Postural change and intraocular pressure in glaucomatous eyes

U. W. WÜTHRICH Switzerland

Several authors (Anderson and Grant, 1973; Galin, McIvor, and Magruder, 1963; Hetland-Eriksen, 1966; Kriegelstein and Langham, 1975a; Roberts and Rogers, 1964; Tarkkanen and Leikola, 1967) have reported that in normal subjects and in glaucomatous patients the intraocular pressure (IOP) in the supine position is about 2–5 mmHg higher than in the seated position. The measurements were carried out using various types of tonometers.

The object of the present study was to investigate the postural response of intraocular pressure, using the pneumatonograph mod. 40* (PTG), the Perkins hand-held tonometer, and the Goldmann tonometer. The PTG gives an instantaneous and continuous record of the intraocular pressure. It was described by Langham and McCarthy (1968), and the results of clinical trials were reported by Geib, Kriegelstein, and Waller (1974); it would seem to be particularly suitable for recording the IOP in various postures. In this study the Goldmann applanation tonometer attached to a Haag-Streit slit lamp mod. 900 was used for the sitting position, and the Perkins hand-held tonometer (Perkins, 1965) and the PTG were used for the seated and supine positions. Good agreement between readings of the Perkins tonometer and the Goldmann tonometer has been reported (Dunn and Brubaker, 1973; Kriegelstein and Waller, 1975; Flament, 1969; Whitty, 1969; Wallace and Lovell, 1968).

Material and methods

The PTG was used as suggested by Langham and McCarthy (1968), but only in the \times 1 gain code. Pressure calibrations were performed in the vertical and horizontal positions, using a human cornea clamped in a Perspex chamber which was filled with water and connected to a manometer; the stopcock was left open. The sensor was clamped in a stand and the tip of the sensor was brought mechanically into contact with the

*Supplied by Firma Digilab, Cambridge, Mass. USA

Address for reprints: Dr U. W. Wüthrich, Augenklinik, Kantonsspital, CH-6000, Lucerne, Switzerland cornea, so that a reproducible reading could be obtained.

The clinical tests were carried out in the Glaucoma Clinic at the Institute of Ophthalmology in London on 53 outpatients of both sexes who had primary glaucomas of various types. Some eyes were receiving medical treatment and some had had drainage surgery. The three tonometers were used in sequence on patients in the seated position, first on the right eye, then on the left. Tight clothes were loosened before the measurements were taken and each patient was asked to lie flat without a pillow for measurements in the supine position using the Perkins tonometer and the PTG. The eyes were anaesthetized with a mixture of oxybuprocaine and fluorescein (Fenton, 1965). All measurements were carried out by the same investigator and repeated three times on each eye and with each instrument 3-5 min after changing position.

Results

CALIBRATION

With the Perspex chamber arranged for horizontal application of the tonometer the calibration was linear from 5 to 30 mmHg and the readings were identical with those of the manometer (Fig. 1). It was found possible to obtain higher readings by increasing the pressure on the sensor against the



FIG. 1 Calibration of PTG for horizontal application of sensor. (Corresponding to vertical position of patient)



FIG. 2 Calibration of PTG for vertical application of sensor. (Corresponding to horizontal position of patient)

cornea without any alteration to the quality of the sound signal, which is said to act as a control for false readings. The recordings with vertical application of the tonometer showed higher readings (up to 30 mmHg), while above 35 mmHg the readings were lower than those of the manometer (Fig. 2).

CLINICAL TESTS

The mean values of the intraocular pressure measurements in 105 eyes are shown in Table I. No significant difference could be found between the Goldmann and the Perkins tonometric measurements in the seated position, Table II. Highly significant differences resulted, however, when the Perkins tonometric and the PTG readings in the seated and supine position were compared.

Fig. 3 shows the Perkins and Goldmann tonometric readings and Figs 4 and 5 show the Perkins tonometric and the PTG readings, plotted for different positions. Table III shows the frequency of discrepancies between the PTG and the Perkins tonometer readings in the present study. The discrepancy can be reduced if the PTG readings are corrected by -4 mmHg for the seated position and -3 mmHg for the supine.

Discussion

The significant increases in IOP on changing from the sitting to the supine position of 4.1 mmHg

Table IMean readings and standard deviationsof IOP in 105 glaucomatous eyes measured withGoldmann tonometer in seated position, and withPerkins tonometer and PTG in seated and supineposition

Tonometer	Mean value \pm SD (mmHg)		
	Seated	Supine	
Goldmann Perkins PTG	20·42±5·01 20·20±5·01 24·34±4·96	 24·34±5·67 27·06±4·79	



FIG. 3 Comparative IOP measurements with Goldmann and Perkins tonometers in series of 105 glaucomatous eyes in seated position

 Table II
 Mean differences, significance, and correlation coefficients of IOP in 105 glaucomatous eyes using

 Goldmann tonometer in seated position, and Perkins tonometer and PTG in seated and supine position

Tonometer	Mean difference	Mean difference \pm SD (mmHg)		
	Seated	Supine	 of the mean difference 	Correlation coefficient
Goldmann/Perkins	-0·22±0·94	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		<u></u> o∙978
Goldmann/PTG	+3·93±2·30		P<0.0002	0.887
Perkins/PTG	+4·14±2·11		P<0.0002	0.001
Perkins/PTG		+2·74±2·77	P<0.0002	0.864

Difference PTG-Perkins T (\pm mmHg)	No. of readings		PTG readings corrected by	
	Seated	Supine	-4 mmHg Seated	–3 mmHg Supine
Up to I	I4		52	48
2 or 3	25	40	43	34
4 or above	66	32	10	23

Table III Differences in PTG and Perkins tonometric readings as found in this study compared with PTG readings corrected by -4 mmHg in seated and -3 mmHg in supine position



FIG. 4 Comparative IOP measurements with Perkins tonometer and PTG in series of 105 glaucomatous eyes in seated position

with the Perkins tonometer and 2.8 mmHg with the PTG are comparable with the measurements of Geib and others (1974) and other workers.

The accuracy of the PTG is worth some discussion. The PTG showed a mean reading of 3.9mmHg higher than that of the Goldmann tonometer and 4.1 mmHg higher than the Perkins tonometer in the seated position; it was 2.6 mmHg higher than the Perkins tonometer in the supine position. Geib and others (1974) found in their study that an average reading of the PTG was 2.9mmHg higher than that of the Goldmann tonometer. Kriegelstein and Langham (1975b) subtracted 2 mm Hg from readings, when using the PTG in their work on low-tension glaucoma.

In the present study 63 per cent of PTG readings differed by 4 mmHg or more when compared with the readings of the Perkins tonometer in the sitting position, the corresponding figure in the supine position being 30 per cent. Subtracting 4 mmHg from the PTG readings in the sitting position and 3 mmHg in the supine position reduces the discrepancy between the two methods. Such a correction does not alter the rather large scatter of PTG readings compared with the Goldmann or Perkins tonometer readings. In clinical practice accurate tonometry is important at the upper level of normal pressures, approximately 21 mmHg; at this level the PTG readings differed from the Goldmann reading by -3 to +10 mmHg in the sitting position and by -4 to +12 mmHg from the Perkins tonometer readings in the supine position.

It should be pointed out that the PTG used in this study was an early model, but the satisfactory calibration curves obtained on the isolated human cornea suggest that the instrument was working correctly. The discrepancies between PTG readings and those of other tonometers could have



FIG. 5 Comparative IOP measurements with Perkins tonometer and PTG in series of 105 glaucomatous eyes in supine position

resulted from variations in the position of the sensor on the cornea and the higher mean readings probably resulted from an indentation of the cornea causing an increase in IOP. A modified sensor with a pneumatic bearing to limit this effect has been described (Walker and Langham, 1975).

Conclusions

In glaucomatous eyes the IOP was found to be 3-4 mmHg higher in the supine position than in

References

ANDERSON, D. R., and GRANT, W. M. (1973) Invest. Ophthal., 12, 204 DUNN, J. S., and BRUBAKER, R. F. (1973) Arch. Ophthal., 89, 149 FLAMENT, P. M. J. (1969) Bull. Soc. Ophtal. Fr., 69, 640 FENTON, P. J. (1965) Brit. J. Ophthal., 49, 205 GALIN, M. A., MCIVOR, J. W., and MAGRUDER, G. B. (1963) Amer. J. Ophthal., 55, 720 GEIB, G., KRIEGELSTEIN, G. K., and WALLER, W. (1974) Klin. Mbl. Augenheilk., 164, 431 HETLAND-ERIKSEN, J. (1966) Acta. ophthal. (Kbh.), 44, 515 KRIEGELSTEIN, G. K., and LANGHAM, M. E. (1975a) Ophthalmologica (Basel), 171, 132 - (1975b) Klin. Mbl. Augenheilk., 166, 18 -, -– and WALLER, W. K. (1975) v. Graefes Arch. Ophthal., 194, 11 LANGHAM, K. E., and MCCARTHY, E. (1968) Arch. Ophthal., 79, 389 PERKINS, E. S. (1965) Brit. J. Ophthal., 49, 591 ROBERTS, W., and ROGERS, J. W. (1964) Amer. J. Ophthal., 57, 111 TARKKANEN, A., and LEIKOLA, J. (1967) Acta. ophthal. (Kbh.), 45, 569 WALKER, R. E., and LANGHAM, M. E. (1975) Exp. Eye Res., 20, 167 WALLACE, J., and LOVELL, H. G. (1968) Brit. J. Ophthal., 52, 568 WHITTY, H. P. B. (1969) Brit. J. Ophthal., 53, 664

the seated position when the pressure was measured 3-5 min after the change in position. The accuracy of an early model of PTG mod. 40 did not seem to be entirely satisfactory.

I should like to express my gratitude to Professor E. S. Perkins and Dr J. Gloster for permitting me to perform this study and for their advice, to Mr B. Augier for the calculations, and to the staff of the Special Clinics and the Department of Experimental Ophthalmology for their kind help.