use	5	11	25	.04
Other psychiatric issues	13.89 (20 [21])	6 (16 [16])	5 (47 [0])	.34
Psychiatric hospitalization	9.52	6.67	0	.32

Abbreviations: MELAS, mitochondrial myopathy, encephalopathy, lactic acidosis, and stroke-like episodes (syndrome); MMS, Mini-Mental State (examination).

^aThe χ^2 test was used to evaluate the heterogeneity across the 3 groups.

^bSignificant difference between patients and carrier relatives.

^cSignificant difference between carrier relatives and controls.

^dSignificant difference between patients and controls.

Table 4.

Physical Examination Features

Variable	Patients With MELAS			Controls	P Value ^a
	Carrier Relatives	Carrier Relatives	Percentage		
Anthropomorphic measurements					
Underweight	42	5	0	<.001 ^{c,e}	
Short stature ^b	33	14	0	<.001 ^{c,d,e}	
Small head circumference ^b	37	14	7	.003 ^{c,e}	
BMI percentile ^b	20	1.4	0	<.001 ^{c,e}	
Physical examination and neurological parameters					
	Percentage	Percentage	Mean (SD)		
Tendon reflexes (max, 10)	48	4.8 (2.7)	7.0 (2.8)	7.1 (2.9)	<.001 ^{c,e}
Stance and gait (max, 7)	49	3.4 (2.7)	6.3 (1.7)	7.0 (0.2)	<.001 ^{c,e}
Sensation (max, 3)	70	2.1 (1.0)	2.8 (0.6)	3.0 (0.2)	<.001 ^{c,e}
Muscle tone, bulk, strength (max, 6)	77	4.6 (2.0)	5.7 (1.0)	6.0 (1.0)	<.001 ^{c,e}
Fundusoscopic examination (max, 4)	78	3.1 (1.0)	3.8 (0.6)	4.0 (0.0)	<.001 ^{c,d,e}
Cerebellar (max, 8)	80	6.4 (2.0)	7.7 (0.7)	8.0 (0.0)	<.001 ^{c,d,e}
Babinski sign (max, 2)	80	1.6 (0.9)	1.9 (0.4)	2.0 (0.0)	<.001 ^{c,e}
Cranial nerves (max, 12)	88	10.6 (1.1)	11.6 (0.8)	11.9 (0.2)	<.001 ^{c,d,e}
General physical examination (max, 13)	90	11.7 (1.1)	12.4 (0.9)	12.8 (0.4)	<.001 ^{c,d,e}
Involuntary movements (max, 7)	92	6.5 (1.1)	6.9 (0.4)	6.9 (0.3)	.01 ^{c,e}
Summary score (max, 76)		57.1 (9.7)	69. (6.7)	72.6 (3.2)	<.001 ^{c,d,e}

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index (calculated as weight in kilograms divided by height in meters squared); max, maximum; MELAS, mitochondrial myopathy, encephalopathy, lactic acidosis, and stroke-like episodes (syndrome).

^aThe χ^2 test was used to evaluate the heterogeneity in anthropomorphic measurements across the 3 groups. The Kruskal-Wallis test was used to evaluate the overall heterogeneity in physical and neurological parameters.

^bDefined as less than the third percentile.

^cSignificant difference between patients and carrier relatives.

^dSignificant difference between carrier relatives and controls.

^eSignificant difference between patients and controls.

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Neuroimaging and Laboratory Characteristics

Table 5.

	Mean (SD)			P Value ^d
	Patients With MELAS	Carrier Relatives	Controls	
Neuroimaging characteristics				
MRS ventricular lactate, institutional units ^{1,4}	7.9 (3.1)	4.9 (2.2)	3.8 (0.9)	<.001 ^{b,c,d}
Laboratory characteristics (normal range)				
Urine creatinine, μmol/ml	8.7 (7.8)	4.1 (2.9)	2.9 (3.0)	.002 ^{b,c,d}
Venous lactate (0.5–2.2 mmol/L)	3.0 (1.5)	1.8 (0.9)	1.2 (0.5)	<.001 ^{b,c,d}
Venous pyruvate (0.04–0.13 mmol/L)	0.07 (0.1)	0.06 (0.06)	0.04 (0.03)	.12
Betahydroxybutyric acid (0.06–0.17 mmol/L)	0.15 (0.1)	0.12 (0.06)	NA	.33
Hemoglobin A _{1c} (4%–6%)	5.7 (1.0)	5.9 (1.0)	5.5 (0.3)	.6
Blood glucose (70–105 mg/dL)	106.1 (48.2)	102.1 (41.0)	91.8 (14.1)	.96
Hemoglobin (13.3–16.2 g/dL)	13.59 (1.4)	14.06 (1.4)	14.90 (1.4)	.004 ^{c,d}
MCV (79.0–93.3 fL)	89.41 (4.8)	88.1 (4.8)	90.15 (3.8)	.13
Serum creatinine (0.6–1.2 mg/dL)	0.69 (0.3)	0.82 (0.2)	0.86 (0.2)	.002 ^{b,d}
Total protein (6.7–8.6 g/dL)	7.45 (0.7)	7.34 (0.6)	7.38 (0.6)	.37
Albumin (4.1–5.3 g/dL)	4.47 (0.5)	4.43 (0.4)	4.54 (0.4)	.62
AST (12–38 U/L)	32.0 (21.9)	21.2 (7.3)	22.22 (7.2)	<.001 ^{b,d}
ALT (7–41 U/L)	27.1 (16.7)	19.4 (10.9)	23.1 (11.7)	<.001 ^{b,d}
Triglycerides (30–200 mg/dL)	192.3 (131.2)	135.6 (67.2)	101.4 (25.1)	.03 ^{b,d}
Cholesterol (<200 mg/dL)	196.0 (41.9)	191.9 (38.8)	199.1 (41.6)	.75
Thyrotropin (0.34–4.25 μU/mL)	1.92 (1.3)	2.1 (3.4)	1.9 (0.8)	.64
T ₄ (5.41–11.66 μg/dL)	6.7 (2.2)	8.27 (1.7)	7.2 (1.5)	<.001 ^{b,c,d}
T ₃ Total (76.91–134.74 ng/dL)	82.9 (21.9)	100.81 (17.8)	99.7 (16.6)	<.001 ^{b,d}

Abbreviations: ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; MCV, mean corpuscular volume; MELAS, mitochondrial myopathy, encephalopathy, lactic acidosis, and stroke-like episodes (syndrome); MRS, magnetic resonance spectroscopy; NA, not available; T₃, triiodothyronine; T₄, thyroxine.

^dThe Kruskal-Wallis test was used to evaluate the heterogeneity across the 3 groups.

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

^b Significant difference between patients and carrier relatives.

^c Significant difference between carrier relatives and controls.

^d Significant difference between patients and controls.

SI conversion factors: to convert hemoglobin to proportion of total hemoglobin, multiply by 0.01; to convert glucose to millimoles per liter, multiply by 0.0555; to convert hemoglobin to grams per liter, multiply by 10.0; to convert creatinine to micromoles per liter, multiply by 88.4; to convert protein and albumin to grams per liter, multiply by 10.0; to convert AST and ALT to microkatal per liter, multiply by 0.0167; to convert triglycerides to millimoles per liter, multiply by 0.0113; to convert cholesterol to millimoles per liter, multiply by 0.0259; to convert thyroxine to nanomoles per liter, multiply by 12.871; to convert T₃ to nanomoles per liter, multiply by 0.0154.