# A Novel Interaction between MFN2/Marf and MARK4/PAR-1 Is Implicated in Synaptic Defects and Mitochondrial Dysfunction

[Yeongmi Cheon,](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8986-9308)<su[p](#page-1-0)>1,2,3,</sup>\* Sunggyu Yoon,<sup>1,4,</sup>\* ®Jae-Hyuk Lee,<sup>1</sup> Kiyoung Kim,<sup>5</sup> Hyung-Jun Kim,<sup>6</sup> Sung Wook Hong,<sup>7</sup> Ye-Rang Yun,<sup>7</sup> Jiwon Shim,<sup>4</sup> Sung-Hak Kim,<sup>2</sup> Bingwei Lu,<sup>8</sup> Mihye Lee,<sup>9</sup> and Seongsoo Lee<sup>1</sup>

#### <https://doi.org/10.1523/ENEURO.0409-22.2023>

<sup>1</sup>Gwangju Center, Korea Basic Science Institute, Gwangju 61751, Korea, <sup>2</sup>Laboratory of Molecular Biochemistry, Chonnam National University, Gwangju 61186, Korea, <sup>3</sup>Department of Microbiology and Molecular Biology, Chungnam National University, Daejeon 34134, Korea, 4Department of Life Science, College of Natural Science, Hanyang University, Seoul 04763, Korea, <sup>5</sup>Department of Medical Science, Soonchunhyang University, Asan 31538, Korea, <sup>6</sup>Dementia Research Group, Korea Brain Research Institute, Daegu 41068, Korea, 7Kimchi Functionality Research Group, World Institute of Kimchi, Gwangju 61755, Korea, <sup>8</sup>Department of Pathology, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, California 94305, and 9Soonchunhyang Institute of Medi-Bio Science, Soonchunhyang University, Cheonan 31151, Korea

#### Visual Abstract



As cellular energy powerhouses, mitochondria undergo constant fission and fusion to maintain functional homeostasis. The conserved dynamin-like GTPase, Mitofusin2 (MFN2)/mitochondrial assembly regulatory factor

#### Significance Statement

We identified a novel interaction between MFN2/Marf and kinase MARK4/PAR-1 in Drosophila and mammalian cells. The MFN2/Marf and MARK4/PAR-1 interaction was critical for maintaining the synaptic structure of neuromuscular junctions in *Drosophila*. In addition, we found that concomitant knockdown of MARK4/ PAR-1 could rescue the mitochondrial hyperfusion and aberrant respiratory function caused by MFN2/Marf overexpression. Our study provides new insights into the link between mitochondrial defects and neurodegeneration, which makes a significant contribution to the understanding of neurologic pathogenesis and therapeutic development.

(Marf), plays a role in mitochondrial fusion, mutations of which are implicated in age-related human diseases, including several neurodegenerative disorders. However, the regulation of MFN2/Marf-mediated mitochondrial fusion, as well as the pathologic mechanism of neurodegeneration, is not clearly understood. Here, we identified a novel interaction between MFN2/Marf and microtubule affinity-regulating kinase 4 (MARK4)/PAR-1. In the Drosophila larval neuromuscular junction, muscle-specific overexpression of MFN2/Marf decreased the number of synaptic boutons, and the loss of MARK4/PAR-1 alleviated the synaptic defects of MFN2/Marf overexpression. Downregulation of MARK4/PAR-1 rescued the mitochondrial hyperfusion phenotype caused by MFN2/Marf overexpression in the Drosophila muscles as well as in the cultured cells. In addition, knockdown of MARK4/PAR-1 rescued the respiratory dysfunction of mitochondria induced by MFN2/Marf overexpression in mammalian cells. Together, our results indicate that the interaction between MFN2/Marf and MARK4/PAR-1 is fine-tuned to maintain synaptic integrity and mitochondrial homeostasis, and its dysregulation may be implicated in neurologic pathogenesis.

Key words: Drosophila melanogaster; MARK4/PAR-1; MFN2/Marf; mitochondrial dynamics; neurodegenerative disease

#### Introduction

Mitochondria function to generate ATP through respiration and play a critical role in diverse cellular processes, including cellular metabolism, apoptosis, and calcium signaling [\(Sheridan and Martin, 2010](#page-10-0); O'[Rourke, 2016\)](#page-10-1). The functional homeostasis of mitochondria is tightly coupled to their structural plasticity, for which they continuously change their size and interconnectivity ([Mishra et al.,](#page-10-2) [2014](#page-10-2); [Lee et al., 2017\)](#page-10-3). The variability of mitochondrial

Authors report no competing financial interests.

S.L., Y.C., S.Y., M.L., and B.L. designed research; Y.C., S.Y., J.-H.L., J.S., and S.-H.K. performed research; S.L., K.K., H.-J.K., S.-W.H., Y.-R.Y., and B.L. contributed unpublished reagents/analytic tools; S.L., Y.C., S.Y., M.L., and B.L. analyzed data; and S.L., Y.C., S.Y., and M.L. wrote the paper.

This work was supported by National Research Foundation of Korea Grants 2022R1A2C1005493 to S.L., 2019R1I1A3A01059211 to S.-H.K., and 2022R1I1A1A01070921 to Y.C., and Korea Basic Science Institute Grants C380200, C310700 and C320000 to S.L.

We thank Dr. A Whitworth for the anti-Marf antibody, Dr. K Ando for the MARK4 plasmids, the Vienna Drosophila Resource Center and Bloomington Stock Center for fly stocks, and the members of the Lee laboratory for discussions and help.

<span id="page-1-0"></span>\*Y.C. and S.Y. contributed equally to this work.

Correspondence should be addressed to Seongsoo Lee at [soolee@kbsi.re.](mailto:soolee@kbsi.re.kr) [kr](mailto:soolee@kbsi.re.kr); or Bingwei Lu at [bingwei@stanford.edu;](mailto:bingwei@stanford.edu) or Mihye Lee at [mihyelee@](mailto:mihyelee@sch.ac.kr) [sch.ac.kr.](mailto:mihyelee@sch.ac.kr)

<https://doi.org/10.1523/ENEURO.0409-22.2023> Copyright © 2023 Cheon et al.

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [Commons Attribution 4.0 International license,](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium provided that the original work is properly attributed.

morphology, termed mitochondrial dynamics, involves two main mechanisms—fusion and fission. Mitochondria form a network and undergo constant fusion and fission, which is required for adaptation to environmental stimuli and response to cytosolic signals. In addition, fusion and fission serve as quality control mechanisms. Impaired mitochondria are removed through fission and subsequent mitophagy, and mitochondria with normal function maintain quality by undergoing fusion. Fusion of mitochondria is mediated by several dynamin-related GTPases such as Mitofusin 1 (MFN1), Mitofusin 2 (MFN2), and Optic atrophy protein 1 (Opa1; [Tilokani et al., 2018](#page-10-4)). In mouse embryonic fibroblasts, lack of MFN1, MFN2, or Opa1 leads to aberrant mitochondrial morphology because of fragmentation of the network [\(Chen et al., 2003;](#page-10-5) [Bocca et al., 2018\)](#page-10-6). Mitochondrial fission is mediated by Dynamin-related protein 1 (Drp1), which interacts with mitochondrial receptor proteins (outer mitochondria membrane partners). Mitochondria in Drp1-deficient cells display a hyperelongated configuration with a highly connected network. Furthermore, dysregulation of mitochondrial membrane dynamics is linked to human diseases, and mutations in the MFN2, Opa1, and Drp1 genes have been reported to cause several genetic disorders [\(Corrado et al., 2012](#page-10-7)).

Missense mutations of MFN2 cause Charcot-Marie-Tooth disease type 2A with the pathogenic phenotype of peripheral axon degeneration ([Iapadre et al., 2018](#page-10-8)). Loss of MFN2 in mice leads to lethality because of an early embryonic defect, and the conditional inactivation of MFN2 in the mouse hippocampus and cortex leads to the progression of neurodegeneration caused by mitochondrial morphologic changes [\(Chen et al., 2003;](#page-10-5) [Jiang et al.,](#page-10-9)

Received September 30, 2022; accepted June 21, 2023; First published August 7, 2023.

[2018](#page-10-9)). In particular, overexpression of the mutant form of MFN2 in adult mice induces progressive neurodegeneration and significant abnormalities in behavior, learning, and memory, which are similar to those seen in human neurodegenerative diseases [\(Ishikawa et al., 2019](#page-10-10)). Moreover, several studies have provided evidence implicating MFN2 in Alzheimer's disease and amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS).  $\beta$  -Amyloid (A $\beta$ ) protein was decreased in MFN2-impaired cells, indicating that the regulation of MFN2 might be associated with Alzheimer's disease ([Leal et al., 2016](#page-10-11)). In Drosophila, overexpression of mitochondrial assembly regulatory factor (Marf), a Drosophila ortholog of mammalian mitofusins, alleviated neuropathological defects induced by TDP-43 in a *Drosophila* ALS model [\(Khalil et al.,](#page-10-12) [2017\)](#page-10-12). Although it has been controversial whether loss of MFN2 induces or relieves the symptoms of neurodegenerative diseases, it is plausible that MFN2 activity needs to be fine-tuned to maintain proper neurobiological homeostasis. However, the regulation of MFN2/Marf, which underlies the neurodegeneration phenotype, remains largely unsolved [\(Jiang et al., 2018;](#page-10-9) [Ishikawa et al., 2019\)](#page-10-10).

Microtubule affinity-regulating kinase 4 (MARK4) is also a well-known gene linked to neurodegenerative disease. As a conserved serine/threonine kinase, MARK4 phosphorylates microtubule-associated proteins and modulates microtubule dynamics, and its role has been established in cell division control and cell polarity determination based on several studies in mammalian cells and Drosophila tissue ([Naz et al., 2013;](#page-10-13) [Wu and Griffin, 2017\)](#page-11-0). MARK4 has also been reported to be involved in neuropathology. MARK4 overexpression hyperphosphorylates the microtubule-associated protein Tau, which causes its detachment from microtubules and eventually develops neurofibrillary tangles, a pathogenic hallmark of Alzheimer's disease ([Jenkins and Johnson, 2000](#page-10-14); [Trinczek et al., 2004](#page-10-15); [Lee et al., 2012\)](#page-10-16). PAR-1, the Drosophila homolog of MARK4, phosphorylates a scaffold protein, Disk large (Dlg), which recruits diverse synaptic proteins. Overexpression of PAR-1 in muscle leads to hyperphosphorylation and disorganization of Dlg proteins and subsequently affects the degeneration of synaptic boutons in larval muscle [\(Zhang et](#page-11-1) [al., 2007](#page-11-1)). PAR-1 also phosphorylates Tau at the S262 and S356 residues, as its homolog MARK4 does, which is implicated in Alzheimer's disease-related tau toxicity ([Nishimura](#page-10-17) [et al., 2004](#page-10-17); [Ando et al., 2016](#page-10-18)).

Here, we discovered that the postsynaptic overexpression of Marf in muscle dramatically reduces the synaptic bouton number of neuromuscular junctions (NMJs) in Drosophila larvae. The defect in synaptic morphology was rescued by knockdown of PAR-1. We also found a physical association between MFN2/Marf and MARK4/PAR-1. The role of the interaction of MFN2/ Marf and MARK4/PAR-1 in mitochondrial dynamics and function was also verified. MARK4/PAR-1 knockdown alleviates hyperfusion phenotypes of mitochondria and aberrant respiratory capacity induced by MFN2/Marf overexpression. Hence, our results suggest that the interaction between MFN2/Marf and MARK4/PAR-1 has an effect on mitochondrial homeostasis and peripheral neuron maintenance.

### Materials and Methods

#### Drosophila strains

The UAS-PAR-1 and PAR- $1^{W3}$  mutant lines have been described previously [\(Tomancak et al., 2000](#page-10-19); [Lee et al.,](#page-10-16) [2012](#page-10-16)). Mhc-GAL4 was provided by J Troy Littleton. PAR-1 RNAi, Marf RNAi, Opa1 RNAi, Drp1 RNAi, UAS-hMFN1, UAS-hMFN2, and UAS-mito-GFP lines were obtained from the Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center. The following transgenic stocks are available from Korea Drosophila Resource Center: UAS-Marf-FLAG (stock #10145), UAS-Opa1-FLAG (stock #10144), and UAS-Drp1 (stock #10142). Flies were maintained at 25°C on a standard Drosophila medium.

#### Neuromuscular junction staining

To stain the larval neuromuscular junction, both males and females were collected. The larvae were dissected in PBS and fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) in PBS for 20 min. After fixation, the sample was collected in a 0.7 ml microcentrifuge tube in 0.1% Triton X-100 in PBS (PBS-T) and washed three times in 0.1% PBS-T every 5 min. FITC-conjugated anti-HRP (1:150; Jackson ImmunoResearch) was added, and the sample was incubated for 2 h at room temperature. Samples were mounted using the SlowFade Antifade kit (Invitrogen).

Confocal images were collected from a Leica TCS SP5 AOBS (Acousto Optical Bream Splitter) confocal microscope equipped with a  $40\times$  inverted NX oil lens, located at Gwangju Center, Korea Basic Science Institute. To analyze the neuromuscular junction, we used the procedure described previously [\(Lee et al., 2012](#page-10-16)).

#### Cell culture, transfection, and RNA interference

The mammalian cell lines 293T, Neuro-2a (CCL-131, ATCC) and C2C12 (a gift from Tae Hyun Youm, CRL-1772, ATCC; [Youm et al., 2019](#page-11-2)) were maintained in DMEM containing 10% fetal bovine serum and 1% penicillin/streptomycin (Invitrogen). Cells were transfected with either Lipofectamine 3000 (Thermo Fisher Scientific) or Effectene (Qiagen) according to instructions from the manufacturer. Small interfering RNAs (siRNAs) were synthesized by Bioneer and targeted to the following sequences: mouse MARK4, 5'-GGGATCTAAAGGCTGAAAA-3' (#1), 5'-GGTCGCTATTAAGATCATT-3' (#2), and 5'-GGCCAA CATCAAAATCGCC-3' (#3; [Kuhns et al., 2013](#page-10-20)).

#### Immunoblotting and protein binding assay

For immunoprecipitation, the cells were harvested and Iysed in binding buffer (50 mm Tris-CI, pH 8.0, 150 mm NaCl, 5 mm EDTA, 5 mm EGTA, 10% glycerol, 10 mm NaF, and 0.1% NP-40), supplemented with protease (Roche) and phosphatase inhibitor cocktails (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The lysates were incubated with 1  $\mu$ g of the appropriate antibodies and Protein A Sepharose beads (GE Healthcare) for 4 h at 4°C. The following antibodies were used for immunoblot analysis: mouse anti-MFN2 (1:1000; Abcam) and mouse anti-VDAC1 (1:1000; Abcam), rabbit anti-

MARK4 (1:1000; Cell Signaling Technology) and rabbit anti-MYC (1:2000; Cell Signaling Technology), and mouse anti-FLAG (1:2000; Millipore) and mouse anti- $\alpha$ -tubulin (1:5000; Millipore). Anti-Marf antiserum was obtained by immunizing rats with recombinant Marf peptide, DTVDKSGPGSPLSRF ([Ziviani et al., 2010\)](#page-11-3).

#### Expression plasmids

The MFN2-YFP plasmid (catalog #28010) was purchased from Addgene. Full-length and truncated mutants (encoding aa 1–600, 1–350, and 601–757) of human MFN2 (NM\_014874) were subcloned into a pcDNA3.1- 3FLAG mammalian expression vector (Invitrogen) at the BamHI and EcoRI sites. The MYC-tagged full-length PAR-1 construct was described previously ([Lee et al., 2012](#page-10-16)). The human MARK4-MYC construct was provided by Kanae Ando ([Saito et al., 2019](#page-10-21)). To visualize mitochondria in mammalian cells, the following plasmids were used: pDsRed2- Mito construct obtained from Clontech and pLV-mitoGFP construct provided by Pantelis Tsoulfas (plasmid #44385, Addgene; [Kitay et al., 2013](#page-10-22)). The GFP-ER (endoplasmic reticulum) plasmid was a gift from Soojin Lee (Chungnam National University), which involves N-terminal ER signal sequence and C-terminal ER retention signal (KDEL).

#### Drosophila larval muscle and adult wing muscle immunohistochemistry

To stain the mitochondria in larval muscle, both males and females were collected at wandering third instar larvae, dissected in PBS, and fixed in 4% PFA in PBS for 20 min. After fixation, the sample was placed in a 0.7 ml tube in 0.1% PBS-T and washed three times in 0.1% PBS-T every 10 min. Primary antibody staining with mouse anti-ATP5 $\alpha$ (1:1000; Abcam) was performed at 4°C overnight. The samples were washed and then incubated in FITC-conjugated anti-mouse antibody (1:250; Jackson ImmunoResearch) for 3 h at room temperature. Samples were mounted using the SlowFade Antifade kit (Invitrogen).

To stain the mitochondria in adult wing muscle, adult female flies (5 d after eclosion) were selected. The thoraces were dissected in PBS and then collected in a 0.7 ml tube containing ice-cold PBS. Afterward, the samples were fixed in 4% PFA in PBS for 30min. After fixation, the samples were washed three times with 0.1% PBS-T every 10min. Primary antibody staining with anti-ATP5 $\alpha$  (1:1000; Abcam) was performed at 4°C overnight. The sample was incubated with FITC-conjugated anti-mouse secondary antibody (1:250; Jackson ImmunoResearch) at 4°C overnight. Samples were mounted using the SlowFade Antifade kit (Invitrogen).

Confocal images were collected using a Leica TCS SP5 AOBS confocal microscope equipped with a  $63\times$  inverted NX oil lens, located at Gwangju Center, Korea Basic Science Institute.

#### Quantification of mitochondria size in adult wing muscle

Drosophila mitochondrial morphology was quantified using the revised protocol of a previous study [\(Wang](#page-11-4) [et al., 2016](#page-11-4)). Each image of adult wing muscle was processed using ImageJ software ([http://imagej.nih.](http://imagej.nih.gov/ij/) [gov/ij/\)](http://imagej.nih.gov/ij/). The binary image could be obtained by the threshold tool, which ranges from 70 to 75%, and modified manually to separate the mitochondria. Next, we selected each mitochondrion using the wand (tracing) tool and calculated the number of pixels using the measurement tool. Using Microsoft Excel, the pixel value was converted to the actual size value (the pixel area was 0.0039  $\mu$ m<sup>2</sup>).

#### Mitochondrial fractionation in Drosophila muscle tissue

Mitochondrial fractionation of Drosophila muscle tissue was performed using the Mitochondria Isolation Kit for Tissue (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Both males and females at wandering third-instar larvae were used for muscle extraction. After the samples were collected, the muscles were homogenized in 200 $\mu$ l bovine serum albumin-containing reagent A per sample. Then, the 3  $\mu$  reagent B solution was added per sample and vortexed for 5 s. The tube was incubated on ice for 5 min and vortexed at maximum speed every minute. Reagent C, 200  $\mu$ l, was added per sample, and the tube was gently inverted several times. The tube was centrifuged at 700  $\times$  g for 10 min at 4°C, and the supernatant was transferred to a new tube. Subsequently, the supernatant was centrifuged at 12000  $\times$  g for 15 min at 4°C, and the supernatant was removed. The supernatant was used as a cytosolic fraction sample. The pellet was resuspended in washing buffer, 500  $\mu$ l per sample, and centrifuged at 12000  $\times$  g for 5 min at 4°C. The supernatant was removed, and the pellet was collected as the mitochondrial fraction. Protein samples were denatured using  $2 \times$  Laemmli Sample Buffer (Bio-Rad).

### Mitochondrial fractionation from mammalian cells

Preparation of a crude mitochondrial fraction from Neuro-2a cells was performed using a previously published method ([Wieckowski et al., 2009](#page-11-5)). Briefly, the cells were harvested and carefully homogenized by 50–60 strokes with a glass pestle (Corning) on ice. All centrifugations were conducted at 4°C. Nuclei and unbroken cells were pelleted at 600  $\times$  g for 10 min, followed by centrifugation of the supernatant at 7000  $\times$  g for 15 min to isolate crude mitochondria from the cytosolic fraction. To remove the remaining contaminants (e.g., microsomes and plasma membranes), the collected mitochondrial pellet was resuspended and centrifuged at 10000  $\times$  g for 10 min. For subfractionation, the cytosolic fraction was centrifuged at 20000  $\times$  g for 30 min to obtain the pellet (plasma membrane). Further centrifugation of the collected supernatant at 100000  $\times$  g for 1 h resulted in the isolation of cytoplasm and ER (pellet). The collected fractions were used for immunoblot analysis.

#### In situ proximity ligation assay and immunofluorescence microscopy

The proximity ligation assay (PLA) was performed on fixed Neuro-2a or C2C12 cells with Duolink PLA technology

reagents (Sigma-Aldrich) following instructions from the manufacturer ([Cheon et al., 2021\)](#page-10-23). Briefly, cells cultured on 35 mm glass bottom dishes (SPL Life Sciences) were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS for 15 min and permeabilized with 0.1% Triton X-100 in PBS for 10 min. After blocking for 1 h at 37°C, the cells were incubated with rabbit anti-MARK4 (Abcam) and mouse anti-MFN2 (Abcam) antibodies for 2 h at room temperature, treated with a pair of fluorescently labeled oligonucleotide probes for 1 h, and incubated with ligase solution for 30 min at 37°C. Next, the ligated oligonucleotide was amplified for 90 min at 37°C and mounted with a mounting solution containing DAPI.

For the colocalization analysis of GFP-positive subcellular organelles and PLA dots, the green and red fluorescence signals were captured simultaneously by using the 488 and 594 nm laser lines, respectively, under a confocal laser scanning microscope with  $63\times/1.4$  oil objective (Zeiss LSM 880 with Airyscan, Zeiss). Next, the gray values were analyzed by the plot profile function in ImageJ software.

#### Extracellular flux analysis

A Seahorse XFe96 Extracellular Flux Analyzer (Agilent) was used to measure the cellular oxygen consumption rate (OCR). Briefly, Neuro-2a cells transfected with MFN2-FLAG and/or MARK4 siRNAs were plated onto a 96-well Seahorse microplate at 2  $\times$  10<sup>4</sup> cells/well and incubated at 37 $^{\circ}$ C in a 5% CO<sub>2</sub> incubator for 18 h. On the day of analysis, cells were washed twice and replaced with unbuffered DMEM medium containing the following  $(in \, \text{mm})$ : 25 glucose, 1 sodium pyruvate, and 2 glutamine, followed by incubation at  $37^{\circ}$ C in a non-CO<sub>2</sub> incubator for 45 min. After measuring OCR baseline, the cells were treated with a sequential injection of mitochondrial inhibitors containing the following (in mm): 1.5 oligomycin, 0.5 FCCP, and 0.5 rotenone/antimycin A. Total protein concentration was quantified by BCA Assay (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and normalized for each well.

#### Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism software, version 8. Comparisons of different groups in the mean values of multiple datasets were performed using one-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparison test. All values are presented as the mean  $\pm$  SD;  $p$   $<$  0.05,  $\star$  $p$   $<$ 0.01 and \*\*\*  $p < 0.001$ .

### **Results**

#### Marf genetically interacts with PAR-1

To investigate the neurodegenerative effect of the proteins involved in Drosophila mitochondrial dynamics, we observed synaptic morphology at the larval NMJ after tissue-specific knockdown or overexpression of a target gene [\(Fig. 1](#page-5-0)A). We examined the NMJ synaptic bouton number in larval muscle 6/7 of the A3 segment ([Lee et al.,](#page-10-16) [2012](#page-10-16)) and found that postsynaptic overexpression of Marf induced a 28% reduction in the synaptic bouton number compared with the control (Fig.  $1A,B,H,M$ ). In addition,

the ectopic expression of the human orthologues Mitofusin 1 and 2 (hMFN1, hMFN2) at postsynaptic compartment caused 30 and 22% loss of boutons, respectively [\(Fig. 1](#page-5-0)A, [B](#page-5-0)). In contrast, the presynaptic expression of Marf did not affect the NMJ structure (Extended Data [Fig. 1-1\)](https://doi.org/10.1523/ENEURO.0409-22.2023.f1-1). In the postsynaptic compartment, the kinase PAR-1 plays a critical role in the regulation of synaptic structure [\(Zhang et al.,](#page-11-1) [2007](#page-11-1); [Lee et al., 2012](#page-10-16)). To test whether Marf is functionally associated with PAR-1, we analyzed the effect of epistasis of Marf and PAR-1 expressions on NMJ morphology. Similar to a previous study, postsynaptic overexpression of PAR-1 resulted in a reduction in bouton number (28%) compared with the control [\(Fig. 1](#page-5-0)D,M; [Zhang et al., 2007\)](#page-11-1). When Marf and PAR-1 were overexpressed simultaneously, loss of synaptic boutons was significantly enhanced, showing a 45% decrease compared with the control ([Fig. 1](#page-5-0)I,M). Interestingly, PAR-1 knockdown in combination with PAR-1 RNAi and  $PAR-1$  mutant, par- $1^{w3}$ , largely reduced Marf-induced synaptic abnormalities [\(Fig. 1](#page-5-0)J,M). Note that the expression of either PAR-1 RNAi or  $par-1<sup>w3</sup>$  heterozygote alone was not sufficient ([Fig. 1](#page-5-0)K–M). Collectively, we found that overexpression of Marf results in synaptic outgrowth, and knockdown of PAR-1 alleviated the synaptic defect caused by postsynaptic expression of Marf. These results suggest that Marf and PAR-1 genetically interact at the postsynaptic compartment to maintain the synaptic structure of NMJs.

#### MFN2/marf physically interacts with MARK4/PAR-1

Next, we investigated possible physical association between MFN2/Marf and MARK4/PAR-1. To test physical association of these proteins, we conducted coimmunoprecipitation (co-IP) experiments with anti-MARK4 or anti-MFN2 antibodies using the mammalian cell line Neuro-2a. MFN2 was detected in the MARK4-enriched precipitate, and MARK4 was also coisolated with MFN2 ([Fig. 2](#page-6-0)A).

To determine which domain of MFN2 is indispensable for MARK4 binding, we performed co-IP of 293T cell lysates expressing MYC-tagged MARK4 and FLAG-tagged MFN2 truncated constructs including full length (amino acid 1–757, N (amino acid 1–600), G (amino acid 1–350), and  $\Delta N$  (amino acid 601–757; [Fig. 2](#page-6-0)B). Like the full-length MFN2, the N and G but not  $\Delta N$  constructs exhibited high affinity for MARK4-MYC [\(Fig. 2](#page-6-0)B). These data supported that the N-terminal region of MFN2 plays a critical role in the physical association between MFN2 and MARK4.

To further verify the interaction of MARK4 and MFN2 in vivo, we performed in situ PLA with anti-MARK4 and anti-MFN2 antibodies using Neuro-2a cells [\(Fig. 2](#page-6-0)C). Although no signals were observed in cells incubated with a single antibody, clear PLA signals were detected when both primary antibodies were present, indicating the close proximity of MARK4 and MFN2. These results provide strong support to explain the physical interaction of MARK4 and MFN2.

#### MARK4/PAR-1 regulates mitochondrial fusion induced by MFN2/marf

Considering the fact that MFN2/Marf mainly functions to fuse mitochondria, we tested whether MARK4/PAR-1



<span id="page-5-0"></span>Figure 1. Genetic interaction between Marf and PAR-1 in Drosophila larval NMJ. A, Representative NMJ images of larval muscle 6/ 7 in segment A3 immunostained with an anti-HRP antibody. The genotypes are as follows: Mhc-GAL4/+ as control, Mhc-GAL4>UAS-Marf-FLAG, Mhc-GAL4>UAS-Marf RNAi, Mhc-GAL4>UAS-Opa-1-FLAG, Mhc-GAL4>UAS-Opa-1 RNAi, Mhc-GAL4>UAS-Drp-1-HA, Mhc-GAL4>UAS-Drp-1 RNAi, Mhc-GAL4>UAS-hMFN1, and Mhc-GAL4>UAS-hMFN2. Scale bar, 50 µm. B, Quantification of data indicates that Marf, hMFN1, and hMFN2 overexpression reduced the total number of boutons. C-L, Representative NMJ images of larval muscle 6/7 in segment A3 immunostained with an anti-HRP antibody. The genotypes are Mhc-GAL4/+ as control (C), Mhc-GAL4>UAS-PAR-1-WT (D), par-1<sup>w3</sup>+Mhc-GAL4>UAS-PAR-1-RNAi (E), Mhc-GAL4>UAS-PAR-1 RNAi (F), par-1<sup>w3</sup>+Mhc-GAL4/+ (G), Mhc-GAL4>UAS-Marf-FLAG (H), Mhc-GAL4>UAS-Marf-FLAG+UAS-PAR-1-WT (I), par-1<sup>w3</sup>+Mhc-GAL4>UAS-Marf-FLAG+UAS-PAR-1 RNAi (J), Mhc-GAL4>UAS-Marf-FLAG+UAS-PAR-1 RNAi (K), and par-1<sup>w3</sup>+Mhc-GAL4>UAS-Marf-FLAG (L). Scale bar, 50  $\mu$ m. M, Quantification of data shown in C–L. The NMJ area was selected to quantify the number of boutons. N indicates the number of NMJs analyzed in each group. The data are shown as mean  $\pm$  SD. Statistical significance was determined by a one-way ANOVA test; \*\*\* $p < 0.001$ . The data that presynaptic manipulation of Marf didn't change the NMJ bouton number are shown in Extended Data [Figure 1-1.](https://doi.org/10.1523/ENEURO.0409-22.2023.f1-1)

might be involved in the regulation of mitochondrial morphology based on its interaction with MFN2/Marf. To observe the morphology of mitochondria, we immunostained the larval A3 muscle or adult wing muscle with an anti- $ATP5\alpha$  antibody to label the mitochondrial complex [\(Chen](#page-10-24) [et al., 2015\)](#page-10-24). Muscle-specific Marf overexpression induced hyperfusion of mitochondria in larval muscle compared with the control [\(Fig. 3](#page-7-0)A,B). Furthermore, the mitochondria in adult wing muscle showed hyperelongated morphology with an approximately threefold increase in size compared with the control (Fig.  $3E, F, I$ ). Although the knockdown of PAR-1 alone had no significant effect on mitochondrial morphology [\(Fig. 3](#page-7-0)C,G; Extended Data [Fig. 3-1](https://doi.org/10.1523/ENEURO.0409-22.2023.f3-1)), downregulation of PAR-1 surprisingly rescued the mitochondrial hyperfusion caused by Marf overexpression in larval and adult muscle [\(Fig. 3](#page-7-0)D, H, I). To further validate the role of MARK4 in MFN2dependent mitochondrial shape change, we observed mitochondrial morphology in Neuro-2a cells transfected with



<span id="page-6-0"></span>Figure 2. MFN2/Marf physically interacts with MARK4/PAR-1. A, Interaction between endogenous MARK4 and MFN2. Neuro-2a cell lysates were subjected to IP with indicated antibodies. Asterisks indicate IgG bands. B, Determination of MFN2 domain required for MARK4 binding. Various truncated mutants of FLAG-tagged MFN2 were constructed, and IP was performed after indicated constructs were transfected into 293T cells. An arrowhead marks MARK4-MYC. Asterisk indicates IgG band. GTPase, amino acid 103–307; Heptad repeat coiled-coil region 1 (HR1), amino acid 391–434; transmembrane domain (TM), amino acid 605–647; and HR2, amino acid 695–738. C, Protein–protein interaction by in situ PLA. In situ PLA was performed on fixed Neuro-2a cells with Duolink PLA technology. After incubation with rabbit anti-MARK4 and/or mouse anti-MFN2 antibodies for 2 h, the cells were treated with PLA probes for 1 h and mounted with a mounting solution containing DAPI. Scale bar,  $10 \mu m$ .

either DsRed2-Mito or MFN2-YFP along with MARK4 siRNA. In the control cells, normal tubular mitochondria marked by DsRed2-Mito were distributed evenly throughout the cytoplasm  $(>97\%$  cells; [Fig. 3](#page-7-0)J,N), and a similar

mitochondrial phenotype was detected in MARK4 siRNA-incubated cells [\(Fig. 3](#page-7-0)K, N). However, when overexpressed, MFN2 induced the formation of perinuclear mitochondrial clusters in  $\sim$ 90% of the cells ([Fig. 3](#page-7-0)L,N;



<span id="page-7-0"></span>Figure 3. A–H', MARK4/PAR-1 knockdown rescues the mitochondria hyperfusion caused by MFN2/Marf overexpression. The mitochondria in the larval muscle 6/7 of the segment A3 (A–D) and adult wing muscle (E–H) were immunostained with anti-ATP5 $\alpha$  antibody. Each image is magnified on the right  $(A'-H')$ . Scale bar, 5  $\mu$ m. *I*, Quantification of mitochondrial size in the adult wing muscle. The number of mitochondria analyzed in each genotype are as follows: Mhc-GAL4/+ ( $n = 291$ ), Mhc-GAL4>UAS-Marf-FLAG ( $n =$ 57), par-1<sup>w3</sup>+Mhc-GAL4>UAS-PAR-1-RNAi ( $n = 352$ ), and par-1<sup>w3</sup>+Mhc-GAL4>UAS-Marf-FLAG+UAS-PAR-1-RNAi ( $n = 238$ ). The data are shown as mean  $\pm$  SD. Statistical significance was determined by a one-way ANOVA test; \*\*\*p < 0.001; n.s. indicates not significant. J–M, Representative images of mitochondria in Neuro-2a cells expressing either DsRed2-Mito or MFN2-YFP along with MARK4 siRNA (100 nM). Mito-DsRed2 (red) was used to label mitochondria. Scale bar, 10  $\mu$ m. N, Quantification of the transfected cells with indicated mitochondrial morphology shown in J–M. In each condition,  $\sim$ 700 cells were scored, and mean  $\pm$  SD was presented. White box, distributed mitochondria as a normal phenotype; black box, asymmetrical perinuclear clustering of mitochondria. The data supporting the overexpression of PAR-1 in larvae and adult muscle-induced hyperfused mitochondria is provided in Extended Data [Figure 3-1](https://doi.org/10.1523/ENEURO.0409-22.2023.f3-1), A–G. The data providing MFN2 colocalize with mitochondria in Neuro-2a cell is shown in Extended Data [Figure 3-1](https://doi.org/10.1523/ENEURO.0409-22.2023.f3-1)H.

Extended Data [Fig. 3-1](https://doi.org/10.1523/ENEURO.0409-22.2023.f3-1)H); although not drastic, MARK4 depletion with siRNA partially suppressed the abnormal mitochondrial morphology caused by MFN2 overexpression in  $\sim$ 30% of cells ([Fig. 3](#page-7-0)M,N). These results suggest that MARK4/PAR-1 participates in mitochondrial dynamics through the regulation of MFN2/Marf.

#### MARK4/PAR-1 is localized to the mitochondria and regulates mitochondrial function

Next, we addressed whether MARK4/PAR-1 is localized in the mitochondria with MFN2/Marf. We performed a mitochondrial fractionation assay using larval muscle extract, in which the cytosolic and mitochondrial fractions were confirmed by the marker proteins tubulin and  $ATP5\alpha$ , respectively. Endogenous PAR-1 was detected in the mitochondrial fraction with Marf, and overexpressed PAR-1-MYC was also present in the mitochondrial fraction of the larval muscle extract [\(Fig. 4](#page-9-0)A). In addition, MARK4, a conserved homolog of PAR-1, was also isolated in the mitochondrial fraction of Neuro-2a cells together with MFN2, the purity of which was confirmed by the presence of the mitochondrial marker VDAC1 [\(Fig.](#page-9-0) 4[B](#page-9-0)). However, in the ER fraction, MARK4 was also enriched as previously reported, and MFN2 was found as well consistently with its role in tethering ER and mitochondria. To define the subcellular site of MFN2 and MARK4 physical interaction, we checked whether the MARK4-MFN2 complex is associated with the mitochondria or ER using GFP-tagged organelle markers and PLA signals of MARK4-MFN2 interaction. As Neuro-2a cells, because of their small size, are not appropriate for subcellular analysis, we used C2C12 cells with the larger size to demonstrate intracellular localization more clearly. The PLA signals of MARK4-MFN2 interaction overlapped with the GFP-mitochondria signals [\(Fig. 4](#page-9-0)C) but not with the GFP-ER signals [\(Fig. 4](#page-9-0)D), suggesting that MARK4 interacts with MFN2 mainly on the mitochondria.

Previous research has revealed that MFN2 is critical for the mitochondrial respiratory function ([Tur et al., 2020\)](#page-11-6). Thus, we further analyzed whether MFN2 and MARK4 interaction affects the mitochondrial respiration as well as morphologic defects. We measured the OCR in Neuro-2a cells transfected with MFN2-FLAG or MARK4 siRNA [\(Fig.](#page-9-0) 4[E](#page-9-0)). MFN2 overexpression reduced overall OCR values and significantly disrupted the basal, ATP-coupled, maximal, and spare respiratory capacity of the cells compared with the control (Fig.  $4E, F$ , blue). MARK4 knockdown resulted in an increase in mitochondrial respiratory activity compared with the control [\(Fig. 4](#page-9-0)E,F, green). Interestingly, MARK4 knockdown largely rescued the respiratory abnormalities induced by MFN2 ([Fig. 4](#page-9-0)E,F, red), but it did not alter protein levels of MFN2-FLAG [\(Fig. 4](#page-9-0)G). In conclusion, these data indicate that MARK4/PAR-1 cooperates with MFN2/Marf to regulate the respiratory function of mitochondria.

#### **Discussion**

Mitochondrial fusion is crucial for energy production and the maintenance of functional homeostasis, which is governed by the dynamin-like GTPases, Mitofusins. Although dysregulation of mitochondrial fusion proteins has been observed in several human neurodegenerative diseases, the underlying mechanisms have not been fully defined. In this study, we attempted to understand how MFN2/Marf-mediated mitochondrial fusion is regulated and how it is linked to neurodegeneration in Drosophila and mammalian cell culture. In Drosophila, the Marf mutant was reported to have defects in synaptic morphology and transmission by [Sandoval et al. \(2014\)](#page-10-25), which are regulated nonautonomously by steroid hormones. In their study, knockdown of Marf in motor neurons and muscles does not alter bouton number or size at NMJs. Our analysis also found normal NMJ morphology in presynaptic or postsynaptic knockdown of Marf. Interestingly, we discovered that muscle-specific overexpression of Marf causes the reduction of synaptic boutons, and these defects are synergistically enhanced when combined with PAR-1 overexpression. PAR-1 is known as mainly localized to the postsynaptic region. The postsynaptic overexpression of PAR-1 affects the synaptic bouton number, whereas the presynaptic overexpression does not alter the synaptic structure [\(Kang et al., 2020](#page-10-26)). Consistent with the role of PAR-1 in the postsynaptic region, the effect of Marf overexpression mediated by the interaction with PAR-1 was specifically observed in the postsynaptic region. Moreover, the synaptic defects induced by Marf overexpression were significantly restored by PAR-1 downregulation. Given the intrinsic activity of Marf in mitochondrial fusion, our data suggest that the interaction of Marf and PAR-1 links the mitochondrial abnormality to neurodegeneration of the synaptic structure.

Neuroblast-specific PAR-1 overexpression in Drosophila has been reported to cause mitochondrial enlargement [\(Lee](#page-10-27) [et al., 2018\)](#page-10-27). We also observed enlarged mitochondria in the larval muscle and adult wing muscle of PAR-1-overexpressing flies (Extended Data [Fig. 3-1\)](https://doi.org/10.1523/ENEURO.0409-22.2023.f3-1), similar to Marf overexpression. Intriguingly, the downregulation of PAR-1 fully restored the hyperfused mitochondrial phenotype induced by Marf overexpression; however, there were no significant changes in mitochondrial morphology when PAR-1 was downregulated alone. These data suggest that PAR-1 modulates Marf-mediated mitochondrial fusion, although the mechanism by which PAR-1 plays a critical role in mitochondrial dynamics remains unknown.

Mitochondrial dynamics are critical for oxidative phosphorylation (OXPHOS) activity ([Mishra and Chan, 2016\)](#page-10-28). In this study, we confirmed that MFN2 overexpression causes a reduction in OXPHOS activity in Neuro-2a cells, which has been validated previously ([Yu et al., 2019](#page-11-7)). We also found that the knockdown of MARK4 significantly restored MFN2 induced mitochondrial respiration. Because mitochondria produce ATP through OXPHOS, which is essential for maintaining a healthy neuronal milieu, defects in mitochondrial respiration have frequently been found in many cases of neurodegeneration [\(Area-Gomez et al., 2019\)](#page-10-29). Therefore, our data suggest that the interaction between MFN2/Marf and MARK4/PAR-1 is implicated in mitochondrial fusion and respiratory activity, contributing to neurodegeneration.

MFN2 localizes not only at the outer mitochondrial membrane but also at the ER and facilitates ER-mitochondria



<span id="page-9-0"></span>tion with Drosophila larval muscles (A) or mammalian cells (B). The larval muscle extracts were fractionated to the cytosolic (Cyto) and mitochondrial (Mito) fractions (A). The total extracts (Total) were used as control. Neuro-2a cells were homogenized and fractionated according to the sequential steps  $(B)$ . Collected fractions were analyzed by immunoblotting. PAR-1, Marf, ATP5 $\alpha$ , MARK4, MFN2, VDAC1, IP3R3, PCNA, and  $\alpha$ -Tub were used to validate the subcellular fractions. PM, plasma membrane fraction. C-D, Colocalization analysis of red-colored PLA dots and GFP-positive subcellular organelles using mitochondria-targeted mitoGFP (C) and ER-targeted GFP (GFP-ER; D) in C2C12 cells. Along the dotted yellow arrow within the magnified image (bottom), the gray values of green and red signals were analyzed and plotted using ImageJ software. Scale bar, 10  $\mu$ m.  $E$ , Neuro-2a cells were transfected with MFN2-FLAG and/or MARK4 siRNA (100 nm) as indicated, and then OCRs were measured using a XFe96 extracellular flux analyzer during sequential injections with Oligomycin (1), FCCP (2), and rotenone/antimycin A (3). Error bars indicate SEM. F, Basal respiratory, ATP production, maximal respiratory, and spare respiratory capacity as shown in  $E$  were statistically analyzed. Error bars indicate SE. Statistical significance was determined by a one-way ANOVA test; \* $p < 0.05$ , \*\* $p < 0.01$ ; n.s. indicates not significant. G, At 48 h after transfection of MFN2-FLAG and/or MARK4 siRNA (100 nm), Neuro-2a cells were harvested and analyzed by immunoblotting with indicated antibodies to check the protein levels.

tethering via homotypic (MFN2-MFN2) or heterotypic (MFN1-MFN2) interactions ([de Brito and Scorrano, 2008](#page-10-30)). In our study, MARK4 was detected in the ER-enriched fraction of Neuro-2a cells as well as in the mitochondrial fraction, raising the possibility of MARK4 localization at the mitochondria-associated ER membrane (MAM). Because cellular calcium buffering is a primary role of the MAM, which is critical for neuronal survival and function, it is tempting to speculate that the interaction of MARK4/PAR-1 and MFN2/ Marf in the MAM affects calcium homeostasis, the dysregulation of which contributes to neurodegeneration. This hypothesis should be addressed in the future; however, it has been supported by a previous report that calcium homeostasis is relevant to MARK4/PAR-1-induced neurodegeneration [\(Lee et al., 2018](#page-10-27)). Although the molecular mechanism underlying the intimate relationship between MARK4/PAR-1 and MFN2/Marf needs to be clarified in the future, our study provides new insights into the link between mitochondrial defects and neurodegeneration, which may offer novel targets for future therapeutic development.

### References

- <span id="page-10-18"></span>Ando K, Maruko-Otake A, Ohtake Y, Hayashishita M, Sekiya M, Iijima KM (2016) Stabilization of microtubule-unbound tau via tau phosphorylation at Ser262/356 by Par-1/MARK contributes to augmentation of AD-related phosphorylation and  $A\beta$ 42-induced tau toxicity. PLoS Genet 12:e1005917.
- <span id="page-10-29"></span>Area-Gomez E, Guardia-Laguarta C, Schon EA, Przedborski S (2019) Mitochondria, OxPhos, and neurodegeneration: cells are not just running out of gas. J Clin Invest 129:34–45.
- <span id="page-10-6"></span>Bocca C, Kane MS, Veyrat-Durebex C, Chupin S, Alban J, Kouassi Nzoughet J, Le Mao M, Chao de la Barca JM, Amati-Bonneau P, Bonneau D, Procaccio V, Lenaers G, Simard G, Chevrollier A, Reynier P (2018) The metabolomic bioenergetic signature of opa1 disrupted mouse embryonic fibroblasts highlights aspartate deficiency. Sci Rep 8:11528.
- <span id="page-10-24"></span>Chen CL, Hu Y, Udeshi ND, Lau TY, Wirtz-Peitz F, He L, Ting AY, Carr SA, Perrimon N (2015) Proteomic mapping in live Drosophila tissues using an engineered ascorbate peroxidase. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 112:12093–12098.
- <span id="page-10-5"></span>Chen H, Detmer SA, Ewald AJ, Griffin EE, Fraser SE, Chan DC (2003) Mitofusins Mfn1 and Mfn2 coordinately regulate mitochondrial fusion and are essential for embryonic development. J Cell Biol 160:189–200.
- <span id="page-10-23"></span>Cheon Y, Yoo A, Seo H, Yun SY, Lee H, Lim H, Kim Y, Che L, Lee S (2021) Na/K-ATPase beta1-subunit associates with neuronal growth regulator 1 (NEGR1) to participate in intercellular interactions. BMB Rep 54:164–169.
- <span id="page-10-7"></span>Corrado M, Scorrano L, Campello S (2012) Mitochondrial dynamics in cancer and neurodegenerative and neuroinflammatory diseases. Int J Cell Biol 2012:729290.
- <span id="page-10-30"></span>de Brito OM, Scorrano L (2008) Mitofusin 2 tethers endoplasmic reticulum to mitochondria. Nature 456:605–610.
- <span id="page-10-8"></span>Iapadre G, Morana G, Vari MS, Pinto F, Lanteri P, Tessa A, Santorelli FM, Striano P, Verrotti A (2018) A novel homozygous MFN2 mutation associated with severe and atypical CMT2 phenotype. Eur J Paediatr Neurol 22:563–567.
- <span id="page-10-10"></span>Ishikawa K, Yamamoto S, Hattori S, Nishimura N, Tani H, Mito T, Matsumoto H, Miyakawa T, Nakada K (2019) Acquired expression of mutant mitofusin 2 causes progressive neurodegeneration and abnormal behavior. J Neurosci 39:1588–1604.
- <span id="page-10-14"></span>Jenkins SM, Johnson GV (2000) Microtubule/MAP-affinity regulating kinase (MARK) is activated by phenylarsine oxide in situ and phosphorylates tau within its microtubule-binding domain. J Neurochem 74:1463–1468.
- <span id="page-10-9"></span>Jiang S, Nandy P, Wang W, Ma X, Hsia J, Wang C, Wang Z, Niu M, Siedlak SL, Torres S, Fujioka H, Xu Y, Lee HG, Penrry G, Liu J, Zhu X (2018) Mfn2 ablation causes an oxidative stress response and eventual neuronal death in the hippocampus and cortex. Mol Neurodegener 13:5.
- <span id="page-10-26"></span>Kang HY, Kim HJ, Kim K, Oh SI, Yoon S, Kim J, Park S, Cheon Y, Her S, Lee M, Lu B, Lee S (2020) Actin-microtubule crosslinker Pod-1 tunes PAR-1 signaling to control synaptic development and taumediated synaptic toxicity. Neurobiol Aging 90:93–98.
- <span id="page-10-12"></span>Khalil B, Cabirol-Pol MJ, Miguel L, Whitworth AJ, Lecourtois M, Liévens JC (2017) Enhancing Mitofusin/Marf ameliorates neuromuscular dysfunction in Drosophila models of TDP-43 proteinopathies. Neurobiol Aging 54:71–83.
- <span id="page-10-22"></span>Kitay BM, McCormack R, Wang Y, Tsoulfas P, Zhai RG (2013) Mislocalization of neuronal mitochondria reveals regulation of Wallerian degeneration and NMNAT/WLD(S)-mediated axon protection independent of axonal mitochondria. Hum Mol Genet 22:1601–1614.
- <span id="page-10-20"></span>Kuhns S, Schmidt KN, Reymann J, Gilbert DF, Neuner A, Hub B, Carvalho R, Wiedemann P, Zentgraf H, Erfle H, Klingmüller U, Boutros M, Pereira G (2013) The microtubule affinity regulating kinase MARK4 promotes axoneme extension during early ciliogenesis. J Cell Biol 200:505–522.
- <span id="page-10-11"></span>Leal NS, Schreiner B, Pinho CM, Filadi R, Wiehager B, Karlström H, Pizzo P, Ankarcrona M (2016) Mitofusin-2 knockdown increases ER-mitochondria contact and decreases amyloid  $\beta$ -peptide production. J Cell Mol Med 20:1686–1695.
- <span id="page-10-3"></span>Lee H, Smith SB, Yoon Y (2017) The short variant of the mitochondrial dynamin OPA1 maintains mitochondrial energetics and cristae structure. J Biol Chem 292:7115–7130.
- <span id="page-10-27"></span>Lee KS, Huh S, Lee S, Wu Z, Kim AK, Kang HY, Lu B (2018) Altered ER-mitochondria contact impacts mitochondria calcium homeostasis and contributes to neurodegeneration in vivo in disease models. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 115:E8844–e8853.
- <span id="page-10-16"></span>Lee S, Wang JW, Yu W, Lu B (2012) Phospho-dependent ubiquitination and degradation of PAR-1 regulates synaptic morphology and tau-mediated A $\beta$  toxicity in Drosophila. Nat Commun 3:1312.
- <span id="page-10-28"></span>Mishra P, Chan DC (2016) Metabolic regulation of mitochondrial dynamics. J Cell Biol 212:379–387.
- <span id="page-10-2"></span>Mishra P, Carelli V, Manfredi G, Chan DC (2014) Proteolytic cleavage of Opa1 stimulates mitochondrial inner membrane fusion and couples fusion to oxidative phosphorylation. Cell Metab 19:630–641.
- <span id="page-10-13"></span>Naz F, Anjum F, Islam A, Ahmad F, Hassan MI (2013) Microtubule affinity-regulating kinase 4: structure, function, and regulation. Cell Biochem Biophys 67:485–499.
- <span id="page-10-17"></span>Nishimura I, Yang Y, Lu B (2004) PAR-1 kinase plays an initiator role in a temporally ordered phosphorylation process that confers tau toxicity in Drosophila. Cell 116:671-682.
- <span id="page-10-1"></span>O'Rourke B (2016) Metabolism: beyond the power of mitochondria. Nat Rev Cardiol 13:386–388.
- <span id="page-10-21"></span>Saito T, Oba T, Shimizu S, Asada A, Iijima KM, Ando K (2019) Cdk5 increases MARK4 activity and augments pathological tau accumulation and toxicity through tau phosphorylation at Ser262. Hum Mol Genet 28:3062–3071.
- <span id="page-10-25"></span>Sandoval H, Yao CK, Chen K, Jaiswal M, Donti T, Lin YQ, Bayat V, Xiong B, Zhang K, David G, Charng WL, Yamamoto S, Duraine L, Graham BH, Bellen HJ (2014) Mitochondrial fusion but not fission regulates larval growth and synaptic development through steroid hormone production. Elife 3:e03558.
- <span id="page-10-0"></span>Sheridan C, Martin SJ (2010) Mitochondrial fission/fusion dynamics and apoptosis. Mitochondrion 10:640–648.
- <span id="page-10-4"></span>Tilokani L, Nagashima S, Paupe V, Prudent J (2018) Mitochondrial dynamics: overview of molecular mechanisms. Essays Biochem 62:341–360.
- <span id="page-10-19"></span>Tomancak P, Piano F, Riechmann V, Gunsalus KC, Kemphues KJ, Ephrussi A (2000) A Drosophila melanogaster homologue of Caenorhabditis elegans par-1 acts at an early step in embryonicaxis formation. Nat Cell Biol 2:458–460.
- <span id="page-10-15"></span>Trinczek B, Brajenovic M, Ebneth A, Drewes G (2004) MARK4 is a novel microtubule-associated proteins/microtubule affinity-regulating kinase

that binds to the cellular microtubule network and to centrosomes. J Biol Chem 279:5915–5923.

- <span id="page-11-6"></span>Tur J, Pereira-Lopes S, Vico T, Marín EA, Muñoz JP, Hernández-Alvarez M, Cardona PJ, Zorzano A, Lloberas J, Celada A (2020) Mitofusin 2 in macrophages links mitochondrial ROS production, cytokine release, phagocytosis, autophagy, and bactericidal activity. Cell Rep 32:108079.
- <span id="page-11-4"></span>Wang ZH, Clark C, Geisbrecht ER (2016) Analysis of mitochondrial structure and function in the Drosophila larval musculature. Mitochondrion 26:33–42.
- <span id="page-11-5"></span>Wieckowski MR, Giorgi C, Lebiedzinska M, Duszynski J, Pinton P (2009) Isolation of mitochondria-associated membranes and mitochondria from animal tissues and cells. Nat Protoc 4:1582–1590.
- <span id="page-11-0"></span>Wu Y, Griffin EE (2017) Regulation of cell polarity by PAR-1/MARK Kinase. Curr Top Dev Biol 123:365–397.
- <span id="page-11-2"></span>Youm TH, Woo S-H, Kwon E-S, Park SS (2019) NADPH oxidase 4 contributes to myoblast fusion and skeletal muscle regeneration. Oxid Med Cell Longev 2019:3585390.
- <span id="page-11-7"></span>Yu M, Nguyen ND, Huang Y, Lin D, Fujimoto TN, Molkentine JM, Deorukhkar A, Kang Y, San Lucas FA, Fernandes CJ, Koay EJ, Gupta S, Ying H, Koong AC, Herman JM, Fleming JB, Maitra A, Taniguchi CM (2019) Mitochondrial fusion exploits a therapeutic vulnerability of pancreatic cancer. JCI Insight 5:e126915.
- <span id="page-11-1"></span>Zhang Y, Guo H, Kwan H, Wang JW, Kosek J, Lu B (2007) PAR-1 kinase phosphorylates Dlg and regulates its postsynaptic targeting at the Drosophila neuromuscular junction. Neuron 53:201-215.
- <span id="page-11-3"></span>Ziviani E, Tao RN, Whitworth AJ (2010) Drosophila parkin requires PINK1 for mitochondrial translocation and ubiquitinates mitofusin. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 107:5018–5023.