Residents' Report of COVID-19 Associated Training Disruptions, Stressors, and Opportunities During the Pandemic—The Singapore Experience

Samuel Ji Quan Koh, MBBS, MRCP* Ting Hui Woon, MS, BSc* Warren Fong, MBBS, MRCP, FAMS Yu Heng Kwan, BSc, MD, PhD Swee Han Lim, MBBS, FRCSEd, FRCP, FAMS Jodie Ling Horng Lee, MS, BEng, PGDip, EMBA Hak Koon Tan, MBBS, FRCOG, MMed, MRACOG, FAMS

ABSTRACT

Background The COVID-19 pandemic has disrupted residency training. Several studies have been performed to investigate the impact of the pandemic on residency training in Accreditation Council for Graduate Medical Education–International (ACGME-I)-accredited institutions. However, these were either limited to certain specialties or failed to consider possible opportunities from the pandemic.

Objective To determine the stressors on residents as well as the opportunities that arose from the COVID-19 pandemic across multiple specialities in Singapore.

Methods A cross-sectional survey among SingHealth residents was conducted between July and September 2020. The survey assessed the balance between service and training during hospital postings, the pandemic's influence on examination and teaching, the psychological impact of the pandemic, the level of burnout, and the effect on morale of residents during the pandemic.

Results The response rate was 27.1% (253 of 934). Out of the 253 residents, 136 (53.8%) felt stressed during the pandemic. Concerns about family's health and safety pertaining to potential COVID-19 infection, progression in training, and completion of examinations were the top 3 stressors. One-hundred and three residents (40.7%) had their training disrupted either by being placed in an interim posting not part of their residency requirements or being deployed to care for patients with COVID-19. Although administrative support and information for virtual teaching were sufficient, only 108 (42.7%) agreed it had the same value as face-to-face sessions. Despite the challenges, 179 (70.8%) thought that experiencing this crisis provided more meaning in their career.

Conclusions The COVID-19 pandemic has brought about challenges and learning opportunities for residents.

Introduction

The COVID-19 pandemic has caused significant disruptions to learning environments in medical education and residency training. Social distancing measures and restrictions in cross-deployment reduced the mobility of health care staff and on-site learning opportunities. ^{1,2} Focus was also placed on service provision instead of training and education. Prior studies within single subspecialties showed that the fear of delayed graduation, infecting loved ones, lack of supervision when working in high-risk areas, loss of autonomy over working arrangements, fewer learning opportunities, and higher workload were possible stressors. ³⁻⁵

Nonetheless, amid significant stressors, opportunities arose during the pandemic, such as having more

marily investigated the negative effect of the COVID-19 pandemic on residents in single specialties, we aim to evaluate the challenges and opportunities across different specialties brought about by the pandemic. This is the first such study to be performed in an Accreditation Council for Graduate Medical Education–International

online learning content and asynchronous learning

activities.6 The pandemic also built resilience and

The COVID-19 pandemic has affected, and will con-

tinue to affect us, given its dynamic situation with new

variants, new protocols, and new methods of teaching

and learning. To supplement current studies that pri-

improved residents' abilities to cope with stresss.⁷

(ACGME-I)-accredited institution.

DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.4300/JGME-D-22-00569.1

Editor's Note: The online version of this article contains further data and the survey used in the study.

Methods

Setting and Participants

A cross-sectional survey was conducted between July and September 2020 among residents in SingHealth

residency training programs. The survey coincided with the surge in community and migrant worker dormitory cases. Singapore has 3 sponsoring institutions accredited by ACGME-I and had 2278 residents in 2020, with SingHealth having the largest (934 of 2278, 41%) resident population.

Survey Development

The survey was developed through an iterative review process by a committee that included the designated institutional official (DIO; T.H.K.), associate DIO (L.S.H.), program directors, and staff in the Centre for Resident and Faculty Development. It was coordinated by the SingHealth Graduate Medical Education Office.

The survey had 29 items and assessed for: (1) balance between service provision and training; (2) impact of the pandemic on examination and teaching; (3) psychological impact, level of burnout, and impact on morale; and (4) demographics (see online supplementary data). Response types were either dichotomous or were based on a 5-point Likert scale or required ranked responses.

Participant Recruitment and Data Collection

Prospective participants were first invited via email on July 7, 2020 to complete the online survey. Subsequently, 6 reminders were sent 1 to 2 weeks apart from July 29 to October 9, 2020. Responses were collected anonymously.

Statistical Analysis

Categorical variables were expressed as percentages. To quantify the importance of the stressors, each stressor was scored from 1 (least important) to 9 (most important). Stressors not ranked were scored zero. Weighted scores were obtained by multiplying the number of responses with the score. Statistical analyses were conducted using SPSS 20 (SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL). A *P* value of <.05 was considered statistically significant.

Ethical Approval and Consent

This study has been approved by SingHealth Centralised Institutional Review Board (reference: 2020/2942) with waiver of consent.

Results

We received 253 valid responses from a target population of 934 residents (27.1%). Most were female, aged 31 to 35 years and from internal medicine (online supplementary data), similar to the profile of

the entire residency cohort (online supplementary data).

TABLE 1 reports the prevalence and triggers of stress by specialty. Weighted scores of each stressor are displayed in the online supplementary data. One hundred and thirty-six (53.8%) respondents either strongly agreed or agreed that they felt stressed during the pandemic. Among all respondents, the top 3 stressors (regardless of the pandemic) and most aggravated during the pandemic were concerns about family's health and safety, progression in training, and completion of examinations (online supplementary data).

TABLE 2 shows the impact of the pandemic on residency training and perception of new norms. Among the respondents, 40.7% (103 of 253) were either placed in an interim posting not part of their residency requirements or deployed to care for patients infected with COVID-19. However, 57.1% (144 of 253) to 74.3% (188 of 253) of residents deployed to community COVID-19 treatment facilities felt that they had adequate supervision and learning opportunities on resource management, communication, and ethics and professionalism in patient care. Despite challenges, 70.8% (179 of 253) thought that this crisis provided more meaning in their careers. For teaching, only 42.7% (108 of 253) acknowledged that teaching via videoconferencing had the same value as face-to-face teaching sessions.

Discussion

To our knowledge, this study is the first to identify possible stressors and opportunities during the COVID-19 pandemic in residents across different specialties in an ACGME-I-accredited institution. The main findings of our study are: (1) most participants were stressed during the height of the pandemic; (2) the top 3 causes of stress were due to concerns about family's health and safety, progression in training, and completion of examinations; (3) the use of virtual teaching methods might not have the same value as face-to-face or simulation training; and (4) despite the challenges, a substantial proportion of residents thought that the pandemic provided more meaning in their careers.

Over 50% of participants felt stressed during the pandemic, which corroborates and supplements existing literature. Their concerns about family's health and safety, progression in training, and completion of examinations as the top 3 stressors were similar to those in prior studies. Unsurprisingly, residents were afraid of contracting the virus at work and infecting their family members. A systematic review showed that reduction of training opportunities was the most common problem faced by residents, their residency progression, especially

 TABLE 1

 Proportion of Residents Who Were Stressed During the COVID-19 Pandemic and Weighted Scores of Stressors

	Posson 4				Weigl	Weighted Scores of Stressors ^b	f Stressors ^b			
Residency Program	Stressed During the COVID-19 Pandemic ^a , n (%)	Patient- Related	Relationships at Workplace	Family's Needs	Concerns About Family's Health and Safety	Personal Health	Lack of Sleep/Rest	Progression in Training	Completion of Examinations	Lack of Safety in Training Environment
Diagnostic radiology	10 (83.3)	49	35	53	78,	64	89	79	75	29
Obstetrics and gynecology	11 (68.8)	71	61	85	128	63	73	81	71	41
Pathology	9 (64.3)	40	65	78	75	47	54	62	70	20
Medical oncology	3 (60.0)	29	25	23	35	13	16	34	29	10
Emergency medicine	10 (58.8)	55	63	91	106	87	98	93	77	54
Anesthesiology	10 (55.6)	84	42	72	108	92	76	95	107	67
Internal medicine	46 (54.8)	470	328	335	412	277	400	467	433	155
Pediatrics	14 (53.8)	130	105	123	156	109	131	117	116	70
Cardiology	3 (50.0)	27	38	37	31	22	26	34	29	6
Orthopaedic, plastic, and hand surgery	5 (50.0)	50	42	49	62	35	32	52	50	35
General surgery	5 (47.6)	53	45	58	69	29	43	68	09	24
Family medicine	8 (34.8)	110	100	85	134	102	105	139	66	47
Neurology	2 (28.6)	39	41	43	45	30	16	30	23	15
Ophthalmology	0) 0	13	17	24	25	22	14	28	18	8
Total	136 (53.8)	1220	1007	1156	1464	1022	1152	1396	1257	584

^a Respondents who selected "agree" and "strongly agree" to "I feel stressed during this period of pandemic."

b Stressors were ranked using a Likert scale ranging in response to the question "Rank in order, which factor will give rise to stress for you." Most important stressors were scored 9 and least important stressors scored 1. Stressors not ranked were scored zero. Weighted scores were obtained by multiplying the number of responses with the score. Ebolded and italicized scores refer to the highest weighted scores of stressors in each residency program.

 TABLE 2

 Impact of the COVID-19 Pandemic on Residency Training and Perception of New Norms

Area	Statement	Agree ^a , n (%)	Neutral, n (%)	Disagree ^b , n (%)
Training and	Deployed for duties in community COVID-19 facilities	35 (13.8)	N/A	218 (86.2)
service	There was adequate supervision while serving in COVID-19 facilities ^c	26 (74.3)	8 (22.9)	1 (2.9)
provision	Deployment allowed for learning of resource management ^c	22 (62.9)	8 (22.9)	5 (14.3)
	Deployment allowed for learning of interpersonal and communication skills ^c	22 (62.9)	9 (25.7)	4 (11.4)
	Deployment allowed for learning of ethics and professionalism ^c	20 (57.1)	10 (28.6)	5 (14.3)
	Deployment allowed for learning of technologies and electronic resources ^c	16 (45.7)	14 (40.0)	5 (14.3)
	Support was provided to ensure that training progression was not delayed	180 (71.1)	50 (19.8)	23 (9.1)
	The opportunity to experience this health care crisis provided more meaning in my career	179 (70.8)	53 (20.9)	21 (8.3)
	Training was well-supported during the COVID-19 pandemic	170 (67.2)	54 (21.3)	29 (11.5)
	Workload was more than usual	117 (46.2)	94 (37.2)	42 (16.6)
	Faculty supervision was reduced during the COVID-19 pandemic	81 (32.0)	71 (28.1)	101 (39.9)
	Did an interim posting outside of their originally planned posting	68 (26.9)	N/A	185 (73.1)
Teaching and	Communication of information regarding training was efficient	189 (74.7)	44 (17.4)	20 (7.9)
examination	There was sufficient administrative support when usual teaching methods had to be modified	188 (74.3)	48 (19.0)	17 (6.7)
	The postponement/cancellation of examinations added anxiety	145 (57.3)	85 (33.6)	23 (9.1)
	Teaching via video conferencing had the same value as face-to-face teaching	108 (42.7)	52 (20.6)	93 (36.8)
	Simulation training can be carried out solely using video conferencing	22 (8.7)	57 (22.5)	174 (68.8)

^a Respondents who selected "agree" and "strongly agree." ^b Respondents who selected "disagree" and "strongly disagree." ^c Percentages reflected were based on the 35 respondents who were deployed for duties in community COVID-19 facilities.

for procedural-based specialties. 12,16 Fortunately, lack of safety in the training environment was not a major stressor, contrary to previous studies, 11 possibly due to established infection control measures. 4,17,18 More than 50% of respondents disagreed that virtual teaching methods had similar value as face-to-face teaching or simulation training. Blended teaching approaches could be used, with the mode of delivery tailored to learning objectives. 19

Despite these concerns, the pandemic also presented opportunities, such as honing skills in resource management and communication while addressing ethics and professionalism in patient care. Also, 70.8% of respondents felt that the pandemic provided more meaning to their careers. Realising the importance of medical professionals during the crisis might have built their professional identity and intrinsic motivation. 4,20

This study has several limitations. First, the response rate was low (27.1%), though similar to prior studies, 5,21-23 which increased the risk of nonresponse bias where nonrespondents could either be overwhelmed and apathetic, or unaffected. Given the cross-sectional study design, we could not observe changes over time, such as during the emergence of the Delta and Omicron variants that caused a surge in COVID-19 cases. Additionally, a single question with a Likert scale scoring was used to measure stress levels, without additional items or comparator instruments to evaluate its validity. However, this was an expedient method to meet the exigencies of the pandemic.

Conclusions

Over 50% of residents felt stressed during the COVID-19 pandemic. The main stressors include concerns about family's health and safety, progression in training, and completion of examinations. Knowledge of these stressors will be useful when planning for interventions and support programs that meet residents' needs. Importantly, residents thought that the pandemic added meaning to their careers and provided learning opportunities.

References

- Papapanou M, Routsi E, Tsamakis K, et al. Medical education challenges and innovations during COVID-19 pandemic. *Postgrad Med J.* 2022;98(1159):321-327. doi:10.1136/POSTGRADMEDJ-2021-140032
- Ashokka B, Ong SY, Tay KH, Loh NHW, Gee CF, Samarasekera DD. Coordinated responses of academic medical centres to pandemics: sustaining medical education during COVID-19. *Med Teach*. 2020;42(7):762-771. doi:10.1080/0142159X.2020. 1757634

- Leen JLS, Wong TTC, Ku CW, et al. Impact of COVID-19 on paediatric and OBGYN residency training in Singapore. *Ann Acad Med Singapore*. 2021;50(9):717-720. doi:10.47102/ANNALS-ACADMEDSG.2020453
- Low MJW, Dong C, Quek DYJ, et al. Stress among emergency medicine residents during the COVID-19 pandemic: a qualitative study. *Ann Acad Med Singapore*. 2022;51(1):58-61. doi:10.47102/ANNALS-ACADMEDSG.2021397
- Khusid JA, Weinstein CS, Becerra AZ, et al. Well-being and education of urology residents during the COVID-19 pandemic: results of an American national survey. *Int J Clin Pract*. 2020;74(9):e13559. doi:10.1111/IJCP. 13559
- Khamees D, Peterson W, Patricio M, et al. Remote learning developments in postgraduate medical education in response to the COVID-19 pandemic—a BEME systematic review: BEME guide no. 71. Med Teach. 2022;44(5):466-485. doi:10.1080/0142159X. 2022.2040732
- Kelly F, Uys M, Bezuidenhout D, Mullane SL, Bristol C. Improving healthcare worker resilience and well-being during COVID-19 using a self-directed e-learning intervention. *Front Psychol.* 2021;12:748133. doi:10.3389/FPSYG.2021.748133/BIBTEX
- 8. Hoegger MJ, Shetty AS, Denner DR, et al. A snapshot of radiology training during the early COVID-19 pandemic. *Curr Probl Diagn Radiol*. 2021;50(5):607-613. doi:10.1067/J.CPRADIOL.2020.06.012
- 9. Barac A, Krnjaic P, Vujnovic N, et al. The impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on resident physicians: a cross-sectional study. *Work*. 2021;69(3):751-758. doi:10.3233/WOR-205253
- Mishra D, Nair A, Gandhi RA, et al. The impact of COVID-19 related lockdown on ophthalmology training programs in India—outcomes of a survey. *Indian J Ophthalmol*. 2020;68(6):999-1004. doi:10.4103/IJO.IJO_1067_20
- 11. Chen SY, Lo HY, Hung SK. What is the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on residency training: a systematic review and analysis. *BMC Med Educ*. 2021;21(1):1-18. doi:10.1186/S12909-021-03041-8/TABLES/3
- Carretero-Barrio I, Lop-Gros J, Iglesias M, et al. Impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on pathology training: a survey among Spanish residents. *Virchows Arch*. 2022;481(3):505-509. doi:10.1007/S00428-022-03303-W
- Collins C, Mahuron K, Bongiovanni T, Lancaster E, Sosa JA, Wick E. Stress and the surgical resident in the COVID-19 pandemic. *J Surg Educ*. 2021;78(2):422-430. doi:10.1016/J.JSURG.2020.07.031
- 14. Shechter A, Diaz F, Moise N, et al. Psychological distress, coping behaviors, and preferences for support among New York healthcare workers during the

- COVID-19 pandemic. *Gen Hosp Psychiatry*. 2020;66:1-8. doi:10.1016/j.genhosppsych.2020.06.007
- 15. Chang DG, Park JB, Baek GH, et al. The impact of COVID-19 pandemic on orthopaedic resident education: a nationwide survey study in South Korea. *Int Orthop*. 2020;44(11):2203-2210. doi:10.1007/ S00264-020-04714-7
- Chu LF, Kurup V. Graduate medical education in anaesthesiology and COVID-19: lessons learned from a global pandemic. *Curr Opin Anaesthesiol*. 2021;34(6):726-734. doi:10.1097/ACO. 00000000000001065
- 17. Wong LY, Tan AL, Leo YS, Lee VJM, Toh MPHS. Healthcare workers in Singapore infected with COVID-19: 23 January-17 April 2020. *Influenza Other Respi Viruses*. 2021;15(2):218-226. doi:10.1111/IRV.12803
- Htun HL, Lim DW, Kyaw WM, et al. Responding to the COVID-19 outbreak in Singapore: staff protection and staff temperature and sickness surveillance systems. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2020;71(8):1947-1952. doi:10.1093/ CID/CIAA468
- 19. Kannan J, Kurup V. Blended learning in anesthesia education: current state and future model. *Curr Opin Anaesthesiol*. 2012;25(6):692-698. doi:10.1097/ACO. 0B013E32835A1C2A
- Pololi LH, Vasiliou V, Bloom-Feshbach K. Midcareer medical school research faculty perspectives on vitality and professionalism during the COVID-19 pandemic. *JAMA Netw Open*. 2021;4(8):e2120642. doi:10.1001/JAMANETWORKOPEN.2021.20642
- Odedra D, Chahal BS, Patlas MN. Impact of COVID-19 on Canadian radiology residency training programs. Can Assoc Radiol J. 2020;71(4):482-489. doi:10.1177/ 0846537120933215
- Dyrbye LN, West CP, Satele D, et al. Burnout among U.S. medical students, residents, and early career physicians relative to the general U.S. population. *Acad Med.* 2014;89(3):443-451. doi:10.1097/ACM. 0000000000000134
- Khoodoruth MAS, Al-Nuaimi SK, Al-Salihy Z, Ghaffar A, Khoodoruth WNC, Ouanes S. Factors associated with mental health outcomes among medical residents exposed to COVID-19. *BJPsych Open*. 2021;7(2):e52. doi:10.1192/BJO.2021.12
- Liang En W, Ko KK-K, Conceicao EP, et al. Enhanced infection-prevention measures including universal N95 usage and daily testing: the impact on SARS-CoV-2

- transmission in cohorted hospital cubicles through successive Delta and Omicron waves. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2022;75(5):917-919. doi:10.1093/CID/CIAC320
- Lim RHF, Htun HL, Li AL, et al. Fending off Delta hospital measures to reduce nosocomial transmission of COVID-19. *Int J Infect Dis.* 2022;117:139-145. doi:10.1016/J.IJID.2022.01.069
- 26. Tan LF, Chua JW. Mass COVID-19 testing and its implications amidst the Omicron variant surge. *Brain Behav Immun*. 2022;102:251. doi:10.1016/J.BBI.2022.02.029



Samuel Ji Quan Koh, MBBS, MRCP,* is a Resident, SingHealth Internal Medicine Residency Programme, Singapore Health Services, Singapore; **Ting Hui Woon, MS, BSc,*** is a Research Coordinator, Department of Rheumatology and Immunology, Singapore General Hospital, Singapore; Warren Fong, MBBS, MRCP, FAMS, is Clinical Associate Professor, Department of Rheumatology and Immunology, Singapore General Hospital, Senior Consultant, Duke-NUS Medical School, and Program Director, Department of Medicine, Yong Loo Lin School of Medicine, National University of Singapore, Singapore; Yu Heng Kwan, BSc, MD, PhD, is a Resident, SingHealth Internal Medicine Residency Program, Singapore Health Services, Singapore, Adjunct Senior Research Fellow, Program in Health Services & Systems Research, Duke NUS Medical School, and Adjunct Lecturer, Department of Pharmacy, National University of Singapore, Singapore; Swee Han Lim, MBBS, FRCSEd, FRCP, FAMS, is Clinical Professor, Department of Emergency Medicine, Singapore General Hospital, and Senior Consultant and Associate Designated Institutional Official, SingHealth Residency, Singapore Health Services, Singapore, and Duke-NUS Medical School; Jodie Ling Horng Lee, MS, BEng, PGDip, EMBA, is Senior Manager, Centre for Residents and Faculty Development, Graduate Medical Education Office, SingHealth Residency, Singapore Health Services, Singapore; and Hak Koon Tan, MBBS, FRCOG, MMed, MRACOG, FAMS, is Designated Institutional Official, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Singapore General Hospital, SingHealth Residency, Singapore Health Services, Singapore, and Duke-NUS Medical School.

*Drs Koh and Woon served as co-first authors and contributed equally to the work.

Funding: The authors report no external funding source for this study.

Conflict of interest: The authors declare they have no competing interests.

The authors would like to thank all residents in SingHealth for their participation in this study.

Corresponding author: Warren Fong, MBBS, MRCP, FAMS, Singapore General Hospital, Singapore, gmsfwsw@nus.edu.sg

Received July 26, 2022; revisions received January 26, 2023, and May 4, 2023; accepted May 5, 2023.