Analyses of cell wall synthesis in *Clostridioides difficile* **reveal a diversification in cell division mechanisms in endospore-forming bacteria**

Shailab Shrestha^{1,2}, Najwa Taib^{3,4}, Simonetta Gribaldo³, Aimee Shen^{1,*}

¹Department of Molecular Biology and Microbiology, Tufts University School of Medicine, Boston, MA, USA. ²Program in Molecular Microbiology, Tufts University Graduate School of Biomedical Sciences, Boston, MA, USA. ³Institut Pasteur, Université de Paris, Unit Evolutionary Biology of the Microbial Cell, Paris, France. ⁴Institut Pasteur, Université Paris Cité, Bioinformatics and Biostatistics Hub, F-75015 Paris, France.

*Address correspondence to Aimee Shen, aimee.shen@tufts.edu Phone number: (617)636-3792

Author Contributions

S.S. and A.S. conceived the study. S.S. performed and analyzed experiments. S.S. and N.T. conducted bioinformatic analyses. A.S. supervised the study. S.S. and A.S. wrote the manuscript with input from N.T. and S.G. All authors reviewed and approved the manuscript.

Abstract

 Current models of bacterial cell division assume that the core synthases of the multiprotein divisome complex, FtsW-FtsI, are the primary drivers of septal peptidoglycan (PG) synthesis. These enzymes are typically encoded in the highly conserved division and cell wall (*dcw*) cluster and are considered to be universally essential for cell division. Here, we combine bioinformatics analyses with functional characterization in the pathogen *Clostridioides difficile* to show that *dcw*-encoded PG synthases have undergone a surprising specialization in the sole endospore-forming phylum, Firmicutes, to fulfill sporulation-specific roles. We describe a novel role for these enzymes in synthesizing septal PG during the sporulation-specific mode of cell division in *C. difficile*. Although these enzymes are directly regulated by canonical divisome components during this process, *dcw*-encoded PG synthases and their divisome regulators are unexpectedly dispensable for cell division during normal growth. Instead, *C. difficile* uses its sole bifunctional class A penicillin-binding protein (aPBP) to drive cell division, revealing a previously unreported role for this class of PG synthases as the core divisome enzyme. Collectively, our findings reveal how the emergence of endosporulation in the Firmicutes phylum was a key driver for the functional repurposing of an otherwise universally conserved cellular process such as cell division. Moreover, they indicate that *C. difficile,* and likely other clostridia, assemble a divisome that differs markedly from previously studied bacteria, thus representing an attractive, unique target for

therapeutic purposes.

Introduction

Synthesis of cell wall peptidoglycan (PG) is essential for growth and division in most bacteria. The extra-

cytoplasmic assembly of PG results from two sequential enzymatic reactions: a transglycosylation

reaction that polymerizes the PG precursor Lipid II into glycan strands and a transpeptidation reaction

that crosslinks these glycan strands together to form a protective meshwork (Egan et al., 2020).

 Our understanding of this critical process has been transformed by the recent discovery that SEDS (shape, elongation, division, and sporulation) family proteins function as PG glycosyltransferases in complex with cognate class B penicillin-binding protein (bPBP) transpeptidases to synthesize PG (Meeske et al., 2016; Cho et al., 2016; Emami et al., 2017; Taguchi et al., 2019). Current models posit that specific SEDS-bPBP pairs function as the core PG synthases driving either cell elongation or division in rod-shaped bacteria (Emami et al., 2017; Leclercq et al., 2017; Meeske et al., 2016; Reichmann et al.,

2019; Sjodt et al., 2020; Taguchi et al., 2019). These specialized pairs of SEDS-bPBPs associate with

specific multiprotein assemblies to mediate either cell elongation or cell division: lateral growth is

 typically driven by the SEDS-bPBP pair, RodA-MrdA, as a part of the elongasome, while septum formation is mediated by the SEDS-bPBP pair, FtsW-FtsI, as a part of the divisome.

 Prior to the discovery of SEDS glycosyltransferases, class A penicillin-binding proteins (aPBPs) were the only known PG synthases with glycosyltransferase activity. Unlike monofunctional bPBP transpeptidases, aPBPs are bifunctional enzymes that harbor both glycosyltransferase and transpeptidase activities and they were presumed to be the primary PG synthases driving cell elongation and division (Straume et al., 2021). However, recent evidence suggests that aPBPs often play non-essential, peripheral roles during these processes, consistent with their absence from the genomes of many obligate intracellular bacteria (Cho et al., 2016; Dion et al., 2019; Straume et al., 2020; Vigouroux et al., 2020). Indeed, aPBPs can function independently of the divisome and elongasome and appear to mainly modify and repair PG synthesized by SEDS-bPBP enzymes (Atwal et al., 2021; Straume et al., 2020, 2021). Notably, these models of bacterial PG synthesis primarily derive from studies in *Escherichia coli* and *Bacillus subtilis*, but studies in organisms with different cell morphologies and mechanisms of growth have variably supported and challenged these general models. For instance, all Actinobacteria and some Proteobacteria follow a polar growth model where cell growth is driven by PG synthesis at cell poles. In these organisms, cell elongation is largely mediated by aPBP activity (Joyce et al., 2012; Kieser et al., 2015; Sher et al., 2021; Williams et al., 2021). Given the diversity of mechanisms involved in bacterial PG synthesis and the importance of studying these processes in diverse bacteria, the functional characterization of major PG synthases in different bacteria is an area of significant interest. Although diverse mechanisms of cell elongation have been described in bacteria, cell division mechanisms appear to be broadly conserved. Divisome-guided septal PG synthesis is mediated by the essential SEDS-bPBP enzyme pair, FtsW-FtsI, which is considered to be universally conserved in all bacteria (Taguchi et al., 2019). Genes encoding these enzymes are typically located within the division and cell wall (*dcw*) cluster (Megrian et al., 2022), which contains numerous genes involved in PG synthesis and cell division. Recent phylogenetic analyses have revealed that the *dcw* locus is widely

 conserved across almost all bacterial phyla and likely originated in the Last Common Bacterial Ancestor billions of years ago (Megrian et al., 2022).

 Despite this extreme conservation, the *dcw* cluster SEDS gene in the model endospore-forming bacterium *Bacillus subtilis* does not encode FtsW, but rather SpoVE, a sporulation-specific SEDS glycosyltransferase that is critical for endospore formation (Piggot & Coote, 1976; Ikeda et al., 1989; Henriques et al., 1992). In *B. subtilis*, SpoVE forms a complex with the sporulation-specific bPBP SpoVD to synthesize a thick protective PG layer known as the cortex during sporulation (Daniel et al., 1994; Fay et al., 2010; Yanouri et al., 1993). Notably, *B. subtilis* is a member of the Firmicutes phylum, which is the only bacterial phyla to have evolved endospore formation. Since the formation of highly

- resistant, mature spores depends on a series of peptidoglycan transformations unique to endospore-
- forming bacteria, we hypothesized that the Firmicutes would exhibit greater variation in the composition
- and function of bPBP and SEDS genes in their *dcw* loci. Here, we analyzed the distribution of PG
- synthase-encoding genes in the *dcw* loci of Firmicutes organisms and analyzed their function in the
- medically important clostridial pathogen, *Clostridioides difficile*. These analyses revealed a previously
- unappreciated diversification in the mechanisms by which *C. difficile,* and likely other clostridia, mediates
- cell division during vegetative growth and endospore formation.

Results

Diversity of *dcw-***encoded PG synthesizing enzymes based on sporulation potential**

 Firmicutes are unique among bacteria in forming endospores. While the last common ancestor of the Firmicutes is thought to have been an endospore former, the ability to sporulate has been independently lost among members of this phylum (Garcia et al., 2021) (Fig. 1, Supplementary Fig. 1, Supplementary Tables 2, 3). To analyze the distribution of genes encoding SEDS glycosyltransferases and bPBPs in the *dcw* cluster of both spore-forming and non-spore-forming Firmicutes organisms, we constructed a custom database consisting of 494 Firmicutes genomes representative of the six major classes described in this phylum. Next, we inferred the organism's ability to form endospores by determining the presence of *spo0A* 82 and *spoIIE* in all Firmicutes genomes. These genes are both part of a core genomic signature of sporulating bacteria (Galperin et al., 2022) and encode key regulators required for initiating and committing cells to 84 sporulation, respectively (Ferrari et al., 1985; Margolis et al., 1991), so their co-occurrence strongly suggests that a given species is a spore former. We searched for SEDS and bPBP homologs in all Firmicutes genomes and identified genes encoding SEDS and bPBP enzymes in the *dcw* cluster based on their synteny with other genes of the *dcw* cluster (*mraW*, *mraY*, *ftsZ*, *mraZ,* and *murCDEF*). We also identified a pair of SEDS and bPBP homologs, RodA and MrdA, respectively, involved in cell elongation and located in a different locus. Finally, we identified SEDS homologs encoded adjacent to the gene encoding pyruvate carboxylase, PycA (Fig. 1, Supplementary Fig. 1, 2, Supplementary Table 2)**.** In agreement with what has been described in *Bacillus subtilis* (Yanouri et al., 1993), sporulating taxa

- from Bacilli encode two homologs of bPBPs in the *dcw* cluster, which most probably correspond to the
- canonical cell division PG synthesizing enzyme, FtsI, and the sporulation-specific PG synthesizing
- enzymes, SpoVD (Fig. 1). Non-sporulating Bacilli only have a single bPBP gene in their *dcw* clusters,
- suggesting that *spoVD* was lost from this locus coincident with the loss of sporulation; the remaining
- bPBP gene in the *dcw* locus presumably encodes *ftsI*. In contrast, sporulating Bacilli encode only a single
- SEDS glycosyltransferase gene in their *dcw* loci. This gene likely encodes SpoVE based on functional

98 **Fig. 1 | Comparison of** *dcw* **cluster composition and occurrence of SEDS family proteins across diverse**

99 **Firmicutes species.** The ability to form spores was inferred by the presence of broadly conserved sporulation-
100 specific genes spo0A and spo0IE in the genome (Galperin et al., 2022). SEDS homologs that clust 100 specific genes *spo0A* and *spoIIE* in the genome (Galperin et al., 2022). SEDS homologs that cluster with the cell 101 elongation-associated RodA encoding genes were excluded from the analyses (Supplementary Fig. 2). For genome accession numbers, coordinates, and taxonomy information, see Supplementary Table 1. The inset tree shows 102 accession numbers, coordinates, and taxonomy information, see Supplementary Table 1. The inset tree shows
103 phylogenetic relationships and the presence or absence of sporulation genes (spo0A and spoIIE), dcw-encoded 103 phylogenetic relationships and the presence or absence of sporulation genes (*spo0A* and *spoIIE*), *dcw*-encoded SEDS gene(s), and *ftsW* orthologs encoded outside the dcw cluster for each organism. The colored circle 104 gene(s), and *ftsW* orthologs encoded outside the dcw cluster for each organism. The colored circles highlight the presence (black) and loss of sporulation (red) genes, as well as the presence of *ftsW*/*spoVE* within 105 presence (black) and loss of sporulation (red) genes, as well as the presence of *ftsW/spoVE* within (orange) and outside (green) the *dcw* cluster. For analyses of the full dataset, see Supplementary Fig. 1. 106 outside (green) the *dcw* cluster. For analyses of the full dataset, see Supplementary Fig. 1.

107 analyses in *B. subtilis* (Piggot & Coote, 1976; Ikeda et al., 1989; Henriques et al., 1992) and our finding

108 that non-sporulating Bacilli lack SEDS genes altogether from their *dcw* loci (Fig. 1). Thus, SEDS genes

109 appear to have been lost from the *dcw* cluster coincident with the loss of sporulation. Notably, all Bacilli

110 carry a SEDS glycosyltransferase gene outside of the *dcw* cluster (usually adjacent to *pycA* (Fig. 1)) that

111 codes for a canonical cell division FtsW ortholog; the essential function of this conserved gene has been

112 validated in several members of the Bacilli (Perez et al., 2019; Reichmann et al., 2019; Rismondo et al.,

113 2019; Taguchi et al., 2019), suggesting that *dcw*-encoded PG synthesizing enzymes became specialized to

114 functional exclusively during spore formation.

 The same arrangement between sporulating and non-sporulating species can be observed in the Class Negativicutes and Limnochordia (Fig. 1), suggesting that the homologs present in their *dcw* clusters of sporulating members of these classes also encode SpoVE and SpoVD. Together, these analyses reveal that the presence of SEDS and bPBP genes in the *dcw* cluster is highly correlated with sporulation (Supplementary Table 3), and that the genes present in the *dcw* cluster of sporulating Firmicutes code for SpoVE and SpoVD, respectively, rather than the canonical cell division proteins FtsW and FtsI. Exceptions are represented by some members of the Clostridia, Tissierellia, and Erysipelotrichia, which display a mixed pattern (Supplementary Fig. 1, Supplementary Table 2). Surprisingly, Clostridia do not appear to have any extra copy of division-specific SEDS and bPBP in their *dcw* cluster or elsewhere in the genomes, suggesting they completely lack the canonical cell division pair FtsW-FtsI. Therefore, we sought to define the functions of dcw-encoded SEDS and bPBP genes of the genetically tractable

clostridial species *C. difficile*.

The *dcw***-encoded SEDS-bPBP pair in** *C. difficile* **participates in septal PG synthesis during spore formation but not vegetative growth**

 To this end, we determined the effect of deleting the *dcw-*encoded SEDS and bPBP genes, *spoVE* 130 and *spoVD*, on *C. difficile* growth and sporulation. Consistent with the results of a prior transposon screen indicating that *spoVE* and *spoVD* are non-essential for growth (Dembek et al., 2015), we were able to create single deletion strains lacking *spoVD* or *spoVE*. These strains did not exhibit growth or morphological defects during vegetative growth (Fig. 2a, b), indicating that *C. difficile* SpoVD and SpoVE are not involved in vegetative cell division. Consistent with prior work (Alabdali et al., 2021; Srikhanta et al., 2019), the *C. difficile* ∆*spoVD* strain failed to make heat-resistant spores (Supplementary Fig. 3) or synthesize a cortex layer based on transmission electron microscopy (TEM) analyses (Supplementary Fig. 4). Similar phenotypes were observed for the ∆*spoVE* strain*,* indicating that *C. difficile* SpoVD and SpoVE share similar functions with their orthologs in *B. subtilis* in regulating cortex synthesis (Daniel et al., 1994; Fay et al., 2010; Henriques et al., 1992; Yanouri et al., 1993). Importantly, 140 the sporulation defect of these mutants could be fully complemented from a chromosomal ectopic locus (Supplementary Fig. 3). However, in contrast with *B. subtilis*, we observed that *C. difficile* ∆*spoVD* and ∆*spoVE* mutants appeared to sporulate at lower levels than WT, with few cells exhibiting visible signs of sporulation during phase-contrast microscopy analyses (Supplementary Fig. S3). To investigate whether SpoVD and

- SpoVE affect sporulation processes earlier than cortex synthesis, we evaluated the ability of
- ∆*spoVD* and ∆*spoVE* cells to progress through the different morphological stages of sporulation using

- 147 cytological profiling (Nonejuie et al., 2013; Pogliano et al., 1999). These analyses revealed that most
- 148 visibly sporulating ∆*spoVD* and ∆*spoVE* cells were stalled at the asymmetric division stage, with a

Fig. 2 | Sporulation-specific PG synthases, SpoVD and SpoVE, are important for asymmetric but not vegetative division. a, Growth profiles of *C. difficile* wildtype (WT), $\Delta spoVD$, and $\Delta spoVE$ strains in BHIS 150 **vegetative division. a,** Growth profiles of *C. difficile* wildtype (WT), ∆*spoVD,* and ∆*spoVE* strains in BHIS*.* Data

- 151 are from a single experiment; mean and standard deviation curves are plotted from three biological replicates. **b**,
152 Violin plots showing cell length distributions and representative micrographs of WT, $\Delta spoVD$, and 152 Violin plots showing cell length distributions and representative micrographs of WT, ∆*spoVD,* and ∆*spoVE* cells
- 153 sampled from BHIS cultures during exponential growth (OD₆₀₀ ~0.5). White circles indicate means from each replicate, black lines indicate average means, and the dotted line indicates the WT average mean for comparisc
- 154 replicate, black lines indicate average means, and the dotted line indicates the WT average mean for comparison across strains. Data from three biological replicates: $>1,500$ cells per sample. Scale bar, 5 μ m. **c,**
- 155 across strains. Data from three biological replicates; >1,500 cells per sample. Scale bar, 5 µm. **c, d,** Cytological
- 156 profiling of WT, ∆*spoVD,* and ∆*spoVE* cells sampled from sporulation-inducing 70:30 plates after 18-20 hours of
- 157 growth. Cells were assigned to five distinct stages based on their membrane (FM4-64) and DNA (Hoechst) staining
158 and their phase-contrast morphological phenotypes. For representative micrographs and stage assignment
- 158 and their phase-contrast morphological phenotypes. For representative micrographs and stage assignment information, see Supplementary Fig. 3. Bars indicate means; error bars indicate standard deviation. ***p
- 159 information, see Supplementary Fig. 3. Bars indicate means; error bars indicate standard deviation. ***p<0.001;
160 statistical significance was determined using ordinary one-way ANOVA with Dunnett's test. Data from th 160 statistical significance was determined using ordinary one-way ANOVA with Dunnett's test. Data from three
161 independent experiments: >1,000 total cells and >100 visibly sporulating cells per sample. e, Representative
- 161 independent experiments; >1,000 total cells and >100 visibly sporulating cells per sample. **e**, Representative merged
162 bhase-contrast and fluorescence micrographs visualizing P_{spollE}::*mScarlet* transcriptional re
- 162 phase-contrast and fluorescence micrographs visualizing P_{spoIIE}::*mScarlet* transcriptional reporters in sporulating 163 WT, $\Delta spoVD$, and $\Delta spoVE$ cells sampled from 70:30 plates after 14-16 hours of growth. P_{spoIIE}
- 163 WT, ∆*spoVD,* and ∆*spoVE* cells sampled from 70:30 plates after 14-16 hours of growth. P*spoIIE* is induced
- 164 immediately upon sporulation initiation. The ∆*spo0A* strain was used as a negative control because it does not
- 165 initiate sporulation. Scale bar, 10 µm. **f,** Violin plots showing quantified mean fluorescence intensities. Black dots
- 166 represent median values from each replicate. Data from three independent experiments; >3,000 cells per sample. **g**,
167 Transmission electron micrographs of $\Delta spoVD$ and $\Delta spoVE$ sporulating cells that fail to complete s 167 Transmission electron micrographs of ∆*spoVD* and ∆*spoVE* sporulating cells that fail to complete septum formation
- 168 24 hrs after sporulation induction (white arrows). Scale bars, 500 nm.

relatively small proportion completing engulfment compared to wild-type (WT) cells (Fig. 2c,

Supplementary Fig. 5). Strikingly, the overall proportions of ∆*spoVD* and ∆*spoVE* cells exhibiting

morphological signs of sporulation, i.e., cells that have completed or progressed beyond asymmetric

172 division, were ~3-fold lower than WT (Fig. 2d). This effect at an earlier stage of sporulation is consistent

with transcriptional analyses indicating that *C. difficile spoVD* and *spoVE* are expressed immediately at

the onset of sporulation (Fimlaid et al., 2013; Saujet et al., 2013), in contrast with *B. subtilis spoVD* and

spoVE, which are expressed in the mother-cell compartment after sporulating cells complete asymmetric division.

 The decrease in apparent sporulation frequency in ∆*spoVD* and ∆*spoVE* mutants could be due to the enzymes regulating (1) asymmetric division through the synthesis of septal PG and/or (2) sporulation initiation via an unknown mechanism. To rule out the latter possibility, we compared the frequency of sporulation initiation in ∆*spoVD* and ∆*spoVE* cells relative to WT cells. Using a Spo0A-dependent P*spoIIE::mScarlet* transcriptional reporter, we found that ∆*spoVD* and ∆*spoVE* strains activate Spo0A, the master transcriptional regulator that initiates sporulation (Ferrari et al., 1985), at similar frequencies and levels relative to WT (Fig. 2e,f, Supplementary Fig. 6). In contrast, significantly fewer ∆*spoVD* and ∆*spoVE* cells compared to WT induced the expression of a reporter that is activated after the formation of the polar septum (P*sipL*::*mScarlet*) (Fimlaid et al., 2013; Saujet et al., 2013). Consistent with the reporter data, RT-qPCR and western blot analyses of the ∆*spoVD* and ∆*spoVE* mutants confirmed that they activate Spo0A at WT levels but exhibit defects in activating later-acting sporulation-specific sigma factors that only become activated upon completion of asymmetric division (Supplementary Fig. 7, 8). Overall, our data suggest that *C. difficile* SpoVD and SpoVE play important roles in synthesizing septal PG during asymmetric division, in addition to their canonical function in synthesizing the spore cortex. Consistent with this model, we detected incomplete polar septum formation in ∆*spoVD* and ∆*spoVE,* but not WT, cells in transmission electron microscopy analyses (Fig. 2g). Moreover, in agreement with a previous study (Alabdali et al., 2021)., SpoVD localizes to polar septa during asymmetric division (Supplementary Fig. 9).

Conserved divisome components regulate cell division during spore formation but not vegetative growth in *C. difficile***.**

Septal PG synthesis requires the coordinated assembly and localization of numerous divisome

components at the division site. If SpoVD and SpoVE mediate septal PG synthesis during asymmetric

- division, we reasoned that their activities are likely regulated by components of the divisome. Previous
- studies have implicated the widely conserved divisome sub-complex composed of FtsL, FtsQ, and FtsB
- (also known as FtsL, DivIB, and DivIC in some Firmicutes) in directly regulating the activity and

 localization of FtsW-FtsI (Levin & Losick, 1994; Daniel et al., 1998; Katis & Wake, 1999; Tsang & Bernhardt, 2015; Marmont & Bernhardt, 2020). Intriguingly, although *C. difficile* appears to lack functional FtsW and FtsI orthologs, it encodes orthologs of their regulators (Supplementary Fig. 10). *cd630_26570* and *cd630_26500* are both located in conserved locations within the *dcw* cluster (Fig. 1a) and encode putative membrane proteins with homology to FtsL and FtsQ, respectively. We also identified *cd630*_*34920* as encoding an FtsB homolog*.* We refer to these genes as *ftsL* (*cd630_26570*), *ftsQ* (*cd630_26500*), and *ftsB* (*cd630_34920*) from hereon (Supplementary Fig. 10). Although *ftsL*, *ftsQ*, and *ftsB* encode proteins that are typically considered essential components of the divisome, a previous transposon screen in *C. difficile* identified these genes as non-essential but important for spore formation (Dembek et al., 2015). Consistent with this finding, we readily obtained ∆*ftsL*, ∆*ftsQ,* and ∆*ftsB* deletion strains. These mutants showed no significant growth or morphological defects (Fig. 3a, b), indicating that FtsL, FtsQ, and FtsB likely fulfill non-canonical, sporulation-specific roles in *C. difficile*. Indeed, all three mutants formed heat-resistant spores less efficiently than WT, with ∆*ftsL* and ∆*ftsB* showing ~100-fold defects, respectively, and ∆*ftsQ* showing a modest ~2-fold decrease (Supplementary Fig. 3). These sporulation defects could be complemented by expressing wildtype copies of their respective genes from a chromosomal ectopic locus (Supplementary Fig. 3). The milder 218 phenotypes observed for ∆*ftsQ* are consistent with prior observations that FtsQ is only conditionally essential or completely absent in some bacterial species (Beall & Lutkenhaus, 1989; Le Gouëllec et al., 220 2008; Masson et al., 2009). Notably, phase-contrast microscopy of sporulating cells revealed that all three mutants form phase-bright spores, albeit infrequently, and TEM analysis confirmed that the mutants can synthesize cortex PG, unlike ∆*spoVD* and ∆*spoVE* cells (Supplementary Fig. 4). However, similar to ∆*spoVD* and ∆*spoVE* strains, incomplete polar septa were detected in TEM analyses of ∆*ftsL, ∆ftsQ*, and 224 ∆*ftsB* strains, suggesting that these proteins are important for completing asymmetric division (Supplementary Fig. 4b).

 Consistent with this observation, cytological profiling revealed that sporulating ∆*ftsL*, *ftsQ*, and 227 ∆*ftsB* cells complete and progress beyond asymmetric division at a significantly lower frequency compared to WT (Fig. 3c, d, Supplementary Fig. 5). A small proportion (~2%) of ∆*ftsL* and ∆*ftsB* cells were able to progress beyond engulfment to make mature spores (Fig. 3d). The phenotype for ∆*ftsQ* was slightly less severe than for ∆*ftsL* and ∆*ftsB*, with ~4% of ∆*ftsQ* cells making phase-bright (cortex- positive) spores (Fig. 3d). However, a higher proportion of ∆*ftsQ* sporulating cells remained stalled at asymmetric division, suggesting that *C. difficile* FtsQ shares similar functions with *B. subtilis* DivIB in regulating PG transformations during engulfment (Thompson et al., 2006) Since the PG synthesizing activity of FtsW-FtsI has been shown to depend on direct interactions between the enzymes and the ternary FtsLQB sub-complex in other bacteria, we tested whether SpoVD and SpoVE form a divisome-

236 **Fig. 3 | The canonical divisome components, FtsL, FtsQ, and FtsB, are dispensable for vegetative cell division** 237 **but are important for asymmetric division. a,** Growth profiles of WT, ∆*ftsL,* ∆*ftsQ*, and ∆*ftsB* strains in BHIS*.* 238 Data are from a single growth curve experiment; mean, and standard deviation curves are plotted from three
239 biological replicates. **b**, Violin plots showing cell length distributions and representative micrographs o 239 biological replicates. **b,** Violin plots showing cell length distributions and representative micrographs of WT, ∆*ftsL,* Δf *tsQ*, and Δf *tsB* cells sampled from BHIS cultures during exponential growth (OD₆₀₀ ∼0.5). White circles indicate means from each replicate, black lines indicate average means, and the dotted line indicates th 241 means from each replicate, black lines indicate average means, and the dotted line indicates the WT average mean 242 for comparison across strains. Data from three biological replicates; >1,500 cells per sample. Sca 242 for comparison across strains. Data from three biological replicates; >1,500 cells per sample. Scale bar, 5 μ m. **c, d,**
243 Cytological profiling of sporulating WT, Δ *ftsL*, Δ *ftsO*, and Δ *ftsB* cells as i 243 Cytological profiling of sporulating WT, ∆*ftsL,* ∆*ftsQ*, and ∆*ftsB* cells as in Fig. 2 c, d. Bars indicate means, and error bars indicate standard deviation. *p<0.05, ***p<0.0001; statistical significance was determined using an 245 ordinary one-way ANOVA with Dunnett's test. Data from three independent experiments; >1,000 total cells and 246 >100 visibly sporulating cells per sample. **e,** Bacterial two-hybrid analysis of interactions between components of 247 the predicted polar divisome. The β-galactosidase activity was normalized to the negative control. Mean \pm standard deviation from three biological replicates is indicated. The schematic shows interactions between d 248 deviation from three biological replicates is indicated. The schematic shows interactions between different proteins where lines are colored according to the amount of β -galactosidase activity detected. **f**, Schema 249 where lines are colored according to the amount of β-galactosidase activity detected. **f,** Schematic showing FtsL, 250 FtsQ, and FtsB forming a divisome-like subcomplex with SpoVD and SpoVE. This polar divisome contributes to septal PG synthesis during asymmetric division. septal PG synthesis during asymmetric division.

like complex with FtsL, FtsQ, and FtsB by probing pairwise interactions using bacterial two-hybrid

- assays. This assay confirmed that SpoVD and SpoVE interact, consistent with these enzymes forming a
- cognate SEDS-bPBP pair as previously shown in *B. subtilis* (Fay et al., 2010) (Fig. 3e,f) and that *C.*
- *difficile* FtsL, FtsQ, and FtsB likely form a ternary sub-complex similar to homologs in other bacteria (Di
- Lallo et al., 2003; Karimova et al., 2005; Maggi et al., 2008; Robichon et al., 2008). Importantly, we
- observed that *C. difficile* SpoVD interacts with FtsL and FtsQ, suggesting that the ternary sub-complex
- likely directly regulates the activity of SpoVE-SpoVD. Taken together, these data strongly suggest that *C.*
- *difficile* assembles a distinct polar divisome that partially relies on the sporulation-specific SEDS-bPBP
- pair, SpoVE-SpoVD, to synthesize the polar septum during endospore formation.

The sole essential SEDS-bPBP pair in *C. difficile* **is involved in cell elongation**

- Although septal PG synthesis by SpoVD and SpoVE is important for asymmetric division, *C. difficile*
- cells that lack these proteins are still able to synthesize polar septa, albeit at lower rates. It is likely that
- the PG synthases that mediate vegetative cell division also contribute to septal PG synthesis during
- asymmetric division. Since *C. difficile* appears to lack obvious orthologs of the widely conserved
- divisome-specific SEDS-bPBP pair, we considered the involvement of all major PG synthases encoded in
- the *C. difficile* genome. *C. difficile* has a relatively minimal set of PG synthases: a single class A PBP
- (PBP1 encoded by *cd630_07810*), three class B PBPs (PBP2, encoded by *cd630_11480*; PBP3, encoded
- by *cd630_12290*; and SpoVD), two SEDS proteins (RodA, encoded by *mrdB*, and SpoVE), and one
- monofunctional glycosyltransferase (MGT encoded by *cd630_23930*) (Fig. 4a). Among these synthases,
- we considered PBP1, PBP2, and RodA to be the most likely candidates for enzymes that contribute to
- septal PG synthesis during medial division since genes encoding these proteins were previously identified
- as being essential for vegetative growth (Dembek et al., 2015). Consistent with this and the prior finding
- that loss of PBP3 results in a sporulation defect (Srikhanta et al., 2019), we confirmed that single
- deletions of genes encoding PBP3 and MGT do not significantly alter the growth of vegetative cells,
- although they induce a modest increase in cell length (Supplementary Fig. 11).
- Although RodA and PBP2 are the sole essential SEDS-bPBP pair, the genomic location of their respective genes adjacent to the *mreBCD* operon, which encodes critical components of the elongasome,
- predicts that they mediate elongation based on analyses in other bacteria (Meeske et al., 2016).
- Furthermore, *C. difficile* RodA branches with SEDS enzymes implicated in mediating cell elongation in
- other organisms and in a separate group from *dcw*-encoded SEDS (Supplementary Fig. 2a, b), consistent
- with recent work suggesting that the functional divergence of SEDS paralogs to roles in cell division or
- elongation predates the Last Bacterial Common Ancestor (Megrian et al., 2022). To experimentally

confirm the roles of RodA and PBP2 in cell elongation, we used CRISPR interference (CRISPRi) (Müh et

al., 2019) to knock down the expression of their respective genes. Consistent with their essentiality,

individual knockdowns produced significant growth defects upon induction of the CRISPR system (Fig.

4b). CRISPRi knockdown of either *rodA* or *pbp2* resulted in lateral bulging, rounding, and frequent lysis

of cells (Fig. 4c). These phenotypes are characteristic of cells defective in cell elongation as observed in

other rod-shaped bacteria (Henriques et al., 1998; Matsuzawa et al., 1973; Murray et al., 1997; Rismondo

et al., 2019; Spratt, 1975) and stand in contrast to the phenotypes of divisome component CRISPRi

knock-downs, which induce filamentation due to defects in septum formation. Thus, RodA and PBP2 are

the core PG synthases during cell elongation in *C. difficile*.

The *C. difficile* **aPBP, PBP1, is the major septal PG synthase during vegetative division**

These analyses left the aPBP, PBP1, as the primary candidate for synthesizing septal PG during

vegetative division in *C. difficile*. Since aPBPs are capable of both polymerizing and cross-linking PG,

PBP1 should be able to substitute for both enzymatic activities fulfilled by a divisome-associated SEDS-

bPBP pair. Indeed, PBP1 was previously suggested to be essential for vegetative growth (Dembek et al.,

2015), and its depletion results in cell filamentation (Müh et al., 2019). Knockdown of the gene encoding

PBP1 validated these prior reports, as it resulted in severe growth defects and cell filamentation

phenotypes characteristic of cells deficient in cell division (Fig 5a, b). Moreover, subcellular localization

of PBP1 during vegetative growth showed significant enrichment at division septa (Fig. 5e,f).

 Chemical inhibition of PBP1 activity with the glycosyltransferase inhibitor moenomycin also produced filamentous cells, suggesting a crucial role of PBP1 glycosyltransferase activity in cell division (Fig. 5d). These data contradict a prior report (Cheng et al., 2008), which suggested that *C. difficile* cells are intrinsically resistant to moenomycin. Although moenomycin also inhibits the catalytic activity of monofunctional glycosyltransferases, sensitivity to moenomycin was unchanged in an MGT-null mutant, suggesting that the drastic phenotypes observed in moenomycin-treated cells are exclusively due to the inhibition of PBP1 activity (Supplementary Fig. 12a). Consistent with this, growth defects from

moenomycin treatment were rescued by overproduction of PBP1 (Supplementary Fig. 12c). Furthermore,

C. difficile is sensitive to PBP1 overproduction likely due to dysregulation of PBP1 activity.

 Next, we considered whether components of the polar divisome described above contribute to vegetative cell division. We reasoned that if this was the case, mutants lacking these components should be hypersensitive to moenomycin treatment. We found that the susceptibility of ∆*spoVD*, ∆*spoVE*, ∆*ftsL*, ∆*divIB*, and ∆*divIC* cells to moenomycin is unchanged compared to WT (Supplementary Fig. 12a,b).

Overall, these results are consistent with a non-canonical divisome composition in *C. difficile,* where

PBP1 is the major septal PG synthase during vegetative cell division.

326 **Fig. 5 | The class A PBP, PBP1, is critical for cell division. a**, Growth profile of the CRISPRi *pbp1* knockdown 327 strain. Data from a single growth curve experiment; mean and standard deviation plotted from three biological replicates. **b**, Representative micrographs showing morphological and PG incorporation phenotypes of *pbp1* 328 replicates. **b**, Representative micrographs showing morphological and PG incorporation phenotypes of *pbp1* knockdown cells. PG was labeled by incubation with HADA. Scale bar, 5 µm. Data representative of multip 329 knockdown cells. PG was labeled by incubation with HADA. Scale bar, 5 µm. Data representative of multiple experiments. c, Moenomycin treatment of WT cultures. Moenomycin was added after two hours of growth as 330 experiments. **c**, Moenomycin treatment of WT cultures. Moenomycin was added after two hours of growth as indicated, and cells were collected and fixed for imaging 2 hours after treatment. Mean and range are plotted f 331 indicated, and cells were collected and fixed for imaging 2 hours after treatment. Mean and range are plotted from
332 two biological replicates. **d**, Representative micrographs showing morphological and PG incorporati 332 two biological replicates. **d,** Representative micrographs showing morphological and PG incorporation phenotypes 333 of moenomycin-treated WT cells. PG was labeled by incubation with HADA. Scale bar, 5 µm. **e,** Representative 334 phase-contrast and fluorescence micrographs showing PG incorporation and subcellular localization of mScarlet-
335 PBP1 in exponentially growing cells. PG was labeled by incubation with HADA, and *mScarlet-pbp1* was ex 335 PBP1 in exponentially growing cells. PG was labeled by incubation with HADA, and *mScarlet-pbp1* was expressed 336 using the native *pbp1* promoter from an ectopic locus. Scale bar, 5 μ m. **f**, Demograph showing fluorescence intensity across multiple cells representing subcellular localization of PBP1 in exponentially growing cel 337 intensity across multiple cells representing subcellular localization of PBP1 in exponentially growing cells. Cells are
338 aligned at the mid-cell and sorted by length. Data are from 173 cells from one experiment and aligned at the mid-cell and sorted by length. Data are from 173 cells from one experiment and are representative of

339 multiple experiments.

Discussion

 The *dcw* cluster is well-conserved across all known bacterial phyla and was likely encoded in the Last Bacterial Common Ancestor (Megrian et al., 2022). While the order and composition of core *dcw* genes involved in PG synthesis and cell division have remained largely unchanged through billions of years of vertical inheritance, our analyses suggest that two key constituents of the cluster, *ftsW* and *ftsI*, have undergone a surprising functional divergence during the evolution of endospore formation. Rather than encoding the core PG synthases required for cell division as they do in most bacteria, the SEDS and bPBP genes in the *dcw* cluster of sporulating Firmicutes likely encode enzymes that become specialized to function exclusively during endospore formation, i.e. as SpoVE and SpoVD. This conclusion is supported by our finding that Firmicutes predicted to have lost the ability to sporulate also lack SEDS genes from their *dcw* cluster (Fig. 1, Supplementary Fig. 1, Supplementary Table 3). Furthermore, we show that, like *B. subtilis* (Daniel et al., 1994; Fay et al., 2010), the SEDS and bPBP genes in the *C. difficile dcw* cluster play sporulation-specific roles (Fig. 2). However, in contrast with *B. subtilis*, where SpoVE and SpoVD function exclusively during cortex synthesis, we have identified a novel role for SpoVE and SpoVD in mediating septal PG synthesis during asymmetric division in *C. difficile*. We posit that this additional function for SpoVE and SpoVD reflects their ancestral role in cell division. Since the last common ancestor of the Firmicutes is thought to

 have been a spore-former (Garcia et al., 2021), it is likely that this ancestor diversified the function of its *dcw-*encoded cell division PG synthases, FtsW and FtsI, to include both asymmetric division and cortex formation.

 According to this evolutionary scenario, *C. difficile* SpoVE and SpoVD represent an intermediate form that has retained its involvement in asymmetric division and cortex synthesis, while *B. subtilis* SpoVE and SpoVD became exclusively specialized for cortex synthesis. This interpretation is supported by our data suggesting that the PG synthesizing function of *C. difficile* SpoVD and SpoVE during asymmetric division is regulated by the divisome components FtsL, FtsQ, and FtsB (Fig. 3), which are essential regulators of FtsW and FtsI in other organisms, including *B. subtilis* (Levin & Losick, 1994; Daniel et al., 1998; Katis & Wake, 1999; Tsang & Bernhardt, 2015; Marmont & Bernhardt, 2020). Notably, the specialization of *dcw*-encoded SEDS and bPBP enzymes in mediating PG transformations during spore formation in the Firmicutes required that these organisms develop or repurpose other enzymes to drive vegetative cell division. Sporulating members of the Bacilli encode an additional bPBP in the *dcw* cluster adjacent to *spoVD* (Fig. 1)*,* which appears to encode a functional ortholog of FtsI and was likely a product of a gene duplication event. Similarly, the evolution of a functional ortholog of FtsW encoded outside the *dcw* cluster in the Bacilli was likely facilitated by a gene

 duplication or horizontal gene transfer event. In contrast, many members of the Clostridia appear to lack *ftsW* and *ftsI* orthologs (Fig. 1), so a non-SEDS-bPBP PG synthase, namely the aPBP, PBP1, likely

evolved to mediate cell division independent of the canonical cell division machinery.

 While it is unclear why the function of SpoVE and SpoVD during asymmetric division is not conserved in *B. subtilis* and likely other Bacilli, it appears that their roles in this critical process will likely be observed only in spore formers that lack functional FtsW and FtsI orthologs. This is consistent with our observation that, unlike *C. difficile* and most other Clostridia, the Bacilli and Negativicutes appear to encode functional orthologs of FtsW and FtsI (Fig 1), which likely mediate both medial and asymmetric division. Interestingly, the *B. subtilis* aPBP PBP1 is required for efficient septation during asymmetric division but not medial division (Scheffers & Errington, 2004), similar to SpoVE-SpoVD requirement for efficient asymmetric division in *C. difficile*. These observations suggest that the formation of the division septum during asymmetric division may have additional requirements for both aPBP and SEDS-bPBP activities, which may contribute to the differences between medial and asymmetric septa observed in *B.*

subtilis (Khanna et al., 2021).

 While our results strongly suggest that the essential PG synthases in *C. difficile*, PBP1 and RodA- PBP2, are functionally specialized to mediate cell division and elongation, respectively (Fig. 4, 5), it remains possible that these two distinct sets of PBPs participate in both processes. Indeed, PBP1 likely contributes to general cell wall synthesis and maintenance independent of its role in septation, given that aPBPs in other bacteria can function autonomously from the divisome (Vigouroux et al., 2020). This is supported by our observation of lower levels of HADA incorporation throughout the cell upon *pbp1*

knockdown or moenomycin treatment (Fig. 5b, d). Furthermore, a recent study suggests that *C. difficile*

PBP1 transpeptidase activity is likely non-essential (Sacco et al., 2022), in which case, PBP2 may provide

the missing transpeptidase activity. Indeed, redundancy in transpeptidase activities during cell division

has been described in *B. subtilis* and *S. aureus* and may be more widely conserved than currently

appreciated (Pinho et al., 2001; Reichmann et al., 2019; Sassine et al., 2017; Wacnik et al., 2022).

Crucially, whether and how *C. difficile* PBP1 activity is regulated during septal PG synthesis remains

unclear. FtsL, FtsQ, and FtsB are dispensable during vegetative growth (Fig 3), and *C. difficile* lacks

homologs of FtsA and FtsN, which regulate divisome assembly and PG synthesis activity in many

bacteria (Egan et al., 2020). Thus, factors that substitute for the function of these widely conserved

divisome proteins remain to be discovered. Interestingly, a cluster of three genes encoding mid cell-

localizing proteins (MldABC) unique to *C. difficile* and closely related organisms appear to be important

for cell division (Ransom et al., 2014). Since one of these proteins (MldA) contains a PG-binding SPOR

domain which is commonly found in components of the divisome in other bacteria and was recently

implicated in regulating aPBP activity in *E. coli* (Pazos et al., 2020)*,* Mld proteins may be involved in

- regulating septal PG synthesis by PBP1. However, further study is required to define the components of
- the distinct divisome encoded by *C. difficile*.
- Altogether, our findings provide novel insight into the evolution of PG-synthesizing enzymes in
- the Firmicutes and highlight the diversity of PG synthesis mechanisms employed by bacteria. The
- observations may explain some of the disparities in cell division mechanisms reported for model
- Firmicutes organisms such as *B. subtilis* and *S. aureus* compared to non-Firmicutes models such as *E.*
- *coli,* including differences in FtsW-FtsI enzyme dynamics and aPBP function in relation to the divisome
- (Egan et al., 2020; Straume et al., 2021). Moreover, by revealing unique characteristics of *C. difficile*'s
- cell division machinery during sporulation and vegetative growth, these analyses may inform the
- development of more specific therapeutics against this important pathogen.

Methods

Gene synteny, homology searches, and phylogenetic analyses

 Genomic loci containing *dcw* clusters shown in Fig. 1 were manually determined by searching for *dcw* genes (*mraZ*, *ftsW*/*spoVE*, *ftsZ*) and extracted from genomes (accession numbers and coordinates are

421 listed in Supplementary Table S1). Gene neighborhoods were visualized using Clinker (Gilchrist $\&$

Chooi, 2021) on the CAGECAT web server (https://cagecat.bioinformatics.nl/tools/clinker) (van den Belt

et al., 2023).

424 For all other analyses presented in Supplementary Fig. 1 & 2 and Supplementary Table 3, we assembled a local databank of Firmicutes by selecting one proteome per genus as previously described (Luhur et al., 2020). Proteome selection was realized considering genome characteristics such as assembly level and category. The assembled databank contains 494 genomes listed in Supplementary Table 2. In order to build a reference phylogeny, exhaustive HMM-based homology searches (with the option --cut_ga) were carried out by using HMM profiles of bacterial ribosomal proteins from the Pfam 29.0 database as queries on the Firmicutes databank using the HMMER-3.1b2 package (hmmer.org) (Johnson et al., 2010). The conserved ribosomal proteins were aligned with MAFFT-v7.407 with the auto option and trimmed using BMGE-1.1 (Criscuolo & Gribaldo, 2010). The resulting trimmed alignments were concatenated into a supermatrix (497 taxa and 3,776 amino acid positions). A maximum likelihood tree was generated using IQTREE-1.6.3 (Nguyen et al., 2015) under the LG+I+G4 model with 1000 ultrafast bootstrap replicates.

 Homology searches were performed using HMMSEARCH from the HMMER-3.1b2 package to 437 screen all the proteomes in the Firmicutes databank for the presence of Spo0A, SpoIIE, SEDS

glycosyltransferases, and bPBP homologs. We used the Pfam database to retrieve Pfam domains

PF08769, PF07228, PF01098, and PF00905 for Spo0A, SpoIIE, SEDS glycosyltransferases, and bPBP

respectively (Finn et al., 2016). All hits were then manually curated using phylogeny and domain

organization to discard false positives. For SEDS and bPBP sets of hits, the kept sequences were aligned

442 with MAFFT v7.481 (--auto) (Katoh & Standley, 2013), trimmed with trimAl 1.2rev59 (Capella-

Gutiérrez et al., 2009) and single gene trees were build using IQ-TREE v2.0.7 (Minh et al., 2020) with

- best-fit model chosen according to BIC criterium.
- We next used MacSyFinder2 (Néron et al., 2023) to locate the hits in the genomes. We assessed the genes
- as located in the dcw cluster when at least four out of the genes *ftsW*/*spoVE*, *ftsI*/*spoVD*, *ftsA*, *mraW*,
- *mraY*, *ftsZ*, *mraZ,* and *murCDEF* cooccurred in the genome separated by no more than five other genes.
- The *rodA*-*mrdA* pair located out of the dcw cluster was assessed when they were found separated by no
- more than five other genes with the absence of *mraW* and *mraY* in their close synteny. Finally, we

- identified the pair *ftsW*-*pycA* when the two genes cooccurred in the genome, separated by no more than 3
- other genes. The presence or absence of the studied proteins and their synteny in the genomes were
- mapped onto the trees using IToL(Letunic & Bork, 2021).
- The Jaccard similarity coefficients reported in Supplementary Table 3 were calculated using R
- and RStudio software using the dataset from Supplementary Table 2. The Jaccard similarity coefficient
- for each pairwise comparison was calculated by dividing the number of shared organisms by the total
- number of organisms in the two sets being compared.

C. difficile **strain construction and growth conditions**

- All *C. difficile* strains used in the study are derivatives of 630∆*erm*. Mutant strains were constructed in a
- 630∆*erm*∆*pyrE* strain using *pyrE*-based allele-coupled exchange as previously described (Ng et al.,
- 2013). All strains used in the study are reported in Supplementary Table 4. *C. difficile* strains were grown
- from frozen glycerol stocks on brain heart infusion-supplemented (BHIS) agar plates with taurocholate
- (TA, 0.1% w/v). *C. difficile* strains harboring pIA33- or pRPF185-based plasmids were grown on media
- 463 supplemented with thiamphenicol (10 μ g/mL in liquid cultures and 5 μ g/mL in agar plates). Cultures
- were grown at 37°C under anaerobic conditions using a gas mixture containing 85% N2, 5% CO2, and
- 10% H2.

E. coli **strain constructions**

- Supplementary Table 5 lists all plasmids used in the study, with links to plasmid maps containing all
- primer sequences used for cloning. Plasmids were cloned via Gibson assembly, and cloned plasmids were
- transformed into *E. coli* (DH5α or XL1-Blue strains). All plasmids were confirmed by sequencing the
- inserted region. Confirmed plasmids were transformed into the *E. coli* HB101 strain for conjugation with
- *C. difficile*. All *E. coli* HB101 strains used for conjugation are also listed in Supplementary Table 5.

Plate-based sporulation assays

- For assays requiring sporulating cells, cultures were grown to early stationary phase, back-diluted 1:50
- 474 into BHIS, and grown until they reached an OD₆₀₀ between 0.35 and 0.75. 120 μ L of this culture was
- spread onto 70:30 (70% SMC media and 30% BHIS media) or SMC agar plates as indicated (40 ml media
- 476 per plate). Sporulating cells were scraped from the plate and collected into phosphate-buffered saline
- (PBS), and sporulation levels were visualized by phase-contrast microscopy as previously described
- (Pishdadian et al., 2015).

Heat resistance assay

- Heat-resistant spore formation was measured 18-22 hours after sporulation induction on 70:30 agar plates,
- as previously described (Fimlaid et al., 2015). Heat-resistance efficiencies represent the average ratio of
- heat-resistant colony-forming units (CFUs) to total CFUs for a given strain relative to the average ratio
- for the wild-type strain.

Western blot analysis

- Samples were collected 17 hours after sporulation induction on 70:30 agar plates and processed for
- 486 immunoblotting as previously described. σ^F , σ^E , and Spo0A were resolved using 15% SDS–
- polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS–PAGE) gels, whereas SpoVD and SpoIVA were resolved using
- 12% SDS–PAGE gels. Proteins were transferred to polyvinylidene difluoride membranes, which were
- 489 subsequently probed with rabbit (anti- σ ^F, anti- σ ^E, and anti-SpoVD) and mouse (anti-Spo0A and anti-
- SpoIVA) polyclonal primary antibodies, and anti-rabbit IR800 and anti-mouse IR680 secondary
- antibodies (LI-COR). Blots were imaged using a LiCor Odyssey CLx imaging system. The results shown
- are representative of multiple experiments.

RT-qPCR analysis

- RNA was harvested from sporulating cells 10-11 hours after sporulating induction on 70:30 agar plates.
- Total RNA was processed as previously described (Fimlaid et al., 2013) using a MICROBExpress
- Bacterial mRNA Enrichment Kit for mRNA enrichment. Transcript levels were determined from cDNA
- templates prepared from a single experiment with three biological replicates per sample. Gene-specific
- primer pairs are provided in Supplementary Table 6. RT–qPCR was performed as previously described
- (Oliveira et al., 2020), using iTaq Universal SYBR Green supermix (BioRad), 50 nM of gene-specific
- primers, and an Mx3005P qPCR system (Stratagene) in a total volume of 25 µL. The following cycling
- 501 conditions were used: 95 °C for 2 min, followed by 40 cycles of 95 °C for 15 s and 60 °C for 1 min.
- Statistical tests were performed using GraphPad Prism (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA, USA).

Bacterial two-hybrid analyses

- Bacterial adenylate cyclase two-hybrid (BACTH) assays were performed using E. coli BTH101 cells
- based on the system first described by Karimova et al. (Karimova et al., 2005). Briefly, BTH101 cells
- were freshly transformed with 100 ng of each BACTH assay plasmid and plated on fresh LB agar plates
- supplemented with 50 µg/ml kanamycin, 100 µg/ml Ampicillin, and 0.5 mM isopropyl β-D-
- thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG). Plates were incubated for 64-68 hours at 30°C, and β-galactosidase
- activity was quantified in Miller units as previously described. The β-galactosidase activity of cells

transformed with the empty pUT18C and pKT25 vectors was used as a negative control for

normalization.

Growth curve assays

- For each replicate of the growth assay shown in Figure 5c, a mid-log culture was normalized to a starting
- OD₆₀₀ \sim 0.05. After two hours of growth, the culture was aliquoted into three separate tubes and
- 515 supplemented with moenomycin as indicated. The $OD₆₀₀$ value of each culture was measured every hour
- using a portable colorimeter (Biochrom WPA CO7500). For all other growth assays, stationary phase
- 517 cultures were back-diluted 1:50 in BHIS and grown to mid-log phase (OD₆₀₀ 0.5). Log-phase cultures
- 518 were normalized to a starting $OD_{600} \sim 0.01$ and distributed into wells (150µL per well) of a 96-well plate.
- 519 The plate was incubated in an Epoch microplate spectrophotometer (Agilent BioTek) at 37°C with linear
- 520 shaking for 2 mins prior to each time point. The OD_{600} value for each well was recorded every 15 minutes.
- For growth assays involving strains with pIA33- or pRPF185-based plasmids, media was supplemented
- 522 with 10 μ g/mL thiamphenicol and either 1% xylose or anhydrotetracycline as indicated. For assays
- involving moenomycin treatment, cultures were supplemented with different concentrations of
- moenomycin as indicated.

Nucleoid, membrane, and cell wall labeling

- Fluorescence microscopy was performed on sporulating cells using Hoechst 33342 (Molecular Probes;
- 15 µg ml−1) and FM4-64 (Invitrogen; 1 µg ml−1) to stain nucleoid and membrane, respectively. For cell
- wall labeling, HADA (Tocris Bioscience) was added to exponentially growing cell culture to a final
- 529 concentration of 50-100 μ M and incubated for \sim 2 mins before cell fixation.

Cell fixation

- Cells were fixed as previously described (Ransom et al., 2016). In brief, 800 µL of cell suspension was
- 532 added to 200 μ L of a 5× fixation solution containing paraformaldehyde and NaPO₄ buffer. Samples were
- mixed and incubated in the dark for 30 min at room temperature, followed by 30 min on ice. Fixed cells
- were washed three times in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and resuspended in an appropriate volume of
- PBS depending on cellular density. Cells were imaged within 72 hours after fixation.

Microscope Hardware

- All samples for a given experiment were imaged from a single agar pad (1.5% low-melting point agarose
- in PBS). Phase-contrast micrographs shown in Supplementary Fig. 3 were acquired using a Zeiss
- Axioskop upright microscope with a 100× Plan-NEOFLUAR oil-immersion phase-contrast objective and

a Hamamatsu C4742-95 Orca 100 CCD Camera. All other phase-contrast and fluorescence micrographs

- 541 were obtained using a Leica DMi8 inverted microscope equipped with a 63×1.4 NA Plan Apochromat
- oil-immersion phase-contrast objective, a high precision motorized stage (Pecon), and an incubator
- (Pecon) set at 37˚C. Excitation light was generated by a Lumencor Spectra-X multi-LED light source with
- integrated excitation filters. An XLED-QP quadruple-band dichroic beam-splitter (Leica) was used
- (transmission: 415, 470, 570, and 660 nm) with an external filter wheel for all fluorescent channels. FM4-
- 464 was excited at 550/38 nm with, and emitted light was filtered using a 705/72-nm emission filter
- (Leica); HADA and Hoechst were excited at 395/40, and emitted light was filtered using a 440/40-nm
- emission filter (Leica); mScarlet was excited at 550/38 nm with, and emitted light was filtered using a
- 590/50-nm emission filter (Leica). Emitted and transmitted light was detected using a Leica DFC 9000
- 550 GTC sCMOS camera. 1- to 2-µm z-stacks were taken when needed with 0.21-µm z-slices.

Microscopy image analyses

- Images were acquired and exported using the LASX software. To avoid bleed-through of fluorescent
- signal into neighboring cells, a background subtraction method (Leica Instant Computational Clearing)
- was applied to the fluorescence images used for fluorescent reporter analyses shown in Fig. 2 f,g and
- Supplementary Fig. 5. All other images were exported without further processing. After export, images
- were processed using Fiji (Schindelin et al., 2012) to remove out-of-focus regions via cropping. The best-
- focused Z-planes for all channels were manually selected to correct for any chromatic aberration. Image
- scaling was adjusted to improve brightness and contrast for display and was applied equally to all images
- shown in a single panel. For cell segmentation and quantification of length and fluorescent intensities, the
- MATLAB-based image analysis pipeline SuperSegger (Stylianidou et al., 2016) was used with the default
- 60 \times settings. Visualization of quantified data and any associated statistical tests were performed using
- GraphPad Prism (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA, USA).
- Segmentation of cells and fluorescent intensity analyses used to generate data shown in Fig. 5f to analyze mScarlet-PBP1 localization was conducted using the MicrobeJ plugin (Ducret et al., 2016) in ImageJ. Analyses of SpoVD-mScarlet localization and HADA incorporation in asymmetrically dividing cells shown in Supplementary Fig. 5 were conducted in ImageJ. Individual cells undergoing asymmetric division were isolated by manually identifying cells with a polar septum in the HADA channel. A 5-pixel wide pole-to-pole vector was drawn to gather fluorescent intensities across the cell. For each channel, the fluorescent intensity was normalized to the minimum and maximum values for each cell and plotted against the normalized cell length.
- **Transmission electron microscopy**

- 572 Sporulating cells were collected ~22 hours after sporulation induction on 70:30 or SMC agar plates. Cells
- were fixed and processed for electron microscopy by the University of Vermont Microscopy Center as
- previously described (Putnam et al., 2013). All TEM images were captured on a JEOL 1400 Transmission
- Electron Microscope (Jeol USA, Inc., Peabody, MA) with an AMT XR611 high-resolution 11-megapixel
- mid-mount CCD camera.

Acknowledgments

- We acknowledge the University of Vermont Microscopy Imaging Core for processing the samples and
- acquiring the images for all Transmission Electron Microscopy analyses. We thank Craig D. Ellermeier
- and David S. Weiss for their input on the project and for sharing protocols and plasmids used in the study.
- We are grateful to members of the Shen lab for helpful discussions and feedback on the manuscript. The
- schematic shown in Figure 3f was created using BioRender.com. The National Institute of Allergy and
- Infectious Diseases grant R01 AI122232 (to A.S.), and Burroughs Wellcome Fund for Investigators in
- Pathogenesis Award (to A.S.) provided funding for this work.

References

- Alabdali, Y. A. J., Oatley, P., Kirk, J. A., & Fagan, R. P. (2021). A cortex-specific penicillin-binding protein contributes to heat resistance in *Clostridioides difficile* spores. *Anaerobe*, *70*, 102379. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anaerobe.2021.102379
- Atwal, S., Chuenklin, S., Bonder, E. M., Flores, J., Gillespie, J. J., Driscoll, T. P., & Salje, J. (2021). Discovery of a Diverse Set of Bacteria That Build Their Cell Walls without the Canonical Peptidoglycan Polymerase aPBP. *MBio*, *12*(4), e01342-21. https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.01342- 592 21
593 Beall, B., &
- Beall, B., & Lutkenhaus, J. (1989). Nucleotide sequence and insertional inactivation of a *Bacillus subtilis* 594 gene that affects cell division, sporulation, and temperature sensitivity. *Journal of Bacteriology*,
595 171(12), 6821–6834. https://doi.org/10.1128/jb.171.12.6821-6834.1989 *171*(12), 6821–6834. https://doi.org/10.1128/jb.171.12.6821-6834.1989
- Capella-Gutiérrez, S., Silla-Martínez, J. M., & Gabaldón, T. (2009). trimAl: A tool for automated alignment trimming in large-scale phylogenetic analyses. *Bioinformatics*, *25*(15), 1972–1973. 598 https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp348
599 Cheng, T.-J. R., Sung, M.-T., Liao, H.-Y., Chang, Y.-I
- 599 Cheng, T.-J. R., Sung, M.-T., Liao, H.-Y., Chang, Y.-F., Chen, C.-W., Huang, C.-Y., Chou, L.-Y., Wu, 600 Y.-D., Chen, Y.-H., Cheng, Y.-S. E., Wong, C.-H., Ma, C., & Cheng, W.-C. (2008). Domain Y.-D., Chen, Y.-H., Cheng, Y.-S. E., Wong, C.-H., Ma, C., & Cheng, W.-C. (2008). Domain requirement of moenomycin binding to bifunctional transglycosylases and development of high- throughput discovery of antibiotics. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *105*(2), 431–436. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0710868105
- Cho, H., Wivagg, C. N., Kapoor, M., Barry, Z., Rohs, P. D. A., Suh, H., Marto, J. A., Garner, E. C., & Bernhardt, T. G. (2016). Bacterial cell wall biogenesis is mediated by SEDS and PBP polymerase families functioning semi-autonomously. *Nature Microbiology*, *1*(10), Article 10. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmicrobiol.2016.172
- Criscuolo, A., & Gribaldo, S. (2010). BMGE (Block Mapping and Gathering with Entropy): A new software for selection of phylogenetic informative regions from multiple sequence alignments. *BMC Evolutionary Biology*, *10*(1), 210. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2148-10-210
- Daniel, R. A., Drake, S., Buchanan, C. E., Scholle, R., & Errington, J. (1994). The *Bacillus subtilis* spoVD gene encodes a mother-cell-specific penicillin-binding protein required for spore morphogenesis. *Journal of Molecular Biology*, *235*(1), 209–220. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022- 2836(05)80027-0
- Daniel, R. A., Harry, E. J., Katis, V. L., Wake, R. G., & Errington, J. (1998). Characterization of the essential cell division gene ftsL(yIID) of *Bacillus subtilis* and its role in the assembly of the division apparatus. *Molecular Microbiology*, *29*(2), 593–604. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365- 2958.1998.00954.x
- Dembek, M., Barquist, L., Boinett, C. J., Cain, A. K., Mayho, M., Lawley, T. D., Fairweather, N. F., & Fagan, R. P. (2015). High-Throughput Analysis of Gene Essentiality and Sporulation in *Clostridium difficile*. *MBio*, *6*(2). https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.02383-14
- Di Lallo, G., Fagioli, M., Barionovi, D., Ghelardini, P., & Paolozzi, L. Y. (2003). Use of a two-hybrid assay to study the assembly of a complex multicomponent protein machinery: Bacterial septosome differentiation. *Microbiology*, *149*(12), 3353–3359. https://doi.org/10.1099/mic.0.26580-0
- Dion, M. F., Kapoor, M., Sun, Y., Wilson, S., Ryan, J., Vigouroux, A., van Teeffelen, S., Oldenbourg, R., & Garner, E. C. (2019). *Bacillus subtilis* cell diameter is determined by the opposing actions of two distinct cell wall synthetic systems. *Nature Microbiology*, *4*(8), Article 8. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41564-019-0439-0
- Ducret, A., Quardokus, E. M., & Brun, Y. V. (2016). MicrobeJ, a tool for high throughput bacterial cell detection and quantitative analysis. *Nature Microbiology*, *1*(7), Article 7. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmicrobiol.2016.77

- Fimlaid, K. A., Bond, J. P., Schutz, K. C., Putnam, E. E., Leung, J. M., Lawley, T. D., & Shen, A. (2013). Global analysis of the sporulation pathway of *Clostridium difficile*. *PLoS Genetics*, *9*(8), e1003660. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1003660
- Fimlaid, K. A., Jensen, O., Donnelly, M. L., Francis, M. B., Sorg, J. A., & Shen, A. (2015). Identification of a Novel Lipoprotein Regulator of *Clostridium difficile* Spore Germination. *PLOS Pathogens*, *11*(10), e1005239. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1005239
- 652 Finn, R. D., Coggill, P., Eberhardt, R. Y., Eddy, S. R., Mistry, J., Mitchell, A. L., Potter, S. C., Punta, M., 653 Oureshi, M., Sangrador-Vegas, A., Salazar, G. A., Tate, J., & Bateman, A. (2016). The Pfam Qureshi, M., Sangrador-Vegas, A., Salazar, G. A., Tate, J., & Bateman, A. (2016). The Pfam protein families database: Towards a more sustainable future. *Nucleic Acids Research*, *44*(D1), D279-285. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkv1344
- Galperin, M. Y., Yutin, N., Wolf, Y. I., Vera Alvarez, R., & Koonin, E. V. (2022). Conservation and Evolution of the Sporulation Gene Set in Diverse Members of the Firmicutes. *Journal of Bacteriology*, *204*(6), e00079-22. https://doi.org/10.1128/jb.00079-22
- Garcia, P. S., Duchemin, W., Flandrois, J.-P., Gribaldo, S., Grangeasse, C., & Brochier-Armanet, C. (2021). A Comprehensive Evolutionary Scenario of Cell Division and Associated Processes in the Firmicutes. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, *38*(6), 2396–2412. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msab034
- Gilchrist, C. L. M., & Chooi, Y.-H. (2021). clinker & clustermap.js: Automatic generation of gene cluster comparison figures. *Bioinformatics*, *37*(16), 2473–2475. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btab007
- Henriques, A. O., de Lencastre, H., & Piggot, P. J. (1992). A Bacillus subtilis morphogene cluster that includes spoVE is homologous to the mra region of *Escherichia coli*. *Biochimie*, *74*(7–8), 735– 748. https://doi.org/10.1016/0300-9084(92)90146-6
- Henriques, A. O., Glaser, P., Piggot, P. J., & Moran Jr, C. P. (1998). Control of cell shape and elongation by the rodA gene in *Bacillus subtilis*. *Molecular Microbiology*, *28*(2), 235–247. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2958.1998.00766.x

 Ikeda, M., Sato, T., Wachi, M., Jung, H. K., Ishino, F., Kobayashi, Y., & Matsuhashi, M. (1989). Structural similarity among Escherichia coli FtsW and RodA proteins and *Bacillus subtilis* SpoVE protein, which function in cell division, cell elongation, and spore formation, respectively. *Journal of Bacteriology*, *171*(11), 6375–6378. https://doi.org/10.1128/jb.171.11.6375-6378.1989

 Johnson, L. S., Eddy, S. R., & Portugaly, E. (2010). Hidden Markov model speed heuristic and iterative HMM search procedure. *BMC Bioinformatics*, *11*(1), 431. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-11- 431

Joyce, G., Williams, K. J., Robb, M., Noens, E., Tizzano, B., Shahrezaei, V., & Robertson, B. D. (2012). Cell Division Site Placement and Asymmetric Growth in Mycobacteria. *PLOS ONE*, *7*(9), e44582. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0044582

- Karimova, G., Dautin, N., & Ladant, D. (2005). Interaction Network among Escherichia coli Membrane Proteins Involved in Cell Division as Revealed by Bacterial Two-Hybrid Analysis. *Journal of Bacteriology*, *187*(7), 2233–2243. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.187.7.2233-2243.2005
- Katis, V. L., & Wake, R. G. (1999). Membrane-bound division proteins DivIB and DivIC of *Bacillus subtilis* function solely through their external domains in both vegetative and sporulation division. *Journal of Bacteriology*, *181*(9), 2710–2718. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.181.9.2710-2718.1999
- Katoh, K., & Standley, D. M. (2013). MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7: Improvements in performance and usability. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, *30*(4), 772–780. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/mst010
- Khanna, K., Lopez-Garrido, J., Sugie, J., Pogliano, K., & Villa, E. (2021). Asymmetric localization of the cell division machinery during *Bacillus subtilis* sporulation. *ELife*, *10*, e62204. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.62204
- Kieser, K. J., Boutte, C. C., Kester, J. C., Baer, C. E., Barczak, A. K., Meniche, X., Chao, M. C., Rego, E. H., Sassetti, C. M., Fortune, S. M., & Rubin, E. J. (2015). Phosphorylation of the Peptidoglycan Synthase PonA1 Governs the Rate of Polar Elongation in Mycobacteria. *PLoS Pathogens*, *11*(6), e1005010. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1005010
- Le Gouëllec, A., Roux, L., Fadda, D., Massidda, O., Vernet, T., & Zapun, A. (2008). Roles of Pneumococcal DivIB in Cell Division. *Journal of Bacteriology*, *190*(13), 4501–4511. 700 https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.00376-08
701 Leclercq, S., Derouaux, A., Olatunji, S., Frai
- Leclercq, S., Derouaux, A., Olatunji, S., Fraipont, C., Egan, A. J. F., Vollmer, W., Breukink, E., & Terrak, M. (2017). Interplay between Penicillin-binding proteins and SEDS proteins promotes bacterial cell wall synthesis. *Scientific Reports*, *7*(1), Article 1. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep43306
- 704 Letunic, I., & Bork, P. (2021). Interactive Tree Of Life (iTOL) v5: An online tool for phylogenetic tree
705 display and annotation. *Nucleic Acids Research.* 49(W1). W293–W296. display and annotation. *Nucleic Acids Research*, *49*(W1), W293–W296. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkab301
- 707 Levin, P. A., & Losick, R. (1994). Characterization of a cell division gene from *Bacillus subtilis* that is required for vegetative and sporulation septum formation. *Journal of Bacteriology*, 176(5), 145 required for vegetative and sporulation septum formation. *Journal of Bacteriology*, *176*(5), 1451– 1459. https://doi.org/10.1128/jb.176.5.1451-1459.1994
- Luhur, J., Chan, H., Kachappilly, B., Mohamed, A., Morlot, C., Awad, M., Lyras, D., Taib, N., Gribaldo, S., Rudner, D. Z., & Rodrigues, C. D. A. (2020). A dynamic, ring-forming MucB / RseB-like protein influences spore shape in *Bacillus subtilis*. *PLOS Genetics*, *16*(12), e1009246. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1009246
- Maggi, S., Massidda, O., Luzi, G., Fadda, D., Paolozzi, L., & Ghelardini, P. (2008). Division protein interaction web: Identification of a phylogenetically conserved common interactome between Streptococcus pneumoniae and Escherichia coli. *Microbiology*, *154*(10), 3042–3052. https://doi.org/10.1099/mic.0.2008/018697-0
- Margolis, P., Driks, A., & Losick, R. (1991). Establishment of Cell Type by Compartmentalized Activation of a Transcription Factor. *Science*, *254*(5031), 562–565.
- 720 https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1948031
721 Marmont, L. S., & Bernhardt, T. G. (2020). A co 721 Marmont, L. S., & Bernhardt, T. G. (2020). A conserved subcomplex within the bacterial cytokinetic ring
722 activates cell wall synthesis by the FtsW-FtsI synthase. Proceedings of the National Academy of activates cell wall synthesis by the FtsW-FtsI synthase. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *117*(38), 23879–23885. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2004598117
- Masson, S., Kern, T., Le Gouëllec, A., Giustini, C., Simorre, J.-P., Callow, P., Vernet, T., Gabel, F., & Zapun, A. (2009). Central Domain of DivIB Caps the C-terminal Regions of the FtsL/DivIC Coiled-coil Rod. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, *284*(40), 27687–27700. 727 https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M109.019471
728 Matsuzawa, H., Hayakawa, K., Sato, T., & Imaho
- Matsuzawa, H., Hayakawa, K., Sato, T., & Imahori, K. (1973). Characterization and Genetic Analysis of a Mutant of *Escherichia coli* K-12 with Rounded Morphology. *Journal of Bacteriology*, *115*(1), 436–442.

731 Meeske, A. J., Riley, E. P., Robins, W. P., Uehara, T., Mekelanos, J. J., Kahne, D., Walker, S., Kruse, A.
732 C., Bernhardt, T. G., & Rudner, D. Z. (2016). SEDS proteins are a widespread family of bacterial C., Bernhardt, T. G., & Rudner, D. Z. (2016). SEDS proteins are a widespread family of bacterial cell wall polymerases. *Nature*, *537*(7622), 634–638. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature19331 Megrian, D., Taib, N., Jaffe, A. L., Banfield, J. F., & Gribaldo, S. (2022). Ancient origin and constrained evolution of the division and cell wall gene cluster in Bacteria. *Nature Microbiology*, *7*(12), 2114–2127. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41564-022-01257-y Minh, B. Q., Schmidt, H. A., Chernomor, O., Schrempf, D., Woodhams, M. D., von Haeseler, A., & Lanfear, R. (2020). IQ-TREE 2: New Models and Efficient Methods for Phylogenetic Inference in the Genomic Era. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, *37*(5), 1530–1534. 740 https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msaa015
741 Müh. U., Pannullo, A. G., Weiss, D. S., & Ellerm Müh, U., Pannullo, A. G., Weiss, D. S., & Ellermeier, C. D. (2019). A Xylose-Inducible Expression System and a CRISPR Interference Plasmid for Targeted Knockdown of Gene Expression in *Clostridioides difficile*. *Journal of Bacteriology*, *201*(14). https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.00711-18 Murray, T., Popham, D. L., & Setlow, P. (1997). Identification and characterization of *pbpA* encoding *Bacillus subtilis* penicillin-binding protein 2A. *Journal of Bacteriology*, *179*(9), 3021–3029. 746 https://doi.org/10.1128/jb.179.9.3021-3029.1997
747 Néron, B., Denise, R., Coluzzi, C., Touchon, M., Rocha, Néron, B., Denise, R., Coluzzi, C., Touchon, M., Rocha, E. P. C., & Abby, S. S. (2023). MacSyFinder v2: Improved modelling and search engine to identify molecular systems in genomes. *Peer Community Journal*, *3*. https://doi.org/10.24072/pcjournal.250 750 Ng, Y. K., Ehsaan, M., Philip, S., Collery, M. M., Janoir, C., Collignon, A., Cartman, S. T., & Minton, N.
751 P. (2013). Expanding the Repertoire of Gene Tools for Precise Manipulation of the *Clostridium* P. (2013). Expanding the Repertoire of Gene Tools for Precise Manipulation of the *Clostridium difficile* Genome: Allelic Exchange Using *pyrE* Alleles. *PLOS ONE*, *8*(2), e56051. 753 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0056051
754 Nguyen, L.-T., Schmidt, H. A., von Haeseler, A., & M Nguyen, L.-T., Schmidt, H. A., von Haeseler, A., & Minh, B. O. (2015). IO-TREE: A Fast and Effective Stochastic Algorithm for Estimating Maximum-Likelihood Phylogenies. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, *32*(1), 268–274. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msu300 Nonejuie, P., Burkart, M., Pogliano, K., & Pogliano, J. (2013). Bacterial cytological profiling rapidly identifies the cellular pathways targeted by antibacterial molecules. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *110*(40), 16169–16174. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1311066110 Oliveira, P. H., Ribis, J. W., Garrett, E. M., Trzilova, D., Kim, A., Sekulovic, O., Mead, E. A., Pak, T., Zhu, S., Deikus, G., Touchon, M., Lewis-Sandari, M., Beckford, C., Zeitouni, N. E., Altman, D. R., Webster, E., Oussenko, I., Bunyavanich, S., Aggarwal, A. K., … Fang, G. (2020). Epigenomic characterization of *Clostridioides difficile* finds a conserved DNA methyltransferase that mediates sporulation and pathogenesis. *Nature Microbiology*, *5*(1), Article 1. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41564-019-0613-4 Pazos, M., Peters, K., Boes, A., Safaei, Y., Kenward, C., Caveney, N. A., Laguri, C., Breukink, E., Strynadka, N. C. J., Simorre, J.-P., Terrak, M., & Vollmer, W. (2020). SPOR Proteins Are Required for Functionality of Class A Penicillin-Binding Proteins in Escherichia coli. *MBio*, *11*(6), e02796-20. https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.02796-20
770 Perez, A. J., Cesbron, Y., Shaw, S. L., Bazan Villicana, J., Tsui, H. 770 Perez, A. J., Cesbron, Y., Shaw, S. L., Bazan Villicana, J., Tsui, H.-C. T., Boersma, M. J., Ye, Z. A., 771 Tovpeko, Y., Dekker, C., Holden, S., & Winkler, M. E. (2019). Movement dynamics of divis Tovpeko, Y., Dekker, C., Holden, S., & Winkler, M. E. (2019). Movement dynamics of divisome proteins and PBP2x:FtsW in cells of *Streptococcus pneumoniae*. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *116*(8), 3211–3220. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1816018116 Piggot, P. J., & Coote, J. G. (1976). Genetic aspects of bacterial endospore formation. *Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews*, *40*(4), 908–962. Pinho, M. G., Filipe, S. R., de Lencastre, H., & Tomasz, A. (2001). Complementation of the Essential Peptidoglycan Transpeptidase Function of Penicillin-Binding Protein 2 (PBP2) by the Drug Resistance Protein PBP2A in *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Journal of Bacteriology*, *183*(22), 6525– 6531. https://doi.org/10.1128/jb.183.22.6525-6531.2001

- Pishdadian, K., Fimlaid, K. A., & Shen, A. (2015). SpoIIID-mediated regulation of σK function during *Clostridium difficile* sporulation. *Molecular Microbiology*, *95*(2), 189–208. https://doi.org/10.1111/mmi.12856
- Pogliano, J., Osborne, N., Sharp, M. D., Abanes-De Mello, A., Perez, A., Sun, Y.-L., & Pogliano, K. (1999). A vital stain for studying membrane dynamics in bacteria: A novel mechanism controlling septation during Bacillus subtilis sporulation. *Molecular Microbiology*, *31*(4), 1149– 1159. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2958.1999.01255.x
- Putnam, E. E., Nock, A. M., Lawley, T. D., & Shen, A. (2013). SpoIVA and SipL Are Clostridium difficile Spore Morphogenetic Proteins. *Journal of Bacteriology*, *195*(6), 1214–1225. 789 https://doi.org/10.1128/jb.02181-12
790 Ransom, E. M., Weiss, D. S., & Ellermeier,
- 790 Ransom, E. M., Weiss, D. S., & Ellermeier, C. D. (2016). Use of mCherryOpt Fluorescent Protein in
791 Clostridium difficile. In A. P. Roberts & P. Mullany (Eds.), Clostridium difficile: Methods an Clostridium difficile. In A. P. Roberts & P. Mullany (Eds.), *Clostridium difficile: Methods and Protocols* (pp. 53–67). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4939-6361-4_5
- Ransom, E. M., Williams, K. B., Weiss, D. S., & Ellermeier, C. D. (2014). Identification and 794 characterization of a gene cluster required for proper rod shape, cell division, and pathogenesis in
795 *Clostridium difficile. Journal of Bacteriology, 196(12), 2290–2300*. *Clostridium difficile. Journal of Bacteriology*, *196*(12), 2290–2300. 796 https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.00038-14
797 Reichmann, N. T., Tavares, A. C., Saraiva, B
- 797 Reichmann, N. T., Tavares, A. C., Saraiva, B. M., Jousselin, A., Reed, P., Pereira, A. R., Monteiro, J. M., 798 Sobral, R. G., VanNieuwenhze, M. S., Fernandes, F., & Pinho, M. G. (2019). SEDS-bPBP pairs 798 Sobral, R. G., VanNieuwenhze, M. S., Fernandes, F., & Pinho, M. G. (2019). SEDS–bPBP pairs
799 direct lateral and septal peptidoglycan synthesis in Staphylococcus aureus. Nature Microbiology. direct lateral and septal peptidoglycan synthesis in *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Nature Microbiology*, *4*(8), Article 8. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41564-019-0437-2
- Rismondo, J., Halbedel, S., & Gründling, A. (2019). Cell Shape and Antibiotic Resistance Are 802 Maintained by the Activity of Multiple FtsW and RodA Enzymes in Listeria monocytogenes.
803 *mBio.* 10(4). e01448-19. https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.01448-19 *mBio*, *10*(4), e01448-19. https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.01448-19
- Robichon, C., King, G. F., Goehring, N. W., & Beckwith, J. (2008). Artificial Septal Targeting of 805 Bacillus subtilis Cell Division Proteins in Escherichia coli: An Interspecies Approach to the
806 Study of Protein-Protein Interactions in Multiprotein Complexes. Journal of Bacteriology. Study of Protein-Protein Interactions in Multiprotein Complexes. *Journal of Bacteriology*, *190*(18), 6048–6059. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.00462-08
- Sacco, M. D., Wang, S., Adapa, S. R., Zhang, X., Gongora, M. V., Gatdula, J. R., Lewandowski, E. M., Hammond, L. R., Townsend, J. A., Marty, M. T., Wang, J., Eswara, P. J., Jiang, R. H. Y., Sun, X., & Chen, Y. (2022). *A unique class of Zn2+-binding PBPs underlies cephalosporin resistance and sporogenesis of Clostridioides difficile* (p. 2022.01.04.474981). bioRxiv. 812 https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.01.04.474981
813 Sassine, J., Xu, M., Sidiq, K. R., Emmins, R., Errin
- Sassine, J., Xu, M., Sidiq, K. R., Emmins, R., Errington, J., & Daniel, R. A. (2017). Functional redundancy of division specific penicillin-binding proteins in *Bacillus subtilis*. *Molecular Microbiology*, *106*(2), 304–318. https://doi.org/10.1111/mmi.13765
- Saujet, L., Pereira, F. C., Serrano, M., Soutourina, O., Monot, M., Shelyakin, P. V., Gelfand, M. S., 817 Dupuy, B., Henriques, A. O., & Martin-Verstraete, I. (2013). Genome-Wide Analysis of Cell
818 Type-Specific Gene Transcription during Spore Formation in Clostridium difficile. PLOS Type-Specific Gene Transcription during Spore Formation in *Clostridium difficile*. *PLOS*
- 819 *Genetics*, 9(10), e1003756. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1003756
820 Scheffers, D.-J., & Errington, J. (2004). PBP1 Is a Component of the *Bacillus sub* Scheffers, D.-J., & Errington, J. (2004). PBP1 Is a Component of the *Bacillus subtilis* Cell Division Machinery. *Journal of Bacteriology*, *186*(15), 5153–5156.
- https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.186.15.5153-5156.2004
- Schindelin, J., Arganda-Carreras, I., Frise, E., Kaynig, V., Longair, M., Pietzsch, T., Preibisch, S., Rueden, C., Saalfeld, S., Schmid, B., Tinevez, J.-Y., White, D. J., Hartenstein, V., Eliceiri, K., Tomancak, P., & Cardona, A. (2012). Fiji: An open-source platform for biological-image analysis. *Nature Methods*, *9*(7), Article 7. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2019
- 827 Sher, J. W., Lim, H. C., & Bernhardt, T. G. (2021). Polar Growth in *Corynebacterium glutamicum* Has a
828 Flexible Cell Wall Synthase Requirement. *mBio*, e0068221. https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.00682- Flexible Cell Wall Synthase Requirement. *mBio*, e0068221. https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.00682- 21

